

Analysis of the genetic diversity of the coastal and island endangered plant *Elaeagnus macrophylla* by conserved DNA-derived polymorphism markers

Yi Wang¹ Yan Ma¹ Bingyu Jia¹ Qichao Wu¹ Dekui Zang¹ Xiaoyan Yu¹

¹College of Forestry, Shandong Agricultural University, Key Laboratory of State Forestry Administration for Silviculture of the Lower Yellow River, Tai'an, Shandong, China

Yi Wang and Yan Ma contributed equally to this work.

Corresponding Author:

Dekui Zang¹

No. 61 Daizong Street, Shandong Agricultural University, Tai'an, Shandong, 271000, China

Email address: zangdk@sdau.edu.cn

Abstract

The genetic diversity and genetic structure of five natural populations of the island and coastal endangered plant *Elaeagnus macrophylla* were analyzed by conserved DNA-derived polymorphism (CDDP) molecular markers. A total of 289 discernible loci were obtained from 102 individuals using fifteen primers, and 100% of the loci were polymorphic. The observed number of alleles (N_a) was 1.9654, the effective number of alleles (N_e) was 1.2604, the average of Nei's genetic diversity index (H) was 0.1724, and Shannon's information index (I) was 0.2869, indicating that *E. macrophylla* had levels of genetic diversity lower than those reported for continental relatives and other continental species. The average percentage of polymorphic loci (PPL) was 42.1%, and the maximum and minimum PPL values were 80.97% and 14.88%, belonging to the Nanji Island (NJD) and Liugong Island (LGD) populations, respectively. Populations of *E. macrophylla* were highly differentiated ($G_{st}=0.3263$). Cluster analysis revealed that the similarity between the tested samples was related to their geographical locations, samples from the same island tended to cluster together, and there was no cross-clustering between the samples. The NJD population differentiated into a northwestern group and a southeastern group. ~~Pearson's correlation coefficient, as analyzed by R, revealed~~ We detected no

correlation between genetic distance and geographic distance between populations (Pearson's correlation coefficient $r = 0.256579$, $p\text{-value} = 0.8309$).

Introduction

Elaeagnus macrophylla is an endangered evergreen shrub species of East Asian coastal areas and islands. It is distributed in China's Shandong, Zhejiang, and Jiangsu Provinces, mainly on offshore islands and in coastal lowlands (Chinese Flora, 1983). *E. macrophylla* has a great value for studying coastal flora because of its unique geographical distribution pattern and can be widely used in coastal greening due to its tolerance of sea breeze, salinity, drought and thin soil (Zang, 2016). It also has potential economic value; for example, it can be used to produce fruit juice and wine (Zang, 2016). In recent years, with the rapid development of the economy and coastline, the intensification of human interference, and a continuous reduction in suitable environments, the number and size of natural populations have decreased sharply, causing the species to become endangered.

The genetic diversity of island species is generally lower than that of terrestrial species, and the risk of extinction is higher for island species (Raven, 1998). From the 17th century to the 20th century, 384 species of vascular plants went extinct worldwide, 139 of which were island plants. Forty percent of vulnerable or endangered vascular plant species are island species (Reid & Miller, 1989). Human disturbances, such as habitat destruction and invasion by alien species, are considered the main factors threatening island species (Wolf & Harrison, 2001). Studies of *Ilex integra* based on inter simple sequence repeat (ISSR) molecular markers (Leng et al., 2005) and *Neolitsea sericea* based on random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) molecular markers (Wang et al., 2004) concluded that the geographical isolation of islands had a significant impact on the genetic differentiation of island populations and that the genetic diversity of island relatives was lower than that of close relatives on continents. However, studies of the genetic diversity of the island plant *E. macrophylla* have not been conducted.

The analysis of conserved DNA-derived polymorphism (CDDP) is based on a single primer amplification reaction, with primers designed to target conserved sequences of plant functional genes—mostly transcription factors such as WRKYs, MYBs, MADs, ERFs, KNOXs and ABP1. Because the strong conservation of some sequences of plant DNA, CDDP molecular marker technology can be used across different species. Studies of rice (*Oryza sativa*) have shown that CDDP molecular markers have many advantages, including convenience, low cost, and rich polymorphism, which can effectively produce markers related to the target traits (Collard & Mackill, 2009). Compared with traditional DNA molecular methods, CDDP is more practical because the primers used in CDDP are specific for conserved DNA sequences of genes. Via the amplification these conserved sequences, which tend to be linked with phenotypic traits, CDDP can provide advantages in plant genetic diversity assessment (Andersen & Lübberstedt, 2003). Since Poczei first successfully used CDDP molecular markers and in-targeting markers to investigate the genetic diversity and group structure of *Solanum dulcamara* (Poczei et al., 2011),

Formatted: Font color: Red

CDDP molecular markers have proven useful in the analysis of several other plant species, such as *Chrysanthemum* (Li et al., 2014), *Paeonia suffruticosa* (Li et al., 2013), *Vaccinium vitis-idaea* (Fang et al., 2016), and *Rosa rugosa* (Jiang & Zang, 2018). However, CDDP markers have not yet been used to study *E. macrophylla*.

