

# Methylobacterium, a major component of the culturable bacterial endophyte community of wild *Brassica* seed

Davood Roodi<sup>1,2,3</sup>, James P. Millner<sup>1</sup>, Craig R. McGill<sup>1</sup>, Richard D. Johnson<sup>3</sup>, Ruy Jauregui<sup>4</sup> and  
Stuart D. Card<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Agriculture & Environment, Massey University, Palmerston North, Manawatu, New  
Zealand

<sup>2</sup>Seed and Plant Improvement Institute, Agricultural Research, Education and Extension  
Organization (AREEO), Karaj, Alborz, Iran

<sup>3</sup>Forage Science, AgResearch Limited, Grasslands Research Centre, Palmerston North,  
Manawatu, New Zealand

<sup>4</sup>Knowledge & Analytics, AgResearch Limited, Grasslands Research Centre, Palmerston North,  
Manawatu, New Zealand

Corresponding Author:

Stuart D. Card<sup>3</sup>

Forage Science, AgResearch Limited, Grasslands Research Centre, Private Bag 11008,  
Palmerston North, Manawatu, 4442, New Zealand

Email address: stuart.card@agresearch.co.nz

## Abstract

**Background.** Plants are commonly colonized by a wide diversity of microbial species and the relationships created can range from mutualistic through to parasitic. Microorganisms that typically form symptomless associations with internal plant tissues are termed endophytes. Endophytes associate with most plant species found in natural and managed ecosystems. They are extremely important plant partners that provide improved stress tolerance to the host compared with plants that lack this symbiosis. Fossil records of endophytes date back more than 400 million years, implicating these microorganisms in host plant adaptation to habitat transitions but it is only recently that they have received attention from the scientific community. Plant domestication has reduced endophyte diversity and therefore the wild relatives of many crop species remain untapped reservoirs of beneficial microbes. *Brassica* species display enormous diversity and subsequently provide the widest assortment of products used by man from a single plant genus important for agriculture, horticulture, bioremediation, medicine, soil conditioners, composting crops, and in the production of edible and industrial oils. Many endophytes are horizontally transmitted, but some can colonize the plant's reproductive tissues,

Comentado [JV1]: Add a comma

and this gives these symbionts an efficient mechanism of propagation via plant seed (termed vertical transmission).

**Methods.** This study surveyed 83 wild and landrace *Brassica* accessions composed of 14 different species with a worldwide distribution for seed-associated bacterial endophytes. Seed was stringently disinfected, sown within sterile tissue culture pots within a sterile environment and incubated. After approximately one-month, direct isolation techniques were used to recover bacterial endophytes from roots and shoots of symptomless plants. Bacteria were identified based on the PCR amplification of partial 16S rDNA gene sequences and annotated using the BLASTn program against the NCBI rRNA database. A diversity index was used as a quantitative measure to reflect how many different bacterial species there were in the seed-associated microbial community of the *Brassica* accessions sampled.

**Results.** Bacterial endophytes were recovered from the majority of the *Brassica* accessions screened. *Methylobacterium*, known to stimulate plant development, was the dominant genus composing 56% of the culturable isolated bacterial community and was common in 77% of accessions possessing bacterial endophytes. This is the first report that investigates the seed-associated endophytic microorganisms of wild *Brassica* species.

## Introduction

Endophytes are a diverse sub-group of microorganisms that reside inside the tissues of nearly every vascular plant and, for at least part of their life cycle, do not cause any immediate symptoms (Card et al. 2016; Porras-Alfaro & Bayman 2011; Wilson 1995). However, not all endophytes remain within their plant host throughout their entire life cycle. Additionally, some may change their behavior, from mutualistic to commensalism or even pathogenic, due to a change in the environment, during host senescence or when the host is stressed (Aly et al. 2011; Fisher & Petrini 1992). Endophytes can be found in nearly every type of plant organ, in both vegetative (e.g. leaves, roots and shoots) and reproductive (e.g. flower and seed) tissues (Rodríguez et al. 2009). The presence of bacterial endophytes within the reproductive tissues has been reported for many plant species (Mundt & Hinkle 1976), including coffee (Vega et al. 2005), cotton (Adams & Kloepper 1996), cucumber (Khalaf & Raizada 2016), eucalyptus (Ferreira et al. 2008), oilseed rape (Granér et al. 2003), maize (Rijavec et al. 2007), Norway spruce (Cankar et al. 2005), tobacco (Mastretta et al. 2009) and rice (Elbeltagy et al. 2000; Okunishi et al. 2005). These seed-associated bacterial endophytes may be disseminated from one generation to the next, persisting in the next population of plants (López-López et al. 2010) and is indicative of their ability to vertically transmit. Plant hosts harboring endophytes can gain additional advantageous traits, granting them an ecological advantage over individuals lacking these microorganisms and/or other plant species that occupy a similar ecological niche. These benefits include greater resistance to abiotic and biotic stresses (Hallmann et al. 1997; Mastretta et al. 2006) as well as plant growth promotion (Azevedo et al. 2000).

**Comentado [JV2]:** Italic format.

**Con formato:** Fuente: Cursiva, Resaltar

**Con formato:** Resaltar

Modern *Brassica* cultivars were originally domesticated from species mostly originating from Europe (Rakow 2004), although now many *Brassica* crops, particularly *B. napus* (oilseed rape), *B. rapa* (turnip) and *B. oleracea* (cabbage), are extensively cultivated throughout the world. These species are a major source of vegetables for human consumption and for forage, ornamental plants, condiments, medicinal crops, green manure, bioremediation, and as very important sources of edible and industrial oils (Dixon 2007; Gómez-Campo 1980). A wide range of insect pests, such as aphids (*Brevicoryne brassicae*), diamond back moth (*Plutella xylostella*) and flea beetles (*Phyllotreta* and *Psylliodes* spp.), in addition to several diseases, such as clubroot (caused by *Plasmodiophora brassicae*), phoma stem canker (caused by *Leptosphaeria maculans*), and sclerotinia stem rot (caused by *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*) cause extensive damage to *Brassica* crops worldwide (Kimber & McGregor 1995) with few or no control options available (Granér et al. 2003).

