

Comparison of the bacterial **diversity and abundance between both sexes of *Leptocybe invasa*** Fisher & La Salle (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae)

Chunhui Guo¹, Xin Peng¹, Xialin Zheng², Xiaoyun Wang², Ruirui Wang¹, Zongyou Huang², Zhende Yang^{1,3}

¹ College of Forestry, Guangxi University, Nanning, Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region, P. R. China

² Guangxi Key Laboratory of Agric-Environment and Agric-Products Safety, College of Agriculture, Guangxi University, Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region, P. R. China

³ Guangxi Key Laboratory of Forest Ecology and Conservation, Forestry College, Guangxi University, Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region, P. R. China

Corresponding Author:

Zhende Yang^{1,2}

Daxuedong Street, Nanning, Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region, 530004, P. R. China

E-mail address: dzyang68@126.com

Deleted: and diversity

Deleted: in

Deleted: the

Deleted: between both sexes

1 **Comparison of the bacterial abundance and diversity**
2 **in the *Leptocybe invasa* Fisher & La Salle**
3 **(Hymenoptera: Eulophidae) between both sexes**

Comment [1]: Title change suggested as above

4
5 Chunhui Guo¹, Xin Peng¹, Xialin Zheng², Xiaoyun Wang², Ruirui Wang¹, Zongyou Huang²,
6 Zhende Yang^{1,3}

7
8 ¹College of Forestry, Guangxi University, Nanning, Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region, P. R.
9 China

10 ²Guangxi Key Laboratory of Agric-Environment and Agric-Products Safety, College of
11 Agriculture, Guangxi University, Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region, P. R. China

12 ³Guangxi Key Laboratory of Forest Ecology and Conservation, Forestry College, Guangxi
13 University, Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region, P. R. China

14
15 Corresponding Author:

16 Zhende Yang^{1,2}

17 Daxuedong Street, Nanning, Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region, 530004, P. R. China

18 E-mail address: dzyang68@126.com
19

20 Abstract

21 **Background.** Insects harbor a myriad of microorganisms, many of which can affect the sex ratio

22 and manipulate the reproduction of the host. *Leptocybe invasa* is an invasive pest that causes
23 serious damage to eucalyptus plantations, and both a female-biased sex ratio and thelytokous
24 parthenogenesis in *L. invasa* contribute to the rapid invasion and fast growth of the population.

25 However, the internal bacterial composition and abundance of *L. invasa* and the differences
26 between both sexes remain unclear.

27 **Results.** The Illumina MiSeq platform was used to compare the composition of the bacterial
28 community in adult females and males of *L. invasa* by sequencing the V3-V4 region of the 16S
29 ribosomal DNA gene. The results showed that 1320 operational taxonomic units (OTUs) were
30 obtained in total. These OTUs were subdivided into 24 phyla, 71 classes, 130 orders, 245
31 families and 501 genera. At the genus level, the dominant bacteria in females and males were
32 *Rickettsia* and *Rhizobium*, respectively.

33 **Conclusion.** The bacteria living in *L. invasa* females and males were highly diverse. There were
34 differences in the bacterial community in *L. invasa* between both sexes, and the bacterial
35 diversity in male specimens was more abundant than that observed in female specimens. This
36 study presents a comprehensive comparison of bacterial communities living in *L. invasa*.
37 Bacterial endosymbionts are thought to play a significant role in the reproductive strategy, sex
38 regulation and the invasive mechanism of *L. invasa* and provides a basis for follow-up studies on
39 the coevolution and interaction between *L. invasa* and its predominant bacteria.

Comment [2]: Here you also need to clarify whether you are referring to *Leptocybe* haplogroup a or haplogroup b or how you classified the specimens you worked on and used

Formatted: Font:Italic

Comment [3]: This female-biased sex ratio occurs as a result of thelytokous reproduction – change sentence to clarify this.

Deleted: s

Comment [4]: There are also other factors such as the fact that *Leptocybe* is a gall-former and protected within the gall and its small size making it difficult to detect early on. These would also need to be mentioned here.

Comment [5]: Do you mean the abundance of the bacterial endosymbionts? If so you need to state this more clearly. Furthermore – do you also mean the differences of endosymbiotic species harbored by males and females? This sentence needs a lot of clarification.

Deleted: interior

Comment [6]: This portion of the sentence requires substantial clarification – as it currently stand the authors suggest that differences between male and female specimens is unclear, which is not the case at all. The two sexes can be clearly distinguished based on their antennae as well as other morphological characteristics.

Comment [7]: This word is superfluous – one can only really tell the sex at the adult stage

Formatted: Font:Italic

Deleted: with variation in

Deleted: annotated

Deleted: as

Comment [8]: These words can be replaced by two words = endosymbiotic bacteria – I suggest doing so throughout the MS

Deleted: adult

Deleted: had

Deleted: ity

Deleted: adults

Deleted: adults

Deleted: between sexes, which

Deleted: s

Introduction

There are numerous microorganisms living in insects, including bacteria, fungi, yeast and viruses, that play a vital role in the growth and reproduction of host insects (Dillon & Dillon, 2004; Doğanlar, 2005; Crotti et al., 2012; Frago et al., 2012; Engel & Moran, 2013; Hammer & Bowers, 2015). In the course of long-term coevolution, microorganisms have a close relationship with host insects, which may have an effect on reproduction, survival, community interaction, and the ability to resist predators and vectors (Oliver et al., 2003, 2010; Moran, 2007; Clark et al., 2008; Moran et al., 2008; Moya et al., 2008). Bacterial diversity and function have been well studied in some insects. For instance, the bacteria in termites focus on *Bacteroidetes*, *Firmicutes* and *Actinobacteria* and could assist their hosts in breaking down lignocellulose and promoting the nitrogen cycle (Warnecke et al., 2007; Brune, 2014). The bacteria in *Aphis gossypii* improve the resistance and adaptation of the host (Lukasik et al., 2013a, b).

In addition, previous investigations have shown that sex is an important factor affecting bacterial diversity. For example, due to different attacking behaviors, the overall diversity and richness of bacterial communities associated with female *Dendroctonus valens* are relatively higher than those associated with male beetles (Xu et al., 2016). The bacterial composition of mosquitoes was also affected by the different sexes (Minard et al., 2013; Zouache et al., 2011). Different anatomies and life histories of male and female flies could provide differential opportunities for bacterial colonization (Tang et al., 2012).

The blue gum chalcid *Leptocybe invasa* Fisher & LaSalle (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae: Tetrastichinae) is a cosmopolitan pest that damages many *Eucalyptus* species (Mendel et al., 2004; Le et al., 2018). *L. invasa*, originated in Australia, was first recorded in 2000 and has been discovered in 45 countries of Asia, Europe, Africa, Oceania and America thus far (Le et al., 2018; Zheng et al., 2014). Every delicate twig, vein and petiole of *Eucalyptus* trees may provide a spawning ground for this pest, and galls ultimately lead to the stunted growth of the trees, causing great losses in local *eucalyptus* plantations (Mendel et al., 2004; Zheng et al., 2014; Huang et al., 2018).