In this study, CDDP molecular markers were used to analyze the genetic diversity and genetic relationships among major natural populations of *E. macrophylla* in China, and the study's aims were to reveal the level of genetic diversity and degree of genetic differentiation, assess the relationships between populations, examine the influence of geographical isolation and human factors on the genetic structure, and provide a scientific basis for the protection and rational utilization of *E. macrophylla*.

Materials and Methods

Plant materials

A total of 102 individual leaf samples were collected from 7 islands and offshore sites (Fig. 1 and Table 1) from April to July 2018; sampling was conducted within the natural distribution area of *E. macrophylla*. Interval sampling was applied except for small populations (such as the Liugong Island population, whereas samples from all individual plants found were collected). Only one individual was found on each of Lingshan Island (LSD) and Putuo Island (PTD). After collection, silica gel was used to quickly dry the specimens, after which they were stored at -20°C.

DNA extraction and PCR amplification

Total DNA was extracted from *E. macrophylla* by the modified cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) method (Doyle & Doyle, 1987). The quality and purity of DNA were determined by 2% agarose gel electrophoresis, and a spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc, USA) was used to ensure DNA quantification. All DNA samples were stored at -20°C for later use.

The DNA from one sample per population was selected to screen 21 CDDP primers (Collard & Mackill, 2009) (synthesized by Sangon Biotech, China). According to the results, 15 primers with clear and reproducible amplification bands were screened out (Table 2). PCR was conducted in a total reaction volume of 20 µl containing 10 µl 2× Ex Taq MasterMix (dye), 7.5 µl double-distilled H₂O (ddH₂O), 1 µl 30 ng/µl DNA template, and 1.0 µl 10 pmol/µl primer (Sangon Biotech, China). A standard PCR cycle (RT-PCR 7500, Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc, USA) was used: an initial denaturation step at 94°C for 3 min; 35 annealing cycles of 94°C for 1 min, 50°C for 1 min and 72°C for 2 min; and a final extension of 5 min at 72°C. PCR products were stored at 4°C. The PCR products were electrophoresed on a 2% agarose gel at a voltage of

110 V and current of 110 mA for 1.5-2 h; a DL2000 marker was used as a size marker. The electrophoresis results were photographed and recorded by a gel imaging system. All amplification procedures were repeated at least twice to ensure repeatability of the experiment.

Data statistics and analysis

The clearer bands in the electropherogram were marked as "1", and lanes without a band or with one that was difficult to identify were marked as "0", forming a (0, 1) data matrix.

Commented [TT1]: This is probably not necessary

We used POPGEN v.1.32 (Yeh, Yang & Boyle, 1999) to compute the following: observed allele number (Na), effective allele number (Ne), Nei's genetic diversity index (H), Shannon's information index (I), polymorphic loci and polymorphic locus percentage (P, %), total genetic diversity (Ht), genetic diversity within populations (Hs), the genetic differentiation coefficient (Gst) and gene flow ($Nm=1-Gst/Gst$) between populations. The estimates were also calculated within sampling localities when significant differences among specimens were found.

A dendrogram was generated using the unweighted pair group method with arithmetic mean (UPGMA) clustering procedure in NTSYS-pc 2.10e software (Rohlf, 1994). The relationship between geographic distance and genetic distance was analyzed with Pearson's correlation coefficient in R.

We further assessed the genetic structure of populations using the Bayesian clustering approach implemented in STRUCTURE v.2.3.4 (Pritchard, Stephens & Donnelly, 2000). The number of potential genetic clusters (K values) was set from 1 to 10, with 10 independent runs for each K. The contribution to the genotypes of the accessions was calculated based on a 10^5 iteration burn-in and 10^5 iteration sampling period. Then the optimal number of clusters K was identified according to the method of Evanno, Regnaut & Goudet (2005).

Results and Analysis

Population- and species-level diversity of *E. macrophylla*

The DNA of 102 samples was amplified with 15 primers to obtain 289 bands, and the fragment length was between 500 and 2000 bp (Fig. 2). The number of amplified bands ranged from 11 to 30, and the average number of amplified bands was 19.3; the number of Pr2 and Pr6 amplification bands was the highest, at 30, and the number of Pr7 and Pr8 amplification bands was the lowest, at 11. The percentage of polymorphism reached 100% (Table 2), which indicated that the genomic DNA polymorphism of *E. macrophylla* was high.

At the population level, the percentage of polymorphic loci (PPL) ranged from 14.88% to 80.28%, with an average of 48.928%, whereas was 96.54% at species level. The mean observed number of alleles (Na) ranged from 1.1488 to 1.8028, while the mean effective number of alleles (Ne) varied from 1.0739 to 1.2410. Nei's genetic diversity (H) varied from 0.0446 to 0.1580, with an average of 0.1149, and Shannon's information index (I) ranged from 0.0690 to 0.2613, with an average of 0.1848. At the species level, the H and I were 0.1724 and 0.2869, respectively (Table 3). The Na, Ne, H, I and PPL were consistent among populations, with the NJD

population exhibiting the largest values and the LGD population exhibiting the lowest values, all of which were lower than those observed at the species level.

