

# The common personal behavior and preventive measures among 42 uninfected travelers from the Hubei province, China during COVID-19 outbreak: A cross-sectional survey in Macao SAR, China

Chon Fu Lio<sup>Equal first author, 1</sup>, Hou Hon Cheong<sup>Equal first author, 1</sup>, Chin Ion Lei<sup>Corresp., 2</sup>, Iek Long Lo<sup>3</sup>, Lan Yao<sup>4</sup>, Chong Lam<sup>5</sup>, Iek Hou Leong<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Macao Academy of Medicine, Health Bureau, Macao SAR, China

<sup>2</sup> Department of Medicine, Centro Hospitalar Conde de São Januário, Health Bureau, Macao SAR, China

<sup>3</sup> Department of Respiratory Medicine, Centro Hospitalar Conde de São Januário, Health Bureau, Macao SAR, China

<sup>4</sup> Health Bureau, Macao SAR, China

<sup>5</sup> Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Health Bureau, Macao SAR, China

Corresponding Author: Chin Ion Lei

Email address: cilei@ssm.gov.mo

**Background.** The novel coronavirus diseases 2019 (COVID-19) caused over 1.7 million confirmed cases and cumulative mortality up to over 110,000 deaths worldwide as of April 14, 2020. 57 Macao citizens were obligated to stay in Hubei province, China, where the highest COVID-19 prevalence was noted in the country and a “lockdown” policy was implemented for outbreak control for more than one month. They were escorted from Wuhan City to Macao via a chartered airplane organized by Macao SAR government and received quarantine for 14 days with none of the individual being diagnosed with COVID-19 by serial RNA tests from the nasopharyngeal specimens and sera antibodies. It was crucial to identify common characteristics among these 57 uninfected individuals.

**Methods.** A questionnaire survey was conducted to extract information such as behavior, change of habits and preventive measures.

**Results.** A total of 42 effective questionnaires were analyzed after exclusion of 14 infants and children with age under fifteen as ineligible for the survey and missing of one questionnaire, with a response rate of 97.7% (42 out of 43). The proportion of female composed more than 70% of this group of returners. The main reason for visit Hubei in 88.1% of respondents is to visit relatives. Over 88% of respondents did not participate in high-risk activities due to mobility restriction. All (100%) denied contact with suspected or confirmed COVID-19 cases. Comparison of personal hygiene habits before and during disease outbreak showed a significant increase in practice including wearing a mask when outdoor (16.7% and 95.2%,  $P<0.001$ ) and often wash hands with soap or liquid soap (85.7% and 100%,  $P=0.031$ ).

The common personal behavior and preventive measures among 42 uninfected travelers from the Hubei province, China during COVID-19 outbreak: a cross-sectional survey in Macao SAR, China

Chon Fu Lio<sup>1¶</sup>, Hou Hon Cheong<sup>2¶</sup>, Chin Ion Lei<sup>3\*</sup>, Iek Long Lo<sup>4</sup>, Lan Yao<sup>5</sup>, Chong Lam<sup>6</sup>, Iek Hou Leong<sup>7</sup>

1. Macao Academy of Medicine, Health Bureau, Macao SAR, China

2. Macao Academy of Medicine, Health Bureau, Macao SAR, China

3. Department of Medicine, Centro Hospitalar Conde de São Januário, Health Bureau, Macao SAR, China

4. Department of Respiratory Medicine, Centro Hospitalar Conde de São Januário, Health Bureau, Macao SAR, China

5. Health Bureau, Macao SAR, China

6. Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Health Bureau, Macao SAR, China

7. Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Health Bureau, Macao SAR, China

¶: These authors contributed equally to this work

\*Corresponding Author:

Dr Chin Ion Lei

Chief of service

Department of Medicine

Centro Hospitalar Conde de São Januário

Health Bureau, Macao SAR, China

Email address: [cilei@ssm.gov.mo](mailto:cilei@ssm.gov.mo)

