

1 Article

2 **Reasons wWhy do people in Taiwan select the outpatient**
3 **clinic of the medical center:? a nationwide analysis in Taiwan**

4

5

6 **Ming-Hwai Lin^{1,2,*}, Tzeng-Ji Chen^{1,2}, and Shinn-Jang Hwang^{1,2}**

7 ¹ Department of Family Medicine, Taipei Veterans General Hospital, No. 201, Sec. 2, Shi-Pai
8 Road, Taipei 112, Taiwan

9 ² School of Medicine, National Yang-Ming University, No.155, Sec.2, Linong Street, Taipei,
10 112, Taiwan

11 * Author to whom correspondence should be addressed; E-Mail: minghwai@gmail.com;
12 Tel.: +886-2-2875-7458; Fax: +886-2-2873-7901.

13 Academic Editor:

14 *Received: / Accepted: /Published:*

15 **Abstract:**

16 **Introduction.** In contrast to other countries, Taiwan's National Health Insurance
17 (NHI) program allows patients to freely select the specialists and tiers of medical care
18 facility without a referral. Some medical centers in Taiwan receive over 10,000
19 outpatients per day. In the NHI program, the copayment was increased for high-tier
20 facilities for outpatient visits in 2002, 2005, and 2017. However, the policies have
21 only mildly reduced the use of high-tier medical care facilities. The main purpose of
22 this study was to exploreevaluate the factors contributing to the patients' selection of
23 the outpatient clinic of medical centers without a referral.

24 **Methods.** An online anonymous survey was conducted by using Google Form
25 platform utilizing self-constructed questionnaire from September to October 2018. A

nationwide sample in Taiwan was recruited using convenience sampling through social media. Based on a literature review and a focus group, 20 factors that may affect the choice of the outpatient institution were constructed. The associations between items that affect the patients' choice of outpatient clinics were assessed using exploratory factor analysis. Principal axis factoring was performed to identify the major factors. Hierarchical logistic regression was conducted to determine which factors satisfactory explained "visiting the outpatient clinic of the medical center for an illness without a referral."

Results. During the survey period, 5060 people browsed the online survey, and 1003 responded and completed the online questionnaire. The response rate was 19.8% .A total of 987 valid responses was collected. In univariate analysis, "physicians are highly reputable", "physicians have a good medical practice", " the institution has advanced equipment", "the institution has high-quality drugs", "the institution has diverse specialties",and "the institutions with a good reputation" had the largest effect on patients' selection of an outpatient institution. Exploratory factor analysis revealed that three main factors, namely "physician factor," "image and reputation factor," and " facility and medication factor," affected the outpatient choice. Multiple logistic regression indicated no significant correlations between gender, education, income, and residence in the selection of outpatient institutions. Ppatients who reported that hospital facilities, high-quality drugs, and diverse specialties were very important were 81.5% more likely to select the outpatient clinic of a medical center when ill (OR 2.218, 95% CI: 1.514-3.24940.7%–134.1%). Patients who reported that the physician factors were very important were less likely to select the outpatient clinic of a medical center (OR 0.717, 95% CI: 0.523-0.9844.2%–57.4%). Patients who were previously satisfied with their experience of the primary clinics or had a regular family doctor were less likely to choose a medical center (OR 0.5096, 95% CI: 0.4352–0.5952 and OR 0.6762, 95% CI: 0.47168–0.9694).

Conclusion. In Taiwan, numerous patients visit medical centers because they believe that the medical center has good hospital facilities, high-quality medicines, and diverse expertise. Ppatients with good primary medical experience and regular family physicians had significantly lower rates of selecting the outpatient clinic of a medical

Commented [M1]: This is not correct. Pls check the meaning of hierarchical logistic regression here
<https://amstat.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/01621459.1985.10478148#:~:text=A%20hierarchical%20logistic%20regression%20model,at%20both%20of%20these%20levels.> The authors used a series of logistic regression models not a hierarchical logistic regression model. Pls correct this

Commented [M2]: Pls correct this as explained

center. The results of this study support that the key to establishing graded medical care is to prioritize the strengthening of the primary medical system.