Genetic differentiation of the populations of *E. macrophylla*

The total genetic diversity (H_t) and genetic diversity within populations (H_s) were 0.1706 and 0.1149, respectively, as calculated with POPGEN v.1.32 software. The interspecific genetic differentiation coefficient (G_{st}) was 0.3263, indicating that 67.37% of the variation was within the populations and 32.63% of the variation existed among the populations. A certain degree of genetic differentiation was observed among the populations. The gene flow (N_m) was 1.0325, indicating that there was some (albeit limited) genetic communication between populations.

Genetic differentiation between populations can be further analyzed based on the Nei's genetic distance (G_d) and genetic identity (G_s). For the five populations of *E. macrophylla*, the genetic distance was between 0.0490 and 0.1443 (Table 4), with a mean of 0.08127, and Nei's genetic identity was between 0.8656 and 0.9588, with a mean of 0.9226.

UPGMA cluster analysis

The applied measure of genetic similarity was used to construct UPGMA dendrograms (Fig. 3). The clustering map shows that the five populations can be divided into three groups. One group represented the LGD population; the populations DRS, NJD and LS formed a second group, indicating that these three populations are closely related; and the third group represented the DGD population. Populations with similar geographical distances were not clustered into the same group, indicating that the genetic distance between the populations of the *E. macrophylla* was not related to geographical distance. Consistent with these results, the Pearson correlation coefficient test revealed no significant correlation between geographic and genetic distance ($r = 0.256579$, $p = 0.8309$).

The UPGMA clustering map provided a clearer division of 102 samples (Fig. 4). Notably, cross-clustering was observed between samples from different populations, and samples from the same island tended to be clustered together. The groups in the clustering results were generally consistent with the regional sources of the samples. As clearly shown by the clustering map, all the samples from LGD formed a small branch and then formed another branch with all samples from DRS. Among the samples, Nos. 9-18 from DRS were more closely related to the samples from LGD, than to Nos. 19-28 from DRS, thus, differentiation within the DRS population was observed, between Nos. 9-18 and Nos. 19-28. All samples from LS formed a group, all samples from DGD formed another group, and the two groups formed a large branch. A sample from PTD formed a separate group. Samples from the NJD population composed two subpopulations. Based on the sampling location and latitude and longitude, samples 29-40 were collected in the northwestern part of NJD (121°3'24"-121°3'8", 27°27'53"-27°28'21"), and samples 41-61 were collected in the southeastern part of NJD (121°5'52"-121°6'11", 27°26'54"-27°27'12"). 21 samples (Nos. 41-61) formed a group, 12 samples (Nos. 29-40) formed another group, and the two groups formed different branches. Therefore, the samples could be easily divided into 5 groups based on the clustering results.

Population structure analysis

The results of the Bayesian clustering analysis of genetic structure showed that the populations of *Elaeagnus macrophylla*, best fit three genetic groups, and when K=5, the value of delta K was also large (Fig. 5A). When K=3 (Fig. 5B), the LGD population and half of the DRS samples were clustered into the first groups, also indicating that the two populations were closely related. Most samples from LS, half of the DRS samples and the samples from the NJD population clustered into the second group, and part of the samples from LS and DGD formed the third group. When K=5 (Fig. 5C), the LGD population and half of the DRS samples were grouped into the first group, half of the DRS samples and the yellow part of the NJD samples were grouped into the second group, the blue part of the NJD samples formed the third group, all samples from the LS population were clustered into the fourth group, and all samples from the DGD population were clustered into the fifth group. The LGD, LS, and DGD population pedigrees were simple, and samples from the islands tended to cluster together. The NJD and DRS populations were differentiated, with the NJD population forming two subpopulations when K=5: a northwestern group (yellow part) and a southeastern group (blue part). The DRS population was differentiated into two subpopulations when K=3 and K=5: Nos. 9-18 and Nos. 19-28.

Gst and Nm of the two subpopulations of NJD and DRS

The Nm between subpopulations of NJD and DRS populations was 2.6084 and 2.0843, respectively. The Gst between subpopulations of the NJD and DRS populations was 0.1609 and 0.1935, respectively. Subpopulations exhibited strong gene flow but high genetic differentiation.

Discussion

Frankham (1997) compared and analyzed the allelic diversity of 202 groups of land and island populations of various species, including mammals, birds, fish, reptiles, insects and plants; in 165 cases (81.7%), the genetic diversity of island populations was lower than that of terrestrial populations, with an average decrease of 29%. The average PPL of the CDDP molecular markers detected in five populations of the island plant *E. macrophylla* was 48.928%, the average effective allele number (Ne) was 1.1801, the average of Nei's genetic diversity index (H) was 0.1149, and the average Shannon polymorphism information index (I) was 0.1848. All of these values are far below the genetic diversity values of populations of the continental species *Camellia japonica* (PPL=86.11%, Ne=1.4775, H=0.2940, and I=0.4459) (Juan, 2018) and *Paeonia suffruticosa* (PPL=72.1%, Ne=1.2389, H=0.1623, I=0.2682) (Li, 2013) estimated based on CDDP molecular markers. Moreover, compared with the rich genetic diversity of a species within the same genus (*Elaeagnus mollis*) (Qin, Zhang & Yan, 2006), the genetic diversity of *E. macrophylla* is obviously lower, which is consistent with the results of Frankham. As an endangered coastal plant, *E. macrophylla* exhibits lower genetic diversity than its continental relatives and other continental species. For island species, their breeding characteristics, dispersal capability, and effective population size are often considered important factors affecting the genetic diversity of a species (Frankham, 1997; Weller, Sakai & Straub, 1996). *E. macrophylla* is a typical bisexual flowering plant with a small flower diameter, produces nectar, and relies mainly on small insects as pollinators for cross-pollination (Zang, 2012). The Ht of *E. macrophylla* was lower than the average value of insect-pollinated plants (Ht=0.2019; Hanwick & Godt, 1990), indicating that its insect-borne pollination has been affected to some extent.

