

1 **Top-down and bottom-up controls on an herbivore on a**
2 **native and introduced plant in a tropical agricultural**
3 **landscape**

4
5
6 Emma Despland¹, Paola Santacruz²

7
8 ¹ Biology Department Concordia University, Montreal, Qc, Canada

9 ² Museo Interactivo de Ciencias, Quito, Ecuador

10 Corresponding Author:

11 Emma Despland¹

12 7141 Sherbrooke West, Montreal, Qc, H4B 1R6, Canada

13 Email address: Emma.Despland@concordia.ca

14 **Abstract**

15 The recent introduction in a tropical agricultural environment of a weedy open-habitat plant
16 (*Solanum myriacanthum*) and subsequent host range expansion of a common forest-edge
17 butterfly (*Mechanitis menapis*) onto that plant provides an opportunity to examine
18 reconfiguration of tritrophic networks in human-impacted landscapes. The objectives of this
19 study were to (1) determine if the caterpillars on the exotic host are more or less limited by plant
20 defenses (bottom-up forces) and if they experience enemy release (decrease of top-down
21 pressure) and (2) define how anthropic open pasture habitat influences the herbivore's tritrophic
22 niche.

23 Field and laboratory monitoring of larval survival and performance on a native (*Solanum*
24 *acerifolium*) host plant and the exotic (*S. myriacanthum*) host plant were conducted in the Mindo
25 Valley, Ecuador. Plant physical defenses were also measured. Results showed that larval
26 mortality was mostly top-down on *S. acerifolium*, linked to parasitism, but mostly bottom-up on
27 *S. myriacanthum*, possibly linked to observed increased plant defenses. Thus, in the absence of
28 co-evolved relationships, herbivores on the exotic host experienced little top-down regulation,
29 but stronger bottom-up pressures from plant defenses. These findings provide a rare empirical
30 example of enemy-free space as a mechanism underlying host-range expansion.

31 *S. myriacanthum* was less colonized in open pastures than in semi-shaded habitats (forest
32 edges, thickets): fewer eggs were found, suggesting limited dispersal of adult butterflies into the
33 harsh open environments, and the survival rate of first instar larvae was lower than on semi-
34 shaded plants, likely linked to the stronger defenses of sun-grown leaves. These findings show
35 how environmental conditions modulate the rewiring of trophic networks in heavily impacted
36 landscapes, and limit a biocontrol by a native herbivore on an invasive plant in open habitats.

Commented [SM1]: Abundance of? Need to specify what ecological attribute of the caterpillars might be limited by ecological factors.

Commented [SM2]: Replace regulation here and elsewhere with "control." Regulation implies something more than control in assuming that dynamics are equilibrilial.

37 Introduction

38 Changing land use patterns disrupt species' niches, and can lead to new associations (Agosta,
39 2006), especially in the tropics where high biodiversity imposes strong biotic pressures on
40 organisms (Bonebrake et al., 2010). These novel trophic relationships that arise by ecological
41 fitting are not tightly co-evolved but emerge as a result of the functional traits of species that
42 come in contact with each other (Agosta, 2006). The effects of these new community
43 assemblages on insect herbivores are best understood in a tri-trophic perspective, as top-down
44 effects of predators and parasitoids can determine the host plant range of herbivores and play a
45 significant role in defining their niche (Stireman and Singer, 2018; Vidal et al., 2017). Species
46 invasions and changing land use, in particular land-clearing, redefine niches of herbivorous
47 insects via bottom-up and top-down mechanisms. In increasingly human impacted landscapes,
48 species that are able to expand their ranges to include exotic host plants and to colonize open
49 agricultural habitats are less vulnerable to extinction risk (Despland, 2014; Jahner et al., 2011).

50 Most tropical herbivorous insects feed on a restricted range of host-plants (Coley and
51 Barone, 1996), and thus the insect's spatial distribution and habitat use often depend on the
52 distribution of larval host plants. Indeed, the host plant structures the larval ecology of insect
53 herbivores: it imposes direct bottom-up selection pressures and influences top-down pressure
54 from natural enemies (Singer et al., 2004). Exotic plants do not have co-evolved relationships
55 with local herbivores or with the parasitoids and predators on the third trophic level, and novel
56 plant-herbivore associations can show dramatically different outcomes (Sunny et al., 2015). In
57 some cases, the lack of co-evolved relationship implies that the insect has no mechanism to
58 counter plant defenses, resulting in lower performance and survival on the exotic host, leading to
59 herbivory release and explaining how an exotic plant become invasive (Levine et al., 2004). At
60 the extreme, exotic plants can be evolutionary traps (Keeler and Chew, 2008), if they are
61 accepted as oviposition sites by females, but support little or no larval growth. Conversely,
62 exotic plants can provide enemy-free space to herbivores (Mulatu et al., 2004; Murphy, 2004),
63 promoting host range expansion, even if bottom-up pressure on the novel host is stronger (Lefort
64 et al., 2014). In this case, native herbivores can provide biocontrol of the exotic plant (Sunny et
65 al., 2015). In novel plant-herbivore interactions, the bottom-up pressure from plants can be
66 either greater or less than in co-evolved relationships, but top-down pressure from natural
67 enemies is usually less (Stireman and Singer, 2018). In general, performance and survival are
68 lower for larvae developing on exotic hosts relative to native hosts (Yoon and Read, 2016)

