Introduction:

Line 58: change *Bemisia* tabaci x *Bemisia tabaci*

Line 66: *increase "Similarly" Trialeurodes vaporariorum*, commonly……

Line 76: increase "resistance in pest populations" .....

Line 93-94: "In a recent study, *O. majusculus* and *O. laevigatus* were reported as potential natural enemies of *B. tabaci* eggs, nymphs, and adults" reference not very recent (2008), Update.

Line 110: change "……..different populations of prey .....x "….. different densities and populations of preys"

Material and Methods

Line 130: increase environmental conditions

Line 144, 147: contradictions "…feed 48 h…" and "……After 24 h, predators were removed…"

Line 197: contradiction with line 144

Results

Line 237: is mentioned "……. that the predator is more efficient at finding whitefly nymphs at low prey densities" however, four prey is the minimum provided. What would happen if you provide 3 prey? Eat all by efficient or by absence of preys? Support their affirmation.

Line 266: change "against" by "when fed of whitefly species"

Line 267: check the table number "table 4" correspond to "developmental characteristics"

Line 268: specific what is N

Line 271: insert the table or fig where is the information (fig 2???)

Line 273: insert the table or fig where is the information (table 5??)

Line 273-275: was nor difference statistic, why is mentioned "highest" and "shorter"

Line 281: change "table 5" by "table 6"

Line 281: delete "respectively"

Line 286: increase "table 6"

Line 287-289: was not difference are similar

Line 292: change "table 6" by" table 7"

Line 295-297: was not difference are similar

Line 299: change “table 6” by ”table 7”

Line 301: increase “The” before “Figure”

Table 3: change “a” by “α” in attack rate

Table 3: increase “SD” or “CI”

The fig 3. Change *B.tabaci* by *B. tabaci*

Discussion

Line 338-342: is not necessary (text best in introduction)

Line 351: increase “)”

Line 430: delete “table 4”

Line 445: delete “s” in “factors”

Increase in the discussion support of fig 2 (rate survival when fed different preys) and fig 5 (the fig. showed interest results)

References

Line 469,470: italic scientific name

Line 473: italic scientific name

Line 487,488: italic scientific name

Line 467-756: The scientific names are not in italic in all the references.

Line 503: cite as book or chapter book

Line 512-514: cite with vol and pages

Line 526: Journal with uppercase the first letters

Line 530: Journal with uppercase the first letters

Line 535: Journal with uppercase the first letters

Line 543: Journal with uppercase the first letters

Line 556: Journal with uppercase the first letters

Line 576,577: cite as book or chapter book

Line 586,587: Standardize Cs or C

Line 503: cite as paper, with journal, vol, pages

Line 625: Journal with uppercase the first letters

Line 637: Journal with uppercase the first letters

Line 657: Author with lowercase

Line 670: Journal with uppercase the first letters

Line 672: Journal with uppercase the first letters

Line 687,688: Journal with uppercase the first letters

Line 689,690: cite as book or chapter book

Line 512-514: cite ad journal with vol and pages

Line 698: title is with lowercase except the first letter

Line 702: cite as chapter book

Line 703: Journal with uppercase the first letters

Line 709: Journal with uppercase the first letters

Line 717: Journal with uppercase the first letters

Line 718: cite as chapter book

Line 724: Journal with uppercase the first letters

Line 727: Journal with uppercase the first letters

Line 737: Journal with uppercase the first letters

Line 743: Journal with uppercase the first letters

Line 751: Journal with uppercase the first letters

Line 756: Journal name complete

Predatory functional response and fitness parameters of *Orius similis* when fed *Bemisia tabaci* and *Trialeurodes vaporariorum* as determined by age-stage, two-sex life table

Running Title: development and survival of *O. similis* fed on two whitefly species

Shakeel Ur Rehman[1], Xingmiao Zhou[1*], Shahzaib Ali[1], Muhammad Asim Rasheed[1], Yasir Islam[1] and Muhammad Hafeez[2]

1. Hubei Insect Resources Utilization and Sustainable Pest Management Key Laboratory, College of Plant Science and Technology, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan 430070, P. R. China

2. State Key Laboratory Breeding Base for Zhejiang Sustainable Pest and Disease Control, Institute of Plant Protection and Microbiology, Zhejiang Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China.

[*]Corresponding Author: Xingmiao Zhou; Email: xmzhou@mail.hzau.edu.cn
First author: Shakeel Ur Rehman; Email: Shakeel.entomologist@hotmail.com

Co-authors Email address:
shahzaibali@webmail.hzau.edu.cn
asim_ento@outlook.com
yasir_islam@outlook.com
hafeez_203@yahoo.com

Abstract

Background: The polyphagous predatory bug *Orius similis* Zheng is an active predator used to control thrips and aphids. The whitefly species *Bemisia tabaci* and *Trialeurodes vaporariorum* are voracious pests of different economic agricultural crops and vegetables.

Method: In this study, Holling disc equation and age-stage, two-sex life table technique was used to investigate the functional response and biological traits of third instar nymphs and adult female *O. similis* when presented third instar nymphs of both whitefly species as prey.

Results: The results showed a type II functional response for each life stage of *O. similis* when fed each whitefly species. The calculated prey handling time for different *O. similis* life stages were shorter when fed *T. vaporariorum* than when fed *B. tabaci* nymphs. In contrast, the nymphal development of *O. similis* was significantly shorter when fed *B. tabaci* than *T. vaporariorum* nymphs. Additionally, the total pre-oviposition period of adult females was statistically shorter when fed *B. tabaci* nymphs than *T. vaporariorum* nymphs. Furthermore, the survival rates and total fecundity of *O. similis* were higher when fed *B. tabaci* than *T. vaporariorum*. There were no significant differences in any population parameters of *O. similis* when fed either whitefly species. These results show that *O. similis* could survive and maintain its populations on both species of whitefly and could therefore serve as a biological control agent in integrated pest management (IPM).

Key words: *Orius similis* (Anthocoridae), functional response, biological traits, predation, whitefly species, biological control.

Introduction

Invasive insect pests can significantly disturb native insect communities and cause considerable damage to agriculture and forests (Pimentel et al. 2000). Among these pests, whiteflies (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) are the most damaging insect pests to agricultural crops globally, including China where more than 1450 species are known (Anderson et al. 2004; Lapidot et al. 2014; Martin et al. 2000). Included in these species are the silver-leaf whitefly (*Bemisia tabaci*) and greenhouse whitefly (*Trialeurodes vaporariorum*), which are generally considered responsible for major economic losses. However, *B. tabaci* is thought to be a complex of morphologically indistinguishable sibling species, referred to as different biotypes (Wraight et al. 2017). *Bemisia* tabaci is considered to be one of the most significant plant pests and colonizes over 600 host plants, causing significant damage (Polston et al. 2014). *Bemisia tabaci* is distributed globally (De Barro et al. 2005; De Barro 1995; De Barro et al. 2000) and causes significant damage to crop yield and quality by feeding on plant phloem and secreting honeydew that stimulates the rapid growth of molds (Colvin et al. 2006; Prijović et al. 2013). However, the most significant problem associated with outbreaks of *B. tabaci* is the transmission of plant viruses (Navas-Castillo et al. 2011). *Bemisia tabaci* has been reported as the vector for the transmission of over 300 viral species in major economically important agricultural and vegetables crops (Gilbertson et al. 2015). Similarly *Trialeurodes vaporariorum*, commonly known as greenhouse whitefly, is also considered an important pest of vegetable and agricultural crops but transmits fewer viruses than does *B. tabaci* (Brown 2007; Jones 2003; López et al. 2012; Navas-Castillo et al. 2011; Wisler et al. 1998). However, because of its short life cycle, this species has been considered a more prevalent insect pest in greenhouse (Simmonds et al. 2002). *Trialeurodes vaporariorum* can also adapt to cold climates better than *B. tabaci* does and

Formatado: Realce

is common at high elevations (Barboza et al. 2019). Because of its resistance to insecticides such as neonicotinoids, *T. vaporariorum* has received much research attention (Gorman et al. 2007; Karatolos et al. 2011).

Extensive use of pesticides not only causes environmental contamination and ozone layer depletion, but also creates serious health problems in mammals, resistance in pest populations and creates toxic conditions for beneficial insect species (Shaaya et al. 1997; Yoza et al. 2005). Hence, to reduce insecticide use, biological control methods such as use of natural predators, resistant varieties, and plants extracts are important in controlling pests in modern integrated pest management (IPM) programs (Asare-Bediako et al. 2014; Kageyama et al. 2010; Yang et al. 2012; Yazdani & Zarabi 2011).

