Novel insights into the effect of drought stress on the development of root and caryopsis in barley

Fali Li§, Xinyu Chen§, Xurun Yu, Mingxin Chen, Wenyi Lu, Yunfei Wu, Fei Xiong

Jiangsu Key Laboratory of Crop Genetics and Physiology/Joint International Research Laboratory of Agriculture & Agri-Product Safety of the Ministry of Education/College of Biological Sciences and Technology, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou, Jiangsu, China §These authors contributed equally to this work.

Corresponding Author:

13 Fei Xiong

1

2 3 4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11 12

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

48 Wenhui East Road, Yangzhou, Jiangsu, 225009, China

Email address: feixiong@yzu.edu.cn

Abstract

Drought is a common natural disaster in barley production, which restricts the growth and development of barley roots and caryopses seriously, thereby decreasing yield and debasing grain quality. However, mechanisms for how drought stress affects barley earyopsis and roots development under drought stress are unclear. In this paper, barley cultivar? Suluomai No. 1 was treated with drought from flowering to earyopses caryopsis mature stage. The morphological and structural changes in roots growth and caryopses caryopsis development of barley were investigated. Drought stress increased root/shoot ratio and eventually led to the 20.16% reduction of ear weight and 7.75% reduction of 1000-grain weight by affecting the biomass accumulation of roots and caryopses. The barley roots under drought had more lateral roots while the vessel number and volume of roots decreased. Meanwhile, drought stress accelerated the maturation of caryopses, resulting in a decrease in the accumulation of starch but a significant increase of protein accumulation in barley endosperm. There was a significantly positive correlation (0.76) between the area of root vessel and the relative area of protein in endosperm cells under normal condition, and drought increased the correlation coefficient (0.81). Transcriptome analysis indicated that drought induced the differential gene expressions induced by drought of genes in caryopses were mainly involved in encoding storage proteins and protein synthesis pathways. In general, drought caused changes in the morphology and structure of barley roots, and the roots conveyed stress signals to caryopses, inducing differential expression of genes related to protein biosynthesis, ultimately leading to the increase in the accumulation of endosperm protein. The results not only deepen the study on drought mechanism of barley, but also provide theoretical basis for molecular breeding, high-yield cultivation and quality improvement in barley.

40 41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57 58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

Introduction

Barley (Hordeum vulgare L.) is an important food, feed and cash crop, and its planting area ranks fourth all around the world (Lü, Wu & Fu 2015). Drought stress (DS) is a common natural disaster in agricultural production, which seriously restricts roots growth, earyopses caryopsis development and final yield of barley. Previous studies have found that barley roots can absorb inorganic salt and water and transport them to the aboveground parts (Varney & Canny, 1993; Xiong et al., 2006), so the roots morphological characteristics can be used as a key index for drought tolerance evaluation (Chloupek et al., 2010). Previous researches pointed that DS reduced the number of tillers, plant height and grains per ear of barley, resulting in a significant decrease in thousand kernel 1000-gain weight and yield of the ear (Samarah, 2005; Samarah et al., 2009). The accumulation of protein and starch was also affected by DS. Studies showed that protein content in grains increased, starch content and size changed, while starch structure did not change significantly under DS (Nezhadahmadi, Prodhan & Faruq, 2013; Gous, Gilbert & Fox, 2015; Yu et al., 2017). From the genetic level, scholars have confirmed that drought tolerance indices can be used as efficient criteria for screening drought-resistant and sensitive genotypes of barley (Sharafi et al., 2015). The discovery of drought-tolerant genes and their quantitative trait loci are of great significance to the breeding and quality improvement of barley (Nevo & Chen, 2010).

For plants, survival in adverse conditions needs substantial changes in the metabolism, which is reflected in extensive transcriptional level changes upon the occurrence of stress (Janiak et al., 2018). The transcriptome analysis can furnish with information about regulation of gene expression at transcriptional levels and provide an insight into the mechanisms underlying stress responses. Since the draft genome of barley (ftp://ftp.ensemblgenomes.org/pub/plants/release-45/fasta/hordeum vulgare/dna/) has been available for years, researches on transcriptome analysis of barley under DS has increased rapidly. In previous studies, the root hair morphology and transcriptional characteristics of two contrasting Tibetan wild barley genotypes and droughttolerant cultivar were investigated and then the full length cDNA of a novel β-expansin gene (HvEXPB7) was cloned, which is the unique root hair development related gene (He et al., 2015; Kokáš, Vojta & Galuszka, 2016). Additionally, Abebe et al. (2015) compared response of the transcriptome of the lemma, pale, awn, and seed to drought and found that transcript abundance followed the water status of the spike organs. When ile, Vojta et al. (2016) conducted a detailed transcriptomic analysis on leaves of transgenic plants subjected to re-watering after drought stress, they. The results found that revealed that the up-regulated expression of genes encoding putative enzymes involved to in production of jasmonates and other volatile compounds caused a faster tendency of return to initial photochemical activities compared to wild-type.

