# Peer

# Determination of fitness traits of Orius strigicollis Poppius (Hemiptera: Anthocoridae) on Pectinophora gossypiella (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) using two-sex life table analysis

Shahzaib Ali<sup>1</sup>, Qian Zhu<sup>1</sup>, Waqar Jaleel<sup>2</sup>, Shakeel Ur Rehman<sup>1</sup>, Muhammad Asim Rasheed<sup>1</sup>, Muhammad Musa Khan<sup>3</sup>, Yasir Islam<sup>1</sup>, Muhammad Hafeez<sup>4</sup> and Xingmiao Zhou<sup>1</sup>

 <sup>1</sup> Hubei Insect Resources Utilization and Sustainable Pest Management Key Laboratory, College of Plant Science and Technology, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan, China
 <sup>2</sup> Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of High Technology for Plant Protection, Plant Protection Research Institute, Guangdong Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Guangzhou, China

<sup>3</sup> Key Laboratory of Bio-Pesticide Innovation and Application, Engineering Research Centre of Biological Control, South China Agricultural University, Guangzhou, China

<sup>4</sup> State Key Laboratory Breeding Base for Zhejiang Sustainable Pest and Disease Control, Institute of Plant Protection and Microbiology, Zhejiang Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China

#### ABSTRACT

**Background:** Pink bollworm (*Pectinophora gossypiella*) is a destructive insect pest of cotton crops in China and globally, which is actively predated on by *Orius strigicollis*. Studies on the fitness or survival of *O. strigicollis* fed on *P. gossypiella* at different temperatures have not been reported. The fitness of *O. strigicollis* may be well explained using two-sex life table parameters. Thus, the present study provides important insights for the effective biocontrol of *P. gossypiella*.

**Methodology:** Considering the importance of fitness parameters and biocontrol, the present study explores the feeding potential and age-stage, two-sex life table traits of *O. strigicollis* on *P. gossypiella* eggs at different temperatures (24, 28 and 32 °C) in the laboratory.

**Results:** The intrinsic rate of increase (r) was higher at 28 °C (0.14 d<sup>-1</sup>) than at 24 °C (0.0052 d<sup>-1</sup>) and 32 °C (0.12 d<sup>-1</sup>). Similarly, the net reproductive rate ( $R_0$ ) was higher at 28 °C (17.63 offspring) than at 24 °C (1.13 offspring) and 32 °C (10.23 offspring). This concluded that the maximum feeding potential and growth capacity of *O. strigicollis* could be attained at 28 °C when fed on *P. gossypiella* eggs. *O. strigicollis* adults preferred to feed on *P. gossypiella* eggs compared with first instar larvae. Based on these results, the present study suggests that *O. strigicollis* represents a promising biological control agent against *P. gossypiella* eggs in cotton fields.

Subjects Agricultural Science, Ecology, Entomology, Zoology
 Keywords Age-stage, Feeding potential, Fitness, Orius strigicollis, Pectinophora gossypiella,
 Population parameters, Two-sex life table, Temperature

Submitted 5 May 2020 Accepted 1 July 2020 Published 17 August 2020

Corresponding author Xingmiao Zhou, xmzhou@mail.hzau.edu.cn

Academic editor Brock Harpur

Additional Information and Declarations can be found on page 20

DOI 10.7717/peerj.9594

Copyright 2020 Ali et al.

Distributed under Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

#### INTRODUCTION

The biology of predators and parasitoids is greatly influenced by environmental factors (*Jaleel et al., 2019; Ali et al., 2020*). Temperature is one of the most important abiotic environmental factors (*Saleh & Sengonca, 2003; Jaleel et al., 2020*). The development time of insect pests is greatly affected by variable temperature (*Barbosa et al., 2019*). Understanding the fitness of predators and parasitoids under different environmental conditions is important for the establishment of successful biocontrol programs (*Chen et al., 2018b; Kutcherov, Lopatina & Yermakov, 2019*).

Cotton is the most dynamic cash crop in 111 countries and is known as the "King of fibres" or "White gold" (*Parmar & Patel, 2016*). China is the largest producer of cotton crop worldwide. The average lint yield of cotton was 1,438 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> from 5.3 million ha of cultivated area (*Yosoff et al., 2015*). Over the last 20 years, the cotton crop has been continuously damaged by several insect pests. Among cotton pests, *Pectinophora gossypiella* (Saunders) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) is a serious pest (*Ahmad et al., 2011*). Currently, *P. gossypiella* is a monophagous pest that is, damaging the cotton boll in China (*Wan et al., 2012*; *Edpuganti, 2018*). Control of *P. gossypiella* is difficult through the application of insecticides owing to the concealed mode of feeding (*Naranjo, Butler & Henneberry, 2002*). Worldwide, *P. gossypiella* has become the most devastative insect pest of cotton and has been identified to cause 2.8–61.9% loss in seed cotton yield, 2.1–47.1% loss in oil content, and 10.7–59.2% loss in the normal opening of bolls (*Patil, 2003*). The best and safest option for reducing the population of *P. gossypiella* is biocontrol by use of, for example, predators.

Orius spp. are the best predators against lepidopteran pests, because they exhibit a higher searching efficiency for their host, and are fast moving and active (*Minks & Harrewijn, 1988*). Orius spp. have also been demonstrated to be the best predators against aphids (Hemiptera: Aphididae), whiteflies (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae), mites (Arachnida: Acaridae), young lepidopterous larvae and small arthropod eggs (*Bonte et al., 2015*). Among Orius spp., Orius strigicollis Poppius (Heteroptera: Anthocoridae) which was previously known as Orius similis Zheng (Heteroptera: Anthocoridae) (junior synonym of O. strigicollis) (*Yasunaga, 1997; Jung, Yamada & Lee, 2013*), also known as the predatory flower bug, originated in southern China and is a more effective predator in agroecosystems (*Zhou et al., 2006*). Adults and nymphs of O. strigicollis feed on an extensive range of soft bodied insect pests, such as *Aphis gossypii, Frankliniella formosae* (Moulton) and *Tetranychus cinnabarinus* (Boisduval) (*Zhang et al., 2012*), and also feed on lepidopteran pest eggs or hatched larvae (*Bonte & De Clercq, 2011; Ali et al., 2020*). O. strigicollis have been observed in large quantities in cotton fields (*Zhou & Lei, 2002; Ahmadi, Sengonca & Blaeser, 2007*).

The biological characteristics of some *Orius* species have been reported at different temperatures and when feeding on different prey species. The developmental duration of *O. insidiosus (Kim, 1997, 1999), O. albidipennis* (Reuter), and *O. laevigatus* (Fieber) have been reported (*Kiman & Yeargan, 1985*). However, fitness studies on *O. strigicollis* are

limited. Zhou & Lei (2002) and Zhang et al. (1994) studied the fitness parameters of O. similis when fed on A. gossypii and P. gossypiella, respectively, but further research is needed to fully understand these parameters. Ahmadi, Sengonca & Blaeser (2007) studied the effect of two temperatures (18 °C and 30 °C) on the biology of O. similis fed on two aphid species. Ali et al. (2020) studied the fitness of O. strigicollis on different densities of P. gossypiella eggs. To the best of our knowledge, detailed information about the feeding potential and fitness of O. strigicollis using age-stage, two-sex life table on P. gossypiella eggs at different temperatures is lacking in the literature.

In integrated pest management (IPM), biocontrol is the preferred option against *P. gossypiella* because it is environmentally safe and nontoxic (*Dhawan, Kumar & Shera, 2011; Pandey et al., 2019*). In IPM programs, it is necessary to understand both the basic and detailed information of *O. strigicollis*, which can be derived from life table modeling (*Jaleel, Lu & He, 2018; Ali et al., 2020*). However, traditional life table models only provide information for female adults, whereas models for both male and female adults are necessary to understand population dynamics (*Huang & Chi, 2013; Chen et al., 2017*). The establishment of biological control agents for *P. gossypiella* in the field is an important task for researchers. In the present study, feeding potential and fitness parameters of *O. strigicollis* were studied when they were fed on *P. gossypiella* eggs at three different constant temperatures in a laboratory using the age-stage, two-sex life table traits. The present study provides basal information for developing effective biocontrol agents against *P. gossypiella*.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Insect rearing

#### Rearing of O. strigicollis

Adults of O. strigicollis were collected from different fields of cotton flowers at Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan, Hubei Province, P.R. China. Culture was maintained on P. gossypiella eggs in the laboratory according to a previously described method (Zhou et al., 2006). The population of O. strigicollis was maintained on the P. gossypiella eggs at three temperatures (24, 28 and 32 °C) to acclimatize for at least two generations before the experiments (Jaleel et al., 2020). The reason for the selection of three temperatures (24, 28 and 32 °C) was that many Orius spp. including O. strigicollis showed better performance/predation against different insect pests in different laboratory studies (Zhang et al., 2012; Amer, Fu & Niu, 2018; Ali et al., 2020), and mainly these temperatures are favorable for many lepidopterous insect pests damaging stages including P. gossypiella (Ali et al., 2016; Shrinivas et al., 2019; Umer, Atif & Ahmad, 2019). Small tender stems (3-4) of Vitex negundo L. (Lamiaceae: Verbenaceae) were provided as oviposition substrate in plastic containers (100 mm wide base and 124 mm deep) under laboratory conditions. Stems were wrapped in wet cotton at the end and refreshed after two days. Stems containing O. strigicollis eggs were harvested daily and kept in separate containers until adult emergence.

