

# A new species of freshwater crab genus *Fredius* Pretzmann, 1967 (Crustacea: Brachyura: Pseudothelphusidae) from a naturally isolated orographic forest enclave within the semiarid Caatinga in Ceará, northeastern Brazil (#43401)

1

First revision

## Guidance from your Editor

Please submit by **29 Apr 2020** for the benefit of the authors .



### Structure and Criteria

Please read the 'Structure and Criteria' page for general guidance.



### Custom checks

Make sure you include the custom checks shown below, in your review.



### Author notes

Have you read the author notes on the [guidance page](#)?



### Raw data check

Review the raw data.



### Image check

Check that figures and images have not been inappropriately manipulated.

Privacy reminder: If uploading an annotated PDF, remove identifiable information to remain anonymous.

## Files

Download and review all files from the [materials page](#).

1 Tracked changes manuscript(s)

1 Rebuttal letter(s)

8 Figure file(s)

3 Table file(s)

1 Raw data file(s)

## ! Custom checks

### DNA data checks



Have you checked the authors [data deposition statement](#)?



Can you access the deposited data?



Has the data been deposited correctly?



Is the deposition information noted in the manuscript?

### Field study

- ! Have you checked the authors [field study permits](#)?
- ! Are the field study permits appropriate?

### **New species checks**

- ! Have you checked our [new species policies](#)?
- ! Do you agree that it is a new species?
- ! Is it correctly described e.g. meets ICZN standard?


For assistance email [peer.review@peerj.com](mailto:peer.review@peerj.com)



## Structure your review

The review form is divided into 5 sections. Please consider these when composing your review:

1. BASIC REPORTING
2. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN
3. VALIDITY OF THE FINDINGS
4. General comments
5. Confidential notes to the editor






 You can also annotate this PDF and upload it as part of your review

When ready [submit online](#).





## Editorial Criteria

Use these criteria points to structure your review. The full detailed editorial criteria is on your [guidance page](#).





### BASIC REPORTING

-  Clear, unambiguous, professional English language used throughout.
-  Intro & background to show context. Literature well referenced & relevant.
-  Structure conforms to [PeerJ standards](#), discipline norm, or improved for clarity.
-  Figures are relevant, high quality, well labelled & described.
-  Raw data supplied (see [PeerJ policy](#)).

### EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

-  Original primary research within [Scope of the journal](#).
-  Research question well defined, relevant & meaningful. It is stated how the research fills an identified knowledge gap.
-  Rigorous investigation performed to a high technical & ethical standard.
-  Methods described with sufficient detail & information to replicate.

### VALIDITY OF THE FINDINGS

-  Impact and novelty not assessed. Negative/inconclusive results accepted. *Meaningful* replication encouraged where rationale & benefit to literature is clearly stated.
-  All underlying data have been provided; they are robust, statistically sound, & controlled.
-  Speculation is welcome, but should be identified as such.
-  Conclusions are well stated, linked to original research question & limited to supporting results.

# Standout reviewing tips

3



The best reviewers use these techniques

## Tip

**Support criticisms with evidence from the text or from other sources**

## Example

*Smith et al (J of Methodology, 2005, V3, pp 123) have shown that the analysis you use in Lines 241-250 is not the most appropriate for this situation. Please explain why you used this method.*

**Give specific suggestions on how to improve the manuscript**

*Your introduction needs more detail. I suggest that you improve the description at lines 57- 86 to provide more justification for your study (specifically, you should expand upon the knowledge gap being filled).*

**Comment on language and grammar issues**

*The English language should be improved to ensure that an international audience can clearly understand your text. Some examples where the language could be improved include lines 23, 77, 121, 128 – the current phrasing makes comprehension difficult.*

**Organize by importance of the issues, and number your points**

1. Your most important issue
2. The next most important item
3. ...
4. The least important points

**Please provide constructive criticism, and avoid personal opinions**

*I thank you for providing the raw data, however your supplemental files need more descriptive metadata identifiers to be useful to future readers. Although your results are compelling, the data analysis should be improved in the following ways: AA, BB, CC*

**Comment on strengths (as well as weaknesses) of the manuscript**

*I commend the authors for their extensive data set, compiled over many years of detailed fieldwork. In addition, the manuscript is clearly written in professional, unambiguous language. If there is a weakness, it is in the statistical analysis (as I have noted above) which should be improved upon before Acceptance.*

# A new species of freshwater crab genus *Fredius* Pretzmann, 1967 (Crustacea: Brachyura: Pseudothelphusidae) from a naturally isolated orographic forest enclave within the semiarid Caatinga in Ceará, northeastern Brazil

Livanio C Santos<sup>1,2</sup>, Marcos Tavares<sup>3</sup>, José R. F. Silva<sup>1</sup>, Marcelo Cervini<sup>4</sup>, Allysson P Pinheiro<sup>Corresp., 2</sup>, William Santana<sup>2,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ecologia e Recursos Naturais, Universidade Federal do Ceará, Fortaleza, Ceará, Brazil

<sup>2</sup> Laboratório de Crustáceos do Semiárido (LACRUSE), Universidade Regional do Cariri, Crato, Ceará, Brasil

<sup>3</sup> Museum of Zoology, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil

<sup>4</sup> Departamento de Ciências Biológicas, Universidade Estadual Sudoeste da Bahia, Jequié, Bahia, Brazil

<sup>5</sup> Laboratório de Sistemática Zoológica (LSZ), Universidade do Sagrado Coração, Bauru, São Paulo, Brazil

Corresponding Author: Allysson P Pinheiro

Email address: allysson.pinheiro@urca.br

A new species of freshwater crab, *Fredius ibiapaba*, is described and illustrated from a mid-altitude forested patch in Ipú (Ibiapaba plateau, Ceará, northeastern Brazil), between 635 to 782 m. The new species can be separated from its congeners by the morphology of its first gonopod: proximal half remarkably swollen, sloping abruptly downwards distally to a nearly right-angular shoulder; mesial lobe much smaller than cephalic spine; cephalic lobe moderately developed; auxiliary lobe lip, delimiting field of apical spines, protruded all the way to distal margin of auxiliary lobe. Comparative 16S rDNA sequencing used to infer the phylogenetic placement of *Fredius ibiapaba* n. sp. revealed that it is the sister taxon of *F. reflexifrons*, a species which occurs allopatrically in the Amazon and Atlantic basin's lowlands (< 100 m). *Fredius ibiapaba* n. sp. and *F. reflexifrons* are highly dependent upon humidity and most probably were once part of an ancestral population living in a wide humid territory. Shrinking humid forests during several dry periods of the Tertiary and Quaternary likely have resulted in the fragmentation of the ancestral humid area and hence of the ancestral crab population. *Fredius reflexifrons* evolved and spread in a lowland, humid river basin (Amazon and Atlantic basins), whilst *F. ibiapaba* n. sp. evolved isolated on the top of a humid plateau. The two species are now separated by a vast intervening area occupied by the semiarid Caatinga

**A new species of freshwater crab genus *Fredius* Pretzmann, 1967 (Crustacea: Brachyura: Pseudothelphusidae) from a naturally isolated orographic forest enclave within the semiarid Caatinga in Ceará, northeastern Brazil**

Liviano C. Santos<sup>1,2</sup>, Marcos Tavares<sup>3</sup>, José Roberto Feitosa Silva<sup>1</sup>, Marcelo Cervini<sup>4</sup>, Allysson P. Pinheiro<sup>1\*</sup>, William Santana<sup>2,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Departamento de Biologia, Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ecologia e Recursos Naturais, Universidade Federal do Ceará, Fortaleza, Ceará, Brazil. E-mails: [robertofeitosa@ufc.br](mailto:robertofeitosa@ufc.br) and [santos.bio.79@gmail.com](mailto:santos.bio.79@gmail.com)

<sup>2</sup> Laboratório de Crustáceos do Semiárido (LACRUSE), Universidade Regional do Cariri—URCA, Crato—CE, Brazil. APP ORCID: 0000-0003-1565-6371. E-mail: [allysson.pinheiro@urca.br](mailto:allysson.pinheiro@urca.br).