The effects of DS on the growth of barley reflect on the underground and aboveground organs. Previous studies about drought effect of barley have focused on roots morphologies and yield traits (Barnabás, Jäger & Fehér, 2008; Afsharibehbahanizadeh et al., 2014; Tyagi et al., 2014; Haddadin, 2015; Hannah et al., 2018). However, few studies have been reported on roots

Commented [CM1]: Should change to Lu et al., 2015

Commented [CM2]: Same as above

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

structure characteristics, and caryopses development in barley under DS and their relationships are unclear. In the present study, Suluomail (SLM1) was subjected to DS from flowering to caryopses mature stage, and the morphology and microstructural changes in roots and caryopses were observed. Meanwhile, transcriptome analysis was carried out to investigate the possible mechanisms underlying the barley caryopses development responding to DS. The results may provide valuable information for revealing the relationship between barley roots and caryopses development under DS, and provide a theoretical foundation for high-yield cultivation and quality improvement in barley.

Materials & Methods

80 81

82

83

84

85

86

87 88 89

90

91 92

93

94

95 96

97

98 99

100 101

102

103

104

105

106

107 108

109

110

111

112

113

Plant materials and DS treatment

The barley variety selected in this study was SLM1, which was provided by the Agricultural College of Yangzhou University, Jiangsu Province, China. Seeds were sown in plastic pots (30 cm×30 cm, 10 seeds per pot), which were placed in rainproof shelters under DS simulation in the experimental field of Key Laboratory of Crop Genetics and Physiology in Yangzhou University from September 2017 to May 2018. The soil was sandy loam containing organic matter (2.45%), available nitrogen (106 mg/kg), available phosphorus (33.8 mg/kg), and available potassium (66.4 mg/kg). Plants were thinned to eight plants per pot 2 weeks after sowing. A minupressure soil hygrometer (SP-11, Institute of Soil Science in Nanjing, China) was inserted into the soil at a depth of 15 cm to detect the soil water potential. Barley plants were accurately irrigated from flowering to caryopses mature stage to maintain the water potential at -20 and -60 kPa, which reflected the optimum level of control condition (CC) and DS, respectively. Each treatment contained 30 pots and plants were selected from different pot as the replication. During barley flowering stage, the flowering ears were tagged to label the anthesis date, and the roots and caryopses at different days post anthesis (DPA) were collected and tested.

Roots and caryopseis morphology observation and growth indexes determination Barley roots and caryopses samples were collected at 10, 20 and 30 DPA, and their morphologies, including shapes, colors and so on, were observed and photographed. The fresh weight of roots, canopy structure and 1000-grain of caryopses were conducted. Then, the samples were placed in a fan-forced oven at 105°C for 1 h, and then baked at 42°C to attain constant weights for dry weight determination. The root/shoot ratio and water content were calculated. Meanwhile, the ripe barley ears were collected to measure the indexes such as ear length, ear weight, grain number per ear and 1000-grain weight. The protein content of mature caryopses was measured by kjeldahl nitrogen determination method.

Microstructure observation of roots and carvopses

114 115 Barely caryopses and roots at 10, 20 and 30 DPA were acquired. The caryopses selected were in 116 the same position of the middle of main ear and the roots samples were the segments of secondary root which were 2 cm from the stem axis base. The samples were cut transversely into 117 118 2 mm slices from the middle using a razor blade. The slices were soaked in 2.5% glutaraldehyde fixative [25% glutaraldehyde diluted 10 times at pH 7.2 phosphate buffered solution (PBS)] at 119

