

Relationship between seeking health care and expansion of pain in patients with non-specific chronic low back pain.

Mónica Grande-Alonso^{1,2}, Daniel Muñoz-García^{1,2}, Ferran Cuenca-Martínez^{1,2}, Laura Delgado-Sanz¹, María Prieto-Aldana^{1,2}, Roy La Touche^{1-4*}, Alfonso Gil-Martínez^{1,4,5}

1. Departamento de Fisioterapia. Centro Superior de Estudios Universitarios La Salle, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid. Aravaca, Madrid. Spain.
2. Motion in Brains Research Group, Institute of Neuroscience and Sciences of the Movement (INCIMOV), Centro Superior de Estudios Universitarios La Salle, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Spain., Madrid, Spain.
3. Instituto de Neurociencia y Dolor Craneofacial (INDCRAN), Madrid, España.
4. Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria del Hospital Universitario La Paz (IdiPAZ), Madrid, España.
5. CranioSPain Research Group, Departamento de Fisioterapia, Centro Superior de Estudios Universitarios La Salle. Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Aravaca, Madrid, Spain.

***Corresponding author:**

Roy La Touche

Address: Facultad de Ciencias de la Salud, Centro Superior de Estudios Universitarios La Salle, Calle La Salle, nº 10, 28023 Madrid, Spain.

Telephone: (+34) 91 740 19 80 Fax: (+34) 91 357 17 30

E-Mail: roylatouche@yahoo.es

Disclosure statement: The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest. This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Abstract

Objectives: Low back pain (LBP) is considered the most prevalent musculoskeletal problem, which implies a high rate of chronicity. The chronicity of symptoms can lead to a high expansion of pain. The main objective of this study was to assess whether there were differences between patients with non-specific chronic LBP (CLBP) who sought healthcare compared to those who did not seek healthcare in terms of the expansion of their pain.

Methods: Ninety subjects participated in the study divided into three groups (30 patients who sought help, 30 patients who did not seek help and 30 asymptomatic subjects). We analyzed somatosensory variables (two-point discrimination and expansion of pain), psychological variables (anxiety, depression, fear of movement and disability) and functional variables (range of motion, dynamic balance).

Results: Patients who sought help showed significant differences in pain expansion and pain intensity with respect to the group who did not seek help with a medium effect size (0.50-0.79). The regression model for the care seekers group showed that the dynamic balance with the left leg and depression were predictors for the percentage pain surface area (34.6%). The combination of dynamic balance, range of movement in flexo-extension and depression were predictors for the widespread pain (48.5%).

Discussion: Patients who sought care presented greater pain expansion than patients who did not seek care. The combination of functional and psychological variables can significantly predict the expansion of pain in non-specific CLBP patients who seek help.

Key Words: Chronic pain, Pain expansion, Psychosocial factors

Introduction

Low back pain (LBP) is considered the most prevalent musculoskeletal problem and the fourth leading pathological cause of disability, which implies a high rate of chronicity and absenteeism (Hoy et al., 2012). Around 20–25% of the world's population experience chronic pain, which is characterized by needing a longer time period for tissue healing and involving a series of plastic maladaptive changes at the medullary and supramedullary levels (Hashmi et al., 2013). In addition it ~~is~~^s observed that subjects with chronic LBP (CLBP) can present an alteration in the functionality, affecting not only physical variables but dynamic stability or range of movement. A ~~R~~^R recent meta-analysis showed differences in lumbar pain patients related to range of motion and function. Sadler et al. analyzed data of 5459 participants (Sadler, Spink, Ho, De Jonge, & Chuter, 2017) and found that lateral flexion and hamstring range of motion were associated with an increased risk of pain in the lumbar region. Also, others found differences to the same degree and proprioception alterations related to chronic pain (Laird, Gilbert, Kent, & Keating, 2014; Tong et al., 2017). All of the ~~scis~~^{scis}, might contribute to the development of central sensitization processes due to sustained nociceptive input (Calvo-Lobo et al., 2017; Cameron, Kool, Estévez-López, López-Chicheri, & Geenen, 2018; Ferreira-Valente, País-Ribeiro, & Jensen, 2014; López-López et al., 2017). This process can be determined by the possible presence of ~~As such, the pain can be classified as~~ nociplastic pain. Such pain is defined, on the basis of literature, as a pain that arises from an alteration of the nociceptive function in the ~~presence-absence??~~ of a real injury or threat, thus affecting the somatosensory system, and generating adaptive neuroplastic changes at the cortical and medullary levels. This results in an exacerbation and a recurrence of pain (Hashmi et al., 2013; Zusman, 2002).

Met opmerkingen [MM1]: What is meant?

Met opmerkingen [MM2]: Increased? Does it concern a positive correlation?

78 It has been observed that the presence of LBP is an important public health problem as it
 79 has a high impact on the quality of life of patients. This is why there are numerous studies
 80 that aim to evaluate what factors lead a patient to seek professional help_ (Buchan,
 81 Duggan, Hargreaves, Scott, & Slawomirski, 2016; IJzelenberg & Burdorf, 2004; Traeger,
 82 Buchbinder, Elshaug, Croft, & Maher, 2019). A systematic review shows that the
 83 prevalence of use of the health system in Europe for LBP is 48% (Beyera, Brien, &
 84 Campbell, 2019). Research studies show that this search for help influences
 85 sociodemographic variables such as age, then other variables related to pain experience
 86 like pain intensity, frequency of pain episodes, disability, and chronicity of symptoms and
 87 finally cognitive variables (Jacob, Zeev, & Epstein, 2003; Mannion, Wieser, & Elfering,
 88 2013; Szpalski, Nordin, Skovron, Melot, & Cukier., 1995).

89 There is a clinical problem and in turn a lack of evidence regarding what the search for
 90 help influences the pain experience. (There is a clinical problem and in turn, a lack of
 91 evidence regarding how the search for help influences the pain experience. Research
 92 studies show that patients seeking health help may develop external control locus, high
 93 disability and influence of psychological factors, but in no case has it been studied how
 94 this can influence the search for help on the expansion of pain (Ferreira et al., 2010;
 95 Rollman, A., Visscher, C. M., Gorter, R. C., & Naeije, 2012; Rollman, A., Gorter, R.C.,
 96 Visscher, C.M., & Naeije, M.M, 2013).)

97 The expansion of pain is an important clinical variable as it gives us a lot of information.
 98 Reis et al., showed that regions related to emotions and cognition have been studied
 99 previously in patients with chronic pain. They cause changes in brain function and are
 100 related to the expansion of pain in various body regions (Reis et al., 2018). In particular,
 101 more widespread pain (WP) is associated with high rates of anxiety and depression but
 102 still evidence is scarce (Hagen, Linde, Heuch, Stovner, & Zwart, 2011; Ris et al., 2019)

Met opmerkingen [MM3]: Abrupt transition

Met opmerkingen [MM4]: Correct sentence?

Met opmerkingen [MM5]: How?

Met opmerkingen [MM6]: repetition

Met opmerkingen [MM7]: do they develop this?

Met opmerkingen [MM8]: Rewrite: language and message not clear

103 There is still a lack of evidence of how the search for help influences the expansion of
104 pain and that other types of physical and somatosensory variables maintain a relationship
105 with the expansion of pain. The main objective of this study was to assess whether there
106 were differences between patients with non-specific CLBP who sought health care
107 compared to those who did not seek healthcare in terms of the expansion of their pain.
108 The secondary objective was to determine what factors predictive of greater pain
109 expansion are present in patients with non-specific CLBP based on their search for help.

Met opmerkingen [MM9]: Again repetition

Met opmerkingen [MM10]: which

110 **Material & Methods**

111 The methods used are partially similar to those described by Grande-Alonso et al., 2019
112 (Grande-Alonso et al., 2019).

