Establishment of brown anoles (*Anolis sagrei*) across a southern California county and potential interactions with a native lizard species

Samuel R. Fisher [Corresp., 1] , **Lelani A. Del Pinto** [1] , **Robert N. Fisher** [2]

[1] Department of Biology, La Sierra University, Riverside, CA, United States

[2] Western Ecological Research Center, US Geological Survey, San Diego, CA, USA

Corresponding Author: Samuel R. Fisher
Email address: sfis086@lasierra.edu

The brown anole, *Anolis sagrei,* is a native species to the Caribbean; however, *A. sagrei* has invaded multiple parts of the United States, including Florida, Louisiana, Hawai'i, and more recently California. The biological impacts of *A. sagrei* invading California are currently unknown. Evidence from the invasion in Taiwan shows that they spread quickly and when immediate action is not taken eradication stops being a viable option. In Orange County, California, five urban sites, each less than 100 ha, were surveyed for an average of 49.2 min. Approximately 200 *A. sagrei* were seen and verified across all survey sites. The paucity of native lizards encountered during the surveys within these sites suggests little to no overlap between the dominant diurnal western fence lizard, *Sceloporus occidentalis,* and *A. sagrei*. This notable lack of overlap could indicate a potentially disturbing reality that *A. sagrei* are driving local extirpations of *S. occidentalis*.

1 **Establishment of brown anoles (*Anolis sagrei*) across a southern California county and**
2 **potential interactions with a native lizard species**

4 Samuel R. Fisher[1], Lelani A. Del Pinto[1], and Robert N. Fisher[2]
5
6 [1]Department of Biology, La Sierra University, 4500 Riverwalk Pkwy, Riverside California, USA
7 92505 USA
8 [2]U.S. Geological Survey, Western Ecological Research Center, San Diego, California, USA
9 92101 USA
10
11 **Corresponding Author:**
12 Samuel R. Fisher[1]
13
14 **Email address:** sfis086@lasierra.edu

17 **Abstract**
18 The brown anole, *Anolis sagrei,* is a native species to the Caribbean; however, *A. sagrei* has
19 invaded multiple parts of the United States, including Florida, Louisiana, Hawai'i, and more
20 recently California. The biological impacts of *A. sagrei* invading California are currently
21 unknown. Evidence from the invasion in Taiwan shows that they spread quickly and when
22 immediate action is not taken eradication stops being a viable option. In Orange County,
23 California, five urban sites, each less than 100 ha, were surveyed for an average of 49.2 min.
24 Approximately 200 *A. sagrei* were seen and verified across all survey sites. The paucity of native
25 lizards encountered during the surveys within these sites suggests little to no overlap between the
26 dominant diurnal western fence lizard, *Sceloporus occidentalis,* and *A. sagrei*. This notable lack
27 of overlap could indicate a potentially disturbing reality that *A. sagrei* are driving local
28 extirpations of *S. occidentalis*.
29
30 **Keywords:** Invasive species, *Anolis*, *Norops sagrei*, Polychrotidae, *Sceloporus*, *Sceloporus*
31 *occidentalis*, California