27 **Abstract**

28 **Background.** The novel coronavirus diseases 2019 (COVID-19) caused over 1.7 million  
 29 confirmed cases and cumulative mortality up to over 110,000 deaths worldwide as of April 14,  
 30 2020. 57 Macao citizens were obligated to stay in Hubei province, China, where the highest  
 31 COVID-19 prevalence was noted in the country and a “lockdown” policy was implemented for  
 32 outbreak control for more than one month. They were escorted from Wuhan City to Macao via a  
 33 chartered airplane organized by Macao SAR government and received quarantine for 14 days  
 34 with none of the individual being diagnosed with COVID-19 by serial RNA tests from the  
 35 nasopharyngeal specimens and sera antibodies. It was crucial to identify common characteristics  
 36 among these 57 uninfected individuals.

37 **Methods.** A questionnaire survey was conducted to extract information such as behavior, change  
 38 of habits and preventive measures.

39 **Results.** A total of 42 effective questionnaires were analyzed after exclusion of 14 infants and  
 40 children with age under fifteen as ineligible for the survey and missing of one questionnaire, with  
 41 a response rate of 97.7% (42 out of 43). The proportion of female composed more than 70% of  
 42 this group of returners. The main reason for visit Hubei in 88.1% of respondents is to visit  
 43 relatives. Over 88% of respondents did not participate in high-risk activities due to mobility  
 44 restriction. All (100%) denied contact with suspected or confirmed COVID-19 cases.  
 45 Comparison of personal hygiene habits before and during disease outbreak showed a significant  
 46 increase in practice including wearing a mask when outdoor (16.7% and 95.2%,  $P<0.001$ ) and  
 47 often wash hands with soap or liquid soap (85.7% and 100%,  $P=0.031$ ).

# Introduction

The novel coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) caused by the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) caused over 1.7 million confirmed cases and cumulative mortality up to over 110,000 deaths worldwide as of April 14, 2020(WHO 2020b), provided with its early transmission dynamic of human-to-human transmission among close contacts.(Li et al., 2020) It is estimated in a model that COVID-19 would have resulted in 7.0 billion infections and 40 million deaths globally in 2020 in the absence of any intervention.(Walker et al., 2020) Wuhan City, the capital of Hubei province in China, became the first outbreak center of COVID-19 since December 2019.(Phelan , Katz &Gostin 2020) During Chinese New Year Holidays, Chinese people have the traditional habit of travel to hometown for a family reunion and gathering to celebrate the beginning of a Lunar new year. Hence, many people including groups of Macao citizens were obligated to stay in Hubei province after the announcement of “lockdown”/sanitary cordons by the local government on 23 January 2020, i.e. 2 days before Chinese New Year. It was until 7th March 2020, Macao SAR government escorted a special team to Wuhan, China, to pick up 57 Macao citizens from 31 families, who stayed in 10 different cities in Hubei province.(Portal 2020b) COVID-19 were ruled out in all of them afterwards. A cross-sectional survey was conducted to have in-depth questionnaire interview of these people who were all uninfected by SARS-CoV-2 in a high-risk area, Hubei province, China. This study aims to identify the common grounds and personal behavior leading to a zero-infection rate among participants that might provide crucial hints on global COVID-19 pandemic control.

# Materials & Methods

## Participants, designs and settings

A citizen who presented with body temperature equal to or greater than 37.5 degree Celsius in Hubei province was not allowed for boarding. After arrival to Macao, all 57 citizens were sent to public health clinical center for a 14-day quarantine. A total of three serial nasopharyngeal swabs were obtained on day 2, day 7 and day 13 for viral RNA detection by real-time RT-PCR techniques, which were all negative (100%).(Portal 2020a) Sera antibodies of SAR-CoV-2 were tested with all negative results (100%) on day 14 before citizens released from quarantine. All citizens did not complain any symptoms during quarantine period. A questionnaire was designed to obtain demographic information, activity in Hubei province, contact history, personal health

behaviors such as habit of handwashing, mask usage and home cleaning. Participants aged 15 or over were eligible for this study. The questionnaire survey was delivered to the isolation ward and was implemented by self-administration. The written consents were collected as digital format.

