A new species of freshwater crab (Crustacea: Brachyura: Pseudothelphusidae) from a naturally isolated orographic forest enclave within the semiarid Caatinga in Ceará, northeastern Brazil (#43401)

First submission

Guidance from your Editor

Please submit by **20 Jan 2020** for the benefit of the authors (and your $200 publishing discount) .

✓ **Structure and Criteria**
Please read the 'Structure and Criteria' page for general guidance.

! **Custom checks**
Make sure you include the custom checks shown below, in your review.

▦ **Raw data check**
Review the raw data.

Image check
Check that figures and images have not been inappropriately manipulated.

Privacy reminder: If uploading an annotated PDF, remove identifiable information to remain anonymous.

Files Download and review all files from the materials page.	7 Figure file(s) 4 Table file(s) 1 Raw data file(s)

! Custom checks

DNA data checks

- ! Have you checked the authors data deposition statement?
- ! Can you access the deposited data?
- ! Has the data been deposited correctly?
- ! Is the deposition information noted in the manuscript?

Field study

- ! Have you checked the authors field study permits?
- ! Are the field study permits appropriate?

New species checks

- Have you checked our new species policies?
- Do you agree that it is a new species?
- Is it correctly described e.g. meets ICZN standard?

For assistance email peer.review@peerj.com

Structure and Criteria

Structure your review

The review form is divided into 5 sections. Please consider these when composing your review:

1. **BASIC REPORTING**
2. **EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN**
3. **VALIDITY OF THE FINDINGS**
4. General comments
5. Confidential notes to the editor

🗋 You can also annotate this PDF and upload it as part of your review

When ready submit online.

Editorial Criteria

Use these criteria points to structure your review. The full detailed editorial criteria is on your guidance page.

BASIC REPORTING

- ✓ Clear, unambiguous, professional English language used throughout.
- ✓ Intro & background to show context. Literature well referenced & relevant.
- ✓ Structure conforms to PeerJ standards, discipline norm, or improved for clarity.
- ✓ Figures are relevant, high quality, well labelled & described.
- ✓ Raw data supplied (see PeerJ policy).

EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

- ✓ Original primary research within Scope of the journal.
- ✓ Research question well defined, relevant & meaningful. It is stated how the research fills an identified knowledge gap.
- ✓ Rigorous investigation performed to a high technical & ethical standard.
- ✓ Methods described with sufficient detail & information to replicate.

VALIDITY OF THE FINDINGS

- ℹ Impact and novelty not assessed. Negative/inconclusive results accepted. *Meaningful* replication encouraged where rationale & benefit to literature is clearly stated.
- ✓ All underlying data have been provided; they are robust, statistically sound, & controlled.
- ✓ Speculation is welcome, but should be identified as such.
- ✓ Conclusions are well stated, linked to original research question & limited to supporting results.

Standout reviewing tips

The best reviewers use these techniques

Tip	***Example***
Support criticisms with evidence from the text or from other sources	*Smith et al (J of Methodology, 2005, V3, pp 123) have shown that the analysis you use in Lines 241-250 is not the most appropriate for this situation. Please explain why you used this method.*
Give specific suggestions on how to improve the manuscript	*Your introduction needs more detail. I suggest that you improve the description at lines 57- 86 to provide more justification for your study (specifically, you should expand upon the knowledge gap being filled).*
Comment on language and grammar issues	*The English language should be improved to ensure that an international audience can clearly understand your text. Some examples where the language could be improved include lines 23, 77, 121, 128 – the current phrasing makes comprehension difficult.*
Organize by importance of the issues, and number your points	*1. Your most important issue* *2. The next most important item* *3. …* *4. The least important points*
Please provide constructive criticism, and avoid personal opinions	*I thank you for providing the raw data, however your supplemental files need more descriptive metadata identifiers to be useful to future readers. Although your results are compelling, the data analysis should be improved in the following ways: AA, BB, CC*
Comment on strengths (as well as weaknesses) of the manuscript	*I commend the authors for their extensive data set, compiled over many years of detailed fieldwork. In addition, the manuscript is clearly written in professional, unambiguous language. If there is a weakness, it is in the statistical analysis (as I have noted above) which should be improved upon before Acceptance.*

A new species of freshwater crab (Crustacea: Brachyura: Pseudothelphusidae) from a naturally isolated orographic forest enclave within the semiarid Caatinga in Ceará, northeastern Brazil

Livanio C Santos [1, 2] , **Marcos Tavares** [3] , **José R. F. Silva** [1] , **Marcelo Cervini** [4] , **Allysson P Pinheiro** [Corresp., 5] , **William Santana** [6]

[1] Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ecologia e Recursos Naturais, Universidade Federal do Ceará, Fortaleza, Ceará, Brazil
[2] Laboratório de Crustáceos do Semiárido, Universidade Regional do Cariri, Crato, Ceará, Brazil
[3] Museum of Zoology, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil
[4] Departamento de Ciências Biológicas, Universidade Estadual Sudoeste da Bahia, Jequié, Bahia, Brazil
[5] Laboratório de Crustáceos do Semiárido, Universidade Regional do Cariri, Crato, Ceará, Brasil
[6] Laboratório de Sistemática Zoológica, Universidade do Sagrado Coração, Bauru, São Paulo, Brazil

Corresponding Author: Allysson P Pinheiro
Email address: allysson.pinheiro@urca.br

A new species of freshwater crab, *Fredius ibiapaba*, is described and illustrated from a mid-altitude forested patch in Ipú (Ibiapaba plateau, Ceará, northeastern Brazil), between 635 to 782 m. The new species can be separated from its congeners by the morphology of its first gonopod: proximal half remarkably swollen, sloping abruptly downwards anteriorly to a nearly right-angular shoulder; mesial lobe much smaller than cephalic spine; cephalic lobe moderately developed; auxiliary lobe lip, delimiting field of apical spines, protruded all the way to distal margin of auxiliary lobe. Comparative 16S rDNA sequencing used to infer the phylogenetic placement of *Fredius ibiapaba* n. sp. revealed that it is the sister taxon of *F. reflexifrons*, a species which occurs allopatrically in the Amazon and Atlantic basin's lowlands (< 100 m). *Fredius ibiapaba* n. sp. and *F. reflexifrons* are highly dependent upon humidity and most probably were once part of an ancestral population living in a wide humid territory. Shrinking humid forests during several dry periods of the Tertiary and Quaternary likely have resulted in the fragmentation of the ancestral humid area and hence of the ancestral crab population. *Fredius reflexifrons* evolved and spread in a lowland, humid river basin (Amazon and Atlantic basins), whilst *F. ibiapaba* n. sp. evolved isolated on the top of a humid plateau. The two species are now separated by a vast intervening area occupied by the semiarid Caatinga.

A new species of freshwater crab (Crustacea: Brachyura: Pseudothelphusidae) from a naturally isolated orographic forest enclave within the semiarid Caatinga in Ceará, northeastern Brazil

Livanio C. Santos[1,2], Marcos Tavares[3], José Roberto Feitosa Silva[2], Marcelo Cervini[4], Allysson P. Pinheiro[1*], William Santana[1,5]

[1] Laboratório de Crustáceos do Semiárido (LACRUSE), Universidade Regional do Cariri—URCA, Rua Cel. Antônio Luis, 63100-000, Crato—CE, Brazil. APP ORCID: 0000-0003-1565-6371. E-mail: allysson.pinheiro@urca.br.