<sup>3</sup> Museum of Zoology, University of São Paulo, São Paulo—SP, Brazil. ORCID: 0000-0002-7186-5787 5. E-mail: [mdst@usp.br](mailto:mdst@usp.br)

<sup>4</sup> Departamento de Ciências Biológicas, Universidade Estadual do Sudoeste da Bahia, Departamento de Ciências Biológicas, Jequié—BA, Brazil. E-mail: [marcelo\\_cervini@yahoo.com.br](mailto:marcelo_cervini@yahoo.com.br)

<sup>5</sup> Laboratory of Systematic Zoology (LSZ), Universidade do Sagrado Coração—USC, Bauru—SP, Brazil. ORCID: 0000-0003-3086-4419. E-mail: [willsantana@gmail.com](mailto:willsantana@gmail.com)

Corresponding Author:  
Allysson P. Pinheiro  
Rua Cel. Antônio Luis, 63100-000, Crato—CE, Brazil  
Email address: [allysson.pinheiro@urca.br](mailto:allysson.pinheiro@urca.br)

## Abstract

A new species of freshwater crab, *Fredius ibiapaba*, is described and illustrated from a mid-altitude forested patch in Ipú (Ibiapaba plateau, Ceará, northeastern Brazil), between 635 to 782 m. The new species can be separated from its congeners by the morphology of its first

gonopod: proximal half remarkably swollen, sloping abruptly downwards distally to a nearly right-angular shoulder; mesial lobe much smaller than cephalic spine; cephalic lobe moderately developed; auxiliary lobe lip, delimiting field of apical spines, protruded all the way to distal margin of auxiliary lobe. Comparative 16S rDNA sequencing used to infer the phylogenetic placement of *Fredius ibiapaba* n. sp. revealed that it is the sister taxon of *F. reflexifrons*, a species which occurs allopatrically in the Amazon and Atlantic basin's lowlands (< 100 m). *Fredius ibiapaba* n. sp. and *F. reflexifrons* are highly dependent upon humidity and most probably were once part of an ancestral population living in a wide humid territory. Shrinking humid forests during several dry periods of the Tertiary and Quaternary likely have resulted in the fragmentation of the ancestral humid area and hence of the ancestral crab population. *Fredius reflexifrons* evolved and spread in a lowland, humid river basin (Amazon and Atlantic basins), whilst *F. ibiapaba* n. sp. evolved isolated on the top of a humid plateau. The two species are now separated by a vast intervening area occupied by the semiarid Caatinga.

## Introduction

Cumulative evidence from many independent sources argue in favor of the mid-altitude forested patches in northeastern Brazil being remnants of a once much larger humid forest, connected to both the Amazonian and Atlantic rainforests during the moister periods (e.g., Andrade-Lima, 1982; Cartelle & Hartwig, 1996; de Vivo, 1997; Ab'Saber, 2000; Auler et al., 2004; Carnaval & Bates, 2007; Carmignotto, 2012; and references therein). These humid forest refuges (Figure 1A–D), naturally isolated by the vast surrounding semiarid Caatinga (Figure 1F, G), are indeed known to harbor many woody plant and animal species (fossil and Recent) that are also found or are closely related to species occurring allopatrically in the Amazonian and Atlantic rainforests.

Here we describe and illustrate a new species of a freshwater pseudothelphusid crab, *Fredius ibiapaba* n. sp., from a humid forest refuge in Ipú (Ibiapaba plateau, Ceará, northeastern Brazil), between 665 to 782 m (Figure 1A–D). Evidence from a phylogenetic analysis using 16S rDNA is presented for a sister taxa relationship between *Fredius ibiapaba* n. sp. and *F. reflexifrons* (Ortmann, 1897), a species occurring allopatrically in the Amazonian humid lowlands. Previous hypothesis on the phylogenetic relationships of *F. reflexifrons* and the possible evolutionary scenario that led to the emergence of the sister taxa *Fredius ibiapaba* n. sp. and *F. reflexifrons* are discussed.

# Materials & Methods

## Procedures with material examined

The specimens were collected using license permission from the Sistema de Autorização e Informação em Biodiversidade (SISBIO #29615) of the Brazilian Ministry of Environment (MMA). The studied specimens are deposited in the collections of the INPA (Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas da Amazônia, Manaus), MZUSP (Museu de Zoologia, Universidade de São Paulo, Brazil) and LACRUSE (Laboratório de Crustáceos do Semiárido). Measurements: cl (carapace length, taken along the carapace axis to the posterior median margin) and cw (carapace width, taken at the widest point), in millimeters (mm). Dates are written in the format day.month.year, with months in lower-case Roman numerals. Abbreviations are as follows: G1, G2, first and second gonopods, respectively. Mxp3, third maxilliped. The terminology used in the description of the G1 essentially follows (Rodríguez & Pereira, 1992; Rodríguez & Campos, 1998;) (Figure 2).

## Molecular data analysis

DNA extraction, amplification and sequencing: Muscle tissue samples were obtained from the pereopods or pleon of *Fredius ibiapaba* n. sp., *F. buritizatilis* Magalhães & Mantellato in Magalhães et al., 2014, and *Prionothelephusa eliasi* Rodriguez, 1980. At the Laboratório de Biologia Molecular da Universidade Estadual do Sudoeste da Bahia- LBM/UESB a small region of the 16S rDNA gene was extracted with Wizard® Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Promega), amplified in a 12,5 µl final volume reaction with 2,5 mM de MgCl<sub>2</sub> (Invitrogen), 0,05 mM de dNTP (Invitrogen), buffer 1x (Invitrogen – 10xPCR Buffer: 200mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.4), 500mM KCl), 1U de taq platinum (Invitrogen) and 0,3µM of each primer. The PCR conditions were: one cycle at 94°C, 60 sec; five cycles at 94°C, 60 sec; 45°C, 40 sec and 72°C, 60 sec; and 35 cycles at 94°C, 60 sec; 51°C, 40 sec and 72°C; 60 sec; a final extension of five minutes at 72°C



was performed. The primers used were 16Sar (5'-CCGGTCTGAACTCAGATCACGT-3') and 16Sbr (5'-CGCCTGTTTATCAAAAACAT-3') (Palumbi et al., 1991). PCR products were purified using a polietilenoglicol (PEG) 20% and sequenced in an ABI Prism 3100 Genetic Analyzer® (Applied Biosystems) at the Departamento de Tecnologia da Universidade Estadual Paulista "Júlio de Mesquita Filho", Jaboticabal. Sequencing reaction was performed with Big Dye v3.1 (Applied Biosystems), prepared with 4,75 µl ultrapure water, 1,5 µl BigDye 5x buffer, 0,75 µl BigDye terminator Mix, 2 µl primer (0,8 pmol) and 1 µl of Purified PCR product. Sequence conditions were: one minute at 96°C; 35 cycles of 15 sec at 96°C; 15 sec at 50°C and 2 minutes at 60°C. Both, forward and reverse sequence strands were obtained and the consensus generated by the software BioEdit 7.0.5 (Hall, 2005). The identities of the final sequences were confirmed with a BLAST (Basic Local Alignment Search Tool) on GenBank database. Additional comparative sequences were retrieved from GenBank (Table 1).

Phylogenetic analyses: Substitution saturation in 16S rDNA was tested using the saturation index implemented in DAMBE 5 (Xia, 2013). The sequences were grouped and edit in BioEdit and aligned using the ClustalW interface (Thompson et al. 1994). *Prionothelphusa eliasi* (Pseudothelphusidae) and *Trichodactylus dentatus* H. Milne Edwards, 1853 (Trichodactylidae) were chosen as outgroups. The best-fit model HKY + G was selected using jModeltest 2.1.7 (Darriba et al., 2012). This model was used to generate Maximum Likelihood gene trees in MEGA 6.06 (Tamura et al. 2013). Branch support values were calculated using bootstrap analyses with 1,000 replicates (Felsenstein, 1985). Only nodes with bootstrap support greater than 50 are shown on the phylogenetic tree. Nucleotide divergence estimated from pairwise distance was calculated in MEGA 6.06 with the same best-fit model (Table 2).

# Registration of nomenclatural act

The electronic version of this article in Portable Document Format (PDF) will represent a published work according to the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature (ICZN),

and hence the new names contained in the electronic version are effectively published under that Code from the electronic edition alone. This published work and the nomenclatural acts it contains have been registered in ZooBank, the online registration system for the ICZN. The ZooBank LSIDs (Life Science Identifiers) can be resolved and the associated information viewed through any standard web browser by appending the LSID to the prefix <http://zoobank.org/>. The LSID for this publication is: [urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:0925982D-7441-1204256-9856-A553987956A6]. The online version of this work is archived and available from the following digital repositories: PeerJ, PubMed Central and CLOCKSS.