Infants and children with age under fifteen were considered ineligible for this survey. This study was approved by the Hospital Medical Ethical Committee of Centro Hospitalar Conde de São Januário, Macao SAR, China.

# Statistical method

Descriptive statistic was used to summarize demographic information, high-risk activities and common preventive measures via standard parameter such as percentage, mean and median. Then we compared behavior changes before and during COVID-19 outbreak using Wilcoxon signed rank test in continuous variables or McNemar test in dichotomized variables. The statistical significance level was determined at  $\alpha=0.05$ . The statistical analysis was conducted using R (version 3.5.2, R Development Core Team 2018).

# Results

A total of 42 effective questionnaires were analyzed in final after exclusion of 14 infants and children with age less than 15 years old and missing of one questionnaire (response rate: 97.7%). The demographic information was summarized in Table 1. The majority of the participants aged between 20-44 years old (52.4%) and had received secondary education or above (97.6%). The proportion of female composed more than 70% of this group of returners. The most common comorbid diseases were hypertension (7.1%), followed by diabetes mellitus (4.8%) and hepatitis (4.8%). More than half of the respondents were non-smokers (61.9%). The main reason for visit Hubei is to visit relatives (88.1%). More than eighty-five percent of participants thought the most important reason of not getting COVID-19 was to keep distance (“stay away”) from the crowd and decrease cluster or gathering incidence, followed by good personal protective measures (73.8%).

Mobility and participation of high-risk activities were restricted for these participants in Hubei province according to the emergency response policy and these were specified by these respondents (Table 2): 97.6% of them did not visit crowded places; 90.5% of them did not use

any public transportation; 90.5% did not go to any supermarket. About three-quarters of respondents received daily supply at home via unified delivery. None of them visited or traveled to other provinces or cities (0%). All the participants (100%) denied any contact with suspected or confirmed COVID-19 patients while 4.8% of the participants stated there was confirmed COVID-19 cases in their local community.

A further survey of comparison of personal preventive measures before and during disease outbreak showed increased alert and practice of personal protection and hygiene during the spread (Table 3), such as wearing a mask when outdoor (16.7% and 95.2%,  $P<0.001$ ), wearing a mask every time when contact or talk with people (10% and 95%,  $P<0.001$ ), often wash hands with soap/liquid soap (85.7% and 100%,  $P=0.031$ ), use of alcohol-based hand sanitizers or disinfected wipes as substitute if handwashing facility not available (71.4% and 95.2%,  $P=0.006$ ), cleaning clothes and personal belongings immediately once get back home (35.7% and 78.6%,  $P<0.001$ ), cleaning mobile phone regularly (43.9% and 65.9%,  $P=.012$ ). Only 11.9% of respondents attend meal gatherings regularly during the spread compared to 59.5% before ( $P<0.001$ ). The increase in personal measures is significant and may possibly reflect the effectiveness of public health interventions.

## Discussion

The aims of this research was to investigate the reasons that contributed to the negativeness of COVID-19 in this high-risk population in Hubei province. On the one hand, good physical health could be one factor, as the majority of participants were below the age of 45 (61.9%), non-smokers (61%) and 85.7% had no underlying chronic diseases. However, further studies are needed to determine the exact effect of physical health on the risk of COVID-19 infection. On the other hand, it was also important to stop the transmission chain via political measures or personal health behaviors.