- 4°C for 48 h immediately. The fixed samples were subsequently rinsed thrice with PBS and dehydrated in a graded ethanol series [20, 40, 60, 80, 90, 95, and 100% (thrice)], followed by
- propylene oxide replacement. Afterward, the samples were infiltrated and embedded in low-
- 123 viscosity Spurr's resin and polymerized at 70°C for 12 h. The samples were cut into 1 μm slices
- 124 using an ultramicrotome (Ultracut R, Leica, Germany) and pasted onto glass slides. Then, the
- slices were stained with 0.5% methyl violet or toluidine blue, rinsed, dried, and observed under a
- 126 light microscope (DMLS, Leica, Germany). Photographs were captured using a CCD camera
- 127 (Truechrome II, Truechrome, China) attached to the light microscope. Each treatment contained
- three replicated samples and each roots and earyopses caryopsis sample was from different
- 129 plants of different pots.

130

Structural characteristics analysis of roots and caryopses

- 131 Image-Pro Plus (ver. 6.0, Media Cybernetics, USA) and Photoshop (ver. CC 2017, Adobe, USA)
- 132 were used to analyze structural characteristics of roots and caryopses based on microphotographs
- as previously described (Yu et al., 2015). The roots were photographed at 100 times
- magnification and the transversal section areas of root vessel were measured. Meanwhile, two
- main endosperm regions, including abdominal and dorsal endosperm were photographed at 200
- times magnification. The number of starch granules in endosperm cells was counted. Also, the
- area of starch granules, protein bodies and their corresponding endosperm cells wereas
- 138 measured. The ratios of starch granule and protein body areas to corresponding endosperm cell
- areas were calculated, defining as the relative areas of starch granules and protein bodies
- 140 respectively. Each treatment conducted the resin slicing of three roots and caryopses samples
- 141 from different plants and each sample selected ten microphotographs for the analysis.

142 RNA extraction and sequencing

- 143 Barely caryopses from the middle of main ear were collected at 10 DPA, which was the
- beginning stage of grain filling. Total RNA was extracted using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen,
- 145 USA) following the manufacturer's protocol. Each treatment conducted three replicates of RNA
- 146 samples for the cDNA library construction. Genomic DNA in the samples was removed using
- 147 RNase-free DNase (Promega, USA). The mRNA samples were enriched by using oligo (dT)-
- magnetic beads and then cut into fragments with fragmentation buffer to meet the requirement of
- 149 sequencing (long fragments may reduce the accuracy of sequencing). The cDNA was synthesized
- sequencing (rong raginers may reduce the accuracy of sequencing). The object was symmostic
- $150 \hspace{0.5cm} \text{by reverse transcription using the fragments as templates and added with double-stranded DNA} \\$
- 151 poly A and adaptor sequences after purification and terminal repair. Subsequently, cDNA
- 152 libraries were constructed by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification after selecting for
- 153 fragment size and undergoing a quality check with an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer system. Finally,
- 154 four qualified libraries (two libraries for each treatment) were sequenced with an Illumina HiSeq
- 155 2500 system by OE Biotech Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China).

156 Bioinformatics analysis of RNA-Seq

- 157 Clean reads were obtained from the raw reads after filtering out low-quality reads (quality
- threshold < 20, length threshold < 35 bp). The clean reads were blast to the *H. vulgare* cDNA
- database from Ensembl (ftp://ftp.ensemblgenomes.org/pub/plants/release-

- 44/fasta/hordeum vulgare/cdna/Hordeum vulgare.IBSC v2.cdna.all.fa.gz) using
- 161 Tophat/bowtie2 software. Transcript expression was carried out and differentially expressed
- genes (DEGs) were screened using a 2-fold change at the P < 0.05 level (Trapnell et al., 2010).
- 163 The functional annotation of DEGs was performed using Gene Ontology (GO) and Kyoto
- 164 Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway analysis.
- 165 Statistical analysis
- 166 Statistical analysis was performed using Microsoft Excel (ver. 2016, Microsoft Corp., USA) and
- 167 SPSS (ver. 19.0, SPSS Inc., USA). The significant analysis was conducted using t-test at a
- 168 probability significance level of P < 0.05 according three replicates. Figure production was using
- 169 Photoshop and Origin (ver. 2017, Origin Lab., USA).