69 The interactions between a herbivore and its host plants also depend on plant community
70 composition (Agrawal et al., 2006). The novel open pasture habitats created by tropical
71 deforestation and agriculture are dominated by weedy light-demanding plants, often including
72 introduced species. The differences between contiguous semi-shaded secondary forest or thicket
73 habitats and open sunny habitats affect both the insect's mobility and the plant's defenses
74 (Morante-Filho et al., 2016). Harsh environmental conditions in open pastures can limit
75 dispersal of adult butterflies: Scriven et al (2019) found that less than half of the butterfly species
76 captured in a forest were found to disperse into adjacent open areas, and most of the dispersers

Commented [SM3]: Note that more recent and extensive evidence for this point comes from Forister et al. 2015, PNAS 112:442.

Commented [SM4]: Another important case study to cite here and elsewhere is Mira and Bernays 2002, Oikos 97: 387. This study shows that *Manduca sexta* caterpillars have expanded their host range beyond Solanaceae in the southwest U.S.A. The novel plant offers enemy-free space at the expense of poor food quality, while the solanaceous host offers high food quality at the expense of enemy-free space.

77 used open-habitat plants as larval hosts. While semi-shaded secondary forest, thicket and ecotone
78 habitats can be important biodiversity reservoirs, especially for forest-edge butterflies, open
79 habitats like pastures are used by far fewer species (Beckmann et al., 2019; Bonebrake et al.,
80 2010). Moreover, within a plant species, sun-grown individuals are often better defended, with
81 thicker and tougher leaves, more trichomes, and higher concentrations of defensive compounds
82 (Kitajima et al., 2016). Overall, the level of herbivore damage to plants in open habitats is often
83 lower than in secondary forest and ecotone habitats due to the above-mentioned mechanisms
84 (Diaz et al., 2011; Jansen and Stamp, 1997; Maiorana, 1981); however, it is sometimes higher
85 due to predator release of open-habitat herbivores (Coley and Barone, 1996; Morante-Filho et al.,
86 2016).

Deleted: n

Deleted: n

87 The recent introduction of a weedy open-habitat plant (*Solanum myriacanthum*) and
88 subsequent host range expansion of a common forest-edge butterfly (*Mechanitis menapis*) onto
89 that plant provides an opportunity to test hypotheses surrounding reconfiguration of tritrophic
90 networks in anthropized environments. Our first objective is to determine how the host range
91 expansion affects bottom-up and top-down pressures on this oligophagous herbivore. *M.*
92 *menapis* specializes on Solanaceae plants with strong phytochemical and physical defenses;
93 however, on the most common native host plant (*Solanum acerifolium*), mortality seems mostly
94 due to top-down pressure, notably a parasitoid wasp (Santacruz-Endara et al., 2019). We tested
95 if the caterpillars on the novel exotic host, *S. myriacanthum*, are more or less limited by plant
96 defenses (bottom-up forces) and if they experience enemy release (decrease of top-down
97 pressure). Our second objective is to better define how the creation of open pasture habitats
98 influences this forest-edge herbivore's tritrophic niche. The exotic plant, *S. myriacanthum*
99 spreads invasively in open pastures whereas closely-related native Solanaceae host plants do not
100 (Fig. 1). We examined whether the tri-trophic network operates in the same way in anthropic
101 pastures as in native ecotone habitats, and tested whether herbivory by the butterfly can help
102 control the invasive plant in open pastures.

103 These two hypotheses were examined through a series of field and laboratory
104 experiments. We first surveyed host plant use by *M. menapis* in an agricultural landscape on two
105 native (*S. acerifolium* and *S. candidum*) and one introduced (*S. myriacanthum*) plant. Larvae
106 were reared on the three hosts in the laboratory, and leaf toughness and trichome density were
107 measured to evaluate bottom-up pressures. Larval survival and performance were next
108 monitored in the field to evaluate top-down forces. Finally, *S. myriacanthum* in ecotone and
109 open habitats were compared in terms of the caterpillar performance they support and their
110 physical defensive traits.

