Bovine tuberculosis breakdown duration in cattle herds: an investigation of herd, host, pathogen and wildlife risk factors

Georgina Milne [Corresp., 1], **Adrian Allen** [1], **Jordon Graham** [1], **Angela Lahuerta-Marin** [1], **Carl McCormick** [2], **Eleanor Presho** [1], **Neil Reid** [3], **Robin Skuce** [1], **Andrew W Byrne** [Corresp. 4]

[1] Department of Bacteriology, Agri-food and Biosciences Institute, Belfast, United Kingdom

[2] Department of Agriculture, Environment, and Rural Affairs, Coleraine, United Kingdom

[3] The Queen's University Belfast, Belfast, United Kingdom

[4] Surveillence, Department of Agriculture, Food and the Marine, Dublin, Ireland

Corresponding Authors: Georgina Milne, Andrew W Byrne
Email address: georgina.milne@afbini.gov.uk, andreww.byrne@agriculture.gov.ie

Background. Despite rigorous controls placed on herds which disclose antemortem test positive cattle to bovine tuberculosis, caused by the infection of Mycobacterium bovis, many herds in Northern Ireland (NI) experience prolonged breakdowns. These herds represent a considerable administrative and financial burden to the State and farming community.

Methods. A retrospective observational study was conducted to better understand the factors associated with breakdown duration, which was modelled using both negative binomial and ordinal regression approaches. Six explanatory variables were important predictors of breakdown length in both models; herd size, the number of reactors testing positive in the initial SICCT test, the presence of a lesioned animal at routine slaughter (LRS), the count of M. bovis genotypes during the breakdown (MLVA richness), the local herd-level bTB prevalence, and the presence of herds linked via management factors (associated herds).

Results. We report that between 2008 and 2014, mean breakdown duration in NI was 226 days (approx. seven months; median; 188 days). In the same period, however, more than 6% of herds in the region remained under movement restriction for more than 420 days (13 months); almost twice as long as the mean. The MLVA richness variable was a particularly important predictor of breakdown duration. We contend that this variable primarily represents a proxy for beef fattening herds, which can operate by purchasing cattle and selling animals straight to slaughter, despite prolonged trading restrictions. For other herd types, the model supports the hypothesis that prolonged breakdowns are a function of both residual infection within the herd, and infection from the environment (e.g. infected wildlife, contiguous herds and/or a contaminated environment). The impact of badger density on breakdown duration was assessed by including data on main sett

(burrow) density. Whilst a positive association was observed in the univariate analysis, confounding with other variables means that the contribution of badgers to prolonged breakdowns was not clear from our study. We do not fully reject the hypothesis that badgers are implicated in prolonging bTB breakdowns via spillback infection, but given our results, we posit that increased disease risk from badgers is unlikely to simply be a function of increasing badger density measured using sett metrics.

Bovine tuberculosis breakdown duration in cattle herds: an investigation of herd, host, pathogen and wildlife risk factors

Margaret Georgina Milne[1], Adrian Allen[1], Jordon Graham[1], Angela Lahuerta–Marin[1], Carl McCormick[1†], Eleanor Presho[1], Neil Reid[2], Robin Skuce[1, 2], Andrew William Byrne[1, 2^]

[1] 1 Veterinary Sciences Division, Agri–food and Biosciences Institute (AFBI), 12 Stoney Road, Stormont, Belfast BT4 3SD, UK

[2] School of Biological Sciences, Queen's University Belfast, Belfast, UK

† Current address: Crown Buildings Coleraine, Artillery Road, Millburn, Coleraine, BT52 2AJ

^ Current address: Department of Agriculture, Food and the Marine (DAFM), Agriculture House, Kildare Street, Dublin 2, Ireland

Corresponding Author:

Dr. Georgina Milne & Dr. Andrew Byrne

Veterinary Sciences Division, Agri–food and Biosciences Institute (AFBI), 12 Stoney Road, Stormont, Belfast BT4 3SD, UK Email address: georgina.milne@afbini.gov.uk; ecologicalepidemiology@gmail.com

Abstract

Background.

Despite rigorous controls placed on herds which disclose antemortem test positive cattle to bovine tuberculosis, caused by the infection of *Mycobacterium bovis*, many herds in Northern Ireland (NI) experience prolonged breakdowns. These herds represent a considerable administrative and financial burden to the State and farming community.

Methods. A retrospective observational study was conducted to better understand the factors associated with breakdown duration, which was modelled using both negative binomial and ordinal regression approaches. Six explanatory variables were important predictors of breakdown length in both models; herd size, the number of reactors testing positive in the initial SICCT test, the presence of a lesioned animal at routine slaughter (LRS), the count of *M. bovis* genotypes during the breakdown (MLVA richness), the local herd-level bTB prevalence, and the presence of herds linked via management factors (associated herds).