[2] Departamento de Biologia, Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ecologia e Recursos Naturais, Universidade Federal do Ceará, Av. Mister Hull s/n. CEP: 60455-760, Fortaleza, Ceará, Brazil. E-mails: robertofeitosa@ufc.br and santos.bio.79@gmail.com

[3] Museum of Zoology, University of São Paulo, Ave. Nazareth 481, Ipiranga 04263-000, São Paulo—SP, Brazil. ORCID: 0000-0002-7186-5787 5. E-mail: mdst@usp.br

[4] Universidade Estadual do Sudoeste da Bahia, Departamento de Ciências Biológicas, Av. José Moreira Sobrinho, s/n°, Jequiezinho, 45200-000 Jequié—BA, Brazil. E-mail: marcelo_cervini@yahoo.com.br

[5] Laboratory of Systematic Zoology (LSZ), Universidade do Sagrado Coração—USC, Rua Irmã Arminda, 10-50, 17011-160, Bauru—SP, Brazil. ORCID: 0000-0003-3086-4419. E-mail: willsantana@gmail.com

Corresponding Author:
Allysson P. Pinheiro
Rua Cel. Antônio Luis, 63100-000, Crato—CE, Brazil
Email address: allysson.pinheiro@urca.br

Abstract

A new species of freshwater crab, *Fredius ibiapaba*, is described and illustrated from a mid-altitude forested patch in Ipú (Ibiapaba plateau, Ceará, northeastern Brazil), between 635 to 782 m. The new species can be separated from its congeners by the morphology of its first gonopod: proximal half remarkably swollen, sloping abruptly downwards anteriorly to a nearly right-angular shoulder; mesial lobe much smaller than cephalic spine; cephalic lobe moderately developed; auxiliary lobe lip, delimiting field of apical spines, protruded all the way to distal margin of auxiliary lobe. Comparative 16S rDNA sequencing used to infer the phylogenetic placement of *Fredius ibiapaba* n. sp. revealed that it is the sister taxon of *F. reflexifrons*, a species which occurs allopatrically in the Amazon and Atlantic basin's lowlands (< 100 m). *Fredius ibiapaba* n. sp. and *F. reflexifrons* are highly dependent upon humidity and most probably were once part of an ancestral population living in a wide humid territory. Shrinking humid forests during several dry periods of the Tertiary and Quaternary likely have resulted in the fragmentation of the ancestral humid area and hence of the ancestral crab population. *Fredius reflexifrons* evolved and spread in a lowland, humid river basin (Amazon and Atlantic basins), whilst *F. ibiapaba* n. sp. evolved isolated on the top of a humid plateau. The two species are now separated by a vast intervening area occupied by the semiarid Caatinga.

Introduction

Cumulative evidences from many independent sources argue in favor of the mid-altitude forested patches in northeastern Brazil being remnants of a once much larger humid forest, connected to both the Amazonian and Atlantic rainforests during the moister periods (e.g., Andrade-Lima, 1982; Cartelle & Hartwig, 1996; de Vivo, 1997; Ab'Saber, 2000; Auler et al., 2004; Carnaval & Bates, 2007; Carmignotto, 2012; and references therein). These humid forest refuges (Figure 1A–D), naturally isolated by the vast surrounding semiarid Caatinga (Figure 1F, - - G), are indeed known to harbor many woody plant and animal species (fossil and Recent) that are also found or are closely related to species occurring allopatrically in the Amazonian and Atlantic rainforests.

Here we describe and illustrate a new species of a freshwater pseudothelphusid crab, *Fredius ibiapaba* n. sp., from a mid-altitude forest enclave in Ipú (Ibiapaba plateau, Ceará, northeastern Brazil), between 665 to 782 m (Figure 1A–D). Evidences from a phylogenetic analysis using 16S rDNA are presented for a sister taxon relationship between *Fredius ibiapaba* n. sp. and *F. reflexifrons* (Ortmann, 1897), a species occurring allopatrically in the Amazonian humid lowlands. Previous hypothesis on the phylogenetic relationships of *F. reflexifrons* and the possible evolutionary scenario that led to the emergence of the sister taxons *Fredius ibiapaba* n. sp. and *F. reflexifrons* are discussed.

Materials & Methods

Procedures with material examined

The specimens were collected using license permission from the Sistema de Autorização e Informação em Biodiversidade (SISBIO #29615) of the Brazilian Ministry of Environment (MMA). The studied specimens are deposited in the collections of the INPA (Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas da Amazônia, Manaus) and MZUSP (Museu de Zoologia, Universidade de São Paulo, Brazil). Measurements: cl, carapace length, taken along the carapace axis to its posterior median margin, in millimeters (mm). Dates are written in the format day.month.year, with months in lower-case Roman numerals. Abbreviations are as follows: G1, G2, first and second gonopods, respectively. Mxp3, third maxilliped. The terminology used in the description of the G1 is referred in the figure 2.

Molecular data analysis

DNA extraction, amplification and sequencing: Muscle tissue samples were obtained from the pereopods or abdomen of *Fredius ibiapaba* n. sp.*, F. buritizatilis* Magalhães, Sanches, Pileggi & Mantelatto, 2014, and *Prionothelphusa eliasi* Rodriguez, 1980*.* At the Laboratório de Biologia Molecular da Universidade Estadual do Sudoeste da Bahia- LBM/UESB a small region of the 16S rDNA gene was extracted with Wizard® Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Promega), amplified in a 12,5 µl final volume reaction with 2,5 mM de MgCl2 (Invitrogen), 0,05 mM de dNTP (Invitrogen), buffer 1x (Invitrogen – 10xPCR Buffer: 200mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.4), 500mM KCl), 1U de taq platinum (Invitrogen) and 0,3µM of each primer. The PCR conditions were: one cycle at 94°C, 60 sec; five cycles at 94°C, 60 sec; 45°C, 40 sec and 72°C, 60 sec; and 35 cycles at 94°C, 60 sec; 51°C, 40 sec and 72°C; 60 sec; a final extension of five minutes at 72°C was performed. The primers used were 16Sar (5’-CCGGTCTGAACTCAGATCACGT-3’) and 16Sbr (5’-CGCCTGTTTATCAAAAACAT-3’) (Palumbi et al., 1991). PCR products were purified using a polietilenoglicol (PEG) 20% and sequenced in an ABI Prism 3100 Genetic Analyzer® (Applied Biosystems) at the Departamento de Tecnologia da Universidade Estadual Paulista “Júlio de Mesquita Filho”, Jaboticabal. Sequencing reaction was performed with Big Dye v3.1 (Applied Biosystems), prepared with 4,75 µl ultrapure water, 1,5 µl BigDye 5x buffer, 0,75 µl BigDye terminator Mix, 2 µl primer (0,8 pmol) and 1 µl of Purified PCR product. Sequence conditions were: one minute at 96°C; 35 cycles of 15 sec at 96°C; 15 sec at 50°C and 2 minutes at 60°C. Both, forward and reverse sequence strands were obtained and the consensus generated by the software BioEdit 7.0.5 (Hall, 2005). The identities of the final sequences were confirmed with a BLAST (Basic Local Alignment Search Tool) on GenBank database. Additional comparative sequences were retrieved from GenBank (Table 1).

Phylogenetic analyses: Substitution saturation in 16S rDNA was tested using the saturation index implemented in DAMBE 5 (Xia, 2013). The sequences were grouped and edit in BioEdit and aligned using the ClustalW interface (Thompson et al. 1994). *Prionothelphusa eliasi* (Pseudothelphusidae) and *Trichodactylus dentatus* H. Milne Edwards, 1853 (Trichodactylidae) were chosen as outgroups. The best-fit model HKY + G was selected using jModeltest 2.1.7 (Darriba et al., 2012). This model was used to generate Maximum Likelihood gene trees in MEGA 6.06 (Tamura et al. 2013). Branch support values were calculated using bootstrap analyses with 1,000 replicates (Felsenstein, 1985). Only nodes with bootstrap support greater than 50 are shown on the phylogenetic tree. Nucleotide divergence estimated from pairwise distance was calculated in MEGA 6.06 with the same best-fit model (Table 2).

Registration of nomenclatural act

The electronic version of this article in Portable Document Format (PDF) will represent a published work according to the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature (ICZN), and hence the new names contained in the electronic version are effectively published under that Code from the electronic edition alone. This published work and the nomenclatural acts it contains have been registered in ZooBank, the online registration system for the ICZN. The ZooBank LSIDs (Life Science Identifiers) can be resolved and the associated information viewed through any standard web browser by appending the LSID to the prefix http://zoobank.org/. The LSID for this publication is: [urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:0925982D-7441-120 4256-9856-A553987956A6]. The online version of this work is archived and available from the following digital repositories: PeerJ, PubMed Central and CLOCKSS.