Until now, few studies have reported on the overall interior bacteria of *L. invasa*, which is an invasive and gall insect. Only a few studies have reported their interior bacteria completely. Wang et al. (2018) cultured 11 strains in female adults of *L. invasa* in winter using traditional methods and classified them into 3 phyla (*Firmicutes*, *Actinobacteria*, *Proteobacteria*), 3 classes

Comment [9]: In my opinion the introduction is too short and does not adequately introduce the topic of bacteria in insects and too little information is given on *Leptocybe* to put the need for this work into context.

Deleted: .

Formatted: Font:Italic

86 (*Bacilli*, *Actinobacteria*, *Gammaproteobacteria*) and 4 orders (*Bacillales*, *Micrococcales*,
 87 *Lactobacillales*, *Enterobacterales*) that were related to growth, development, nutrition
 88 metabolism and immunity. *Nugnes et al. (2015)* researched the bacteria living in adults among
 89 different populations through denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) analysis and found
 90 that *Rickettsia* occurred in the reproductive tissues of female *L. invasa*, resulting in the
 91 speculation of a relationship with its thelytokous parthenogenesis. *L. invasa* harbors a myriad of
 92 bacteria (*Wang et al., 2018; Nugnes et al., 2015*), and bacterial differences between sexes have a
 93 large effect on insects. Therefore, the overall interior bacterial composition and abundance of *L.*
 94 *invasa* and the differences between both sexes are important to study.

Comment [10]: Such as....

95 In this study, the interior bacteria in female and male adults of *L. invasa* were sequenced by
 96 16S rDNA from the V3-V4 region to shed light on the interior bacterial composition. Adult
 97 females and males were also compared to address sexual differences in the interior bacteria.
 98 These results would provide valuable bacterial pool of *L. invasa* and would further contribute to
 99 understanding their productive strategies and invasion mechanisms.

Comment [11]: In general, the materials and methods requires a lot more detail and editing of grammar is essential.

100 **Materials & Methods**

101 **Insect sampling**

102 *L. invasa* female and male adults were captured from *Eucalyptus* plantations located at the
 103 Teaching and Experiment Base of Forestry College, Guangxi University (108°17' E, 22°51' N),
 104 Nanning City, Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region. The host plant in this survey was DH201-2
 105 (*Eucalyptus grandis* × *E. tereticornis*) (Myrtales: Myrtaceae). A few specimens should have been
 106 sequenced using COI region and their identity confirmed by comparison to GenBank sequences
 107 of *Leptocybe*.

Comment [12]: How were these specimens identified? It is also important to distinguish between *Leptocybe* Haplogroup A and Haplogroup B (see Dittrich-Schroder et al., 2018 and Nugnes et al., 2015) as these are very divergent lineages

Comment [13]: When and how?

108 **Total DNA extraction**

109 Adults of both sexes of *L. invasa* newly emerged into 12 h were fasted for 6 h, and each sex
 110 included 50 adults. Then both samples sterilized externally with 75% ethanol for 2-5 min, and
 111 rinsed third times in sterilized water to remove microbes on the surface. Total bacterial DNA of
 112 each samples were extracted using the Power Soil DNA Isolation Kit (MO BIO Laboratories)
 113 according to the manufacturer's instructions. DNA quality and quantity were assessed by the
 114 ratios of 260 nm/280 nm and 260 nm/230 nm. Then the qualified DNA was stored at -80 °C until
 115 further processing.

Deleted: B

Deleted: adults

Comment [14]: 3 times?

116 **PCR amplification and cloning of bacterial 16S rDNA gene**

119 | **Amplification of the V3-V4 hypervariable region of the bacterial 16S rRNA gene** was performed
120 using bacteria-universal primers 338F (5'-ACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCA-3') and 806R (5'-
121 GACTACHVGGGTWTCTAAT-3'). The PCR reactions were carried out in a 50 µL solution
122 containing 10 µL 10 × buffer, 0.2 µL Q5 High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase, 10 µL High GC
123 Enhancer, 1 µL dNTP, 10 µM of each forward and reverse primer, 60 ng genome DNA and up to
124 50 µL with dd H₂O. The amplifications were performed in an ABI Applied Biosystems 9902
125 thermal cycler with an initial denaturation step at 95 °C for 5 min, followed 35 cycles of annealing
126 and extending (each cycle occurred at 95 °C for 1 min, followed by 50 °C for 1 min and an
127 extension step at 72 °C for 1 min) and the final extension at 72 °C for 7 min. The PCR products
128 were checked by electrophoresis on an agarose gel (1.8% agarose, 1 × TBE) followed by staining
129 with ethidium bromide and visualization under ultraviolet light. The PCR products from the first
130 step PCR were purified through VAHTSTM DNA Clean Beads. A second round PCR was then
131 performed in a 40 µL reaction which contained 20 µL 2 × Phusion HF MM, 8 µL ddH₂O, 10 µM
132 of each forward and reverse primer and 10 µL PCR products from the first step. The **second PCR**
133 was run under the following conditions: an initial denaturation at 98 °C for 30s, followed by 10
134 cycles at 98 °C for 10 s, 65 °C for 30 s and 72 °C for 30 s, with a final extension at 72 °C for 5 min.
135 Finally, all PCR products were quantified and pooled together by Quant-iT™ dsDNA HS
136 Reagent. High-throughput sequencing analysis of bacterial rRNA genes was performed on the
137 purified, pooled sample using the Illumina Hiseq 2500 platform at Biomarker Technologies Co.,
138 Ltd, Beijing, China.

139 **Bioinformatics and statistical analysis**

140 After sequencing, PE Reads obtained from HiSeq sequencing were merged by overlapping to
141 obtain raw tags. To obtain clean tags, the raw tags were de-noised, sorted and separated using
142 Trimmomatic (version 0.33). The remaining sequences were filtered for redundancy, and all
143 unique sequences for each sample were then clustered into operational taxonomic units (OTUs) at
144 similarities of 97%. Low-abundance OTUs were identified and eliminated using UCHIME v4.2.
145 The taxonomic notes of the OTUs were conducted in the Silva reference database. Species
146 abundance tables were generated by QIIME, and community structures in every taxon category
147 were plotted by R software. The relative abundances of the bacteria were determined as
148 percentages. The relatively high abundances at the genus level were selected to construct the
149 phylogenetic tree.