111 **Materials & Methods**

112 **Study species**

113 *Mechanitis menapis* specializes on forest edges (Young and Moffett, 1979) and is common in
114 disturbed agricultural landscapes (Santacruz-Endara et al., 2019). Known host plants are in the

117 Solanum subgenus *Leptostemonum* (Robinson et al., 2010), the ‘spiny Solanums’, characterized
118 by sharp epidermal prickles and stellate trichomes (Levin et al., 2006). Caterpillars are
119 gregarious and feed collectively, using silk to avoid plant trichome defenses (Despland, 2019;
120 Despland and Santacruz-Endara, 2016).

121 The study was conducted in the Mindo valley (00°03′44.1″S 78°45′41.7″W), located in
122 cloud forest at 1250 m a.s.l. on the Western slope of the Andes in the province of Pichincha,
123 Ecuador. In this region, the main host plant (Santacruz-Endara et al., 2019) is *S. acerifolium*
124 Dunal sect. *Acanthophora*, subgenus *Leptostemonum* (Nee, 2019). One other known host plant
125 (Robinson et al., 2010), *S. candidum* Lindl. sect. *Lasiocarpa* within subg. *Leptostemonum*
126 (Whalen et al., 2019), is also found locally. Both are weedy shrubs of secondary vegetation,
127 roadsides, thickets and agricultural landscapes at moderate altitudes across central and south
128 America (Nee, 2019; Whalen et al., 2019). *S. myriacanthum* Dunal sect. *Acanthophora*, a weedy
129 shrub of cultivated lands and pastures whose native range spans from Mexico to northern
130 Nicaragua (Nee, 2019), has recently been observed in the Mindo region, and *M. menapis* appears
131 to have expanded its range to include this novel host (Santacruz-Endara et al., 2019). *S.*
132 *myriacanthum* uses more open habitats than either *S. acerifolium* or *S. candidum*, including full-
133 sun pastures where it tends to exclude other vegetation (see Figure 1).

134 Field survey

135 We conducted a field survey of *S. acerifolium*, *S. myriacanthum* and *S. candidum* in ecotone
136 habitats (N=300 plants per species), and of *S. myriacanthum* in open pastures (N=300 plants)
137 recording the developmental stage of all *M. menapis* individuals seen. The two native host
138 plants, *S. acerifolium* and *S. candidum* were never observed in pasture habitats. Cocoons of the
139 parasitoid *Hyposoter* spp (Ichneumonidae), a common mortality agent of *M. menapis* in the
140 region (Santacruz-Endara et al., 2019), were also recorded.

141 Numbers of individuals at each stage was compared between the three plants using a
142 GLM with a Poisson error function, after testing for model assumptions. All statistical analyses
143 were done with the R 3.5.3 package.

144 Field survival rates

145 We further monitored the *in situ* development of *M. menapis* on *S. acerifolium* and *S.*
146 *myriacanthum* in ecotones (numbers from *S. candidum* were too low to warrant continuing the
147 study) and *S. myriacanthum* in open pastures. Plants (n=10) with *M. menapis* eggs were flagged
148 in three pastures and in adjoining ecotone habitats, and monitored at 3-day intervals for one
149 month (8 observations on each of 90 plants), recording the instar of all observed larvae to
150 reconstruct larval survival. Any apparent causes of mortality were recorded, notably parasitoid
151 cocoons. The rate of parasitism was recorded as the disappearance of a larva and appearance of a
152 parasitoid cocoon between observations. At each visit, temperature and solar radiation were
153 recorded in each ecotone and pasture location when the sun was out between 10 and 14 h.

Commented [SM5]: Rewrite this for accuracy. Each instance of parasitism was recorded as the disappearance of a larva and appearance of a parasitoid cocoon between observations. The rate of parasitism was calculated as...

154 Analyses compared between the native *S. acerifolium* and the exotic *S. myriacanthum* in
155 the ecotone, and between ecotone and pasture *S. myriacanthum* plants. Survivorship on the two
156 hosts was compared with Kaplan Meier survival analysis: to determine the instar at which
157 differences in survival occur, proportions surviving from one developmental stage to the next
158 were compared with chi-square analyses.

159 Laboratory rearing

160 Eggs were collected in the field on *S. acerifolium*. Larvae were reared from hatching on potted *S.*
161 *acerifolium* (n = 80), *S. myriacanthum* (n = 80) and *S. candidum* (n=20) plants in a field
162 laboratory. 10 larvae (2 groups of 5 because *M. menapis* are gregarious (Despland and
163 Santacruz-Endara, 2016)) were placed per plant. Conditions were similar to those found in
164 ecotone habitats, including semi-shade and natural photoperiod. As in the field monitoring, the
165 instar of all surviving larvae was recorded every three days. Mass of all surviving individuals
166 was recorded at pupation with a portable balance (Ohaus Scout SPX123).