## Results

Family Pseudothelphusidae Ortmann, 1893

Genus *Fredius* Pretzmann, 1967

*Fredius ibiapaba* n. sp. (Figures 3A-E; 4A-C; 5A, C; 6A-D; 7A-E)

## Type material.

Holotype, Ceará, Ipú, Sítio Caranguejo, 04°18'50" S, 40°44'47"W, 729 m, xii.2017, male cl 36 mm, cw 53mm (MZUSP 39710). Paratypes: Same data as holotype, male cl 34 mm, cw 48 mm (MZUSP 39169); Ceará, Ipú, Sítio Gameleira, 04°17'17" S, 40°44'44"W, 665 m, 5.i.2018, female cl 35 mm, cw 49 mm (MZUSP 39171); Ceará, Ipú, Sítio Santa Cruz, 04°19'40" S, 40°45'09"W, 782 m, 10.x.2014, male cl 32 mm, cw 48 mm (MZUSP 39167); Ceará, Ipú, Sítio Santa Cruz, 04°19'40" S, 40°45'09"W, 782 m, 23.iv.2015, female cl 31 mm, cw 44 mm (MZUSP 39168); Ceará, Ipú, Sítio Ipuçaba, 798m, 27.xii.2017, male cl 41.2 mm, cw 62.6 mm (MZUSP 39742). Ceará, Ipú, Sítio Gameleira, quintal do Kindó, 04°17'42"S, 40°44'43" W, L.C. Cruz, J.G. Araújo, H.S. Mattos and J.E.P Araújo coll., 665 m, 01.v.2018, 3 males, cl 35.5 mm, cw 52.2 mm, cl 37.7 mm, cw 56.6 mm, cl 32.2 mm, cw 46.6 mm (LACRUSE 259). Ceará, Ipú, Sítio Santa Cruz,

04°19'40"S 40°45'09"W, L.C. Cruz coll., 782 m, 23.iv.2015, 2 males, cl 28.7 mm, cw 42.4 mm, cl 31.5 mm, cw 46.0 mm, 1 female, cl 37.7 mm, cw 55.1 mm (LACRUSE 216).

**Comparative material.** *Fredius fittkau* (Bott, 1967): Guyana - Potaro-Siparuni, Rio Kuribrong, 05°22'35"N, 59°33'4"W, P. Bernardo and B. Newman coll., 28.ix.2010, male, cl 47.1 mm, cw 66.9 mm (MZUSP 24497). *Fredius reflexifrons* (Ortmann, 1897): Brazil - Amapá, Serra do Navio, Serra do Veado, Projeto Diversitas Neotropica, M. Tavares coll. 7.v.1994, male, cl 37 mm, cw 52 mm (MZUSP 19922). Amapá, Rio Jari, montante, Cachoeira Santo Antônio, M. Jegú and J. Zuanon coll., 9-26.vi.1981, 2 males, cl 42 mm, cw 57.7 mm, and cl 53 mm, cw 73.8 mm (MZUSP 13178). Amapá, Serra do Navio/ Serra do Veado, 07.v.1994, male (INPA 583). Amapá, Laranjal, 16.i.2012, male (INPA 2125). Amazonas, Manaus, Reserva do Km 41, 02°26'56"S, 59°46'13"W, male (INPA 889). Amazonas, Manaus, Reserva Ducke, 22.ii.1986, male (INPA 368). Amazonas, Manaus, 11.vii.2001, male (INPA 850). Amazonas, Iranduba, Sítio Anaíra, 03°10'39"S, 60°07'39"W, 12.ix.1999, male (INPA 852). Pará, Santarém, Com. Santa Rosa, male (INPA 1254). Pará, Rio do Peixe Boi, 01°11'30"S, 47°18'54"W, E. Matos and A. Henriques Jr coll., 03.iii.1995, male (INPA 851). Pará, Bragança, Rio Chumucuí, S. Alves coll., 12. xi. 2004, male (INPA 1512). Ceará, Viçosa do Ceará, Fonte do Caranguejo, 03°33'43.2"S, 41°5'09.6"W, M. Pereira coll., 24. vi. 2004, 2 males (INPA 1382). Peru: Rio Apiacu, Departamento Loreto, Boris Malkin coll., 15-25.iv.1966, male, cl 31 mm, cw 42.5 mm (MZUSP 6389). *Fredius denticulatus* (H. Milne Edwards, 1853): Brazil - Rio Amapari, Serra do Navio, AP, Projeto Diversitas Neotropica, n°151, M. Tavares coll., 30.iv.1994, C. Magalhães det. 16.ii.1996, male cl 45 mm, cw 62 mm (MZUSP 16294).

**Type locality.** Sítio Caranguejo, Ipú, Ceará, 04°18'50"S, 40°44'47"W, 729 m.

**Distribution.** Currently known from Ipú, Ibiapaba plateau, Ceará, northeastern Brazil, in mid-altitude forests between 665 to 798 m.

**Etymology.** The specific epithet is a noun in apposition taken from the Tupi language word for plateau, "yby'ababa", ibiapaba.

**Diagnosis.** G1 robust, proximal half remarkably swollen, sloping abruptly downwards anteriorly to a nearly right-angular shoulder (Figure 4B, C); mesial lobe much smaller than cephalic spine (Figures 4B, C; 5C, D; 7A, C, E); cephalic lobe somewhat broad, rounded apically (Figure 4A); auxiliary lobe lip, delimiting field of apical spines, protruded all the way to distal margin of auxiliary lobe (Figures 4A, B; 6B; 7D).

**Description of the holotype.** Carapace transversally ovate (Figure 3A), widest at midlength (cw/cl, 1.51); dorsal surface smooth, slightly convex, regions ill-defined. Gastric pits minute, very close to each other. Cervical grooves shallow, nearly straight, poorly indicated, distal ends reaching to anterolateral margin. Front deflexed, almost straight in dorsal view, entire, marked with row of very small granules; front lower border carinate, with an almost indistinct sinus medially in frontal view; postfrontal lobules obsolete; median groove between postfrontal lobules faint (Figure 3A, C). Upper orbital margin with row of very faint granules; lower margin minutely denticulate; exorbital angle marked by obtuse tooth, followed posteriorly by faint notch (Figure 3C). Carapace anterolateral margin semicircular in outline, fringed by minute denticles; posterolateral margins almost straight, strongly convergent, smooth (Figure 3A, C). Epistomial margin with minute granules; epistomial tooth broadly triangular, deflexed (Figure 3C). Suborbital and subhepatic regions of carapace smooth; pterygostomial region densely pubescent around buccal cavity (Figures 3B, C).

Mxp3 palp slender, long, reaching slightly beyond articulation of merus and ischium when folded. Merus markedly operculiform. Posterior half of mesial margin of merus and mesial margin of ischium with conical teeth (Figure 3C). Exopod short, 0.28 times length of lateral

margin of ischium, devoid of flagellum. Efferent branchial channel opening subcircular (Figure 3C).

Chelipeds moderately heterochelous, right cheliped larger than left one (Figure 3E, F).

Major cheliped merus subtriangular in cross-section; lateral surface smooth, with irregular row of small tubercles of different sizes along dorsal surface; mesial surface smooth, slightly concave to fit lateral sides of carapace; mesial lower margin with row of conical teeth slightly increasing in size distally; lateral lower margin with row of small teeth. Carpus smooth dorsally; mesial margin with row of small, irregular teeth and strong, acute spine about midlength of margin. Palm moderately swollen, smooth on lateral and mesial surfaces, with minute granules on rounded dorsal and ventral faces. Dactylus in process of regeneration. Cutting margin of dactylus and fixed finger both with larger teeth interspersed with smaller ones. Fingers not gaping when closed, tips not crossing. Minor cheliped similar in shape.

Thoracic sternal suture 2/3 complete, distinct; sternal suture 3/4 interrupted, visible only laterally (Figure 3B); sternal sutures 4/5 and 5/6 interrupted, ending just before reaching midline of thoracic sternum; sternal sutures 6/7 and 7/8 complete. Midline of thoracic sternum deeply incised in sternites VII and VIII.

All pleonal segments free. Lateral margins of male telson slightly concave, tip rounded (Figure 3B).

G1 robust (Figures 4A–C), proximal half remarkably swollen, sloping abruptly downwards distally to a nearly right-angular shoulder (Figure 4B, C). Subapical bulge moderately developed around lateral and sternal sides (Figures 4B; 5A, B; 6A). Marginal suture straight (Figure 4C). Marginal lobe truncate, projected distally beyond pleonal surface, junction with marginal lobe marked by distinct depression. Mesial lobe much smaller than cephalic spine, showing as triangular, acute spine, pointing to pleonal direction (Figures 4A, C, 5B; C; 6A, C). Cephalic spine very strong, acuminate at tip, pointing to mesial direction (Figures 4A–C, 5B; C; 6A–C). Cephalic lobe prominent, truncate, tip rounded, with several spinules along lateral, mesial and

sternal sides (Figures 4A, B; 6A, B). Auxiliary lobe much shorter than cephalic lobe in pleonal view, separated from it by distinct depression, their junction forming lateral channel running distally in almost straight direction before ending in inward curve subterminally (Figures 4A; 6A). Field of apical spines large, open, flattened, elongated, ear-shaped, provided with small spinules, delimited by lateral and pleonal lips of apex (Figures 4A, B; 5B; 6A, B).