Keywords: health care seeking behavior; primary clinic; national health insurance; hospital outpatient clinic; choice of medical institution; medical choice; healthcare survey; single-payer system

1. Introduction

The National Health Insurance (NHI) program in Taiwan is a single-payer system founded in 1995. The NHI program comprises a hierarchy of medical care facilities consisting of four tiers: medical centers, regional hospitals, local community hospitals, and primary clinics. However, referral systems have not yet been successfully implemented.

Hierarchical medical care means that medical resources can be used the most efficiently through professional division in the medical system. In most countries, primary care physicians act as healthcare “gatekeepers” by providing initial medical interventions and referring patients to additional specialists (Yan, Kung & Lu, 2019) (Yan et al. 2019). Excluding situations of major illnesses and the urgent need for treatment at a medical center, people who are ill should first go to a family doctor or a nearby primary clinic. After doctors diagnose and treat patients, they could be referred to other specialty clinics or hospitals if indicated.

In contrast with other countries, patients in Taiwan have full and unrestricted access to all medical care facilities. Patients in Taiwan's NHI program can freely select specialists and the tier of medical care facility directly without a referral (Lynn et al., 2015) (Lynn et al. 2015). The design of global budget payments and the fee for services result in patients favoring treatment at large hospitals, even for mild diseases, and medical centers are more likely to use advanced instruments and pharmaceuticals (Kuo, Chen & Lin, 2019; Lee et al., 2018). (Kuo et al. 2019; Lee et al. 2018). Many patients in Taiwan not only consulted several physicians of different specialties and at different healthcare facilities, but also switched the physicians and facilities quickly (Wang & Lin, 2010) (Wang & Lin 2010). This phenomenon has been suggested as a

source of inefficiency in healthcare use and has resulted in high medical expenditures and costs of outpatient visits.

Studies have reported that people in developed countries visit a doctor 5–6 times a year, whereas in Taiwan, the average frequency of visits is 13 . More than 30,000 insured residents in Taiwan seek hospital inpatient and outpatient services over 100 times a year (*Lynn et al., 2015*). (Lynn et al. 2015). In certain large medical centers in northern Taiwan, the number of outpatients per day often exceeds 10,000. Furthermore, physicians frequently see over 50 patients in a morning, spending only 5 minutes or less for each consultation (*Wu, Majeed & Kuo, 2010*). (Wu et al. 2010). These short consultations can cause misinformation and misunderstanding between healthcare providers and patients because of the time to build rapport. The freedom to have multiple hospital return visits results in high use of outpatient hospital visits, drug prescriptions, and other health services (*Wang & Lin, 2010; Yip et al., 2019*) (Wang & Lin 2010; Yip et al. 2019) .

Excessive use of health services is a critical and persistent problem in Taiwan. To moderate these rising costs, a graded medical system was implemented in the NHI program and increased the copayment for high-tier facilities for outpatient visits in 2002, 2005, and 2017. Patients without a referral are charged an additional copayment ranging from 240 to 420 NTD (approximately 8 to 14 USD) for every visit to a high-tier medical facility. Although changes to the NHI copayment policies have mildly reduced the use of high-tier medical care facilities, studies have indicated that the effect of medical prices on people's medical behavior is very limited (*Lee et al., 2018*). (Lee et al. 2018). The increment in the copayment had little effect on the population, making them more willing to visit primary clinics first (*Yang, Tsai & Tien, 2019*). (Yang et al. 2019).

Factors affecting patients' selection of high-tier medical care facilities have not been fully identified. Cheng et al. reported that patients tend to base their judgment of hospital quality on medical equipment (*Cheng, 2015*) (Cheng 2015). The main purpose of this study was to evaluate the factors contributing to the patients' selection of the outpatient clinic of medical centers without a referral. Only when we clearly understand

Further research is required to clarify the motives underlying the public's choice, then we could establish a successful graded medical system in Taiwan.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study design

The present study was a web-based cross-sectional online survey. The development and reporting of the survey followed the Checklist for Reporting Results of Internet E-survey (CHERRIES) guidelines ((Eysenbach, 2004)Eysenbach 2004). The checklist is available in the supplementary data. The questionnaire was developed in Google forms (<https://www.google.com/forms/about/>).