The genus *Orius* (Hemiptera: Anthocoridae) is the largest group of flower bugs, containing around eighty species globally. They are polyphagous predators of small and soft-bodied insects considered pests in agriculture and forestry, including spider mites, aphids, thrips, and whiteflies in protected and open-field crops within its native range of Asia (Arnó et al. 2008; Carpintero 2002; Hernández 1999; Herring 1966; Postle et al. 2001; Yamada et al. 2016; Zhao et al. 2017). The artificial introduction of *O. sauteri* as a biological control agent provides potential control against small insect pests on pepper and eggplant, especially under greenhouse conditions (Jiang et al. 2011; Yin et al. 2013). *Orius laevigatus* is an effective biological control species in Europe and is widely used in augmentative release programs (Van Lenteren & Bueno 2003). Studies have been conducted into predation by *O. albidipennis*, *O. insidiosus*, *O. majusculus*, and *O. niger* on different prey species (Fritsche & Tamo 2000; Rutledge & O'Neil 2005; Tommasini et al. 2004). In a recent study, *O. majusculus* and *O. laevigatus* were reported as potential natural enemies of *B. tabaci* eggs, nymphs, and adults (Arnó et al. 2008).

Comentado [Luis1]: 10.1007/s10340-020-01210-0

Comentado [Luis2]: Recent 12 year??

Comentado [Luis3]: Not very recent (12 year)

Orius similis Zheng is a common and effective natural enemy present in cultivated fields in China and is used as a biological control agent against many small pests of economically important agricultural crops (Zhang et al. 2012). Both pre-adult and adult stages prey on lepidopteran insects including eggs or newly hatched larvae of *Pectinophora gossypiella*, *Anomis flava*, and *Helicoverpa armigera* as well as *Frankliniella formosae*, *Aphis gossypii*, and *Tetranychus cinnabarinus*. Large populations of *O. similis* in cotton fields are useful as biological control agents (Zhou & Lei 2002). This Anthocorid species has many features that make it a good biological control agent, such as high searching efficiency, the ability to increase population levels with outbreaks coinciding with prey density, and an aptitude to aggregate in regions of high prey populations (Hodgson & Aveling 1988). Mass rearing of *O. similis* and the subsequent augmentative release into crop fields leads to the control of many insect pests, decreasing their populations and hence reducing the use of pesticides (Bonte & De Clercq 2011; Tommasini et al. 2004). However, it is important to estimate the effectiveness of a predator before using it in an integrated pest program (Fathipour et al. 2006).

The potential of a predator to control a pest depends upon its functional response to different populations of prey (Butt & Xaaceph 2015). Therefore, the efficiency of a predator can be assessed by its functional response (i.e., changes in attack rate in response to variations of prey populations and number of prey consumed per unit time in relation to prey density (Riechert & Harp 1987). Four types of functional response have been defined based on the predation rate of a predator as a function of prey density: type I (a linear increase), type II (an increase with a slowdown at high prey densities), type III (a sigmoidal increase) and type IV (a dome shape in prey consumption increase (Holling 1961; Pervez 2005; Sakaki & Sahragard 2011). Similarly, the biological traits of a predator, influenced by changes in prey species, greatly affect its

Comentado [Luis4]: Mainly “densities”

predation activity. Thus, this study aims to investigate the interaction of the predator *O. similis* with the prey species *B. tabaci* and *T. vaporariorum* under controlled conditions. The main aim is to evaluate the functional response parameters, fitness parameters, biological traits, and population parameters of *O. similis* when fed *B. tabaci* and *T. vaporariorum* nymphs separately.

Material and Methods

Insect rearing

Predator

Adults of *O. similis* were captured from vegetable and cotton fields of the Huazhong Agricultural University (Wuhan, China) and mass reared in an insectarium following the method described by Zhou et al. (2006) with slight modifications. The rearing arenas consisted of transparent boxes (23.5 × 22.0 × 5.5 cm) with ventilation in the lid. Nymphs and adults of *O. similis* were supplied black aphids (*Aphis fabae*). Small stems of *Vitex negundo* (3–4) wrapped with wet cotton over the end were provided as oviposition substrate. Environmental conditions

Comentado [Luis5]: *Add libitum*???

Prey species

Adult *B. tabaci* were collected from vegetables grown in greenhouses and from other crops from open fields located in the campus of the Huazhong Agricultural University. They were then moved to insectaria and released on potted cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*) plants (10 cm) to develop the stock culture for the experiments (Khan & Wan 2015; Tomar et al. 2017). The stock culture of *T. vaporariorum* was maintained from a few adults received from the Southwest University (Chongqing, China). Large screen cages (65 × 65 × 65 cm) were used as arenas for both whitefly species. The obtained *T. vaporariorum* individuals were released on tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum*) plants (10 cm) for mass rearing (Haiyan et al. 2017; Wei et al. 2018). The

following environmental conditions were maintained inside the insectaria: temperature 26 ± 1°C, RH 65 ± 5%, and a photoperiod of 16 L: 8 D h at a light intensity of 1400–1725 lux.

Functional Response of *O. similis*

The third instar nymphs and three-day old adult females of *O. similis* were collected from the insectaria and fed third instar nymphs of *B. tabaci* and *T. vaporariorum* for 48 h separately and starved for 24 h. The predatory bugs were then individually transferred to small petri dishes (9 cm in diameter and 2 cm in depth) and supplied separately with third instar nymphs of *B. tabaci* and *T. vaporariorum* with different densities (4, 6, 8, 10, 12, and 14) per predator. After 24 h, predators were removed, and the prey consumed by both life stages of *O. similis* counted under stereomicroscope. Bugs were used once only. All the dead/empty nymphs of both whitefly species were assumed killed by the predator as preliminary study indicated 100% survival of bugs in the absence of whitefly nymphs. Thirty replications of the experiments involving the third instar nymphs and adult females of *O. similis* were made for each treatment/density with both prey species separately.

Comentado [Luis6]: Fed 48 h contradictory with line 147

Comentado [Luis7]: Line 144 refer to 24 h. predation

Life table study

Nymphal development

Approximately sixty freshly laid healthy eggs of *O. similis* were isolated from the insectaria and incubated until hatched. All collected eggs of *O. similis* were equally distributed to feed on *B. tabaci* and *T. vaporariorum* third instar nymphs separately. After hatching, *O. similis* neonates (≤ 24 h) were isolated in small Petri dishes (diameter: 9 cm; depth: 2 cm) firmed with filter paper. From the results of functional responses, we supplied fifteen third instar nymphs of *B. tabaci* and *T. vaporariorum* separately to each individual of the predatory bug as food. Dead/empty nymphs

of whitefly were replaced every day. A stem of *V. negundo* was placed in each Petri dish to provide shelter and moisture to the predatory bugs. The end of each stem was wrapped with wet cotton to keep them moist. Thirty nymphs were used in the experiment with three biological replicates for each prey species. Developmental time for each nymphal instar was measured. Individuals that died before reaching the adult stage were also recorded. Sex was confirmed as soon as the adults emerged.

Adult longevity and fecundity

Newly emerged male and female *O. similis* adults were paired for mating. Females that mated for more than 1.5 min were considered to have been mated (Butler and O'Neil, 2006). Each mated female was placed separately in a new cylindrical translucent vial (2.5 × 14 cm diameter and length respectively) enclosed with an adequate mesh nylon screen. A small section of *Vitex negundo* stem was offered to each *O. similis* female as an oviposition substrate. Each stem was wrapped with moist cotton at the end to provide moisture to the stem as well as the bugs using the method of Zhou et al. (2006). *Bemisia tabaci* and *T. vaporariorum* nymphs (N = 15) were supplied into each vial as a source of food for female *O. similis*. Stems of *Vitex negundo* were examined under a stereomicroscope (15×) to confirm egg laying. The stem was changed every day after the female laid the first egg. The total number of eggs laid by each female was counted under a stereomicroscope (15×). All predatory bugs were observed until they died. Development period, survival rate, pre-oviposition and oviposition period, fecundity, and longevity of female and male adults of *O. similis* were recorded.