Results. We report that between 2008 and 2014, mean breakdown duration in NI was 226 days (approx. seven months; median; 188 days). In the same period, however, more than 6% of herds in the region remained under movement restriction for more than 420 days (13 months); almost twice as long as the mean. The MLVA richness variable was a particularly important predictor of breakdown duration. We contend that this variable primarily represents a proxy for beef fattening herds, which can operate by purchasing cattle and selling animals straight to slaughter, despite prolonged trading restrictions. For other herd types, the model supports the hypothesis that prolonged breakdowns are a function of both residual infection within the herd, and

22 infection from the environment (e.g. infected wildlife, contiguous herds and/or a contaminated
23 environment). The impact of badger density on breakdown duration was assessed by including
24 data on main sett (burrow) density. Whilst a positive association was observed in the univariate
25 analysis, confounding with other variables means that the contribution of badgers to prolonged
26 breakdowns was not clear from our study. We do not fully reject the hypothesis that badgers are
27 implicated in prolonging bTB breakdowns via spillback infection, but given our results, we posit
28 that increased disease risk from badgers is unlikely to simply be a function of increasing badger
29 density measured using sett metrics.
30
31

Introduction

Bovine tuberculosis (bTB), caused by *Mycobacterium bovis* bacterial infection, presents an ongoing epidemic in many countries (Humblet et al. 2009). In Britain and Ireland, bTB remains stubbornly persistent despite long-term and intensive control programs focusing primarily on breakdown cattle herds (Allen et al. 2018). In Northern Ireland (NI) infection levels remain high, with an annual herd level incidence of over 8% (DAERA 2018). Current bTB controls are compliant with EU Directive 64/432/EEC (as amended) and consist of a "test-and-slaughter policy", alongside active routine slaughterhouse surveillance (Abernethy et al. 2006; Abernethy et al. 2013). Herds undergo annual testing using the single intradermal comparative cervical tuberculin (SICCT) test, with infected herds subsequently placed under trading restrictions (a herd breakdown) until two clear herd level tests are obtained, each not less than 60 days apart (DAERA 2017). The shortest length of time a herd usually remains under trading restriction is therefore 120 days. All animals which test positive to the SICCT test are culled. To aid in the detection and eradication of bTB, additional testing can be undertaken in persistently infected herds using the interferon gamma test (Lahuerta-Marin et al. 2015). Despite these efforts, some herds fail to clear infection upon retest and remain persistently infected, resulting in prolonged or recurrent breakdowns (Doyle et al. 2016; Milne et al. 2019). As herd-keepers are compensated for culled cattle, the remuneration costs of persistent breakdowns contribute disproportionally to the total program costs, which have exceeded £30 million per annum in recent years (NIAO 2018). Additionally, the trading restrictions and production losses associated with persistent breakdowns also present considerable economic and emotional burdens to the farming community (Robinson 2017).

Previous studies from Great Britain (GB) and the Republic of Ireland (ROI) have defined these persistently infected (i.e. "chronic") herds using a number of non-mutually exclusive criteria. These include recurrence of bTB in a herd (Doyle et al. 2016; Gallagher et al. 2013; Karolemeas et al. 2012; Karolemeas et al. 2011; Wolfe et al. 2010), the prolongation of trading restrictions (Doyle et al. 2016; Griffin et al. 1993; Karolemeas et al. 2012; Karolemeas et al. 2010) and outbreak size (Clegg et al. 2018). Here, we focus specifically on bTB persistence as defined by breakdown length and measured via the duration of movement restriction periods. Indeed, extended periods of trading restrictions have been observed in herds across these islands (More et al. 2018). For example, in the ROI in 2012, 16.8% of herds were restricted for over 255 days (seven and a half months) (Houtsma et al. 2018). In England , 5.8% of breakdowns in England lasted longer than 550 days (18 months), in contrast to the mean breakdown length of 192 days (AHVLA 2016). In NI, a previous study found that the median breakdown duration was 184 days (approx. six months) (Doyle et al. 2016), however between 2003 and 2015, 4.3% of bTB breakdowns in NI were classified as prolonged (>550 days) (More et al. 2018).

Earlier work has enabled better understanding of the factors associated with breakdown duration. A previous study from NI between 2005 and 2010 showed that bTB breakdowns lasting longer than 365 days were associated with local area bTB prevalence, the presence of associated herds (i.e. herds linked via geography, family or some other management factor), the number of years previously restricted, the number of cattle reactors at the disclosing test, the total number of reactors over the outbreak, and the identification of a lesion consistent with bTB at routine slaughter (Doyle et al. 2016). In Great Britain (GB) prolonged breakdowns were particularly associated with the confirmation status of the breakdown and herd size (Karolemeas

et al. 2010). Comparisons between transient bTB breakdowns (≤ 6 months) and breakdowns lasting >6 months (i.e. "persistent") in GB found that herd size, herd management, and the presence of active badger setts were important explanatory variables associated with bTB persistence (Reilly & Courtenay 2007). Whilst breakdown prolongation is either a feature of failure to clear infection from the herd (i.e. within herd recrudescence), and/or re-infection from local sources (e.g. contagious herds or a local wildlife reservoir), it is not yet possible to disentangle these various routes of infection.