After the initial tests and revision of the questionnaire were completed, and a nationwide sample in Taiwan was recruited using convenience sampling through an online anonymous survey from September 3 to October 31, 2018. By using the snowball sampling method, the questionnaire was introduced to a variety of community groups. To maximize public outreach, the survey was promoted in different social media such as Facebook, Line and the most popular bulletin board system (<https://facebook.com/>; <https://linecorp.com/>; and <https://www.ptt.cc/index.bbs.html>) with interested citizens being invited to complete the questionnaire and the respondents who took the survey being asked to continue inviting their friends to participate in the survey and fill out the questionnaire.

The link to the survey was available for a period of 8 weeks. All participants were invited to complete an anonymous self-administered online questionnaire, which required approximately 10 minutes to complete. Informed consent was requested from all participants on the first page of the questionnaire. Only participants who were at least 20 years old and were able to read Chinese fluently were given access. No rewards were provided to participants. A deduplication protocol was applied to identify multiple submissions and preserve data integrity, including cross-validation of the eligibility criteria of key variables and discrepancies in key data (Bowen *et al.*, 2008).(Bowen *et al.* 2008).

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Taipei Veterans General Hospital (2017-07-009AC) , and the study was conducted in accordance with the guideline of Helsinki declaration 2013.

2.2. Questionnaire design

Because there was no similar questionnaire related to the selection of outpatient clinics, we developed our own questionnaire, finalized after experts were invited to review and revise. A

literature search was performed for publications that discuss the factors affecting the outpatient choice. Search terms used were " health care seeking behavior ", " hospital outpatient clinics" and a combination thereof. Based on the factors identified by the literature search, we invited two family physicians, three outpatient nurses and five volunteers to participate in the focus group. The main topic was "What are the important factors in one's selection of an outpatient clinic when patients were ill?" The opinions provided by the experts are used as reference for the questionnaire.

Based on a literature review and the focus group, factors that related to the outpatient choice were proposed and included in the questionnaire. The main dependent variable of this study was "preferred choice of outpatient clinics when you are ill," and the independent variables were assessed using the following question: "Please indicate the importance of each of the following factors in your selection of an outpatient clinic when you were ill?" A total of 20 factors affecting the choice of the outpatient institution was included. ~~The survey questions were formatted as short answer, single choice, or Likert rating scale questions.~~ All respondents were asked to rate the importance of the 20 factors in the selection of an outpatient institution when they were ill on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 1 = not at all important to 5 = very important.

At the end of the questionnaire, respondents were asked to provide demographic information and information on past experiences during outpatient visits at different hospital levels, attitudes towards copayment, and whether they have a regular family physician. Five experts with expertise in subject content were invited to modify the questionnaire for ensuring content validity. Questions were refined after feedback and finalized into the online survey.

2.3 Reliability and validity analysis

The content of this questionnaire was obtained through the literature review and a focus group. Five senior researchers, who were expert in research, were invited to perform repeated questionnaire testing and discuss the entire instrument for content validity. The content was rated by five experts, resulting in a mean content validity index (CVI) of 86.0%.

At the beginning of the study, the questionnaire was pretested in 20 patients to determine if the content was appropriate and to ascertain whether the content was understandable. The internal consistency reliability test was used for reliability analysis. Cronbach's alpha of the questionnaire was 0.895, which is satisfactory.

Commented [M3]: Pls remove this sentence

Commented [M4]: Pls read these sections in yellow on this page and edit to avoid repeating the description of the validation procedure. If needed, remove unneeded subheadings

2.4 Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics were used to present the results for patient hospital choices. Independent samples t-tests and Chi-square tests were adopted to examine the association between respondents' demographic characteristics and their outpatient preference. The normality of the collected data was analyzed by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. As the data follow the normal distribution, comparisons between three groups were conducted by an analysis of variance (ANOVA). A p value of <0.05 (two-tailed) was considered statistically significant.

The associations between items that affect the patients' choice of outpatient clinics were assessed using exploratory factor analysis. Measures of sample adequacy such as Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (0.868) and Bartlett's Test of Sphericity (significance <0.0001) show that factor analysis can be applied. Principal axis factoring was performed to identify the major factors by using a correlation matrix and oblimin rotation. The number of principal components to be extracted was determined by examining the eigenvalues (>1). Loadings over 0.5 were used to interpret components in the study was set at 0.5. Finally, the number of domains was reduced to three and named 'physician factor', 'image & reputation factor' and 'facility & medication factor'. Internal consistency was demonstrated, with the Cronbach's α coefficient ranging from 0.792 to 0.905 for the factors. These three factors accounted for 61.7% of the total variance of the variables.