Results

Pseudothelphusidae Ortmann, 1893

Fredius Pretzmann, 1967

Fredius ibiapaba n. sp. (Figures 3A-E; 4A-C; 5A, C; 6A-D; 7A-E)

Type material. Holotype, male cl 36 mm, cw 53mm (MZUSP 39710), Sítio Caranguejo, Ipú, Ceará, 04°18'50" S, 40°44'47"W, 729 m, xii.2017. Paratypes: male cl 34 mm, cw 48 mm (MZUSP 39169), same data as holotype; female cl 35 mm, cw 49 mm (MZUSP 39171), Sítio Gameleira, Ipú, Ceará, 04°17'17" S, 40°44'44"W, 665 m, 5.i.2018; male cl 32 mm, cw 48 mm (MZUSP 39167), Sítio Santa Cruz, Ipú, Ceará, 04°19'40" S, 40°45'09"W, 782 m, 10.x.2014; female cl 31 mm, cw 44 mm (MZUSP 39168), Sítio Santa Cruz, Ipú, Ceará, 04°19'40" S, 40°45'09"W, 782 m, 23.iv.2015; male cl 41.2 mm, cw 62.6 mm (MZUSP 39742), Sítio Ipuçaba, Ipú, Ceará, 27.x11.2017.

Comparative material. *Fredius fittkaui* (Bott, 1967): male, cl 47.1 mm, cw 66.9 mm (MZUSP 24497), Guyana, Potaro-Siparuni, Rio Kuribrong, 05°22’35”N, 59°33’4”W, P. Bernardo & B. Newman coll., 28.ix.2010. *Fredius reflexifrons* (Ortmann, 1897): Peru: male, cl 31 mm, cw 42.5

mm (MZUSP 6389), Rio Apiacu, DepartamentoLoreto, Boris Malkin coll., 15-25.iv.1966. Brazil: male cl 37 mm, cw 52 m (MZUSP 19922), Amapá, Serra do Navio, Serra do Veado, Projeto Diversitas Neotropica, M. Tavares coll. 7.v.1994. 2 males, cl 57.7 mm, cw 42 mm and cl 73.8 mm, cw 53 mm (MZUSP 13178), Amapá, Rio Jari, montante, Cachoeira Santo Antônio, M. Jegú & J. Zuanon coll., 9-26.vi.1981. 1 macho (INPA 583), Amapá, Serra do Navio/ Serra do Veado, 07.v.1994. 1 macho (INPA 2125), Amapá, município de Laranjal, 16.i.2012. 1 macho (INPA 889), Amazonas, Manaus, Reserva do Km 41, 02°26’56”S, 59°46’13”W. 1 macho (INPA 368), Amazonas, Manaus, Reserva Ducke, 22.ii.1986. 1 macho (INPA 850), Amazonas, Manaus, 11.vii.2001. 1 macho (INPA 852), Amazonas, Iranduba, Sítio Anaíra, 03°10’39”S, 60°07’39”W, 12.ix.1999. 1 macho (INPA 1254), Pará, Santarém, Comunidade Santa Rosa. 1 macho (INPA 851), Pará, Rio do Peixe Boi, 01°11’30”S, 47°18’54”W, E. Matos and A. Henriques Jr coll., 03.iii.1995. 1 macho (INPA 1512), Pará, Bragança, Rio Chumucuí, S. Alves coll., 12.xi.2004. *Fredius denticulatus* (H. Milne Edwards, 1853): male cl 45 mm, cw 62 mm (MZUSP 16294), Rio Amapari, Serra do Navio, AP, Projeto Diversitas Neotropica, n°151, M. Tavares coll., 30.iv.1994, C. Magalhães det. 16.ii.1996.

Type locality. Sítio Caranguejo, Ipú, Ceará, 04°18'50"S, 40°44'47"W, 729 m.

Distribution. Only recorded from the type locality to date.

Etymology. The specific name is a noum in apposition taken from the Tupi language word for plateau, "yby'ababa", ibiapaba.

Diagnosis. G1 robust, proximal half remarkably swollen, sloping abruptly downwards anteriorly to a nearly right-angular shoulder; mesial lobe much smaller than cephalic spine; cephalic lobe moderately developed; auxiliary lobe lip, delimiting field of apical spines, protruded all the way to distal margin of auxiliary lobe.

Description of the holotype. Carapace transversally ovate (Figure 3A), widest at middle (cw/cl, 1.51); dorsal surface smooth, slightly convex, regions ill-defined. Gastric pits minute, very close to each other. Cervical grooves shallow, nearly straight, poorly indicated, distal ends reaching to anterolateral margin. Front deflexed, almost straight in dorsal view, entire, marked with row of very small papillae; front lower border carinate, with an almost indistinct sinus medially in frontal view; postfrontal lobules obsolete; median groove between postfrontal lobules faint. Upper orbital margin with row of very faint papillae; lower margin minutely denticulate; exorbital angle marked by obtuse tooth, followed posteriorly by faint notch. Carapace anterolateral margin semicircular in outline, fringed by minute denticles; posterolateral margins almost straight, strongly convergent, smooth. Epistomial margin with minute papillae; epistomial tooth broadly triangular, deflexed (Figure 3C). Suborbital and subhepatic regions of carapace smooth; pterygostomial region densely pubescent around mouthparts (Figures 3B, C).

Mxp3 palp slender, long, reaching slightly beyond articulation of merus and ischium when folded. Merus markedly operculiform. Posterior half of mesial margin of merus and mesial margin of ischium with conical teeth (Figure 3B). Exopod short, 0.28 times length of lateral margin of ischium, devoid of flagellum. Efferent branchial channel opening subcircular (Figure 3B).

Chelipeds moderately heterochelous, right cheliped larger than left one (Figure 3E, F). Major cheliped merus subtriangular in cross-section; lateral surface smooth, irregular row of small tubercles of different sizes along dorsal surface; with irregular longitudinal row of small tubercles; mesial surface smooth, slightly concave to fit lateral sides of carapace; mesial lower margin with row of conical teeth slightly increasing in size distally; lateral lower margin with row of small teeth. Carpus smooth dorsally; mesial margin with row of small, irregular teeth and strong, acute spine about midlength of margin. Palm moderately swollen, smooth on lateral and mesial sides, with minute papillae on dorsal and ventral rounded faces. Dactylus regenerated.

Cutting margin of dactylus and fixed finger both with larger teeth interspersed with smaller ones. Fingers not gaping when closed, tips not crossing. Minor cheliped similar in shape.

Thoracic sternal suture 2/3 complete, distinct; sternal suture 3/4 interrupted, visible only laterally (Figure 3B); sternal sutures 4/5and 5/6 interrupted, ending just before reaching midline of thoracic sternum; sternal sutures 6/7 and 7/8 complete. Midline of thoracic sternum deeply incised in sternites VII and VIII.

All abdominal segments free. Lateral margins of male telson slightly concave, tip rounded (Figure 3B).

G1 robust, proximal half remarkably swollen, sloping abruptly downwards anteriorly to a nearly right-angular shoulder (Figure 4B, C). Subapical bulge moderately developed around lateral and sternal sides (Figures 4B; 5A, B; 6A). Marginal suture straight (Figure 4C). Marginal lobe truncate, projected distally beyond abdominal surface, junction marked by distinct depression. Mesial lobe much smaller than cephalic spine, showing as triangular, acute spine, pointing to abdominal direction (Figures 4A, C, 5B; C; 6A, C). Cephalic spine very strong, acuminate at tip, pointing in mesial direction (Figures 4A–C, 5B; C; 6A–C). Cephalic lobe prominent, truncate, tip rounded, with several very small spines along lateral, mesial and sternal sides (Figures 4A, B; 6A, B). Auxiliary lobe much shorter than cephalic lobe in abdominal view, separated from it by distinct depression, their junction forming lateral channel running distally in almost straight direction before ending in inward curve subterminally (Figures 4A; 6A). Field of apical spines large, open, flattened, elongated, ear-shaped, provided with small spinules, delimited by lateral and abdominal lips of apex (Figures 4A, B; 5B; 6A, B).