Deleted: T

Comment [15]: Elaborate and explain why
2 PCR's were necessary

Alpha diversity based on Chao1 richness and ACE richness estimators, as well as Simpson and Shannon diversity indices, was evaluated using the Mothur v.1.11.0 program. Among them, Chao1 and ACE measured species richness in the samples, Shannon reflected community diversity, Simpson reflected the concentration degree of dominant species in the community, and coverage index reflected whether the sequencing results represented the real situation of microorganisms in the samples. A higher Chao1, ACE and Shannon index and a lower Simpson index indicates that the species in a sample are more abundant. A higher coverage indicates a higher probability of a detected species and a lower probability of an undetected species.

Results

Sequencing and Classification

A total of 533266 raw tags (370680 from males and 162586 from females) were obtained from *L. invasa*, and 476235 effect tags (328833 from males and 147402 from females) were generated (Table S1), which were classified into different OTUs based on the identity level at 97%. Among the 476235 effect tags, a total of 1320 OTUs were obtained; of these 1320 OTUs, 154 OTUs were common to both sexes, and there were 38 and 1128 specific OTUs belonging to female and male adults, respectively (Fig 1).

Analysis of Alpha Diversity

Alpha diversity was estimated by five indices: Chao1, Shannon, Simpson, ACE and coverage. The results in Table 1 show that the bacteria in *L. invasa* adults were diverse between both sexes. Among them, the Chao1 (229.50 vs 1282.00) and ACE estimators (212.84 vs 1282.28) were lower in the females than in the males. Good agreement was also observed between Simpson and Shannon indices. The Shannon index (0.59 vs 6.13) was lower in the females than in the males, while the Simpson index (0.85 vs 0.01) was higher in the female wasps than in the male wasps, indicating that the diversity of the bacterial community in males was higher than that in females. The coverage was near 100% for both males and females, illustrating a higher probability of bacteria that were detected and a lower probability of bacteria that were undetected.

The Analysis of Community Composition and Species Abundance

The bacterial community composition and species abundance in both sexes of *L. invasa* were analyzed (abundance more than 0.1%) based on the results of the OTUs (Table 2, Fig 2). At the phylum level, a total of 24 phyla were detected and classified in the samples. *Proteobacteria*, *Firmicutes*, *Bacteroidetes*, *Actinobacteria*, *Cyanobacteria*, *Saccharibacteria*, *Fusobacteria*,

Comment [16]: The figures need to have some labels – I was not able to understand what A – E represented. I assume Phylum, Class, Order....etc. This should be very clear

182 *Acidobacteria* and *Chloroflexi* were the dominant bacteria annotated in females, and of them,
183 *Proteobacteria* was the highest, accounting for 95.63% of the total. Males not only had the same
184 bacteria as females but also had *Gemmatimonadetes*, *Nitrospirae*, *Spirochaetae* and *Tenericutes*.
185 Among them, *Proteobacteria* was also the dominant bacteria in males, with an abundance of
186 34.99%, and *Firmicutes* was the subdominant bacteria, accounting for 33.06% of the total. At the
187 class level, 71 classes were annotated, including *Alphaproteobacteria*, *Gammaproteobacteria*,
188 *Betaproteobacteria*, *Clostridia*, *Bacteroidia*, *Bacilli*, *Fusobacteria*, *Actinobacteria*,
189 *Deltaproteobacteria*, *Sphingobacteria*, *Erysipelotrichia*, *Gemmatimonadetes*, *Spirochaetes*,
190 *Flavobacteria*, *Acidimicrobia*, *Solibacteres*, *Negativicutes*, and *Epsilonproteobacteria*.
191 *Alphaproteobacteria* were the dominant bacteria in females, with an abundance of 94.45%. The
192 dominant and subdominant bacteria in males were *Clostridia* (abundance was 22.95%) and
193 *Alphaproteobacteria* (abundance was 16.28%), respectively. There were 130 orders detected and
194 classified, including *Rickettsiales*, *Clostridiales*, *Bacteroidales*, *Rhizobiales*, *Lactobacillales*, and
195 *Fusobacteriales*, and among them, 40 orders were common to both sexes. The difference was
196 that *Rickettsiales* had the highest abundance in females, accounting for 93.72%, but *Clostridiales*,
197 *Bacteroidales* and *Rhizobiales* were the most abundant in males, accounting for 22.90%, 13.16%
198 and 10.59%, respectively. At the family level, 245 families were detected and classified. The
199 dominant bacteria were *Rickettsiaceae* in females, with an abundance of 93.67% in total, and the
200 dominant and subdominant bacteria in males were *Ruminococcaceae* and *Lachnospiraceae* with
201 abundances of 10.43% and 8.65%, respectively. At the genus level, 501 genera were classified,
202 including *Rickettsia*, *Rhizobium*, *Fusobacterium*, and *Sphingomonas*. *Rickettsia* (an abundance of
203 93.67%) and *Rhizobium* (an abundance of 5.73%) were the dominant bacteria in females and
204 males, respectively. In addition, it was noteworthy that the abundance of *Rickettsia* was less than
205 1% in males (Table 3). The phylogenetic relationship of bacteria in both sexes of *L. invasa* is
206 shown in Fig 3.

207 Discussion

208 Insects harbor various bacteria, some of which influence the reproduction of host insects over a
209 long period of coevolution (Dillon & Dillon, 2004; Frago et al., 2012). Indeed, bacteria that
210 manipulate the sex rate and reproduction of *L. invasa* could exist (Nugnes et al., 2015). Previous
211 studies have suggested that the reproductive mode of *L. invasa* is mainly thelytokous
212 parthenogenesis, but male adults have also been found in Turkey (Doğanlar, 2005), China

(Zheng *et al.*, 2014) and India (Kumari *et al.*, 2010). The sex ratio (male: female) was 1: 2.1-1: 5.5 in some areas and up to 1: 23.2-1: 195 in others (Doğanlar, 2005; Zheng *et al.*, 2014, 2018). Undoubtedly, the rapid spread and fast growth of populations of *L. invasa* are closely related to the female-biased sex ratios and thelytoky (Zheng *et al.*, 2014). Therefore, comparing the bacterial communities harbored by the various sexes in this paper may be very important for the reproductive strategies and biocontrol of *L. invasa*.