#### Collection of P. gossypiella

Pupae and adults of the pink bollworm *P. gossypiella* (strain resistant AZP-R) were supplied by the Institute of Plant Protection and Soil Fertility, Hubei Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Wuhan, China, and were placed in cages ( $50 \times 50 \times 50 \times 50$  cm) and provided with 10% honey solution for egg laying as a diet for adults. Each cage was covered with white gauze and filter paper for oviposition. Egg masses were harvested or collected daily on the filter paper during the total oviposition period following previously described methods (*Wan et al., 2012*), and fresh eggs were provided as a food source for the flower bugs. All experiments were conducted at 75 ± 5% RH and a 16:8 (L:D) photoperiod in climatic controlled chambers (HP350GS, Ruihua Instrument & Equipment Co., Ltd., Wuhan, P.R. China) equipped with fluorescent lighting controlled by an automatic timer.

#### Feeding potential of O. strigicollis

The feeding potential of O. strigicollis predatory stages, that is, third, fourth and fifth nymphal instars and adult stages (male and female), was recorded on P. gossypiella eggs and first instar larvae on the basis of choice experiments. The feeding efficiency of all predatory stages with P. gossypiella eggs after 24 h, and for first instar larvae after 12 and 24 h was determined in three temperature treatments (24, 28 and 32 °C), in plastic Petri dishes (9 cm diameter and 2 cm depth), lined with filter paper. There were 30 replicates for each predatory stage with 20 prey (eggs and larvae). Owing to zero percent mortality of fresh and healthy P. gossypiella eggs at three different temperatures in our main experiments, we did not take them into account while analyzing the data and there was no control treatment for O. strigicollis potential on P. gossypiella eggs. Although there were 30 replicates of the control treatment without predators at three temperatures after 12 and 24 h for O. strigicollis potential on P. gossypiella first instar larvae. The control treatment was considered as a prediction of P. gossypiella first instar larvae mortality with or without predators to check the actual feeding potential of O. strigicollis predatory stages on P. gossypiella first instar larvae by following previously described methodology (*Calixto et al., 2013*).

#### Two-sex life table traits

The *O. strigicollis* eggs in bulk quantity (100) at each temperature were selected and the development time was checked from egg hatching to second instar nymph in groups ( $\leq$ 10 in each box) when *P. gossypiella* eggs were provided as diet and cotton balls drenched with water to maintain the moisture level and source of water for immature nymphs. Beginning with the third instar nymphal stage ( $N_3$ ), individual bugs were isolated in plastic Petri dishes lined with filter paper to avoid cannibalism (*Tuan et al., 2015*), and each individual was considered as a replicate at each temperature until death to check the biological parameters of *O. strigicollis*. Each nymph was fed until adult emergence, and the stadial duration of each stage was noted after every 24 h. Fresh *P. gossypiella* eggs were provided daily, and after every 24 h, consumed or damaged eggs were counted under a stereomicroscope (1309 LED 40X Binocular Stereo Microscope; Jiangsu Victor Instrument

Meter Co., Ltd., Taizhou, JS, China), and magnifying lens, and dead individuals of *O. strigicollis* were counted to check the mortality of *O. strigicollis*.

Moulting or shredded skin of *O. strigicollis* nymphs in the round plastic Petri dishes were checked daily to assess the next nymphal stage (*Ahmadi, Sengonca & Blaeser, 2007*). From last instar nymph (fifth) to adult emergence was checked and male/female sex was identified under a stereomicroscope as documented by (*Ali et al., 2020*), and kept separately and starved for 24 h for the reproductive study.

For the adult longevity and egg laying capacity of *O. strigicollis*, both females and males were shifted to new cylindrical glassy vials (2.6 cm diameter and 15 cm length) enclosed with a fine mesh nylon screen. The pairs were noted to ensure that mating occurred, and the females that continued copulation for >1.5 minutes were considered to have been mated (*Butler & O'Neil, 2006*). The pairs at each temperature were placed in Petri dishes lined with filter paper, and *P. gossypiella* eggs were provided as food source. The small fragile stem of *Vitex negundo* L. was provided as a substrate for female *O. strigicollis* oviposition (*Zhou et al., 2006*) at each temperature (24, 28 and 32 °C). The stem was covered with moistened cotton as described above.

The stems were investigated daily under a stereomicroscope to count the number of eggs laid by *O. strigicollis*. Consumed or damaged eggs of *P. gossypiella* were counted and replaced with fresh eggs daily. The following biological parameters were recorded at each temperature: adult pre-oviposition period (APOP = the time period between the female adult emergence to its first egg laying), total pre-oviposition period (TPOP = the time interval between birth to the start of egg laying), oviposition days (taking only those days (time units) with fecundity >0 into account), and daily fecundity following previously described methods (*Huang & Chi, 2013; Chen et al., 2017*). The stems with eggs laid by *O. strigicollis* were kept in plastic containers at each temperature,  $70\% \pm 5\%$  (RH%) and L16:D8 photoperiod. The number of *O. strigicollis* hatched eggs were recorded daily under a stereomicroscope. The percentage of egg hatchability and mating pair success was recorded per pair. All *O. strigicollis* bugs (female) were kept and observed until death following the methodology of *Zhang et al. (2012)*.

#### **Prey preference**

The predatory stages of *O. strigicollis* third, fourth, fifth instars and males and females were exposed to 10 prey/host stages of both *P. gossypiella* eggs and first instar larvae in the same plastic Petri dishes at three different temperatures (24, 28 and 32 °C). There were 10 replicates for each predatory stage at each temperature. Each replicate was provided with moistened cotton placed inside the Petri dish to maintain the moisture and a water source for all predatory stages in the above-mentioned controlled chamber. The preferred or consumed host/prey were examined after 12-and 24-h intervals at each temperature under a stereomicroscope.

#### Statistical analysis

In this study, the data of feeding potentials of *O. strigicollis* on *P. gossypiella* eggs and larvae at three different temperatures were statistically analyzed using one-way analysis of

variance (ANOVA), and their mean values were compared using least significant difference (LSD) test at the p = 0.05 level of significance. The correlation between different temperatures and feeding potential of predatory stages was determined by linear regression analysis (Saeed et al., 2016). All statistical analyses were carried out using statistics 8.1 software (Analytical Software, Tallahassee, FL, USA). Different biological parameters (each stage developmental period, survival rate, adult longevity, age-specific fecundity, APOP and TPOP) were statistically evaluated using age-stage two-sex life table theory (Chi & Liu, 1985; Chi, 1988) and the TWOSEX-MS Chart computer program (Chi, 2015; Hafeez et al., 2019). Means and standard errors (SE) of all biological and population life table parameters were determined using 200,000 bootstrap replicates to obtain stable SE estimates (Huang & Chi, 2012; Akca et al., 2015). Bootstrap and paired bootstrap tests were figured in TWOSEX-MS Chart, and all results of the treatments were associated using the paired bootstrap test based on the confidence interval of difference (Efron & *Tibshirani*, 1993; *Akköprü et al.*, 2015). The age-stage-specific survival rate  $(s_{xi})$ , agestage-specific fecundity  $(f_{xi})$ , age-specific survival rate  $(l_x)$ , age-specific fecundity  $(m_x)$ , age-stage life expectancy ( $e_{xi}$ ), age-stage reproductive value ( $v_{xi}$ ), and life table parameters (r, intrinsic rate of increase;  $\lambda$ , finite rate of increase;  $R_0$ , net reproductive rate; and T, the mean generation time), were designed in sequence according to previously described methods (Chi & Liu, 1985; Tuan et al., 2015). Sigma Plot 12.0 was used to construct the curves for all population or life table parameters, including  $s_{xi}$ ,  $f_{xj}$ ,  $m_x$ ,  $v_{xj}$  and  $e_{xj}$ .