On 23 January 2020 (2 days before the Chinese New Year), the China government imposed a “lockdown” in Wuhan and other cities in Hubei to quarantine this center, which is commonly referred to as the “Wuhan lockdown”.(Health-Commission. 2020) All public transport, including buses, railways, flights, and ferry services were suspended with all stations and airports closed. The residents of Wuhan were not allowed to leave the city without permission which was unprecedented in public health history. Besides, measures on social aspects including the ban on

massive gatherings such as concerts or competitions, close of entertainment venues and public facilities, schools closure and mandatory orders of wearing masks in public areas, were applied to mitigate the outbreak by controlling the source of infection and block transmission routes.(Pan et al., 2020) As a result, the respondents of our study reported the highly restricted mobility in Wuhan, China. 97.6% of them denied visiting crowded places which required high self-discipline and other public measures to cooperate. To achieve this level of mobility restriction, local authority organized a team of volunteers to facilitate the delivery of foods and other supply to each home quarantine family(Chinanews.com. 2020), 76.2% of participants received essential materials via this method that decreased the chance of outdoor activity and interaction with other. Nonetheless, 85% of respondents said that "staying away from crowds" was the major reason to be not infected. Moreover, there were emerging evidence suggesting these “lockdown” measures had certain roles on decreasing COVID-19 incidence.(Colbourn ; Gostin &Wiley 2020; Klompas et al., 2020; Phelan , Katz &Gostin 2020; The Lancet Respiratory 2020) It was estimated that the Wuhan travel ban delayed the epidemic progression by 3 to 5 days in mainland China,(Chinazzi et al., 2020; Tian et al., 2020) while reducing case importations to other countries by nearly 80% through mid-February(Chinazzi et al., 2020). Furthermore, the rates of confirmed cases and the effective reproduction number ( $R_t$ ), i.e. the mean number of secondary cases generated by a typical primary case at time  $t$  in a population, declined since January 24, 2020, and fell below 1.0 since February 6, 2020, in a recent investigation.(Pan et al., 2020) Although intensive physical distancing and “lockdown” could help “flattening the curve” on COVID-19 and preventing the sharp upward demand of health system capacities, the consideration of social and economic effects of “lockdown” and knock-on effects on health such as mental health and interpersonal violence is necessary.(Parmet &Sinha 2020) Yet, our data showed that over half of the participants (57.1%) felt 'calm' during stay in Hubei province, which was somehow counterintuitive. We hypothesized that the provision of sufficient logistic support to the isolated families by local authorities and clear information delivery to the public during a “lockdown” will help to ease the stress and minimize subsequent psychological impact.(Brooks et al., 2020). Therefore, local governments should be advised to create a comprehensive strategy and to prudentially evaluate the following concerns including racisms, adequate explanations to the public about the rationale and upside, logistic power and resources, and cultural factors which may hinder the compliance before implementing large-scale mobility restrictions.(Parmet

&Sinha 2020) The administration of “lockdown” could even lead to precarious situations that could heighten transmission in some countries if corresponding supports are not tailor-made and comprehensive based on their own economic and social conditions, such as workers may be packed in state-run shelter during India “lockdown”.(Pulla 2020) Likewise, the announcement of closing the gambling industry during the first half of February in Macau was accompanied with foreseen policies of financial and resources supply could be one of the references of administration of any kind of measures.(Portal. 2020)

Additionally, the significant behavior changes among participants before and during outbreak consisted of more wearing a mask outdoor, wash hands more frequently, clean and disinfect home more frequently, and less meal gatherings. Although the transmission of SARS-CoV-2 was commonly believed via droplet and contact, no evidence of wearing a surgical mask alone by healthy persons can prevent them from infection with respiratory viruses including COVID-19 currently while inappropriate use/disposal may even increase risk.(WHO 2020a) However, none of the participants in our study agreed that it was less important to wash hands after wearing masks, and all of them (100%) believed that the incidence of accidental touching the face or nose after wearing a mask would be reduced. The effectiveness of personal protective measures in preventing pandemic influenza transmission by meta-analysis showed a significant protective effect of hand hygiene but mixed results for mask use and thus wearing mask was suggested to be applied alongside with hands hygiene.(Saunders-Hastings et al., 2017) Wearing mask might also act as a “symbolism” on increasing individual awareness of good hygiene practice.(Klompas et al., 2020) However, the universal mask-wearing scheme in public should be emphasized on the concurrent hand hygiene practice and social distancing as a bundle, while the allocation and availability of resources should be taken into account first to ensure adequate protection for healthcare workers.(Emanuel et al., 2020).