Data analysis

Functional response

To find the functional response type, all the data collected from the experiment were fitted to polynomial function. The polynomial function described the relationship between the proportion of prey consumed (N_a) in relation to the density of prey offered (N_0) (Holling, 1959a; Holling, 1959b). Hence, a cubic model was applied in a logistic regression analysis (Juliano, 2001):

$$N_a/N_0 = \exp((P_0 + P_1N_0 + P_2N^2_0 + P_3N^3_0) / [1 + \exp(P_0 + P_1N_0 + P_2N^2_0 + P_3N^3_0)])$$

In this equation, P_0, P_1, P_2, and P_3 represent the intercept, linear, quadric, and cubic coefficients, respectively. The negative and positive values of linear coefficient (P_1) define type II and III functional responses, respectively. Modifying the Holling disc equation through reciprocal linear transformation, functional response parameters (T_h and *a*) were calculated (Livdahl & Stiven 1983). The equation for the linear regression was y = ax + b. Hence, the modified equation obtained was:

$$1/N_a = 1/a \,.\, 1/TN_o + T_h/T$$

Where $1/N_a$ represents y, 1/a represents a, $1/TN_o$ represents x, and T_h/T represents b. N_a is the number of prey killed by predators during time (T: 24 h in our experiment). N_o is the density of prey and T_h is the predator handling time for one prey item. For each prey density we calculated total handling time ($Th_{total} = T_h \times N_a$), search time ($Ts = T - Th_{total}$), attack rate ($a = N_a/(N_o \times Ts)$), and search efficiency ($E = N_a/N_o$) (Hassell 2000; Rocha & Redaelli 2004). All statistical analyses were performed in MINITAB 17.

Comentado [Luis8]: Contradictory with line 144 (fed 48 h)

Life table analysis

All the raw data for the life table of *O. similis* were analyzed based on the age-stage, two-sex life table theory (Chi 1988; Chi & Liu 1985). The developmental period, fecundity of female adults, and male and female longevity/survival of *O. similis* were evaluated using the computer program

two-sex MS-Chart (Akca et al. 2015). To calculate the standard error of pre-adult stage, adult male and female longevity, female fecundity, and population parameters (rate of increase [r], [γ], the highest net reproductive rate [R_0], gross reproduction rate [GRR], and mean generation time of individuals [T]), 100,000 bootstrap replicates were run (Akca et al. 2015; Akköprü et al. 2015; Tuan et al. 2016). Based on the confidence intervals of differences, paired bootstrap tests were also used to compare the results of different treatments using two-sex MS-Chart (Akca et al. 2015; PRU et al. 2015). Equations used in the age-stage, two-sex life tables are listed in Table 1. Sigma Plot 12.0 was used to create graphs of survival rates, fecundity, life expectancy, and reproductive value.

In Table 1, S_{xj} represents the age-stage specific survival rate, based on the probability that newly hatched individuals will survive to age x and j (Chi & Liu 1985). The l_x and m_x were estimated using equation 1 and 2 respectively. The intrinsic rate of increase (r) was calculated from the Euler–Lotka equation with age indexed from 0 (Goodman 1982), equation 3. Equation 4 was used to calculate the R_0 (mean number of siblings that an individual can produce in its lifespan). The gross reproduction rate (GRR) was estimated using equation 5. The time a population required to rise to R_0-fold of its size as it acquired a stable age-stage distribution (T) was determined with equation 6. To estimate the rate of increase (λ), Equation 7 was used.

Results

Functional response of *O. similis*

The results of the logistic regression analysis for third instar nymphs of *O. similis* were highly significant ($P < 0.05$) suggesting a type II functional response as the linear coefficient (P1) was negative against nymphs of both *B. tabaci* and *T. vaporariorum* (Table 2). Similarly, the adult

females also showed a type II functional response against both prey species, although the parameters were not significant.

The functional response curves of different life stages of *O. similis* to third instar nymphs of *B. tabaci* and *T. vaporariorum* at different densities are show in Fig. 1. The number of nymphs of both prey species consumed by third instar nymphs and adult females of *O. similis* increased with increases in the prey density from 4 to 8 nymphs per predator, but plateaued with no significant increase in prey consumption with densities of more than 8 nymphs per predator. When only four nymphs of *B. tabaci* and *T. vaporariorum* were provided, the third instar nymphs of *O. similis* consumed a mean of 3.8 and 3.3 nymphs per predator per day, respectively, indicating that the predator is more efficient at finding whitefly nymphs at low prey densities.

Comentado [Luis9]: Why this affirmation? Four is the minimum provided preys. Support the affirmation

Similarly, the mean consumption of whitefly nymphs by adult female *O. similis* was higher at lower prey densities (Fig. 1). When provided with third instar nymphs of *B. tabaci* and *T. vaporariorum*, the maximum and minimum prey consumption levels of third instar nymphs of *O. similis* were (95% and 46.4%) and (82.5% and 44%), respectively. However, there were no significant differences found in maximum prey consumption by adult female *O. similis* (92% and 92.5%) when preying on nymphs of *B. tabaci* and *T. vaporariorum*, respectively. The minimum percentage of *B. tabaci* and *T. vaporariorum* nymphs killed by adult female *O. similis* was 48% and 51%, respectively.

Functional response parameters

The parameters of functional response (handling time (T_h), attack rate (a), and maximum predation rate) of different stages of *O. similis* against whitefly species are listed in Table 3. There were no differences estimated in the T_h of third instar nymphs of *O. similis* against both

whitefly species. However, adult females undertook shorter handling times (1.75 h) when pursuing, subduing, and consuming *T. vaporariorum* third instar nymphs when compared with the handling times for *B. tabaci* (2.45 h).

In contrast, the coefficient of attack rate was higher, ranging from 0.05 to 0.06, when third instar nymphs and adult females of *O. similis* were fed nymphs of *B. tabaci* compared to *T. vaporariorum* (Table 3). However, there were no significant difference noted for different life stages of *O. similis* in attack rate. The maximum predation rate (T/Th) of third instar nymphs of *O. similis* per individual was higher (9.82 d^{-1}) for *T. vaporariorum* nymphs compared to *B. tabaci* (9.78 d^{-1}). Similarly, the maximum predation rate of adult females was (13.70 d^{-1}) and (11.12 d^{-1}) for *T. vaporariorum* and *B. tabaci* nymphs, respectively. The functional response parameters of different life stages of *O. similis* to different densities of whitefly species are given in Table 4. The T_h for third instar nymphs and adult females of *O. similis* increased with increasing prey densities. However, the searching time and searching efficiency show an inverse relationship with both prey densities. The attack rates of both life stages of *O. similis* were similar with no significant differences at different densities of both prey species.

Growth, development, and longevity of *O. similis*

Developmental characteristics of *O. similis* when fed of ~~against~~ whitefly species (*B. tabaci* and *T. vaporariorum*) are listed in Table 4. The nymphal development of *O. similis* took statistically longer from N1–N4 when fed *T. vaporariorum* than when fed *B. tabaci*.

However, there were no significant differences in development of fifth instar *O. similis* nymphs against both whitefly species. In addition, higher mortality rates were observed in the fifth instar when fed *T. vaporariorum* nymphs than when fed *B. tabaci* (fig 2??). The results also showed

Comentado [Luis10]: Increase in the table 3 the SD or IC with letter for differences or similarities in Th, α

Comentado [Luis11]: Is correct?

Comentado [Luis12]: What is?? Is Nymph??, specific

Formatado: Fonte: Não Itálico

Formatado: Fonte: Não Itálico

that longevity of both male and female *O. similis* was statistically similar when *B. tabaci* and *T. vaporariorum* were provided as prey (table 5???). The highest longevity of adult females was recorded when they were fed *B. tabaci* nymphs (15.47 days), while when presented with *T. vaporariorum* nymphs, it was comparatively shorter (13.82 days) (table 5????). It was also observed that more individuals of the predatory bug survived and successfully reached the adult stage when fed *B. tabaci* nymphs (86.66%) than when fed *T. vaporariorum* nymphs (56.70%).

Fecundity and oviposition of female adults

No significant effects of the presence of the two whitefly species were observed on adult pre-ovipositional period (APOP) of *O. similis* (2.35 days for *B. tabaci* and 2.4 days for *T. vaporariorum*, ~~respectively~~; (Table 6~~5~~**)**. However, the total pre-ovipositional period (TPOP) of *O. similis* was significantly longer when offered *T. vaporariorum* nymphs (20.5 days) compared to *B. tabaci* (19.82 days) (Table 6).