113 *Design and Sample*

114 The study is a cross-sectional study design with a non-probabilistically sample was used
115 to assess somatosensory, physical and psychosocial variables in patients with non-
116 specific CLBP that seek or do not seek care and asymptomatic subjects. The trial was
117 conducted in accordance with the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies
118 in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement (von Elm et al., 2008). Following the Helsinki
119 Declaration, Ethics Committee approved (PI-2567) our study for Clinical Research of a
120 public reference hospital in Madrid (Spain) and written informed consent was obtained
121 from all participants.

122 The participants were recruited between April 2017 and January 2018. The sample was
123 recruited from our university campus and the local community through flyers, posters,
124 and social media and outpatients of a primary health care center in Madrid, Spain.

125 A consecutive non-probabilistic convenience sample of 90 subjects was recruited.
126 Participants were classified as: group 1 was composed of 30 patients with non-specific

CLBP who do not seek care, group 2 was composed of 30 patients with non-specific CLBP who sought health care and group 3 was composed of 30 asymptomatic subjects. Symptomatic subjects were assigned in one group or another according to ~~seek or not seek care~~ their care-seeking behavior from health professional for their musculoskeletal condition. Those patients who at no time went to any health professional for their problem were classified in the non-care seekers group. Flyers were placed at the university center and also at the local community, and those patients with CLBP who were not seeking treatment, were recruited. On the other hand, those patients who have gone to a primary care doctor due to the presence of CLBP were classified in the care seekers group. It is therefore, these patients had an intention to be treated.

Patients with non-specific CLBP were selected if they met the inclusion criteria defined by NICE in the LBP Guidelines defines the nature of LBP as a "Tension, soreness and / or stiffness in the lower back region for which it is not possible to identify a specific cause of the pain. Several structures in the back, including the joints, discs and connective tissues, may contribute to symptoms" (Savigny, Watson, & Underwood, 2009), The following criteria were also taken into account on the basis of a previous investigation (Grande-Alonso et al., 2019): (a) time with pain: LBP for at least the prior 3 months; (b) LBP of a nonspecific nature; (c) age: men and women aged 18 to 65 years (Carmona, Ballina, Gabriel, & Laffon, 2001); (d) frequency of pain: LBP for at least 10 days per month (Goubert, Danneels, & Graven-nielsen, 2017); the time between seeking care and recruitment was between 5-7 days and (e) an intensity of pain of between 3 and 10 on the Visual Analogue Scale (VAS).

Subjects were excluded if they met one of the following exclusion criteria: (a) comorbidities: the presence of neurological signs (such as weakness perceived in the lower limbs), systemic rheumatic disease (including fibromyalgia) or central nervous

system disease; (b) the presence of psychiatric diagnosis or severe cognitive impairment; (c) illiteracy; (d) understanding or communication difficulties; and (e) insufficient Spanish language comprehension to follow measurement instructions.

Finally, asymptomatic subjects were excluded if they had a history of spinal pain, or another condition of chronic pain or they had a diagnosis of any systemic disease.

Procedure

The procedure was similar to that described by Muñoz-García et al., 2016 and Grande-Alonso et al. 2019 (Grande-Alonso et al., 2019; Muñoz-García, Lopez-Uralde-Villanueva, Beltrán-Alacreu, La Touche, & Fernández-Camero, 2016). After consenting to participation, all the recruited participants received a sociodemographic questionnaire to complete on the day of the measurement, which collected gender, date of birth and educational level. Next, each participant had to complete a set of self-report measures and we evaluated the pain drawings in the seek care group and do not seek care group.

Met opmerkingen [MM11]: care-seeking

Met opmerkingen [MM12]: non care-seeking?

Next, the evaluator conducted a semi-structured interview with each of the patients in which questions were asked about their symptomatology (e.g., intensity, frequency or severity of symptoms), demographic questions and certain questions to determine if they were in search of treatment or not, based on previous literature (Macfarlane, TV; Blinkhorn, AS; Davies, RM; Kinney, J; Worthington, 2003; Rollman, A., Gorter, R.C., Visscher, C.M., & Naeije, M.M, 2013). Finally, a physiotherapist instructed the patients in the physical test to be performed and they were supervised during the session. The first test that was performed was the evaluation of the two-point discrimination based on the protocol and then, the physiotherapist evaluated the range of movement in flexoextension and lateral flexion movements.

Measures Outcomes

176 *Primary variable*

177 *Pain drawings*

178 To measure the extent of ongoing pain spatially, each participant was asked to fill in a
179 body pain diagram. Patients had to mark all areas in which they experienced pain. Later,
180 according to Dos Reis et al, we use an electronically scanned version of the body diagram
181 and open-source software to calculate the total body area in each pain diagram (F. J. Dos
182 Reis, de Barros E Silva, de Lucena, Mendes Cardoso, & Nogueira, 2016). Based on the
183 literature, we decided to calculate the percentage pain surface area (PPSA) and also the
184 count for the number of pain sites in order to evaluate WP (Dragioti, Larsson, Bernfort,
185 Levin, & Gerdle, 2017; Hägg et al., 2003; Persson, Garametsos, & Pedersen, 2011;
186 Visser, Ramachenderan, Davies, & Parsons, 2014). Previous study with chronic pain
187 patients (Muñoz-García et al., 2016) showed that both PPSA and WP measures could be
188 helpful when assessing pain behavior. Firstly, PPSA show the percentage of pain drawing
189 and secondly the WP calculates how spread is that magnitude along the body surface area.

190 It has shown to have good intrarater reliability with intraclass correlation coefficient
191 (ICC) = 0.99; 95% confidence interval (CI) = 0.98 to 0.99; $P < 0.001$. The inter-rater
192 reliability for the measurement was ICC = 0.989; 95% CI = 0.980 to 0.994; $P < 0.001$
193 (Dos Reis et al., 2016).

194 *Secondary variables*

195 *Pain intensity*

196 Self- reported pain was assessed using Spanish version of the Visual Analogue Scale
197 (VAS). The VAS is a 100 mm line with 2 endpoints representing the extreme states “no

198 pain” and “pain as bad as it could be”. It has shown to have good re-test reliability ($r=0.94$,
199 $p>.001$) (Bijur, Silver, & Gallagher, 2001).

200 *Frequency of pain*

201 The frequency of pain was evaluated by counting the days with pain during last
202 month(Grande-Alonso et al., 2019).

203 *Frequency of medication*

204 The frequency of medication was evaluated by counting the days that the patient had
205 taken medication for LBP in the last month (Grande-Alonso et al., 2019).

206 *Level of physical activity*

207 It was measured using the International Physical Activity Questionnaire in its short
208 version (IPAQ-SF). It consists of 9 items that quantify the time that the subject devotes
209 to perform any physical activity (PA) of vigorous or moderate intensity. This
210 questionnaire presents an ICC of 0.76 (95% confidence interval) (Craig et al., 2003).

211 *Two-Point discrimination*

212 Two-point discrimination test was evaluated with an aesthesiometer, presenting the test
213 an ICC of 0.81 (95% CI). Participants were positioned in prone decubitus. The evaluator
214 marked at 1 cm lateral of the spinous apophysis of L3 towards the dominant side of the
215 patient (Nolan, 1985). Testing was commenced with calipers set at 70 mm and the
216 evaluator was based on a protocol. The distance between the points was decreased in 10
217 mm until the patient was able to perceive only one point instead of two. The patients were
218 instructed to say “one” when they felt one point or “two” when they felt two points
219 (Catley, Tabor, Wand, & Moseley, 2013).