The European badger (*Meles meles*) is a well-documented infection reservoir for *M. bovis* (Byrne et al. 2017; Gallagher & Clifton-Hadley 2000) and a number of other studies have also explored the association between bTB breakdown duration and wildlife. In the ROI, the presence of badgers was associated with bTB breakdowns lasting greater than one year (Griffin et al. 1993), and reactive badger culling was related to the prolongation of bTB breakdowns in GB (Karolemeas et al. 2012). However, the contribution that badgers make towards protracted bTB breakdowns not well understood to date. Furthermore, previous work largely consists of case-control studies, and does not model breakdown length explicitly. The aim of this work, therefore, was to model the factors associated with breakdown duration, including variables associated with *M. bovis* molecular genotype data (Skuce et al. 2010), badger density data (Reid et al. 2012), alongside herd characteristics. For the first time, breakdown length was modelled as both a continuous and ordinal variable, which we believe improves our understanding of within-herd bTB dynamics and could be applied to bTB management in many endemic regions globally.

Materials & Methods

Study Area

Northern Ireland is approximately 14,000km^2. The official bTB control programme is administered over ten Divisional Veterinary Office DVO areas, comprised of 123 "patches"; mean size 110 km^2 (SD ± 53); Fig. 1.

Study design

Two retrospective analyses were undertaken, firstly (i) quantifying the risk factors associated with bTB breakdown duration, using negative binomial (count) regression with the outcome measured in days, and (ii) quantifying the risk associated with bTB breakdown duration using ordinal regression, with the outcome modelled as a categorical ordered variable. This approach was considered necessary to account for bTB breakdown administration in NI. Herd breakdown duration measures arise as a result of a disease management process, and are not a wholly natural phenomenon. Generally, once bTB has been confirmed, the herd Officially Tuberculosis free status is Withdrawn (OTW). Usually, two clear herd tests are required to restore Officially Tuberculosis Free (OTF). Each herd-level test is scheduled to occur a minimum of 60 days apart (DAERA 2018a). For the ordinal regression therefore, breakdown duration was classified into four distinct categories based on multiples of 60 days (it should be noted, however, that breakdown length may not always correlate exactly with the number of tests done, as herds may delay testing). The first category contained breakdowns ≤180 days (approx. 6 months; 3 tests until OTF status restored), the second category included breakdowns which ended up to 120 days later; ≤300 days (approx. 9 months; 5 tests until OTF status restored), and the third category included breakdowns which ended up to 120 days after this; ≤420 days (approx. 13 months; 7 tests until OTF status restored). The final category included breakdowns

which lasted longer than 421 days (8 or more tests until OTF status restored). Breakdown start dates are denoted by the date at which the first SICCT reactor or lesioned animal identified at slaughter was disclosed, and the breakdown end date was the test at which the last clear herd test was achieved.

Dataset creation

BTB breakdown data spanning January 2003 to December 2015 inclusive (n breakdowns = 27,718) were made available from the NI Department of Agriculture, Environment and Rural Affairs (DAERA) database, the Animal and Public Health Information System (APHIS) (Houston 2001). This dataset was restricted to only include OTW breakdowns (n = 19,084; 8,634 breakdowns removed), which were defined by policy guidance at the time of study as the presence of more than five SICCT reactors, or two positive results to the four possible bTB tests; confirmation via histopathology, culture or spoligotyping, or the identification of a lesion at routine slaughter. Breakdowns with incomplete or erroneous information were also excluded (e.g. missing GIS information, MLVA information, or breakdowns lacking end dates (n = 17,114; 1,970 breakdowns removed). The dataset was further restricted to include breakdowns which started and ended between 01/01/2009 and 31/12/2014 (n = 7,478; 9,636 breakdowns removed). These dates were chosen because surveillance using *M. bovis* MLVA genotyping data occurred at the herd level between 2003 and 2008, but from 2009 onwards, all culture confirmed animal-level *M. bovis* isolates were genotyped. Finally, breakdowns which were recorded as lasting less than 60 days were excluded from the final dataset (n=5 breakdowns removed), as 60 days is the minimum restriction period which may be permitted under some circumstances e.g. less than five positive SICCT animals with no post-mortem or laboratory confirmation (DAERA

2019). The final dataset contained information on 7,473 breakdowns. All data were assembled and analysed using Microsoft Access 2007 (12.0.6735.5000) SP3 MSO and R Version 3.2.5 (R Core Team, 2013).

The fixed-effect variables considered in the analysis are shown in Table 1. They were derived and defined as follows; herd size (number of animals in the herd at the time of breakdown); outbreak reactors (the number of SICCT reactors present in the disclosing test); total reactors (the total number of SICCT reactors during a breakdown); yearly patch prevalence (herd level bTB prevalence for the year); mean patch prevalence (mean herd-level bTB prevalence), outward moves year before (the number of outward cattle moves in the year prior to breakdown), and inward moves year before (the number of inward cattle moves in the year prior to breakdown). A categorical herd type variable was included (beef, dairy, other, or unknown). Binary variables were the presence or absence of a milk license, whether lesions consistent with tuberculosis were identified during routine slaughter (LRS), the presence or absence of associated herds (herds are "associated" via e.g. shared management, shared grazing, or shared family responsibilities), and whether the herd had any previous breakdowns during the study period. The herd DVO, the year of breakdown, and the herd unique identifier were included as random effect variables. The distribution of explanatory variables across each DVO in NI is illustrated in Fig. 1.