It was also observed that the total reproductive days of female adult *O. similis* were statistically similar with no significant difference for both whitefly species (8.29 and 7.80 days when fed *B. tabaci* and *T. vaporariorum*, respectively) (table 6). Furthermore, no significant difference was recorded in total female fecundity against both prey species; however, more eggs were laid by adult females when fed *B. tabaci* nymphs (54.18 eggs) than *T. vaporariorum* (49.82 eggs). More females were produced when fed *B. tabaci* than *T. vaporariorum*.

Population parameters of *O. similis*

The influence of the two prey species on the population parameters of *O. similis* are listed in Table ~~6~~7. No significant differences were found in *O. similis* population parameters when fed *B. tabaci* and *T. vaporariorum* separately. The intrinsic rate of increase (*r*) and finite rate of

Comentado [Luis13]: However, was not difference statistic. Are similar, not highest not shorter.

Comentado [Luis14]: Was not difference statistic, are similar

increase (λ) for *O. similis* were (0.13 d^{-1} and 1.14 d^{-1}, respectively) when fed nymphs of *B. tabaci* and (0.11 d^{-1} and 1.12 d^{-1}) when fed *T. vaporariorum*. However, the highest net reproductive rate (R_0) occurred when *O. similis* was fed *B. tabaci* (30.70 offspring per female) than when fed *T. vaporariorum* (18.27). Furthermore, the mean generation time of individuals (T) and the values of *GRR* were higher when *B. tabaci* was offered as prey (25.46 d and 54.62) compared to *T. vaporariorum* (26.61 d and 61.57; Table 7~~6~~).

Comentado [Luis15]: Was not difference statistic

Age-stage and age-specific survival of *O. similis*

The ~~F~~fig. 2 explains the age-stage specific survival rate (S_{xj}; the possibility a newly hatched individual that will successfully survive to age x and stage j) of *O. similis* when fed *B. tabaci* and *T. vaporariorum*. Overlap occurs between stages as a result of variations in the developmental rate of individuals. When fed nymphs of *B. tabaci*, 86.66% of *O. similis* eggs successfully survived and reached to the adult stage. However, the survival rate was significantly lower (56.7%) when *O. similis* were fed *T. vaporariorum* nymphs.

Age-specific survival rate (l_x; a simplified form of S_{xj}), age-stage specific fecundity (f_x), age-specific total fecundity of the whole population (m_x), and age-specific maternity ($l_x m_x$; formed on the basis of f_x and m_x) of *O. similis* when fed *B. tabaci* and *T. vaporariorum* are presented in Fig. 3. As age increased, the l_x of *O. similis* decreased and showed an inverse relationship for both prey species. The peak of the m_x curve was at 26.98 days (5.14 eggs) and 31.09 days (5.72 eggs) when *O. similis* fed on *B. tabaci* and *T. vaporariorum*, respectively. The peak of f_x was at 24.90 days (8.45 eggs) and 30.88 days (7.80 eggs) for *B. tabaci* and *T. vaporariorum* nymphs respectively.

Life expectancy and reproductive values

The curves for life expectancy (e_{xj}) of *O. similis* at each stage when presented with *B. tabaci* and *T. vaporariorum* are shown in Fig. 4. The life expectancy of a newborn *O. similis* egg was greater when fed nymphs of *B. tabaci* (28.57 days) than those of *T. vaporariorum* (24.91 days).

The age-stage reproductive values (v_{xj}) of adult female *O. similis* when exposed to different species of whitefly are shown in Fig. 5. Age-stage specific reproductive value is a measure of the contribution of an individual (from age *x* and stage *j*) to a future population. When adult female *O. similis* fed on *B. tabaci*, the highest peak was observed at 21 days, which was greater than when offered *T. vaporariorum* (19.79 days). The reproductive curve (V_{xj}) indicated that the presence of *B. tabaci* had a more positive effect on *O. similis* reproduction than *T. vaporariorum*.

Discussion

To quantify the ability of a predator to combat agricultural pests, the Holling functional response model has been used for several years (Ganjisaffar & Perring 2015; Yazdani & Keller 2016). Handling time (T_h) and attack rate (a) are considered key parameters in explaining oscillations in predator and prey interactions (Wang et al. 2019). A predator's functional response to its prey plays a significant role in the effect it has on a prey population (Begon et al. 1986). Similarly, life table studies enable us to understand the ecology of an organism and supply some crucial tools to study vital biological functions such as growth, survival, and reproductive rate when an organism is in a diverse environment. Some drawbacks have been found in Jackknife methods; therefore, a bootstrap method using 100,000 resamples was developed to calculate population parameters with more accurate results (Huang & Chi 2012; Huang & Chi 2013). Numerous studies have investigated *Orius* spp. as a predator of *B. tabaci*, (Adly 2016; Arnó et al. 2008;

Banihashemi et al. 2017; Shahpouri et al. 2019; Zandi-Sohani et al. 2018). However, to our knowledge, functional response and age-stage life table traits (age-specific survival, age stage survival, female reproductive values and life expectancy) have not been investigated for *O. similis* when preying on *B. tabaci* and *T. vaporariorum*. Thus, our study was designed to determine the predatory potential of *O. similis* against both whitefly species.

Comentado [Luis16]: Introduction, is not necessary here.

Our results indicate that the third instar nymphs and adult female of *O. similis* show type II functional responses when fed separately on six different densities of *B. tabaci* and *T. vaporariorum* third instar nymphs. With increases in prey density, the net prey consumption of both life stages of *O. similis* increased until a plateau was reached. Predators with type II and III functional responses have a probability of being a stabilizing force in biological control programs (Fernández-arhex & Corley 2003). *Orius* spp. have shown a type II functional response in numerous other studies (Holling 1965). A type II functional response was reported for *O. albidipennis* when it was fed *T. tabaci* (Madadi et al. 2007), *Megalurothrips sjostedti* (Thysanoptera: Thripidae) larvae (Gitonga et al. 2002), and *Tetranychus turkestani* (Acari: Tetranychidae); (Hasanzadeh et al. 2015). In contrast to our results, the adult female *O. albidipennis* showed a type III functional response when fed *B. tabaci* third instar nymphs (Shahpouri et al. 2019). Similarly, *M. caliginosus* showed a type III functional response when presented with nymphs of *T. vaporariorum* (Enkegaard et al. 2001). These contradictory results may be related to changes in predator species and differences in body size. Supporting our results, *O. majusculus* and *O. laevigatus* exhibited a type II functional response when fed nymphs of *T. vaporariorum* (Montserrat et al. 2000). Predator functional response is influenced by several factors, such size and density of predators and preys (Aljetlawi et al. 2004), temperature (Gitonga et al. 2002; Zamani et al. 2006), occurrence of alternative prey (Abrams

1990), and internal state of the predator (Hassell et al. 1976). In our study, the arena consisted of small Petri dishes. This small experimental arena accelerated the searching efficiency of the predatory bugs and enabled them to repeatedly attack prey that initially escaped (Wiedenmann & O'Neil 1991). The optimal foraging theory of predator-prey relationships has helped reveal the influence of different prey densities on predator handling time, searching time, and predation rate (Cook & Cockrell 1978; Stephens & Krebs 1986). In our study, searching time decreased with increases in prey density for both third instar nymphs and adult female of *O. similis*.