Hierarchical logistic regression was conducted to determine which factors satisfactorily explained the dependent variable "visiting the outpatient clinic of the medical center for an illness without a referral." The adjusted odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for predicting "visit to an outpatient clinic of the medical center for an illness" were computed. In model 1, the association of age, gender and personal experience of primary clinics were tested. The physician factor, image and reputation factor, and facility and medication factor were added in model 2 to test the associations beyond the personal factors. The other variables were added in model 3 to test the associations of sociodemographic factors beyond above factors.

To ensure the security of the data, all data were stored on a secure server, and were backed up on a local hard disk. Only the researcher could access these materials.

Data were primarily evaluated by Dr. Lin, Ming-Hwai. The survey data were extracted into Excel (Microsoft Corp) and the statistical analysis was performed with the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS, version 20.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

Commented [M5]: Pls edit the sentence to avoid repeating "0.5" twice

Commented [M6]: Pls correct this as previously explained

Commented [M7]: This part should be shifted to the beginning of the analysis section

Commented [M8]: This part, too, should be shifted to the beginning of the analysis section

211

212 3. Results

213 During the survey period, 5060 people browsed the online survey, and 1003 responded and
214 completed the online questionnaires. Therefore, the response rate was 19.8%. We excluded 16
215 participants because of duplication (the same age, occupation, and answer options). Table 1
216 provides a comparison of the demographic characteristics of the patients who favor different
217 institutions for outpatient visits.

218 The mean age of the respondents was 43.6 (SD 10.6, minimum age 19, maximum 85 years).
219 Men accounted for 43.8% and women accounted for 56.2% of the 987 respondents included; 509
220 (51.6%) respondents favored visiting a primary clinic, 308 (31.2%) favored visiting the general
221 hospital, and 170 (17.2%) favored visiting the medical center without a referral. Table 1 provides
222 a comparison of demographic characteristics and preferred institutions for outpatient visits.
223 Gender, marital status, and education level were not statistically related to the choice of
224 outpatient visits. In univariate analysis, the choice of medical treatment facility was statistically
225 related to income with low significance ($p = 0.026$). Patients with a monthly income of NTD
226 50,001–70,000 favored outpatient clinics of medical centers. People living in urban areas
227 accounted for 65.8% of respondents. A larger number of people living in urban areas favored
228 medical centers than patients living in other areas ($p < 0.001$). Approximately 51.5% of the
229 respondents had regular family doctors. Significantly more patients who favor primary clinics for
230 outpatient visits had regular family doctors than patients who prefer medical centers (61.9%
231 vs 41.2%, $p < 0.001$). Approximately 67.6% of the respondents were satisfied with their previous
232 medical experience in primary care. Furthermore, patients who favored primary clinics for
233 outpatient visits exhibited significantly higher satisfaction rates than patients who favored
234 medical centers (75.2% vs 52.9%, $p < 0.001$).

235 Table 2 summarizes the average rating associations between the numbers of respondents to
236 each factor when selecting who rated a factor as “important” in the selection of an outpatient
237 facility and their preferred outpatient institution. “Physicians were highly reputable”, “physicians
238 explained in detail”, and “physicians have a good medical practice” were the most important
239 factors to consider when choosing the outpatient institution. Low copayment is the least
240 important factor for outpatient medical choice among all patients (the average rating of Likert
241 scale : 3.08 ± 1.16).

Commented [M9]: Pls remove the repeated word

242 In univariate analysis, the importance of six factors was significantly higher among the
243 respondents who chose to visit a medical center ($p < 0.0015$). These factors were "physicians are
244 highly reputable", "physicians have a good medical practice", "the institution has advanced
245 equipment", "the institution has high-quality drugs", "the institution has diverse specialties", and
246 "the institutions with a good reputation". In this study, we conducted exploratory factor analysis
247 to understand the potential common characteristics among factors and clarify the influencing
248 factors. We used principal component analysis to extract data using a correlation matrix and
249 oblimin rotation method. We removed six items because of cross-loading or because the factor
250 load was too low (< 0.4). Factors with eigenvalues greater than 1, cumulative percentages of
251 variance explained above 71.2%, KMO value reaching of 0.868, and p value less than of 0.0010
252 were excluded. Three main factors were retained in the final extraction (Table 3), namely
253 "physician factor," "image and reputation factor," and "facility and medication factor." We
254 subsequently converted the scores to three factors into a multivariate analysis model.