G2 slightly longer than G1 when abdomen folded beneath cephalothorax; very slender, tapering distally progressively, distal part moderately flattened, with rather dense, minute spinules along sternal side.

Remarks. *Fredius ibiapaba* n. sp. is herein assigned to the genus *Fredius*, whose diagnostic characters (Rodriguez, 1982; Rodriguez & Pereira, 1992) are readily recognized in the new species, namely, exopod of mxp3 short, about 0.3 times length of outer margin of ischium. G1 widest at base (Figure 4B, C); marginal lobe simple, ending in an inverted cup-shaped elongation at base of field of apical spines; subapical bulge covering lateral and sternal sides; field of apical spines large, open, flattened, ear-shaped, with small scattered spinules at proximal sternal border (Figures 4A–C; 5B; 6A, B).

The new species morphologically resembles *Fredius denticulatus*, *F. fittkaui*, *F. reflexifrons* and *F. ykaa* in that the gonopod cephalic spine is much more developed than the mesial lobe (see Magalhães & Rodriguez, 2002: 679, fig. 1; 683, fig. 2, respectively; Rodriguez & Campos, 1998: 766, fig. 2O, P) (Figure 4A, C; 5B; 6A, C), whereas other species either have the gonopod cephalic spine little larger than the mesial lobe (*F. stenolobus* and *F. adpressus*), or have it much shorter than the mesial lobe (e.g., *F. buritizalis*, *F. platyacanthus*, and *F. estevi*), or have the cephalic spine and the mesial lobe similar in size (e.g., *F. granulatus*, *F. chaffanjoni* (see Magalhães et al., 2014 and references therein).

Fredius ibiapaba n. sp. stands apart from *Fredius denticulatus*, *F. fittkaui*, *F. reflexifrons* and *F. ykaa* in having the G1 proximal half remarkably swollen on the abdominal side, sloping abruptly downwards anteriorly to a nearly right-angular shoulder (Figures 4B, C), whereas in the latter four species the G1 shoulder is clearly more gently sloping distally (Figure 4E, F).

The closest morphological resemblance of *Fredius ibiapaba* n. sp. is with *F. reflexifrons* of which it additionally differs (1) in having the auxiliary lobe lip, delimiting the field of apical spines, protruded all the way to the distal margin of the auxiliary lobe (Figure 4A, B), whereas in *F. reflexifrons* the lip fades away well before reaching the distal margin of the lobe (Figure 4D, E); and (2) the subapical bulge markedly less swollen (Figures 5A, C) and the G1 apex much less tilted so that the mesial lobe is not visible in sternal view (Figure 5A), in contrast to *F. reflexifrons* (Figures 5B, D, respectively). Also, in *F. ibiapaba* n. sp. the cephalic lobe is truncate

(Figure 4A, 6A), whereas in *F. reflexifrons* it tapers progressively to a distinct narrower tip (Figure 4D, 6D).

Fredius ibiapaba n. sp. further differs from *F. ykaa* in that the G1 shoulder is high and robust (Figures 4B, C), whilst in *F. ykaa* the G1 shoulder is remarkably lower; it can be easily further differentiated from *F. denticulatus* in that its G1 caudal lobe lacks a field of spines spirally twisted to a transverse position (viz., Rodriguez & Campos, 1998) and from *F. fittkaui* in having the G1 cephalic spine straight and sharply acuminate, whereas in *F. fittkaui* it is curved and round tipped.

Discussion

Phylogenetic analysis

The mitochondrial loci 16S was successfully amplified and sequenced for *Fredius buritizatilis*, *F. ibiapaba* n. sp., and *Prionothelphusa eliasi.* Additional sequences used were retrieved from GenBank (Table 1). Bootstrap support values are shown on nodes of the phylogenetic tree (Figure 8). *Fredius ibibapaba* n. sp. is very well supported (98) as a sister to *F. reflexifrons* reflecting the close morphological resemblance between the two species.

The divergence rates between *Fredius reflexifrons* and *F. ibiapaba* n. sp. (4%) is higher than between *F. estevisi* x *F. stenolobus*, *F. platyacanthus* x *F. stenolobus* and *F. platyacanthus* x *F. estevisi* all with of 2% of divergence (Table 2). Morphology and molecular data hence provide evidences for the differentiation between *F. ibiapaba* n. sp. and *F. reflexifrons*.

A survey of the pseudothelphusids described from 1840 to 2004 (Yeo et al., 2008) showed that the curve of described species is still far from being asymptotic. And indeed, new species are still being discovered either by collecting in new biomes (e.g., *F. buritizalis* from a palm swamp known as "buritizal"), or by revisiting the taxonomy of widely disjunct species for testing as to their conspecific status, such as *F. ibiapaba* n. sp. and *F. reflexifrons*.

Zoogeographical notes

Fredius currently consists of 16 species (Table 3), distributed over a vast territory, which encompass five main river basins (Rodriguez & Campos, 1998; Magalhães et al., 2014): (1) the Orinoco River basin; (2) the Essequibo-Cuyuni River basin; (3) the Amazon River basin; (4) the Madeira River basin and its tributary (Machado River); and (5) the Atlantic rivers basin, a coastal drainage of small rivers in northern South American (Guyana, Suriname and French Guiana) discharging directly into the Atlantic Ocean.

Rodriguez & Pereira (1992) performed a cladistic analysis of *Fredius* and suggested that *F. reflexifrons* and *F. adpressus* were sister species. The purported clade *F. reflexifrons*/ *F. adpressus* was presumably supported by three putative synapomorphies: (1) [G1] mesial lobe attached to back of auricular lobe; (2) basal denticle of mesial lobe present; and (3) subapical bulge well developed.

Later, however, Rodriguez & Campos (1998) reviewed the previous data and performed a new analysis in which they decided that character 1 (mesial lobe attached to back of auricular lobe) was no longer tenable and hence was eliminated from the new analysis. They also realized that the basal denticle of the mesial lobe was indeed present in *F. adpressus* (character 2), but was absent in all other *Fredius* species. They further concluded that the subapical bulge was actually "reduced" in *F. adpressus* and "strongly developed" in *F. granulatus*, *F. reflexifrons*, *F. fittkauii*, and *F. denticulatus*, so that these latter two characters were also removed from the new analysis. Therefore, the putative sister taxon relationship between *F. reflexifrons* and *F. adpressus* dissolved. Rodriguez & Campos (1998) put forward, instead, the hypothesis that *F. reflexifrons* was sister to *F. fittkauii*, not to *F. adpressus*, based on the assumption that *F. reflexifrons* and *F. fittkauii* synapomorphically share the cephalic lobe distal margin armed with several spinules. However, as found here, this character is more widely distributed being also found in *F. ibiapaba* n. sp. and, therefore, cannot be used to argue for the sister taxon relationship between *F. reflexifrons* and *F. fittkauii*.

The discovery of *F. ibiapaba* n. sp. revealed that it is actually the sister group of *F. reflexifrons*, as shown by a comparative 16S rDNA sequencing used to infer the phylogenetic placement of *Fredius ibiapaba* n. sp. (Figure 8). The distribution range of *Fredius ibiapaba* n. sp. is very narrow and currently restricted to a humid enclave, a small mid-altitude forested patch in Ipú (Ceará, northeastern Brazil, Figure 1A–E), nested within the vast semiarid Caatinga domain (Figure 1F, G). The orographic forest enclaves, such as Ipú, are typically located along the slopes of plateaus, between 600 and 1100 m, hence high enough to receive rainfall of more than 1200 mm year^{-1} of Atlantic origin (Tabarelli et al., 2004 and references therein). These enclaves are regionally known as "Brejos" (or "Brejos de altitude" or even "Brejos nordestinos") (Andrade-Lima, 1982; Silva & Casteletti, 2003; Tabarelli & Santos, 2004). *Fredius ibiapaba* n. sp. inhabits the mid-highlands of the Ibiapaba plateau, between about 635 to 782 m, where it digs burrows among the leaf litter, alongside little streams and water ponds inside forest stands or directly on the humid forest floor (Figure 1E).