There were some limitations in this study. The E.L.I.Z.A kits used for antibody detection were qualitative and not able to provide titers information. Although the sample size of this questionnaire was limited and recall bias was inevitable, its implication may indirectly reflect the effectiveness of public health interventions in Wuhan, China, including sanitary cordon, traffic restriction, social distancing, home confinement, centralized quarantine, and universal symptom survey. Such interventions were aimed at preventing individuals from face-to-face interaction and preventing asymptomatic COVID-19 patients from spreading the coronavirus within the



community. The lack of infected citizens limits for further comparison of difference of measures or behavior and further studies are warranted to determine the effectiveness of each preventive measure on COVID-19 at the individual level. Moreover, some of the participants had stayed in their relative home where the cleaning duty was not their responsibilities. Hence the question of home cleaning might partially reflect the attitudes from their relatives/friends.

## Conclusions

Our findings were in line with common preventive measures advised by the World Health Organization. Good personal hygiene and adequate preventive measures such as less gathering, frequent handwashing, in addition to wearing a mask outdoor, were common grounds among 42 uninfected participants during the stay in Hubei province under COVID-19 outbreak. Furthermore, the success of the "lockdown" and self-quarantine policy in Hubei province could contribute to the local authority's strong logistical provision and transparency of information about the policy's rationale in order to maintain better mental health and thus increase compliance and efficacy of preventive measures.

# Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. Tan Fong Cheong and Ms. Hong Lei Lou for their assistance in data collection and coordination.

# References

- Brooks SK, Webster RK, Smith LE, Woodland L, Wessely S, Greenberg N, and Rubin GJ. 2020. The psychological impact of quarantine and how to reduce it: rapid review of the evidence. *The Lancet* 395:912-920. 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30460-8
- Chinanews.com. 2020. "Unified purchase of daily supplies by regular assessment in Wuhan community." Published online 15 February 2020. (accessed 16 April 2020). Available at <http://www.chinanews.com/shipin/cns/2020/02-15/news848064.shtml>.
- Chinazzi M, Davis JT, Ajelli M, Gioannini C, Litvinova M, Merler S, Pastore y Piontti A, Mu K, Rossi L, Sun K, Viboud C, Xiong X, Yu H, Halloran ME, Longini IM, and Vespignani A. 2020. The effect of travel restrictions on the spread of the 2019 novel coronavirus (COVID-19) outbreak. *Science*:eaba9757. 10.1126/science.aba9757
- Colbourn T. COVID-19: extending or relaxing distancing control measures. *The Lancet Public Health*. 10.1016/S2468-2667(20)30072-4
- Emanuel EJ, Persad G, Upshur R, Thome B, Parker M, Glickman A, Zhang C, Boyle C, Smith M, and Phillips JP. 2020. Fair Allocation of Scarce Medical Resources in the Time of Covid-19. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 10.1056/NEJMs2005114
- Gostin LO, and Wiley LF. 2020. Governmental Public Health Powers During the COVID-19 Pandemic: Stay-at-home Orders, Business Closures, and Travel Restrictions. *JAMA*. 10.1001/jama.2020.5460
- Health-Commission. WM. 2020. Control Strategies for Novel coronavirus infections in Wuhan City. Published online 2 February 2020. (accessed 14 April 2020) Available at <http://wjw.wuhan.gov.cn/front/web/showDetail/2020020209339>.
- Klompas M, Morris CA, Sinclair J, Pearson M, and Shenoy ES. 2020. Universal Masking in Hospitals in the Covid-19 Era. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 10.1056/NEJMp2006372
- Li Q, Guan X, Wu P, Wang X, Zhou L, Tong Y, Ren R, Leung KSM, Lau EHY, Wong JY, Xing X, Xiang N, Wu Y, Li C, Chen Q, Li D, Liu T, Zhao J, Liu M, Tu W, Chen C, Jin L, Yang R, Wang Q, Zhou S, Wang R, Liu H, Luo Y, Liu Y, Shao G, Li H, Tao Z, Yang Y, Deng Z, Liu B, Ma Z, Zhang Y, Shi G, Lam TTY, Wu JT, Gao GF, Cowling BJ, Yang B, Leung GM, and Feng Z. 2020. Early Transmission Dynamics in Wuhan, China, of Novel Coronavirus–Infected Pneumonia. *New England Journal of Medicine* 382:1199-1207. 10.1056/NEJMoa2001316