M. bovis MLVA genotype data

M. bovis MLVA genotype data were derived from isolates obtained from skin-test reactors, and from lesioned animals identified at routine slaughter. These animal-level data were

170 then associated with bTB breakdown-level data. From this, breakdown-level metrics of MLVA
171 genotype richness (number of different MLVA types) were calculated. The process of
172 genotyping *M. bovis* isolates has been described more fully elsewhere (Kamerbeek et al. 1997;
173 Skuce et al. 2010; Skuce et al. 2005). Briefly, all culture-confirmed bTB cases were sub-
174 cultured to single colonies and heat-killed to create PCR-ready bacterial cell lysates. These were
175 then used as PCR templates for molecular characterisation of pathogen variation. Eight VNTR
176 loci across the *M. bovis* genome were genotyped; MV2163B/QUB11B, MV4052/QUB26A,
177 MV2461/ETRB, MV1955/Mtub21, MV1895/QUB1895, MV2165/ETRA, MV2163/QUB11A
178 and MV3232/QUB3232 (Durr et al. 2000).
179
180 *Badger density*
181 Badger main sett density was incorporated into models by using a data from the Northern
182 Ireland Badger Survey 2007-08 (Reid et al. 2012). This enumerated and mapped badger main setts
183 within 212 regularly spaced 1km^2 squares throughout Northern Ireland, and subsequently spatially
184 interpolated using the Kriging function of the ArcMap 10.5 (ESRI, California, USA) Spatial
185 Analyst toolbox providing a heat-map proxy of badger density throughout the region (Reid et al.
186 2012).
187
188 *Data modelling*
189 During the univariable stage of model fitting for both the count and ordinal models,
190 predictor variables were explored using summary statistics and cross–tabulations with the
191 outcome variable. The relationship between each predictor and the outcome was also visually
192 scrutinised using *ggplot*2 (Wickham 2009). Predictor variables were then c onsidered

193 individually for association with the outcome. Correlation coefficients between variables were
194 determined. Variables with moderate or strong correlation ≥ 0.5 or ≤ -0.5 were identified, and
195 from these, only those variables with the strongest association with the outcome were retained,
196 based on log-likelihood values. Following univariable assessment, generalised linear mixed
197 models (GLMMs) were fitted. The count model was constructed using the package *lme4* (Bates
198 et al., 2015), and the ordinal model was constructed using the package *ordinal* (Christensen
199 2019). Initial modelling of the count data using Poisson regression indicated the presence of
200 over-dispersion (the variance was greater than the mean); a negative binomial model was instead
201 found to be more suitable for these data (Zuur et al. 2015; Zuur 2009)
202
203 In both count and ordinal models, the DVO, breakdown year, and herd identifier were
204 included as nested random effects (Zuur 2009). Continuous variables were log-transformed in
205 the final models for computational efficiency, and to improve the model fit (i.e. ensure all
206 explanatory variables were on the same scale, to approximate a more linear relationship,
207 reducing skew and to limit the influence of outliers). All predictors were initially included in the
208 model, including biologically plausible two-way interactions. Final models were assembled
209 using backwards stepwise selection routines; better fitting models were selected on the basis of
210 likelihood ratio tests (Christensen 2019; Zuur 2009). At each stage, however, model coefficients
211 were manually assessed for confounding (Dohoo et al., 2009). Once final models were
212 constructed, excluded predictor variables were again offered to the model and the impact
213 assessed using likelihood ratio tests. Final models were screened for correlations between fixed
214 effects and random effects and were assessed by visual examination of residuals. Plots of
215 residual versus fitted values were firstly explored; residuals were then plotted against all

covariates included in the model, and also against the covariates which had been excluded during model fitting. Residuals were used to identify influential data-points, and models were re-run with these data removed for comparative purposes.

Ordinal regression assumes that the effects of explanatory variables are consistent across all outcome categories (i.e. the assumption of proportional odds). We firstly attempted to test this using the *nominal_test* function of the *Ordinal* package (Christensen 2019). However, at the time of analysis, this function was not available for models with multiple random effects. Furthermore, it is presently not feasible to construct an ordered regression model with multiple random effects for which the assumption of proportional odds is also relaxed (Christensen 2019). To overcome this, we constructed an initial ordered regression model including only fixed effects (via the *clm* function) and tested the assumption of proportional odds on this model (the *nominal_test* function). Explanatory variables which violated the assumption of proportional odds were identified and the model was re-ran, wherein the proportional odds assumption was relaxed for these variables. However, the final *clmm* model was further validated by comparing the model coefficients against those derived from three binary logistic GLMMs (Armstrong & Sloan 1989) (Ananth & Kleinbaum 1997), with the binary outcome variable dichotomised at the same levels as in the ordinal regression. In these three models, the outcome (breakdown length) was dichotomised as follows: Model 1; ≤180 days (breakdowns 180 days or less classified as 0, all others classified as 1); Model 2 ≤300 days; (breakdowns 300 days or less classified as 0, all others classified as 1); and Model 3 ≤420 days (breakdowns 420 days or less classified as 0, all others classified as 1).