In contrast, *F. reflexifrons* is widely distributed in the Amazon basin's lowlands (< 100 m) from as far west as Peru (Ampyiacu River, a tributary of the Amazonas River) to as far east as the Atlantic basin (French Guiana) (Magalhães, 2003). It is found in burrows alongside the “igarapés” (streams) or digs its burrows on the humid forest floor (Magalhães & Rodriguez, 2002).

Fredius ibiapaba n. sp. and *F. reflexifrons* are highly dependent upon humidity and most probably were once part of an ancestral population living in a wide humid territory. The shrinking humid forests during several dry periods of the Tertiary and Quaternary (Katzer, 1933; Andrade-Lima, 1953; Bigarella et al., 1975; Ab'Saber, 1977; Bigarella & Andrade-Lima, 1982; Andrade-Lima, 1982; Clapperton, 1993; Thomas, 2000; Haffer, 2001; Haffer & Prance, 2002) likely have resulted in the fragmentation of the ancestral humid area and hence of the ancestral crab population, which was split into two species. *Fredius reflexifrons* evolved and spread in a lowland, humid river basin and is now widely distributed, whilst *F. ibiapaba* n. sp. evolved isolated on the top of a humid plateau (Figure 1A–E). The two species are now separated by a vast intervening area occupied by the semiarid Caatinga (Figure 1F, G).

The expansion and shrinkage of mountain, floodplain, and gallery forests, associated to complex topography are known to have affected flora and fauna (Vanzolini, 1970; Vanzolini & Williams, 1970; Vuilleumier, 1971; Andrade-Lima, 1982; Teixeira et al., 1986; Haffer, 1969; 2001; Haffer & Prance, 2002; Santos et al., 2007; Leite et al., 2016).

Andrade-Lima (1982) provided a number of examples of plant species that are now confined to the Brejos, isolated from the surrounding, widely distributed Caatinga. He found two floristic components in these refuges on the top of hills, one whose species and genera are mostly originary from the southeastern flora, lies further inland in the states of Alagoas and Rio Grande do Norte; and a second one in the humid mid highlands closer to the coast, especially between Pernambuco and the border of Ceará and Piauí states (referred to as the Pernambuco Centre by Santos et al., 2007), in which the Amazonian flora are better represented (Andrade-Lima, 1982). Santos et al. (2007) found strong bootstrap support for a close floristic relationship between the Pernambuco Centre and Amazonian localities.

It has long been known that a number of freshwater fish species inhabiting the Brejos have their closest relationships with the Amazonian Basin (Géry, 1969; Paiva, 1978; Weitzman & Weitzman, 1982; Ploeg, 1991; Vari, 1991; Menezes, 1996; Rosa & Groth, 2004). More recently, Pinheiro & Santana (2016) described a new species of freshwater crab genus *Kingsleya* Ortmann, 1897 (also a Pseudothelphusidae), from a Brejo about 750 m in Arajara, district Ceará. Previously to their discovery *Kingsleya* was known from nine species inhabiting the Amazonian lowlands (Pedraza & Tavares, 2015).

Acknowledgements

We are thankful to Célio Magalhães (Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas da Amazônia) and Rafael Lemaitre (National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution) for granting access to their respective collections. We are in debt with Waltécio de Oliveira Almeida (Universidade Regional do Cariri) for providing access to optical equipment and laboratory space and to Jessica Colavite (Universidade Estadual Paulista “Júlio de Mesquita Filho”) for the help during figure preparations. We also thank the Universidade Regional do Cariri (URCA), Universidade do Sagrado Coração (USC) and MZUSP for the logistic support.

References

Ab'Saber, A. N. (1977). Espaços ocupados pela expansão dos climas secos na América do Sul, por ocasião dos períodos glaciais quaternários. Paleoclimas, (3), 1-19.

Ab'Saber, A. N. (2000). Spaces occupied by the expansion of bry climates in South America during the Quaternary ice ages. Revista do Instituto Geológico, 21(1-2), 71-78.

Andrade-Lima, D. D. (1953). Notas sobre a dispersão de algumas espécies vegetais no Brasil. Anais da Sociedade de Biologia de Pernambuco, 11(1), 25-49.

Andrade-Lima, D. (1982) Present day forest refuges in northeastern Brazil. Biological diversification in the tropics (ed. by G.T. Prance), pp. 245–254. Columbia University Press, New York.

Auler, A. S., Wang, X., Edwards, R. L., Cheng, H., Cristalli, P. S., Smart, P. L., & Richards, D. A. (2004). Quaternary ecological and geomorphic changes associated with rainfall events in presently semi-arid northeastern Brazil. Journal of Quaternary Science, 19(7), 693-701.

Bigarella, J.J. & Andrade-Lima, D. (1982). Paleoenvironmental changes in Brazil. Biological diversification in the tropics (ed. by G.T. Prance), pp. 27–40. Columbia University Press, New York.

Bigarella, J.J., Andrade-Lima, D. & Riehs, P.J. (1975). Considerações a respeito das mudanc¸as paleoambientais na distribuição de algumas espécies vegetais e animais no Brasil. Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências, 47, 411–464.

Carmignotto, A. P., de Vivo, M. D., & Langguth, A. (2012). Mammals of the Cerrado and Caatinga: distribution patterns of the tropical open biomes of Central South America. Bones, clones and biomes. The history and geography of recent Neotropical mammals (BD Patterson and LP Costa, eds.). University of Chicago Press, Chicago, Illinois, 307-350.

Carnaval, A. C., & Bates, J. M. (2007). Amphibian DNA shows marked genetic structure and tracks Pleistocene climate change in northeastern Brazil. Evolution: International Journal of Organic Evolution, 61(12), 2942-2957.

Cartelle, C., & Hartwig, W. C. (1996). A new extinct primate among the Pleistocene megafauna of Bahia, Brazil. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 93(13), 6405-6409.

Clapperton, C. M. (1993). Nature of environmental changes in South America at the Last Glacial Maximum. Palaeogeography, palaeoclimatology, palaeoecology, 101(3-4), 189-208.

Cumberlidge, N., Alvarez, F., & Villalobos, J. L. (2014). Results of the global conservation assessment of the freshwater crabs (Brachyura, Pseudothelphusidae and Trichodactylidae): The Neotropical region, with an update on diversity. ZooKeys, (457), 133.

Darriba, D., Taboada, G. L., Doallo, R., & Posada, D. (2012). jModelTest 2: more models, new heuristics and parallel computing. Nature methods, 9(8), 772.

de Vivo, M. (1997). Mammalian evidence of historical change in the Caatinga semiarid vegetation of northeastern Brazil. Journal of Comparative Biology, 2(1): 65-73.

Felsenstein, J. (1985). Confidence limits on phylogenies: an approach using the bootstrap. Evolution, 39(4), 783-791.

Géry, J. (1969). The freshwater fishes of South América. Pp. 828-848, in: Fitkau, E.J. et al. (eds.) Biogeography and ecology in South America. Dr. W. Junk, The Hage.

Haffer, J. (1969). Speciation in Amazonian forest birds. Science, 165:131–137.

Haffer, J. (2001). Hypotheses to explain the origin of species in Amazônia, p. 45–118. In: Vieira, I.C.; Silva, J.M.C.; Oren D.C.; D'Incao, M.A. (orgs) Diversidsade biológica e cultural da Amazônia. Belém, Museu Paraense Emílio Goeldi, 421 p.

Haffer, J., & Prance, G. T. (2002). Impulsos climáticos da evolução na Amazônia durante o Cenozóico: sobre a teoria dos Refúgios da diferenciação biótica. Estudos avançados, 16(46), 175-206.

Hall, T. (2005). Bioedit biological sequence alignment editor. Version 7.0.4. Ibis Therapeutics, Carlsbad.