32
33 **Introduction**
34 The brown anole, *Anolis sagrei,* is a recently reported invasive species to California (Mahrdt,
35 Ervin, & Nafis, 2014). While this species is a native to Cuba, the Bahamas, additional Caribbean
36 islands, and eastern Mesoamerica, *A. sagrei* has also recently invaded Taiwan likely by way of
37 the plant trade (Norval et al., 2016; Reynolds et al., 2020). The invasion in Taiwan is
38 increasingly widespread and eradication is seemingly no longer an effective option (Norval et al.,
39 2016). Other countries invaded by *A. sagrei* include Anguilla, Bermuda, Grand Cayman,
40 Ecuador, Grenada, Jamaica, Mexico (even though it is native on the east coast), Singapore, St.
41 Vincent, and Turks and Caicos (Kraus 2009; Amador et al., 2017; Reynolds et al., 2020). In the
42 United States, *A. sagrei* has invaded multiple states, including Florida, Louisiana, Texas, and
43 Hawai'i (Kolbe et al., 2004; Kraus, 2009). The Citizen Scientist tool iNaturalist,
44 (https://www.inaturalist.org/; verified July 15, 2019) shows approximately 25 states in the USA
45 with verified records of *A. sagrei*, although not all states have confirmed established populations
46 and many probably could not establish based on climate factors.
47 The first published record of *A. sagrei* from California in 2014 indicated a breeding
48 population with many individuals detected rapidly at the initial site and adjacent houses (Mahrdt,
49 Ervin, & Nafis, 2014). Due to the rapid growth of citizen science reporting tools, we assessed
50 Orange County for localities for this species and found there are less than ten reports of *A. sagrei*
51 in iNaturalist, two from H.E.R.P. (http://www.naherp.com/) and one from HerpMapper
52 (https://www.herpmapper.org/: verified July 15, 2019; Spear, Pauley & Kaiser, 2017). Studies
53 show that *A. sagrei* is a robust invertebrate and small lizard predator which is known to change
54 the behavior of lizards in similar ecological niches (Losos & Spiller, 1999; Kamath & Stuart,
55 2015; Stroud, Giery & Outerbridge, 2017). In its invasive range in Taiwan, *A. sagrei* has also
56 been known to change native ant communities as well as feed on native lizard species (Norval,
57 2007; Norval et al., 2016). In Bermuda where *A. sagrei* is an invasive, approximately 2200
58 individuals are estimated in a 2.27-ha site (Stroud, Giery, & Outerbridge, 2017). Furthermore, *A.
59 sagrei* is a highly adaptive lizard, able to obtain larger population densities (>12,000 per ha) in as
60 few as four years when it is introduced (Campbell & Echternacht, 2003). To illustrate how
61 dramatic an irruption this is, Campbell & Echternacht (2003) started with less than twenty *A.
62 sagrei* per uninhabited island, and after four years the *A. sagrei* population of one island was

63 reported to be over 500 estimated individuals (Campbell & Echternacht, 2003). Additionally, *A.*
64 *sagrei* is shown to be able to exponentially expand its range allowing for large increases in the
65 areas they reside (Kolbe et al., 2004). Invasive *A. sagrei* have the seeming potential to change
66 how the natural community functions in the habitats where they typically invade. This is
67 especially worrisome in California, a biodiversity hotspot, that is highly susceptible to reptile
68 invasions (Li et al., 2016).
69   There is concern that in California that *A. sagrei* will change the biodiversity of the urban
70 ecological communities where they currently reside and continue to spread into native habitats.
71 One specific concern is that the scrublands and chaparral of southern California will match well
72 with *A. sagrei* native habitat and their "trunk-ground" ecomorphology, indicating that it is well
73 suited to these native microhabitats (Losos, 2011), although precipitation differences between the
74 native range and California would seem to be a barrier to establishment. In California these
75 habitats are heavily utilized by the native California western fence lizard, *Sceloporus*
76 *occidentalis,* which occupies a similar niche as *A. sagrei* in its native range (Ashbury & Adolph,
77 2007; Losos, 2011). Additionally, *S. occidentalis* is also well known to occur in the same type of
78 urban areas as *A. sagrei* in California (Grolle, Lopez & Gerson, 2014; Sparkman et al., 2018;
79 Putman et al., 2019). *Sceloporus occidentalis* was known to be widespread and mostly
80 continuous in distribution across central Orange County, which includes our study areas. Our
81 paper focuses on the question of whether *A. sagrei* is able to obtain these high-density
82 populations locally within this short period of occupancy in southern California, and whether
83 there is any evidence of its displacement of native *S. occidentalis* within the urban areas that *A.*
84 *sagrei* have already occupied. Since *S. occidentalis* (mass average in southern California
85 approximately 11.18 gm; Asbury & Adolph, 2007) is a much larger lizard than *A. sagrei*
86 (average mass approximately 5.01 gm; Campbell & Echternacht, 2003), we would not
87 hypothesize that *A. sagrei* would displace the native species.
88
89 **Materials and Methods**
90 Surveys were conducted throughout Orange County sites (Figs. 1–5) based on observations from
91 iNaturalist (July 20, 2019), H.E.R.P. (http://www.naherp.com/; July 20, 2019), HerpMapper
92 (https://www.herpmapper.org/; July 20, 2019), as well as a new population discovered through a
93 separate survey of lizards. We used daytime visual encounter surveys at the various study sites
94 where *A. sagrei* had been detected within the past five years. While *A. sagrei* has been noted at
95 as many as eight separate localities, this study only looked at five main invasion sites where
96 observations for *S. occidentalis* were recorded nearby via iNaturalist and H.E.R.P. and public
97 access was available. All localities were urban sites within Orange County. The five Orange
98 County study localities are: Site 1, 33.721487, -117.826076 (Fig. 1), a 1.7-ha business complex
99 next to a stream culvert; Site 2, 33.700801, -117.787705 (Fig. 2), a 90-ha residential
100 neighborhood; Site 3, 33.799126, -117.800109 (Fig. 3), a 20-ha neighborhood patch bordering
101 native habitat; Site 4, 33.701028, -117.91848 (Fig. 4), a hospital and shopping complex, 10 ha in
102 size; and Site 5, 33.881758, -117.828688 (Fig. 5), a different 20-ha residential neighborhood
103 patch (Table 1). Each site within Orange County was surveyed once for a minimum of 40
104 minutes by two observers typically. Surveys were conducted from 30 June 2019 to 1 August
105 2019. Observations took place from 11:20 am to 8:30 pm. The main objective of the survey was
106 to find and record any signs of high-density *A. sagrei*. When a population of *A. sagrei* was
107 assessed, we walked around the site to map (circumscribe) the size of the minimum convex
108 polygon of the occupied patch. Our secondary objective was to map the locations of *S.*