220 *Range of motion*

Range of motion was evaluated with a digital inclinometer based on the mobile application called iHandy®. It has shown to have good intrarater and interrater reliability with ICC over 0.80 (95% confidence interval) (Kolber et al., 2013). The protocol consisted of the following process; the patient was placed in standing with arms along the body; the physiotherapist had to mark the spinous process of T12 and S2 to place the mobile device; then the patient had to do a maximum trunk flexion (Bedekar, Suryawanshi, Rairikar, Sancheti, & Shyam, 2014). Next, the patient had to do a maximum trunk extension. Three measurements were made, and the average of the differences presented between the two reference points was calculated. Then, the physiotherapist added degrees of flexion and extension movements. Finally, the patient was placed in the same position with the mobile device placed on T12, being evaluated the movement of the complete lateral flexion (Bedekar et al., 2014).

Dynamic balance

Dynamic balance was measured using Y-Balance Test (YBT). This test is carried out with a single limb stance while simultaneously moving the nonstance limb in three different directions: anterior, postero-medial and postero-lateral (Plisky et al., 2009; Teyhen et al., 2014). The composite reach distance (%) is calculated by the sum of the 3 reach directions divided by 3 times the limb length per 100 (Shaffer et al., 2013). The YBT shows from a good to excellent intrarater (0.85-0.91) and inter-rater (0.99-1.00) reliability (Plisky et al., 2009).

Anxiety and depression

The anxiety and depression state were assessed with the Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS). The scale has two subscales of 7 items each that measure anxiety and depression (De Las Cuevas-Castresana, García-Estrada Pérez, & González de Rivera, 1995). The

245 HADS presented an internal consistency (Cronbach's Alpha) at 0.80 to 0.93 for the
246 anxiety and 0.81 to 0.90 for the depression subscales (Herrmann, 1997).

247 *Fear of movement*

248 Fear of movement was assessed using the 11-item Spanish version of the Tampa Scale of
249 Kinesiophobia (TSK-11), it has a Cronbach's Alpha of 0.78 (Gómez-Pérez, López-
250 Martínez, & Ruiz-Párraga, 2011). The final score can range between 11 and 44 points,
251 with higher scores indicating greater perceived fear of movement.

252 *Low back disability*

253 Physical disability due to LBP was assessed using the Spanish version of the Roland-
254 Morris Disability Questionnaire (RMDQ), it presented an internal consistency
255 (Cronbach's Alpha) at 0.84 to 0.93 and test-retest reliability ranging between 0.72 and
256 0.91 (Kovacs et al., 2002; Roland & Fairbank, 2000).

257 *Sample Size*

258 We conducted a pilot study to determine the effect size between non-care seekers non-
259 specific CLBP and care seekers non-specific CLBP using pain drawing. The pilot study
260 included 15 patients from each group and obtained an effect size (Cohen's *d*) of 0.66. The
261 sample size was estimated with G*Power 3.1.7 for Windows (G*Power© from University
262 of Dusseldorf, Germany) (Faul, Erdfelder, Lang, & Buchner, 2007). We opted to use an
263 independent t test in order to detect differences between both symptomatic groups for
264 WP. Moreover, we used an alpha error level of 0.05, a statistical power of 80% (1-B
265 error), and an effect size of 0.66. A total sample size of 60 patients (30 non-care seekers
266 non-specific CLBP and 30-care seekers non-specific CLBP) was estimated to ensure
267 reliability.

Data Analysis

The sociodemographic and clinical variables of the participants were analysed. The data were summarized using frequency counts, descriptive statistics, summary tables and figures.

The data analysis was performed using the Statistics Package for Social Science (SPSS 20.00, IBM Inc., USA). The categorical variables are shown as frequency and percentage.

The quantitative results of the study are represented by descriptive statistics (confidence interval [CI], mean, and standard deviation [SD]). For all variables, the z-score was

assumed to follow a normal distribution based on the central limit theorem since the groups had more than 30 participants (Kwak & Kim, 2017; Mouri, 2013; Nixon,

Wonderling, & Grieve, 2010). The Student T-test was used for the non-specific CLBP

group comparisons (months of pain, pain intensity, days of pain/month, days of medication/month, PPSA, WP and RMDQ). Cohen's *d* effect sizes were calculated for

post hoc analysis of the outcome variables. According to Cohen's method, the magnitude of the effect was classified as small (0.20-0.49), medium (0.50-0.79), or large (0.80).

A one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to analyse numerical variables among asymptomatic subjects, seek care group and not seek care group

(sociodemographic variables, TPD, ROMFE, ROMLF, CRDL, CRD R, HAD_D, HAD_A and TSK-11). Being the group the factor analysed. Significant ANOVA findings

were followed up using a post hoc test and Bonferroni correction. We calculated the partial eta-squared (η_p^2) as a measurement of the effect size for each main effect and

interaction in the ANOVAS. For this analysis, 0.010-0.059, 0.060-0.139, and > 0.14 represented small, medium and large effects, respectively (J Cohen, 1988; Jacob Cohen,

1973).

We examined the relationships between PPSA and WP with psychological, functional and somatosensory measures, being used Pearson correlation coefficients. A Pearson correlation coefficient >0.60 , between 0.30 and 0.60 , <0.30 indicated high, medium and low correlations, respectively (Hinkle, Wiersma, & Jurs, 1990).

A multiple linear regression analysis was performed to estimate the strength of the associations between the results of PPSA and WP. PPSA and WP variables were used as predictors. Considering the variables more strongly correlated with PPSA and WP, we performed the linear regression analysis. The strength of the association was examined using regression coefficients (B), P values and adjusted R^2 . Standardized beta coefficients were reported for each predictor variable; included the final reduced models, in order to allow a direct comparison between the predictor variable and the criterion variable, which were studied. For data analysis, we used a confidence interval of 95% and a p value of less than 0.05 .

Results

A total of 90 participants completed the investigation (30 patients with non-specific CLBP who seek care, 30 patients with non-specific CLBP who do not seek care and 30 asymptomatic controls). Table 1 shows sociodemographic characteristics of the study participants.

Primary variable

Pain expansion

Statistically significant differences have been observed in the pain drawing, being higher in care seekers (Figure 1 and Figure 2). One-way ANOVA revealed significant differences for pain drawings ($F=14.49$, $p < .001$, $\eta^2=.250$). The post hoc analysis

showed statistically significant inter-group differences between patients with non-specific CLBP who do not seek care and patients with non-specific CLBP who seek care with a medium effect size ($p = .009$, $d = -0.64$). As well as, between asymptomatic controls and patients with non-specific CLBP who seek care with a large effect size ($p < .001$, $d = -1.19$).

Secondary variables

Pain intensity

The characteristics related to pain between patients with non-specific CLBP who seek care and patients with non-specific CLBP who do not seek care are shown in Table 2. One-way ANOVA revealed significant differences for pain drawings ($F = 156.67$, $p < .001$, $\eta^2 p = .783$). The post hoc analysis showed statistically significant inter-group differences between patients with non-specific CLBP who do not seek care and patients with non-specific CLBP who seek care with a medium effect size ($p = .015$, $d = -0.60$). Furthermore, the post hoc analysis showed significant differences between asymptomatic controls and both, patients with non-specific CLBP who seek, and who do not seek care with a large effect size ($p < .001$, $d = -4.90$, and $p < .001$, $d = -4.12$, respectively).

Physical and somatosensory variables

One-way ANOVA revealed significant differences for two point discrimination ($F = 42.96$, $p < .01$, $\eta^2 p = .506$) flexo-extension movement ($F = 26.83$, $p < .01$, $\eta^2 p = .390$), movement in lateral flexion ($F = 13.51$, $p < .01$, $\eta^2 p = .243$), the composite reach distance with right leg ($F = 25.08$, $p < .01$, $\eta^2 p = .374$) and the composite reach distance with left leg ($F = 24.78$, $p < .01$, $\eta^2 p = .371$). For these variables, the post hoc analysis showed statistically significant differences between all the groups in two-point discrimination and

range of movement in flexo-extension. Being the most significant difference between care seekers and asymptomatic subjects ($F=41.54$, $p < .01$; $F=27.24$, $p < .01$) with a large effect size ($d=1.06$). For range of movement in lateral flexion we found significant difference between care seekers and asymptomatic subjects with large effect size ($F=12.72$, $p < .01$, $d=1.67$); as well as between care seekers and non-care seekers with medium effect size ($F=12.72$, $p < .01$, $d=.66$). Finally, we did not find significant difference for this variable between non-care seekers and asymptomatic subjects with medium effect size ($F=12.72$, $p < .01$, $d=-.52$) (Table 2).