Most studies investigating endophytes of *Brassica* have focused on isolating microorganisms from the vegetative tissues of modern-day cultivars (Germida et al. 1998; Narisawa et al. 1998; Sheng et al. 2008; Sunkar & Nachiyar 2013; Zhang et al. 2014). However, this strategy may be restrictive as the diversity and frequency of endophytic species found in domesticated crops is assumed to be much lower than in their respective wild relatives (Mousa et al. 2015; Putra et al. 2015). Additionally, targeting endophytic species that are associated with the reproductive plant tissues (those microorganisms that are seed-borne or seed-transmitted) would greatly aid the marketing of potential commercial products (Card et al. 2016; Card et al. 2015). This study focused on developing a strategy for screening wild and landrace *Brassica* species for mutualistic, seed-associated endophytes that may offer beneficial traits to elite *Brassica* cultivars.

## Materials & Methods

### *Brassica* germplasm

Sixty-four accessions (49 wild and 15 landraces) of *Brassica* (Table S1), encompassing a diverse number of species, with a worldwide distribution, were obtained from three international genebanks, namely The United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) via The Germplasm Resources Information Network (GRIN), The Leibniz-Institute of Plant Genetics and Crop Plant Research (IPK Gatersleben) and The Nordic Genetic Resource Centre (NordGen). These accessions were imported into the Margot Forde Germplasm Centre (MFGC), New Zealand's national genebank of grassland plants (on seed import permit no. 2015058982). A further 19 populations of wild *Brassica* were collected locally, within the Manawatu-Wanganui region situated in the lower half of the North Island of New Zealand. All 83 accessions were catalogued and stored at 0°C and 30% relative humidity within the MFGC.

### Screening for seed-associated bacterial endophytes

Two surface disinfection protocols were developed to remove non-target microorganisms (such as saprophytic microorganisms) associated with the seed surface of the aforementioned *Brassica* accessions. Initially all 83 accessions were surface disinfected using the following protocol: seeds were washed for five min in 5% aqueous Tween-20<sup>®</sup> solution (Sigma-Aldrich Inc., New Zealand), two min in 70% ethanol, 10 min in 0.5% sodium hypochlorite, one min in 70% ethanol and rinsed three times in sterile water. To assess the efficacy of the surface disinfection protocols, 3 × 20 µL drops of tap water from the last rinse were plated onto nutrient agar (NA), (CM003, Oxoid Ltd., UK). Petri plates containing the NA were incubated for two weeks at 22°C and inspected daily for microbial growth with the aid of a dissecting microscope (Carl Zeiss (N.Z.) Ltd., New Zealand). For those accessions where saprophytic microorganisms were initially observed, a second surface disinfection protocol was applied whereby the same procedure listed above was repeated except for one modification; seeds were immersed for 10 min in 2% sodium hypochlorite rather than a 0.5% solution. Seed were then dried on filter paper (110 mm, Thermo Fisher Scientific Ltd., New Zealand) within a sterile environment and stored at 4 °C in the dark. To induce germination, seeds were dipped into sterile 0.2% KNO<sub>3</sub> solution and immediately plated onto Petri plates containing 1.5% water agar (WA), with 10 seeds per plate. Petri plates were incubated at 4 °C in the dark for 72 h to break seed dormancy. Dormant accessions (as tested for 15 accessions) resulted in either zero or poor germination without this process and were subsequently transferred to a custom-built growth chamber at 22-25 °C and a 16/8 h (light/dark) photoperiod.

Seed and the subsequent seedlings were examined daily under a dissecting microscope and those exhibiting any obvious epiphytic microbial growth were discarded. After 2-3 days, 10 clean seedlings, from each accession, were transferred to sterile tissue culture pots, 98 mm diameter (2105646, Alto Ltd., New Zealand) containing Murashige & Skoog (M&S) basal salts (Murashige & Skoog 1962) with minimal organics (Sigma-Aldrich, New Zealand), plus 3% sucrose and 1.5% agar (Ali et al. 2007). Pots were placed in the growth chamber (with the same settings as described earlier) and visually assessed every day for a month using a dissecting microscope. Plants were discarded if they showed any disease symptoms or any saprophytic microbial growth. Four clean seedlings were finally selected from each accession and subsequently dissected into two components: shoot and root. These organs were further dissected into 2-3 mm<sup>2</sup> pieces using sterile forceps and a scalpel. Ten pieces per organ type from each seedling were transferred to Petri plates containing NA. Petri plates were incubated for three weeks at 22 °C in the dark and checked daily under a dissecting microscope for microbial growth. Bacterial colonies arising from dissected tissue pieces were selected, sub-cultured and checked for purity. Representative bacterial isolates were then sub-cultured onto fresh NA using a sterile loop and stored in 25% glycerol at -80 °C.

#### Identification of seed-associated bacterial endophytes

Comentado [JV3]: Add a colon.

Comentado [JV4]: Space.

Bacterial isolates were identified based on the PCR amplification of **partial 16S rDNA gene sequences** (Weisburg et al. 1991). PCR was performed directly on suspensions of each purified bacterial colony as follows: each colony was suspended in 10 µL Milli-Q<sup>®</sup> water in a standard 0.2 mL PCR tube (Axygen<sup>™</sup>, USA) and frozen at -20°C before being thawed and heated at 65°C for 30 min. 1 µL of suspension was added to the PCR reaction containing 5 µL 10X PCR buffer, 1.5 µL MgCl<sub>2</sub> (50 mM), forward primer, 27F (5'-AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG, 1 µL, 10 µM), reverse primer R1497, (5'- CCTATATCGCCGGTAATT, 1 µL, 10 µM), 0.4 µL dNTPS (25 mM), 0.25 µL Taq-polymerase and 39.85 µL sterile Milli-Q water to make a 50 µL PCR reaction. PCR was performed in a thermocycler (Bio-Rad C1000 Touch<sup>™</sup>, Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc., USA) with the following conditions: an initial step of 95 °C for 5min was followed by 36 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 56 °C for 60 s, 72 °C for 90 s and a final step of 72 °C for 10 min; PCR amplification products were confirmed by electrophoresis in a 1.5% agarose gel and purified using the DNA clean & concentrator kit (Zymo Research Corporation, USA) prior to Sanger sequencing (Sanger & Coulson 1975) (New Zealand Genomics Ltd., New Zealand). The raw sequence ab1 files were imported into the software package Geneious Prime<sup>®</sup> version 2019.1.1 (Biomatters Ltd., New Zealand) and were quality trimmed using an error probability of 0.05. Those sequences with a region of high quality greater or equal than 600 bp were kept and annotated using the BLASTn program against the NCBI rRNA database. The sequences were aligned using the multiple alignment program MAFFT (Katoh & Standley 2013), and a Maximum Likelihood phylogenetic tree was generated using the software Mega X (Kumar et al. 2018) using a general time reversible model and validated by 100 bootstrap cycles. All sequence data were deposited in GenBank under file SUB6483552: MN629046 - MN629135. Simpson's diversity index (Simpson 1949) was used as a quantitative measure to reflect how many different bacterial species there were in the seed-associated microbial community of the *Brassica* accessions sampled.