The age-specific survival rate  $(l_x)$  and age-specific fecundity  $(m_x)$  were calculated as follows:

$$l_x = \sum_{j=1}^k \mathbf{s}_{xj} \tag{1}$$

$$m_x = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^k s_{xj} f_{xj}}{\sum_{j=1}^k s_{xj}}$$
(2)

where  $s_{xj}$  is the age-stage specific survival rate, that is, the possibility that an individual (newly hatched) will live or exist to age x and stage j. The intrinsic rate of increase (r) was then predicted iteratively following the Euler–Lotka equation with age indexed from 0 (*Goodman*, 1982) as follows:

$$\sum_{x=0}^{\infty} e^{-r(x+1)} l_x m_x = 1$$
(3)

The net reproductive rate  $R_0$  was calculated as follows:

$$R_0 = \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} l_x \, m_x \tag{4}$$

Peer

The net reproductive rate  $(R_0)$  and mean female fecundity (F) relationship was calculated as follows:

$$R_0 = F \frac{N_f}{N} \tag{5}$$

where *N* indicates the total number of individuals used for the life table study and  $N_i$  represents the number of female adults (*Chi*, 1988). The gross reproduction rate was defined as follows:

$$GRR = \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} m_x \tag{6}$$

The finite rate  $(\lambda)$  was recorded as follows:

$$\lambda = e^r \tag{7}$$

The mean generation time (*T*) represents the time span that a population needs to increase to  $R_0$ -fold of its size, that is,  $e^{rT} = R_0$  or  $\lambda^T = R_0$  at the stable age-stage distribution. The value of *T* was calculated as follows:

$$T = \frac{\ln R_0}{r} \tag{8}$$

Age-stage life expectancy  $(e_{xj})$  is defined as the length of the duration or time that an individual or insect of *x* and *j* is predicted to live, calculated by the method of (*Chi*  $rac{chi}{chi}$  *Su*, 2006) as follows:

$$e_{xj} = \sum_{i=x}^{\infty} \sum_{y=j}^{k} s'_{iy}$$
(9)

Where  $s'_{iy}$  is defined as the probability that individuals of x and j will survive to age i and stage y, and is found by assuming  $s'_{iy} = 1$  (*Tuan, Lee & Chi, 2014*).

The age-stage reproductive value  $(v_{xj})$  was defined as the contribution of individuals of age *x* and stage *j* to the future population (*Yang et al., 2015*). In the age-stage, two-sex life table, it was calculated as follows (*Tuan, Lee & Chi, 2014*):

$$v_{xj} = \frac{e^{-r(x+1)}}{S_{xj}} \sum_{i=x}^{\infty} e^{-r(x+1)} \sum_{y=j}^{k} s'_{iy} f_{iy}$$
(10)

Prey preference between two prey types for example, *P. gossypiella* eggs and first instar larvae was determined using a paired *t*-test.

#### RESULTS

#### Feeding potential of O. strigicollis

The feeding potential of *O. strigicollis* predatory stages, that is, third, fourth, fifth nymphal instars and adult stages (male and female) and correlations between different





Figure 1 Feeding potential of the predatory stages of the O. strigicollis at three different temperatures (24 °C, 28 °C and 32 °C) on P. gossypiellaeggs after 24 h. Means marked with different letters are significantly different between three treatments (One-way ANOVA, LSD test, p < 0.01,n = 30). (A) Third instar, (B) fourth instar, (C) fifth instar, (D) male and (E) female.Full-size  $\Box$  DOI: 10.7717/peerj.9594/fig-1

Stages	Temperature (12 h interval)		Regression	ANOVA			Temperature (24 h interval)			Regression	ANOVA			
	24 °C	28 °C	32 °C	<i>R</i> <sup>2</sup> , y	df	F	Р	24 °C	28 °C	32 °C	<i>R</i> <sup>2</sup> , y	df	F	Р
Third instar nymph	3.13 ± 0.24 c	6.63 ± 0.31 a	5.03 ± 0.31 b	0.294, 0.95x + 3.03	2, 87	36.90	0.0000	4.87 ± 0.35 c	7.80 ± 0.26 a	6.90 ± 0.30 b	0.458, 1.02x + 4.49	2, 87	24.10	0.0000
Fourth instar nymph	4.33 ± 0.30 c	7.07 ± 0.26 a	5.57 ± 0.34 b	0.203, 0.62x + 4.42	2, 87	20.40	0.0000	5.87 ± 0.35 c	8.37 ± 0.30 a	7.30 ± 0.37 b	0.326, 0.72x + 5.74	2, 87	13.60	0.0000
Fifth instar nymph	5.27 ± 0.40 b	6.90 ± 0.28 a	6.47 ± 0.39 a	0.503, 0.60x + 5.01	2, 87	5.53	0.0055	7.13 ± 0.33 b	8.50 ± 0.25 a	9.30 ± 0.35 a	0.978, 1.08x + 6.14	2, 87	12.00	0.0000
Male	7.43 ± 0.31 b	10.43 ± 0.23 a	9.77 ± 0.18 a	0.549, 1.17x + 6.88	2, 87	41.00	0.0000	9.70 ± 0.28 b	11.17 ± 0.15 a	11.27 ± 0.16 a	0.798, 0.78x + 9.14	2, 87	18.20	0.0000
Female	8.27 ± 0.30 b	10.07 ± 0.28 a	$9.80 \pm 0.27$ a	0.623, 0.77x + 7.84	2, 87	11.80	0.0000	9.90 ± 0.30 b	11.20 ± 0.23 a	11.57 ± 0.13 a	0.905, 0.83x + 9.22	2, 87	14.40	0.0000
Control	2.90 ± 0.31 b	3.43 ± 0.31 b	4.90 ± 0.31 a	0.932, 0.00x + 1.74	2, 87	11.30	0.0000	4.20 ± 0.25 b	4.70 ± 0.30 b	5.77 ± 0.31 a	0.958, 0.78x + 3.32	2, 87	7.79	0.0008

#### Note:

Means marked with different letters are significantly different between three treatments using LSD test, p < 0.05 and n = 30.

temperatures, when fed on *P. gossypiella* eggs (Fig. 1), and first instar larvae were recorded (Table 1). Among all predatory stages on *P. gossypiella* eggs, females showed significantly more feeding capability at 28 °C ( $F_{2,87}$  = 8.89; *P* < 0.001) than those in the two other

treatments at 24 °C and 32 °C after 24 h. Similarly, males showed significantly more feeding capability at 28 °C ( $F_{2,87} = 8.33$ ; P < 0.001) than those in the other two treatments at 24 °C and 32 °C after 24 h (Fig. 1).

Similarly, all predatory stages fed with P. gossypiella larvae showed different feeding capability with varying temperature after 12-and 24-h intervals. Females showed significantly more feeding at 28 °C ( $F_{2.87} = 11.80$ ; P < 0.0001) than those in the other two treatments at 24 °C and 32 °C after 12 h, and males showed significantly more feeding at 28 °C ( $F_{2.87}$  = 41.00; P < 0.0001) than those in the other two treatments at 24 °C and 32 °C after 12 h (Table 1). Meanwhile, females showed significantly more feeding at 32 °C ( $F_{2,87}$  = 14.40; P < 0.0001) than those in the other two treatments at 24 °C and 28 °C after 24 h, and males showed significantly more feeding at 32 °C ( $F_{2,87}$  = 18.20; P < 0.0001) than those in the other two treatments at 24 °C and 28 °C after 24 h (Table 1). There were no differences recorded in male and female average predation on P. gossypiella first instar larvae after 12-and 24-h intervals. For the control treatment ( $F_{2,87} = 11.30$ ; P < 0.0001) after 12 h, the mortality of *P. gossypiella* first instar larvae was significantly higher at 32 °C than that in the other two treatments at 24 °C and 28 °C after 12 h. Similarly, for control treatment ( $F_{2.87} = 7.79$ ; P < 0.001) after 24 h, the mortality of P. gossypiella first instar larvae was significantly higher at 32 °C than that in the other two treatments at 24 °C and 28 °C after 24 h. Overall, predatory stages feeding after 12-and 24-h were significantly different as compared to control treatment (Table 1).