Results

170 171

172

174

175

176

177

178 179

183 184

185

186

187 188

189

190

191

195

198

Changes in roots growth and earyopses carvopsis development of barley under DS

173 Under DS, the fresh and dry weights of barley roots increased first and then decreased, which

was significantly higher than that under CC at 10 and 20 DPA (Fig. 1A, B). However, the water

content of roots had a tendency of decrease as the growth of roots under both CC and DS. The

water content of roots under DS was significantly lower than that under CC at 10 and 20 DPA

(Fig. 1C). The fresh weight of caryopses increased first and then decreased but the dry weight of

caryopses continually increased during the development of caryopses under CC and DS. Before

20 DPA, the fresh and dry weight of caryopses under DS were significantly higher than that

under CC. But DS decreased the fresh and dry weight of caryopses at 30 DPA (Fig. 1D, E). The

181 water content decreased with caryopses development and fluctuated around the control level

182 when treated with drought (Fig. 1F). Additionally, DS significantly increased the root/shoot ratio

during whole development stage of barley (Fig. 1G). Moreover, DS not only affected the

biomass accumulation of plant but also resulted in the changes of ear characteristics with shorter

ear length, smaller number of grains per ear, lower ear weight and 1000-grainrians weight (Table

1). Meanwhile, DS significantly increased the content of protein in mature caryopses.

Changes in morphology and structure of barley roots under DS

The morphology of barley roots changed when subjected to DS. The barley had a longer, larger

and more complex roots system with more lateral roots under DS, comparing compared with

control (Fig. 2A, B). The small segments of barley secondary roots which were 2 cm from the

stem axis base was were selected for microstructure observation and the cortex and xylem vessel

192 were observed. As the growth of roots, the number of vessels increased under CC while the

193 <u>number of root vessels decreased</u> under DS decreased (Fig. 2C-H). This indicated that DS

194 decreased the vessel numbers in barley roots. Meanwhile, DS also significantly reduced the area

of root vessel transversal section at 10 and 20 DPA according to the statistical data (Fig. 2I).

196 Changes in morphology and structure of barley caryopses under DS

197 The morphology and microstructure of barley caryopses at 10, 20 and 30 DPA were observed by

resin semi-thin slicing, and the number of starch granules along with relative areas of starch

granules and protein bodies in endosperm wasere also counted using Image-Pro Plus software

(Fig. 3). During the development of barley caryopses, DS affected its morphology and endosperm substance accumulation. When barley was treated with DS, the epidermis of caryopses turned to yellow and was shrunken at the earlier stage compared to CC (Fig. 3A). This indicated that DS promoted the early maturity of caryopses. The abdominal endosperm is located on either side of the caryopses crease region, whereas the dorsal endosperm region is located facing the caryopses crease region. At 10 DPA, at the more numbers of starch granules and protein bodies were observed in dorsal and abdominal endosperm under DS while few were observed under CC (Fig. 3B-E). The statistical data showed that DS significantly increased the relative areas of protein bodies in dorsal endosperm and the relative areas and number of starch granules in both dorsal and abdominal endosperm (Fig. 3N-P). At 20 DPA, the number and volume of starch granules in endosperm increased along with the accumulation of protein bodies under both CC and DS and some small starch granules began to occur (Fig. 3F-H). However, several large protein aggregations consisting of many small protein bodies units assembled in abdominal endosperm under DS (Fig. 31). Meanwhile, there was no significant difference in the accumulation of starch granules in dorsal and abdominal endosperm between? CC and DS but a significant increase of protein bodies body accumulation in abdominal endosperm under DS (Fig. 3N-P). At 30 DPA, endosperm cells were almost occupied by starch. Starch granules squeezed to deformed and protein bodies filled into the gap between starch granules. More small starch granules in endosperm under DS were observed compared to CC, but the number and relative areas of starch granules in endosperm under DS were lower than that under CC (Fig. 3J-M, O-P). Additionally, DS significantly increased the relative areas of protein bodies in dorsal and abdominal endosperm (Fig. 3N).

According to the results above, it can be concluded that DS promoted the precocity and shortened the development process of caryopses. Moreover, DS affected the substance accumulation of endosperm, which showed an increase in protein accumulation and a decrease in final starch accumulation.