### Assessing plant growth promotion

Two isolates of *Methylobacterium*, namely *Methylobacterium fujisawaense* (isolate B82) and *Me. phyllosphaerae* (isolate B64), were selected due to their high tissue colonization rate in their original host plants. The bacteria were plated on NA and incubated for two weeks at 22 °C. For each isolate, cells were scraped from the Petri plates using a loop and transferred to an aqueous Tween-20<sup>®</sup> solution. Concentrations were adjusted to 10<sup>9</sup> cells per ~~ml~~ mL using a haemocytometer. Oilseed rape, cv. King was selected as the novel host plant. Seeds were surface disinfected, as described earlier, and placed on a filter paper under a laminar flow cabinet to dry. They were then transferred to Petri plates containing 2% ~~water agar (WA)~~ and incubated at 22 °C in a custom-made lighting room with 18/6 h~~f~~ (light/dark photoperiod) to initiate germination. The root tip of each seedling was excised with a sterile scalpel, dipped into the prepared bacterial suspension and transplanted into sterile plastic pots (7 × 15 cm) containing autoclaved potting mix (50% fine bark, 12.5% compost and 25% pumice plus nutrient, gypsum and Agri-lime). Control seedlings, after excising the root, were dipped in sterile water containing one drop of

Con formato: Resaltar

Con formato: Resaltar

**Comentado [JV5]:** You should check this reference: Kato et al. (2005). J. Gen. Appl. Microbiol., 51, 287–299. <https://doi.org/10.2323/jgam.51.287>. The HV region used in this study produced a better grouping of *Methylobacterium* species. Maybe you could adjust the length of their sequences and produce a new tree.

Tween-20<sup>®</sup> per ~~litre~~liter. Pots were watered equally, and lids placed on top. Pots were transferred to a plant growth chamber (A1000, Conviron Asia Pacific Pty Ltd., Australia) set at 18 °C with a 16/8 h<sup>f</sup> (light/dark) photoperiod. The experiment was laid out in a completely randomized design with eight replications. Each experimental unit comprised five pots/plants. After one month, plants were removed, and all soil debris cleaned by washing under a water tap. Each plant was placed on a filter paper for 8 h<sup>f</sup> at room temperature (20-25 °C) to completely dry. The seedlings were weighed and the mean weight of five plants in each experimental unit were used for analysis of variance (ANOVA) using SPSS software (IBM<sup>®</sup> SPSS<sup>®</sup> Statistics, version 24).

### Dual culture test

The antifungal activity of a representative isolate of each bacterial species was assessed *in vitro* (dual culture) against the target pathogen, *L. maculans*. The *L. maculans* strain Lm145 utilized was a virulent pathogen of *Brassica* sp., previously isolated from a swede crop in New Zealand (Lob 2014). Bacterial endophytes, previously stored at -80 °C, were thawed, streaked onto NA and incubated for two weeks at 22 °C. For each bacterial isolate a cell suspension (10<sup>9</sup> cells per ~~ml~~mL) was prepared and 50 µL streaked in a straight line across the center of a Petri plate. Control treatments were streaked with only sterile water mixed with one drop of Tween-20<sup>®</sup> per ~~litre~~liter. Petri plates were incubated for two weeks at 22 °C and then two mycelial plugs (5 mm diameter) were taken from the actively growing region of a two-week old *L. maculans* culture and placed 25 mm from the edge of each Petri plate opposite each other; there were 10 replicate plates for each treatment. When the two fungal colonies placed on opposite sides had grown sufficiently to close the gap between them, the distance between the bacterial colony and the *L. maculans* colony was measured using a digital caliper (Mitutoyo Corporation, Japan). The inhibition zone was rated using a 5 point scaling system: 4 (very high), inhibition zone >10 mm; 3 (high), inhibition zone 5-10 mm; 2 (medium), inhibition zone <5 mm; 1 (low), *L. maculans* growth stopped at the bacterial streak line; 0 (zero), no inhibition zone with *L. maculans* typically growing over the bacterial streak (Hammoudi et al. 2012).

## Results

### Seed-associated bacterial isolates

In total, 54 accessions (44 wild and 10 landrace), out of the total 83 accessions surface disinfected and sown, resulted in symptomless plants when germinated and subsequently grown on M&S medium. The remaining 29 accessions all exhibited epiphytic fungal growth, with most colonies identified as *Alternaria* sp. These plants were all destroyed by autoclaving. After incubation, bacterial colonies were detected from 48 symptomless wild *Brassica* accessions (38 wild and 10 landrace). Six accessions did not result in any bacteria being isolated.

### Identification of seed-associated bacteria

A sample of 90 bacterial isolates, representing the morphological diversity of all the observed bacterial colonies that developed from dissected *Brassica* tissue, were sequenced to determine

Comentado [JV6]: Space

Comentado [JV7]: Space

Comentado [JV8]: Space

Comentado [JV9]: Add a colon.

Comentado [JV10]: Add some images of these results. One example of every inhibition zone. I believe are very important results.