G2 slightly longer than G1; very slender, progressively tapering distally progressively, distal part moderately flattened, with somewhat dense, minute spinules along sternal side.

**Remarks.** *Fredius ibiapaba* n. sp. is herein assigned to the genus *Fredius*, whose diagnostic characters (Rodríguez, 1982; Rodríguez & Pereira, 1992) are readily recognized in the new species, namely, exopod of mxp3 short, about 0.3 times length of outer margin of ischium with G1 widest at base (Figure 4B, C); marginal lobe simple, ending in an inverted cup-shaped elongation at base of field of apical spines; subapical bulge covering lateral and sternal sides; field of apical spines large, open, flattened, ear-shaped, with small scattered spinules at proximal sternal border (Figures 4A–C; 5B; 6A, B).

The new species morphologically resembles *Fredius denticulatus*, *F. fittkaui*, *F. reflexifrons* and *F. ykaa* Magalhães, 2009, in that the gonopod cephalic spine is much more developed than the mesial lobe (see Magalhães & Rodríguez, 2002: 679, fig. 1; 683, fig. 2, respectively; Rodríguez & Campos, 1998: 766, fig. 2O, P) (Figure 4A, C; 5B; 6A, C), whereas other species either have the gonopod cephalic spine little larger than the mesial lobe (*F. stenolobus* Rodríguez & Suárez, 1994, and *F. adpressus* Rodríguez & Pareira, 1992), or have it much shorter than the mesial lobe (e.g., *F. buritizalis*, *F. platyacanthus* Rodríguez & Pereira, 1992, and *F. estevi* Rodríguez, 1966), or have the cephalic spine and the mesial lobe similar in size (e.g., *F. granulatus* Rodríguez & Campos, 1998, and *F. chaffanjoni* Rathbun, 1905) (see Magalhães et al., 2014 and references therein).

*Fredius ibiapaba* n. sp. stands apart from *Fredius denticulatus*, *F. fittkau*, *F. reflexifrons* and *F. ykaa* in having the G1 proximal half remarkably swollen on the pleonal side, sloping abruptly downwards distally to a nearly right-angular shoulder (Figures 4B, C), whereas in the latter four species the G1 shoulder is clearly more gently sloping distally (Figure 4E, F).

*Fredius ibiapaba* n. sp. closely resembles *F. reflexifrons*, but the following characters derived from G1 distinguish the new species from the latter species: (1) in having the auxiliary lobe lip, delimiting the field of apical spines, protruded all the way to the distal margin of the auxiliary lobe (Figure 4A, B), whereas in *F. reflexifrons* the lip fades away well before reaching the distal margin of the lobe (Figure 4D, E); (2) the subapical bulge markedly less swollen (Figures 5A, C) and the G1 apex much less tilted so that the mesial lobe is not visible in sternal view (Figure 5A), in contrast to *F. reflexifrons* (Figures 5B, D, respectively). Also, in *F. ibiapaba* n. sp. the distal margin of the cephalic lobe is truncate (Figure 4A, 6A), whereas in *F. reflexifrons* it tapers progressively to a distinct narrower tip (Figure 4D, 6D).

*Fredius ibiapaba* n. sp. further differs from *F. ykaa* in that the G1 shoulder is high and robust (Figures 4B, C), whilst in *F. ykaa* the G1 shoulder is remarkably lower; it can be easily further differentiated from *F. denticulatus* in that its G1 caudal lobe lacks a field of spines spirally twisted to a transverse position (viz., Rodríguez & Campos, 1998) and from *F. fittkau* in having the G1 cephalic spine straight and sharply acuminate, whereas in *F. fittkau* it is curved and round tipped.

## Discussion

### Phylogenetic analysis

The mitochondrial loci 16S was successfully amplified and sequenced for *Fredius buritizatis*, *F. ibiapaba* n. sp., and *Prionothelphusa eliasi*. Additional sequences used were retrieved from GenBank (Table 1). Bootstrap support values are shown on nodes of the phylogenetic tree (Figure 8). The sister species relationships between *Fredius reflexifrons* and the new species is

well supported by high bootstrap value. The close morphological similarity between the two species also supports the relationship.

The divergence rates between *Fredius reflexifrons* and *F. ibiapaba* n. sp. (4%) is higher than between *F. estevisi* x *F. stenolobus*, *F. platyacanthus* x *F. stenolobus* and *F. platyacanthus* x *F. estevisi* all with of 2% of divergence (Table 2). Morphology and molecular data hence provide evidences for the differentiation between *F. ibiapaba* n. sp. and *F. reflexifrons*.

A survey of the pseudothelphusids described from 1840 to 2004 (Yeo et al., 2008) showed that the curve of described species is still far from being asymptotic. And indeed, new species are still being discovered either by collecting in new biomes (e.g., *F. buritizalis* from a palm swamp known as "buritizal"), or by revisiting the taxonomy of widely disjunct species for testing as to their conspecific identity, such as *F. ibiapaba* n. sp. and *F. reflexifrons*.

### Zoogeographical notes

*Fredius* currently consists of 15 species (Table 3), distributed over a vast territory, which encompass five main river basins (Rodríguez & Campos, 1998; Magalhães et al., 2014): (1) the Orinoco River basin; (2) the Essequibo-Cuyuni River basin; (3) the Amazon River basin; (4) the Madeira River basin and its tributary (Machado River); and (5) the Atlantic rivers basin, a coastal drainage of small rivers in northern South American (Guyana, Suriname and French Guiana) discharging directly into the Atlantic Ocean.

Rodríguez & Pereira (1992) performed a cladistic analysis of *Fredius* and suggested that *F. reflexifrons* and *F. adpressus* were sister species. The purported clade *F. reflexifrons*/ *F. adpressus* was presumably supported by three putative synapomorphies: (1) [G1] mesial lobe attached to back of auricular lobe; (2) basal denticle of mesial lobe present; and (3) subapical bulge well developed.

Later, however, Rodríguez & Campos (1998) reviewed the previous data and performed a new analysis in which they decided that character 1 (mesial lobe attached to back of auricular



lobe) was no longer tenable and hence was eliminated from the new analysis. They also realized that the basal denticle of the mesial lobe was indeed present in *F. adpressus* (character 2), but was absent in all other *Fredius* species. They further concluded that the subapical bulge was actually "reduced" in *F. adpressus* and "strongly developed" in *F. granulatus*, *F. reflexifrons*, *F. fittkauii*, and *F. denticulatus*, so that these latter two characters were also removed from the new analysis. Therefore, the putative sister taxon relationship between *F. reflexifrons* and *F. adpressus* dissolved. Rodriguez & Campos (1998) put forward, instead, the hypothesis that *F. reflexifrons* was sister to *F. fittkauii*, not to *F. adpressus*, based on the assumption that *F. reflexifrons* and *F. fittkauii* synapomorphically share the cephalic lobe distal margin armed with several spinules. However, as found here, this character is more widely distributed being also found in *F. ibiapaba* n. sp. and, therefore, cannot be used to argue for the sister taxon relationship between *F. reflexifrons* and *F. fittkauii*.

Magalhães et al. (2014) performed a distance analysis based on 16S rRNA, in which *F. reflexifrons* was recovered as the sister taxa to (*F. fittkauii* (*F. denticulatus* (*F. granulatus* (*F. buritizalis* (*F. platyacanthus* (*F. denticulatus* (*F. stenolobus*)))))). The discovery of *F. ibiapaba* n. sp. revealed, however, that it is actually the sister taxa of *F. reflexifrons*, as shown by a comparative 16S rDNA sequencing used to infer the phylogenetic placement of *Fredius ibiapaba* n. sp., with *F. fittkauii* recovered as the sister taxa to the remaining species (Figure 8).