## Biological parameters of *O. strigicollis Developmental period*

The development time (days) from egg to pre-adult stages differed at each temperature (24, 28 and 32 °C) when fed on the *P. gossypiella* eggs (Table 2). The minimum egg development period was observed at 32 °C ( $2.87 \pm 0.04$  d) compared with those at the other two temperatures: 24 °C ( $4.27 \pm 0.06$  d) and 28 °C ( $3.23 \pm 0.06$  d). There were differences recorded in mean development time of third instars at 24 °C ( $2.05 \pm 0.12$  d) compared with those at the other two temperatures: 28 °C ( $2.31 \pm 0.20$  d) and 32 °C ( $2.83 \pm 0.08$  d), and fourth instars at 24 °C ( $2.71 \pm 0.17$  d) compared with those at the other two temperatures: 28 °C ( $2.82 \pm 0.11$  d). There were no differences recorded in mean survival time of adult males whereas, the differences were recorded in mean survival time of adult females at the three tested temperatures (Table 2).

#### Longevity, oviposition and fecundity of adults

The mean total longevity of male adults considerably declined with increasing temperature (26.00 d to 21.25 d), whereas the mean total longevity of female adults was the highest at 28 °C (26.12 d) as compared with the other temperatures at 24 °C (23.83 d) and 32 °C (23.33 d) (Table 2). However, there were no differences recorded in the total longevity of female adults among the three treatment temperatures. The TPOP of female adults differed at 24 °C (21.50 ± 0.42 d), 28 °C (17.50 ± 0.50 d), and 32 °C (15.56 ± 0.30 d) when fed on the *P. gossypiella* eggs. Similarly, the APOP of female adults differed at 24 °C (0.25 ± 0.11 d) and 32 °C (0.11 ± 0.08 d) when fed on the

Stages	Temperature	ANOVA				
	24 °C	28 °C	32 °C	df	F	Р
Egg duration (d)	$4.27 \pm 0.06$ a	$3.23 \pm 0.06 \text{ b}$	$2.87\pm0.04~\mathrm{c}$	2,271	305	0.0000
First instar nymph (d)	$3.17 \pm 0.05 a$	$3.00\pm0.00~b$	$2.17 \pm 0.05 \ c$	2,244	221	0.0000
Secone instar nymph (d)	$3.00 \pm 0.00 a$	$2.93 \pm 0.03 \text{ b}$	$2.00 \pm 0.00 \ c$	2,214	840	0.0000
Third instar nymph (d)	$2.05 \pm 0.12 \text{ b}$	$2.31 \pm 0.20 \text{ b}$	$2.83 \pm 0.08 \ a$	2,172	16.0	0.0001
Fourth instar nymph (d)	$2.71 \pm 0.17$ a	$1.93 \pm 0.11 \text{ b}$	$2.82 \pm 0.11$ a	2,148	26.2	0.0000
Fifth instar nymph (d)	$3.88 \pm 0.15$ a	$3.93 \pm 0.15$ a	$2.47\pm0.09~b$	2,127	78.4	0.0000
Male survival (d)	$7.50 \pm 0.29$ a	6.67 ± 1.25 a	6.12 ± 1.11 a	2,51	0.17	0.8417
Female survival (d)	$5.33 \pm 0.86$ b	8.88 ± 2.13 a	$7.89 \pm 1.17$ ab	2,73	2.47	0.0916
Total longevity of male adult (d)	$26.00 \pm 0.58$ a	$23.67 \pm 1.08$ ab	$21.25 \pm 1.18 \text{ b}$	2,51	3.60	0.0345
Total longevity of female adult (d)	$23.83 \pm 0.86$ a	26.12 ± 2.10 a	$23.33 \pm 1.23$ a	2,73	1.02	0.3673
TPOP/TPRP <sup>a</sup> (d)	$21.50 \pm 0.42$ a	$17.50\pm0.50~\mathrm{b}$	$15.56 \pm 0.30$ c	2,65	47.1	0.0000
APOP/APRP <sup>b</sup> (d)	$3.00 \pm 0.46$ a	$0.25 \pm 0.11 \text{ b}$	$0.11 \pm 0.08 \text{ b}$	2,65	99.2	0.0000
Oviposition (d)	$3.75 \pm 0.31 \text{ b}$	$7.88 \pm 1.92$ a	$5.89\pm0.78~ab$	2,65	3.17	0.0484
Post-Oviposition (d)	$0.33 \pm 0.29$ a	$0.50 \pm 0.25$ a	$0.67 \pm 0.23$ a	2,65	1.03	0.3612
Fecundity (eggs /female)	5.67 ± 1.38 c	66.12 ± 9.38 a	34.11 ± 6.8 b	2,65	20.6	0.0000
MPS <sup>c</sup> (%)	66.67 ± 10.11 b	92.59 ± 6.74 a	$86.21 \pm 6.50 \text{ ab}$	2,65	2.30	0.0424
Hatchability (%)	39.24 ± 2.47 b	54.37 ± 1.65 a	$31.07 \pm 1.59 \text{ c}$	2,65	52.5	0.0000

Table 2 Effect of three different temperatures on the development traits of O. strigicollis on P. gossypiella eggs.

Notes:

<sup>a</sup> TPOP, total pre-oviposition period of female; TPRP, total pre-reproduction period of female. <sup>b</sup> APOP, adult pre-oviposition period of female; APRP, adult pre-reproduction period of female.

<sup>c</sup> MPS, mating pair success.

The standard errors of the mean (SEM) values were estimated by using 200,000 bootstrap replicates. df, degree of freedom (treatment and error). Means marked with different letters are significantly different between three treatments using the paired bootstrap test at the 5% significant level.

*P. gossypiella* eggs. The oviposition period of female adults differed at 28  $^{\circ}$ C (7.88 ± 1.92 d) as compared with the other temperatures at 24 °C ( $3.75 \pm 0.31$  d) and 32 °C ( $5.89 \pm 0.78$  d). There were differences recorded in fecundity (total number of eggs per female), and the highest fecundity was recorded at 28  $^{\circ}$ C (66.12 eggs/female) compared with the other two temperatures. The mating pair success (the percentage of pairs of adults that successfully laid eggs or were eligible to continue in the next generation) of O. strigicollis at three different temperatures was different, and the highest percentage of MPS was recorded at 28 °C (92.59%). Similarly, the hatching percentage of eggs laid by O. strigicollis females at three different temperatures was different, and the highest percentage of hatched eggs was recorded at 28 °C (54.37%) (Table 2).

#### **Population parameters**

The effects of different temperatures on population or fitness parameters of O. strigicollis fed on the P. gossypiella eggs were calculated by using bootstrap technique with 200,000 resamplings (Table 3). The intrinsic rate of increase (r) and finite rate of increase ( $\lambda$ ) of O. strigicollis fed on the P. gossypiella eggs differed and were higher at 28 °C  $(0.14 \pm 0.01 \text{ d}^{-1} \text{ and } 1.15 \pm 0.02 \text{ d}^{-1})$  compared with those at 24 °C  $(0.0052 \pm 0.002 \text{ d}^{-1} \text{ and } 1.15 \pm 0.02 \text{ d}^{-1})$  

 Table 3 Effect of three different temperatures on the population parameters of O. strigicollis on P. gossypiella eggs.

Population parameters	Temperature						
	24 °C	28 °C	32 °C				
Intrinsic rate of increase $(r)$ $(d^{-1})$	$0.0052 \pm 0.002 \text{ b}$	$0.14 \pm 0.01$ a	$0.12 \pm 0.02$ a				
Finite rate of increase ( $\lambda$ ) (d <sup>-1</sup> )	$1.00\pm0.02~b$	$1.15 \pm 0.02 \text{ a}$	$1.13 \pm 0.02 \text{ a}$				
Net reproductive rate $(R_0)$ (offspring)	$1.13 \pm 0.39 \text{ b}$	17.63 ± 4.49 a	10.23 ± 2.83 a				
Mean generation time $(T)$ (d)	23.79 ± 3.31 a	20.89 ± 0.79 a	$19.60 \pm 0.33$ a				
Gross reproductive rate (GRR)	6.18 ± 1.51 b	90.32 ± 16.80 a	30.76 ± 6.63 ab				

Note:

Standard errors were estimated by using 200,000 bootstrap replicates. Means marked with different letters are significantly different between three treatments using the paired bootstrap test at the 5% significant level.

1.00 ± 0.02 d<sup>-1</sup>) and 32 °C (0.12 ± 0.02 d<sup>-1</sup> and 1.13 ± 0.02 d<sup>-1</sup>). In addition, the net reproductive rate ( $R_0$ ) was the highest and different at 28 °C (17.63 offspring) compared with those at the other two temperatures: 24 °C (1.13 offspring) and 32 °C (10.23 offspring). Conversely, the mean generation time (T) was the highest and different at 24 °C (23.79 days) compared with those at the other two temperatures: 28 °C (20.89 days) and 32 °C (19.60 days). Gross reproductive rate (*GRR*) was different and the highest at 28 °C (90.32) compared with those at the other two temperatures: 24 °C (6.18) and 32 °C (30.76) (Table 3).