Results

Summary data

The final dataset contained 7,473 breakdowns associated with 5,378 herds. The mean breakdown length was 226 days (SD ± 140 days; approx. seven months) and median breakdown length was 188 days (Inter Quartile Range (IQR): 140-260 days; approx. six months). The longest breakdown was recorded at 2,288 days (6 years). When classified into categories, almost half of all breakdowns (47.18%, n = 3,526) lasted less than 180 days. 34.86% (n = 2,605) of breakdowns were between 181 and 300 days in duration, 11.33% (n = 847) lasted between 301 and 420 days, whilst 6.62% of all bTB breakdowns (n = 495) lasted 421 days or longer (13 months; i.e. 8 or more tests were required to restore OTF status). The distribution of the breakdown length outcome variable is shown in Fig. 2A-B. Mean breakdown duration varied across NI, from a minimum of 192 days in Derry/Londonderry DVO to a maximum of 266 days in Newry DVO (Fig. 1).

Count model results

The results of the count model of breakdown duration is shown in Table 2 (Table S1). The final model contained seven explanatory variables. The exponentiated results are reported here as Incidence Rate Ratios (IRR) with associated 95% upper and lower confidence intervals (CI). The variables *log* herd size (IRR: 1.05, 95%CI: 1.04-1.06), *log* outbreak reactors (IRR: 1.05, 95%CI: 1.04-1.06), *log* mean patch prevalence (IRR: 1.04, 95%CI: 1.01-1.07) and *log* MLVA richness (IRR: 1.62, 95%CI: 1.58-1.67) were positively associated with breakdown duration. The binary variables for presence of an LRS (IRR: 1.12, 95%CI: 1.09-1.14), presence of associated herds (IRR: 1.10, 95%CI: 1.07-1.13) and a previous breakdown (IRR: 1.04,

95%CI: 1.02-1.07) were positively associated with breakdown duration. Re-running the model with influential data removed resulted in only minimal change in parameter estimates when compared to the original model (<15% change). The addition of a quadratic term for *log* MLVA richness was also found to significantly lower log-likelihood; this model is shown in Table S2.

Ordinal model results

Six variables were identified as important predictors in the ordinal model. The parameter estimates of the final model are shown in Table 2 (Table S3). All six variables in the final model were found to be positively associated with the increasing breakdown duration; *log* herd size (OR: 1.26, 95%CI: 1.20-1.32), *log* outbreak reactors (OR: 1.34, 95%CI: 1.26-1.43), *log* mean patch prevalence (OR: 1.20, 95%CI: 1.04-1.37), *log* MLVA richness (OR: 7.06, 95%CI: 6.04-8.24), the presence of an LRS (OR: 1.79, 95%CI: 1.59-2.01) and the presence of associated herds (OR: 1.49, 95%CI: 1.32-1.69). The coefficients derived from this model were similar to a fixed-effect ordinal regression model, however the variables *log* herd size, *log* MLVA richness and *log* outbreak reactors violated the proportional odds assumption ($p < 0.05$), suggesting that the effect size is not the same across all three breakdown duration categories. As the assumption of proportional odds was not met for all variables, the coefficients from ordinal model were also compared to those derived from three binomial logistic GLMMs (Fig. 3). There was only limited evidence of the parameter estimates differing between ordinal and binomial models. The binomial model of breakdowns lasting 420 days or less returned a higher odds ratio associated with herd size (OR: 1.49, 95%CI: 1.32-1.67) than the ordinal model (OR: 1.26, 95%CI: 1.20-1.32). The parameter estimate for the number of outbreak reactors was elevated in the binomial model of breakdowns lasting less than 180 days (OR: 1.56, 95%CI: 1.45-1.49) compared to the

ordinal model (OR: 1.34, 95%CI: 1.26-1.43), and was also diminished in the model of breakdowns lasting 301 days or more (OR: 1.23, 95%CI: 1.03-1.23) and 421 days or more (OR: 1.08, 95%CI: 0.94-1.24).

MLVA Genotype richness

MLVA genotype richness was the most important variable in both count and ordinal models, in terms of both effect size and decrease in model deviance. This was particularly observable in the ordinal regression model (Fig. 4A). The MLVA genotype richness variable was moderately correlated with the number of inwards moves in the year prior to breakdown (r = 0.33), outwards moves in the year prior to breakdown (r = 0.34) and the number of total reactors over the breakdown (r = 0.39). Further investigation into this "total reactors" variable revealed significantly more reactors in herds with a milk license (mean = 11) than herds without a milk license (mean = 6; Univariable Negative Binomial Regression, IRR: 1.7; 95%CI: 1.62-1.79; Fig. 4B). However, the presence of a milk license was only 'marginally significant' in a univariable analysis of breakdown length in both count (IRR: 1.02, 95%CI: 1.00 – 1.05) and ordinal models (OR: 1.16, 95%CI: 1.01-1.27), and was not retained as a predictor of breakdown length in the finals GLMMs after model building. Further analysis showed that whilst mean breakdown length in herds with a milk license was indeed marginally longer (230 days ± 141) than in herds without a milk license (224 days ± 140), some the longest breakdowns were found in herds without milk licenses. For example, there were 27 breakdowns lasting over 1000 days; 10 were in herds with milk licenses, and 17 were in herds without; Fig. 4C. It would therefore appear that whilst production type *per-se* is not a useful predictor of breakdown length, the results show that some variables which vary between production types – the number of reactors over a

breakdown for example (here, confounded with MLVA genotype richness, Fig. 4D), are indeed important predictors of breakdown length.