167 As in the field monitoring, survival rates between *S. acerifolium* and *S. myriacanthum*
168 were compared with Kaplan-Meier survival analysis and with chi-square tests for each larval
169 instar. Pupal masses were compared with t-tests. Survival on *S. candidum* was too low for
170 inclusion in the analysis.

171 Leaf traits

172 Physical traits were recorded on mid-age leaves (between leaf position 3 to 6 from the apex, the
173 leaves on which *M. menapis* are generally found) of field-collected ecotone *S. acerifolium*, *S.*
174 *myriacanthum* and *S. candidum* (N=20 plants per species). The density of stellate, simple and
175 glandular hairs on 4 mm² leaf discs was counted under a stereomicroscope (Nikon Fabre Photo
176 EX microscope, 20x magnification). For each leaf, three discs were punched in the proximal,
177 medial and distal thirds of the leaf, avoiding secondary and tertiary veins, and pooled to create an
178 average value per leaf.

179 Specific leaf area (SLA) was evaluated on 45 mm diameter leaf discs, avoiding the
180 midvein, recording fresh and dry mass to calculate water content. Leaf toughness was evaluated
181 as the force to fracture the leaf lamina using a penetrometer (Cobo-Quinche et al., 2019).

182 Trichome density, water content and SLA were also measured on open habitat *S. myriacanthum*.

183 Leaf traits were compared between the three plant species and between ecotone and
184 open-habitat *S. myriacanthum* using GLMs with the appropriate error function.

185 Results

186 Field survey

187 Of the 300 plants surveyed in ecotone habitats, 182 eggs were observed on *S. acerifolium*, 174
188 on *S. myriacanthum*, and only 9 on *S. candidum* (see Figure 2). Data from the native *S.*

Commented [SM6]: This phrase sounds like an oxymoron. What exactly do you mean by "field laboratory" in this case? It sounds more like a common garden.

189 *acerifolium* and the exotic *S. myriacanthum* only were included in the analysis, due to the very
190 low numbers on the native *S. candidum*. Numbers observed differed significantly between the
191 two host plants ($z_{598} = 0.906$, $p < 0.0001$), and interaction terms suggested differential survival
192 between host plants at several developmental stages (first instar: $z_{598} = 2.97$, $p = 0.002$; second
193 instar $z_{598} = 3.04$, $p = 0.002$; fourth instar $z_{598} = 2.15$, $p = 0.03$; fifth instar $z_{598} = 1.94$, $p = 0.043$).
194 Notably *Hyposoter* parasitoid pupae ($N = 72$) were only observed on *S. acerifolium*.
195 On *S. myriacanthum*, more eggs were observed on ecotone plants than on pasture plants
196 ($N=174$ vs $N=39$). Numbers of larvae were not compared due to the extreme difference in initial
197 numbers of eggs. No parasitoid pupae were seen in either habitat type.

Commented [SM7]: Even though the difference seems obvious, I would like to see statistical evidence that this is a real pattern rather than a result of chance. Chi-square test would do it.

198 Field survival rates

199 Kaplan-Meier survivorship curves for native *S. acerifolium* and exotic *S. myriacanthum* in
200 ecotones, as well as *S. myriacanthum* in pastures are shown in Fig.3. Survival analysis showed
201 significant differences: *S. acerifolium* vs *S. myriacanthum* in ecotones, $z = 7.59$, $p < 0.0001$; *S.*
202 *myriacanthum* in ecotone vs pasture, $z = 2.07$, $p = 0.038$.

203 Chi-square analysis showed that, in ecotone habitats, survival of first instar larvae was
204 higher on native *S. acerifolium* than on exotic *S. myriacanthum*, but survival late in development
205 was higher on *S. myriacanthum* (Table 1). Rate of *Hyposoter* parasitism was high on *S.*
206 *acerifolium* (15% for fourth instar larvae, and 37% for fifth instar larvae) but non-existent on *S.*
207 *myriacanthum*, potentially explaining the difference in survival rate. Indeed, when parasitized
208 insects were removed from the analysis, the differences in mortality rates in instars 4 & 5 lost
209 significance (survival on *S. acerifolium* in instar 4 = 0.86; $z = 0.87$; $p = 0.32$; in instar 5 = 0.80; z
210 = 1.11; $p = 0.15$).

211 On *S. myriacanthum*, survival of first instar larvae was higher in the ecotone than in the
212 pasture habitat, but survival rates at subsequent instars did not differ significantly, and overall
213 survival did not differ significantly between habitat types (see Table 1).

214 Both temperature and luminosity were considerably higher in the pasture than in the
215 ecotone environment (31.6 +/- 1.6 s.d °C vs 23.4 +/- 1.68 s.d. °C, 117 000 lux +/- 4 600 vs 69
216 000 +/- 11 000 lux respectively).