237 their species identity. 16S rDNA gene sequencing identified 19 different bacterial species  
238 belonging to three phyla, namely Actinobacteria, Firmicutes and Proteobacteria (Fig. 1 and  
239 Table 1). According to the phylogenetic tree (Fig. 1) the most frequently isolated species were  
240 *Methylobacterium fujisawaense* (50 isolates), *Stenotrophomonas rhizophila* (10 isolates) and  
241 *Pseudomonas lactis* (9 isolates). Three species were identified from the Actinobacteria, namely  
242 *Kocuria palustris*, *Micrococcus aloeverae*, and *Plantibacter flavus* while two species were  
243 identified from the Firmicutes phylum, namely *Bacillus mycoides* and *Paenibacillus hordei*.  
244 Simpson's diversity index recorded a value of 0.74 indicating that these wild and landrace  
245 *Brassica* accessions contained a high diversity of seed-associated bacteria. Nevertheless,  
246 *Methylobacterium* spp., predominantly *Me. fujisawaense* and the closely related species *Me.*  
247 *phyllosphaerae*, *Me. oryza* and *Methylobacterium extorquens* constituted 56% of the bacteria  
248 isolated and were common in 77% of the *Brassica* accessions screened (38  
249 ~~ae~~accessions; 28 wild and 10 landrace). These were also distributed among multiple  
250 *Brassica* species including *Bra. barnebyi*, *Bra. elongata*, *Bra. graveolens*, *Bra. indica*, *Bra.*  
251 *juncea*, *Bra. napus*, *Bra. nigra* and *Bra. rapa* sourced from five continents, namely Africa, Asia,  
252 Australasia, Europe and North America.

253  
254 **Plant growth promotion**

255 Oilseed rape plants that were inoculated with two isolates of *Methylobacterium* showed a  
256 significant ( $P<0.01$ ) increase in their dry weight when compared to the un-inoculated control  
257 plants (Fig. 2). Plants inoculated with *Me. fujisawaense* (B82) had a mean weight of 1.33 g/plant,  
258 while those inoculated with *Me. phyllosphaerae* (B62) displayed a mean weight of 0.88 g/plant  
259 compared to a mean weight of 0.69 g/plant for the uninoculated control plants (Fig. 2).

260  
261 **Dual culture test**

262 The results from the dual culture assays indicate that some of the bacterial isolates showed  
263 antagonistic behavior towards *L. maculans*. The strongest inhibition effect was observed with  
264 isolates of *Me. fujisawaense* and *Me. phyllosphaerae*, *N. resinovorum*, *Ps. lactis*, *Pl. flavus* and  
265 *St. rhizophila* that all showed a high, clear inhibition zone between the edge of the bacterial  
266 streak and the pathogen. However, *L. maculans* was not affected by *K. palustris*, *Sphingom.*  
267 *yaningensis*, *Sphingom. insulae*, *Ba. mycoides* or *Brevundimonas vesicularis*; with no inhibition  
268 zone produced.

269  
270 **Discussion**

271 This study investigated the cultivable bacterial community persisting in wild and landrace  
272 *Brassica* seed. Seventeen-Nineteen bacterial species were isolated from 83 accessions, belonging  
273 to eight *Brassica* species, covering five continents, with some of the accessions more than 20  
274 years old. The bacterial genera to which these species belong have been previously reported in  
275 the literature as seed endophytes of a diverse number of plant species. For example,  
276 *Methylobacterium* and *Paenibacillus* spp. have both been described as seed endophytes from

**Comentado [JV11]:** Remove italic format.

**Comentado [JV12]:** Remove italic format.

**Con formato:** Fuente: Sin Cursiva

**Con formato:** Fuente: Sin Cursiva

**Comentado [JV13]:** Add a colon.

**Comentado [JV14]:** Remove italic format in commas.

**Comentado [JV15]:** On the axis of the figure says fresh weight.

**Comentado [JV16]:** Add images of these results. The results could go in supplementary material.

**Con formato:** Resaltar

*Eucalyptus* (Ferreira et al. 2008), *Oryza sativa* (Mano et al. 2006) and *Phaseolus vulgaris* (López-López et al. 2010), while *Bacillus* and *Micrococcus* spp. are common seed endophytes of *Coffea arabica* (Vega et al. 2005) and *O. sativa* (Mano et al. 2006). Our results indicate that the diversity of bacterial endophytes in seed of wild *Brassica* is relatively high with most of the bacterial species identified belonging to the Proteobacteria, the major phylum of gram-negative bacteria. This is consistent with earlier work that showed the seed microbiome of oilseed rape were colonized mostly by Proteobacteria and that individual cultivars each had their own unique microbiome profile (Rybakova et al. 2017).

Development of an effective surface disinfection protocol was paramount to this study. A protocol that was too harsh could sterilize the seed and kill any potentially beneficial microorganisms residing in the seed tissues, as well as potentially damaging the seed itself, while a protocol that was too moderate could yield unwanted saprophytic microorganisms residing on the surface of the seed coat. These non-target saprophytes have the potential to outgrow any slower growing endophytic organisms that may be beneficial. Many of these non-target species can colonize the interior tissues of the germinating plant during the emergence of the radicle (Bent & Chanway 2002). The surface disinfection protocol used in this study was not designed to eliminate all organisms living on the seed surface, just to reduce their frequency. For example, *Alternaria* sp., commonly associated with seed coats or pericarps of seed (Harman 1983; Neergaard 2011) was frequently isolated.