Badger density results

When modelled using a univariate negative binomial GLM, badger main sett density was a significant predictor of breakdown length (IRR: 1.13, 95%CI: 1.13-1.14). However, this variable was not retained in the final GLMM. Further investigation found that main sett density was correlated with other explanatory variables. Thus, main sett density per-DVO was moderately correlated with breakdown length per-DVO ($r = 0.57$) and with breakdown length per-patch ($r = 0.32$), suggesting that the spatial variables already included in the model, notably DVO, captured the general positive relationship observed between main sett density and breakdown length; Fig. 5A. Furthermore, when compared to a fixed effects univariate GLMs where DVO was the sole predictor of breakdown length, the addition of the main sett density variable did not result in a better fitting model ($\chi^2 = 0.02$, df = 1, $p = 0.90$). An interaction between DVO and sett density was, however, significant when compared to the fixed-effects model with non-interacting DVO and main sett variables ($\chi^2 = 24.24$, df = 9, $p = 0.004$; Table S4 and table S5; Fig. S2), suggesting a differential relationship between sett density and breakdown length on a per-DVO basis which was not immediately observable when data were not stratified by DVO. Fig. 5C-D illustrates this observation. Whilst a positive association was found between main sett density and breakdown length in Ballymena, Coleraine, Dungannon, Larne and Derry/Londonderry DVOs, a negative relationship between sett density and breakdown length was observed in Armagh, Enniskillen, Newry, Newtownards and Omagh DVOs (Table S6). To explore this further, we therefore present a second GLMM, (Table 3) in which main sett

density was permitted to differ on a per-DVO basis (i.e. a random slopes and random intercepts model). It should be noted, however, that the inclusion of the random slopes term for main sett resulted in only marginally improvements, compared to the original GLMM (Table 2) which did not include a random slope for main sett density per-DVO ($\chi^2 = 4.61$, df = 2, $p = 0.099$)

Further analysis also indicated that that the main sett density variable exhibited moderate correlation with mean patch prevalence (r = 0.40; Fig. 3C). To better understand the effect of main sett density on breakdown duration in the absence of spatial confounders, two further alternative models were constructed, both omitting DVO from the random effects component and including *log* main sett in the fixed effects component. These models also incorporated the other fixed-effect variables reported in Table 2, however, one of these models included patch prevalence in the fixed component, and the other did not. In the model which omitted both DVO and *log* patch prevalence, *log* main sett was a significant predictor of breakdown length (OR: 1.08, 95%CI: 1.05- 1.11; Table S7). *Log* main sett was also found to be an important predictor of breakdown length when *log* patch prevalence was included (OR: 1.08, 95%CI: 1.05- 1.11; Table S8), however in this model, *log* patch prevalence was no longer an important predictor of breakdown duration (OR: 1.01, 95%CI: 0.99- 1.11; $\chi^2 = 1.02$, df = 1, $p = 0.31$). Confounding between main sett density and DVO was also observed in the ordinal regression. Thus, main sett density was positively associated with increasing breakdown duration categories in a univariable GLM (OR: 1.59, 95%CI: 1.42-1.78), but the main sett variable was not recovered as an important predictor of breakdown length in the mixed model context. We constructed a univariable ordinal GLM with DVO as the sole predictor of breakdown duration category. The coefficients from this model (i.e. the "risk" associated with each DVO) was positively associated

354 with mean sett density per DVO (r = 0.59). Additionally, the inclusion of the main sett variable
355 in this model did not improve model fit ($\chi^2 = 0.04$, df = 1, $p = 0.84$).
356
357 **Discussion**
358 The heterogeneity in transmission of infections across populations is a well-known
359 phenomenon in many systems (Woolhouse et al. 1997), where a small proportion of the
360 population can contribute disproportionately to disease maintenance. Our work highlights this
361 issue in the context of prolonged bTB breakdowns. The results show that mean breakdown
362 length was 226 days (seven and a half months), and the median was 188 days (six months).
363 However, over 6% of breakdowns in this study lasted over 420 days (13 months, representative
364 of 7 herd-level tests, each 60 days apart, before OTF status was restored). Six variables
365 associated with increasing breakdown length in cattle herds in NI were identified in both models.
366 These can be grouped into three main categories; (1) variables related to herd characteristics,
367 namely herd size and herd type; (2) variables related to undetected residual infection (i.e.
368 infection within-herd), and (3) variables relating to local factors (i.e. infected wildlife, infected
369 contiguous herds and a contaminated environment,).
370
371 The MLVA genotype richness variable exhibited the strongest association with
372 breakdown duration, both regarding effect size and in contribution to model fit. Previous work
373 found that in a small number of herds, likely to be beef fattening enterprises, MLVA genotype
374 accumulation was associated with the inwards purchase of cattle from over a wide geographical
375 extent (Milne et al. 2019b). Despite this, we did not find that the number of inwards movements
376 prior to breakdown was a particularly important predictor of breakdown length, e.g. (Reilly &