For dynamic balance, we only found significant differences between care seekers with asymptomatic group with large effect ($d=1.86$; $d=1.83$) and non-care seekers with asymptomatic group with large effect size ($d=1.35$; $d=1.36$) for the right and left leg, respectively ($F=23.80$, $p < .01$; $F=23.59$, $p < .01$).

Psychological variables

One- way ANOVA revealed significant differences for Kinesiophobia ($F=17.10$, $p < .01$, $\eta^2=.289$), anxiety ($F=5.09$, $p < .01$, $\eta^2=.108$), and depression ($F=8.24$, $p < .01$, $\eta^2=.164$). The post hoc analysis showed statistically significant differences between all the groups in Kinesiophobia, being the most significant difference between care seekers and asymptomatic subjects ($F=17.19$, $p < .01$) with a large effect size ($d=1.50$). For anxiety and depression variables, we found significant difference between care seekers and asymptomatic subjects with medium and large effect size ($F=5.37$, $p < .01$; and $F=8.65$, $p < .01$). There were no significant differences for these variables between non-care seekers and asymptomatic subjects (Table 3).

Correlation and regression analyses

Pearson correlation analysis showed only moderate correlation. It was observed between functional and psychological variables with the pain drawing in the care seekers group of patients with non-specific CLBP. The most significant correlations in this group were the relationship between the right and left dynamic stability with the number of pain sites ($r = -.540, p < 0.01, r = -.564, p < 0.01$), the relationship between depression and the number of pain sites ($r = .436, p < 0.05$) and the relation of the same variable to the PPSA ($r = .428, p < 0.05$). Finally, a negative correlation was also established between the flexo-extension range of motion and the PPSA ($r = -.391, p < 0.05$) and between the days of medication intake and the number of pain sites ($r = .393, p < 0.05$) (Table 4).

In contrast, in the group of patients with non-specific CLBP who did not seek help, we did not find any correlation between the main variable of the study and variables of a functional or psychological nature (Table 4).

The regression models for criteria variables (PPSA and WP) are presented in Table 5. The regression model for the non-specific CLBP care seekers group showed that a combination of composite reach distance with the left leg (%) and depression were predictors for the PPSA (34.6% of variance). The variables of composite reach distance with the right leg (%) and days of medication per month were excluded from the analysis. Instead of the combination of composite reach distance with the left leg, range of movement in flexo-extension and depression were predictors for the WP (48.5% of variance). The variable of composite reach distance with the right leg (%) was excluded from the analysis. For the non-care seekers group with non-specific CLBP, the regression analysis was not performed because no significant correlation was found with the main study variable.

Discussion

385 *Expansion of pain* and *seek care*

Met opmerkingen [MM13]: Care-seeking?

386 The main differences between patient's groups analyzed in this study ~~were in~~concerned
387 the *expansion of pain*. Individuals who sought care had almost double the number of
388 regions affected by pain than those who did not seek care. Those who sought care also
389 had significantly higher anxiety than patients who did not seek health care, as well as
390 those who were asymptomatic, with a medium effect size. In addition, a moderate positive
391 correlation between the expansion of pain and depression, it was observed in individuals
392 with non-specific CLBP who sought help.]

Met opmerkingen [MM14]: Strange sentence

393 The body pain diagram is a tool that provides relevant information. Its use has also been
394 considered for assessing the psychological state of patients, due to, the greater the number
395 of areas of pain, the greater impact psychological factors exert on the clinical condition
396 (Haefeli & Elfering, 2006). In our study, individuals with greater *pain expansion* sought
397 help and presented significantly greater anxiety and depression. These data agree with
398 previous studies, in which patients with chronic pain in several body regions at the same
399 time, had anxiety levels that were considered pathological, if they are compared to
400 patients with more localized pain. Moreover they have greater depression and feelings of
401 distress (Abbott, Foster, Hamilton, Ravenwood, & Tan, 2015; Muñoz-García et al.,
402 2016). The evidence shows that *pain expansion* not only correlates with psychological
403 variables but also correlates with somatosensory variables, such as the severity of
404 symptoms or mechanical hyperalgesia (Ferrer-Peña, Muñoz-García, Calvo-Lobo, &
405 Fernández-Carnero, 2018). Along these lines, our results have also shown that patients
406 with a greater *expansion of pain* and who were in search of help, presented a greater
407 alteration in the two-point discrimination, in the intensity of pain, the duration of
408 symptoms and in the intake of medication per month. The *expansion of pain* and the
409 presence of generalized allodynia include as an underlying neurophysiological

410 mechanism a possible central sensitization process (Lluch-Girbés et al., 2016). This
411 process might a reason why in our patients seeking help had a greater expansion of pain
412 and so, they had greater pain intensity, a longer duration of symptoms and a greater
413 involvement of psychological variables.

414 *Functional and psychological variables and seek care*

Met opmerkingen [MM15]: ídem?

415 Individuals suffering from chronic pain might have difficulty performing activities of
416 daily living which correlates with the intensity of their pain and disability (Esteve,
417 Ramírez-Maestre, & López-Martínez, 2007; Ramírez-Maestre, Esteve, & López, 2008).
418 Therefore, taking into account the studies on patients with temporomandibular
419 dysfunction, seeking help could imply the presence of passive coping strategies, and these
420 strategies have shown a correlation with a greater intensity of pain, less functionality and
421 higher rates of disability (Alhowimel, Alotaibi, Radford, & Coulson, 2018; Du et al.,
422 2017; Jackson, Wang, Wang, & Fan, 2014; Knittle et al., 2011). On the other hand, other
423 studies have shown that among the most influential factors in the search for help are the
424 intensity of the pain, the duration of the symptoms and the level of disability (Ferreira et
425 al., 2010). This outcome concurs with our results. Given these variables, IT has shown
426 significant differences based on the search for care, which leads to poorer results in all of
427 these variables.

428 Psychological factors have a great influence on patients with chronic pain; the most
429 influential ones are fear of movement, anxiety and depression. The results of this study
430 showed significant differences between all study groups; presenting fear of movement it
431 was the most notable difference between individuals with CLBP seeking help and
432 asymptomatic individuals, with a large effect size. In relation to our results, one study
433 showed that in patients with temporomandibular dysfunction, the decision to seek care

was correlated with a higher intensity of pain and with greater fear of movement (Rollman, A., Visscher, C.M., Gorter, R.C., & Naeije, M.M, 2012). This outcome is related to our results given, the patients with non-specific CLBP who sought health care, had higher rates of fear of movement than those who did not seek help, with a large effect size.

Our study showed that not only psychological variables influenced the search or not searching for treatment, but seeking help displayed a less discriminative capacity, with an average effect size, compared to those who did not seek help. Previous studies on CLBP without assessing care seeking behavior, observed a lower ability of patients when they discriminate between two points (Adamczyk, Luedtke, & Saulicz, 2017; Adamczyk, Luedtke, & Szikszay, 2018; Goubert et al., 2017). Preceding studies have observed that a non-specific CLBP status, without differentiating between seeking and not seeking an intervention, implies a lower two-point discrimination capacity. There were also no differences in this variable, when it is considered the pain component as nociceptive or neuropathic. Therefore, according with our results, it is essential to consider the search for help or lack of it, more than other types of classification that have already shown that there are not significant differences observed in functional and somatosensory variables.