217 Laboratory performance

218 In the laboratory, survival was highest on the native *S. acerifolium*, lower on the exotic *S.*
219 *myriacanthum* (Kaplan Meier survival analysis $z=2.6$; $p = 0.009$), and zero on the native *S.*
220 *candidum* ($z= 4.91$; $p < 0.0001$). Chi-square analysis showed that survival of first instar larvae
221 was higher on *S. acerifolium* than on *S. myriacanthum*, but that survival at later developmental
222 stages did not differ between the two host plants (see Table 2). None of the larvae reared on *S.*
223 *candidum* survived beyond the first instar.

Commented [SM8]: Is "laboratory" the best descriptor here? Note that the table and figure legends/axis labels also use this term. If a change is made, it should be made throughout. An alternative would be to describe what you mean when you first mention it and note that "laboratory" will be used thereafter.

224 Pupal mass did not differ significantly between insects reared on *S. acerifolium* and *S.*
225 *myriacanthum* (167 mg +/- 8. S.E. (n=37) vs 160 mg +/- 13 S.E. (n=20); t-test $t_{56} = 0.54$; $p =$
226 0.5).

227 Leaf traits

228 The trichome profile differed between the three plants tested: *S. candidum* had much higher
229 numbers of stellate trichomes on both leaf surfaces (GLM with quasipoisson link function: $t_{54} =$
230 12.2; $p < 0.0001$); *S. myriacanthum* had more simple trichomes (GLM with quasipoisson link
231 function: $t_{54} = 16.5$; $p < 0.0001$) and glandular trichomes (GLM with quasipoisson link function:
232 $t_{54} = 2.10$; $p = 0.004$) on the abaxial surface. No difference was recorded in SLA ($F_{2,57} = 2.02$, p
233 $= 0.14$) or in water content ($F_{2,57} = 1.52$, $p = 0.228$) between the three species. However, leaves
234 of *S. myriacanthum* were significantly tougher ($F_{2,57} = 20.52$, $p < 0.0001$) than those of *S.*
235 *acerifolium* or *S. candidum* (see Fig. 4).

236 *S. myriacanthum* growing under full sun showed a greater number of stellate (GLM with
237 quasipoisson link function: $t_{36} = 3.04$; $p = 0.02$), simple (GLM with quasipoisson link function:
238 $t_{36} = 3.02$; $p = 0.03$), and glandular trichomes (GLM with quasipoisson link function: $t_{36} = 1.90$;
239 $p = 0.04$) - see Fig 4. SLA was significantly higher in ecotone than in open-area leaves ($t_{38} = 46$,
240 $p < 0.0001$), but water content did not differ ($t_{38} = 0.90$, $p = 0.35$).

241 Discussion

242 In response to the first objective, results suggest that bottom-up pressure increased, but top-down
243 regulation decreased on the exotic, relative to the native, host plant. Indeed, comparing
244 laboratory and field results showed different patterns of mortality on *S. acerifolium* and *S.*
245 *myriacanthum*. In the field, mortality on *S. acerifolium* occurred mostly in the late larval instars,
246 and seemed mostly due to parasitism by *Hyposter*. By contrast, mortality on *S. myriacanthum*
247 occurred mostly early in development (in both lab and field), and parasitism was never observed.
248 Removing the effect of parasitoids (by laboratory rearing, or by post-hoc manipulation of field
249 data) led to higher survival on the native than on the exotic plant. Thus, population regulation of
250 *M. menapis* on *S. acerifolium* appears mostly top-down, linked to parasitism, whereas limiting
251 factors on *S. myriacanthum* appear more bottom-up, possibly linked to plant defenses.

252 The high mortality of first instar *M. menapis* on *S. myriacanthum* is possibly linked to a
253 higher density of simple and glandular trichomes, and to higher toughness compared to the
254 closely related, native *S. acerifolium*. Indeed, glandular trichomes are effective defenses against
255 early-instar *M. menapis*, limiting the ability of small caterpillars to initiate a feeding edge and
256 establish themselves on the leaf (Despland, 2019). Phytochemistry likely also plays an important
257 role (Beccaloni, 1995), but measuring plant chemical defenses was beyond the scope of this
258 study. Overall, our results suggest that the *M. menapis* host range has expanded to include *S.*
259 *myriacanthum*; this exotic plant appears to provide enemy-free space, and thus to become a
260 viable host despite strong defenses – for a similar example see Murphy (2004). Herbivores on

Commented [SM9]: control

Commented [SM10]: common garden?

Commented [SM11]: control

Deleted: an

Commented [SM12]: also Mira and Bernays 2002

262 chemically defended plants, like the Solanums, can experience a trade-off between host plants
263 with low defenses that support good growth but provide low potential for sequestration of plant
264 compounds as defense against natural enemies, and highly defended plants that support low
265 growth but provide enemy-free space (Zalucki et al., 2012).