Deleted: ous

Deleted: and

219 Differences in the bacteria between female and male adults

220 This research revealed that the bacteria harbored in *L. invasa* have high diversity. The
221 microorganisms found in the female adults were classified into 10 phyla, 26 classes, 44 orders, 76
222 families, and 122 genera, and those in the male adults were classified into 24 phyla, 69 classes,
223 127 orders, 238 families, and 487 genera (Table 2). The diversity of the bacterial community in
224 males was higher than that in females, which also appeared in the Alpha diversity analysis (Table
225 1). Furthermore, the bacterial phylotypes and their relative abundances differed significantly
226 between male and female wasps of *L. invasa*. The abundance of *Proteobacteria* varied at the
227 phylum level, although *Proteobacteria* was the dominant bacteria in both sexes. The dominant
228 bacteria in both sexes of *L. invasa* were dissimilar at other levels. In females, the dominant
229 bacteria were *Alphaproteobacteria*, *Rickettsiales*, *Rickettsiaceae* and *Rickettsia*, while *Clostridia*,
230 *Clostridiales*, *Ruminococcaceae* and *Rhizobium* were the dominant bacteria in males (Fig 2). In
231 addition, sequences of *Gemmatimonadetes*, *Spirochaetae*, *Sphingobacteria*, *Rhizobiaceae*,
232 *Chitinophagaceae*, *Xanthomonas* and *Vibrio* were detected in males. The variation of bacterial
233 communities between males and females may be partly explained by the different physiological
234 structure between the two sexes of *L. invasa*, namely, that the female wasps have ovaries, which
235 harbor an abundance of *Rickettsia*, and occupy different bacterial niches than the males (Nugnes
236 *et al.*, 2015). Another possibility is that insects could also launch innate and systematic immune
237 responses to cope with the colonization of microbes (Leulier & Royet, 2009), and females have
238 stronger immune systems than males (Kurtz *et al.*, 2000).

Comment [17]: More discussion and reference to the literature is needed in this section. Currently this section lists bacteria in males and bacteria in females with minimal – no interpretation etc. This reads more like a results section.

239 Comparison of the bacteria with other insects

240 The bacterial community analysis at the phyla level demonstrated that *Proteobacteria* was the
241 most dominant group in female and male wasps, and *Firmicutes*, *Bacteroidetes*, *Actinobacteria*
242 and *Fusobacteria* were also annotated. Previous studies revealed that *Proteobacteria* were
243 dominant in many insects, such as *Bactrocera tau* (Prabhakar *et al.*, 2012), *Lutzomyia* sand fly

(Sant'Anna et al., 2012), *Schistocerca gregaria* (Dillon et al., 2010) and *Anopheles stephensi* (Rani et al., 2009). Moreover, the major bacteria were also *Proteobacteria* in *Bactrocera minax* (Wang et al., 2004), ground beetles (Jonathan et al., 2007), *Helicoverpa armigera* larvae (Priya et al., 2012) and *Holotrichia parallela* larvae (Huang et al., 2013). Furthermore, *Proteobacteria* or *Firmicutes* were the dominant bacteria in *Plutella xylostella* larvae (Xia et al., 2013), *Aedes albopictus* and *A. aegypti* (Zouache et al., 2011). In contrast, *Firmicutes* and *Bacteroidetes* were the major bacteria phyla detected in the guts of termites (Xiang et al., 2012) and bees (Mohr & Tebbe, 2006).

Functional prediction of dominant bacteria

Several of the bacteria detected in this study are commonly described in insects at the genus level, and some have been found in Hymenoptera, such as honeybees (Mohr & Tebbe, 2006) and termites (Xiang et al., 2012). Intriguingly, two genera, *Staphylococcus* and *Escherichia*, were known to contain cultivable species (Wang et al., 2018). Gloverin and lysozyme gene expression was upregulated when silkworm larvae were fed *Escherichia* and *Staphylococcus*, indicating that the two bacteria are closely related to the immune signaling pathway of the silkworm (Douglas, 2015). We hypothesized that *Escherichia* and *Staphylococcus* may also be involved in the immunoreaction of *L. invasa*. Functions have been suggested for some of the other bacterial genera detected in this study. The *Enterobacteriaceae* that are associated with insects help with digestion, the detoxification of toxic substances, resistance to pathogens and enhance the adaptability of the host (Anand et al., 2010). Adding *Enterobacter* in feed could extend the life span of Mediterranean flies (Behar et al., 2005, 2008). Similarly, *Enterobacteriaceae* (Hongoh & Ishikawa, 2000) and *Acinetobacter* (Broderick et al., 2004) could facilitate carbon-nitrogen metabolism and accelerate the growth and development of host insects, e.g., the *Acinetobacter* belonging to termites have a nitrogen-transforming function according to Warnecke's (2007) research. *Enterobacteriaceae* and *Acinetobacter* have significant effects on the growth of *L. invasa*, and carbon, nitrogen and other elements play a very important role in nutrition as essential amino acids rely on these elements to build central carbon skeletons. Some bacteria associated with immunization were also discovered in *L. invasa*, such as *Lactobacillus*. *Lactobacillus* had some positive effects on insect resistance (Xia et al., 2013). In addition, *Bacillales* were also detected in this study and may be insect pathogens, such as *Bacillus thuringiensis* and *B. cereus* (Broderick et al., 2004; Raymond et al., 2010; Song et al., 2014). In

277 contrast, some *Bacillus* in termites might be involved in the degradation of cellulose and
 278 hemicellulose (Konig, 2006). In this study, *Bacillales* were detected in both genders, and their
 279 specific functions need further study. Nevertheless, *Acinetobacter* was detected in *L. invasa*, and
 280 previous research showed that *Acinetobacter* produces an antiviral compound that inhibits a
 281 tobacco mosaic virus (Lee et al., 2009). Moreover, members of *Bacteroidetes* are specialized in
 282 the degradation of complex organic matter, including lignocellulosic compounds (Yuki et al.,
 283 2015). *Bacteroidetes* are also involved in the decomposition and metabolism of polysaccharides
 284 (Xu et al., 2003; Sonnenburg et al., 2010), which are beneficial to the absorption and digestion of
 285 the host (Liu et al., 2011). In addition, the *Bacteroidetes* also include some *Azotobacter*, such as
 286 *Azobacteroides pseudotrichonympha*, which could provide a host with amino acids for nutrition
 287 (Doda et al., 2009; Desai & Brune, 2012). *Bacteroidetes* related to degradation and fermentation
 288 of phytomass could influence the nutrient absorption of *L. invasa*, but further studies are needed.
 289 Many other groups of bacteria with undefined functions were detected in *L. invasa* for the first
 290 time in this study. A better knowledge of the bacteria associated with *L. invasa* will allow
 291 researchers to investigate their role in host biology.

292 A sequence similarity search revealed that *Rhizobium* was the dominant bacterium in male
 293 adults (Fig 2, Table 3). *Rhizobium* produces a variety of enzymes with cellulose- and pectin-
 294 hydrolyzing activities that can hydrolyze the glycoside skeleton of the plant cell wall and play a
 295 very important role in the symbiosis between *Rhizobium* and leguminous plants (Robledo et al.,
 296 2008; Huang et al., 2018). *Rhizobium* is an endosymbiont detected in the gut of some
 297 phytophagous insects and can help the host synthesize nitrogen-containing substances that are
 298 lacking in food (Russell et al., 2009).