The distribution range of *Fredius ibiapaba* n. sp. is very narrow and currently restricted to a humid enclave, a small mid-altitude forested patch in Ipú (Ceará, northeastern Brazil, Figure 1A–E), nested within the vast semiarid Caatinga domain (Figure 1F, G). The orographic forest enclaves, such as Ipú, are typically located along the slopes of plateaus, between 600 and 1100 m, hence high enough to receive rainfall of more than 1200 mm year<sup>-1</sup> of Atlantic origin (Tabarelli et al., 2004 and references therein). These enclaves are regionally known as "Brejos" (or "Brejos de altitude" or even "Brejos nordestinos") (Andrade-Lima, 1982; Silva & Casteletti, 2003; Tabarelli & Santos, 2004). *Fredius ibiapaba* n. sp. inhabits the mid-highlands of the

Ibiapaba plateau, between about 635 to 782 m, where it digs burrows among the leaf litter, alongside little streams and water ponds inside forest stands or directly on the humid forest floor (Figure 1E). In contrast, *F. reflexifrons* is widely distributed in the Amazon basin's lowlands (< 100 m) from as far west as Peru (Ampyiacu River, a tributary of the Amazonas River) to as far east as the Atlantic basin (French Guiana) (Magalhães, 2003). It is found in burrows alongside the “igarapés” (streams) or digs its burrows on the humid forest floor (Magalhães & Rodríguez, 2002). Magalhães et al. (2005) misidentified the specimens from the mid-highlands of the Ibiapaba plateau with *F. reflexifrons* and explained its presence in Ibiapaba by a migration “...eastwards as far as Serra de Ibiapaba” during the expansion of the humid tropical forest.

*Fredius ibiapaba* n. sp. and *F. reflexifrons* are highly dependent upon humidity and our view is that they most probably were once part of an ancestral population living in a wide humid territory. The shrinking humid forests during several dry periods of the Tertiary and Quaternary (Katzer, 1933; Andrade-Lima, 1953; Bigarella et al., 1975; Ab'Saber, 1977; Bigarella & Andrade-Lima, 1982; Andrade-Lima, 1982; Clapperton, 1993; Thomas, 2000; Haffer, 2001; Haffer & Prance, 2002) likely have resulted in the fragmentation of the ancestral humid area and hence of the ancestral crab population, which was split into two sister species. *Fredius reflexifrons* evolved and spread in a lowland, humid river basin and is now widely distributed, whilst *F. ibiapaba* n. sp. evolved isolated on the top of a humid plateau (Figure 1A–E). The two species are now separated by a vast intervening area occupied by the semiarid Caatinga (Figure 1F, G).

The expansion and shrinkage of mountain, floodplain, and gallery forests, associated to complex topography are known to have affected flora and fauna (Vanzolini, 1970; Vanzolini & Williams, 1970; Vuilleumier, 1971; Andrade-Lima, 1982; Teixeira et al., 1986; Haffer, 1969; 2001; Haffer & Prance, 2002; Santos et al., 2007; Leite et al., 2016). Andrade-Lima (1982) provided a number of examples of plant species that are now confined to the Brejos, isolated from the surrounding, widely distributed Caatinga. He found two floristic components in these refuges on the top of hills, one whose species and genera have mostly originated from the

southeastern flora, lies further inland in the states of Alagoas and Rio Grande do Norte; and a second one in the humid mid highlands closer to the coast, especially between Pernambuco and the border of Ceará and Piauí states (referred to as the Pernambuco Centre by Santos et al., 2007), in which the Amazonian flora are better represented (Andrade-Lima, 1982). Santos et al. (2007) found strong bootstrap support for a close floristic relationship between the Pernambuco Centre and Amazonian localities.

It has long been known that a number of freshwater fish species inhabiting the Brejos have their closest relationships with the Amazonian Basin (Géry, 1969; Paiva, 1978; Weitzman & Weitzman, 1982; Ploeg, 1991; Vari, 1991; Menezes, 1996; Rosa & Groth, 2004). More recently, Pinheiro & Santana (2016) described a new species of freshwater crab genus *Kingsleya* Ortmann, 1897 (also a Pseudothelphusidae), from a Brejo about 750 m in Arajara district, municipality of Barbalha, Ceará. Previously to their discovery *Kingsleya* was known from nine species inhabiting the Amazonian lowlands (Pedraza & Tavares, 2015).

## Acknowledgements

We are thankful to Célio Magalhães (Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas da Amazônia) and Rafael Lemaitre (National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution) for granting access to their respective collections. We are in debt with Waltécio de Oliveira Almeida (Universidade Regional do Cariri) for providing access to optical equipment and laboratory space and to Jessica Colavite (Universidade Estadual Paulista “Júlio de Mesquita Filho”) for the help during figure preparations. This work greatly benefited from the comments of Célio Magalhães, Tomoyuki Komai (Natural History Museum and Institute, Chiba) and an anonymous reviewer.

## References

Ab'Saber, A. N. (1977). Espaços ocupados pela expansão dos climas secos na América do Sul, por ocasião dos períodos glaciais quaternários. *Paleoclimas*, 3, 1-19.

376 Ab'Saber, A. N. (2000). Spaces occupied by the expansion of bry climates in South America  
377 during the Quaternary ice ages. *Revista do Instituto Geológico*, 21(1-2), 71-78.

378 Andrade-Lima, D. D. (1953). Notas sobre a dispersão de algumas espécies vegetais no Brasil.  
379 *Anais da Sociedade de Biologia de Pernambuco*, 11(1), 25-49.

380 Andrade-Lima, D. (1982) Present day forest refuges in northeastern Brazil. *Biological*  
381 *diversification in the tropics* (ed. by G.T. Prance), pp. 245–254. Columbia University Press,  
382 New York.

383 Auler, A. S., Wang, X., Edwards, R. L., Cheng, H., Cristalli, P. S., Smart, P. L., & Richards, D. A.  
384 (2004). Quaternary ecological and geomorphic changes associated with rainfall events in  
385 presently semi-arid northeastern Brazil. *Journal of Quaternary Science*, 19(7), 693-701.

386 Bigarella, J. J. & Andrade-Lima, D. (1982). Paleoenvironmental changes in Brazil. *Biological*  
387 *diversification in the tropics* (ed. by G.T. Prance), pp. 27–40. Columbia University Press,  
388 New York.

389 Bigarella, J. J., Andrade-Lima, D. & Riehs, P. J. (1975). Considerações a respeito das  
390 mudanças paleoambientais na distribuição de algumas espécies vegetais e animais no  
391 Brasil. *Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências*, 47, 411–464.

392 Carmignotto, A. P., de Vivo, M. D., & Langguth, A. (2012). Mammals of the Cerrado and  
393 Caatinga: distribution patterns of the tropical open biomes of Central South America.  
394 *Bones, clones and biomes. The history and geography of recent Neotropical mammals* (BD  
395 *Patterson and LP Costa, eds.). University of Chicago Press, Chicago, Illinois, 307-350.*

396 Carnaval, A. C., & Bates, J. M. (2007). Amphibian DNA shows marked genetic structure and  
397 tracks Pleistocene climate change in northeastern Brazil. *Evolution: International Journal of*  
398 *Organic Evolution*, 61(12), 2942-2957.

399 Cartelle, C., & Hartwig, W. C. (1996). A new extinct primate among the Pleistocene megafauna  
400 of Bahia, Brazil. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 93(13), 6405-6409.

401 Clapperton, C. M. (1993). Nature of environmental changes in South America at the Last Glacial  
402 Maximum. *Palaeogeography, palaeoclimatology, palaeoecology*, 101(3-4), 189-208.

403 Cumberlidge, N., Alvarez, F., & Villalobos, J. L. (2014). Results of the global conservation  
404 assessment of the freshwater crabs (Brachyura, Pseudothelphusidae and  
405 Trichodactylidae): The Neotropical region, with an update on diversity. *ZooKeys*, (457), 133.

406 Darriba, D., Taboada, G. L., Doallo, R., & Posada, D. (2012). jModelTest 2: more models, new  
407 heuristics and parallel computing. *Nature methods*, 9(8), 772.

408 de Vivo, M. (1997). Mammalian evidence of historical change in the Caatinga semiarid  
409 vegetation of northeastern Brazil. *Journal of Comparative Biology*, 2(1): 65-73.

410 Felsenstein, J. (1985). Confidence limits on phylogenies: an approach using the bootstrap.  
411 *Evolution*, 39(4), 783-791.

412 Géry, J. (1969). The freshwater fishes of South América. Pp. 828-848, in: Fitkau, E.J. et al.  
413 (eds.) *Biogeography and ecology in South America*. Dr. W. Junk, The Hage.

414 Haffer, J. (1969). Speciation in Amazonian forest birds. *Science*, 165:131–137.

415 Haffer, J. (2001). Hypotheses to explain the origin of species in Amazônia, p. 45–118. In: Vieira,  
416 I.C.; Silva, J.M.C.; Oren D.C.; D'Incao, M.A. (orgs) *Diversidade biológica e cultural da*  
417 *Amazônia*. Belém, Museu Paraense Emílio Goeldi, 421 p.