266 The second native host studied, *S. candidum*, supported very low survival in the lab and
267 was very seldom used in the field. *S. candidum* is listed as a *M. menapis* host plant (Robinson et
268 al., 2010), but clearly is very marginal in our study region. *Mechanitis* is a species complex in
269 which larval host plant use is an important taxonomic trait; however, most information on host
270 plant use comes from anecdotal records, and does not adequately represent frequency or
271 geographical range of host use, obscuring a clear interpretation of host use patterns (Giraldo and
272 Uribe, 2012). Our results suggest possible genetic differences, in the plant or in the butterfly,
273 between our study region and those where this relationship was observed.

274 In response to the second objective, results show that open pasture conditions limit the
275 herbivore's expansion onto the exotic host. Fewer *M. menapis* eggs were found on *S.*
276 *myriacanthum* plants in pastures and the survival rate of first instar larvae was lower than on
277 ecotone plants. Low oviposition in full sun can arise from butterfly preference for partially
278 shaded habitats. Adult *M. menapis* were never seen in pastures in the course of the study. Harsh
279 environmental conditions thus appear to play an important role in limiting *M. menapis* dispersal
280 into pastures (Scriven et al., 2019). Low first instar survival could be linked to higher trichome
281 density and lower SLA (generally a good proxy for greater toughness) of full sun plants. Indeed,
282 within a species, sun leaves are often tougher and bear more trichomes than shade leaves
283 (Kitajima et al., 2016). Leaves of several *Solanum* species have been shown to be tougher, and to
284 exhibit lower SLA, more trichomes and more allelochemicals when grown in full sun than in
285 partial shade, and the specialist caterpillar *Manduca sexta* shows lower performance on sun-
286 grown *Solanum* plants (Jansen and Stamp, 1997). Similarly, herbivores perform better on shade
287 than on sun leaves of *Solanum viarum* sect. *Lasiocarpa*, a sister species to *S. myriacanthum*;
288 and, by consequence, plants in shade habitats show more herbivore damage (Diaz et al., 2011).

289 The novel trophic relationship between *M. menapis* and *S. myriacanthum* is thus
290 modulated by habitat, demonstrating how trophic relationships can reconfigure depauperized
291 communities in heavily disturbed landscapes: in this system, the native host plants are restricted
292 to semi-shade secondary vegetation thickets and ecotone habitats. The arrival of an exotic weed
293 that can tolerate the harsh conditions in full sunlight can lead to its rapid proliferation in pastures.
294 Herbivore pressure on the invasive plant is low in pastures, which become a herbivore-free
295 space, perhaps facilitating the plant's spread. Similarly, the invasion of the closely-related *S.*
296 *viarum* in Florida has shown how weedy plants can exhibit different growth patterns and biomass
297 allocation in pastures than in partially-shaded habitats and can spread dramatically in the absence
298 of top-down herbivore control, becoming noxious weeds excluding other vegetation (Diaz et al.,
299 2014).

Deleted: an

Deleted: s

Deleted: s

303 **Conclusions**

304 Our study shows how both plant species invasions and novel habitat creation via land-clearing
305 for agriculture can rewire trophic relationships between the native forest-edge species that
306 dominate tropical agricultural landscapes.

307 First, our findings support the paradigm that, in the absence of a co-evolved relationship,
308 bottom-up pressure from plant defenses is stronger on exotic hosts, but that herbivores
309 experience less top-down **regulation** on these exotic plants, which can ameliorate their value as
310 hosts (Mulatu et al., 2004; Murphy, 2004). The tri-trophic niche can therefore facilitate native
311 herbivore host range expansion onto exotic plants (Stireman and Singer, 2018), and exotic plants
312 can become a valuable resource for insect conservation (Despland, 2014; Jahner et al., 2011).

313 Second, however, our findings also show that pasture habitat conditions limit
314 colonization of an exotic plant by a native herbivore, and that this herbivore is therefore of little
315 use as a biocontrol agent on a weedy exotic plant that is invading these anthropic habitats. Full-
316 sun pastures in the tropics are harsh microhabitats relative to forest-edges, and generally exhibit
317 low diversity, and are vulnerable to becoming overwhelmed by a few weedy, often exotic,
318 species to the exclusion of other organisms. Thus, although secondary vegetation and thickets
319 can be important biodiversity reservoirs for tropical forest-edge species, pastures constitute a
320 harsh environment that is much less used (Beckerman et al., 2019; Horner-Devine et al., 2003).

321 **Acknowledgements**

322 Thanks to Tania Iza for help with rearing and field work, and to Rafael Cardenas for help with
323 toughness measurements. The authors also acknowledge Hacienda san Vicente and Ignacio de la
324 Torre for access to the field sites.