Our study also revealed differences in the range of movement between all three groups, except lateral flexion between asymptomatic subjects and CLBP patients who did not seek help. Along these lines, a systematic review showed that subjects with LBP had a restriction of movement in lateral flexion (Sadler et al., 2017). Previously, a meta-analysis had showed that individuals with LBP, had a lower range of motion and a slower speed of execution compared to asymptomatic individuals (Laird, Gilbert, Kent, & Keating, 2014). This decrease in range of motion and speed of execution are correlated with

variables of a psychological nature, such as fear of movement (Thomas, France, Lavender, & Johnson, 2008).

Finally, in terms of dynamic stability, our results demonstrated significant differences between both symptomatic groups with respect to the asymptomatic group. Moreover, there were no differences based on their search for help. Throughout these lines, a recent study on patients with chronic hip pain, also showed that the dynamic stability in this population was altered and correlated with cognitive and sensory variables (Ferrer-Peña, Moreno-López, Calvo-Lobo, & López-de-Uralde-Villanueva & Fernández-Carnero, 2018). In addition, Hooper et al. also found that dynamic balance is reduced in patients with LBP (Hooper et al., 2016).

These results may also be due to a statistically significant difference in the level of PA between groups, which can contribute to generate an alteration of the pain inhibitory system but on the other hand it has also been observed that performing PA in patients with chronic pain can improve their symptomatology and physical function (Geneen et al., 2017). Even so, there are studies that show a non-existent direct relationship between PA levels and pain intensity, instead the level of PA can be correlated with the functionality (Griffin, Harmon, & Kennedy, 2012; Hendrick et al., 2011). In the same line, a recent study in patients with non-specific CLBP, who performed PA despite their pain, show that, despite their condition of chronic pain, they showed no differences in range of motion and dynamic stability compared to asymptomatic subjects. Therefore, this may be one of the reasons why those who seek help and also have a lower level of PA have statistically significant differences with greater effect size, compared to asymptomatic subjects in those functional variables (Nieto-Garcia, Suso-Marti, La Touche, & Grande-Alonso, 2019).

In contrast, the combination of psychological variables such as fear of movement and disability as well as the intensity of pain could predict dynamic stability in 43.8% of the individuals with chronic hip pain. These data is important given the relationship between CLBP and hip pain, especially considering that in our study, dynamic stability together with depression and/or range of motion in flexion-extension were variables predictive of pain expansion (Ferrer-Peña et al., 2018).

Finally, taking into account the prognostic factors in CLBP, the presence of depression and anxiety involve the maintenance and recurrence of symptoms (Castro et al., 2011; Croft, Dunn, & Raspe, 2006). A study by Hung et al. found that depression was the most powerful psychological factor related to disability (Hung, Liu, & Fu, 2015). On the other hand, there is little evidence on possible predictors of pain expansion. Our study shows that variables such as dynamic stability in combination with depression and/or range of motion in flexion and extension can predict pain expansion in 34.6% and 48.5% (PPSA and WP) of those who sought care. It is important to note that the expansion of pain is a powerful predictor of an alteration in the modulation of pain, in addition to the influence of variables such as anxiety, low expectations of recovery and hypersensitivity in various musculoskeletal pain conditions (Clark, Yeowell, Nijs, & Goodwin, 2017; Smart, Blake, Staines, & Doody, 2010). On the other hand, among the predictors of pain expansion in patients with CLBP are the presence of psychosomatic symptoms, the female sex and a long duration of symptoms (Viniol et al., 2015).

Study limitations

This study has several limitations that must be considered. First, in this study the patients seeking help presented a lower level of PA compared to asymptomatic individuals and compared to patients with non-specific CLBP who did not seek help. Even so, research

506 studies have shown that there is no direct correlation between PA and pain perception
507 (ref), but ~~instead-however~~ there is a direct relation between functionality and PA (ref),
508 so it would be interesting in future research to evaluate the modulation of pain to observe
509 the reason for this situation (Geneen et al., 2017). Another important limitation is age,
510 since there are significant differences between groups. Even so, research studies have
511 shown that there is a positive correlation between age and the search for healthcare
512 (Beyera et al., 2019). In addition, previous research on the expansion of pain found that
513 there were also statistically significant differences in terms of the age variable, but this
514 difference, which was 6 points, was not considered clinically relevant (Muñoz-García et
515 al., 2016).

516 On the other hand, we recommend for future research to carry out a more thorough
517 evaluation based on the somatosensory variables to determine the presence of allodynia,
518 since it correlates directly with central sensitization processes, thus including variables
519 such as pressure pain thresholds, thermal thresholds and temporal summation of stimuli.
520 Finally, the results of the present study should be interpreted with caution, ~~given-because~~
521 it is a cross-sectional study, thus, causal relationships cannot be established.

522 Conclusions

523 The results of this study show that patients who sought care presented greater WP than
524 patients who did not seek care. In addition, patients with non-specific CLBP who seek
525 care have a greater influence of psychological and disability factors on their experience
526 of pain, as well as a lower range of motion and a lesser ability to discriminate two points.
527 Composite reach distance percentage of the left leg, depression and days of medication
528 per month were covariates of PPSA (34.6% of variance) and composite reach distance
529 percentage of the left leg, depression and range of movement in flexoextension were

Met opmerkingen [MM16]: What do you mean?

Met opmerkingen [MM17]: Long sentence

Met opmerkingen [MM18]: Why only allodynia? Because 2 lines further you mention other signs as well?

Met opmerkingen [MM19]: Long sentence

530 covariates of WP (48.5% of variance) for patients with non-specific CLBP who sought
531 care.

532 **Acknowledgements**

533 The authors thank the Miraflores Health Center (Alcobendas, Madrid, Spain) for their
534 contribution in the collection of patients for the study.

535 **Conflicts of Interest**

536 The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

537 This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public,
538 commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

539

References

- Abbott, J. H., Foster, M., Hamilton, L., Ravenwood, M., & Tan, N. (2015). Validity of pain drawings for predicting psychological status outcome in patients with recurrent or chronic low back pain. *Journal of Manual & Manipulative Therapy*, 23(1), 12–19. <https://doi.org/10.1179/2042618613Y.0000000046>
- Adamczyk, W., Luedtke, K., & Saulicz, E. (2017). Lumbar Tactile Acuity in Patients With Low Back Pain and Healthy Controls: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Clin J Pain*, 1. <https://doi.org/10.1097/AJP.0000000000000504>
- Adamczyk, W. M., Luedtke, K., & Szikszay, T. M. (2018). Two-point discrimination and the low back pain: Not as unreliable as it seems, but what about standardised procedures? *Musculoskeletal Science and Practice*, 35, e110–e111. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msksp.2018.03.007>
- Alhowimel, A., Alotaibi, M., Radford, K., & Coulson, N. (2018). Psychosocial factors associated with change in pain and disability outcomes in chronic low back pain patients treated by physiotherapist: A systematic review. *SAGE Open Medicine*, 6, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2050312118757387>
- Bedekar, N., Suryawanshi, M., Rairikar, S., Sancheti, P., & Shyam, A. (2014). Inter and intra-rater reliability of mobile device goniometer in measuring lumbar flexion range of motion. *J Back Musculoskelet Rehabil*, 27(2), 161–166. <https://doi.org/10.3233/BMR-130431>
- Beyera, G. K., O'Brien, J., & Campbell, S. (2019). Health-care utilisation for low back pain: a systematic review and meta-analysis of population-based observational studies. *Rheumatology International*, 39(10), 1663–1679. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00296-019-04430-5>
- Bijur, P. E., Silver, W., & Gallagher, E. J. (2001). Reliability of the visual analog scale for measurement of acute pain. *Academic Emergency Medicine : Official Journal of the Society for Academic Emergency Medicine*, 8(12), 1153–1157. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1553-2712.2001.tb01132.x>
- Buchan, H. A., Duggan, A., Hargreaves, J., Scott, I. A., & Slawomirski, L. (2016). Health care variation : time to act. *Med J Aust*, 205(10), 30–33. <https://doi.org/10.5694/mja15.01360>
- Calvo-Lobo, C., Vilar-Fernández, J. M., Becerro-De-Bengoa-Vallejo, R., Losa-Iglesias, M. E., Rodríguez-Sanz, D., Palomo-López, P., & López-López, D. (2017). Relationship of depression in participants with nonspecific acute or subacute low back pain and no-pain by age distribution. *Journal of Pain Research*, 10, 129–135. <https://doi.org/10.2147/JPR.S122255>
- Cameron, N., Kool, M., Estévez-López, F., López-Chicheri, I., & Geenen, R. (2018). The potencial buffering role of self-efficacy and pain acceptance against invalidation in rheumatic diseases. *Rheumatology International*, 38(2), 283–291. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00296-017-3859-2>
- Carmona, L., Ballina, J., Gabriel, R., & Laffon, A. (2001). The burden of musculoskeletal diseases in the general population of Spain: Results from a national survey. *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases*, 60(11), 1040–1045.