255 Table 4 illustrates three models of logistic regression for predicting "visits to the outpatient
256 clinic of the medical center for an illness." The multiple logistic regression revealed no
257 significant correlations between gender, education, income, and residence regions in the selection
258 of outpatient institutions. Age, past medical experience in primary clinics, copayment, regular
259 family physician, equipment of the institution, drug-quality of the institution, and diversity of the
260 institution specialties were the most valuable factors for prediction.

261 Model 2 indicated that the likelihood of choosing to visit a medical center when ill increased
262 by 2.89% for every additional year of age (95% CI: 1.67%–4.1%) when other variables were
263 controlled for. Patients who were previously satisfied with the medical experience of primary
264 clinics had a 0.5096 lower likelihood of choosing a medical center to visit when ill (95% CI:
265 0.4352–0.5952). Patients who rated copayment as important were 0.5235 times as likely to select
266 a medical center to visit when ill (95% CI: 0.35460–0.78196). People with a regular family
267 doctor were 0.6762 times less likely to select a medical center (95% CI: 0.47168–0.9694).
268 Patients who rated the image and reputation of the hospital/physician factor as very important
269 were less 28% more likely to select an outpatient clinic in a medical center when they were ill
270 (OR 0.717, 95% CI: 0.523–0.9844.2%–57.4%). Patients who reported that hospital facilities,
271 high-quality drugs, and diverse specialties as very important had an 81.5% increased likelihood

Commented [M10]: There are no estimates for education in model I. these are in model II

Commented [M11]: Not seen in table. Where is this variable?

Commented [M12]: No estimates in model I. these are in model III

Commented [M13]: No estimates in model 1 at all. These are in model II and III

272 of selecting the outpatient clinic of the medical center (OR 2.218, 95% CI: 1.514-3.24995% CI:
273 40.7%–134.1%).

275 4. Discussion

276 Several factors significantly affected the selection of the medical center, including older age,
277 the physician factor, advanced equipment, high-quality drugs, good reputation and visibility, and
278 diversity of specialists. In Taiwan, more subjects agreed to the hierarchical medical system and
279 medical referral system, but many people still disagreed with changes to their healthcare seeking
280 choices due to policy promotion (Yan, Kung & Lu, 2019). (Yan et al. 2019) Previous survey found
281 that age, gender, residence, education and monthly family income are significantly related to
282 inpatient hospital choice (Kamra, Singh & De, 2016). (Kamra et al. 2016) Some results are
283 consisted to ours. However, in our study, income did not have obvious impact on outpatient
284 choice. The may due to the exemption for low-income people in Taiwan's health insurance. When
285 they visit the medical center without a referral, they don't have to pay any component (Yang, Tsai
286 & Tien, 2019). (Yang et al. 2019)

287 It has been more than 20 years since the introduction of the family physician in Taiwan, but
288 only 51.5% of the respondents have regular family doctors. In this study, patients with regular
289 family doctors, who were satisfied with the past medical experience in primary care , and who
290 rated the physician factor as important, and who rated copayment as important, were less likely to
291 choose a medical center when ill. Such results show that the implementation of the family
292 physician system, so that the public generally has a trusted family doctor will help reduce the
293 number of patients directly to the medical center without a referral.

294 Gender, marital status, and education level did not affect the choice of outpatient visits. In
295 univariate analysis, the choice of the outpatient institution was only slightly related to the income
296 level, and the income level was no related to the outpatient choice when other variables were
297 controlled for in regression analyses. Low copayment is the least important factor for outpatient
298 medical choice among all patients. This result may be caused by the low copayment amount in
299 Taiwan's NHI system. Furthermore, in the NHI program, most of the cost of medical treatment is
300 waived for low-income households and catastrophic illness patients in Taiwan. Thus the financial
301 burden is rarely a consideration in the patients' choice of outpatient institution (Chen & Fan,
302 2015). (Chen & Fan 2015). The insurance system is fee for service in Taiwan. People who visit

Commented [M14]: Where s the interpretation of factor II:
image and reputation?