299 *Rickettsia* (an abundance of 93.67%) was the dominant bacteria present in female adults,
 300 while less than 1% was present in males (Fig 2, Table 3). *Rickettsia* is a maternally inherited
 301 intracellular bacterium in a wide range of arthropods and is capable of controlling populations by
 302 reproductive manipulations, such as parthenogenesis inducing (PI) (Hagimori et al., 2006;
 303 Adachi-Hagimori et al., 2008; Giorgini et al., 2010) and male killing (Lawson et al., 2001;
 304 Schulenburg et al., 2001; Majerus & Maherus, 2010). Moreover, *Rickettsia* affects the fitness in
 305 the host and avoids adverse environmental conditions (Oliver et al., 2003; Sakurai et al., 2005;
 306 Chiel et al., 2009; Himler et al., 2011; Brumin et al., 2011). For instance, preadult development
 307 of *Bemisia tabaci* B-biotype was faster with *Rickettsia* infection than without (Chiel et al., 2009).

Comment [18]: More information on exactly how *Rickettsia* manipulates the host is necessary

308 Compared with uninfected whiteflies, *Himler et al. (2011)* found that *Rickettsia*-carrying
309 whiteflies produced more offspring, developed faster, had a higher rate of survival to adulthood,
310 and produced a higher proportion of daughters. *Nugnes et al. (2015)* found that *Rickettsia* is
311 located in reproductive tissues in females and passed to the next generation through vertical
312 transmission; thus, a possible reason for thelytokous parthenogenesis in *L. invasa*. The female *L.*
313 *invasa* is dominant and plays an important role in invasion and colonization (*Zheng et al., 2014*).
314 The results of the current investigation could explain why the sex ratio in wasps is female-biased
315 and support the hypothesis that *Rickettsia* can induce thelytokous parthenogenesis in *L. invasa*.
316 However, both explanations need further testing. In this research, a low level of *Rickettsia* was
317 present in males. A previous investigation suggested that *Rickettsia* could pass to the offspring by
318 vertical transmission (*Nugnes et al. 2015*), and a threshold density of *Rickettsia* bacteria in eggs is
319 required to trigger the development of female embryos (*Giorgini et al., 2010*). Although no
320 evidence has shown that the *Rickettsia* living in *L. invasa* can be transmitted horizontally
321 (*Gualtieri et al., 2017*), we cannot rule out the possibility that male-*Rickettsia* is obtained through
322 horizontal transmission in some way. Removing *Rickettsia* by feeding antibiotics could produce
323 more male offspring. *Giorgini et al. (2010)* found that *Rickettsia*-infected *Pnigalio soemius* only
324 generate female progeny, and after 24 h, when the *Rickettsia* were removed by 20 mg/mL
325 rifampin, adults produced almost all male offspring. *Hagimori et al. (2006)* declared that
326 *Rickettsia* was related to the thelytokous parthenogenesis of *Neochrysocharis formosa*, a
327 dominant parasite of leaf miner, and after removing *Rickettsia* from the adults by feeding
328 tetracycline, female offspring without *Rickettsia* were present. Therefore, future studies should
329 clarify whether *Rickettsia* is involved in the reproductive manipulation of *L. invasa* through
330 feeding with antibiotics.

331 **Conclusions**

332 The results in this study characterize the bacterial diversity and differences between both sexes in
333 *L. invasa* by high-throughput sequencing, suggesting that the interior bacterial community was
334 abundant and that the majority of these species remained uncultivated. Moreover, the males
335 harbored a more diverse bacterial community than the females, and the bacterial communities of
336 *L. invasa* varied between the two sexes. These results enrich the information of microbial
337 information of *L. invasa*, help research the reproductive strategy, sex control and invasive
338 mechanism, and lay the foundation for further studies on the excavation and utilization of

Comment [19]: Clarify what you mean by this

Comment [20]: This is the first mention of bacteria that are unculturable. This should be discussed earlier.

339 microbes for the biological control of *L. invasa*.

340 **Acknowledgements**

341 The authors thank Prof. Yongqiang He for sharing his knowledge of bacteria, the State Key

342 Laboratory for Conservation and Utilization of Subtropical Agro-bioresources, and the members

343 of the Guangxi Key Laboratory of Forest Ecology and Conservation.

Comment [21]: If the reader does not have sufficient background knowledge on Leptocybe it would be unclear why the implementation of biological control would need to be considered or is even important. More background on Leptocybe, its importance globally and details on exactly how these bacteria could be used to aid in biological control need to be discussed.

Deleted: n

References

- Adachi-Hagimori T, Miura K, Stouthamer R. 2008.** A new cytogenetic mechanism for bacterial endosymbiont-induced parthenogenesis. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences* **275(1652)**: 2667-2673 DOI 10.1098/rspb.2008.0792.
- Anand AA, Vennison SJ, Sankar SG, Prabhu, DIG, Vasan PT, Raghuraman T, Geoffrey CJ, Vendan SE. 2010.** Isolation and characterization of bacteria from the gut of *Bombyx mori* that degrade cellulose, xylan, pectin and starch and their impact on digestion. *Journal of Insect Science* **10**: 1-20 DOI 10.1673/031.010.10701.
- Behar A, Yuval B, Jurkevitch E. 2005.** Enterobacteria-mediated nitrogen fixation in natural populations of the fruit fly *Ceratitis capitata*. *Molecular Ecology* **14(9)**: 2637-2643 DOI 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2005.02615.x.
- Behar A, Yuval B, Jurkevitch E. 2008.** Community structure of the Mediterranean fruit fly microbiota: seasonal and spatial sources of variation. *Israel Journal of Ecology & Evolution* **54(2)**: 181-191 DOI 10.1080/15659801.2008.10639612.
- Briones-Roblero CI, Rodriguez-Diaz R, Santiago-Cruz JA, Zuniga G, Rivera-Orduna FN. 2017.** Degradation capacities of bacteria and yeasts isolated from the gut of *Dendroctonus rhizophagus* (Curculionidae: Scolytinae). *Folia Microbiologica* 1-9 DOI 10.1007/s12223-016-0469-4.
- Broderick NA, Raffa KF, Goodman RM, Handelsman J. 2004.** Census of the bacterial community of the gypsy moth larval midgut by using culturing and culture-independent methods. *Applied Environmental Microbiology* **70(1)**: 293-300 DOI 10.1128/AEM.70.1.293-300.2004.
- Brune A. 2014.** Symbiotic digestion of lignocellulose in termite guts. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* **12(3)**: 168-180 DOI 10.1038/nrmicro3182.
- Brumin M, Kontsedalov S, Ghanim M. 2011.** *Rickettsia* influences thermotolerance in the whitefly *Bemisia tabaci* B biotype. *Insect Science* **18(1)**: 57-66 DOI 10.1111/j.1744-7917.2010.01396.x.
- Chiel E, Inbar M, Mozes-Daube N, White JA, Hunter MS, Zchori-Fein E. 2009.** Assessments of fitness effects by the facultative symbiont, *Rickettsia*, in the sweetpotato whitefly (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae). *Annals of the Entomological Society of America* **102(3)**: 413-418 DOI 10.1603/008.102.0309.