Correlation analysis of roots and caryopses caryopsis structures under DS

To investigate the relationship between barley roots and endosperm substance accumulation under DS, the correlation analysis on the structure of roots and caryopses was conducted. The correlation coefficient between the area of root vessel transversal section and the relative areas of starch granules in endosperm cells was 0.44, indicating moderate correlation, and DS reduced its correlation coefficient to 0.36. However, the area of root vessel transversal section and the relative areas of protein bodies in endosperm cells had a significant strong correlation (0.76) and DS increased the correlation coefficient to 0.81, whose difference was significant. Therefore, the area of root vessel transversal section was more closely correlated with the relative areas of protein bodies compared to the relative areas of starch granules, and the correlation increased after treating with drought stress. The results indicated that changes of roots structure under DS had a greater influence on the accumulation of endosperm protein bodies.

Analysis of gene differential gene expression

200

201

202

203

204 205

206

207

208

209

210 211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

In total, 258 billion clean reads were obtained in four samples after filtering, with approximate 64 billion reads on average from each sample. The results of sample to sample clustering analysis showed that the distance between CC and DS treatments was long while the similarity of gene expression pattern in two replicates was high (Fig. 4A). This indicated that the repeatability of samples in this study was reliable. DEGs were screened out at the threshold of 2-fold change as a basis of P < 0.05 (Fig. 4B). A total of 2,642 DEGs in barley caryopses were identified between CC and DS. Among these DEGs, 1,192 genes were up-regulated and 1,450 genes were down-regulated (Fig. 4C).

DEGs involved in earyopses carvopsis storage protein synthesis

The information of functional annotations for all DEGs was obtained from various databases, including Non-Redundant protein (Nr), Swissprot, GO and KEGG database. A total of 30 DEGs involved in encoding caryopses storage proteins were screened out and specific information was shown in Table 2. Among these DEGs, nine of them showed up-regulated and 21 showed down-regulated expression under DS. Specifically, three genes encoding gliadin and nine genes encoding glutenin showed down-regulated expression under DS. Among DEGs encoding seed storage 2S albumin, eight genes were up-regulated and seven were down-regulated. Meanwhile, there were three genes encoding 11S seed storage protein, one of which expressed with up regulation and two showed down-regulated expression.

To gain more insights about DEGs, GO enrichment and KEGG pathway analysis were also implemented. Functional annotation based on GO and KEGG databases revealed that DEGs had various functions in biological process, cellular component and molecular function. To investigate the effects of DS on protein synthesis in barley caryopses, 14 GO terms that might be involved in protein biosynthesis were selected, including protein folding, protein binding, protein transport, intracellular protein transport and so on. Among them, the DEGs annotated to protein binding entry was the most (198 DEGs), followed by protein heterodimerization activity entry (96 DEGs) (Fig 5A). Similarly, five possible KEGG pathways related to protein biosynthesis were also screened. They are protein processing in endoplasmic reticulum (ER), protein export, ribosome biogenesis in eukaryotes, various types of N-glycan biosynthesis, aminoacyl-tRNA biosynthesis. The number of DEGs enriched in the pathway of protein processing in ER was the largest and six DEGs were enriched in aminoacyl-tRNA biosynthesis pathway, which took the second place (Fig. 5B).

In order to further investigate the possible mechanism underlying the protein biosynthesis in barley caryopses under DS, the pathway of protein processing in ER was chosen, which is the key process in the formation of endosperm protein bodies. The up-regulated and down-regulated DEGs enriched in the pathway were shown in Fig. 6. The process of protein synthesis and sorting in ER has been revealed in previous literatures (Crofts et al., 2005; Määttänen et al., 2010). The newly synthesized peptide chain entered the ER through the translocation of the Sec61 pore, followed by N-glycosylation. The correctly folded proteins were packaged into the vesicle II (COPII) transport vesicles, which were then transported into the Golgi apparatus. The unfolded or misfolded proteins were remained in the ER and eventually entered the ubiquitin-

mediated proteasome degradation process. During this process, the expression of certain genes altered under DS. For example, *SEC61A* and *BIP* showed the expression of down regulation, which both encoded protein transport protein subunit. *MBTPS1* encoded membrane-bound transcription factor site-1 protease in COPII and was down-regulated under DS. The results indicated that DS altered the expression of some certain genes during the process of protein export and COPII transport vesicle formation in protein processing in ER pathway. However, the specific mechanism of these genes in regulation of endosperm protein accumulation in response to drought stress needs further verification.