109 *occidentalis* relative to these invasive lizards as evidence for displacement. We also recorded all
110 additional squamates encountered during the surveys.
111
112 **Results**
113 Of the five localities surveyed, *A. sagrei* were detected at all sites (Table 1 and Figs. 1–5). All
114 size classes of *A. sagrei* were also observed at each site. We found plant nurseries were present
115 within the invaded areas for three of the five sites. Across all sites there was no spatial overlap
116 detected between *A. sagrei* and *S. occidentalis*. The closest proximity in which we found the two
117 species was 10 m apart at Site 5 on the outskirts of the suspected invasion front. We also found
118 no *A. sagrei* perching higher than 2.5 m with most perching at a height of 0–1.0 m, a trend
119 followed by *S. occidentalis* as well. At other sites where both species were detected *S.*
120 *occidentalis* could be found within the occupied area, but never within the area occupied by *A.*
121 *sagrei*. The *A. sagrei* individuals appeared to be continuously distributed within these invaded
122 urban habitats. We detected over 50 ha of habitat occupied by this species across the five sites.
123 Below are the specific results for each site.
124   At Site 1 (surveyed on June 30th), *A. sagrei* were detected throughout the small area and
125 were extremely quick to seek cover. The survey lasted 40 min beginning at 6:05 pm and ending
126 at 6:45 pm, 30 *A. sagrei* observations were made, at a rate of approximately 0.75 per min.
127 Conversely, we recorded 14 *S. occidentalis*, at a rate of 0.07 per min from 3:25 pm to 6:45 pm.
128 The *A. sagrei* population was discovered at 6:05 pm thus the shorter survey effort for that
129 species. There was no overlap between the *A. sagrei* patch and *S. occidentalis,* which was only
130 detected around the boundaries of the patch occupied by *A. sagrei*. This site was calculated to be
131 approximately 0.8 ha (Fig. 1). Site 2 (surveyed July 5th) was searched for 68 min beginning at
132 12:53 pm and resulted in 41 *A. sagrei* at a rate of 0.6 per min, and two total *S. occidentalis* at a
133 rate of 0.03 per min. This site had a minimum area of 26 ha (Fig. 2). Site 3 (surveyed on July
134 22nd) was searched for 42 min starting at 11:20 am and resulted in 57 total *A. sagrei* at a rate of
135 1.36 per min, with 7 total *S. occidentalis* detected at a rate of 0.17 per min. This site had a
136 minimum area of 10 ha and contained a plant nursery (Fig. 3). Site 4 (surveyed on July 5th) was
137 searched for 43 min starting at 2:34 pm and a total of 14 *A. sagrei* were recorded at an average of
138 0.33 per min. At this site we found zero *S. occidentalis*. This site had a minimum of 8.5 ha and
139 contained a plant nursery within the site (Fig. 4). Site 5 (surveyed on August 1st) was searched
140 for 53 min at 6:45 pm. A total of 60 *A. sagrei* were recorded at a rate of 1.13 per min plus 15 *S.*
141 *occidentalis* were recorded at a rate of 0.25 per min. This site had a minimum area of five ha and
142 contained a plant nursery within the focal area. For this site we mapped all anole locations to
143 illustrate how dense they were within the invaded area (Fig. 5). We compared our rates of
144 discovery against those of the previous California study (Mahrdt et al., 2014); their rate of
145 finding *A. sagrei* averaged 0.23 per min whereas our mean rate of 0.55 (range 0.33–1.36) *A.*
146 *sagrei* per min.
147
148 **Discussion**
149 Our results show that established populations of *A. sagrei* existed at these five sites, and these
150 populations appeared to be expanding. We measured over 50 ha total of invaded land across
151 these five study sites, within which the largest population utilized at least 26 ha. Furthermore,
152 our results show a lack of *S. occidentalis* within the core areas of *A. sagrei* occupancy, but *S.*
153 *occidentalis* are detectable on the boundaries of the invasion epicenters. There was no direct
154 overlap in distribution at less than 5 m, and no interactions were observed between these two