Katzer, F. (1933) Geologia do Estado do Pará. Boletim do Museu Paraense Emílio Goeldi de História Natural e Etnografia, 9, 1–270.

Leite, Y.L.R., Costa, L.P., Loss, A.C., Rocha, R.G., Batalha-Filho, H., Bastos, A.C., Quaresma, V.S., Fagundes, V., Paresque, R., Passamani, M., Pardini, R. (2016). Neotropical forest expansion during the last glacial period challenges refuge hypothesis. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 113(4), 1008-1013.

Magalhães, C. (2003). Brachyura: Pseudothelphusidae Trichodactylidae, p. 143–297. In: Melo, G.A.S. de (ed.) In: Melo, G.A.S. (Ed.), Manual de Identificação dos Crustáceos Decápodos de Água Doce Brasileiros. São Paulo, Edições Loyola. 429 p.

Magalhães, C. (2009). A new species of freshwater crab of the genus *Fredius* Pretzmann, 1967 from the middle Amazon River basin, Brazil (Crustacea: Decapoda: Pseudothelphusidae). Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington, 122(1), 81-86.

Magalhães, C. & Rodriguez, G. (2002). The systematic and biogeographycal status of *Fredius reflexifrons* (Ortmann, 1897) and *Fredius fittkauii* (Bott, 1967) (Crustacea: Brachyura:Pseudothelphusidae) from the Amazon and Atlantic Guianas River basins. Acta Amazonica, 32(4): 677-689.

Magalhães, C., Abrunhosa, F. A., Pereira, M. D. O., & Melo, M. A. (2005). New records of *Fredius denticulatus* (H. Milne-Edwards, 1853) and *F. reflexifrons* (Ortmann, 1897), and the eastern limits of the distribution of pseudothelphusid crabs (Crustacea: Decapoda) in Brazil. Acta Amazonica, 35(1), 93-96.

Magalhães, C., & Pereira, G. (2007). Assessment of the decapod crustacean diversity in the Guayana Shield region aiming at conservation decisions. Biota Neotropica, 7(2), 111-124.

Magalhães, C.; Sanches, V.Q.A.; Pileggi, L.G.; Mantelatto, F.L. (2014). Morphological and molecular characterization of a new species of *Fredius* (Decapoda, Pseudothelphusidae) from Rondônia, southern Amazonia, Brazil, p. 101–114. In: Yeo, D.C.J.; Cumberlidge, N.; Klaus, S. (eds.) Advances in freshwater decapod systematics and biology. Crustacean Monographs 19. Leiden, Brill. 296 p.

Menezes, N.A. (1996). Methods for assessing freshwater fish diversity. Pp. 289-295 in: Bicudo, C.E. de M. & N.A. Menezes (eds.), Biodiversity in Brazil: a first approach. CNPq, São Paulo.

Mora-Day, J., Magalhães, C., & El Souki, M. (2009). Lista Sistemática de los macroinvertebrados Colectados Durante el RAP Alto Cuyuní 2008, Estado Bolívar, Venezuela. In Evaluación Rápida de la Biodiversidad de los Ecosistemas Acuáticos de la Cuenca Alta del Río Cuyuní, Guayana Venezolana. Conservation International.

Paiva, M. P. (1978). Ictiofauna e as grandes represas brasileiras. Revista Dae, 38(116), 49-57.

Palumbi, SR, Martin A, Romano S, McMillan WO, Stice L, Grabowski G. (1991). The Simple Fool's Guide to PCR, Version 2. University of Hawaii Zoology Department, Honolulu, 45 pp.

Pedraza, M. & Tavares, M. (2015). A new species of freshwater crab of the genus *Kingsleya* Ortmann, 1897 (Crustacea: Brachyura: Pseudothelphusidae) from Amazonia, Brazil. Zootaxa, 4032(4): 444–450.

Pinheiro, A.P. & Santana, W. (2016). A new and endagered species of *Kingsleyia* Ortmann, 1897 (Crustacea: Decapoda: Brachyura: Pseudothelphusidae) from Ceará, northeastern Brazil. Zootaxa, 4171(2): 365–372.

Ploeg, A. (1991). Revision of the South American cichlid genus Crenicichla Heckel, 1840, with descriptions of fifteen new species groups, phylogeny and biogeography (Pisces, Perciformes, Cichlidae) (Doctoral dissertation, PhD Thesis, Universiteit van Amsterdam, Netherland).

Rodriguez, G. (1982). Les crabes d'eau douce d'Amerique. Famille des Pseudothelphusidae. Faune Tropicale, 22. ORSTOM, Paris. 224 p.

Rodriguez, G., & Pereira, G. (1992). New species, cladistic relationships, and biogeography of the genus *Fredius* (Decapoda: Brachyura: Pseudothelphusidae) from South America. Journal of Crustacean Biology, 12(2), 298-311.

Rodriguez, G., & Campos, M. R. (1998). A cladistic revision of the genus *Fredius* (Crustacea: Decapoda: Pseudothelphusidae) and its significance to the biogeography of the Guianan lowlands of South America. Journal of Natural History, 32(5), 763-775.

Rosa, R. S., & Groth, F. (2004). Ictiofauna dos ecossistemas de brejos de altitude de Pernambuco e Paraíba. Brejos de Altitude em Pernambuco e Paraíba: História Natural, Ecologia e Conservação. Série Biodiversidade, 9, 201-210.

Santos, A. M. M., Cavalcanti, D. R., Silva, J. M. C. D., & Tabarelli, M. (2007). Biogeographical relationships among tropical forests in north-eastern Brazil. Journal of Biogeography, 34(3), 437-446.

Silva, J.M.C. & Casteletti, C.H.M. (2003) Status of the biodiversity of the Atlantic Forest of Brazil. The Atlantic Forest of South America: biodiversity status, threats, and outlook (ed. by C. Galindo-Leal and I.G. Câmara), pp. 43–59. Center for Applied Biodiversity Science and Island Press, Washington, DC.

Smalley, A. E. (1964). The river crabs of Costa Rica, and the subfamilies of the Pseudothelphusidae. Tulane studies in Zoology, 12(1), 5-13.

Suárez, H. (2015). Six new species of freshwater crabs from Pantepui, Venezuela (Crustacea: Decapoda: Pseudothelphusidae). Anartia, 25, 64-94 [2013].

Tabarelli, M., & Santos, A. M. M. (2004). Uma breve descrição sobre a história natural dos brejos nordestinos. Brejos de Altitude em Pernambuco e Paraíba, História Natural, Ecologia e Conservação, 9, 17-24.

Tamura, K., Stecher, G., Peterson, D., Filipski, A., & Kumar, S. (2013). MEGA6: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis version 6.0. Molecular biology and evolution, 30(12), 2725–2729. doi:10.1093/molbev/mst197

Teixeira, D.M., Nacinovic, J.B. & Tavares, M.S. (1986) Notes on some birds of northeastern Brazil. Bulletin of the British Ornithologists' Club, 106, 70–74.

Thomas, M. F. (2000). Late Quaternary environmental changes and the alluvial record in humid tropical environments. Quaternary International, 72(1), 23-36.

Thompson, J. D., Higgins, D. G., & Gibson, T. J. (1994). CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. Nucleic acids research, 22(22), 4673-4680.

Vanzolini, P.E. (1970). Zoologia sistemática, geografia e a origem das espécies. Instituto de Geografia, Universidade de São Paulo, 56 p. (Série Teses e Monografias, 3).

Vanzolini, P.E. & Williams, E.E. (1970). South American anoles: Geographic differentiation and evolution of the *Anolis chrysolepis* species group (Sauria, Iguanidae). Arquivos de Zoologia, 19: 1–298.

Vari, R. P. (1991). Systematics of the neotropical characiform genus Steindachnerina Fowler (Pisces: Ostariophysi). Smithsonian Contributions to Zoology, 507, 1-118.

Vuilleumier, B. S. (1971). Pleistocene changes in the fauna and flora of South America. Science, 173(3999), 771-780.