#### Survival rate

From the detailed age-stage survival rate ( $S_{xj}$ ) of *O. strigicollis* fed on the *P. gossypiella* at different temperatures (Fig. 2), our results indicate the probability of a newly hatched predator living to age *x* and stage *j*. Significant difference was also observed in overlapping plotted curves for different developmental stages because developmental rate varied among individuals at different temperatures. The projected curves revealed entirely diverse patterns for each developmental stage at each temperature. As the age increased, the survival rate of individuals gradually decreased and showed an inverse relation at each temperature. The developmental time of *O. strigicollis* male and female was longer and survival rate was lower at 24 °C, compared with other two temperatures (28 °C and 32 °C). The survival peak was higher for male (18 d, 0.21) and female (20 d, 0.23) at 28 °C and 32 °C (male; 16 d, 0.20 and female; 16 d, 0.22) than at 24 °C (male; 19 d, 0.06 and female; 19 d, 0.20) when fed on *P. gossypiella* eggs.

Figure 3 shows the age-specific survival rate  $(l_x)$ , the age-specific fecundity of total population  $(m_x)$ , age-stage specific fecundity  $(f_{xj})$  and the age-specific maternity  $(l_xm_x)$  curves plotted at different temperatures. The curves of  $l_x$  (basic form of the age-stage survival rate  $S_{xj}$ ) declined or showed inverse relation at 24 °C and 32 °C, but it was directly proportional to 28 °C. The peak recorded values of age-stage specific fecundity  $(f_{xj})$  was (22 d, two eggs), (17 d, 19.85 eggs) and (19 d, 7.4 eggs) appeared at 24, 28 and 32 °C, respectively. The curves of  $m_x$  showed that reproduction began relatively earlier at 32 °C (age; 12 d), than at 28 °C (age; 14 d) and 24 °C (age; 19 d). But, the highest recorded  $m_x$ 







Figure 3 Influence of three different temperatures ((A) 24 °C, (B) 28 °C and (C) 32 °C) on the age-specific survival rate  $(l_x)$ , female age-specific fecundity  $(f_x)$ , age-specific fecundity  $(m_x)$  and age specific maternity. Full-size  $\square$  DOI: 10.7717/peerj.9594/fig-3

peak was (seven eggs per individual; age 22 d) at 28  $^{\circ}$ C than 24  $^{\circ}$ C (1.33 eggs per individual; age 23 d) and 32  $^{\circ}$ C (4.00 eggs per individual; age 19 d).

#### Life expectancy

The effects of the different temperatures on the predicted average life expectancy ( $e_{xj}$ ) of the population at every stage of *O. strigicollis* (Fig. 4) were determined. The longevity of the newly hatched eggs of *O. strigicollis* at age zero was 15.92 d at 24 °C, 16.18 d at 28 °C and 15.41 d at 32 °C when fed on *P. gossypiella* eggs. With variation in other developmental stages, an increasing trend in the female adult expectation was observed (16.5 d, age 23 days) at 28 °C than those at the other two temperatures: 24 °C (5.75 d, age 20 days) and 32 °C (9.80 d, age 17 days). Overall, the highest life expectancy was recorded at 28 °C compared with those at 24 °C and 32 °C.

#### **Reproductive value**

The age-stage reproductive value  $(V_{xj})$  describes the part of an individual of age *x* and stage *j* toward the upcoming population (i.e., the scale of population forecasting). The results reveal that the curves for  $V_{xj}$  significantly increased (8.48 d<sup>-1</sup> on 20 d to 51.68 d<sup>-1</sup> on 15 d) at 24 °C and 28 °C, respectively, but decreased (22.65 d<sup>-1</sup> on 13 d) at 32 °C when female emerged.

In addition, the  $V_{xj}$  is exactly the same as the finite rate, that is, 1.00 d<sup>-1</sup> at 24 °C, 1.15 d<sup>-1</sup> at 28 °C and 1.13 d<sup>-1</sup> at 32 °C when fed on *P. gossypiella* eggs. The results reveal that the  $V_{xj}$  was higher at 28 °C, and showed that the *P. gossypiella* had a more positive effect on *O. strigicollis* reproduction than 24 °C and 32 °C (Fig. 5).

#### **Prey preference**

The prey preference among *P. gossypiella* eggs and first instar larvae was evaluated by paired *t*-test (Figs. 6A and 6B). Male and female preferences were not different, and they preferred first instar larvae of *P. gossypiella* than other predatory stages. The other predatory stages (third, fourth and fifth instars) preferred *P. gossypiella* eggs rather than first instar larvae after 12 h (Fig. 6A) and 24 h (Fig. 6B) at each temperature.

#### DISCUSSION

The two-sex life table is the best tool/technique for basic and vital research in ecological studies compared with traditional life tables (*Jaleel et al., 2018a, 2018b; Ali et al., 2020*). To our knowledge, the present study for the first time describes the feeding potential and two-sex life table traits of *O. strigicollis* fed on *P. gossypiella* eggs at different temperatures. The results of the present study will help to boost IPM strategies against *P. gossypiella* by providing more detailed basic and additional information, such as temperature preference and fitness of this predator.

Insects are sensitive to temperature fluctuations, and temperature can have adverse effects on feeding, development, biology, physiology, and behavior of insects, which in turn affect insect fitness (*Barbosa et al., 2019; Hafeez et al., 2019a*). The feeding potential of predators in different ecological and biological systems is considered a basic element reflecting their feeding or killing efficiency on particular prey or hosts. This feature









# **Peer**J



Figure 6 Prey preference between P. gossypiella eggs and first instar larvae (10 each) of the predatory stages of O. strigicollis at different temperatures (24 °C, 28 °C and 32 °C) after 12 h ((A) Third instar, (B) fourth instar, (C) fifth instar, (D) male and (E) female) and 24 h interval ((F) Third instar, (G) fourth instar, (H) fifth instar, (I) male and (J) female); using paired t-test, n = 10, \*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01. Full-size  $\square$  DOI: 10.7717/peerj.9594/fig-6

particularly encourages or supports the predator-prey relationship to check the fitness on the basis of food preference (best or poor-quality food) on different preys under different environmental conditions (Zappalà et al., 2013; Brown & Mathews, 2014). The feeding potential of different Orius species on different prey species has been recorded in many previous studies (Kakimoto et al., 2005; Aragón-Sánchez et al., 2018; Ali et al., 2020). In the present study, the feeding potential of O. strigicollis adult stages (males and females) showed greater potential when fed on P. gossypiella eggs compared with those fed on first instar larvae of P. gossypiella. Our results agree with those of a previous study (Aragón-Sánchez et al., 2018), in which the authors explained that O. laevigatus adult stages consumed more Spodoptera exigua eggs than larvae. Previous studies have reported the effects of different temperatures on the survival and development of various insects (Zhang et al., 2012; Garrad, Booth & Furlong, 2016). Significant distinctions in the nymphal development of each instar showed that all nymphal stages of O. strigicollis were susceptible to changing the constant temperature regime. Many studies reported that the nymphal developmental duration of Orius spp. decreased when temperature increased (Cocuzza et al., 1997; Zhou et al., 2006).

In the present study, the minimum total nymphal duration was observed when the temperature increased (Table 2). The conflicting relationship between longevity and temperature was observed in Orius species. Kakimoto et al. (2005) revealed that the longevity of O. strigicollis females was longer at 23 °C (73.1 days) compared with that at 29 °C (27.2 days). In another study, Zhang et al. (2012) demonstrated that longevity decreased with increasing temperature from 25 °C to 31 °C when the adults of O. similis were fed on T. cinnabarinus. The same trend was observed in the present study with little contrast, where the total longevity of the female and male adults of O. strigicollis decreased and changed according to temperature, except at 28 °C where the total longevity of females was higher (26.12 days) and there was no significant difference between the three treatment temperatures. This result may be attributed to the nutritional content of particular diets/hosts, increased feeding, different temperatures (suitable for females) to egg laying and to complete metabolic reactions in the female body (Saeed et al., 2019; Ali et al., 2020). We recorded that the females lived longer than the males, and the total longevity of males at 24, 28 and 32 °C was 26, 23.67 and 21.25 d, respectively, whereas the total longevity of females at 24, 28 and 32 °C was 23.83, 26.12 and 23.33 d, respectively, which agreed with the findings of previous studies (*Zhou et al., 2006; Zhang*) et al., 2012).