Discussion

The growth of roots is related to plant growth stage, genotype, arbuscular mycorrhizal colonization rate in soil and can be affected by environmental factors such as drought (Akman & Topal, 2016; Sendek et al., 2019). Generally, the roots of plants firstly sensed the stress signal when subjected to DS. Then the morphology and structure of roots changed to help the plant to absorb water more efficiently, thus adapting to drought. The vessel in root xylem is the channel for water and inorganic salt transportation, which is crucial for coping with water deficit. In this study, DS reduced the area of root vessel transversal section in barley, which can be explained from the aspect of water flow conductivity. The water flow conductance of roots is affected by radial and axial resistance. When the diameter of vessel is too large, the bubbles in the vessel will form embolism more easily, which will increase the radial and axial hydraulic resistance and reduce the root water flow conductivity. Therefore, the root water absorption is limited and it is not conducive to adapt to drought for plant growth (Thorsten & Wieland, 2011; Comas et al., 2013; Vadez, 2014; Bartlett et al., 2016; Niu et al., 2016). While, the narrower xylem vessels could overcome this phenomenon, which was consistent to with the results in wheat (Comas et al., 2013).

Barley caryopsis is an important organ for nutrient storage and its development is also limited by DS. Previous studies found that drought caused the early senescence of plants and affected the grain filling by shortening grain filling time and reducing grain filling rate (Shi et al., 2016). This was consistent with our study, which found the precocity of barley caryopses under DS. Meanwhile, DS significantly decreased the accumulation of starch granules but increased the accumulation of protein bodies in endosperm of barley caryopses. Transcriptome analysis showed that DS induced differential expression of genes were mainly related to storage proteins and protein synthesis pathways, thus regulating protein biosynthesis and eventually leading to the increase of protein accumulation in barley caryopses.

As the important organ for water and nutrient absorption in barley, the morphological and structural characteristics of roots are closely related to the development of caryopses (Ramireddy et al., 2018). In this study, DS caused changes in roots and caryopses weight and increased root/shoot ratio, which eventually led to a decrease in the ear weight and 1000-grain weight. Similar results were also reported in previous papers (Samarah, 2005; Samarah et al., 2009). Additionally, the correlation analysis between roots structural characteristics and endosperm substance accumulation was conducted under CC and DS. It was found that the area of root

vessel had a strong correlation with the area of protein bodies in endosperm cells and drought increased the correlation coefficient. This indicated that the changes of roots structure caused by DS might have a greater influence on the accumulation of endosperm protein compared to endosperm starch accumulation. In general, the morphology and structure of barley roots changed under DS and the roots transported stress signals to caryopses. The drought signal induced differential expression of genes related to protein biosynthesis in caryopses and ultimately resulted in the increase of endosperm protein accumulation.

Conclusions

 In this study, the morphological and structural changes in roots growth and caryopses development of barley under DS were investigated. Under DS, the roots of barley had more lateral roots with a narrower vessel structure. Meanwhile, DS promoted the precocity of caryopses and affected the substance accumulation in caryopses with a decrease in endosperm starch but an increase in endosperm protein. DS induced changes in roots and caryopses weight led to the increase of root/shoot ratio but a decrease in 1000-grain weight. While, the changes of roots structure caused by DS had a greater influence on the accumulation of endosperm protein. Transcriptome analysis indicated that DS induced differential expressions of genes were mainly related to protein biosynthesis in caryopses. In conclusion, the roots of barley firstly sensed the stress signals when subjected to DS, which led to changes in morphology and structure of roots and substance accumulation of caryopses. At the same time, the stress signals from roots to caryopses induced the differential expression of genes involved in encoding storage proteins and protein biosynthesis pathways, ultimately leading to the increase in endosperm protein accumulation in barley caryopses. These results can provide novel insight into the drought-related researches in barley.

References

- **Abebe T, Melmaiee K, Berg VS, Wise RP. 2010.** Drought response in the spikes of barley: gene expression in the lemma, palea, awn, and seed. *Functional & Integrative Genomics* **10**:191-205 DOI 10.1007/s10142-009-0149-4.
- **Afsharibehbahanizadeh S, Akbari GA, Shahbazi M, Alahdadi I. 2014.** Relations between barley root traits and osmotic adjustment under terminal drought stress. *The Journal of Agricultural Science* **6**:112-119 DOI 10.5539/jas.v6n7p112.
- **Akman H, Topal A. 2016.** Root development at different growth stages of wheat and barley cultivars grown in tubes under field environmental conditions. *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science* **13**:1-9 DOI 10.9734/IJPSS/2016/27800.
- Barnabás B, Jäger K, Fehér A. 2008. The effect of drought and heat stress on reproductive processes in cereals. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 31:11-38 DOI 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2007.01727.x.