Weitzman, S.H. & Weitzman, M. (1982). Biogeography and evolutionary diversification in the Neotropical freshwater fishes, with comments on the refuge theory. Pp. 403-422, in: Prance, G.T. (ed.) Biological Diversification in the Tropics. Columbia University Press, New York.

Xia, X. (2013). DAMBE5: a comprehensive software package for data analysis in molecular biology and evolution. Molecular biology and evolution, 30(7), 1720-1728.

WoRMS (2019). *Fredius cuyunis* (Pretzmann, 1967). Accessed at: http://www.marinespecies.org/aphia.php?p=taxdetails&id=881659 on 2019-11-19

Zanetti, F., Castro, P. M. D., & Magalhães, C. (2018). Freshwater crabs (Decapoda: Brachyura: Pseudothelphusidae, Trichodactylidae) from the state of Roraima, Brazil: species composition, distribution and new records. Nauplius, 26, 1–19.

Captions for the figures and tables

Figure 1. (A–E) Mid-altitude, naturally isolated, humid forested patch nested within the vast semiarid Caatinga domain. Sítio Caranguejo, Ipú, Ceará, 04°18'50" S, 40°44'47"W, 729 meters high, type locality of *Fredius ibiapaba* n. sp. Note in (E) burrow (arrow) of *Fredius ibiapaba* n. sp. among the leaf litter. (E–F) Lowland, surrounding semiarid Caatinga forest. (E) View from above from Ipú. (F) Detail of a dry-stream channel.

Figure 2. (A–B) Semi-diagrammatic view of the first male gonopod in abdominal and sternal views, respectively, with the terminology used in the descriptions. Cl, cephalic lobe; cs, cephalic spine; fas, field of apical spines; mal, marginal lobe; mas, marginal suture; mel, mesial lobe; sab, subapical bulge.

Figure 3. (A–D) *Fredius ibiapaba* n. sp., male cl 36 mm, cw 53mm (MZUSP 39710). (A–B) Habitus, dorso and ventral views, respectively. (C) Cephalothorax, frontal view. (D–E) Right and left chelipeds in lateral view, respectively. Scales: A–E, 10 mm.

Figure 4. (A–F) First right male gonopod (G1) in abdominal (tilted left), lateral and mesial views from A–C and D–F, respectively. (A–C) *Fredius ibiapaba* n. sp., male cl 36 mm, cw 53mm (MZUSP 39710). (D–F) *Fredius reflexifrons* (Ortmann, 1897), male cl 73.8 mm, cw 53 mm (MZUSP 13178). Note in (B, C) the G1 remarkably swollen, sloping abruptly downwards anteriorly to a nearly right-angular shoulder (arrow), and in (E, F) the G1 shoulder clearly more gently sloping distally (arrow).

Figure 5. (A–D) First right male gonopod (G1) in sternal and apical views from A to B and C to D, respectively. (A, C) *Fredius ibiapaba* n. sp., male cl 36 mm, cw 53mm (MZUSP 39710). (B, D) *Fredius reflexifrons* (Ortmann, 1897), male cl 73.8 mm, cw 53 mm (MZUSP 13178). Note in

(A) and (C) the G1 apex much less tilted so that the mesial lobe is not visible in sternal view (arrow), and the subapical bulge markedly less swollen (arrow), respectively. Note the opposite in (B) and (D).

Figure 6. (A–H) First right male gonopod (G1) in sternal, lateral, mesial, and abdominal views from A–D and E–H, respectively. (A–D) *Fredius ibiapaba* n. sp., male cl 36 mm, cw 53mm (MZUSP 39710). (E–H) *Fredius reflexifrons* (Ortmann, 1897), male cl 73.8 mm, cw 53 mm (MZUSP 13178).

Figure 7. (A–E) *Fredius ibiapaba* n. sp., paratype, male cl 41.2 mm, cw 62.6 mm (MZUSP 39742). Scanning electron microscopy of the first right male gonopod in mesial (tilted right), sternal, apical, lateral, and mesial views. Scales: A–E, 1 mm.

Figure 8. Phylogeny inferred from the partial mitochondrial DNA sequence of the 16S rDNA gene. Note the sister taxon relationship between *Fredius ibiapaba* n. sp. and *F. reflexifrons* (Ortmann, 1897).

Table 1 – Species of *Fredius* Pretzmann, 1967, *Prionothelphusa* Rodriguez, 1980 and *Trichodactylus* Latreille, 1828 used in the phylogenetic analyses, with respective sample locality and GenBank accession number.

Table 2 – Pairwise distance matrix from the portion of the mitochondrial 16S rRNA based on ~560bp.

Table 3. Geographic and altitudinal distributions for the species of *Fredius* Pretzmann, 1967.

Figure 1

Sítio Caranguejo, Ipú, Ceará, 04°18'50" S, 40°44'47"W, 729 meters high, type locality of *Fredius ibiapaba* n. sp.

(A–E) Mid-altitude, naturally isolated, humid forested patch nested within the vast semiarid Caatinga domain. Note in (E) burrow (arrow) of *Fredius ibiapaba* n. sp. among the leaf litter. (E–F) Lowland, surrounding semiarid Caatinga forest. (E) View from above from Ipú. (F) Detail of a dry-stream channel.


A
B
C
D
E
F
G

Figure 2

(A–B) Semi-diagrammatic view of the first male gonopod in abdominal and sternal views, respectively, with the terminology used in the descriptions. Cl, cephalic lobe; cs, cephalic spine; fas, field of apical spines; mal, marginal lobe; mas, marginal sutur


A
cl
cs
fas
mel
sab
B
cl
cs
mel
mal
sab
mas

Figure 3

Fredius ibiapaba n. sp., male cl 36 mm, cw 53mm (MZUSP 39710).

(A–B) Habitus, dorso and ventral views, respectively. (C) Cephalothorax, frontal view. (D–E) Right and left chelipeds in lateral view, respectively. Scales: A–E, 10 mm.

Figure 4

First right male gonopod (G1) in abdominal (tilted left), lateral and mesial views from A–C and D–F, respectively.

(A–C) *Fredius ibiapaba* n. sp., male cl 36 mm, cw 53mm (MZUSP 39710). (D–F) *Fredius reflexifrons* (Ortmann, 1897), male cl 73.8 mm, cw 53 mm (MZUSP 13178). Note in (B, C) the G1 remarkably swollen, sloping abruptly downwards anteriorly to a nearly right-angular shoulder (arrow), and in (E, F) the G1 shoulder clearly more gently sloping distally (arrow).


A
B
C
D
E
F

Figure 5

First right male gonopod (G1) in sternal and apical views from A to B and C to D, respectively.

(A, C) *Fredius ibiapaba* n. sp., male cl 36 mm, cw 53mm (MZUSP 39710). (B, D) *Fredius reflexifrons* (Ortmann, 1897), male cl 73.8 mm, cw 53 mm (MZUSP 13178). Note in (A) and (C) the G1 apex much less tilted so that the mesial lobe is not visible in sternal view (arrow), and the subapical bulge markedly less swollen (arrow), respectively. Note the opposite in (B) and (D).


A
B
C
D

Figure 6

First right male gonopod (G1) in sternal, lateral, mesial, and abdominal views from A–D and E–H, respectively.

(A–D) *Fredius ibiapaba* n. sp., male cl 36 mm, cw 53mm (MZUSP 39710). (E–H) *Fredius reflexifrons* (Ortmann, 1897), male cl 73.8 mm, cw 53 mm (MZUSP 13178).


A
B
C
D
E
F
G
H

Figure 7

Fredius ibiapaba n. sp., paratype, male cl 41.2 mm, cw 62.6 mm (MZUSP 39742). Scanning electron microscopy of the first right male gonopod.

(A) mesial (tilted right), (B) sternal, (C) apical, (D) lateral, and (E) mesial views. Scales: A–E, 1 mm.



Table 1(on next page)

Phylogeny inferred from the partial mitochondrial DNA sequence of the 16S rDNA gene. Note the sister taxon relationship between *Fredius ibiapaba* n. sp. and *F. reflexifrons* (Ortmann, 1897).