Pan A, Liu L, Wang C, Guo H, Hao X, Wang Q, Huang J, He N, Yu H, Lin X, Wei S, and Wu T. 2020. Association of Public Health Interventions With the Epidemiology of the COVID-19 Outbreak in Wuhan, China. *JAMA*. 10.1001/jama.2020.6130

Parmet WE, and Sinha MS. 2020. Covid-19 — The Law and Limits of Quarantine. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 10.1056/NEJMp2004211

Phelan AL, Katz R, and Gostin LO. 2020. The Novel Coronavirus Originating in Wuhan, China: Challenges for Global Health Governance. *JAMA*. 10.1001/jama.2020.1097

Portal MSG. 2020a. 57 Macao residents return from Hubei released from quarantine. Published online 20 March 2020. (accessed 14 April 2020). Available at <https://www.gov.mo/zh-hant/news/324201/>.

Portal MSG. 2020b. 57 Macao residents return home safely from Hubei. Published online 8 March 2020. (accessed 7 April 2020) Available at <https://www.gov.mo/en/news/123406/>.

Portal. MSG. 2020. The Chief Executive of Macau SAR announced: Gambling industry will be suspended for half month and people should stay at home to avoid from COVID-19. Published online 4 February 2020. (accessed 16 April 2020). Available at <https://www.gov.mo/zh-hant/news/316919/>.

Pulla P. 2020. Covid-19: India imposes lockdown for 21 days and cases rise. *BMJ* 368:m1251. 10.1136/bmj.m1251

Saunders-Hastings P, Crispo JAG, Sikora L, and Krewski D. 2017. Effectiveness of personal protective measures in reducing pandemic influenza transmission: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Epidemics* 20:1-20. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epidem.2017.04.003>

The Lancet Respiratory M. 2020. COVID-19: delay, mitigate, and communicate. *The Lancet Respiratory Medicine* 8:321. 10.1016/S2213-2600(20)30128-4

Tian H, Liu Y, Li Y, Wu C-H, Chen B, Kraemer MUG, Li B, Cai J, Xu B, Yang Q, Wang B, Yang P, Cui Y, Song Y, Zheng P, Wang Q, Bjornstad ON, Yang R, Grenfell BT, Pybus OG, and Dye C. 2020. An investigation of transmission control measures during the first 50 days of the COVID-19 epidemic in China. *Science*:eabb6105. 10.1126/science.abb6105

Walker P, Whittaker C, Watson O, Baguelin M, Ainslie K, Bhatia S, Bhatt S, Boonyasiri A, Boyd O, Cattarino L, Cucunuba Perez Z, Cuomo-Dannenburg G, Dighe A, Donnelly C, Dorigatti I, Van Elsland S, Fitzjohn R, Flaxman S, Fu H, Gaythorpe K, Geidelberg L, Grassly N, Green W, Hamlet A, Hauck K, Haw D, Hayes S, Hinsley W, Imai N, Jorgensen D, Knock E, Laydon D, Mishra S, Nedjati Gilani G, Okell L, Riley S, Thompson H, Unwin H, Verity R, Vollmer M, Walters C, Wang H, Wang Y, Winskill P, Xi X, Ferguson N, and Ghani A. 2020. The global impact of COVID-19 and strategies for mitigation and suppression. (accessed 14 April 2020). *Imperial College COVID-19 Response Team*