325 **References**

- 326 Agosta, S., 2006. On ecological fitting, plant-insect associations, herbivore host shifts, and host
327 plant selection. *Oikos* 114.
- 328 Agrawal, A.A., Lau, J.A., Hambäck, P.A., 2006. Community heterogeneity and the evolution of
329 interactions between plants and insect herbivores. *Q. Rev. Biol.* 81, 349–376.
330 <https://doi.org/10.1086/511529>
- 331 Beccaloni, G.W., 1995. STUDIES ON THE ECOLOGY AND EVOLUTION OF
332 NEOTROPICAL ITHOMIINE BUTTERFLIES (NYMPHALIDAE: ITHOMIINAE)
333 (PhD Thesis).
- 334 Beckmann, M., Gerstner, K., Akin-Fajjiye, M., Ceaşu, S., Kambach, S., Kinlock, N.L., Phillips,
335 H.R.P., Verhagen, W., Gurevitch, J., Klotz, S., Newbold, T., Verburg, P.H., Winter, M.,
336 Seppelt, R., 2019. Conventional land-use intensification reduces species richness and
337 increases production: A global meta-analysis. *Glob. Change Biol.* 25, 1941–1956.
338 <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14606>

Commented [SM13]: control

Deleted: an

Commented [SM14]: Check format and accuracy of all references. There are some formatting inconsistencies typical of bibliographic software.

340 Bonebrake, T.C., Ponisio, L.C., Boggs, C.L., Ehrlich, P.R., 2010. More than just indicators: A
341 review of tropical butterfly ecology and conservation.
342 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2010.04.044>

343 Cobo-Quinche, J., Endara, M.-J., Valencia, R., Muñoz-Upegui, D., Cárdenas, R.E., 2019.
344 Physical, but not chemical, antiherbivore defense expression is related to the clustered
345 spatial distribution of tropical trees in an Amazonian forest. *Ecol. Evol.* 9, 1750–1763.
346 <https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.4859>

347 Coley, P.D., Barone, J.A., 1996. Herbivory and plant defenses in tropical forests. *Annu. Rev.*
348 *Ecol. Evol. Syst.* 27, 305–335.

349 Despland, E., 2019. Caterpillars Cooperate to Overcome Plant Glandular Trichome Defenses.
350 *Front. Ecol. Evol.* 7. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2019.00232>

351 Despland, E., 2014. Butterflies of the high-altitude Atacama desert: habitat use and conservation.
352 *Front. Genet.* 5.

353 Despland, E., Santacruz-Endara, P., 2016. Silk drives aggregation and following in the
354 neotropical Ithomiine caterpillar *Mechanitis menapis*. *Physiol. Entomol.* 41, 274–280.

355 Diaz, R., Aguire, C., Wheeler, G., Lapointe, S., Roszkopf, E., Overholt, W.A., 2011. Differential
356 performance of tropical soda apple and its biological control agent *Gratiana boliviana*
357 (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) in open and shaded habitats. *Environ. Entomol.* 40, 1437–
358 1447.

359 Diaz, R., Manrique, V., Hibbard, K., Fox, A., Roda, A., Gandolfo, D., McKay, F., Medal, J.,
360 Hight, S., Overholt, W.A., 2014. Successful Biological Control of Tropical Soda Apple
361 (Solanales: Solanaceae) in Florida: A Review of Key Program Components. *BioOne* 97,
362 179–190.

363 Giraldo, C.E., Uribe, S.I., 2012. Taxonomy of *Mechanitis* (F.) (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae) from
364 the West Colombian Andes: an Integrative Approach. *Neotrop. Entomol.* 41, 472–484.

365 Horner-Devine, M., Daily, G.C., Ehrlich, P.R., Boggs, C.L., 2003. Countryside Biogeography of
366 Tropical Butterflies. *Conserv. Biol.* 17, 168–177. [https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1523-](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1523-1739.2003.01310.x)
367 [1739.2003.01310.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1523-1739.2003.01310.x)

368 Jahner, J.P., Bonilla, M.M., Badik, K.J., Shapiro, A.M., Forister, M.L., 2011. Use of exotic hosts
369 by Lepidoptera: widespread species colonize more novel hosts. *Evolution* 65, 19–24.

370 Jansen, M.P.T., Stamp, N.E., 1997. Effects of light availability on host plant chemistry and the
371 consequences for behavior and growth of an insect herbivore. *Entomol. Exp. Appl.* 82,
372 319–322.