In the present study, the pre-oviposition period (APOP) of *O. strigicollis* was found to be temperature dependent. This is a promising outcome that agrees with a previous study (*Zhang et al., 2012*), in which it was reported that the pre-oviposition period of *O. similis* fed on *T. cinnabarinus* decreased when temperature increased from 25 °C to 31 °C. However, in our study, the length of APOP significantly decreased, which showed that *O. strigicollis* is capable of rapidly completing the development of the reproductive system (ovarioles) compared with those at low temperatures when *P. gossypiella* eggs were provided as food. The maximum mean daily oviposition of *O. similis* was recorded at 30 °C compared with 18 °C when fed on aphid species as prey documented by

Ahmadi, Sengonca & Blaeser (2007). Similarly, Sengonca, Ahmadi & Blaeser (2008) reported the maximum mean daily oviposition of *O. similis* when fed on *M. persicae* (5.6 eggs/day) than on *A. gossypii* (2.9 eggs/day) at 25 °C. These results show that the food also influenced oviposition in insects, which agreed with the results of our study in which the oviposition period differed according to diet and temperature. An early oviposition period at high temperature is possibly the expression of an increased metabolic rate (*Barbosa et al., 2019; Jaleel et al., 2020*). Fecundity normally plays significant roles in insect population dynamics (*Jaleel, Lu & He, 2018*). The variation trend in fecundity was similar to the oviposition period of female *O. strigicollis* and was mainly associated with temperature in the present study. The highest lifetime fecundity (overall oviposition per female) was observed at 28 °C in our study. This result shows the potential of *O. strigicollis* optimum temperature for egg laying, which agrees with the results of a previous study (*Kakimoto et al., 2005*), in which three different *Orius* species were fed on *Ephestia kuehniella* at 17, 20, 23, 26 and 29 °C, and among these tested temperatures, the highest fecundity was observed at the highest temperature (Table 2).

For growth, development, and survival of an insect, r is an important and critical demographic parameter (*Varley & Gradwell*, 1970; *Chen et al.*, 2017). The r is greatly linked with the vulnerability of a host, prey, or diet to insect feeding (*Musa & Ren*, 2005). According to demographic life table theory, if r is greater than zero (0), then the prey (host) is suitable for population growth (*Southwood & Henderson*, 2009; *Chen et al.*, 2017). This theory supported the results of the present study, and surprisingly showed a higher r for *O. strigicollis* (faster development and higher survival rates) at 28 °C owing to higher fecundity and shorter development time than other treated groups (24 °C and 32 °C) (Table 3). In addition, our results agreed with those of another study in which the recorded values of r were 0.08, 0.10 and 0.12 per day at 25, 28 and 31 °C, respectively, when *O. similis* was fed on *T. cinnabarinus* (*Zhang et al.*, 2012). Similarly, *Kakimoto et al.* (2005) reported the r for three different *Orius* species and indicated that temperature and diet play significant roles in the population parameters of insects.

The  $R_0$  is also a significant indicator of population development where the highest rate of population is dependent upon and directly related to the number of eggs (*Sayyed et al.,* 2008). The *GRR* is considered a sign or concept of a rapid increase in insect population that depends on fecundity and adult eclosion. Generally, these parameters are affected by food source and temperature (*Huang & Chi, 2013; Chen et al., 2018a*). In the present study, the highest net reproductive rates ( $R_0$ ) and *GRR* were achieved when bugs were fed on *P. gossypiella* eggs at 28 °C (Table 3). Such high growth rates must be due to the rapid development and high fecundity of *O. strigicollis*. In addition, our results were somehow in contrast to those of some previous studies that recorded different *Orius* species at different temperatures and diets (*Kakimoto et al., 2005; Hamdan, 2012; Ali et al.,* 2020), which was attributed to the temperature affected the biotic potential of *O. strigicollis* as shown by the  $R_0$ , *r*, and *GRR* at 28 °C (Table 3). The population increased only when  $R_0$  was greater than one (*Southwood & Henderson, 2009; Chen et al., 2017*), and our results were also according to this theory.

# **CONCLUSION**

In our study, we presented the feeding potential of *O. strigicollis* on *P. gossypiella* eggs and first instar larvae and the fitness traits of *O. strigicollis* when fed on *P. gossypiella* eggs (diet) at three different temperatures by age-stage, two-sex life tables, which could provide more useful insights for mass rearing these bugs. The present study will support future studies on the biocontrol or environmentally friendly control of *P. gossypiella* in IPM programs. We found that temperature has multi-dimensional effects on the reproductive capacity and development potential of *O. strigicollis*, which showed the feeding potential, maximum growth, survival and fecundity at 28 °C compared with those at the other tested temperatures. Therefore, a plan for the IPM of noctuid moth could be conducted in a timely manner, and this information can be used to assess the suitability of *O. strigicollis* as a natural enemy under different environmental conditions. The present study would be helpful to future work aiming to determine the optimum way to rear these bugs for efficient control of pests according to the different environmental conditions in greenhouse and field conditions.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank to Dr. Jintao Wang and Dr. Ling Wang (Institute of Plant Protection and Soil Fertility, Hubei Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Wuhan, China) for technical help and for providing insects for the experiments.

# **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS**

#### Funding

This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 31872023), and the National Key R&D Program of China (2017YFD0201000). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

#### **Grant Disclosures**

The following grant information was disclosed by the authors: National Natural Science Foundation of China: 31872023. National Key R&D Program of China: 2017YFD0201000.

#### **Competing Interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### **Author Contributions**

- Shahzaib Ali conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.
- Qian Zhu performed the experiments, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.

- Waqar Jaleel conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.
- Shakeel Ur Rehman analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.
- Muhammad Asim Rasheed analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.
- Muhammad Musa Khan conceived and designed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.
- Yasir Islam performed the experiments, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.
- Muhammad Hafeez conceived and designed the experiments, analyzed the data, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, and approved the final draft.
- Xingmiao Zhou conceived and designed the experiments, analyzed the data, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, provide Experimental materials, and approved the final draft.

### Data Availability

The following information was supplied regarding data availability:

The raw data is available in the Supplemental Files.

#### **Supplemental Information**

Supplemental information for this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/ peerj.9594#supplemental-information.

# REFERENCES

- Ahmad F, Akram W, Sajjad A, Imran AU. 2011. Management practices against cotton mealybug, *Phenacoccus solenopsis* (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae). *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology* 13(4):547–552.
- Ahmadi K, Sengonca C, Blaeser P. 2007. Effect of two different temperatures on the biology of predatory flower bug *Orius similis* Zheng (Heteroptera: Anthocoridae) with two different aphid species as prey. *Turkish Journal of Entomology* **31**:253–268.
- Akca I, Ayvaz T, yazici E, Smith CL, Chi H. 2015. Demography and population projection of *Aphis fabae* (Hemiptera: Aphididae): with additional comments on life table research criteria. *Journal of Economic Entomology* **108(4)**:1466–1478 DOI 10.1093/jee/tov187.
- Akköprü EP, Atlıhan R, Okut H, Chi H. 2015. Demographic assessment of plant cultivar resistance to insect pests: a case study of the dusky-veined walnut aphid (Hemiptera: Callaphididae) on five walnut cultivars. *Journal of Economic Entomology* **108(2)**:378–387 DOI 10.1093/jee/tov011.
- Ali A, Hafeez F, Farooq M, Karar H, Abbas M, Babar TK. 2016. Influence of weather factors on the trapped population of pink bollworm (*Pectinophora gossypiella*) under Multan Agro-ecosystem. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies* **4**:02–06.
- Ali S, Li S, Jaleel W, Khan MM, Wang J, Zhou X. 2020. Using a two-sex life table tool to calculate the fitness of *Orius strigicollis* as a predator of *Pectinophora gossypiella*. *Insects* **11(5)**:275 DOI 10.3390/insects11050275.