Many studies have reported that strains belonging to the same genera identified in our study confer several beneficial traits to their host plants, including enhanced resistance against certain plant pathogens and/or growth promotion (Araújo et al. 2002; Berg & Hallmann 2006; Khan et al. 2014; Rashid et al. 2012; Rout & Chrzanowski 2009; Sessitsch et al. 2004; Ying et al. 2016). We assessed the antagonistic activity of selected isolates of bacterial species against *L. maculans* (the causal agents of phoma stem canker in oilseed rape) through dual culture bioassays and observed that *Me. fujisawaense* and *Me. phyllosphaerae* possessed antagonistic potential against the pathogen. The genus *Methylobacterium* is composed of pink-pigmented facultative methylotrophs (PPFMs) (Dourado et al. 2015) that are able to form endophytic associations with a range of plant species including citrus (Araújo et al. 2002), cotton (Madhaiyan et al. 2012), eucalyptus (Andreote et al. 2009), mangrove (Dourado et al. 2012), peanut (Madhaiyan et al. 2006b), pine (Pohjanen et al. 2014) and tobacco (Andreote et al. 2006). PPFMs are not pathogenic to their plant hosts (Idris et al. 2006) making them ideal candidates for endophytic biological control strategies (Omer et al. 2004). Additionally, *Methylobacterium* spp. are able to enhance plant growth through several mechanisms, including, nitrogen fixation (Lee et al. 2006; Menna et al. 2006; Sy et al. 2001), phytohormone production such as cytokinins and auxins (Madhaiyan et al. 2006a; Meena et al. 2012; Trotsenko et al. 2001), interact with and inhibit plant pathogens (Araújo et al. 2002; Lacava et al. 2004; Poorniammal et al. 2009), promote plant growth (Madhaiyan et al. 2006a; Madhaiyan et al. 2006b; Tani et al. 2012), induce higher

photosynthetic activity (Cervantes-Martínez et al. 2004), induce systemic resistance (Madhaiyan et al. 2006b), decrease environmental stress (Muller et al. 2011) and immobilize heavy metals (Dourado et al. 2012). We analyzed the **fresh weight** of seedlings of an oilseed rape cultivar under growth chamber condition when the roots were inoculated with two isolates of *Me. fujisawaense* and *Me. phyllosphaerae* and found that inoculated plants had a higher growth rate than non-inoculated plants. Cultivated *Brassica* crops such as oilseed rape, have a high nitrogen demand (Rathke et al. 2006) and their cultivation is reliant on fertilization with nitrogen rich products. These crops usually have low nitrogen use efficiency and this is a specific target for the breeding of new cultivars (Bouchet et al. 2016; Bouchet et al. 2014). The frequent presence of *Methylobacterium* in wild *Brassica* species, that are usually found within infertile soils, such as those where some of the wild species used in our study were collected suggests that this symbiosis improves the development of the host plant. These bacteria may therefore possess traits for use as plant growth promoters in artificial *Brassica* hosts such as domesticated cultivars.

This study isolated species of *Methylobacterium*, and the closely related *Methylobacterium extorquens* (Green & Ardley 2018), from above and below ground plant organs (shoot and root, respectively). As morphologically similar isolates were identified from multiple root and shoot tissue pieces belonging to the same individual plant, we speculate that these bacterial isolates are capable of systemic plant colonization. Additionally, these tissues were dissected from symptomless seedlings grown from surface disinfected seed under sterile conditions and therefore this strongly suggests that these bacteria are vertically transmitted. The *Methylobacterium* species isolated in this study were present in a range of plant accessions originating from a geographically diverse set of countries with varied altitude. This is consistent with other reports of endophytic microbes, for example, among *Zea* spp. which were found across species grown in wide range of geographical locations (Johnston-Monje & Raizada 2011).

It has been reported the age of seed may considerably influence the seed microbiome (Cankar et al. 2005). Indeed, no bacteria were isolated from six accessions that had been stored for more than 15 years. However, one accession that was over 26 years old gave rise to *Methylobacterium* indicating that this bacterium can adapt and survive in seed tissues for a long period of storage time. Mano et al. (2006) reported that only certain bacteria such as *Methylobacterium* are able to reside inside rice seed. These endophytic bacteria enter the seeds during the seed maturation stages and are tolerant to high osmotic pressure. The isolates possess a high degree of amylase activity, which may aid survival in the seed (Mano et al. 2006).

## Conclusions

Although three species of *Methylobacterium*, namely *Me. extorquens*, *Me. mesophilicum* and *Me. goesingense*, were previously identified in *Thlaspi goesingense* belonging to the wider *Brassicaceae* family (Idris et al. 2006), to our knowledge this is the first report to describe the

Comentado [JV17]: Or dry weight?

isolation and identification of endophytic bacteria of seeds of wild and landrace *Brassica* species. We present a straight-forward strategy to screen and cultivate seed-associated endophytes with possible beneficial traits. Focusing our efforts on seed-associated organisms may facilitate novel endophyte technologies that could be incorporated into future crop seed (Berg et al. 2017). This approach would then also be advantageous to companies that wish to invest in the commercialization of such products as they can lower their financial risk in terms of delivering a suitable efficacious product to farmers whilst protecting their IP. The latter is possible because an elite plant cultivar and the biological control agent can be protected together in one commercial seed product entity. This means of propagation relies on the plant's reproductive strategy and may aid the marketing of any potential plant-endophyte product (Card et al. 2016).

## Acknowledgements

We would like to express our appreciation to Jaspreet Singh and Anouck de Bonth (both from AgResearch Limited) and Jana Monk (ASURE Quality Limited, New Zealand) for their technical support. We thank Eirian Jones (Lincoln University, New Zealand) for kindly supplying the culture of *Leptosphaeria maculans* and DSV seeds for providing oilseed rape, cv. King.

## References

- Adams P, and Klopper J. 1996. Seed-borne bacterial endophytes in different cotton cultivars. *Phytopathology* 86:S97.
- Ali H, Ali Z, Ali H, Mehmood S, and Ali W. 2007. *In vitro* regeneration of *Brassica napus* L., cultivars (Star, Cyclone and Westar) from hypocotyls and cotyledonary leaves. *Pakistan Journal of Botany* 39:1251.
- Aly AH, Debbab A, and Proksch P. 2011. Fungal endophytes: unique plant inhabitants with great promises. *Applied microbiology and biotechnology* 90:1829-1845.
- Andreote FD, Carneiro RT, Salles JF, Marcon J, Labate CA, Azevedo JL, and Araújo WL. 2009. Culture-independent assessment of Rhizobiales-related Alphaproteobacteria and the diversity of *Methylobacterium* in the rhizosphere and rhizoplane of transgenic eucalyptus. *Microbial Ecology* 57:82-93.
- Andreote FD, Lacava PT, Gai CS, Araújo WL, Maccheroni J, Walter, van Overbeek LS, van Elsas JD, and Azevedo JL. 2006. Model plants for studying the interaction between *Methylobacterium mesophilicum* and *Xylella fastidiosa*. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology* 52:419-426.
- Araújo WL, Marcon J, Maccheroni W, van Elsas JD, van Vuurde JW, and Azevedo JL. 2002. Diversity of endophytic bacterial populations and their interaction with *Xylella fastidiosa* in citrus plants. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 68:4906-4914.
- Azevedo JL, Maccheroni Jr W, Pereira JO, and de Araújo WL. 2000. Endophytic microorganisms: a review on insect control and recent advances on tropical plants. *Electronic Journal of Biotechnology* 3:15-16.
- Bent E, and Chanway CP. 2002. Potential for misidentification of a spore-forming *Paenibacillus polymyxa* isolate as an endophyte by using culture-based methods. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 68:4650-4652.