155   species. Since *A. sagrei* have 3 m² territories we do not consider this geospatial overlap (Losos,
156   2011). We also found that we had an observation rate of almost double the number *A. sagrei* per
157   min than the only published record for California by Mahrdt et al. (2014) also during July. This
158   suggests that, as the various populations become more established, the number of individuals and
159   their detectability are increasing (Table 1). Both species were found to be utilizing the same
160   habitats. Most were on the ground (sidewalk, walkways, or driveways), on rocks and stones in
161   yards, along rock or cinderblock walls, or on the base of trees or shrubs. Although this was not
162   quantified, these lizards appeared to be utilizing generally the same perches but were
163   geospatially non-overlapping. This habitat shift and lower perch use in the urban environment for
164   *S. occidentalis* has recently been documented in the literature (Putman et al., 2019).
165   *Sceloporus occidentalis* is a widespread species in southern California but has been
166   shown to be affected by road fragmentation leading to genetic changes across habitat patches
167   (Delaney, Riley, & Fisher, 2010; Brehme et al., 2013). Although *S. occidentalis* is a common
168   urban lizard, anything that impacts its ability to navigate these landscapes could further fragment
169   these urban and native populations. This native species also has a significant role in the tick-
170   Lyme disease dynamics on the west coast of the United States, particularly within California
171   (Lane & Quistad, 1998). While *S. occidentalis* is a key species on which the *Ixodes pacificus* tick
172   nymphs feed, it also controls the spread of Lyme disease by killing the spirochete *Borrelia*
173   *burgdorferi* with chemical elements in their blood when the *I. pacificus* nymphs feed on them
174   (Lane & Loye, 1989; Lane & Quistad, 1998). Any negative interactions from this anole invasion
175   may have the potential to change mechanisms of the tick-Lyme disease interaction in southern
176   California (Swei et al., 2011). There is some evidence to suggest mechanisms could be changing
177   with Lyme disease detected in dog sera of urban San Diego dogs in the highest prevalence,
178   compared to natural habitats, suggesting that changes in *S. occidentalis* populations could be
179   relevant to disease prevalence change over time, even in the urban landscape (Olson et al., 2000).
180   While there are a few reported records of *A. sagrei* on the west coast of the United States,
181   no large spatial population estimates have been previously mapped and documented. The only
182   published record documents an establishment within an acre of invaded area and mentions that it
183   has expanded to additional properties (Mahrdt, Ervin & Nafis, 2014). It is possible that within
184   the urban environment, road size is helping to act as a delimiter for how fast and far *A. sagrei*
185   can spread, as this is the case for *S. occidentalis* (Campbell & Echternacht, 2003; Delaney, Riley,
186   & Fisher, 2010). Moisture or water could also be a limiting factor, and these lizards might
187   remain restricted to nursery and urban areas where landscaping is supported by subsidized water
188   leading to artificially high moisture levels. The closest documented large *A. sagrei* population to
189   California is located more than 1500 km away in Texas. There are also large established
190   populations in Hawai'i, which could be contributing to the spread of *A. sagrei* through lack of
191   strong biosecurity on plant shipments coming into California, especially given the correlation
192   between sites with *A. sagrei* containing nurseries. Interception of this species by biosecurity
193   authorities in New Zealand has presumably precluded establishment there (Chapple et al., 2016).
194   Finding solutions to contain and manage *A. sagrei* in southern California will be an
195   important step in controlling this species. Further steps would include determining the invasion
196   pathways for source populations, which likely includes nursery plants as has been previously
197   reported (Norval et al., 2002; Kraus 2009). Three of our five study sites have nursery areas
198   located within the invasion area, which seem to be a good indicator of the presence of *A. sagrei*,
199   supporting this hypothesis. Evidence in the literature of plant nurseries involvement in
200   introducing invasive species could help prompt the creation of quarantine areas (such as for