284 WHO. 2020a. Advice on the use of masks in the context of COVID-19. Published online 8 March 2020.  
 285 (accessed 14 April 2020) Available at [https://www.who.int/publications-detail/advice-on-the-use-](https://www.who.int/publications-detail/advice-on-the-use-of-masks-in-the-community-during-home-care-and-in-healthcare-settings-in-the-context-of-the-novel-coronavirus-(2019-ncov)-outbreak)  
 286 [of-masks-in-the-community-during-home-care-and-in-healthcare-settings-in-the-context-of-the-](https://www.who.int/publications-detail/advice-on-the-use-of-masks-in-the-community-during-home-care-and-in-healthcare-settings-in-the-context-of-the-novel-coronavirus-(2019-ncov)-outbreak)  
 287 [novel-coronavirus-\(2019-ncov\)-outbreak](https://www.who.int/publications-detail/advice-on-the-use-of-masks-in-the-community-during-home-care-and-in-healthcare-settings-in-the-context-of-the-novel-coronavirus-(2019-ncov)-outbreak).  
 288 WHO. 2020b. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) Situation Report - 84. (accessed 14 April 2020).  
 289 Available at [https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200413-](https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200413-sitrep-84-covid-19.pdf?sfvrsn=44f511ab_2)  
 290 [sitrep-84-covid-19.pdf?sfvrsn=44f511ab\\_2](https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200413-sitrep-84-covid-19.pdf?sfvrsn=44f511ab_2).  
 291

**Table 1**(on next page)

Demographic information among the respondents returned from Hubei, China during COVID-19 outbreak in early 2020

	Total (N=42)	Male (N=11)	Female (N=31)
Proportion	100%	26.2%	73.8%
Age (mean, SD)	40.2, 14.8	42.9, 16.8	39.3, 14.1
15-19	4 (9.5%)	1 (9.1%)	3 (9.7%)
20-44	22 (52.4%)	4 (36.4%)	18 (58.1%)
45-54	10 (23.8%)	4 (36.4%)	6 (19.4%)
55-64	4 (9.5%)	1 (9.1%)	3 (9.7%)
65 or above	2 (4.8%)	1 (9.1%)	1 (3.2%)
Education level			
Primary education or less	1 (2.4%)	0 (0%)	1 (3.6%)
Secondary education	18 (42.9%)	4 (36.4%)	14 (50.9%)
Bachelor's degree	16 (38.1%)	4 (36.4%)	12 (42.9%)
Master's degree or above	2 (4.8%)	1 (9.1%)	1 (18.9%)
Missing data	5 (11.9%)	2 (18.2%)	3 (9.7%)
Presence of chronic disease(s)	7 (16.7%)	2 (18.2%)	5 (16.1%)
Hypertension	3 (7.1%)	1 (9.1%)	2 (6.5%)
Diabetes Mellitus	2 (4.8%)	0 (0%)	2 (6.5%)
Hepatitis	2 (4.8%)	0 (0%)	2 (6.5%)
Dyslipidemia	1 (2.4%)	1 (9.1%)	0 (0%)
None	36 (85.7%)	10 (90.1%)	26 (83.9%)
Tabagism			
Current Smoker	10 (23.8%)	4 (36.4%)	6 (19.3%)
Ex-smoker	6 (14.3%)	2 (18.2%)	4 (12.9%)
Never	26 (61.9%)	5 (45.5%)	21 (67.7%)
Reason for visit Hubei			
Visit relatives	37 (88.1%)	10 (90.1%)	27 (87.1%)
Business trip	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Other reasons	5 (11.9%)	1 (9.1%)	4 (12.9%)
Location of accommodation			
City center	14 (33.3%)	4 (36.4%)	10 (32.2%)
Countryside or town	11 (26.2%)	3 (27.3%)	8 (25.8%)
Village	17 (40.5%)	4 (36.4%)	13 (41.9%)
Number of people cohabit to share the bedroom			
Alone	14 (33.3%)	3 (27.3%)	11 (35.5%)
2 people	16 (38.1%)	3 (27.3%)	13 (41.9%)