418 Haffer, J., & Prance, G. T. (2002). Impulsos climáticos da evolução na Amazônia durante o  
419 Cenozóico: sobre a teoria dos Refúgios da diferenciação biótica. *Estudos avançados*,  
420 16(46), 175-206.

421 Hall, T. (2005). Bioedit biological sequence alignment editor. Version 7.0.4. Ibis Therapeutics,  
422 Carlsbad.

423 Katzer, F. (1933) *Geologia do Estado do Pará*. Boletim do Museu Paraense Emílio Goeldi de  
424 *História Natural e Etnografia*, 9, 1–270.

425 Leite, Y. L. R., Costa, L. P., Loss, A. C., Rocha, R. G., Batalha-Filho, H., Bastos, A. C.,  
426 Quaresma, V. S., Fagundes, V., Paresque, R., Passamani, M. & Pardini, R. (2016).

Neotropical forest expansion during the last glacial period challenges refuge hypothesis. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 113(4), 1008-1013.

Magalhães, C. (2003). Brachyura: Pseudothelphusidae Trichodactylidae, p. 143–297. In: Melo, G.A.S. de (ed.) In: Melo, G.A.S. (Ed.), Manual de Identificação dos Crustáceos Decápodos de Água Doce Brasileiros. São Paulo, Edições Loyola. 429 p.

Magalhães, C. (2009). A new species of freshwater crab of the genus *Fredius* Pretzmann, 1967 from the middle Amazon River basin, Brazil (Crustacea: Decapoda: Pseudothelphusidae). Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington, 122(1), 81-86.

Magalhães, C. & Pereira, G. (2007). Assessment of the decapod crustacean diversity in the Guayana Shield region aiming at conservation decisions. Biota Neotropica, 7(2), 111-124.

Magalhães, C. & Rodríguez, G. (2002). The systematic and biogeographical status of *Fredius reflexifrons* (Ortmann, 1897) and *Fredius fittkauii* (Bott, 1967) (Crustacea: Brachyura: Pseudothelphusidae) from the Amazon and Atlantic Guianas River basins. Acta Amazonica, 32(4): 677-689.

Magalhães, C., Abrunhosa, F. A., Pereira, M. D. O., & Melo, M. A. (2005). New records of *Fredius denticulatus* (H. Milne-Edwards, 1853) and *F. reflexifrons* (Ortmann, 1897), and the eastern limits of the distribution of pseudothelphusid crabs (Crustacea: Decapoda) in Brazil. Acta Amazonica, 35(1), 93-96.

Magalhães, C., Sanches, V. Q. A., Pileggi, L. G. & Mantelatto, F. L. (2014). Morphological and molecular characterization of a new species of *Fredius* (Decapoda, Pseudothelphusidae) from Rondônia, southern Amazonia, Brazil, p. 101–114. In: Yeo, D.C.J.; Cumberlidge, N.; Klaus, S. (eds.) Advances in freshwater decapod systematics and biology. Crustacean Monographs 19. Leiden, Brill. 296 p.

Menezes, N. A. (1996). Methods for assessing freshwater fish diversity. Pp. 289-295 in: Bicudo, C.E. de M. & N.A. Menezes (eds.), Biodiversity in Brazil: a first approach. CNPq, São Paulo.

453 Mora-Day, J., Magalhães, C. & El Souki, M. (2009). Lista Sistemática de los  
454 macroinvertebrados Colectados Durante el RAP Alto Cuyuní 2008, Estado Bolívar,  
455 Venezuela. In Evaluación Rápida de la Biodiversidad de los Ecosistemas Acuáticos de la  
456 Cuenca Alta del Río Cuyuní, Guayana Venezolana. Conservation International.

457 Paiva, M. P. (1978). Ictiofauna e as grandes represas brasileiras. Revista Dae, 38(116), 49-57.

458 Palumbi, S. R., Martin, A., Romano, S., McMillan, W. O., Stice, L. & Grabowski, G. (1991). The  
459 Simple Fool's Guide to PCR, Version 2. University of Hawaii Zoology Department,  
460 Honolulu, 45 pp.

461 Pedraza, M. & Tavares, M. (2015). A new species of freshwater crab of the genus *Kingsleya*  
462 Ortmann, 1897 (Crustacea: Brachyura: Pseudothelphusidae) from Amazonia, Brazil.  
463 Zootaxa, 4032(4): 444–450.

464 Pinheiro, A. P. & Santana, W. (2016). A new and endangered species of *Kingsleyia* Ortmann,  
465 1897 (Crustacea: Decapoda: Brachyura: Pseudothelphusidae) from Ceará, northeastern  
466 Brazil. Zootaxa, 4171(2): 365–372.

467 Ploeg, A. (1991). Revision of the South American cichlid genus *Crenicichla* Heckel, 1840, with  
468 descriptions of fifteen new species groups, phylogeny and biogeography (Pisces,  
469 Perciformes, Cichlidae) (Doctoral dissertation, PhD Thesis, Universiteit van Amsterdam,  
470 Netherland).

471 Rodríguez, G. (1982). Les crabes d'eau douce d'Amerique. Famille des Pseudothelphusidae.  
472 Faune Tropicale, 22. ORSTOM, Paris. 224 p.

473 Rodríguez, G. & Campos, M. R. (1998). A cladistic revision of the genus *Fredius* (Crustacea:  
474 Decapoda: Pseudothelphusidae) and its significance to the biogeography of the Guianan  
475 lowlands of South America. Journal of Natural History, 32(5), 763-775.

476 Rodríguez, G. & Pereira, G. (1992). New species, cladistic relationships, and biogeography of  
477 the genus *Fredius* (Decapoda: Brachyura: Pseudothelphusidae) from South America.  
478 Journal of Crustacean Biology, 12(2), 298-311.

479 Rosa, R. S. & Groth, F. (2004). Ictiofauna dos ecossistemas de brejos de altitude de  
480 Pernambuco e Paraíba. Brejos de Altitude em Pernambuco e Paraíba: História Natural,  
481 Ecologia e Conservação. Série Biodiversidade, 9, 201-210.

482 Santos, A. M. M., Cavalcanti, D. R., Silva, J. M. C. D. & Tabarelli, M. (2007). Biogeographical  
483 relationships among tropical forests in north-eastern Brazil. *Journal of Biogeography*, 34(3),  
484 437-446.

485 Silva, J. M. C. & Casteletti, C. H. M. (2003) Status of the biodiversity of the Atlantic Forest of  
486 Brazil. *The Atlantic Forest of South America: biodiversity status, threats, and outlook* (ed. by  
487 C. Galindo-Leal and I.G. Câmara), pp. 43–59. Center for Applied Biodiversity Science and  
488 Island Press, Washington, DC.

489 Suárez, H. (2015). Six new species of freshwater crabs from Pantepui, Venezuela (Crustacea:  
490 Decapoda: Pseudothelphusidae). *Anartia*, 25, 64-94 [2013].

491 Tabarelli, M. & Santos, A. M. M. (2004). Uma breve descrição sobre a história natural dos  
492 brejos nordestinos. Brejos de Altitude em Pernambuco e Paraíba, História Natural,  
493 Ecologia e Conservação, 9, 17-24.

494 Tamura, K., Stecher, G., Peterson, D., Filipski, A. & Kumar, S. (2013). MEGA6: Molecular  
495 Evolutionary Genetics Analysis version 6.0. *Molecular biology and evolution*, 30(12), 2725–  
496 2729. doi:10.1093/molbev/mst197

497 Teixeira, D. M., Nacinovic, J.B. & Tavares, M.S. (1986) Notes on some birds of northeastern  
498 Brazil. *Bulletin of the British Ornithologists' Club*, 106, 70–74.

499 Thomas, M. F. (2000). Late Quaternary environmental changes and the alluvial record in humid  
500 tropical environments. *Quaternary International*, 72(1), 23-36.

501 Thompson, J. D., Higgins, D. G. & Gibson, T. J. (1994). CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity  
502 of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific  
503 gap penalties and weight matrix choice. *Nucleic acids research*, 22(22), 4673-4680.



504 Vanzolini, P. E. (1970). Zoologia sistemática, geografia e a origem das espécies. Instituto de  
505 Geografia, Universidade de São Paulo, 56 p. (Série Teses e Monografias, 3).

506 Vanzolini, P. E. & Williams, E. E. (1970). South American anoles: Geographic differentiation and  
507 evolution of the *Anolis chrysolepis* species group (Sauria, Iguanidae). Arquivos de Zoologia,  
508 19: 1–298.