376 **Clark ME, Bailey-Jourdain C, Ferree PM, England SJ, Sullivan W, Windsor DM, Werren**
 377 **JH. 2008.** *Wolbachia* modification of sperm does not always require residence within
 378 developing sperm. *Heredity* **101(5)**: 420-428 DOI 10.1038/hdy.2008.71.
 379 **Crotti E, Balloi A, Hamdi C, Sansonno L, Marzorati M, Gonella E, Favia G, Cherif A,**
 380 **Bandi C, Alma A, Daffonchio D, 2012.** Microbial symbionts: a resource for the
 381 management of insect-related problems. *Microbial Biotechnology* **5(3)**: 307-317 DOI
 382 10.1111/j.1751-7915.2011.00312.x.
 383 **Desai MS, Brune A. 2012.** *Bacteroidales* ectosymbionts of gut flagellates shape the nitrogen-
 384 fixing community in dry-wood termites. *ISME Journal* **6(7)**: 1302-1313 DOI
 385 10.1038/ismej.2011.194.
 386 **Dillon RJ, Dillon VM. 2004.** The gut bacteria of insects: nonpathogenic interactions. *Annual*
 387 *Review of Entomology* **49**: 71-92 DOI 10.1146/annurev.ento.49.061802.123416.
 388 **Dillon RJ, Webster G, Weightman AJ, Charnley AK. 2010.** Diversity of gut microbiota
 389 increases with aging and starvation in the desert locust. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek*
 390 *International Journal of General and Molecular Microbiology* **97(1)**: 69-77 DOI
 391 10.1007/s10482-009-9389-5.
 392 **Doğanlar O. 2005.** Occurrence of *Leptocybe invasa* Fisher & La Salle, 2004 (Hymenoptera:
 393 Chalcidoidea: Eulophidae) on *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* in Turkey, with description of the
 394 male sex. *Zoology in the Middle East* **35**: 112-114 DOI 10.1080/09397140.2005.10638116.
 395 **Douglas AE. 2015.** Multiorganismal Insects: Diversity and Function of Resident Microorganisms.
 396 *Annual Review of Entomology* **60**: 17-34 DOI 10.1146/annurev-ento-010814-020822.
 397 **Engel P, Moran NA. 2013.** The gut microbiota of insects-diversity in structure and function.
 398 *FEMS Microbiology Reviews* **37(5)**: 699-735 DOI 10.1111/1574-6976.12025.
 399 **Frago E, Dicke M, Godfray HCJ. 2012.** Insect symbionts as hidden players in insect-plant
 400 interactions. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **27(12)**: 705-711 DOI
 401 10.1016/j.tree.2012.08.013.
 402 **Giorgini M, Bernardo U, Monti MM, Nappo AG, Gebiola M. 2010.** *Rickettsia* symbionts
 403 cause parthenogenetic reproduction in the parasitoid wasp *Pnigalio soemius* (Hymenoptera:
 404 Eulophidae). *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **76(8)**: 2589-2599 DOI
 405 10.1128/AEM.03154-09.
 406 **Gualtieri L, Nugnes F, Nappo AG, Gebiola M, Bernardo U. 2017.** Life inside a gall: closeness

does not favour horizontal transmission of *Rickettsia* between a gall wasp and its parasitoid. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* **93(7)**: fix087 DOI 10.1093/femsec/fix087.

Hagimori T, Abe Y, Date S, Miura K. 2006. The first finding of a *Rickettsia* bacterium associated with parthenogenesis induction among insects. *Current Microbiology* **52(2)**: 97-101 DOI 10.1007/s00284-005-0092-0.

Hammer TJ, Bowers MD. 2015. Gut microbes may facilitate insect herbivory of chemically defended plants. *Oecologia* **179(1)**: 1-14 DOI 10.1007/s00442-015-3327-1.

Himler AG, Adachi-Hagimori T, Bergen JE, Kozuch A, Kelly SE, Tabashnik BE, Chiel E, Duckworth VE, Dennehy TJ, Zchori-Fein E, Hunter MS. 2011. Rapid spread of a bacterial symbiont in an invasive whitefly is driven by fitness benefits and female bias. *Science* **332(6026)**: 254-256 DOI 10.1126/science.1199410.

Hongoh Y, Ishikawa H. 2000. Evolutionary studies on uricases of fungal endosymbionts of aphids and planthoppers. *Journal of Molecular Evolution* **51(3)**: 265-277 DOI 10.1007/s002390010088.

Huang S, Zhang H. 2013. The impact of environmental heterogeneity and life stage on the hindgut microbiota of *Holotrichia parallela* larvae (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae). *PLoS ONE* **8(2)**: e57169 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0057169.

Huang ZY, Li J, Lu W, Zheng XL, Yang ZD. 2018. Parasitoids of the eucalyptus gall wasp *Leptocybe* spp.: a global review. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* **25(30)**: 29983-29995 DOI 10.1007/s11356-018-3073-0.

Jonathan G, Lundgren R, Michael L, Joanne CS. 2007. Bacterial communities within digestive tracts of ground beetles (Coleoptera: Carabidae). *Annals of the Entomological Society of America* **100(2)**: 275-282 DOI 10.1603/0013-8746(2007)100[275:BCWDTO]2.0.CO;2.

Konig H. 2006. *Bacillus* species in the intestine of termites and other soil invertebrates. *Journal of Applied Microbiology* **101**: 620–627 DOI 10.1111/j.1365-2672.2006.02914.x.

Kumari KN, Kulkarni H, Vastrad AS, Goud KB. 2010. Biology of eucalyptus gall wasp, *Leptocybe invasa* Fisher & La Salle (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae). *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences* **23**: 211-212.

Kurtz J, Wiesner A, Gotz P, Sauer KP. 2000. Gender differences and individual variation in the immune system of the scorpionfly *Panorpa vulgaris* (Insecta: Mecoptera). *Development*

438 *and Comparative Immunology* **24(1)**: 1-12 DOI 10.1016/S0145-305X(99)00057-9.

439 **Lawson ET, Mousseau TA, Klaper R, Hunter MD, Werren JH. 2001.** *Rickettsia* associated
 440 with male-killing in a buprestid beetle. *Heredity* **86(4)**: 497-505 DOI 10.1046/j.1365-
 441 2540.2001.00848.x.