583 <https://doi.org/10.1136/ard.60.11.1040>

584 Castro, M. M. C., Quarantini, L. C., Daltro, C., Pires-Caldas, M., Koenen, K. C.,
585 Kraychete, D. C., & de Oliveira, I. R. (2011). Comorbid depression and anxiety
586 symptoms in chronic pain patients and their impact on health-related quality of life.
587 *Rev Psiq Clin.*, 38(4), 126–129. [https://doi.org/10.1590/S0101-](https://doi.org/10.1590/S0101-60832011000400002)
588 [60832011000400002](https://doi.org/10.1590/S0101-60832011000400002)

589 Catley, M. J., Tabor, A., Wand, B. M., & Moseley, G. L. (2013). Assessing tactile
590 acuity in rheumatology and musculoskeletal medicine — how reliable are two-
591 point discrimination tests at the neck, hand, back and foot? *Rheumatology (Oxford,*
592 *England)*, 52(8), 1454–1461. <https://doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/ket140>

593 Clark, J., Yeowell, G., Nijs, J., & Goodwin, P. (2017). What are the predictive factors
594 for central sensitisation in chronic musculoskeletal pain populations? A systematic
595 review. *Pain Physician*, 20(6), 487–500. Retrieved from
596 <http://www.painphysicianjournal.com/current/pdf?article=NDYwMw%3D%3D%0>
597 [Ahttp://www.ioe.ac.uk/ISWebsiteDocs/Guides/Library/SearchStrategyweb.pdf%0](http://www.ioe.ac.uk/ISWebsiteDocs/Guides/Library/SearchStrategyweb.pdf%0)
598 [Ahttp://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28934779](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28934779)

599 Cohen, J. (1973). Eta-squared and partial eta-squared in fixed factor anova designs.
600 *Educational and Psychological Measurement.*, 33(1), 107–112.
601 <https://doi.org/10.1177/001316447303300111>

602 Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences.* (Lawrence
603 Erlbaum Associates Inc., Ed.). Hillsdale.

604 Craig, C.L., Marshall, A.L., Sjöström, M., Bauman, A. E., Booth, M.L., Ainsworth,
605 B.E., Pratt, M., Ekelund, U., Yngve, A., Sallis, J.F & Oja, P. (2003). International
606 Physical Activity Questionnaire : 12-Country Reliability and Validity. *Med Sci*
607 *Sports Exerc.*, 35(8), 1381–1395.
608 <https://doi.org/10.1249/01.MSS.0000078924.61453.FB>

609 Croft, P. R., Dunn, K. M., & Raspe, H. (2006). Course and prognosis of back pain in
610 primary care: The epidemiological perspective. *Pain*, 122(1–2), 1–3.
611 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pain.2006.01.023>

612 De Las Cuevas-Castresana, C., García-Estrada Pérez, A., & González de Rivera, J.
613 (1995). “Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale” y psicopatología afectiva. *An*
614 *Psiquiatr.*, 11(4), 126–130. Retrieved from
615 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3461421>

616 Dos Reis, F. J., de Barros E Silva, V., de Lucena, R. N., Mendes Cardoso, B. A., &
617 Nogueira, L. C. (2016). Measuring the Pain Area: An Intra- and Inter-Rater
618 Reliability Study Using Image Analysis Software. *Pain Practice : The Official*
619 *Journal of World Institute of Pain*, 16(1), 24–30.
620 <https://doi.org/10.1111/papr.12262>

621 Dragioti, E., Larsson, B., Bernfort, L., Levin, L. Å., & Gerdle, B. (2017). A cross-
622 sectional study of factors associated with the number of anatomical pain sites in an
623 actual elderly general population: Results from the pains65+ cohort. *Journal of*
624 *Pain Research*, 10(23), 2009–2019. <https://doi.org/10.2147/JPR.S143060>

625 Du, S., Hu, L., Bai, Y., Dong, J., Jin, S., Zhang, H., & Zhu, Y. (2017). The influence of
626 self-efficacy, fear-avoidance belief, and coping styles on quality of life for Chinese
627 patients with chronic non-specific low back pain: A multi-site cross-sectional

study. *Pain Practice*, 23(11), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1111/papr.12660>.

Esteve, R., Ramírez-Maestre, C., & López-Martínez, A. E. (2007). Adjustment to chronic pain: The role of pain acceptance, coping strategies, and pain-related cognitions. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine: A Publication of the Society of Behavioral Medicine*, 33(2), 179–188. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02879899>

Faul, F., Erdfelder, E., Lang, A.-G., & Buchner, A. (2007). G*Power 3: a flexible statistical power analysis program for the social, behavioral, and biomedical sciences. *Behavior Research Methods*, 39(2), 175–191. Retrieved from <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17695343>

Ferreira-Valente, M., País-Ribeiro, J., & Jensen, M. P. (2014). Associations between psychosocial factors and pain intensity, physical functioning and psychological functioning in patients with chronic pain: A cross-cultural comparison. *Clin J Pain*, 30(8), 713–723.

Ferreira, M. L., Machado, G., Latimer, J., Maher, C., Ferreira, P. H., & Smeets, R. J. (2010). Factors defining care-seeking in low back pain - A meta-analysis of population based surveys. *European Journal of Pain*, 14(7), 747.e1-747.e7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpain.2009.11.005>

Ferrer-Peña, R., Moreno-López, M., Calvo-Lobo, C., & López-de-Uralde-Villanueva, I. Fernández-Carnero, J. (2018). Relationship of Dynamic Balance Impairment with Pain-Related and Psychosocial Measures in Primary Care Patients with Chronic Greater Trochanteric Pain Syndrome. *Pain Med*, 22(8), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1093/pm/pny160>

Ferrer-Peña, R., Muñoz-García, D., Calvo-Lobo, C., & Fernández-Carnero, J. (2018). Pain Expansion and Severity Reflect Central Sensitization in Primary Care Patients with Greater Trochanteric Pain Syndrome. *Pain Medicine*, 0(0), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1093/pm/pny199>

Geneen, L. J., More, R. A., Clarke, C., Martin, D., Colvin, L. A., & Smith, B. H. (2017). Physical activity and exercise for chronic pain in adults: an overview of Cochrane Reviews (Review). *Journal of Sociology*, (4), 135–139. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD011279.pub3>. www.cochranelibrary.com

Gómez-Pérez, L., López-Martínez, A. E., & Ruiz-Párraga, G. T. (2011). Psychometric Properties of the Spanish Version of the Tampa Scale for Kinesiophobia (TSK). *The Journal of Pain: Official Journal of the American Pain Society*, 12(4), 425–435. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpain.2010.08.004>

Goubert, D., Danneels, L., & Graven-nielsen, T. (2017). Differences in Pain Processing Between Patients with Chronic Low Back Pain, Recurrent Low Back Pain, and Fibromyalgia. *Pain Physician*, 20(4), 307–318.