- Amer MES, Fu Y, Niu L. 2018. Biological aspects of *Orius similis* Zheng reared on two preys at three constant temperatures. *Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology A* 8:350–363.
- Aragón-Sánchez M, Román-Fernández LR, Martínez-García H, Aragón-García A, Pérez-Moreno I, Marco-Mancebón VS. 2018. Rate of consumption, biological parameters, and population growth capacity of Orius laevigatus fed on Spodoptera exigua. BioControl 63(6):785–794 DOI 10.1007/s10526-018-9906-4.
- Barbosa L, Santos F, Soliman E, Rodrigues A, Wilcken C, Campos J, Zanuncio A, Zanuncio J. 2019. Biological parameters, life table and thermal requirements of *Thaumastocoris peregrinus* (Heteroptera: thaumastocoridae) at different temperatures. *Scientific Reports* 9(1):10174 DOI 10.1038/s41598-019-45663-5.
- Bonte M, De Clercq P. 2011. Influence of predator density, diet and living substrate on developmental fitness of *Orius laevigatus*. *Journal of Applied Entomology* **135(5)**:343–350 DOI 10.1111/j.1439-0418.2010.01554.x.
- Bonte J, De Hauwere L, Conlong D, De Clercq P. 2015. Predation capacity, development and reproduction of the southern African flower bugs *Orius thripoborus* and *Orius naivashae* (Hemiptera: Anthocoridae) on various prey. *Biological Control* **86**:52–59 DOI 10.1016/j.biocontrol.2015.04.007.
- Brown M, Mathews CR. 2014. Conservation biological control of rosy apple aphid, *Dysaphis plantaginea* (Passerini), in eastern North America. *Environmental Entomology* 36(5):1131–1139 DOI 10.1603/0046-225X(2007)36[1131:CBCORA]2.0.CO;2.
- Butler CD, O'Neil RJ. 2006. Defensive response of soybean aphid (Hemiptera: Aphididae) to predation by insidious flower bug (Hemiptera: Anthocoridae). Annals of the Entomological Society of America 99(2):317–320 DOI 10.1603/0013-8746(2006)099[0317:DROSAH]2.0.CO;2.
- Calixto A, Bueno V, Montes F, Silva A, Van Lenteren J. 2013. Effect of different diets on reproduction, longevity and predation capacity of *Orius insidiosus* (Say)(Hemiptera: Anthocoridae). *Biocontrol Science and Technology* 23(11):1245–1255
  DOI 10.1080/09583157.2013.822850.
- Chen GM, Chi H, Wang R-C, Wang Y-P, Xu Y-Y, Li X-D, Yin P, Zheng F-Q. 2018a. Demography and uncertainty of population growth of *Conogethes punctiferalis* (Lepidoptera: Crambidae) reared on five host plants with discussion on some life history statistics. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 111(5):2143–2152 DOI 10.1093/jee/toy202.
- Chen Q, Li N, Wang X, Ma L, Huang J-B, Huang G-H. 2017. Age-stage, two-sex life table of *Parapoynx crisonalis* (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) at different temperatures. *PLOS ONE* 12(3):e0173380 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0173380.
- Chen H, Zheng X, Luo M, Guo J, Solangi GS, Wan F, Zhou Z. 2018b. Effect of short-term hightemperature exposure on the life history parameters of *Ophraella communa*. *Scientific Reports* 8(1):13969 DOI 10.1038/s41598-018-32262-z.
- Chi H. 1988. Life-table analysis incorporating both sexes and variable development rates among individuals. *Environmental Entomology* 17(1):26–34 DOI 10.1093/ee/17.1.26.
- **Chi H. 2015.** TWOSEX-MSChart: a computer program for the age-stage, two-sex life table analysis. *Available at http://140120197.*
- Chi H, Liu H. 1985. Two new methods for the study of insect population ecology. *Bulletin of the Institute of Zoology, Academia Sinica* 24:225–240.
- **Chi H, Su HY. 2006.** Age-stage, two-sex life tables of *Aphidius gifuensis* (Ashmead) (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) and its host *Myzus persicae* (Sulzer) (Homoptera: Aphididae) with mathematical proof of the relationship between female fecundity and the net reproductive rate. *Environmental Entomology* **35(1)**:10–21.

- **Cocuzza G, De Clercq P, Lizzio S, Van De Veire M, Tirry L, Degheele D, Vacante V. 1997.** Life tables and predation activity of *Orius laevigatus* and *O. albidipennis* at three constant temperatures. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata* **85(3)**:189–198 DOI 10.1046/j.1570-7458.1997.00249.x.
- Dhawan AK, Kumar V, Shera PS. 2011. Management of insect pests of cotton: retrospect and prospect. In: Arora R, Singh B, Dhawan AK, eds. *Theory and Practice of Integrated Pest Management*. Jodhpur: Scientific Publishers, 274–297.
- Edpuganti SL. 2018. Resistance development in pink bollworm *Pectinophora gossypiella* (Saunders) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) to Bt cotton and resistance management strategies. *International Journal of Pure & Applied Bioscience* 6(1):1296–1302 DOI 10.18782/2320-7051.6227.
- Efron B, Tibshirani R. 1993. An introduction to the bootstrap. New York: Chapman and Hall.
- Garrad R, Booth D, Furlong M. 2016. The effect of rearing temperature on development, body size, energetics and fecundity of the diamondback moth. *Bulletin of entomological research* 106(2):175–181 DOI 10.1017/S000748531500098X.
- Goodman D. 1982. Optimal life histories, optimal notation, and the value of reproductive value. *American Naturalist* 119(6):803–823 DOI 10.1086/283956.
- Hafeez M, Jan S, Nawaz M, Ali E, Ali B, Qasim M, Fernández-Grandon GM, Shahid M,
  Wang M. 2019. Sub-lethal effects of lufenuron exposure on spotted bollworm *Earias vittella* (Fab): key biological traits and detoxification enzymes activity. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 26(14):14300–14312 DOI 10.1007/s11356-019-04655-8.
- Hafeez M, Liu S, Jan S, Gulzar A, Fernández-Grandon GM, Qasim M, Khan KA, Ali B, Kedir SJ, Fahad M. 2019a. Enhanced effects of dietary tannic acid with chlorantraniliprole on life table parameters and nutritional physiology of *Spodoptera exigua* (Hübner). *Pesticide Biochemistry* and Physiology 155:108–118 DOI 10.1016/j.pestbp.2019.01.012.
- Hamdan A-JS. 2012. Life table parameters of the predatory bug Orius laevigatus (Fieber)
  (Hemiptera: Anthocoridae) preying upon the tobacco whitefly Bemisia tabaci (Gennadius)
  (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae) on tomato host plant under constant conditions. Jordan Journal of Agricultural Sciences 173:1–18.
- Huang YB, Chi H. 2012. Age-stage, two-sex life tables of *Bactrocera cucurbitae* (Coquillett) (Diptera: Tephritidae) with a discussion on the problem of applying female age-specific life tables to insect populations. *Insect Science* 19(2):263–273 DOI 10.1111/j.1744-7917.2011.01424.x.
- Huang YB, Chi H. 2013. Life tables of *Bactrocera cucurbitae* (Diptera: Tephritidae): with an invalidation of the jackknife technique. *Journal of Applied Entomology* 137(5):327–339 DOI 10.1111/jen.12002.
- Jaleel W, Lu L, He Y. 2018. Biology, taxonomy, and IPM strategies of *Bactrocera tau* Walker and complex species (Diptera; Tephritidae) in Asia: a comprehensive review. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 25(20):19346–19361 DOI 10.1007/s11356-018-2306-6.
- Jaleel W, Saeed S, Naqqash MN, Sial MU, Ali M, Zaka SM, Sarwar ZM, Ishtiaq M, Qayyum MA, Aine QU, Anwar A, Sarmad M, Azad R, Latif M, Ahmed F, Islam W, Khan KA, Ghramh HA. 2020. Effects of temperature on baseline susceptibility and stability of insecticide resistance against *Plutella xylostella* (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae) in the absence of selection pressure. *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences* 27(1):1–5 DOI 10.1016/j.sjbs.2019.03.004.
- Jaleel W, Saeed S, Saeed Q, Naqqash MN, Sial MU, Aine QU, Yanyuan L, Rui Z, He Y, Lu L. 2019. Effects of three different cultivars of cruciferous plants on the age-stage, two-sex life table

traits of *Plutella xylostella* (L.) (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae). *Entomological Research* **49(4)**:151–157 DOI 10.1111/1748-5967.12270.