201 coqui frogs in Hawai'i). Looking at the specific impacts *A. sagrei* will have on the southern
202 California ecological landscape will be an important research aid in the management of this
203 invasive species, especially if compared to *S. occidentalis*. We hypothesize that one way to
204 understand the trophic role of *A. sagrei* is to use isotopes to look at their trophic level within the
205 urban landscape, to determine if they are serving as spider or ant specialists, as described in the
206 literature; this could then be also compared to *S. occidentalis* (Norval et al., 2010; Giery et al.,
207 2014). Potential investments of money and time might be needed to look at the true extent and
208 potential for removal of *A. sagrei* in southern California. Finally, continual monitoring and
209 mapping of *A. sagrei* invaded sites as well as their spread will aid in the long term as these
210 strategies are developed.
211
212 **Conclusions**
213 We show that *Anolis sagrei* is rapidly invading Orange County California with over 50 hectares
214 of currently occupied habitats. It is apparently displacing the native *Sceloporus occidentalis*
215 within areas where it is irrupting. This invasion is surprising as *A. sagrei* is a much more tropical
216 adapted lizard and was not predicted to be able to invade arid southern California. Urban
217 landscaping with subsidized water sources may explain this invasion in addition to dispersal via
218 the nursery trade. The displacement of *S. occidentalis* could disrupt the Lyme disease mitigation
219 offered by this native species thus changing the disease dynamics in these invaded urban areas.
220 Quarantine areas might need to be established rapidly as well as any removal experiments prior
221 to further spread of this invasive species.
222

223 **Acknowledgements**
224 We thank Marie Vicario-Fisher and Jesse L. Grismer for reviewing a draft of the manuscript and
225 Will Flaxington for location information. We additionally want to thank Victor and Phyllis
226 Fisher for lodging support. We thank USGS Ecosystems Mission Area and the Wildlife Program
227 Area for project support. Any use of trade, firm, or product names is for descriptive purposes
228 only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.
229
230 **Funding**
231 Funding for manuscript writing was provided by USGS Ecosystems Mission Area and the
232 Wildlife Program Area through annual funding to R. Fisher. Research was funded through La
233 Sierra University, Riverside California.
234

235 **Competing interests**
236 We acknowledge we have no competing interests.
237

238 **Author contributions**
239 S.R.F designed study, collected data, analyzed data, wrote and revised manuscript, created
240 figures 1–5. L.A.D.P designed study, collected data, analyzed data, wrote and revised
241 manuscript. R.N.F designed study, collected data, analyzed data, wrote and revised manuscript.
242

243 **References**
244 Asbury, D. A., & Adolph, S. C. (2007). Behavioural plasticity in an ecological generalist:
245   microhabitat use by western fence lizards. *Evolutionary Ecology Research,* 9: 801-815.