Courtenay 2007). However, we did not consider inwards cattle movements *during* a bTB breakdown, as businesses can be required to limit purchasing of cattle whilst bTB restricted, or where testing delays occur, banned from purchasing (a consequence of the bTB control program). Nevertheless, some beef fattening herds may indeed continue to purchase cattle despite the presence of bTB, as such enterprises operate by selling animals straight to slaughter (as opposed to onwards to other herds) and are only minimally impacted by movement restrictions. It is therefore likely that both the elevated MLVA richness and prolonged breakdown periods observed in beef fattening herds are associated with cattle purchases during breakdowns. However, in other herd types, the accumulation of MLVA genotypes may result in the absence of inwards cattle movements if herds are also exposed to infection from contiguous farms, infectious wildlife, or a contaminated environment. Given the spatial structuring of the *M. bovis* population (Skuce et al. 2010), we contend that it is more likely that re-infection from local sources would present with same *M. bovis* strains that are already present in the herd and local geographic area. Increasing MLVA richness would therefore have to be involved with the introduction of MLVA types from over a larger geographical extent. Whilst there is some evidence that badgers can occasionally travel long distances at scales of 7-20km (Byrne et al. 2014a), it may be less likely that long-distance badger movements are an important source of MLVA richness relative to cattle movements which can traverse national scales (Brown et al. 2019). The increased resolution provided by pathogen whole-genome sequencing (WGS), especially when more fully integrated with epidemiological data and modelling, may help to better understand transmission dynamics and the relative role of hosts in a multi-host system (Trewby et al. 2016).

Previous work from NI (Doyle et al. 2016), GB and the ROI (Clegg et al. 2018; Karolemeas et al. 2010; Karolemeas et al. 2011; Olea-Popelka et al. 2008; Wolfe et al. 2010) found that increasing herd size was positively associated with breakdowns lasting longer than 365 days. This may be related to the inability to detect all bTB-positive animals using the non-gold–standard *ante-mortem* SICCT test (Nuñez-Garcia et al. 2017). In NI, the relative sensitivity of the SICCT test may be as low as ~40% in chronically infected herds (Lahuerta-Marin et al. 2018). Undetected animals, where present, represent an ongoing reservoir of residual infection which can lead to recrudescence of infection. The risk associated with herd size, however, may also be confounded with production type. Here, we found that herds with a milk license (i.e. dairy herds) were larger than herds without a milk license. Dairy farms may be associated with particularly intensive production, potentially increasing within-herd transmission (i.e. amplification) of infection (Alvarez et al. 2012; Menzies & Neill 2000). Furthermore, there is some evidence that the SICCT test performs poorly in dairy in NI settings compared to beef (Lahuerta-Marin et al. 2018) which could exacerbate the problem presented by of residual infection. In the final multivariable models presented here, however, the presence of a milk license was not found to be an important predictor of breakdown duration. We hypothesize that other variables which differ between production types (e.g. herd size) have captured some important differences between animal husbandry practices which may be related to breakdown duration.

The number of reactors in the disclosing test was also positively associated with breakdown duration. We speculate that the presence of a large number of reactors at the disclosing test may indicate severity of infection, possibly arising from an environment which

423 facilitates rapid within-herd transmission e.g. intensive farming units, or shared housing (Alvarez
424 et al. 2012). Unless all animals infected with *M. bovis* are identified and removed from the herd
425 as soon as possible, the rapid dissemination of infection will continue, thereby prolonging the
426 outbreak duration (i.e. residual infection leading to within-herd recrudescence). Alternatively,
427 many reactors at the disclosing test may indicate that infection has been either present or
428 introduced since the preceding SICCT test, thereby providing a time period during which
429 dissemination of infection to susceptible hosts within the herd could occur. We found that herd
430 bTB history, measured by the presence of at least one previous breakdown in the study, was also
431 associated with breakdown duration in the count model. Taken together, we hypothesise that a
432 high number of disclosing reactors and a history of bTB indicates the presence of local infection
433 (e.g. a contaminated environment, contiguous herds or infected wildlife), which may lead to
434 increasingly prolonged outbreaks.
435
436 The presence of a lesioned animal at slaughter (LRS) was indicative of longer
437 breakdowns in our models, which is in line with previous findings (Doyle et al. 2016). We argue
438 that the presence of a tuberculosis lesion is often evidence of undetected bTB infection within
439 the herd (Olea-Popelka et al. 2008). Indeed, previous work from NI confirmed that 97% of
440 lesions from LRS animals were confirmed as bTB with histopathology or culture (Byrne et al.
441 2017). The relationship between bTB breakdown length and the presence of associated herds
442 and elevated patch prevalence (Clegg et al. 2018; Doyle et al. 2016) illustrate the risk of
443 infection from the local sources. Here, infection may originate from a shared contaminated
444 environment (e.g. housing or grazing), which could lead to prolonged breakdowns if associated
445 herds also contained infected animals. It may also point to shared use of equipment, or the