- Bartlett MK, Klein T, Jansen S, Brendan C, Lawren S. 2016. The correlations and sequence
 of plant stomatal, hydraulic, and wilting responses to drought. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 113:13098-13103 DOI 10.1073/pnas.1604088113.
- 360 Chloupek O, Dostál V, Str'eda T, Psota V, Dvořáčková's O. 2010. Drought tolerance of
 361 barley varieties in relation to their root system size. *Plant Breeding* 129:630-636 DOI
 362 10.1111/j.1439-0523.2010.01801.x.

363

364 365

- Comas LH, Becker SR, Cruz VM, Byrne PF, Dierig DA. 2013. Root traits contributing to plant productivity under drought. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 4:442-442 DOI 10.3389/fpls.2013.00442.
- Gous PW, Gilbert RG, Fox G. 2015. Drought-proofing barley (*Hordeum vulgare*) and its
 impact on grain quality: a review. *Journal of The Institute of Brewing* 121:19-27 DOI
 10.1002/jib.187.
- 369 Crofts AJ, Washida H, Okita TW, Satoh M, Ogawa M, Kumamaru T, Satoh H. 2005. The
 370 role of mRNA and protein sorting in seed storage protein synthesis, transport, and
 371 deposition. *Biochemistry and Cell Biology* 83:728-737 DOI 10.1139/O05-156.
- Haddadin MF. 2015. Assessment of drought tolerant barley varieties under water stress.
 International Journal of Agriculture and Forestry 5:131-137 DOI
 10.5923/j.ijaf.20150502.06.
- Hannah R, Alison K, Glen F, Jerome F, Andrew B, Lee H. 2018. Root architectural traits and
 yield: exploring the relationship in barley breeding trials. *Euphytica* 214:151 DOI
 10.1007/s10681-018-2219-y.
- He X, Zeng J, Cao F, Ahmed IM, Zhang G, Vincze E, Wu F. 2015. HvEXPB7, a novel β expansin gene revealed by the root hair transcriptome of Tibetan wild barley, improves root
 hair growth under drought stress. Journal of Experimental Botany 66:7405-7419 DOI
 10.1093/jxb/erv436.
- Janiak A, Kwasniewski M, Sowa M, Gajek K, Żmuda K, Kościelniak J, Szarejko I. 2018.
 No time to waste: transcriptome study reveals that drought tolerance in barley may be
 attributed to stressed-like expression patterns that exist before the occurrence of stress.
 Frontiers in Plant Science 8:1-25 DOI 10.3389/fpls.2017.02212.
- 386 Kokáš F, Vojta P, Galuszka P. 2016. Dataset for transcriptional response of barley (*Hordeum vulgare*) exposed to drought and subsequent re-watering. *Data in brief* 8:334-341 DOI 10.1016/j.dib.2016.05.051.
- Lü B, Wu JJ, Fu DL. 2015. Constructing the barley model for genetic transformation in
 Triticeae. *Journal of Integrative Agriculture* 14:453-468 DOI 10.1016/S2095-3119(14)60935-7.
- Määttänena P, Gehringa K, Bergeronb JJM, Thomas DY. 2010. Protein quality control in the
 ER: The recognition of misfolded proteins. Seminars in Cell & Developmental Biology
 21:500-511 DOI 10.1016/j.semcdb.2010.03.006.