246 Brehme, C. S., J.A. Tracey, L. R. McClenaghan, & R. N. Fisher. (2013). Permeability of roads to
247   movement of scrubland lizards and small mammals. *Conservation Biology,* 27:710-720.
248   DOI: 10.1111/cobi.12081

249 Campbell, T. S., & Echternacht, A. C. (2003). Introduced species as moving targets: changes in
250   body sizes of introduced lizards following experimental introductions and historical
251   invasions. Biol Invasions, *5*(3), 193-212.

252 Chapple, D. G., Knegtmans, J., Kikillus, H., & Van Winkel, D. (2016). Biosecurity of exotic
253   reptiles and amphibians in New Zealand: building upon Tony Whitaker's legacy. J of the
254   Royal Society of New Zealand, *46*(1), 66-84.

255 Delaney K. S., S. P. D. Riley, & R. N. Fisher. (2010). A rapid, strong, and convergent genetic
256   response to urban habitat fragmentation in four divergent and widespread vertebrates.
257   PLoS ONE*,* 5(9): e12767. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0012767.

258 Giery, S. T., Lemoine, N. P., Hammerschlag-Peyer, C. M., Abbey-Lee, R. N., & Layman, C. A.
259   (2014). Bidirectional trophic linkages couple canopy and understory food webs.
260   Functional Ecology, *27*, 1436-1441.

261 Grolle, E. K., Lopez, M. C., & Gerson, M. M. (2014). Flight initiation distance differs between
262   populations of western fence lizards (*Sceloporus occidentalis*) at a rural and an urban site.
263   Bulletin, Southern California Academy of Sci, *113*(1), 42-47.

264 Kamath, A., & Stuart, Y. E. (2015). Movement rates of the lizard *Anolis carolinensis* (Squamata:
265   Dactyloidae) in the presence and absence of *Anolis sagrei* (Squamata: Dactyloidae).
266   Breviora, *546*(1), 1-8.

267 Kolbe, J. J., Glor, R. E., Schettino, L. R., Lara, A. C., Larson, A., & Losos, J. B. (2004). Genetic
268   variation increases during biological invasion by a Cuban lizard. Nature, *431*(7005), 177.

269 Kraus, F. (2009). *Alien reptiles and amphibians: a scientific compendium and analysis* (Vol. 4).
270   Springer Science & Business Media.

271 Lane, R. S., & Quistad, G. B. (1998). Borreliacidal factor in the blood of the western fence lizard
272   (*Sceloporus occidentalis*). The J of Parasitology, *84*(1), 29-34.

273 Lane, R. S., & Loye, J. E. (1989). Lyme disease in California: interrelationship *of Ixodes*
274   *pacificus* (*Acari: Ixodidae*), the western fence lizard (*Sceloporus occidentalis*), and
275   *Borrelia burgdorferi*. J of Medical Entomol, 26(4), 272-278.

276 Li, X., Liu, X., Kraus, F., Tingley, R., & Li, Y. (2016). Risk of biological invasions is
277   concentrated in biodiversity hotspots. Frontiers in Ecol and the Environment, *14*(8), 411-
278   417.

279 Losos, J. B. (2011). *Lizards in an evolutionary tree: ecology and adaptive radiation of anoles*
280   (Vol. 10). Univ of California Press.

281 Losos, J. B., & Spiller, D. A. (1999). Differential colonization success and asymmetrical
282   interactions between two lizard species. Ecol, *80*(1), 252-258.

283 Mahrdt, C.R., E.L. Ervin and G. Nafis. (2014). Geographic distribution: *Anolis sagrei* (Cuban
284   Brown Anole). Herpetol Rev, 45: 658–659.

285 Norval, G., Mao, J.-J., Chu, H.-P., & L.C. Chen. 2002. A new record of an introduced species,
286   the brown anole (*Anolis sagrei*) (Dumeril & Bibron, 1837), in Taiwan. Zoological
287   Studies*, 41:332-336.