Owing to the large sea breeze on the island, insects can only live in groups, which affects their range of activities. As a result, pollen transmission is limited to a very small range, and random fixation of alleles and limited gene exchange lead to poor population expansion, thus reducing their genetic diversity (Hamrick & Nason, 1996; Hamilton & Miller, 2002). As another important carrier of gene flow, seeds are also essential for the natural regeneration and expansion of plant populations and for increasing the genetic diversity of populations (Hamilton & Miller, 2002). According to previous studies, *E. macrophylla* can produce fruit naturally in the wild, and the fruit is sweet (Zang, 2016); it is heavily favored by birds, and some birds that feed on these fruits can spread the seeds. Unfortunately, owing to the influence of insect pollination, the seed setting rate in the wild is low. Moreover, owing to increasing human activities, especially the vigorous development of tourism, island birds are becoming increasingly rare, which further restricts the spread of seeds and affects the genetic diversity of populations. Compared to that of *Camellia japonica* and *Elaeagnus mollis*, the distribution of populations of *E. macrophylla*, an island species, is small, occupies a fragile habitat and has a narrow distribution range, making it more vulnerable to extinction than terrestrial species (Francisco-Ortega et al., 2000). Island segregation means fragmentation of habitats, maintaining small populations in fragmented habitats; as such, genetic diversity of endangered species may be lost, because genetic drift causes allele loss and because inbreeding frequencies are increasing (Zhang & Jiang, 1999; Emerson, 2002).

For this species, the H_t and H_s were 0.1706 and 0.1149 respectively. Compared to endangered and Chinese secondary protected plants (Fu & Jin, 1992), *Rosa rugosa* in previous CDDP-based ($H_t=0.2770$, $H_s=0.1522$) studies (Jiang & Zang, 2018), *E. macrophylla* in the present study showed lower diversity. Similarly, the diversity values in the present study were lower than those estimated using CDDP markers for the plant species *Camellia japonica* ($H_t=0.2874$, $H_s=0.2518$; Juan, 2018). Genetic differentiation (G_{st}) is calculated as the ratio of between-population genetic variance to the total variance among populations (Wright, 1965). The G_{st} of the five populations of *E. macrophylla* was 0.3263, indicating that 32.63% of the variation existed among the populations. The genetic differentiation of the *E. macrophylla* populations was significant based on Nei's G_{st} classification criteria for genetic differentiation (low, $G_{st} < 0.05$; medium, $G_{st} = 0.05\sim0.15$; and high, $G_{st} > 0.15$) (Nei, 1978). Its genetic differentiation value was higher than the average value of 23 species (28.06%) of the Carinla Islands (Francisco-Ortega et al., 2000). It was also shown that the genetic differentiation between *E. macrophylla* populations is relatively high. The prevention of gene flow, genetic drift, and inbreeding was the main cause of genetic differentiation among populations (Starkin, 1987; Ouborg, Piquot & Groenendaal, 1999; Manel, 2003).

The term gene flow refers to the process by which a biological individual disperses from its place of origin, followed by the exchange of genes between populations. Such exchange may occur between biological populations of the same species or between different species and is essential to the evolution of many plant populations (Grant, 1991; Gerber et al., 2014). The populations of *E. macrophylla* displayed little gene flow ($N_m=1.0325$), the UPGMA clustering analysis of samples showed that there was no hybridization among individuals from different localities, structure analysis ($K=5$) revealed that most of the populations had a simple pedigree and the genetic communication between each pair of populations was low. These results were mainly due to the geographical isolation of the islands (mainly barriers posed by seawater), which limited the range of dispersal by pollen- and seed-dispersing birds (Kwon & Morden, 2002). For the *E. macrophylla* populations, the shortest distance is between the populations of