Grande-Alonso, M., Suso-Martí, L., Cuenca-Martínez, F., Pardo-Montero, J., Gil-Martínez, A., & La Touche, R. (2019). Physiotherapy Based on a Biobehavioral Approach with or Without Orthopedic Manual Physical Therapy in the Treatment of Nonspecific Chronic Low Back Pain: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Pain Medicine*, 0(0), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1093/pm/pnz093>

Griffin, D. W., Harmon, D. C., & Kennedy, N. M. (2012). Do patients with chronic low back pain have an altered level and / or pattern of physical activity compared to healthy individuals ? A systematic review of the literature. *Physiotherapy*, 98(1),

673 13–23. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physio.2011.04.350>

674 Haefeli, M., & Elfering, A. (2006). Pain assessment. *European Spine Journal : Official*
675 *Publication of the European Spine Society, the European Spinal Deformity Society,*
676 *and the European Section of the Cervical Spine Research Society, 15 Suppl 1*, S17-
677 24. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00586-005-1044-x>

678 Hagen, K., Linde, M., Heuch, I., Stovner, L. J., & Zwart, J.-A. (2011). Increasing
679 Prevalence of Chronic Musculoskeletal Complaints. A Large 11-Year Follow-Up
680 in the General Population (HUNT 2 and 3). *Pain Medicine, 12*(11), 1657–1666.
681 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1526-4637.2011.01240.x>

682 Hägg, O., Fritzell, P., Hedlund, R., Möller, H., Ekselius, L., & Nordwall, A. (2003).
683 Pain-drawing does not predict the outcome of fusion surgery for chronic low-back
684 pain: a report from the Swedish Lumbar Spine Study. *European Spine Journal, 12*,
685 2–11. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00586-002-0427-5>

686 Hashmi, J. A., Baliki, M. N., Huang, L., Baria, A. T., Torbey, S., Hermann, K. M.,
687 Schnitzer, T.J., & Apkarian, A. V. (2013). Shape shifting pain: Chronification of
688 back pain shifts brain representation from nociceptive to emotional circuits. *Brain,*
689 *136*(9), 2751–2768. <https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awt211>

690 Hendrick, P., Milosavljevic, S., Hale, L., Hurley, D.A., McDonough, S., Ryan, B., &
691 Baxter, G.D. (2011). The relationship between physical activity and low back pain
692 outcomes : a systematic review of observational studies. *Eur. Spine J., 20*, 464–
693 474. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00586-010-1616-2>

694 Herrmann, C. (1997). International experiences with the hospital anxiety and depression
695 scale - A review of validation data and clinical results. *Journal of Psychosomatic*
696 *Research, 42*(1), 17–41. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3999\(96\)00216-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3999(96)00216-4)

697 Hinkle, D. E., Wiersma, W., & Jurs, S. G. (1990). Applied Statistics for the Behavioral
698 Sciences. *Source: Journal of Educational Statistics, 15*(1), 84–87.

699 Hooper, T. L., James, C. R., Brismée, J. M., Rogers, T. J., Gilbert, K. K., Browne, K.
700 L., & Sizer, P. S. (2016). Dynamic balance as measured by the Y-Balance Test is
701 reduced in individuals with low back pain: A cross-sectional comparative study.
702 *Physical Therapy in Sport, 22*, 29–34. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ptsp.2016.04.006>

703 Hoy, D., Bain, C., Williams, G., March, L., Brooks, P., Blyth, F., Woolf, A., Vos, T., &
704 Buchbinder, R. (2012). A systematic review of the global prevalence of low back
705 pain. *Arthritis and Rheumatism, 64*(6), 2028–2037.
706 <https://doi.org/10.1002/art.34347>

707 Hung, C.-I., Liu, C.-Y., & Fu, T.-S. (2015). Depression: An important factor associated
708 with disability among patients with chronic low back pain. *The International*
709 *Journal of Psychiatry in Medicine, 49*(3), 187–198.
710 <https://doi.org/10.1177/0091217415573937>

711 IJzelenberg, W., & Burdorf, A. (2004). Impact of musculoskeletal co-morbidity of neck
712 and upper extremities on healthcare utilisation and sickness absence for low back
713 pain. *OCCU, 61*(10), 806–810. <https://doi.org/10.1136/oem.2003.011635>

714 Jackson, T., Wang, Y., Wang, Y., & Fan, H. (2014). Self-efficacy and chronic pain
715 outcomes: A meta-analytic review. *Journal of Pain, 15*(8), 800–814.
716 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpain.2014.05.002>

717 Jacob, T., Zeev, A., & Epstein, L. (2003). Low back pain- a community based study of
718 care-seeking and therapeutic effectiveness. *Disability and Rehabilitation*, 25(2),
719 67–76. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0963828021000007905>

720 Knittle, K. P., De Gucht, V., Hurkmans, E. J., Vlieland, T. P., Peeters, A. J., Runday, H.
721 K., & Maes, S. (2011). Effect of self-efficacy and physical activity goal
722 achievement on arthritis pain and quality of life in patients with rheumatoid
723 arthritis. *Arthritis Care & Research*, 63(11), 1613–1619.
724 <https://doi.org/10.1002/acr.20587>

725 Kolber, M. J., Mdt, C., Pizzini, M., Robinson, A., Yanez, D., & Hanney, W. J. (2013).
726 Original research the reliability and concurrent validity of measurements used to
727 quantify lumbar spine mobility: an analysis of an iphone application. *The*
728 *International Journal of Sports Physical Therapy*, 8(2), 129–137.

729 Kovacs, F. M., Llobera, J., Gil Del Real, M. T., Abaira, V., Gestoso, M., Fernández,
730 C., & Primaria Group, K.-A. (2002). Validation of the spanish version of the
731 Roland-Morris questionnaire. *Spine*, 27(5), 538–542.

732 Kwak, S. G., & Kim, J. H. (2017). Central limit theorem: The cornerstone of modern
733 statistics. *Korean Journal of Anesthesiology*, 70(2), 144–156.
734 <https://doi.org/10.4097/kjae.2017.70.2.144>

735 Laird, R. A., Gilbert, J., Kent, P., & Keating, J. L. (2014). Comparing lumbo-pelvic
736 kinematics in people with and without back pain : a systematic review and meta-
737 analysis. *BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders*, 10(15), 1–13.
738 <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2474-15-229>

739 Lluch-Girbés, E., Dueñas, L., Barbero, M., Falla, D., Baert, I. A. C., Meeus, M.,
740 Sánchez-Frutos, J., Aguilera, L., & Nijls, J. (2016). Expanded Distribution of Pain
741 as a Sign of Central Sensitization in Individuals With Symptomatic Knee
742 Osteoarthritis. *Phys Ther*, 96(8), 1196–1207.

743 López-López, D., Vilar-Fernández, J. M., Calvo-Lobo, C., Losa-Iglesias, M. E.,
744 Rodríguez-Sanz, D., & Becerro-De-Bengoa-Vallejo, R. (2017). Evaluation of
745 depression in subacute low back pain: A case control study. *Pain Physician*, 20(4),
746 499–506.

747 Macfarlane, TV; Blinkhorn, AS; Davies, RM; Kincey, J; Worthington, H. (2003).
748 Factors associated with health care seeking behaviour for orofacial pain in the
749 general population. *Community Dent Health*, 20(1), 20–26.