To estimate the effectiveness of a predator in relation to its prey, handling time is thought to be a key parameter because it shows how long a predator takes to capture, subdue, kill, and digest a single prey item (Atlıhan et al. 2010). In our study, the handling time was shortest when adult female *O. similis* were offered *T. vaporariorum* nymphs. In contrast to our study, the handling time was higher when adult female *O. albidipennis* fed on nymphs of *B. tabaci* (Shahpouri et al. 2019). However, long handling time enables increased nutrient consumption from prey and hence increases the persistence of predators (Montserrat et al. 2000). Recent studies have shown that handling time is higher when *O. albidipennis* preys on *B. tabaci* nymphs than eggs (Shahpouri et al. 2019). Similarly, handling time of *O. laevigatus* was longer than that for other *Orius* species when tested again different densities of thrips (Montserrat et al. 2000). Maximum prey consumption enhances the possibility of gaining optimal ratios between predators and pests. Hence it can be useful to accelerate the application of inoculative releases (Wang et al. 2019). Our results show that maximum predation occurred when adult female *O. similis* fed on *T. vaporariorum*. Meanwhile, more nymphs were eaten by third instar nymphs of *O. similis* when fed on *T. vaporariorum*. Higher prey densities (and thus greater prey availability) or decreases in searching area accelerate predator attack rates while reducing

384 handling time (Hassell et al. 1976). In a previous study (Opit et al. 1997), lower prey densities
385 induced reduction in predator searching activity to reduce the use of energy. In our study, no
386 significant differences in attack rate were observed when both life stages of *O. similis* preyed on
387 nymphs of *B. tabaci* and *T. vaporariorum* separately, even with six different densities. The attack
388 rate did not therefore depend on prey densities (Holling 1959). However, our results showed
389 higher attack rates with *B. tabaci* than *T. vaporariorum* for both third instar nymphs and adult
390 females of *O. similis*. In contrast to our results, previous work resulted in different values for
391 handling time and attack rate when *O. majuscules* and *O. laevigatus* were fed *T. vaporariorum*
392 (Montserrat et al. 2000). This may be due to changes in experimental design, environment, or
393 predator species (Van Alphen & Jervis 1996). The polyphagous predatory species of *Orius* prey
394 on a broad range of arthropods. Additionally, prey type can significantly alter the activity of their
395 predators (Bonte et al. 2015). The developmental and reproductive performance and fitness of
396 predators in relation to particular prey types highlights their potential as active biological control
397 agents (Grenier & De Clercq 2003).

398 The results obtained from the age-stage two-sex life table analysis indicate that *O. similis*
399 can survive and build strong populations when feeding on different species of whiteflies (*B.*
400 *tabaci* and *T. vaporariorum*). However, we found that the nymphal developmental durations of
401 the predator were significantly longer when praying on nymphs of *T. vaporariorum* than on
402 nymphs of *B. tabaci*. Moreover, adult male and female longevity was longer when presented *B.*
403 *tabaci* relative to *T. vaporariorum*, but a significant difference was not observed. Our results
404 agree with previous reports indicating that the developmental period of *O. similis* pre-adults is
405 greater when they prey on *A. cracivora* than on *C. cephalonica* eggs (Amer et al. 2018). The
406 same interaction was documented in numerous studies where the prey species strongly alter the

developmental duration of pre-adults of *O. similis* (Kim 1997; Kim 1999; Ohta 2001; Sengonca et al. 2008). Consequently, these fluctuations in the developmental period show that a prey species can strongly influence the developmental time of the pre-adult stages of *O. similis* (Sengonca et al. 2008). The survival of *O. similis* individuals was higher when fed on *B. tabaci* nymphs than *T. vaporariorum*. Amer et al. (2018) documented that higher mortality rates occurred in the pre-adult stages of *O. similis* when they fed on *C. cephalonica* eggs compared to *A. cracivora*. Similarly, the survival rate of *O. laevigatus*, *O. niger*, and *O. majusculus* individuals during development was very low (i.e., high mortality rates) when fed eggs of *E. kuehniella* than those of *F. occidentalis* (Kiman & Yeargan 1985; Tommasini et al. 2004). Based on our results and those of Arnó et al. (2008), the total developmental duration and survival of *O. similis* nymphs, when fed *B. tabaci* nymphs, were similar to those of *O. majusculus* and *O. laevigatus*. Arnó et al. (2008) also reported results similar to those reported by Riudavets & Castañé (1998), suggesting that whitefly species could be considered suitable prey analogous to *F. occidentalis* larvae predated upon by *Orius* spp. Furthermore, our results showed no significant difference in adult-pre-oviposition period for both whitefly species. In contrast to our study, Zhang et al. (2012) found that after mating, the development of the reproductive system of adult female *O. similis* took longer (5–6 days) compared to our results. Similarly, the number of eggs laid by adult female *O. similis* were comparatively higher for both whitefly species than that of *Tetranychus cinnabarinus* (Zhang et al. 2012).

Chen et al. (2017) found that intrinsic rate of increase (r) is a key population parameter in determining the development, growth, and survival of an organism. Southwood & Henderson (2009) also documented that greater values of (r) i.e., $r > 0$ highlight the fit of a prey with its host. Comparing our result with these studies shows that the intrinsic rate of increase was more

than (0) and similar for both prey species ~~Table 4.~~ The net reproductive rate (R_0) is also considered an important demographic life table parameter. Values of R_0 of more than 1 indicate an increase in the mean population of an insect (Chen et al. 2017; Southwood & Henderson 2009). Our results agree with this theory, as the highest R_0 was when *O. similis* was fed *B. tabaci* relative to *T. vaporariorum*. In contrast to our study, low reproductive rates have been observed when *O. similis* is fed *T. cinnabarinus* (Zhang et al. 2012). The gross reproduction rate (*GRR*) is thought to be a symbol of a rapid increase of population, which is directly related to adult eclosion and the number of eggs laid and hatched. All of these parameters can be significantly influenced by prey species (Cocuzza et al. 1997; Huang & Chi 2013). In our results, the highest *GRR* and greatest generation time (*T*) occurred when *O. similis* fed on *T. vaporariorum* when compared to *B. tabaci*. However, in their study, Zhang et al. (2012) observed longer generation times at three constant temperatures when individuals of *O. similis* preyed on *T. cinnabarinus*.

The survival of a predator from the neonate to the adult stage when presented specific prey species highlights its role as an effective biological control agent (Van Lenteren & Woets 1988). Similarly, the searching ability and concomitance of predators and prey in space and time, are thought to be a crucial factor~~s~~ in successful biological control of a pest (Arnó et al. 2008). Montserrat (2001) and Trottin-Caudal et al. (1991) both reported large populations of *Orius* spp. on vegetable crops where thrips and whiteflies coexist. Thus, it can be assumed that if *O. similis* remains on the crop after suppression of the population of their desired prey, they can play a vital role in suppression of both whitefly species and could serve as an effective biological control agent. Supporting our hypothesis, Riudavets (2001) reported more than 20 *Orius* per cucumber plant when the population of *F. occidentalis* was very low, which may have been due to the presence of other pests.

In summary, our results suggest that the predatory bug *O. similis* has the potential to actively maintain strong populations when in the presence of species of whitefly such as *B. tabaci* and *T. vaporariorum* and could serve as a biological control agent in cotton fields and other vegetable crops, as well as in greenhouses where the populations of these species are destructive pests. The results obtained from laboratory experiments should be useful in understanding the biology of *O. similis* when in association with whitefly species, but field experiments will be required to validate them.

Acknowledgments: The authors want to thank Miss. Abida Butt (Institute of Zoology, Punjab University Lahore, Pakistan), Mirza Abid Mahmood (College of Plant Science and Technology, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan) and Fawad Khan (Department of Entomology, University of Georgia, U.S.A.) for technical assistance. The author acknowledge the funding support granted by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 31872023), and The National Key R&D Program of China (2017YFD0201000) for the current study.

Reference

Abrams PA. 1990. The effects of adaptive behavior on the type-2 functional response. *Ecology* 71:877-885.

Adly D. 2016. Use of Predators for Controlling the Whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* Genn. and the Two Spotted Spider Mite, *Tetranychus urticae* Koch., in Cucumber Greenhouses in Egypt. *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control* 26.

Akca I, Ayvaz T, Yazici E, Smith CL, and Chi H. 2015. Demography and population projection of *Aphis fabae* (Hemiptera: Aphididae): with additional comments on life table research criteria. *Journal of economic entomology* 108:1466-1478.