373 Keeler, M.S., Chew, F.S., 2008. Escaping an evolutionary trap: preference and performance of a
374 native insect on an exotic invasive host. *Oecologia* 156, 559–568.
375 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-008-1005-2>

376 Kitajima, K., Wright, S.J., Westbrook, J.W., 2016. Leaf cellulose density as the key determinant
377 of inter- and intra-specific variation in leaf fracture toughness in a species-rich tropical
378 forest. *Interface Focus* 6, 20150100. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsfs.2015.0100>

379 Lefort, M.-C., Boyer, S., Romans, S.D., Glare, T., Armstrong, K., Worner, S., 2014. Invasion
380 success of a scarab beetle within its native range: host range expansion versus host-shift.
381 *PeerJ* 2, e262. <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.262>

382 Levin, R.A., Myers, N.R., Bohs, L., 2006. Phylogenetic relationships among the “spiny
383 solanums” (*Solanum* subgenus *Leptostemonum*, Solanaceae). *Am. J. Bot.* 93, 157–169.

384 Levine, J.M., Adler, P.B., Yelenik, S.G., 2004. A meta-analysis of biotic resistance to exotic
385 plant invasions. *Ecol. Lett.* 7, 975–989.

386 Maiorana, V.C., 1981. Herbivory in sun and shade. *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.* 15, 151–156.
387 Morante-Filho, J.C., Arroyo-Rodriguez, V., Lohbeck, M., Tschamtko, T., Faria, D., 2016.
388 Tropical forest loss and its multitrophic effects on insect herbivory. *Ecology* 97, 3315–
389 3325.
390 Mulatu, B., Applebaum, S.W., Coll, M., 2004. A recently acquired host plant provides an
391 oligophagous insect herbivore with enemy-free space. *Oikos* 107, 231–238.
392 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0030-1299.2004.13157.x>
393 Murphy, S.M., 2004. Enemy-free space maintains swallowtail butterfly host shift. *Proc. Natl.*
394 *Acad. Sci.* 101, 18048–18052.
395 Nee, M., 2019. *S. acerifolium*, in: *Solanaceae Source*.
396 <http://solanaceaesource.org/taxonomy/term/105367/descriptions>, consulted 25 June 2019.
397 Nee, M., 2019. *S. myriacanthum*, in: *Solanaceae Source*.
398 <http://solanaceaesource.org/taxonomy/term/108387/descriptions>, Consulted 25 June
399 2019.
400 Robinson, G.S., Ackery, P.R., Kitching, I.J., Beccaloni, G.W., Hernandez, L.M., 2010. HOSTS -
401 A Database of the World's Lepidopteran Hostplants.
402 Santacruz-Endara, P., Despland, E., Giraldo, C.E., 2019. Ciclo de vida y enemigos naturales de
403 *Mechanitis menapis* (Lepidoptera: Ithomiini). *Rev. Biol. Trop.* 67, 1488–1504.
404 Scriven, S.A., Beale, C.M., Benedick, S., Hill, J.K., 2019. Barriers to dispersal of rain forest
405 butterflies in tropical agricultural landscapes. *Biotropica* 49, 206–216.
406 <https://doi.org/10.1111/btp.12397>
407 Singer, M.S., Rodrigues, D., Stireman, J.O., Carrière, Y., 2004. ROLES OF FOOD QUALITY
408 AND ENEMY-FREE SPACE IN HOST USE BY A GENERALIST INSECT
409 HERBIVORE. *Ecology* 85, 2747–2753.
410 Stireman, J.O., Singer, M.S., 2018. Tritrophic niches of insect herbivores in an era of rapid
411 environmental change. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2018.07.008>
412 Sunny, A., Diwakar, S., Sharma, G.P., 2015. Native insects and invasive plants encounters.
413 *Arthropod-Plant Interact.* 9, 323–331. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11829-015-9384-x>
414 Vidal, M.C., Murphy, S.M., Christoph, S., 2017. Bottom-up vs. top-down effects on terrestrial
415 insect herbivores: a meta-analysis. *Ecol. Lett.* 21, 138–150.
416 <https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12874>
417 Whalen, M.D., Costlich, D.E., Heiser, C.B., 2019. *S. candidum*, in: *Solanaceae Source*.
418 <http://solanaceaesource.org/taxonomy/term/106086/descriptions>, consulted on 25 June
419 2019.
420 Yoon, S., Read, Q., 2016. Consequences of exotic host use: impacts on Lepidoptera and a test of
421 the ecological trap hypothesis. *Oecologia* 181, 985–996. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-016-3560-2)
422 [016-3560-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-016-3560-2)
423 Young, A.M., Moffett, M.W., 1979. Studies on the Population Biology of the Tropical Butterfly
424 *Mechanitis isthmia* in Costa Rica. *Am. Midl. Nat.* 101, 309–319.
425 Zalucki, M., Malcolm, S.B., Hanlon, C.C., Paine, T.D., 2012. First-instar monarch larval growth
426 and survival on milkweeds in southern California: effects of latex, leaf hairs and
427 cardenolides. *Chemoecology* 22, 75–88.
428