- Berg G, and Hallmann J. 2006. Control of plant pathogenic fungi with bacterial endophytes. *Microbial Root Endophytes*: Springer, 53-69.
- Berg G, Köberl M, Rybakova D, Müller H, Grosch R, and Smalla K. 2017. Plant microbial diversity is suggested as the key to future biocontrol and health trends. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* 93. 10.1093/femsec/fix050.
- Bouchet A-S, Laperche A, Bissuel-Belaygue C, Snowdon R, Nesi N, and Stahl A. 2016. Nitrogen use efficiency in rapeseed. A review. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development* 36:38.
- Bouchet A-S, Nesi N, Bissuel C, Bregeon M, Lariepe A, Navier H, Ribiere N, Orsel M, Grezes-Besset B, and Renard M. 2014. Genetic control of yield and yield components in winter oilseed rape (*Brassica napus* L.) grown under nitrogen limitation. *Euphytica* 199:183-205.
- Cankar K, Kraigher H, Ravnikar M, and Rupnik M. 2005. Bacterial endophytes from seeds of Norway spruce (*Picea abies* L. Karst). *FEMS Microbiology Letters* 244:341-345.
- Card S, Johnson L, Teasdale S, and Caradus J. 2016. Deciphering endophyte behaviour: the link between endophyte biology and efficacious biological control agents. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* 92.
- Card SD, Hume DE, Roodi D, McGill CR, Millner JP, and Johnson RD. 2015. Beneficial endophytic microorganisms of Brassica—A review. *Biological Control* 90:102-112.
- Cervantes-Martinez J, López-Díaz S, and Rodríguez-Garay Bn. 2004. Detection of the effects of *Methylobacterium* in *Agave tequilana* Weber var. azul by laser-induced fluorescence. *Plant science* 166:889-892.
- Dixon GR. 2007. *Vegetable brassicas and related crucifers*. Reading: CABI.
- Dourado MN, Aparecida Camargo Neves A, Santos DS, and Araújo WL. 2015. Biotechnological and agronomic potential of endophytic pink-pigmented methylotrophic *Methylobacterium* spp. *BioMed research international* 2015.
- Dourado MN, Ferreira A, Araújo WL, Azevedo JL, and Lacava PT. 2012. The diversity of endophytic methylotrophic bacteria in an oil-contaminated and an oil-free mangrove ecosystem and their tolerance to heavy metals. *Biotechnology research international* 2012.
- Elbeltagy A, Nishioka K, Suzuki H, Sato T, Sato Y-I, Morisaki H, Mitsui H, and Minamisawa K. 2000. Isolation and characterization of endophytic bacteria from wild and traditionally cultivated rice varieties. *Soil Science and Plant Nutrition* 46:617-629.
- Ferreira A, Quecine MC, Lacava PT, Oda S, Azevedo JL, and Araújo WL. 2008. Diversity of endophytic bacteria from Eucalyptus species seeds and colonization of seedlings by *Pantoea agglomerans*. *FEMS Microbiology Letters* 287:8-14.
- Fisher P, and Petrini O. 1992. Fungal saprobes and pathogens as endophytes of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *New Phytologist* 120:137-143.
- Germida JJ, Siciliano SD, De Freitas JR, and Seib AM. 1998. Diversity of root-associated bacteria associated with field-grown canola (*Brassica napus* L.) and wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* 26:43-50. 10.1016/s0168-6496(98)00020-8.
- Gómez-Campo C. 1980. Morphology and morpho-taxonomy of the tribe *Brassicaceae*. In: Tsunoda S, Hinata K, and Gomez-Campo C, eds. *Brassica crops and wild allies Biology and breeding*. Tokyo, Japan: Japan Scientific Societies Press, 3-31.