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

475 Akköprü EP, Atlıhan R, Okut H, and Chi H. 2015. Demographic assessment of plant cultivar
476 resistance to insect pests: a case study of the dusky-veined walnut aphid (Hemiptera:
477 Callaphididae) on five walnut cultivars. *Journal of economic entomology* 108:378-387.
478 Aljetlawi AA, Sparrevik E, and Leonardsson K. 2004. Prey–predator size-dependent functional
479 response: derivation and rescaling to the real world. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 73:239-
480 252.
481 Amer MES, Fu Y, and Niu L. 2018. Biological Aspects of Orius similis Zheng Reared on Two
482 Preys at Three Constant Temperatures. *Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology A*
483 8:350-363.
484 Anderson PK, Cunningham AA, Patel NG, Morales FJ, Epstein PR, and Daszak P. 2004.
485 Emerging infectious diseases of plants: pathogen pollution, climate change and
486 agrotechnology drivers. *Trends in ecology & evolution* 19:535-544.
487 Arnó J, Roig J, and Riudavets J. 2008. Evaluation of *Orius majusculus* and *O. laevigatus* as
488 predators of *Bemisa tabaci* and estimation of their prey preference. *Biological control*
489 44:1-6.
490 Asare-Bediako E, Addo-Quaye A, and Bi-Kusi A. 2014. Comparative efficacy of plant extracts
491 in managing whitefly (*Bemisia tabaci* Gen.) and leaf curl disease in okra (*Abelmoschus*
492 *esculentus* L.). *Am J Agric Sci Technol* 2:31-41.
493 Atlıhan R, Kaydan MB, Yarımbatman A, and Okut H. 2010. Functional response of the
494 coccinellid predator *Adalia fasciatopunctata* revelierei to walnut aphid (Callaphis
495 juglandis). *Phytoparasitica* 38:23-29.
496 Banihashemi AS, Seraj AA, Yarahmadi F, and Rajabpour A. 2017. Effect of host plants on
497 predation, prey preference and switching behaviour of *Orius albidipennis* on *Bemisia*

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico
Formatado: Fonte: Itálico
Formatado: Fonte: Itálico
Formatado: Fonte: Itálico
Formatado: Fonte: Itálico
Formatado: Fonte: Itálico
Formatado: Fonte: Itálico
Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

tabaci and *Tetranychus turkestani*. *International Journal of Tropical Insect Science* 37:176-182. ~~10.1017/S1742758416000229~~

Barboza NM, Esker P, Inoue-Nagata AK, and Moriones E. 2019. Genetic diversity and geographic distribution of *Bemisia tabaci* and *Trialeurodes vaporariorum* in Costa Rica. *Annals of Applied Biology* 174:248-261.

Begon M, Harper JL, and Townsend CR. 1986. *Ecology. Individuals, populations and communities*: Blackwell scientific publications.

Bonte J, De Hauwere L, Conlong D, and De Clercq P. 2015. Predation capacity, development and reproduction of the southern African flower bugs *Orius thripoborus* and *Orius naivashae* (Hemiptera: Anthocoridae) on various prey. *Biological Control* 86:52-59.

Bonte M, and De Clercq P. 2011. Influence of predator density, diet and living substrate on developmental fitness of *Orius laevigatus*. *Journal of Applied Entomology* 135:343-350.

Brown JK. 2007. The *Bemisia tabaci* complex: genetic and phenotypic variability drives begomovirus spread and virus diversification. *Plant Dis* 1:25-56.

Butt A, and Xaaceph M. 2015. Functional response of Oxyopes javanus (Araneidae: Oxyopidae) to Sogatella furcifera (Hemiptera: Delphacidae) in laboratory and mesocosm. *Pakistan Journal of Zoology* 47.

Carpintero DL. 2002. Catalogue of the Neotropical Anthocoridae (Heteroptera). *Revista de la Sociedad Entomológica Argentina* 61.

Chen Q, Li N, Wang X, Ma L, Huang J-B, and Huang G-H. 2017. Age-stage, two-sex life table of Parapoynx crisonalis (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) at different temperatures. *PloS one* 12:e0173380.

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Comentado [Luis17]: Cite as book

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Comentado [Luis18]: italic

Comentado [Luis19]: Italic

Comentado [Luis20]: Vol, pages????

Comentado [Luis21]: Italic

Chi H. 1988. Life-table analysis incorporating both sexes and variable development rates among individuals. *Environmental Entomology* 17:26-34.

Chi H, and Liu H. 1985. Two new methods for the study of insect population ecology. *Bull Inst Zool Acad Sin* 24:225-240.

Cocuzza G, De Clercq P, Lizzio S, Van De Veire M, Tirry L, Degheele D, and Vacante V. 1997. Life tables and predation activity of *Orius laevigatus* and *O. albidipennis* at three constant temperatures. *Entomologia ~~e~~Experimentalis ~~e~~et A~~a~~pplicata* 85:189-198.

Colvin J, Omongo C, Govindappa M, Stevenson PC, Maruthi M, Gibson G, Seal S, and Muniyappa V. 2006. Host-plant viral infection effects on arthropod-vector population growth, development and behaviour: management and epidemiological implications. *Advances in ~~r~~Virus R~~r~~esearch* 67:419-452.

Cook R, and Cockrell B. 1978. Predator ingestion rate and its bearing on feeding time and the theory of optimal diets. *The Journal of Animal Ecology*:529-547.

De Barro P, Trueman J, and Frohlich D. 2005. Bemisia argentifolii is a race of *B. tabaci* (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae): the molecular genetic differentiation of *B. tabaci* populations around the world. *Bulletin of ~~e~~Entomological R~~r~~esearch* 95:193-203.

De Barro PJ. 1995. *Bemisia tabaci* biotype B: a review of its biology, distribution and control. *CSIRO Australia Division of Entomology Technical Paper*.

De Barro PJ, Driver F, Trueman JW, and Curran J. 2000. Phylogenetic relationships of world populations of *Bemisia tabaci* (Gennadius) using ribosomal ITS1. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 16:29-36.

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Enkegaard A, Brødsgaard HF, and Hansen DL. 2001. *Macrolophus caliginosus*: functional response to whiteflies and preference and switching capacity between whiteflies and spider mites. *Entomologia ~~e~~Experimentalis et ~~a~~Applicata* 101:81-88.

Fathipour Y, Hosseini A, Talebi AA, and Moharramipour S. 2006. Functional response and mutual interference of Diaeretiella rapae (Hymenoptera: Aphidiidae) on Brevicoryne brassicae (Homoptera: Aphididae). *Entomologica Fennica* 17:90.

Fernández-arhex V, and Corley JC. 2003. The functional response of parasitoids and its implications for biological control. *Biocontrol Science and Technology* 13:403-413.

Fritsche ME, and Tamo M. 2000. Influence of thrips prey species on the life-history and behaviour of *Orius albidipennis*. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata* 96:111-118.

Ganjisaffar F, and Perring TM. 2015. Prey stage preference and functional response of the predatory mite *Galendromus flumenis* to *Oligonychus pratensis*. *Biological Control* 82:40-45.

Gilbertson RL, Batuman O, Webster CG, and Adkins S. 2015. Role of the insect supervectors *Bemisia tabaci* and *Frankliniella occidentalis* in the emergence and global spread of plant viruses. *Annual R~~r~~eview of V~~v~~irology* 2:67-93.

Gitonga L, Löhr B, Overholt W, Magambo J, and Mueke J. 2002. Effect of temperature on the development of *Orius albidipennis* Reuter, a predator of the African legume flower thrips, *Megalurothrips sjostedti* Trybom. *International Journal of Tropical Insect Science* 22:215-220.

Goodman D. 1982. Optimal life histories, optimal notation, and the value of reproductive value. *The American Naturalist* 119:803-823.

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

563 Gorman K, Devine G, Bennison J, Coussons P, Punchard N, and Denholm I. 2007. Report of
564 resistance to the neonicotinoid insecticide imidacloprid in *Trialeurodes vaporariorum*
565 (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae). *Pest Management Science: formerly Pesticide Science* 63:555-
566 558.
567 Grenier S, and De Clercq P. 2003. Comparison of artificially vs. naturally reared natural enemies
568 and their potential for use in biological control. *Quality control and production of*
569 *biological control agents*: CABI Publishing, 115-131.
570 Haiyan H, Yuguo Z, Xingjun L, and Xingtian H. 2017. Different Effects on Growth
571 Characteristics of Tobacco Plants Infested by *Bemisia tabaci* Biotype B and *Trialeurodes*
572 *vaporariorum*. *Chinese Agricultural Science Bulletin*:21.
573 Hasanzadeh H, Esfandiari M, Shishehbor P, and Rajabpour A. 2015. Functional response of
574 different developmental stages of *Orius albidipennis* Reuter feeding on the strawberry
575 spider mite, *Tetranychus turkestani*. *Plant Protection* 38:3-13.
576 Hassell M. 2000. *The spatial and temporal dynamics of host-parasitoid interactions*: OUP
577 Oxford.
578 Hassell M, Lawton J, and Beddington J. 1976. The components of arthropod predation: I. The
579 prey death-rate. *The Journal of Animal Ecology*:135-164.
580 Hernández L. 1999. A review of the economically important species of the genus Orius
581 (Heteroptera: Anthocoridae) in East Africa. *Journal of Natural History* 33:543-568.
582 Herring JL. 1966. The genus Orius of the western hemisphere (Hemiptera: Anthocoridae).
583 *Annals of the Entomological Society of America* 59:1093-1109.
584 Hodgson C, and Aveling C. 1988. Anthocoridae. *Aphids, their biology, natural enemies and*
585 *control* 2:279-292.