288 Norval, G. (2007). A report on male *Anolis sagrei* saurophagy in Chiayi County, Taiwan.
289   Herpetol Bulletin*, 102:34-37.

290 Norval, G., Hsiao, W. F., Huang, S. C., & Chen, C. K. (2010). The diet of an introduced lizard
291   species, the brown anole (*Anolis sagrei*), in Chiayi County, Taiwan. Russ J Herpetol*,
292   *17*(2), 131-138.

293 Norval, G., Wang, G., Mao, J., Liu, L., Chuang, M., Yang, Y., Slater, K., Brown, L. (2016). The
294   known distribution of a lizard, the brown anole (*Anolis sagrei* Dumeril & Bibron, 1837),
295   in Taiwan. IRFC Reptiles and Amphibians Journal, *23*(1):62-67

296 Olson, P. E., Kallen, A. J., Bjorneby, J. M., & Creek, J. G. (2000). Canines as sentinels for Lyme
297   disease in San Diego County, California. J of Veterinary Diagnostic Investigation, *12*(2),
298   126-129.

299 Putman, B. J., Gasca, M., Blumstein, D. T., & G. B. Pauly. (2019). Downsizing for downtown:
300   limb lengths, toe lengths, and scale counts decrease with urbanization in western fence
301   lizards (Sceloporus occidentalis). Urban Ecosystems, *22*, 1071-1081.

302 Reynolds, R. G., Kolbe, J. J., Glor, R. E., Lopez-Darias, M., Gomez Pourroy, C. V., Harrison, A.
303   S., de Queiroz, K., Revell, L. J., & J. Losos. (2020). Phylogeographic and phenotypic
304   outcomes of brown anole colonization across the Caribbean provide insight into the
305   beginning stages of an adaptive radiation. Journal of Evolutionary Biology, DOI:
306   10.1111/jeb.13581.

307 Sparkman, A., Howe, S., Haynes, S., Hobbs, B., & Handal. K. (2018). Parallel behavioral and
308   morphological divergence in fence lizards on two college campuses. PLoS ONE, 13(2),
309   e0191800.

310 Spear, D. M., Pauly, G. B., & Kaiser, K. (2017). Citizen science as a tool for augmenting
311   museum collection data from urban areas. Frontiers in Ecol and Evolution, *5*, 86.

312 Stroud, J. T., Giery, S. T., & Outerbridge, M. E. (2017). Establishment of *Anolis sagrei* on
313   Bermuda represents a novel ecological threat to Critically Endangered Bermuda skinks
314   (*Plestiodon longirostris*). Biol Invasions, *19*(6), 1723-1731.

315 Swei, A., Ostfeld, R. S., Lane, R. S., & Briggs, C. J. (2011). Impact of the experimental removal
316   of lizards on Lyme disease risk. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences,
317   *278*(1720), 2970-2978.
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325

326   **Table Legends:**
327
328   **Table 1.** Sites surveyed for Brown Anoles (*Anolis sagrei*) and Western Fence Lizards
329   (*Sceloporus occidentalis*) from Orange County, California, and published data from San Diego
330   County.
331
332   **Figure Legends:**
333
334   **Figure 1.** Site 1 surveyed for *A. sagrei* and S. *occidentalis*. The pink polygon represents the
335   minimum convex polygon where *A. sagrei* was found in the invaded areas. The yellow squares
336   represent *S. occidentalis* individuals detected during these surveys. Google Earth image © 2020
337   Google.
338
339   **Figure 2.** Site 2 surveyed for *A. sagrei* and S. *occidentalis*. The pink polygon represents the
340   minimum convex polygon where *A. sagrei* was found in the invaded areas. The yellow squares
341   represent *S. occidentalis* individuals detected during these surveys. Google Earth image © 2020
342   Google.
343
344   **Figure 3.** Site 3 surveyed for *A. sagrei* and S. *occidentalis*. The pink polygon represents the
345   minimum convex polygon where *A. sagrei* was found in the invaded areas. The yellow squares
346   represent *S. occidentalis* individuals detected during these surveys. The blue polygon identifies a
347   plant nursery. Google Earth image © 2020 Google.
348
349   **Figure 4.** Site 4 surveyed for *A. sagrei* and S. *occidentalis*. The pink polygon represents the
350   minimum convex polygon where *A. sagrei* was found in the invaded areas. No *S. occidentalis*
351   individuals detected during these surveys. The blue polygon identifies a plant nursery. Google
352   Earth image © 2020 Google.
353
354   **Figure 5.** Site 5 surveyed for *A. sagrei* and S. *occidentalis*. The pink polygon represents the
355   minimum convex polygon where *A. sagrei* was found in the invaded areas. This figure illustrates
356   all of the anole locations (yellow circles), each circle could represent up to three observations of
357   anoles. The yellow squares represent up to three *S. occidentalis* individuals detected during these
358   surveys. The blue polygon identifies a plant nursery. Google Earth image © 2020 Google.
359
360