- Nevo E, Chen GF. 2010. Drought and salt tolerances in wild relatives for wheat and barley
 improvement. *Plant Cell and Environment* 33:670-685 DOI 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2009.02107.x.
- Nezhadahmadi A, Prodhan ZH, Faruq G. 2013. Drought tolerance in wheat. *The Scientific* World Journal 11:610721-610721 DOI 10.1155/2013/610721.
- Niu XL, Hu TT, Zhang FC, Feng PY. 2016. Severity and duration of osmotic stress on partial
 root system: effects on root hydraulic conductance and root growth. *Plant Growth Regulation* 79:177-186 DOI 10.1007/s10725-015-0123-1.
- 403 Ramireddy E, Hosseini SA, Eggert K, Gillandt S, Gnad H, Wiren Von N, Schmulling T.
 404 2018. Root engineering in barley: increasing cytokinin degradation produces a larger root
 405 system, mineral enrichment in the shoot and improved drought tolerance. *Plant Physiology* 406 177:1078-1095 DOI 10.1104/pp.18.00199.
- Samarah NH. 2005. Effects of drought stress on growth and yield of barley. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development* 25:145-149 DOI 10.1051/agro:2004064.
- Samarah NH, Alqudah AM, Amayreh JA, McAndrews GM. 2009. Effect of Late-terminal
 drought stress on yield components of four barley cultivars. *Journal of Agronomy and Crop* Science 195:427-441 DOI 10.1111/j.1439-037X.2009.00387.x.
- Sendek A, Karakoç C, Wagg C, Domínguez-Begines J, Heijden GM, Naz AA, Lochner A,
 Chatzinotas A, Klotz S, Gomezaparicio L, Eisenhauer N. 2019. Drought modulates
 interactions between arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal diversity and barley genotype diversity.
 Scientific Reports 9:1-15 DOI 10.1038/s41598-019-45702-1.
- Sharafi S, Golezani KG, Mohammadi S, Mohammadi S, Lak S, Sorkhy K. 2015. Evaluation
 of barley genotypes (*Hordeum vulgar* L.) by drought tolerance indices and multivariate
 analysis. *International Journal of Biosciences* 21:109-122 DOI 10.3329/jbs.v21i0.22525.
- Shi H, Wang B, Yang PJ, Li YB, Miao F. 2016. Differences in sugar accumulation and
 mobilization between sequential and non-sequential senescence wheat cultivars under
 natural and drought conditions. *PLOS ONE* 11:e0166155 DOI
 10.1371/journal.pone.0166155.
- 423 Thorsten K, Wieland F. 2011. Water uptake by seminal and adventitious roots in relation to
 424 whole-plant water flow in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). *Journal of Experimental Botany* 425 62:717-733 DOI https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erq312.
- Trapnell C, William BA, Pertea G, Mortazavi A, Kwan G, Baren van MJ, Salzberg SL,
 Wold BJ, Pachter L. 2010. Transcript assembly and quantification by RNA-seq reveals
 unannotated transcripts and isoform witching during cell differentiation. *Nature* Biotechnology 28:511 DOI 10.1038/nbt.1621.
- Tyagi K, Lee HJ, Lee CA, Steffenson BJ, Kim YJ, Yun SJ. 2014. Variation in seedling root
 traits in wild barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L. ssp. *spontaneum*) germplasm. *Plant Genetic Resources* 12:S79-S82 DOI 10.1017/S1479262114000641.
- Vadez V. 2014. Root hydraulics: the forgotten side of roots in drought adaptation. *Field Crops Research* 165:15-24 DOI 10.1016/j.fcr.2014.03.017.

- 435 **Varney GT, Canny MJ. 1993.** Rates of water uptake into the mature root system of maize plants. *New Phytologist* **123**:775-786 DOI 10.1111/j.1469-8137.1993.tb03789.x.
- Vojta P, Kokas F, Husickova A, Gruz J, Bergougnoux V, Marchetti CF, Galuszka P. 2016.
 Whole transcriptome analysis of transgenic barley with altered cytokinin homeostasis and increased tolerance to drought stress. *New Biotechnology* 33:676-691 DOI 10.1016/j.nbt.2016.01.010.
- Xiong LM, Wang RG, Mao GH, Koczan JM. 2006. Identification of drought tolerance
 determinants by genetic analysis of root response to drought stress and abscisic acid. *Plant Physiology* 142:1065-1074 DOI 10.1104/pp.106.084632.
- Yu W, Tan X, Zou W, Hu Z, Fox GP, Gidley MJ, Gilbert RG. 2017. Relationships between
 protein content, starch molecular structure and grain size in barley. *Carbohydrate Polymers* 155:271-279 DOI 10.1016/j.carbpol.2016.08.078.
- Yu XR, Yu H, Shao SS, Zhang J, Zhou L, Zheng YK, Xiong F, Wang Z. 2015. Structural
 development of conducting cell and its functions in wheat caryopsis. *Brazilian Journal of* Botany 38:401-409 DOI 10.1007/s40415-015-0139-9.