509 Vari, R. P. (1991). Systematics of the neotropical characiform genus *Steindachnerina* Fowler  
510 (Pisces: Ostariophysi). Smithsonian Contributions to Zoology, 507, 1-118.

511 Vuilleumier, B. S. (1971). Pleistocene changes in the fauna and flora of South America.  
512 Science, 173(3999), 771-780.

513 Weitzman, S. H. & Weitzman, M. (1982). Biogeography and evolutionary diversification in the  
514 Neotropical freshwater fishes, with comments on the refuge theory. Pp. 403-422, in:  
515 Prance, G.T. (ed.) Biological Diversification in the Tropics. Columbia University Press, New  
516 York.

517 Xia, X. (2013). DAMBE5: a comprehensive software package for data analysis in molecular  
518 biology and evolution. Molecular biology and evolution, 30(7), 1720-1728.

519 WoRMS (2019). *Fredius cuyunis* (Pretzmann, 1967). Accessed at:  
520 <http://www.marinespecies.org/aphia.php?p=taxdetails&id=881659> on 2019-11-19.

521 Yeao, D. C. J., Ng, P. K. L., Cumberlidge, N., Magalhães, C., Daniels, S. R. & Campos, M. R.  
522 (2008). Global diversity of crabs (Crustacea: Decapoda: Brachyura) in freshwater.  
523 Hydrobiologia, 595, 275–286.

524 Zanetti, F., Castro, P. M. D. & Magalhães, C. (2018). Freshwater crabs (Decapoda: Brachyura:  
525 Pseudothelphusidae, Trichodactylidae) from the state of Roraima, Brazil: species  
526 composition, distribution and new records. Nauplius, 26, 1–19.

# Captions for the figures and tables

Figure 1. Sítio Caranguejo, Ipú, Ceará, 04°18'50" S, 40°44'47"W, 729 meters high, type locality of *Fredius ibiapaba* n. sp. (A–E) Mid-altitude, naturally isolated, humid forested patch nested within the vast semiarid Caatinga domain. Note in (E) burrow (arrow) of *Fredius ibiapaba* n. sp. among the leaf litter. (E–F) Lowland, surrounding semiarid Caatinga forest. (E) View from above from Ipú. (F) Detail of a dry-stream channel.

Figure 2. (A–B) Semi-diagrammatic view of the first male gonopod in pleonal and sternal views, respectively, with the terminology used in the descriptions. Cl, cephalic lobe; cs, cephalic spine; fas, field of apical spines; mal, marginal lobe; mas, marginal suture; mel, mesial lobe; sab, subapical bulge.

Figure 3. (A–D) *Fredius ibiapaba* n. sp., holotype, male cl 36 mm, cw 53mm (MZUSP 39710). (A–B) Habitus, dorsal and ventral views, respectively. (C) Cephalothorax, frontal view. (D–E) Right and left chelipeds in lateral view, respectively. Scales: A–E, 10 mm.

Figure 4. (A–F) Right male first gonopod (G1) in pleonal (tilted left), lateral and mesial views from A–C and D–F, respectively. (A–C) *Fredius ibiapaba* n. sp., holotype, male cl 36 mm, cw 53mm (MZUSP 39710). (D–F) *Fredius reflexifrons* (Ortmann, 1897), male cl 73.8 mm, cw 53 mm (MZUSP 13178). Note in (B, C) the G1 remarkably swollen, sloping abruptly downwards anteriorly to a nearly right-angular shoulder (arrow), and in (E, F) the G1 shoulder clearly more gently sloping distally (arrow). Scales: A–F, 2mm.

Figure 5. (A–D) Right male first gonopod (G1) in sternal and apical views from A to B and C to D, respectively. (A, C) *Fredius ibiapaba* n. sp., holotype, male cl 36 mm, cw 53mm (MZUSP 39710). (B, D) *Fredius reflexifrons* (Ortmann, 1897), male cl 73.8 mm, cw 53 mm (MZUSP

13178). Note in (A) and (C) the G1 apex much less tilted so that the mesial lobe is not visible in sternal view (arrow), and the subapical bulge markedly less swollen (arrow), respectively. Note the opposite in (B) and (D). Scales: A–B, 2 mm; C–D, 1 mm.

Figure 6. (A–H) Right male first gonopod (G1) in sternal, lateral, mesial, and pleonal views from A–D and E–H, respectively. (A–D) *Fredius ibiapaba* n. sp., holotype, male cl 36 mm, cw 53mm (MZUSP 39710). (E–H) *Fredius reflexifrons* (Ortmann, 1897), male cl 73.8 mm, cw 53 mm (MZUSP 13178). Scales: A–H, 1 mm.

Figure 7. (A–E) *Fredius ibiapaba* n. sp., paratype, male cl 41.2 mm, cw 62.6 mm (MZUSP 39742). Scanning electron microscopy of the first right male gonopod in mesial (tilted right), sternal, apical, lateral, and mesial views. Scales: A–E, 1 mm.

Figure 8. Phylogeny inferred from the partial mitochondrial DNA sequence of the 16S rDNA gene. Note the sister taxon relationship between *Fredius ibiapaba* n. sp. and *F. reflexifrons* (Ortmann, 1897).

Table 1 – Species of *Fredius* Pretzmann, 1967, *Prionothelephusa* Rodriguez, 1980 and *Trichodactylus* Latreille, 1828 used in the phylogenetic analyses, with respective sample locality and GenBank accession number.

Table 2 – Pairwise distance matrix from the portion of the mitochondrial 16S rRNA based on ~560bp.

Table 3. Geographic and altitudinal distributions for the species of *Fredius* Pretzmann, 1967.

# Figure 1

Sítio Caranguejo, Ipú, Ceará, 04°18'50" S, 40°44'47"W, 729 meters high, type locality of *Fredius ibiapaba* n. sp.

(A-E) Mid-altitude, naturally isolated, humid forested patch nested within the vast semiarid Caatinga domain. Note in (E) burrow (arrow) of *Fredius ibiapaba* n. sp. among the leaf litter.

(E-F) Lowland, surrounding semiarid Caatinga forest. (E) View from above from Ipú. (F) Detail of a dry-stream channel.






# Figure 2

(A-B) Semi-diagrammatic view of the first male gonopod in abdominal and sternal views, respectively, with the terminology used in the descriptions.

Cl, cephalic lobe; cs, cephalic spine; fas, field of apical spines; mal, marginal lobe; mas, marginal suture; mel, mesial lobe; sab, subapical bulge.





# Figure 3

*Fredius ibiapaba* n. sp., male cl 36 mm, cw 53mm (MZUSP 39710).

(A-B) Habitus, dorso and ventral views, respectively. (C) Cephalothorax, frontal view. (D-E) Right and left chelipeds in lateral view, respectively. Scales: A-E, 10 mm.






# Figure 4

(A-F) Right male first gonopod (G1) in pleonal (tilted left), lateral and mesial views from A-C and D-F, respectively.


(A-C) *Fredius ibiapaba* n. sp., holotype, male cl 36 mm, cw 53mm (MZUSP 39710). (D-F) *Fredius reflexifrons* (Ortmann, 1897), male cl 73.8 mm, cw 53 mm (MZUSP 13178). Note in (B, C) the G1 remarkably swollen, sloping abruptly downwards anteriorly to a nearly right-angular shoulder (arrow), and in (E, F) the G1 shoulder clearly more gently sloping distally (arrow). Scales: A-F, 2mm.



# Figure 5

(A–D) Right male first gonopod (G1) in sternal and apical views from A to B and C to D, respectively.

(A, C) *Fredius ibiapaba* n. sp., holotype, male cl 36 mm, cw 53mm (MZUSP 39710). (B, D) *Fredius reflexifrons* (Ortmann, 1897), male cl 73.8 mm, cw 53 mm (MZUSP 13178). Note in (A) and (C) the G1 apex much less tilted so that the mesial lobe is not visible in sternal view (arrow), and the subapical bulge markedly less swollen (arrow), respectively. Note the opposite in (B) and (D). Scales: A–B, 2 mm; C–D, 1 mm.



# Figure 6

(A-H) Right male first gonopod (G1) in sternal, lateral, mesial, and pleonal views from A-D and E-H, respectively.

(A-D) *Fredius ibiapaba* n. sp., holotype, male cl 36 mm, cw 53mm (MZUSP 39710). (E-H) *Fredius reflexifrons* (Ortmann, 1897), male cl 73.8 mm, cw 53 mm (MZUSP 13178). Scales: A-H, 1 mm.