LS and DGD (8100 m); it is difficult for small pollinators to spread pollen across islands between vast seas. In addition to pollen, seeds also play an important role in the spread of gene flow. One important aspect of seed movement is its role in the initial founding of a population (Chung, Chung & Oh, 2002). In a study of *Neolitsea sericea*, owing to seeds being dispersed over long distances by birds, numerous seedlings and juveniles (mostly aged < 5 yr) of *Neolitsea sericea* were dispersed within a range of approximately 480 m (Hakdongri on Keojae Island) and 680 m (Naechori on Oenaro Island, respectively) from maternal plants (Chung, Chung & Oh, 2002). The fruit of *E. macrophylla* is a drupe-shaped nut with a seed and rich flesh (Zang, 2012). After birds feed and digest their food, they excrete in their feces. This type of transmission is called intra-animal transmission, which carries seeds far away and promotes gene exchange (Petit et al., 2003). It is difficult to directly observe how far birds can spread seeds in the wild, but the retention time of seeds in the digestive tract of fruit-eating birds can be used to determine the potential propagation distance and the ability to reach the appropriate breeding ground (Manson & Stiles, 1998). The retention time of fruits of *Sorbus pohuashanensis* in the digestive tract of the birds was found to be approximately 20 min. The first stopping point after feeding was mostly located between 5 and 10 m of the female plants, but the birds could have many various landing points within 20 min, which might spread the seeds to distant areas (Zhang et al., 2010). However, birds are affected by factors such as seed size, feed intake, digestion and excretion; thus, it is very difficult to distribute seeds between distances over sea areas greater than 8000 m or even farther. Last, water currents are also a major medium of genetic communication between islands (Kwon & Morden, 2002). Zhang et al. (2007) studied the differences in genetic variation between the species *E. emarginata*, *Ilex integra* and *Machilus thunbergii*. Clustering analysis revealed that the reason for the intermixing of individuals among *E. emarginata* populations was that seeds floating with ocean currents promoted gene exchange among populations, while those of *Ilex integra* and *Machilus thunbergii* did not. Therefore, the genetic differentiation of *E. emarginata* is lower than that of *Ilex integra* and *Machilus thunbergii*. The fruits of *E. macrophylla* fall into the sea due to sea breezes, but the spread of seeds by ocean currents to allow genetic communication between populations is a rare event, as submersion quickly reduces the germination capability after a few days (Angélique & Debussche, 2000). Seeds of *Ilex integra* also presented a germination rate close to zero when soaked in seawater (Leng et al., 2005). Our sample clustering map shows that there was no hybridization among individuals, indicating that the gene communication between the populations is limited. Therefore, it is very difficult for *E. macrophylla* to achieve gene communication via the seeds floating by currents. For *E. emarginata*, the reason for success may be that the distance between the islands is short, and the seeds do not lose their ability to germinate after floating.

The results of the Bayesian clustering analysis showed that when K=3, the same gene pool exists between different populations. Based on limited gene flow and high genetic differentiation among populations, we speculate that the reason for this may be that *E. macrophylla* inherits the gene pool of its ancestors, such that populations with distant geographic distances also contain the same gene pool.

As opposed to the high genetic differentiation among different island populations, individuals in islands are often grouped into a single group, indicating that individuals in each population have relatively close kinship, which may be related to the small island range, similar habitats within the population, and strong gene flow (Sahuquillo & Lumaret, 1995; Carlos, Emerson & Oromi, 2000); however, the DRS and NJD populations exceptions. Based on the UPGMA clustering and STRUCTURE analysis results, DRS populations differentiated into two

subpopulations: Nos. 9-18 and Nos. 19-28. Gene flow ($N_m=2.0843$) was strong between the two sub-populations, but there was high genetic differentiation ($G_{st}=0.1935$). Because gene flow was not blocked and the habitats were similar, we speculate that the cause of this result was that the DRS population contains two gene pools, and complete gene introgression has not yet occurred in the two subpopulations under the condition of gene flow of 2.0843. when $K=3$, the NJD population did not exhibit differentiation; when $K=5$, the NJD population differentiated into two subpopulations: a northwestern group and a southeastern group. The gene flow between the two subpopulations was determined to be 2.6084, indicating that there is strong gene communication between the two subpopulations of NJD. However, there is still some genetic differentiation between the two subpopulations ($G_{st} = 0.1609$). After excluding reasons such as blocked gene flow, the reason may be due to differences in habitats within the population. The NJD nature reserve has numerous islands and reefs with meandering shorelines, headlands and numerous bays. There are many types of coastal beaches, such as mudflats, gravel beaches and rocky reefs, and NJD is at the intersection of the Taiwan Warm Current and the Jiangsu and Zhejiang Coastal Currents; the flow system is complex, so the habitat is therefore complex (Xiao, 2007). The marine shellfish algae in this area not only are abundant in species but also have the characteristics of temperate zones and tropical zones. Moreover, there is an obvious regional "fracture distribution" phenomenon. It is rare that three species with different temperature properties of tropical, subtropical and temperate zones coexist in the NJD sea area at the same time; for example, typical tropical species such as *Oliva emiculator* can survive in the NJD above 27°N, which is enough to see the particularity of the NJD habitat (Xiao, 2007). The two subpopulations are located in the northwestern and southeastern regions of NJD, respectively, and may have some genetic differentiation due to habitat differences. However, our current evidence is insufficient, additional further research is needed.