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Comentado [Luis22]: Cite as book

Holling C. 1961. Principles of insect predation. *Annual review of entomology* 6:163-182.

Holling CS. 1959. The components of predation as revealed by a study of small-mammal predation of the European pine sawfly. *The Canadian Entomologist* 91:293-320.

Holling CS. 1965. The functional response of predators to prey density and its role in mimicry and population regulation. *The Memoirs of the Entomological Society of Canada* 97:5-60.

Huang YB, and Chi H. 2012. Age-stage, two-sex life tables of *Bactrocera cucurbitae* (Coquillett)(Diptera: Tephritidae) with a discussion on the problem of applying female age-specific life tables to insect populations. *Insect Science* 19:263-273.

Huang YB, and Chi H. 2013. Life tables of *B-actrocera cucurbitae* (D-iptera: T-ephritidae): with an invalidation of the jackknife technique. *Journal of Applied Entomology* 137:327-339.

Jiang Y, Wu Y, Duan Y, and Gao X. 2011. Control efficiencies of releasing *Orius sauteri* (Heteroptera: Anthocoridae) on some pests in greenhouse pepper. *Chinese Journal of Biological Control* 27:414-417.

Jones DR. 2003. Plant viruses transmitted by whiteflies. *European Journal of Plant Pathology* 109:195-219.

Kageyama D, Narita S, Imamura T, and Miyanoshita A. 2010. Detection and identification of Wolbachia endosymbionts from laboratory stocks of stored-product insect pests and their parasitoids. *Journal of Stored Products Research* 46:13-19.

Karatolos N, Pauchet Y, Wilkinson P, Chauhan R, Denholm I, Gorman K, Nelson DR, Bass C, and Williamson MS. 2011. Pyrosequencing the transcriptome of the greenhouse whitefly, *Trialeurodes vaporariorum* reveals multiple transcripts encoding insecticide targets and detoxifying enzymes. *BMC Gg~~e~~nomics* 12:56.

Comentado [Luis23]: Standardize Cs or C

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Khan I, and Wan F. 2015. Life history of *Bemisia tabaci* (Gennadius)(Homoptera: Aleyrodidae) biotype B on tomato and cotton host plants.

Kim J. 1997. Development and oviposition of *Orius strigicollis* (Poppius) (Hemiptera: Anthocoridae) reared on three different insect preys. *Korean J Appl Entomol* 36:166-171.

Kim J. 1999. Effect of temperature on the development and oviposition of minute pirate bug, *Orius strigicollies* (Hemiptera: Anthocoridae). *Korean J Appl Entomol* 38:29-33.

Kiman Z, and Yeargan K. 1985. Development and reproduction of the predator *Orius insidiosus* (Hemiptera: Anthocoridae) reared on diets of selected plant material and arthropod prey. *Annals of the Entomological Society of America* 78:464-467.

Lapidot M, Legg JP, Wintermantel WM, and Polston JE. 2014. Management of whitefly-transmitted viruses in open-field production systems. *Advances in virus research*: Elsevier, 147-206.

Livdahl TP, and Stiven AE. 1983. Statistical difficulties in the analysis of predator functional response data. *The Canadian Entomologist* 115:1365-1370.

López YIÁ, Martínez-Gallardo NA, Ramírez-Romero R, López MG, Sánchez-Hernández C, and Délano-Frier JP. 2012. Cross-kingdom effects of plant-plant signaling via volatile organic compounds emitted by tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) plants infested by the greenhouse whitefly (*Trialeurodes vaporariorum*). *Journal of Chemical Ecology* 38:1376-1386.

Madadi H, Enkegaard A, Brodsgaard H, Kharrazi-Pakdel A, Mohaghegh J, and Ashouri A. 2007. Host plant effects on the functional response of *Neoseiulus cucumeris* to onion thrips larvae. *Journal of Applied Entomology* 131:728-733.

Martin JH, Mifsud D, and Rapisarda C. 2000. The whiteflies (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) of Europe and the Mediterranean basin. *Bulletin of Entomological Research* 90:407-448.

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Comentado [Luis24]: Cite as paper, vol, pages, journal

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

631 Montserrat M. 2001. Estudi de la relació depredador-presa en alguns heteròpters presents en el
632 cultiu de cogombre. Phd Thesis, University of Lleida.
633 Montserrat M, Albajes R, and Castañé C. 2000. Functional response of four heteropteran
634 predators preying on greenhouse whitefly (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae) and western flower
635 thrips (Thysanoptera: Thripidae). *Environmental Entomology* 29:1075-1082.
636 Navas-Castillo J, Fiallo-Olivé E, and Sánchez-Campos S. 2011. Emerging virus diseases
637 transmitted by whiteflies. *Annual Rreview of Pphytopathology* 49:219-248.
638 Ohta I. 2001. Effect of temperature on development of *Orius strigicollis* (Heteroptera:
639 Anthocoridae) fed on *Frankliniella occidentalis* (Thysanoptera: Thripidae). *Applied*
640 *Entomology and Zoology* 36:483-488.
641 Opit G, Roitberg B, and Gillespie D. 1997. The functional response and prey preference of
642 *Feltiella acarisuga* (Vallot)(Diptera: Cecidomyiidae) for two of its prey: male and female
643 twospotted spider mites, *Tetranychus urticae* Koch (Acari: Tetranychiidae). *The*
644 *Canadian Entomologist* 129:221-227.
645 Pervez A. 2005. Functional responses of coccinellid predators: an illustration of a logistic
646 approach. *Journal of Insect Science* 5:5.
647 Pimentel D, Lach L, Zuniga R, and Morrison D. 2000. Environmental and economic costs of
648 nonindigenous species in the United States. *BioScience* 50:53-66.
649 Polston JE, De Barro P, and Boykin LM. 2014. Transmission specificities of plant viruses with
650 the newly identified species of the *Bemisia tabaci* species complex. *Pest management*
651 *science* 70:1547-1552.
652 Postle AC, Steiner MY, and Goodwin S. 2001. Oriini (Hemiptera: Anthocoridae) new to
653 Australia. *Australian Journal of Entomology* 40:231-244.

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico
Formatado: Fonte: Itálico
Formatado: Fonte: Itálico
Formatado: Fonte: Itálico
Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Prijović M, Marčić D, Drobnjaković T, Međo I, and Perić P. 2013. Life history traits and population growth of greenhouse whitefly (*Trialeurodes vaporariorum* Westwood) on different tomato genotypes. *Pesticidi i Fitomedicina* 28:239-245.

PRU EPA, ATLIHAN R, OKUT H, and CHI H. 2015. Demographic Assessment of Plant Cultivar Resistance to Insect Pests: A Case Study of the Dusky-Veined Walnut Aphid (Hemiptera: Callaphididae) on Five Walnut Cultivars. *J Econ Entomol* 108:378-387.

Riechert S, and Harp J. 1987. Nutritional ecology of spiders. In 'Nutritional Ecology of Insects, Mites, Spiders and Related Invertebrates'.(Eds F. Slansky Jr and JR Rodriguez.) pp. 645–672. John Wiley and Son: New York.

Riudavets J. 2001. Depredadors autòctons per al control biològic de *Frankliniella occidentalis* (Thysanoptera: Thripidae) en conreus hortícoles. Universitat de Lleida.

Riudavets J, and Castañé C. 1998. Identification and evaluation of native predators of *Frankliniella occidentalis* (Thysanoptera: Thripidae) in the Mediterranean. *Environmental Entomology* 27:86-93.

Rocha Ld, and Redaelli LR. 2004. Functional response of *Cosmoclopius nigroannulatus* (Hem.: Reduviidae) to different densities of *Spartocera dentiventris* (Hem.: Coreidae) nymphae. *Brazilian ~~j~~Journal of Bb~~i~~iology* 64:309-316.

Rutledge CE, and O'Neil RJ. 2005. *Orius insidiosus* (Say) as a predator of the soybean aphid, *Aphis glycines* Matsumura. *Biological C~~c~~ontrol* 33:56-64.