- Jaleel W, Tao X, Wang D, Lu L, He Y. 2018a. Using two-sex life table traits to assess the fruit preference and fitness of *Bactrocera dorsalis* (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Journal of Economic Entomology* 111(6):2936–2945 DOI 10.1093/jee/toy243.
- Jaleel W, Yin J, Wang D, He Y, Lu L, Shi H. 2018b. Using two-sex life tables to determine fitness parameters of four *Bactrocera species* (Diptera: Tephritidae) reared on a semi-artificial diet. *Bulletin of entomological research* 108(6):707–714 DOI 10.1017/S000748531700092X.
- Jung S, Yamada K, Lee S. 2013. Annotated catalog, biological notes and diagnoses of the flower bugs (Heteroptera: Anthocoridae sensu lato) in the Korean Peninsula. *Journal of Asia-Pacific Entomology* 16(4):421–427 DOI 10.1016/j.aspen.2013.04.013.
- Kakimoto K, Urano S, Noda T, Matuo K, Sakamaki Y, Tsuda K, Kusigemati K. 2005. Comparison of the reproductive potential of three Orius species, O. strigicollis, O. sauteri, and O. minutus (Heteroptera: Anthocoridae), using eggs of the Mediterranean flour moth as a food source. Applied Entomology and Zoology 40:247–255.
- Kim J. 1997. Development and oviposition of *Orius strigicollis* (Poppius) (Hemiptera: Anthocoridae) reared on three different insect preys. *Korean Journal of Applied Entomology* **36**:166–171.
- Kim J. 1999. Effect of temperature on the development and oviposition of minute pirate bug, Orius strigicollies (Hemiptera: Anthocoridae). Korean Journal of Applied Entomology 38:29–33.
- Kiman Z, Yeargan K. 1985. Development and reproduction of the predator *Orius insidiosus* (Hemiptera: Anthocoridae) reared on diets of selected plant material and arthropod prey. *Annals of the Entomological Society of America* 78(4):464–467 DOI 10.1093/aesa/78.4.464.
- Kutcherov D, Lopatina EB, Yermakov S. 2019. Effects of temperature and photoperiod on the immature development in *Cassida rubiginosa* Müll. and *C. stigmatica* Sffr. (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). *Scientific Reports* 9(1):10047 DOI 10.1038/s41598-019-46421-3.
- **Minks A, Harrewijn P. 1988.** *Aphids; their biology, natural enemies and control.* Vol. B. Netherlands: World Crop Pests (Netherlands).
- Musa PD, Ren SX. 2005. Development and reproduction of *Bemisia tabaci* (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae) on three bean species. *Insect Science* 12(1):25–30 DOI 10.1111/j.1672-9609.2005.00004.x.
- Naranjo SE, Butler GD, Henneberry TJ. 2002. A bibliography of the pink bollworm, Pectinophora gossypiella (Saunders). Maryland: US Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service.
- Pandey S, Mishra A, Giri VP, Kumari M, Soni S. 2019. A green nano-synthesis to explore the plant microbe interactions. In: New and Future Developments in Microbial Biotechnology and Bioengineering. Amsterdam: Elsevier, 85–105.
- **Parmar V, Patel C. 2016.** Pink bollworm: a notorious pest of cotton—a review. *AGRES: An International e-Journal* **5**:88–97.
- **Patil S. 2003.** *Studies on the management of cotton pink bollworm pectinophora gossypiella (saunders) (Lepideptera: Gelechiidae).* Bengaluru: University of Agricultural Sciences.
- Saeed S, Jaleel W, Naqqash MN, Saeed Q, Zaka SM, Sarwar ZM, Ishtiaq M, Qayyum MA, Sial MU, Batool M. 2019. Fitness parameters of *Plutella xylostella* (L.) (Lepidoptera; Plutellidae) at four constant temperatures by using age-stage, two-sex life tables. *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences* 26(7):1661–1667 DOI 10.1016/j.sjbs.2018.08.026.
- Saeed S, Naqqash MN, Jaleel W, Saeed Q, Ghouri F. 2016. The effect of blow flies (Diptera: Calliphoridae) on the size and weight of mangos (*Mangifera indica* L.). *PeerJ* 4(20):e2076 DOI 10.7717/peerj.2076.

- Saleh A, Sengonca C. 2003. Effects of different high constant and alternating temperatures on the development and prey consumption of *Dicyphus tamaninii* Wagner (Heteroptera, Miridae) with *Aphis gossypii* Glover (Homoptera, Aphididae) as prey. *Anzeiger für Schädlingskunde—Journal of Pest Science* 76(5):118–123 DOI 10.1007/s10340-003-0004-7.
- Sayyed AH, Saeed S, Noor-Ul-Ane M, Crickmore N. 2008. Genetic, biochemical, and physiological characterization of spinosad resistance in *Plutella xylostella* (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae). *Journal of Economic Entomology* 101(5):1658–1666 DOI 10.1093/jee/101.5.1658.
- Sengonca C, Ahmadi K, Blaeser P. 2008. Biological characteristics of Orius similis Zheng (Heteroptera, Anthocoridae) by feeding on different aphid species as prey. Journal of Plant Diseases and Protection 115(1):32–38 DOI 10.1007/BF03356236.
- Shrinivas A, Hanchinal S, Hurali S, Beldhadi R. 2019. Comparative biology of pink bollworm, Pectinophora gossypiella (Saunders) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) on different hosts. Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies 7(1):1053–1060.
- Southwood TRE, Henderson PA. 2009. Ecological methods. Hoboken: John Wiley & Sons.
- Tuan SJ, Lee CC, Chi H. 2014. Population and damage projection of *Spodoptera litura* (F.) on peanuts (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) under different conditions using the age-stage, two-sex life table. *Pest Management Science* 70(5):805–813 DOI 10.1002/ps.3618.
- Tuan S-J, Yeh C-C, Atlihan R, Chi H. 2015. Linking life table and predation rate for biological control: a comparative study of *Eocanthecona furcellata* (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae) fed on *Spodoptera litura* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) and *Plutella xylostella* (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae). *Journal of Economic Entomology* 109(1):13–24 DOI 10.1093/jee/tov265.
- Umer M, Atif M, Ahmad M. 2019. Study of biology of pink bollworm (*Pectinophora gossypiella*) Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae on alternate host (Okra). *International Journal of Arts, Science and Humanities* 6(3):18–21.
- Varley GC, Gradwell GR. 1970. Recent advances in insect population dynamics. Annual Review of Entomology 15(1):1–24 DOI 10.1146/annurev.en.15.010170.000245.
- Wan P, Huang Y, Wu H, Huang M, Cong S, Tabashnik BE, Wu K. 2012. Increased frequency of pink bollworm resistance to Bt toxin Cry1Ac in China. *PLOS ONE* 7(1):e29975 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0029975.
- Yang Y, Li W, Xie W, Wu Q, Xu B, Wang S, Li C, Zhang Y. 2015. Development of *Bradysia odoriphaga* (Diptera: Sciaridae) as affected by humidity: an age-stage, two-sex, life-table study. *Applied Entomology and Zoology* 50(1):3–10 DOI 10.1007/s13355-014-0295-6.
- Yasunaga T. 1997. The flower bug genus Orius WOLFF (Heteroptera: Anthocoridae) from Japan and Taiwan, Part II. Applied Entomology and Zoology 32(2):379–386 DOI 10.1303/aez.32.379.
- **Yosoff SF, Mohamed MTM, Parvez A, Ahmad SH, Ghazali FM, Hassan H. 2015.** Production system and harvesting stage influence on nitrate content and quality of butterhead lettuce. *Bragantia* **74(3)**:322–330 DOI 10.1590/1678-4499.0453.
- Zappalà L, Biondi A, Alma A, Al-Jboory IJ, Arnò J, Bayram A, Chailleux Aïs, El-Arnaouty A, Gerling D, Guenaoui Y, Shaltiel-Harpaz L, Siscaro G, Stavrinides M, Tavella L, Vercher Aznar R, Urbaneja A, Desneux N. 2013. Natural enemies of the South American moth, Tuta absoluta, in Europe, North Africa and Middle East, and their potential use in pest control strategies. *Journal of Pest Science* 86(4):635–647 DOI 10.1007/s10340-013-0531-9.
- Zhang S-C, Zhu F, Zheng X-L, Lei C-L, Zhou X-M. 2012. Survival and developmental characteristics of the predatory bug *Orius similis* (Hemiptera: Anthocoridae) fed on *Tetranychus cinnabarinus* (Acari: Tetranychidae) at three constant temperatures. *European Journal of Entomology* **109(4)**:503–508 DOI 10.14411/eje.2012.063.

- Zhang G, Zong L, Duan H, Xiong J, Lu R, Xu F. 1994. Spatial distribution of the flower bug, Orius similis and its interaction with the pink bollworm, *Pectinophora gossypiella* in cotton fields. International Journal of Pest Management 40(4):309–312 DOI 10.1080/09670879409371905.
- **Zhou X, Lei C. 2002.** Utilization efficiency and functional response of *Orius similis* Zheng (Hemiptera: Anthocoridae) to different preys. *Acta Ecologica Sinica* **22**:2085–2090.
- Zhou X-M, Zhu F, Li H, Lei C-L. 2006. Effect of temperature on development of Orius similis Zheng (Hemiptera: Anthocoridae) and on its predation activity against Aphis gossypii Glover (Hemiptera: Aphididae). Pan Pacific Entomologist 82:97–102.