In the comparison of the genetic diversity index of 22 endemic plants on the Canary Islands, the average genetic diversity index of island species with relatively large populations (number of individuals > 2500; $H=0.1460$) was significantly greater than that of relatively small populations (number of individuals < 100; $H=0.0970$) (Francisco-Ortega et al., 2000). The average diversity index of each population of *E. macrophylla* was (from large to small) NJD (0.1580)>DRS (0.1290)>DGD (0.1222)>LS (0.1208)>LGD (0.0446), with an average value of 0.1149. The average genetic diversity index of the NJD population is much higher than that of the LGD population. The values of the other three populations are relatively similar. It can be seen that the NJD population is the largest and that the DRS, DGD, and LS populations are similar in size, and LGD population shows a population decline. Human disturbances such as excessive logging, habitat destruction, and introduction of exotic species are considered the main causes of endangerment of island species (Atkinson, 1989; Frankham, 1997; Raven, 1998), which are manifested mainly as effective population decline, increased frequencies of inbreeding, loss of genetic diversity, decline in survival competitiveness, etc. (Ferson & Burgman, 1995; Frankham, 1997; Mengens, 1998). NJD is far from mainland China, is relatively closed, and experiences relatively limited exchange with the mainland, so there is little man-made damage. Moreover, the island area is large, and the genetic background is complex, so it shows a high genetic diversity and a large population. LGD is a famous tourist destination in China, and the coastline is developing rapidly. At the same time, LGD has a small area, and its populations have similar genetic backgrounds and a single habitat; as such, loss of genetic diversity and declines in populations have occurred.

380 **Conservation of *E. macrophylla* diversity**

381
382 Based on the protection of *E. macrophylla*, we made the following recommendations: since
383 protecting sufficient natural population numbers and sizes were conserved to prevent a reduction
384 in genetic diversity as a matter of urgency, we propose in situ conservation. The best strategy for
385 in situ conservation of genetic diversity during an endemic is the preservation of natural habitat
386 (Francisco-Ortega et al., 2000). In this study, the NJD population displayed relatively high
387 genetic diversity and should therefore be a priority for in situ conservation. The LGD population
388 had the lowest genetic diversity and the smallest population size; the site of this population
389 should be protected as the most urgent site. Furthermore, natural protection areas should be
390 established to conserve and restore the habitat and populations, the awareness of local residents
391 and tourism management personnel should be increased, and the populations should be increased
392 by artificial cultivation and subsequent management; for example, seeds collected from other
393 populations could be sown, branches could be collected for cuttings, gene barriers could be
394 broken by appropriate species regression, and genetic diversity of populations could be increased.
395 Moreover, to achieve effective conservation of germplasm resources, efforts are needed to
396 carefully plan and construct pollen banks and gene banks for *E. macrophylla*.
397

Commented [TT2]: This sentence is not clear. Please re-write

398 **Conclusions**

399
400 The present study is the first genetic investigation of *E. macrophylla* using CDDP markers to
401 investigate this species' distribution and genetic variation. The results showed that CDDP
402 molecular markers can be effectively used to study the genetic diversity of *E. macrophylla*
403 populations and revealed that *E. macrophylla* populations have low genetic diversity and high
404 genetic differentiation. The low levels of gene flow among populations are the main cause of the
405 high levels of genetic differentiation. Based on these findings, some conservation measures for
406 *E. macrophylla* are proposed.
407

Commented [TT3]: Avoid abbreviations in conclusions and abstract. They are the parts of the paper that readers may look at initially. Abbreviations may stop lay scientists from reading the paper.

408 **Acknowledgments**

409
410 We thank Qing Zhang of the Shandong Agricultural University for assistance with the
411 experimental methods.
412

413 **References**

414
415 Andersen JR, Lübberstedt T. 2003. Functional markers in plants. *Trends Plant Sci* 8: 554-560.
416 DOI: 10.1016/j.tplants.2003.09.010.
417

- 418 **Atkinson I. 1989.** Extinction on islands. In Western D. and Pearl M. eds. Conservation for the
419 twenty-first century. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- 420
- 421 **Angélique Q, Debussche M. 2000.** Seed dispersal and germination patterns in a rare
422 Mediterranean island endemic (*Anchusacrispa Viv, Boraginaceae*). *Acta Oecologica* **21 (6)**:
423 303-313. DOI: 10.1016/s1146-609x(00)01089-4.
- 424
- 425 **Carlos J, Emerson BC, Oromi P. 2000.** Colonization and diversification: towards a phylogeog
426 raphics synthesis for the Canary Islands. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **15(3)**: 104-109.
427 DOI: 10.1016/S0960-0779(01)00213-2.
- 428
- 429 **Chinese Flora Editorial Board of the Chinese Academy of Sciences. 1983.** Chinese Flora.
430 Beijing: Science Press, **Volume 52(2)** : 015.
- 431
- 432 **Chung MG, Chung MY, Oh GS, Epperson BK. 2002.** Spatial genetic structure in a *Neolitsea*
433 *sericea* population (Lauraceae). *Heredity* **85**: 490. DOI: 10.1046/j.1365-2540.2000.00781.x.
- 434
- 435 **Collard BCY, Mackill DJ. 2009.** Conserved DNA-derived polymorphism (CDDP): a simple
436 and novel method for generating DNA markers in plants. *Plant Molecular Bioloty Reporter* **27**:
437 558-562. DOI: 10.1007/s11105-009-0118-z.
- 438
- 439 **Doyle JJ, Doyle JL. 1987.** A rapid DNA isolation procedure for small quantities of fresh leaf
440 tissue. *Phytoch Bull* **19**: 11-15.
- 441
- 442 **Emerson BC. 2002.** Evolution on oceanic islands: molecular phylogenetic approaches to
443 understanding pattern and process. *Molecular Ecology* **11**: 951-966.
444 DOI: 10.1046/j.1365-294X.2002.01507.x.
- 445
- 446 **Evanno G, Regnaut S, Goudet J. 2005.** Detecting the number of clusters of individuals using
447 the software STRUCTURE: a simulation study. *Molecular Ecology* **14**: 2611-2620.
448 DOI:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2005.02553.x.
- 449
- 450 **Fang WX, Xia XY, An LJ, Peng Q. 2016.** Genetic Diversity and Clustering Analysis of
451 Blueberry Resources by CDDP Markers. *Chinese Agricultural Science Bulletin* **32(28)**:136-143.
452 DOI:10.11924/j.issn.1000-6850.casb16020078.
- 453
- 454 **Ferson S, Burgman MA. 1995.** Correlations, dependency bounds, and extinction risks.
455 *Biological Conservation* **73**: 101-105. DOI: 10.1016/0006-3207(95)90031-4.
- 456