750 Mannion, A. R., Wieser, S., & Elfering, A. (2013). Association Between Beliefs and
751 Care-Seeking Behavior for Low Back Pain. *Spine*, 38(12), 1016–1025.
752 <https://doi.org/10.1097/BRS.0b013e31828473b5>

753 Mouri, H. (2013). Log-normal distribution from a process that is not multiplicative but
754 is additive. *Physical Review E*, 88, 042124.
755 <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.88.042124>

756 Muñoz-García, D., Lopez-Urvalde-Villanueva, I., Beltrán-Alacreu, H., La Touche, R., &
757 Fernández-Carnero, J. (2016). Patients with Concomitant Chronic Neck Pain and
758 Myofascial Pain in Masticatory Muscles Have More Widespread Pain and Distal
759 Hyperalgesia than Patients with Only Chronic Neck Pain. *Pain Medicine*, pnw274.
760 <https://doi.org/10.1093/pm/pnw274>

- 761 Nieto-Garcia, J., Suso-Marti, L., La Touche, R., & Grande-Alonso, M. (2019).
 762 Somatosensory and Motor Differences between Physically Active Patients with
 763 Chronic Low Back Pain and Asymptomatic Individuals. *Medicina*, 55(9), 1–13.
- 764 Nixon, R. M., Wonderling, D., & Grieve, R. D. (2010). Non-parametric methods for
 765 cost-effectiveness analysis: the central limit theorem and the bootstrap compared.
 766 *Health Economics*, 19(3), 316–333. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hec.1477>
- 767 Nolan, M. (1985). Quantitative measure of cutaneous sensation. Two-point
 768 discrimination values for the face and trunk. *Phys Ther*, 65(2), 181–185.
- 769 Persson, A. L., Garametos, S., & Pedersen, J. (2011). Computer-aided surface
 770 estimation of pain drawings - intra- and inter-rater reliability. *Journal of Pain*
 771 *Research*, 4, 135–141. <https://doi.org/10.2147/JPR.S18637>
- 772 Plisky, P. J., Gorman, P. P., Butler, R. J., Kiesel, K. B., Underwood, F. B., & Elkins, B.
 773 (2009). The reliability of an instrumented device for measuring components of the
 774 Star Excursion Balance Test. *North American Journal of Sports Physical Therapy*,
 775 4(May 2009), 92. <https://doi.org/10.2519/jospt.2006.2244>
- 776 Ramírez-Maestre, C., Esteve, R., & López, A. E. (2008). Cognitive appraisal and
 777 coping in chronic pain patients. *European Journal of Pain*, 12(6), 749–756.
 778 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpain.2007.11.004>
- 779 Reis, F., Guimarães, F., Nogueira, L. C., Meziat-Filho, N., Sanchez, T. A., & Wideman,
 780 T. (2018). Association between pain drawing and psychological factors in
 781 musculoskeletal chronic pain: A systematic review. *Physiotherapy Theory and*
 782 *Practice*, 00(00), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09593985.2018.1455122>
- 783 Ris, I., Barbero, M., Falla, D., Larsen, M., Nielsen, M., Søggaard, K., & Juul-kristensen,
 784 B. (2019). Pain extent is more strongly associated with disability, psychological
 785 factors, and neck muscle function in people with non-traumatic versus traumatic
 786 chronic neck pain: a cross sectional study. *Eur J Phys Rehabil Med*, 55(1), 71–78.
 787 <https://doi.org/10.23736/S1973-9087.18.04977-8>
- 788 Roland, M., & Fairbank, J. (2000). The Roland-Morris disability questionnaire and the
 789 Oswestry disability questionnaire. *Spine*, 25(24), 3115–3124.
 790 <https://doi.org/10.1097/00007632-200012150-00006>
- 791 Rollman, A., Visscher, C. M., Gorter, R. C., & Naeije, M.M. (2012). Care seeking for
 792 orofacial pain. *J Orofac Pain*, 26(3), 206–214.
- 793 Rollman, A., Gorter, R. C., Visscher, C. M., & Naeije, M. M. (2013). Why seek
 794 treatment for temporomandibular disorder pain complaints? A study based on
 795 semi-structured interviews. *Journal of Orofacial Pain*, 27(3), 227–234.
 796 <https://doi.org/10.11607/jop.1081>
- 797 Sadler, S. G., Spink, M. J., Ho, A., De Jonge, X. J., & Chuter, V. H. (2017). Restriction
 798 in lateral bending range of motion, lumbar lordosis, and hamstring flexibility
 799 predicts the development of low back pain: A systematic review of prospective
 800 cohort studies. *BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders*, 18(1), 1–15.
 801 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12891-017-1534-0>
- 802 Savigny, P., Watson, P., & Underwood, M. (2009). Early management of persistent
 803 non-specific low back pain: summary of NICE guidance. *BMJ (Clinical Research*
 804 *Ed.)*, 338(June), 1441–1445. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.b1805>

- Shaffer, S. W., Teyhen, D. S., Lorensen, C. L., Warren, R. L., Koreerat, C. M., Straseske, C. A., & Childs, J. D. (2013). Y-Balance Test: A Reliability Study Involving Multiple Raters. *Military Medicine*, 178(11), 1264–1270. <https://doi.org/10.7205/MILMED-D-13-00222>
- Smart, K. M., Blake, C., Staines, A., & Doody, C. (2010). Clinical indicators of “nociceptive”, “peripheral neuropathic” and “central” mechanisms of musculoskeletal pain. A Delphi survey of expert clinicians. *Manual Therapy*, 15(1), 80–87. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.math.2009.07.005>
- Szpalski, M., Nordin, M., Skovron, M., Melot, C., & Cukier., D. (1995). Health care utilization for low back pain in Belgium. Influence of sociocultural factors and health beliefs. *Spine*, 20(4), 431–442.
- Teyhen, D.S., Shaffer, S.W., Lorensen, C.L., Greenberg, M.D., Rogers, S.M., Koreerat, C.M., Villena, S.L., Zosel, K.L., Walker, M.J., & Childs, J.C. (2014). Clinical measures associated with dynamic balance and functional movement. *J Strength Cond Res*, 28(5), 1272–1283.
- Thomas, J. S., France, C. R., Lavender, S. A., & Johnson, M. R. (2008). Effects of fear of movement on spine velocity and acceleration after recovery from low back pain. *Spine*, 33(5), 564–570. <https://doi.org/10.1097/BRS.0b013e3181657f1a>
- Tong, M. H., Mousavi, S. J., Kiers, H., Ferreira, P., Refshauge, K., & van Dieën, J. (2017). Is There a Relationship Between Lumbar Proprioception and Low Back Pain? A Systematic Review With Meta-Analysis. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, 98(1), 120–136.e2. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2016.05.016>
- Traeger, A. C., Buchbinder, R., Elshaug, A. G., Croft, R., & Maher, C. G. (2019). Care for low back pain : can health systems deliver ? *Bull World Health Organ*, 30(April), 423–433.
- Viniol, A., Jegan, N., Brugger, M., Leonhardt, C., Barth, J., Baum, E., Becker, A., & Strauch, K. (2015). Even worse - Risk factors and protective factors for transition from chronic localized low back pain to chronic widespread pain in general practice. *Spine*, 40(15), E890–E899. <https://doi.org/10.1097/BRS.0000000000000980>
- Visser, E. J., Ramachenderan, J., Davies, S. J., & Parsons, R. (2014). Chronic Widespread Pain Drawn on a Body Diagram is a Screening Tool for Increased Pain Sensitization, Psycho-Social Load, and Utilization of Pain Management Strategies. *Pain Practice*, 16, 31–37. <https://doi.org/10.1111/papr.12263>
- von Elm, E., Altman, D. G., Egger, M., Pocock, S. J., Gøtzsche, P. C., Vandenbroucke, J. P., & STROBE Initiative. (2008). The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, 61(4), 344–349. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2007.11.008>
- Zusman, M. (2002). Forebrain-mediated sensitization of central pain pathways: “non-specific” pain and a new image for MT. *Manual Therapy*, 7(2), 80–88. Retrieved from <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12151244>

849 **FIGURES**

850 **FIGURE 1. Expansion of pain in patients who sought care.**

851 **FIGURE 2. Expansion of pain in patients who did not seek care.**