443 Granér G, Persson P, Meijer J, and Alström S. 2003. A study on microbial diversity in different  
 444 cultivars of *Brassica napus* in relation to its wilt pathogen, *Verticillium longisporum*.  
 445 *FEMS Microbiology Letters* 224:269-276. 10.1016/s0378-1097(03)00449-x  
 446 Green PN, and Ardley JK. 2018. Review of the genus *Methylobacterium* and closely related  
 447 organisms: a proposal that some *Methylobacterium* species be reclassified into a new  
 448 genus, *Methylorubrum* gen. nov. *International journal of systematic and evolutionary*  
 449 *microbiology* 68:2727-2748. <https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.002856>  
 450 Hallmann J, Quadt-Hallmann A, Mahaffee WF, and Kloepper JW. 1997. Bacterial endophytes in  
 451 agricultural crops. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology* 43:895-914.  
 452 Hammoudi O, Salman M, Abuamsha R, and Ehlers R-U. 2012. Effectiveness of bacterial and  
 453 fungal isolates to control *Phoma lingam* on oilseed rape *Brassica napus*. *American*  
 454 *Journal of Plant Sciences* 3:773.  
 455 Harman G. 1983. Mechanisms of seed infection and pathogenesis. *Phytopathology* 73:326-328.  
 456 Idris R, Kuffner M, Bodrossy L, Puschenreiter M, Monchy S, Wenzel WW, and Sessitsch A.  
 457 2006. Characterization of Ni-tolerant methylobacteria associated with the  
 458 hyperaccumulating plant *Thlaspi goesingense* and description of *Methylobacterium*  
 459 *goesingense* sp. nov. *Systematic and Applied Microbiology* 29:634-644.  
 460 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.syapm.2006.01.011>  
 461 Johnston-Monje D, and Raizada MN. 2011. Conservation and diversity of seed associated  
 462 endophytes in *Zea* across boundaries of evolution, ethnography and ecology. *PLOs ONE*  
 463 6:e20396.  
 464 Katoh K, and Standley DM. 2013. MAFFT Multiple sequence alignment software version 7:  
 465 improvements in performance and usability. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 30:772-  
 466 780.  
 467 Khalaf EM, and Raizada MN. 2016. Taxonomic and functional diversity of cultured seed  
 468 associated microbes of the cucurbit family. *BMC microbiology* 16:131.  
 469 Khan AL, Waqas M, Kang S-M, Al-Harrasi A, Hussain J, Al-Rawahi A, Al-Khiziri S, Ullah I,  
 470 Ali L, and Jung H-Y. 2014. Bacterial endophyte *Sphingomonas* sp. LK11 produces  
 471 gibberellins and IAA and promotes tomato plant growth. *Journal of Microbiology*  
 472 52:689-695.  
 473 Kimber D, and McGregor D. 1995. *Brassica oilseeds: production and utilization*. Wallingford,  
 474 UK: CAB International.  
 475 Kumar S, Stecher G, Li M, Knyaz C, and Tamura K. 2018. MEGA X: Molecular evolutionary  
 476 genetics analysis across computing platforms. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 35:1547-  
 477 1549.  
 478 Lacava P, Araújo WL, Marcon J, Maccheroni Jr W, and Azevedo Jld. 2004. Interaction between  
 479 endophytic bacteria from citrus plants and the phytopathogenic bacteria *Xylella*  
 480 *fastidiosa*, causal agent of citrus-variegated chlorosis. *Letters in Applied Microbiology*  
 481 39:55-59.  
 482 Lee HS, Madhaiyan M, Kim CW, Choi SJ, Chung KY, and Sa TM. 2006. Physiological  
 483 enhancement of early growth of rice seedlings (*Oryza sativa* L.) by production of  
 484 phytohormone of N<sub>2</sub>-fixing methylotrophic isolates. *Biology and fertility of soils* 42:402-  
 485 408.  
 486 Lob S. 2014. *Leptosphaeria* diseases of oilseed rape and swede: identification and epidemiology  
 487 PhD-PhD. Lincoln University.

Con formato: Resaltar

Con formato: Subíndice , Resaltar

Con formato: Español (México)

- López-López A, Rogel MA, Ormeno-Orrillo E, Martínez-Romero J, and Martínez-Romero E. 2010. *Phaseolus vulgaris* seed-borne endophytic community with novel bacterial species such as *Rhizobium endophyticum* sp. nov. *Systematic and Applied Microbiology* 33:322-327.
- Madhaiyan M, Poonguzhali S, Ryu J, and Sa T. 2006a. Regulation of ethylene levels in canola (*Brassica campestris*) by 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate deaminase-containing *Methylobacterium fujisawaense*. *Planta* 224:268-278.
- Madhaiyan M, Poonguzhali S, Senthilkumar M, Lee J-S, and Lee K-C. 2012. *Methylobacterium gossipiicola* sp. nov., a pink-pigmented, facultatively methylotrophic bacterium isolated from the cotton phyllosphere. *International journal of systematic and evolutionary microbiology* 62:162-167.
- Madhaiyan M, Reddy BS, Anandham R, Senthilkumar M, Poonguzhali S, Sundaram S, and Sa T. 2006b. Plant growth-promoting *Methylobacterium* induces defense responses in groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) compared with rot pathogens. *Current Microbiology* 53:270-276.
- Mano H, Tanaka F, Watanabe A, Kaga H, Okunishi S, and Morisaki H. 2006. Culturable surface and endophytic bacterial flora of the maturing seeds of rice plants (*Oryza sativa*) cultivated in a paddy field. *Microbes and Environments* 21:86-100.
- Mastretta C, Barac T, Vangronsveld J, Newman L, Taghavi S, and Lelie Dvd. 2006. Endophytic bacteria and their potential application to improve the phytoremediation of contaminated environments. *Biotechnology and genetic engineering reviews* 23:175-188.
- Mastretta C, Taghavi S, Van Der Lelie D, Mengoni A, Galardi F, Gonnelli C, Barac T, Boulet J, Weyens N, and Vangronsveld J. 2009. Endophytic bacteria from seeds of *Nicotiana tabacum* can reduce cadmium phytotoxicity. *International Journal of Phytoremediation* 11:251-267.
- Meena KK, Kumar M, Kalyuzhnaya MG, Yandigeri MS, Singh DP, Saxena AK, and Arora DK. 2012. Epiphytic pink-pigmented methylotrophic bacteria enhance germination and seedling growth of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) by producing phytohormone. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek* 101:777-786.
- Menna P, Hungria M, Barcellos FG, Bangel EV, Hess PN, and Martínez-Romero E. 2006. Molecular phylogeny based on the 16S rRNA gene of elite rhizobial strains used in Brazilian commercial inoculants. *Systematic and Applied Microbiology* 29:315-332.
- Mousa WK, Shearer CR, Limay-Rios V, Zhou T, and Raizada MN. 2015. Bacterial endophytes from wild maize suppress *Fusarium graminearum* in modern maize and inhibit mycotoxin accumulation. *Frontiers in plant science* 6:805.
- Muller EE, Hourcade E, Louhichi-Jelail Y, Hammann P, Vuilleumier S, and Bringel F. 2011. Functional genomics of dichloromethane utilization in *Methylobacterium extorquens* DM4. *Environmental microbiology* 13:2518-2535.
- Mundt JO, and Hinkle NF. 1976. Bacteria within ovules and seeds. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 32:694-698.
- Murashige T, and Skoog F. 1962. A revised medium for rapid growth and bioassays with tobacco tissue cultures. *Physiologia Plantarum* 15:473-497.
- Narisawa K, Tokumasu S, and Hashiba T. 1998. Suppression of clubroot formation in Chinese cabbage by the root endophytic fungus, *Heteroconium chaetospira*. *Plant Pathology* 47:206-210.