99
Fredius stenolobus
72
Fredius stenolobus
100
Fredius estevisi
Fredius platyacanthus
Fredius buritizatilis
96
100
Fredius buritizatilis
Fredius buritizatilis
Fredius denticulatus
67
98
Fredius ibiapaba n. sp.
Fredius reflexifrons
Fredius fittkaui
Prionothelphusa eliasi
Trichodactylus dentatus
0.02

Table 2(on next page)

Species of *Fredius* Pretzmann, 1967, *Prionothelphusa* Rodriguez, 1980 and *Trichodactylus* Latreille, 1828 used in the phylogenetic analyses, with respective sample locality and GenBank accession number.

Table 1 – Species of *Fredius* Pretzmann, 1967, *Prionothelphusa* Rodriguez, 1980 and *Trichodactylus* Latreille, 1828 used in the phylogenetic analyses, with respective sample locality and GenBank accession number.

Species	**Locality**	**GenBank accession numbers**
Fredius buritizatilis	Ji-Paraná, Rondônia, Brazil	JN402376
Fredius buritizatilis	Ji-Paraná, Rondônia, Brazil	JN402377
Fredius buritizatilis	Chupinguaia, Rondônia, Brazil	MN787136
Fredius denticulatus	Serra do Navio, Amapá, Brazil	JN402372
Fredius estevisi	Posto Indígena Parafuri, Roraima, Brazil	JN402379
Fredius fittkaui	Aldeia Balawa-ú, Amazonas, Brazil	JN402373
Fredius platyacanthus	Comunidade Paapi-ú, Roraima, Brazil	JQ414023
Fredius ibiapaba n. sp.	Sítio Caranguejo, Ipu, Ceará, Brazil	MN787135
Fredius reflexifrons	Rio Chumucuí, Bragança, Pará, Brazil	JN402378
Fredius stenolobus	Rio Tawadu, Bolívar, Venezuela	JN402374
Fredius stenolobus	Aldeia Palimi-ú, Rio Uraricoera, Roraima, Brazil	JN402375
Prionothelphusa eliasi	Japurá, Vila Bittencount, Amazonas, Brazil	MN787137
Trichodactylus dentatus	Bahia, Brazil	FM208777

Table 3(on next page)

Pairwise distance matrix from the portion of the mitochondrial 16S rRNA based on ~560bp.

Table 2 – Pairwise distance matrix from the portion of the mitochondrial 16S rRNA based on ~560bp.

		1	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**	**6**	**7**	**8**	**9**	**10**	**11**	**12**
1	*Fredius ibiapaba* n. sp.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	*Fredius reflexifrons*	0,04	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	*Fredius burutizatilis*	0,10	0,07	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	*Fredius buritizatilis*	0,11	0,08	0,02	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	*Fredius buritizatilis*	0,11	0,08	0,02	0,00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	*Fredius denticulatus*	0,12	0,09	0,08	0,08	0,08	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	*Fredius stenolobus*	0,10	0,07	0,07	0,06	0,06	0,10	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	*Fredius stenolobus*	0,10	0,07	0,07	0,06	0,06	0,10	0,00	-	-	-	-	-
9	*Fredius estevisi*	0,11	0,07	0,07	0,06	0,06	0,09	0,02	0,02	-	-	-	-
10	*Fredius fittkaui*	0,09	0,07	0,07	0,07	0,07	0,09	0,08	0,08	0,08	-	-	-
11	*Fredius platyacanthus*	0,10	0,07	0,06	0,06	0,06	0,09	0,02	0,02	0,02	0,08	-	-
12	*Prionothelphusa eliassi*	0,16	0,13	0,12	0,12	0,12	0,13	0,12	0,12	0,12	0,13	0,12	-
13	*Trichodactylus dentatus*	0,22	0,19	0,21	0,21	0,21	0,22	0,21	0,21	0,22	0,20	0,21	0,22

Table 4(on next page)

Geographic and altitudinal distributions for the species of *Fredius* Pretzmann, 1967.

Table 3. Geographic and altitudinal distributions for the species of *Fredius* Pretzmann, 1967.

Species	**Country**	**Environment**	**Altitude (m)**	**References**
F. ykaa Magalhães, 2009	Brazil (Amazon River basin)	Lowland streams	36 to 73	Magalhães, 2009
F. adpressus Rodriguez & Pereira, 1992	Venezuela (Orinoco River basin)	Lowland streams	100	Rodriguez & Pereira, 1992
F. beccarii (Coifmann, 1939)	Brazil, Guyana, Venezuela, Suriname (Essequibo-Cuyuni Rivers basin)	Streams (igarapés)	50 to 752	Rodriguez & Campos, 1998; Cumberlidge, Alvarez & Villalobos, 2014; Mora-Day et al., 2009; Magalhães et al., 2014; Zanetti et al. 2018
F. buritizatilis Magalhães & Mantelatto, 2014	Brazil (Madeira River basin)	Buritizal (palm) fields	150	Magalhães et al., 2014
F. chaffanjoni (Rathbun, 1905)	Venezuela (Orinoco River basin)	River's headwaters and mid-courses	105-300	Rodriguez & Pereira, 1992
F. convexa (Rathbun, 1898)	Costa Rica	Highland streams	770	Smalley, 1964
F. cuaoensis Suárez, 2015	Venezuela (Orinoco River basin)	Highland streams	950	Suárez, 2015
F. cuyunis (Pretzmann, 1967)	British Guyana (Cuyuní River)	Lowlands	around 100	WoRMS, 2019
F. denticulatus (H. Milne Edwards, 1853)	Brazil, Suriname, French Guiana (Amazon and	Streams (igarapés) and along river margins	70 to 400	Rodriguez & Pereira, 1992; Rodriguez &

	Atlantic river basins)			Campos, 1998; Magalhães et al., 2005; Magalhães, 2009; Cumberlidge, Alvarez & Villalobos, 2014; Magalhães et al., 2014
F. estevisi (Rodríguez, 1966)	Brazil, Venezuela (Amazon and Atlantic rivers basins)	River's headwaters and streams	446 to 944	Mora-Day et al., 2009
F. fittkaui (Bott, 1967)	Brazil, Venezuela, Guyana (Amazon and Atlantic rivers basins)	Streams (iIgarapés) and along river margins	151 to 500	Rodriguez & Campos, 1998; Magalhães & Rodriguez, 2002; Cumberlidge, Alvarez & Villalobos, 2014; Magalhães et al., 2014; Zanetti et al., 2018
F. granulatus Rodriguez & Campos 1998	Colombia (Amazon River basin)	Lowlands	180 to 200	Rodriguez & Campos, 1998; Cumberlidge et al., 2014 Cumberlidge, Alvarez & Villalobos, 2014; Zanetti et al., 2018;
F. platyacanthus Rodríguez & Pereira,	Brazil, Venezuela (Atlantic	Streams (igarapés) and	106 to 1229	Rodriguez & Pereira,

1992	rivers basin)	mountain areas		1992; Cumberlidge, Alvarez & Villalobos, 2014; Magalhães et al., 2014; Zanetti et al., 2018
F. reflexifrons (Ortmann, 1897)	Brazil, Venezuela, Suriname, French Guaiana, Peru, Guyana (Amazon and Atlantic rivers basins)	Lowland streams	37 to 200	Magalhães & Rodriguez, 2002; Magalhães et al., 2005; Cumberlidge, Alvarez & Villalobos, 2014
F. stenolobus Rodríguez & Suárez, 1994	Brazil, Venezuela (Orinoco River basin)	Streams in rocky areas	65 to 1020	Rodriguez & Campos, 1998; Magalhães & Pereira, 2007; Cumberlidge, Alvarez & Villalobos, 2014; Magalhães et al., 2014; Zanetti et al., 2018
Fredius ibiapaba n. sp.	Brazil (Orographic forest enclaves)	Burrows among the leaf litter, alongside little streams and water ponds inside forest stands or directly on the humid forest floor	665 to782	Present study