Report on the improved fir from model I to III

Commented [M15]: Not n the full model: model III ! Pls remove

Commented [M16]: Not sig in any model. Pls correct this

Commented [M17]: Pls correct the English

Commented [M18]: It s not included in the models, is it?

Commented [M19]: Not in the full model. Not correct. Pls
address this

303 ~~the medical center may have more blood tests or radiologic examinations ordered by their~~
304 ~~physician because no copayment is charged for the inspection. Furthermore,~~ the current
305 copayment of outpatient medicines is a fixed fee, the out of pocket maximum is only NTD\$200
306 (approximately USD\$6.7). Although the NHI copayment reforms had mildly reduced the
307 probability that patients with minor ailments would choose to visit high-tier medical facilities,
308 several studies have indicated that the effect of medical prices on people's medical behavior is
309 limited.

310 In the present research, a similar phenomenon was also observed. Low copayment has the
311 lowest average rating of Likert scale when considering the importance of outpatient medical
312 choice among all patients. Changing the health insurance system, such as changing the
313 copayment to a fixed-rate coinsurance, appears to be the only method to eliminate unnecessary
314 testing and medical waste (*Victor et al., 2018*)(Victor et al. 2018).

315 Ideally, every older adult should have trusted primary care physicians who can provide
316 outpatient services. However, in this study, older people had a greater likelihood to visit the medical
317 center for outpatient visits. Liu's research in 2012 pointed out that different health profiles of
318 elderly people on the likelihood of utilization and expenditure on health care services were
319 significant. The high comorbidity group tended to utilize more services in the ambulatory care and
320 the frail group had higher health care expenditures (*Liu, Tian & Yao, 2012*) (Liu et al. 2012). Our
321 research results could not be found to be related to such findings. Requires the design of further
322 studies to understand whether the primary clinics in Taiwan meet the needs of the elderly.

323 This study has several limitations which impact its findings.. First, given the web-based survey
324 design, participants were recruited over the internet, the low response rate deserved further
325 exploration. Although the online survey represents a wide age range and geographic distribution,
326 this sample is generally younger and more highly educated (*Tengilimoglu et al., 2017*).
327 (*Tengilimoglu et al. 2017*). Hsieh found that Internet use in Taiwan was significantly associated
328 with more outpatient clinic visits for those with chronic diseases (*Hsieh et al., 2016*); (Hsieh et al.
329 2016); thus, the results should be generalized with caution. Second, the variance explained by the
330 logistic regression model suggests that other significant factors may determine outpatient clinic
331 decisions (*Cheng, 2015; Yip et al., 2019*). (Cheng 2015; Yip et al. 2019).

Commented [M20]: Pls remove this part and edit to ensure flow

Commented [M21]: Pls check and correct the En

Commented [M22]: Than what/ who? Pls clarify

Despite these limitations, this study is the first to investigate how the public chooses outpatient institutions in Taiwan. Further research should explore the influencing factors among the older group.

5. Conclusions

Although the NHI copayment reforms had mildly reduced the probability that patients with minor ailments would choose to visit high-tier medical facilities, several studies have indicated that the effect of medical prices on people's medical behavior is limited. A good primary medical experience and a regular family physician significantly reduces people's likelihood of visiting the medical center without a referral. The results of this study support that the key to establishing graded medical care is prioritizing the strengthening of the primary medical system.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by grants from the Ministry of Science and Technology (MOST 106-2314-B-075 -032 -MY3) and Taipei Veterans General Hospital (V107C-095).