Fu LG, Jin JM. 1992. China plant red data book rare and endangered plants vol.1. Sci Press, 558-559. DOI: 10.1016/j.anai.2010.03.006.

Francisco-Ortega J, Santos-Guerra A, Kim SC, Crawford D. 2000. Plant genetic diversity in the Canary Islands: a conservation perspective. *American Journal of Botany* **87(7)**: 909-919. DOI: 10.2307/2656988.

Frankham R. 1997. Do island populations have less genetic variation than mainland populations? *Heredity* **78**: 311-327. DOI: 10.1038/hdy.1997.46.

Gerber S, Chadoeuf J, Gugerli F, Lascoux M, Buiteveld J, Cottrell J, Dounavi A, Fineschi S, Forrest LL, Fogelqvist J, Goicoechea PG, Jensen JS, Salvini D, Ven-dramin GG, Kremer-A. 2014. High rates of gene flow by pollen and seed in Oak populations across Europe. *PLOS ONE* **9**: 1-16. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0085130.

Grant V. 1991. The evolutionary process: a critical study of evolutionary theory. New York: Columbia University Press. DOI: 10.3366/anh.1993.20.1.143a.

Hamilton MB, Miller JR. 2002. Comparing relative rates of pollen and seed gene flow in the island model using nuclear and organelle measures of population structure. *Genetics* **162(4)**: 1897-1909. DOI: 10.1017/S0016672302005906.

Hamrick JL, Nason JD. 1996. Consequences of dispersal in plants. Rhodes OE, Chesser R, Smith M. Spatial and temporal aspects of population processes. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 203-236.

Hanwick JL, Godt MJW. 1990. Allozyme diversity in plant species. Brown AHD, Clegg MT, Kahler AL, Weir BS (eds). Plant Population Genetics, Breeding and Genetic Resources. Massachusetts: Sinauer: 43-63.

Juan YH. 2018. Study on Genetic Diversity of *Camellia japonica* Population Based on CDDP Molecular Marker. Shandong Agricultural University.

Kwon JA, Morden CW. 2002. Population genetic structure of two rare tree species (*Colubrina oppositifolia* and *Alphitonia ponderosa*, *Rhamnaceae*) from Hawaiian dry and mesic forests using random amplified polymorphic DNA markers. *Molecular Ecology* **11**: 991-1001. DOI: 10.1046/j.1365-294X.2002.01497.x.

Leng X, Wang ZS, An SQ, Feng J, Liu YH, Wang GM. 2005. ISSR analysis of genetic diversity of *Ilex integra*, an insular endemic plant. *Biodiversity* **13 (06)**: 546-557.

DOI: 10.3321/j.issn:1005-0094.2005.06.008.

Li T, Guo JE, Zheng CS, Sun X, Sun XZ. 2014. Genetic diversity and construction of fingerprinting of *chrysanthemum* cultivars by CDDP marker. *Journal of Beijing Forestry University* **36(4)**: 94-101. DOI: 10.13332/j.cnki.jbfu.2014.04.018.

Liyuan Jiang, Dekui Zang. 2018. Analysis of genetic relationships in *Rosa rugosa* using conserved DNA-derived polymorphism markers. *Biotechnology & Biotechnological Equipment* **32:1** 88-94, DOI: 10.1080/13102818.2017.1407255.

Li YY. 2013. Screening of CDDP Molecular Marker Primers for Tree Peony and Analysis of Its Polymorphism. *Journal of Nuclear Agricultural Sciences* **27(08)**: 1099-1105. DOI: 10.11869/hnxb.2013.08.1099.

Manel S, Schwartz MK, Luikart G, Taberlet P. 2003. Landscape genetics: combined landscape ecology and population genetics. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* **18 (4)**: 189-197. DOI: 10.1016/s0169-5347(03)00008-9.

Manson RH, Stiles EW. 1998. Links between Microhabitat Preferences and Seed Predation by Small Mammals in Old Fields. *Oikos* **82**: 37-50. DOI: 10.2307/3546915.

Mengens E. 1998. Evaluating extinction risks in plant populations. In: Fiedler PL, Kareiva PM eds. *Conservation biology: for the coming decade* (2nd ed.). New York: Chapman and Hall. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4757-2880-4_3.