Sites surveyed for Brown Anoles (*Anolis sagrei*) and Western Fence Lizards (*Sceloporus occidentalis*) from Orange County, California, and published data from San Diego County.

1   **Table 1.** Sites surveyed for Brown Anoles (*Anolis sagrei*) and Western Fence Lizards (*Sceloporus occidentalis*) from Orange County,
2   California, and published data from San Diego County.

Site	Name	County	Coordinates	Nearest Site (Km)	Date Surveyed	Survey effort (min)	Total Brown Anoles Seen	Brown Anole/ minute	Total Fence Lizards	Fence Lizards/ minute	Minimum Area of Population (ha)	Date from source; first record	Data from Original Source	Original Source	Record Number
Site 1	Starbucks Edinger	Orange	33.721487, -117.826076	4	30-Jun-19	40 / 200	30	0.75	14	0.07	0.8	30-Jun-19	30	This study	-
Site 2	Irvine High School	Orange	33.700801, -117.787705	4	5-Jul-19	68	41	0.6	2	0.03	26	8-Sep-17	2 records	iNaturalist	8004416
Site 3	Bond Ave	Orange	33.799126, -117.800109	9	22-Jul-19	42	57	1.36	7	0.16	10	18-Jun-16	~4 dozen	H.E.R.P.	259001
Site 4	Macarthur	Orange	33.701028, -117.91848	9	5-Jul-19	43	14	0.33	0	0	8.5	16-Apr-18	1	iNaturalist	11177594
Site 5	Yorba Linda	Orange	33.881758, -117.828688	9.5	1-Aug-19	53	60	1.13	15	0.25	7	20-Jul-19	5+	iNaturalist	29180552
Previous Study	Escondido	San Diego	33.17544, -117.23656	77.5	19-Jul-14	120	28	0.23	-	-	-	19-Jul-14	28	Marhdt et al. 2014	-

Figure 1

Site 1 surveyed for *A. sagrei* and S*. occidentalis*.

The red polygon represents the minimum convex polygon where *A. sagrei* was found in the invaded areas. The green dots represent *S. occidentalis* individuals detected during these surveys.

Figure 2

Site 2 surveyed for *A. sagrei* and S*. occidentalis*.

The red polygon represents the minimum convex polygon where *A. sagrei* was found in the invaded areas. The green dots represent *S. occidentalis* individuals detected during these surveys.

Figure 3

Site 3 surveyed for *A. sagrei* and S*. occidentalis*.

The red polygon represents the minimum convex polygon where *A. sagrei* was found in the invaded areas. The green dots represent *S. occidentalis* individuals detected during these surveys. The blue polygon identifies a plant nursery.

Figure 4

Site 4 surveyed for *A. sagrei* and S*. occidentalis*.

The red polygon represents the minimum convex polygon where *A. sagrei* was found in the invaded areas. No *S. occidentalis* individuals detected during these surveys. The blue polygon identifies a plant nursery.

Figure 5

Site 5 surveyed for *A. sagrei* and S*. occidentalis*.

The red polygon represents the minimum convex polygon where *A. sagrei* was found in the invaded areas. This figure illustrates all of the anole locations (red dots), each dot could represent up to three observations of anoles. The green dots represent up to three *S. occidentalis* individuals detected during these surveys. The blue polygon identifies a plant nursery.