Sakaki S, and Sahragard A. 2011. A new method to study the functional response of *Scymnus syriacus* (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) to different densities of *Aphis gossypii*. *Journal of Asia-Pacific Entomology* 14:459-462.

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Comentado [Luis25]: Lowercase

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Sengonca C, Ahmadi K, and Blaeser P. 2008. Biological characteristics of *Orius similis* Zheng (Heteroptera, Anthocoridae) by feeding on different aphid species as prey. *Journal of Plant Diseases and Protection* 115:32-38.

Shaaya E, Kostjukovski M, Eilberg J, and Sukprakarn C. 1997. Plant oils as fumigants and contact insecticides for the control of stored-product insects. *Journal of Stored Products Research* 33:7-15.

Shahpouri A, Yarahmadi F, and Sohani NZ. 2019. Functional response of the predatory species *Orius albidipennis* Reuter (Hemiptera: Anthocoridae) to two life stages of *Bemisia tabaci* (Genn.)(Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae). *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control* 29:14.

Simmonds M, Manlove J, Blaney W, and Khambay B. 2002. Effects of selected botanical insecticides on the behaviour and mortality of the glasshouse whitefly *Trialeurodes vaporariorum* and the parasitoid *Encarsia formosa*. *Entomologia Eexperimentalis et Aapplicata* 102:39-47.

Southwood TRE, and Henderson PA. 2009. *Ecological methods*: John Wiley & Sons.

Stephens DW, and Krebs JR. 1986. *Foraging theory*: Princeton University Press.

Tomar S, Sharma S, and Malik K. 2017. Life parameters of whitefly (*Bemisia tabaci*, Genn.) on different host plants. *Indian Journal of Scientific Research*:34-38.

Tommasini MG, Van Lenteren JC, and Burgio G. 2004. Biological traits and predation capacity of four Orius species on two prey species.

Trottin-Caudal Y, Grasselly D, Trapateau M, Dobelin H, and Millot P. 1991. Biological control of *Frankliniella occidentalis* with Orius majusculus on cucumber. *Bulletin OILB SROP (France)*.

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Comentado [Luis26]: Cite as book or chapter book

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Comentado [Luis27]: Cite as paper, vol, pages, journal

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

698 Tuan SJ, Yeh CC, Atlihan R, and Chi H. 2016. Linking lLife tTable and pPredation rRate for
699 bBiological cControl: A Comparative Study of *Eocanthecona furcellata* (Hemiptera:
700 Pentatomidae) Fed on *Spodoptera litura* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) and Plutella xylostella
701 (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae). *J Econ Entomol* 109:13-24. 10.1093/jee/tov265
702 Van Alphen J, and Jervis M. 1996. Foraging behaviour. *Insect natural enemies*: Springer, 1-62.
703 Van Lenteren JC, and Bueno VH. 2003. Augmentative biological control of arthropods in Latin
704 America. *BioControl* 48:123-139.
705 Van Lenteren Je, and Woets Jv. 1988. Biological and integrated pest control in greenhouses.
706 *Annual Review of Entomology* 33:239-269.
707 Wang S-x, Di N, Chen X, Zhang F, Biondi A, Desneux N, and Wang S. 2019. Life history and
708 functional response to prey density of the flower bug *Orius sauteri* attacking the
709 *fFungivorous sciarid* fly *Lycoriella pleuroti*. *Journal of pPest sScience* 92:715-722.
710 Wei Q, Wang C, Zhang W, and Liu H. 2018. The pattern of tobacco whitefly, *Trialeurodes*
711 *vaporariorum* occurrence and spreading in Chongqing Area. *Journal of Environmental*
712 *Entomology* 40:571-578.
713 Wiedenmann RN, and O'Neil RJ. 1991. Laboratory measurement of the functional response of
714 *Podisus maculiventris* (Say) (Heteroptera: Pentatomidae). *Environmental Entomology*
715 20:610-614.
716 Wisler G, Duffus J, Liu H-Y, and Li R. 1998. Ecology and epidemiology of whitefly-transmitted
717 closteroviruses. *Plant Ddisease* 82:270-280.
718 Wraight S, Lopes R, and Faria M. 2017. Microbial Control of Mite and Insect Pests of
719 Greenhouse Crops. *Microbial control of insect and mite pests*: Elsevier, 237-252.

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Comentado [Luis28]: Cite as chapter book

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Comentado [Luis29]: Cite as chapter

720 Yamada K, Yasunaga T, and Artchawakom T. 2016. The flower bug genus Orius wolff, 1811
721 (Hemiptera: heteroptera: Anthocoridae: oriini) of Thailand. *Journal of Natural History*
722 50:1103-1157.
723 Yang FL, Zhu F, and Lei CL. 2012. Insecticidal activities of garlic substances against adults of
724 grain moth, *Sitotroga cerealella* (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). *Insect Sscience* 19:205-212.
725 Yazdani M, and Keller M. 2016. The shape of the functional response curve of *Dolichogenidea*
726 *tasmanica* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) is affected by recent experience. *Biological*
727 *Ceontrol* 97:63-69.
728 Yazdani M, and Zarabi M. 2011. The effect of diet on longevity, fecundity, and the sex ratio of
729 *Clitostethus arcuatus* (Rossi)(Coleoptera: Coccinellidae). *Journal of Asia-Pacific*
730 *Entomology* 14:349-352.
731 Yin J, Gao X, Wu Y, Jiang Y, Liu S, Duan A, Zhang Z, and Liu C. 2013. Thrips control on the
732 greenhouse eggplant by releasing *Orius sauteri* (Heteroptera: Anthocoridae). *Chinese*
733 *Journal of Biological Control* 29:459-462.
734 Yoza K-i, Imamura T, Kramer KJ, MORGAN TD, Nakamura S, Akiyama K, Kawasaki S,
735 Takaiwa F, and OHTSUBO Ki. 2005. Avidin expressed in transgenic rice confers
736 resistance to the stored-product insect pests *Tribolium confusum* and *Sitotroga cerealella*.
737 *Bioscience, bBiotechnology, and Bbiochemistry* 69:966-971.
738 Zamani A, Talebi A, Fathipour Y, and Baniameri V. 2006. Temperature-dependent functional
739 response of two aphid parasitoids, *Aphidius colemani* and *Aphidius matricariae*
740 (Hymenoptera: Aphidiidae), on the cotton aphid. *Journal of Pest Science* 79:183-188.
741 Zandi-Sohani N, Rajabpour A, Yarahmadi F, and Ramezani L. 2018. Sensitivity of *Bemisia*
742 *tabaci* (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) and the Generalist Predator *Orius albidipennis*

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico
Formatado: Fonte: Itálico
Formatado: Fonte: Itálico
Formatado: Fonte: Itálico
Formatado: Fonte: Itálico
Formatado: Fonte: Itálico
Formatado: Fonte: Itálico
Formatado: Fonte: Itálico
Formatado: Fonte: Itálico
Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

(Hemiptera: Anthocoridae) to Vapors of Essential Oils. *Journal of Eentomological Sscience* 53:493-502.

Zhang S-C, Zhu F, Zheng X-L, Lei C-L, and Zhou X-M. 2012. Survival and developmental characteristics of the predatory bug *Orius similis* (Hemiptera: Anthocoridae) fed on *Tetranychus cinnabarinus* (Acari: Tetranychidae) at three constant temperatures. *European Journal of Entomology* 109.

Zhao J, Guo X, Tan X, Desneux N, Zappala L, Zhang F, and Wang S. 2017. Using Calendula officinalis as a floral resource to enhance aphid and thrips suppression by the flower bug Orius sauteri (Hemiptera: Anthocoridae). *Pest Mmanagement Sscience* 73:515-520.

Zhou X-M, Zhu F, Li H, and Lei C-L. 2006. Effect of temperature on development of *Orius similis* Zheng (Hemiptera: Anthocoridae) and on its predation activity against *Aphis gossypii* Glover (Hemiptera: Aphididae). *Pan Pacific Entomologist* 82:97-102.

Zhou X, and Lei C. 2002. Utilization efficiency and functional response of *Orius similis* Zheng (Hemiptera: Anthocoridae) to different preys. *Acta Ecol Sin* 22:2085-2090.

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Formatado: Fonte: Itálico

Comentado [Luis30]: Complete name, standardize the journal name