442 **Le NH, Nahrung HF, Griffiths M, Lawson SA. 2018.** Invasive *Leptocybe* spp. and their natural
 443 enemies: Global movement of an insect fauna on eucalypts. *Biological Control* **125**: 7-14
 444 DOI 10.1016/j.biocontrol.2018.06.004.

445 **Lee JS, Lee KC, Kim KK, Hwang IC, Jang C, Kim NG, Yeo WH, Kim BS, Yu YM, Ahn JS.**
 446 **2009.** *Acinetobacter antiviralis* sp. nov., from Tobacco plant roots. *Journal of*
 447 *Microbiology and Biotechnology* **19(3)**: 250-256 DOI 10.4014/jmb.0901.083.

448 **Leulier F, Royet J. 2009.** Maintaining immune homeostasis in fly gut. *Nature Immunology* **10(9)**:
 449 936-938 DOI 10.1038/ni0909-936.

450 **Liu N, Yan X, Zhang ML, Xie L, Wang QA, Huang YP, Zhou XG, Wang SY, Zhou ZH.**
 451 **2011.** Microbiome of fungus-growing termites: a new reservoir for lignocellulase genes.
 452 *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **77(1)**: 48-56 DOI 10.1128/AEM.01521-10.

453 **Lukasik P, Guo H, Van Asch M, Ferrari J, Godfray HCJ. 2013a.** Protection against a fungal
 454 pathogen conferred by the aphid facultative endosymbionts *Rickettsia* and *Spiroplasma* is
 455 expressed in multiple host genotypes and species and is not influenced by co-infection with
 456 another symbiont. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* **26(12)**: 2654-2661.

457 **Lukasik, P, Van Asch M, Guo HF, Ferrari J, Godfray HCJ. 2013b.** Unrelated facultative
 458 endosymbionts protect aphids against a fungal pathogen. *Ecology Letters* **16(2)**: 214-218
 459 DOI 10.1111/ele.12031.

460 **Majerus TMO, Majerus MEN. 2010.** Discovery and identification of a male-killing agent in the
 461 Japanese ladybird *Propylea japonica* (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae). *BMC Evolution Biology*
 462 **10**: 37 DOI 10.1186/1471-2148-10-37.

463 **Mendel Z, Protasov A, Fisher N, La Salle J. 2004.** Taxonomy and biology of *Leptocybe invasa*
 464 gen. & sp. n. (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae), an invasive gall inducer on *Eucalyptus*.
 465 *Australian Journal of Entomology* **43**: 101-113 DOI 10.1111/j.1440-6055.2003.00393.x.

466 **Minard G, Mavingui P, Moro CV. 2013.** Diversity and function of bacterial microbiota in the
 467 mosquito holobiont. *Parasites & Vectors* **6**: 146 DOI 10.1186/1756-3305-6-146.

468 **Mohr KI, Tebbe CC. 2006.** Diversity and phylotype consistency of bacteria in the guts of three

bee species (*Apoidea*) at an oilseed rape field. *Environmental Microbiology* **8(2)**: 258-272
DOI 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2005.00893.x.

Moran NA. 2007. Symbiosis as an adaptive process and source of phenotypic complexity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **104**: 8627-8633 DOI 10.1073/pnas.0611659104.

Moran NA, McCutcheon JP, Nakabachi A. 2008. Genomics and evolution of heritable bacterial symbionts. *Annual Review of Genetics* **42**: 165-190 DOI 10.1146/annurev.genet.41.110306.130119.

Moran NA. 2016. Insights into the roles of bacterial symbionts within flagellates of termite guts. *Environmental Microbiology Reports* **8(5)**: 559-559 DOI 10.1111/1758-2229.12471.

Moya A, Pereto J, Gil R, Latorre A. 2008. Learning how to live together: genomic insights into prokaryote-animal symbioses. *Nature Review of Genetics* **9(3)**: 218-229 DOI 10.1038/nrg2319.

Noda S, Hongoh Y, Sato T, Ohkuma M. 2009. Complex coevolutionary history of symbiotic Bacteroidales bacteria of various protist in the gut of termites. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* **9**: 1-12 DOI: 10.1186/1471-2148-9-158.

Nugnes F, Gebiola M, Monti MM, Gualtieri L, Giorgini M, Wang JG, Bernardo U. 2015. Genetic diversity of the invasive gall wasp *Leptocybe invasa* (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae) and of its *Rickettsia* endosymbiont, and associated sex-ratio differences. *PLoS One* **10(5)**: e0124660 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0124660.

Oliver KM, Russell JA, Moran NA, Hunter MS. 2003. Facultative bacterial symbionts in aphids confer resistance to parasitic wasps. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **100(4)**: 1803-1807 DOI 10.1073/pnas.0335320100.

Oliver KM, Degan PH, Burke GR, Moran NA. 2010. Facultative symbionts in aphids and the horizontal transfer of ecologically important traits. *Annual Review of Entomology* **55**: 247-266 DOI 10.1146/annurev-ento-112408-085305.

Prabhakar CS, Sood P, Kanwar SS, Sharma PN, Kumar A, Mehta PK. 2012. Isolation and characterization of gut bacteria of fruit fly, *Bactrocera tau* (Walker). *Phytoparasitica* **41(2)**: 193-201 DOI 10.1007/s12600-012-0278-5.

Priya NG, Ojha A, Kajla MK, Raj A, Rajagopal R. 2012. Host Plant Induced Variation in Gut Bacteria of *Helicoverpa armigera*. *PLoS one* **7(1)**: e30768 DOI

10.1371/journal.pone.0030768.

Rani A, Sharma A, Rajagopal R, Adak T, Bhatnagar RK. 2009. Bacterial diversity analysis of larvae and adult midgut microflora using culture-dependent and culture-independent methods in lab-reared and field-collected *Anopheles stephensi*-an Asian malarial vector. *BMC Microbiology* **9**: 1471-2081 DOI 10.1186/1471-2180-9-96.

Raymond B, Johnston PR, Nielsen LC, Lereclus D, Crickmore N, Lereclus D, Crickmore N. 2010. *Bacillus thuringiensis*: an impotent pathogen? *Trends in Microbiology* **18(5)**: 189-194 DOI 10.1016/j.tim.2010.02.006.

Robledo M, Jimenez-Zurdo JI, Velazquez E, Trujillo ME, Zurdo-Pineiro JL, Ramirez-Bahena MH, Ramos B, Diaz-Minguez JM, Dazzo F, Martinez-Molina E, Mateos PF. 2008. *Rhizobium* cellulase CelC2 is essential for primary symbiotic infection of legume host roots. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **105(19)**: 7064-7069 DOI 10.1073/pnas.0802547105.