Neergaard P. 2011. *Seed Pathology: Volumes 1 and 2*: Macmillan International Higher Education.

Okunishi S, Sako K, Mano H, Imamura A, and Morisaki H. 2005. Bacterial flora of endophytes in the maturing seed of cultivated rice (*Oryza sativa*). *Microbes and Environments* 20:168-177.

Pohjanen J, Koskimäki JJ, Sutela S, Ardanov P, Suorsa M, Niemi K, Sarjala T, Häggman H, and Pirttilä AM. 2014. Interaction with ectomycorrhizal fungi and endophytic *Methylobacterium* affects nutrient uptake and growth of pine seedlings *in vitro*. *Tree physiology* 34:993-1005.

Poorniammal R, Sundaram S, and Kumutha K. 2009. *In vitro* biocontrol activity of *Methylobacterium extorquens* against fungal pathogens. *International Journal of Plant Protection* 2:59-62.

Porras-Alfaro A, and Bayman P. 2011. Hidden fungi, emergent properties: endophytes and microbiomes. *Annual review of phytopathology* 49:291-315.

Putra IP, Rahayu G, and Hidayat I. 2015. Impact of domestication on the endophytic fungal diversity associated with wild *Zingiberaceae* at Mount Halimun Salak National Park. *HAYATI Journal of Biosciences* 22:157-162.

Rakow G. 2004. Species origin and economic importance of *Brassica*. In: Pua EC, and Douglas CJ, eds. *Brassica Biotechnology in Agriculture and Forestry*, vol 54. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 3-11.

Rashid S, Charles TC, and Glick BR. 2012. Isolation and characterization of new plant growth-promoting bacterial endophytes. *Applied soil ecology* 61:217-224.

Rathke G-W, Behrens T, and Diepenbrock W. 2006. Integrated nitrogen management strategies to improve seed yield, oil content and nitrogen efficiency of winter oilseed rape (*Brassica napus* L.): a review. *Agriculture, ecosystems & environment* 117:80-108.

Rijavec T, Lapanje A, Dermastia M, and Rupnik M. 2007. Isolation of bacterial endophytes from germinated maize kernels. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology* 53:802-808.

Rodriguez RJ, White Jr JF, Arnold AE, and Redman RS. 2009. Fungal endophytes: diversity and functional roles. *New Phytologist* 182:314-330. 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2009.02773.x

Rout ME, and Chrzanowski TH. 2009. The invasive *Sorghum halepense* harbors endophytic N-fixing bacteria and alters soil biogeochemistry. *Plant and Soil* 315:163-172.

Rybakova D, Mancinelli R, Wikström M, Birch-Jensen A-S, Postma J, Ehlers R-U, Goertz S, and Berg G. 2017. The structure of the *Brassica napus* seed microbiome is cultivar-dependent and affects the interactions of symbionts and pathogens. *Microbiome* 5:104.

Sanger F, and Coulson AR. 1975. A rapid method for determining sequences in DNA by primed synthesis with DNA polymerase. *Journal of molecular biology* 94:441-448.

Sessitsch A, Reiter B, and Berg G. 2004. Endophytic bacterial communities of field-grown potato plants and their plant-growth-promoting and antagonistic abilities. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology* 50:239-249.

Sheng XF, Xia JJ, Jiang CY, He LY, and Qian M. 2008. Characterization of heavy metal-resistant endophytic bacteria from rape (*Brassica napus*) roots and their potential in promoting the growth and lead accumulation of rape. *Environmental Pollution* 156:1164-1170. 10.1016/j.envpol.2008.04.007

Sunkar S, and Nachiyar CV. 2013. Isolation and characterization of an endophytic bacterium from *Brassica oleracea* with potential enzyme and antibacterial activity. *Asian Journal of Pharmaceutical and Clinical Research* 6:183-187.

Con formato: Fuente: Cursiva, Resaltar

Con formato: Resaltar

Con formato: Subíndice , Resaltar

Con formato: Fuente: Cursiva, Resaltar

579 Sy A, Giraud E, Jourand P, Garcia N, Willems A, De Lajudie P, Prin Y, Neyra M, Gillis M, and  
 580 Boivin-Masson C. 2001. Methylophilic *Methylobacterium* bacteria nodulate and fix  
 581 nitrogen in symbiosis with legumes. *Journal of bacteriology* 183:214-220.  
 582 Tani A, Takai Y, Suzukawa I, Akita M, Murase H, and Kimbara K. 2012. Practical application  
 583 of methanol-mediated mutualistic symbiosis between *Methylobacterium* species and a  
 584 roof greening moss, *Racomitrium japonicum*. *PLoS ONE* 7:e33800.  
 585 Trotsenko YA, Ivanova E, and Doronina N. 2001. Aerobic methylophilic bacteria as  
 586 phytosymbionts. *Microbiology* 70:623-632.  
 587 Vega FE, Pava-Ripoll M, Posada F, and Buyer JS. 2005. Endophytic bacteria in *Coffea arabica*  
 588 L. *Journal of Basic Microbiology* 45:371-380.  
 589 Weisburg WG, Barns SM, Pelletier DA, and Lane DJ. 1991. 16S ribosomal DNA amplification  
 590 for phylogenetic study. *Journal of bacteriology* 173:697-703.  
 591 Wilson D. 1995. Endophyte: the evolution of a term, and clarification of its use and definition.  
 592 *Oikos*:274-276.  
 593 Ying W, Yang C-d, Yao Y-l, Wang Y-q, Zhang Z-f, and Li X. 2016. The diversity and potential  
 594 function of endophytic bacteria isolated from *Kobresia capillifolia* at alpine grasslands  
 595 on the Tibetan Plateau, China. *Journal of integrative agriculture* 15:2153-2162.  
 596 Zhang Q, Zhang J, Yang L, Zhang L, Jiang D, Chen W, and Li G. 2014. Diversity and biocontrol  
 597 potential of endophytic fungi in *Brassica napus*. *Biological Control* 72:98-108.  
 598