Author Contributions

Ming-Hwai Lin conceived the idea for this study, carried out the analyses, and drafted the manuscript. Tzeng-Ji Chen and Shinn-Jang Hwang revised the manuscript. All the authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

References

- Bowen AM, Daniel CM, Williams ML, and Baird GL. 2008. Identifying multiple submissions in Internet research: preserving data integrity. *AIDS and Behavior* 12:964-973. 10.1007/s10461-007-9352-2
- Chen B, and Fan VY. 2015. Strategic Provider Behavior Under Global Budget Payment with Price Adjustment in Taiwan. *Health Economics* 24:1422-1436. 10.1002/hec.3095
- Cheng TM. 2015. Reflections on the 20th anniversary of Taiwan's single-payer National Health Insurance System. *Health Affairs* 34:502-510. 10.1377/hlthaff.2014.1332

362 Eysenbach G. 2004. Improving the quality of Web surveys: the Checklist for Reporting
 363 Results of Internet E-Surveys (CHERRIES). *Journal of Medical Internet Research*
 364 6:e34. 10.2196/jmir.6.3.e34
 365 Hsieh RW, Chen L, Chen T-F, Liang J-C, Lin T-B, Chen Y-Y, and Tsai C-C. 2016. The association
 366 between internet use and ambulatory care-seeking behaviors in Taiwan: a cross-
 367 sectional study. *Journal of Medical Internet Research* 18:e319.
 368 Kamra V, Singh H, and De KK. 2016. Factors affecting hospital choice decisions: An
 369 exploratory study of healthcare consumers in Northern India. *Asia Pacific Journal of*
 370 *Health Management* 11:76.
 371 Kuo RN, Chen W, and Lin Y. 2019. Do informed consumers in Taiwan favour larger hospitals?
 372 A 10-year population-based study on differences in the selection of healthcare
 373 providers among medical professionals, their relatives and the general population.
 374 *BMJ open* 9:e025202-e025202. 10.1136/bmjopen-2018-025202
 375 Lee YH, Ang TFA, Chiang TC, and Kaplan WA. 2018. Growing concerns and controversies to
 376 Taiwan's National Health Insurance-what are the lessons from mainland China, South
 377 Korea and Singapore? *International Journal of Health Planning and Management*
 378 33:e357-e366. 10.1002/hpm.2387
 379 Liu LF, Tian WH, and Yao HP. 2012. Utilization of health care services by elderly people with
 380 National Health Insurance in Taiwan: the heterogeneous health profile approach.
 381 *Health Policy* 108:246-255. 10.1016/j.healthpol.2012.08.022
 382 Lynn AM, Shih TC, Hung CH, Lin MH, Hwang SJ, and Chen TJ. 2015. Characteristics of
 383 ambulatory care visits to family medicine specialists in Taiwan: a nationwide
 384 analysis. *PeerJ* 3:e1145. 10.7717/peerj.1145
 385 Tengilimoglu D, Sarp N, Yar CE, Bektaş M, Hidir MN, and Korkmaz E. 2017. The consumers'
 386 social media use in choosing physicians and hospitals: the case study of the province
 387 of Izmir. *The International journal of health planning and management* 32:19-35.
 388 Victor V, Joy Thoppan J, Jeyakumar Nathan R, and Farkas Maria F. 2018. Factors Influencing
 389 Consumer Behavior and Prospective Purchase Decisions in a Dynamic Pricing
 390 Environment—An Exploratory Factor Analysis Approach. *Social Sciences* 7:153.

391 Wang MJ, and Lin SP. 2010. Study on doctor shopping behavior: insight from patients with
 392 upper respiratory tract infection in Taiwan. *Health Policy* 94:61-67.
 393 10.1016/j.healthpol.2009.08.009
 394 Wu TY, Majeed A, and Kuo KN. 2010. An overview of the healthcare system in Taiwan.
 395 *London J Prim Care (Abingdon)* 3:115-119. 10.1080/17571472.2010.11493315
 396 Yan Y-H, Kung C-M, and Lu C-L. 2019. A Study on the Public Awareness of Hierarchical
 397 Medical System in Taiwan. *Health* 11:361-370.
 398 Yang CJ, Tsai YC, and Tien JJ. 2019. Patients with minor diseases who access high-tier
 399 medical care facilities: New evidence from classification and regression trees.
 400 *International Journal of Health Planning and Management* 34:e1087-e1097.
 401 10.1002/hpm.2745
 402 Yip WC, Lee YC, Tsai SL, and Chen B. 2019. Managing health expenditure inflation under a
 403 single-payer system: Taiwan's National Health Insurance. *Social Science and Medicine*
 404 233:272-280. 10.1016/j.socscimed.2017.11.020