Russell JA, Moreau CS, Goldman-Huertas B, Fujiwara M, Lohman DJ, Pierce NE. 2009. Bacterial gut symbionts are tightly linked with the evolution of herbivory in ants. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **106(50)**: 21236-21241 DOI 10.1073/pnas.0907926106.

Sakurai M, Koga R, Tsuchida T, Meng XY, Fukatsu T. 2005. *Rickettsia* symbiont in the pea aphid *Acyrtosiphon pisum*: novel cellular tropism, effect on host fitness, and interaction with the essential symbiont *Buchnera*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **71(7)**: 4069-4075 DOI 10.1128/AEM.71.7.4069-4075.2005.

Sant'Anna MRV, Darby AC, Brazil RP, Montoya, LJ, Dillon VM, Bates PA, Dillon RJ. 2012. Investigation of the bacterial communities associated with females of *Lutzomyia* Sand fly species from South America. *PLoS One* **7(8)**: e42531 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0042531.

Schulenburg JHGV, Habig M, Sloggett JJ, Webberley KM, Bertrand D, Hurst GDD, Majerus MEN. 2001. Incidence of male-killing *Rickettsia* spp. (α -Proteobacteria) in the ten-spot ladybird beetle *Adalia decempunctata* L. (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae). *Applied Environmental Microbiology* **67(1)**: 270-277 DOI 10.1128/AEM.67.1.270-277.2001.

Song F, Peng Q, Brillard J, Lereclus D, LeRoux CN. 2014. An insect gut environment reveals the induction of a new sugar-phosphate sensor system in *Bacillus cereus*. *Gut Microbes* **5(1)**:

531 58-63 DOI 10.4161/gmic.27902.

532 **Sonnenburg ED, Zheng H, Joglekar P, Higginbottom SK, Firbank SJ, Bolam DN,**
533 **Sonnenburg JL. 2010.** Specificity of polysaccharide use in intestinal *Bacteroides* species
534 determines diet-induced microbiota alterations. *Cell* **141(7)**: 1241-1252 DOI
535 10.1016/j.cell.2010.05.005.

536 **Tang X, Adler PH, Vogel H, Ping LY. 2012.** Gender-specific bacterial composition of black
537 flies (Diptera: Simuliidae). *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* **80(3)**: 659-670 DOI
538 10.1111/j.1574-6941.2012.01335.x.

539 **Wang AL, Yao ZC, Zheng WW, Zhang HY. 2014.** Bacterial Communities in the gut and
540 reproductive organs of *Bactrocera minax* (Diptera: Tephritidae) based on 454
541 Pyrosequencing. *PLoS ONE* **9(9)**: e106988 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0106988.

542 **Wang RR, Hu Y, Yang ZD, Guo CH, Zhu LH, Zheng XL, Yu SZ. 2018.** Isolation,
543 identification and diversity of culturable bacteria in female adults of *Leptocybe invasa*
544 Fisher & La Salle. *Journal of Southern Agriculture* **49(12)**: 2432-2439. (in Chinese with
545 English abstract)

546 **Warnecke F, Luginbuhl P, Ivanova N, Ghassemian M, Richardson TH, Stege JT, Cayouette**
547 **M, McHardy AC, Djordjevic G, Aboushadi N, Sorek R, Tringe SG, Podar M, Martin**
548 **HG, Kunin V, Dalevi D, Madejska J, Kirton E, Platt D, Szeto E, Salamov A, Barry K,**
549 **Mikhailova N, Kyrpides NC, Matson EG, Ottesen EA, Zhang X, Hernandez M,**
550 **Murillo C, Acosta LG, Rigoutsos I, Tamayo G, Green BD, Chang C, Rubin EM,**
551 **Mathur EJ, Robertson DE, Hugenholtz P, Leadbetter JR. 2007.** Metagenomic and
552 functional analysis of hindgut microbiota of a wood-feeding higher termite. *Nature*
553 **450(7169)**: 560-565 DOI 10.1038/nature06269.

554 **Xia XF, Zheng DD, Zhong HZ, Qin BC, Gurr GM, Vasseur L, Lin HL, Bai JL, He WY,**
555 **You MS. 2013.** DNA sequencing reveals the midgut microbiota of diamondback moth,
556 *Plutella xylostella* (L.) and a possible relationship with insecticide resistance. *PLoS ONE*
557 **8(7)**: e68852 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0068852.

558 **Xiang H, Xie L, Zhang J, Long YH, Liu N, Huang YP, Wang Q. 2012.** Intracolonic
559 difference in gut bacterial community between worker and soldier castes of *Coptotermes*
560 *formosanus*. *Insect Science* **19(1)**: 86-95 DOI 10.1111/j.1744-7917.2011.01435.x.

561 **Xu J, Bjursell MK, Himrod J, Deng S, Carmichael LK, Chiang HC, Hooper LV, Gordon JL.**

562 **2003.** A genomic view of the human-Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron symbiosis. *Science*
563 **299(5615):** 2074-2076 DOI 10.1126/science.1080029.

564 **Xu LT, Lu M, Xu DD, Chen L, Sun JH. 2016.** Sexual variation of bacterial microbiota of
565 *Dendroctonus valens* guts and frass in relation to verbenone production. *Journal of Insect*
566 *Physiology* **95:** 110-117 DOI 10.1016/j.jinsphys.2016.09.014.

567 **Yuki M, Kuwahara H, Shintani M, Izawa K, Sato T, Starns, D, Hongoh Y, Ohkuma M.**
568 **2015.** Dominant ectosymbiotic bacteria of cellulolytic protists in the termite gut also have
569 the potential to digest lignocellulose. *Environmental Microbiology* **17(12):** 4942-4953 DOI
570 10.1111/1462-2920.12945.

571 **Zheng XL, Li J, Yang ZD, Xian ZH, Wei JG, Lei CL, Wang XP, Lu W. 2014.** A review of
572 invasive biology, prevalence and management of *Leptocybe invasa* Fisher & La Salle
573 (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae: Tetrastichinae). *African Entomology* **22(1):** 68-79 DOI
574 10.4001/003.022.0133.

575 **Zheng XL, Huang ZY, Li J, Yang ZD, Yang XH, Lu W. 2018.** Reproductive Biology of
576 *Leptocybe invasa* Fisher & La Salle (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae). *Neotropical Entomology*
577 **47(1):** 19-25 DOI 10.1007/s13744-017-0502-6.

578 **Zouache K, Raharimalala FN, Raquin V, Tran-Van V, Raveloson LHR, Ravelonandro P,**
579 **Mavingui P. 2011.** Bacterial diversity of field-caught mosquitoes, *Aedes albopictus* and
580 *Aedes aegypti*, from different geographic regions of Madagascar. *FEMS Microbiology*
581 *Ecology* **75(3):** 377-389 DOI 10.1111/j.1574-6941.2010.01012.x.