Nei M. 1978. Estimation of average heterozygosity and genetic distance from a small number of individuals. *Genetics* **89(3)**: 583-590. DOI: 10.0000/PMID17248844.

Ouborg NJ, Piquot Y, Groenendaal JMV. 1999. Population genetics, molecular markers and the study of dispersal in plants. *Journal of Ecology* **87**: 551-568. DOI: 10.1046/j.1365-2745.1999.00389.x.

Petit RJ, Aguinalalde I, Beaulieu JL, Bittkau , Brewer S, Cheddadi R, Ennos R, Fineschi S, Grivet D, Lascoux M, Mohanty A, Müller-Starck G, Demesure-Musch B, Palmé A, Marti JP, Rendell S, Vendramin GG. 2003. Glacial refugia : Hotspots but not melting pots of genetic diversity. *Science* **300**: 1563-1565. DOI: 10.1126/science.1083264.

- Poczai P, Varga I, Bell NE, Hyvönen J. 2011.** Genetic diversity assessment of bittersweet (*Solanum dulcamara*, *Solanaceae*) germplasm using conserved DNA-derived polymorphism and intron-targeting markers. *Ann Appl Biol* **159**: 141-153. DOI: 10.1111/j.1744-7348.2011.00482.x.
- Pritchard JK, Stephens M, Donnelly P. 2000.** Inference of population structure using multilocus genotypes data. *Genetics* **155**: 945-959.
- Qin YY, Zhang QD, Yan GQ. 2006.** RAPD Analysis of Genetic Diversity in Populations of the Endangered Plant of *Elaeagnus mollis* Diels. *Molecular Plant Breeding*, **(S2)**: 31-36.
- Raven PH. 1998.** Plant conservation in a changing world. *Aliso*, **16** : 121-126. DOI: 10.5642/aliso.19971602.07.
- Reid WV, Miller KR. 1989.** Keeping Options Alive : The Scientific Basis for Conserving Biodiversity. Washington DC : World Resources Institute.
- Rohlf FJ. 1994.** NTSYS-PC. Version 2. 10, New York : Applied Biostatistics Inc.
- Sahuquillo E, Lumaret R. 1995.** Variation in the subtropical group *Dactylis glomerata* L.-1. Evidence from allozyme polymorphism. *Biochemical Systematics and Ecology* **23**: 407-418. DOI: 10.1016/0305-1978(95)00020-u.
- Starkin M. 1987.** Gene flow and geographic structure of natural populations. *Science* **236**: 787-792. DOI: 10.1126/science.3576198.
- Wang ZS, An SQ, Leng X, Ding FM, Zheng JW, Chen L. 2004.** Population genetic diversity of the insular plant *Neolitsea sericea* based on random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD). *Acta ecologica sinica*, **(03)**: 414-422. DOI: 10.3321/j.issn:1000-0933.2004.03.004.
- Weller SG, Sakai AK, Straub C. 1996.** Allozyme Diversity and genetic identity in *Schiedea* and *Alsinidendron* (*Caryophyllaceae: Alsinoideae*) in the Hawaiian Islands. *Evolution* **50**: 23-34. DOI: 10.2307/2410777.
- Wolf A, Harrison SP. 2001.** Effects of habitat size and patch isolation on reproductive success of the Serpentine Morning Glory. *Conservation Biology* **15**: 111-121. DOI: 10.2307/2641650.
- Wright S. 1965.** The interpretation of population structure by F-statistics with special regard to systems of mating. *Evolution* **19**: 395-420. DOI: 10.2307/2406450.

575 **Xiao JM. 2007.** Ecosystem Assessment of Nanji island Based on PSR Model. Xiamen university.
576 DOI: 10.7666/d.y1344641.
577

578 **Yeh FC, Yang RC, Boyle T. 1999.** POPGENE Version 1.31. Microsoft window-based freeware
579 for population genetic analysis. University of Alberta and Centre for International Forestry
580 Research.
581

582 **Zang DK. 2012.** Landscape Dendrology (2nd ed.). Beijing: China building industry press,
583 298-299.
584

585 **Zang DK, 2016.** Rare and endangered plants in Shandong. Beijing: China Forestry Publishing
586 House. 126-127.
587

588 **Zhang DY, Jiang XH. 1999.** Progress in studies of genetic diversity and conservation biology of
589 endangered plant species. *Chinese Biodiversity* **7(1)**: 31-37.
590 DOI: 10.3321/j.issn:1005-0094.1999.01.006.
591

592 **Zhang F, Wang ZS, An SQ, Leng X, Feng J, Wei N, Chen SN. 2007.** Effect of excursion of
593 plant seeds floating on genetic differentiation of seaside species *Eurya emarginata* Makine
594 around the Zhoushan Archipelago. *Ocean science* **(05)**: 25-31.
595 DOI: 10.3969/j.issn.1000-3096.2007.05.006.
596

597 **Zhang XL, Xu JW, Shen HL, Huang J, Zhang P. 2010.** Animal predation and dispersal of
598 *Sorbus pohuashanensis* fruits and seeds. *Chinese Journal of Applied Ecology* Oct. **21(10)**:
599 2677-2683. DOI: 10.13287/j.1001-9332.2010.0358.