3 people	8 (19%)	3 (27.3%)	5 (16.1%)
4 people or above	4 (9.5%)	2 (18.2%)	2 (6.5%)

1

**Table 2**(on next page)

High-risk activities and daily supply conditions among respondents during COVID-19 outbreak in early 2020 in Hubei, China



	Participants replied “yes”		
	Total (N=42)	Male (N=11)	Female (N=31)
Visit other cities in Hubei province (%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Visit other provinces (%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Go to hospital or clinic (%)	5 (11.9%)	2 (18.2%)	3 (9.7%)
Contact with suspected/confirmed COVID-19 patients (%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Local community with confirmed COVID-19 cases (%)	2 (4.8%)	2 (18.2%)	0 (0%)
Use of public transportation (%)	4 (8.5%)	2 (18.2%)	2 (6.5%)
Go to supermarket (%)	4 (8.5%)	2 (18.2%)	2 (6.5%)
Visit crowded places (%)	1 (2.4%)	1 (9.1%)	0 (0%)
Contact with live poultry (%)	2 (4.8%)	1 (9.1%)	1 (3.2%)
Eat game meats (%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Receive daily supply via the unified delivery (%)	32 (76.2%)	8 (72.7%)	24 (77.4%)

1

# **Table 3**(on next page)

Comparison of personal preventive measures before and during the COVID-19 outbreak among non-infected respondents who traveled to Hubei, China during COVID-19 outbreak in 2020

	Number of missing data	Before outbreak (In Macau)	During outbreak (In Hubei)	P value
Wear a mask when outdoor (Yes, %)	0	7/42 (16.7%)	40/42 (95.2%)	<0.001*
Wear a mask when contact/talk with people (except those living together)				
Every time (Yes, %)	2	4/40 (10%)	38/40 (95%)	<0.001*
Occasional (Yes, %)	2	4/40 (10%)	1/40 (2.5%)	0.375
No (Yes, %)	2	32/40 (80%)	1/40 (2.5%)	<0.001*
Often wash your hands with soap / liquid soap (Yes, %)	0	36/42 (85.7%)	42/42 (100%)	0.031*
If there was no handwashing facility on-site, would you wash your hands with alcohol-based hand sanitizers or disinfected wipes? (Yes, %)	0	30/42 (71.4%)	40/42 (95.2%)	0.006*
Clean and disinfect house regularly (Yes, %)	0	36/42 (85.7%)	31/42 (73.8%)	0.227
Frequency of household cleaning and disinfection				
No regular cleaning (Yes, %)	2	6/40 (15%)	11/40 (27.5%)	0.227
Once a day (Yes, %)	2	7/40 (17.5%)	13/40 (32.5%)	0.146
Once every 2-3 days (Yes, %)	2	9/40 (22.5%)	10/40 (25%)	1.000
Once a week or more than a week (Yes, %)	2	18/40 (45%)	6/40 (15%)	0.012*
Clean mobile phone regularly (Yes, %)	1	18/41 (43.9%)	27/41 (65.9%)	0.012*
Clean clothes and personal belongings immediately once get back home (Yes,	0	15/42 (35.7%)	33/42 (78.6%)	<0.001*

%)				
Attend meal gatherings (except for family members cohabit with) regularly (Yes, %)	0	25/42 (59.5%)	5/42 (11.9%)	<0.001*
Number of meal gatherings per month (Mean)	0	2.78	0.14	-
Number of meal gatherings per month (Median)	0	1	0	<0.001*
* indicates P value < 0.05				