# Figure 7


*Fredius ibiapaba* n. sp., paratype, male cl 41.2 mm, cw 62.6 mm (MZUSP 39742).  
Scanning electron microscopy of the first right male gonopod.

(A) mesial (tilted right), (B) sternal, (C) apical, (D) lateral, and (E) mesial views. Scales: A-E, 1 mm.



# Figure 8

Phylogeny inferred from the partial mitochondrial DNA sequence of the 16S rDNA gene. Note the sister taxon relationship between *Fredius ibiapaba* n. sp. and *F. reflexifrons* (Ortmann, 1897).





**Table 1**(on next page)

Species of *Fredius* Pretzmann, 1967, *Prionothelphusa* Rodriguez, 1980 and *Trichodactylus* Latreille, 1828 used in the phylogenetic analyses, with respective sample locality and GenBank accession number.

1 Table 1 – Species of *Fredius* Pretzmann, 1967, *Prionothelephusa* Rodriguez, 1980 and  
 2 *Trichodactylus* Latreille, 1828 used in the phylogenetic analyses, with respective sample locality  
 3 and GenBank accession number.

Species	Locality	GenBank accession numbers
<i>Fredius buritizatis</i>	Ji-Paraná, Rondônia, Brazil	JN402376
<i>Fredius buritizatis</i>	Ji-Paraná, Rondônia, Brazil	JN402377
<i>Fredius buritizatis</i>	Chupinguaia, Rondônia, Brazil	MN787136
<i>Fredius denticulatus</i>	Serra do Navio, Amapá, Brazil	JN402372
<i>Fredius estevisi</i>	Posto Indígena Parafuri, Roraima, Brazil	JN402379
<i>Fredius fittkaui</i>	Aldeia Balawa-ú, Amazonas, Brazil	JN402373
<i>Fredius platyacanthus</i>	Comunidade Paapi-ú, Roraima, Brazil	JQ414023
<i>Fredius ibiapaba</i> n. sp.	Sítio Caranguejo, Ipu, Ceará, Brazil	MN787135
<i>Fredius reflexifrons</i>	Rio Chumucuí, Bragança, Pará, Brazil	JN402378
<i>Fredius stenolobus</i>	Rio Tawadu, Bolívar, Venezuela	JN402374
<i>Fredius stenolobus</i>	Aldeia Palimi-ú, Rio Uraricoera, Roraima, Brazil	JN402375
<i>Prionothelephusa eliasi</i>	Japurá, Vila Bittencourt, Amazonas, Brazil	MN787137
<i>Trichodactylus dentatus</i>	Bahia, Brazil	FM208777

**Table 2**(on next page)

Pairwise distance matrix from the portion of the mitochondrial 16S rRNA based on ~560bp.

1 Table 2 – Pairwise distance matrix from the portion of the mitochondrial 16S rRNA based on  
2 ~560bp.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1 <i>Fredius ibiapaba</i> n. sp.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2 <i>Fredius reflexifrons</i>	0,04	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3 <i>Fredius burutizatis</i>	0,10	0,07	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4 <i>Fredius buritizatis</i>	0,11	0,08	0,02	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5 <i>Fredius buritizatis</i>	0,11	0,08	0,02	0,00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6 <i>Fredius denticulatus</i>	0,12	0,09	0,08	0,08	0,08	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7 <i>Fredius stenolobus</i>	0,10	0,07	0,07	0,06	0,06	0,10	-	-	-	-	-	-
8 <i>Fredius stenolobus</i>	0,10	0,07	0,07	0,06	0,06	0,10	0,00	-	-	-	-	-
9 <i>Fredius estevisi</i>	0,11	0,07	0,07	0,06	0,06	0,09	0,02	0,02	-	-	-	-
10 <i>Fredius fittkaui</i>	0,09	0,07	0,07	0,07	0,07	0,09	0,08	0,08	0,08	-	-	-
11 <i>Fredius platyacanthus</i>	0,10	0,07	0,06	0,06	0,06	0,09	0,02	0,02	0,02	0,08	-	-
12 <i>Prionothelphusa eliasi</i>	0,16	0,13	0,12	0,12	0,12	0,13	0,12	0,12	0,12	0,13	0,12	-
13 <i>Trichodactylus dentatus</i>	0,22	0,19	0,21	0,21	0,21	0,22	0,21	0,21	0,22	0,20	0,21	0,22

3

# **Table 3**(on next page)

Geographic and altitudinal distributions for the species of *Fredius* Pretzmann, 1967.

1 Table 3. Geographic and altitudinal distributions for the species of *Fredius* Pretzmann, 1967.  
2

Species	Country	Environment	Altitude (m)	References
<i>F. ykaa</i> Magalhães, 2009	Brazil (Amazon River basin)	Lowland streams	36 to 73	Magalhães, 2009
<i>F. adpressus</i> Rodriguez & Pereira, 1992	Venezuela (Orinoco River basin)	Lowland streams	100	Rodriguez & Pereira, 1992
<i>F. beccarii</i> (Coifmann, 1939)	Brazil, Guyana, Venezuela, Suriname (Essequibo-Cuyuni Rivers basin)	Streams (igarapés)	50 to 752	Rodriguez & Campos, 1998; Cumberlidge, Alvarez & Villalobos, 2014; Mora-Day et al., 2009; Magalhães et al., 2014; Zanetti et al. 2018
<i>F. buritizatis</i> Magalhães & Mantelatto, 2014	Brazil (Madeira River basin)	Buritizal (palm) fields	150	Magalhães et al., 2014
<i>F. chaffanjonii</i> (Rathbun, 1905)	Venezuela (Orinoco River basin)	River's headwaters and mid-courses	105-300	Rodriguez & Pereira, 1992
<i>F. convexa</i> (Rathbun, 1898)	Costa Rica	Highland streams	770	Smalley, 1964
<i>F. cuaoensis</i> Suárez, 2015	Venezuela (Orinoco River basin)	Highland streams	950	Suárez, 2015
<i>F. cuyunis</i> (Pretzmann, 1967)	British Guyana (Cuyuní River)	Lowlands	around 100	WoRMS, 2019
<i>F. denticulatus</i> (H. Milne Edwards, 1853)	Brazil, Suriname, French Guiana (Amazon and	Streams (igarapés) and along river margins	70 to 400	Rodriguez & Pereira, 1992; Rodriguez &

	Atlantic river basins)			Campos, 1998; Magalhães et al., 2005; Magalhães, 2009; Cumberlidge, Alvarez & Villalobos, 2014; Magalhães et al., 2014
<i>F. estevisi</i> (Rodríguez, 1966)	Brazil, Venezuela (Amazon and Atlantic rivers basins)	River's headwaters and streams	446 to 944	Mora-Day et al., 2009
<i>F. fittkaui</i> (Bott, 1967)	Brazil, Venezuela, Guyana (Amazon and Atlantic rivers basins)	Streams (igarapés) and along river margins	151 to 500	Rodriguez & Campos, 1998; Magalhães & Rodriguez, 2002; Cumberlidge, Alvarez & Villalobos, 2014; Magalhães et al., 2014; Zanetti et al., 2018
<i>F. granulatus</i> Rodriguez & Campos 1998	Colombia (Amazon River basin)	Lowlands	180 to 200	Rodriguez & Campos, 1998; Cumberlidge et al., 2014 Cumberlidge, Alvarez & Villalobos, 2014; Zanetti et al., 2018;
<i>F. platyacanthus</i> Rodríguez & Pereira,	Brazil, Venezuela (Atlantic	Streams (igarapés) and	106 to 1229	Rodriguez & Pereira,

1992	rivers basin)	mountain areas		1992; Cumberlidge, Alvarez & Villalobos, 2014; Magalhães et al., 2014; Zanetti et al., 2018
<i>F. reflexifrons</i> (Ortmann, 1897)	Brazil, Venezuela, Suriname, French Guiana, Peru, Guyana (Amazon and Atlantic rivers basins)	Lowland streams	37 to 200	Magalhães & Rodriguez, 2002; Magalhães et al., 2005; Cumberlidge, Alvarez & Villalobos, 2014
<i>F. stenolobus</i> Rodríguez & Suárez, 1994	Brazil, Venezuela (Orinoco River basin)	Streams in rocky areas	65 to 1020	Rodriguez & Campos, 1998; Magalhães & Pereira, 2007; Cumberlidge, Alvarez & Villalobos, 2014; Magalhães et al., 2014; Zanetti et al., 2018
<i>Fredius ibiapaba</i> n. sp.	Brazil (Orographic forest enclaves)	Burrows among the leaf litter, alongside little streams and water ponds inside forest stands or directly on the humid forest floor	665 to 782	Present study