446 spreading of contaminated slurry across multiple farms (O'Hagan et al. 2016). The positive
447 relationship between local geography and prolonged breakdowns identified here has been
448 observed previously in GB and the ROI (Olea-Popelka et al. 2004; Reilly & Courtenay 2007).
449 We suggest that geographical location variables (DVO and patch) are also a proxy for highly
450 localised factors which could potentially influence breakdown length via exposure to other
451 infected hosts in the area. These include degree of farm fragmentation, conacre use (shared
452 grazing practice), and opportunities for contact with neighboring cattle (O'Hagan et al. 2016;
453 White et al. 2013).
454
455 *Wildlife and breakdown duration*
456 In the univariable context, we identified a general positive relationship between
457 breakdown duration and main sett density. Unsurprisingly, in the multivariate context, main sett
458 density was confounded with other spatial and local variables (i.e. DVO and patch), making
459 inferences on the contribution of badger density to infection prolongation less clear. It is not yet
460 possible to conclusively distinguish between local sources of infection (which may include
461 wildlife, contiguous herds and environmental contamination), but given our data, we cannot
462 reject the hypothesis that badgers may be involved in the maintenance of local patch bTB
463 prevalence via spillback infection to cattle. Whether infected badger presence has a greater risk
464 of sporadic introduction of infection into herds (singular badger-cattle spillover), than longer-
465 term maintenance within herds (explosive introduction of infection elevated with cattle-cattle
466 transmission), remains to be determined. Whilst this study was unable to conclusively clarify the
467 relationship between badger density and breakdown duration, our data nevertheless reveal
468 important features that warrant further investigation in future studies.

469

470 Thus, despite DVO capturing the risk associated with main sett density and the general
471 positive association between breakdown length and main sett density, there was some evidence
472 of within-DVO effects. Within five DVO areas (Ballymena, Coleraine, Dungannon, Larne and
473 Derry/Londonderry), increasing sett density was generally associated with longer breakdowns.
474 In the other five DVO areas (Armagh, Enniskillen, Newry, Newtownards and Omagh),
475 increasing sett density was generally associated with shorter breakdowns. The five DVOs with a
476 positive association between sett density and breakdown duration were areas of generally lower
477 badger sett densities (Reid et al. 2012). The DVOs with a negative association between sett
478 density and breakdown duration were generally associated with higher badger sett densities.
479 Whilst the interpretation of this is not straightforward, differences in farming practice (e.g. farm
480 fragmentation) or differences in badger ecology (e.g. population context dependent badger
481 dispersal; Byrne et al. 2018) across the region could partially explain this observation.
482 Recent research suggests that the relationship between herd risk from badgers may not be
483 simply wildlife density dependent, but is instead related to the relative frequency of interactions
484 between infected badgers and susceptible cattle (Böhm et al. 2009). Alternatively, it may be that
485 indirect transmission of bTB via, for example, cattle accessing badger latrines, is more critically
486 associated with chronic bTB breakdowns as opposed to wildlife population density *per se*
487 (Campbell et al. 2019; Drewe et al. 2013). Indeed, future research could investigate variation in
488 wildlife TB transmission risk (LaHue et al. 2016) as a function of infection prevalence as well as
489 density, and investigate how that could help to partially explain patterns within cattle data.
490 Furthermore, despite sett density being a convenient metric, we must be careful when inferring
491 the relationship between sett density and population density, as the magnitude of the association

492 can change depending on the local dynamics. For example, population density can increase
493 without necessarily an increase in setts via an increase in the mean group size (Judge et al. 2014).
494 Alternatively, where badger population densities are depressed (e.g. though hunting, culling, or
495 illegal disturbance), sett density metrics can overestimate true local density. In Ireland, sett
496 density was found to be good predictor of increased herd breakdown risk early in a six-year
497 study, but progressively became a weaker predictor as a program of targeted badger culling
498 reduced population density (Byrne et al. 2014b). Therefore, investigating intricate relationships
499 between wildlife and domestic hosts may well require even more detailed information around
500 population abundance at large scales, in the Northern Ireland context this could include mark-
501 recapture and/or the use of remote camera trapping technologies (Campbell et al. 2019).
502

503 **Conclusions**

504 The most important predictor of breakdown duration in our models was elevated MLVA
505 genotype richness, which is often a feature of beef fattening herds and linked to the practice of
506 purchasing cattle from over a wide geographic extent. We conclude that in at least some specific
507 herds, prolonged restriction periods may primarily be a product of inwards cattle movements
508 during a breakdown. For all other herd types, our results support the hypothesis that breakdown
509 duration is principally a function of the inability to eradicate residual infection already present
510 within the herd, and/or repeated infection from the local environ. In many instances, failure to
511 clear residual infection may be related to the poor performance of the *ante-mortem* diagnostic
512 SICCT test, which permits the retention of infected animals. Our data suggest that infected
513 wildlife (captured by sett density), contiguous herds (captured by patch prevalence and
514 associated herds) and a contaminated environment (also captured by patch prevalence) all likely

contribute to varying extents to protracted breakdowns. However, given that it is not yet possible to positively distinguish between these various infection routes, determining the relative contribution each potential source was beyond the scope of this study. We posit that badgers may be involved in prolonging bTB breakdowns via spillback infection into the cattle population, supplemented with cattle-to-cattle transmission (amplification) once infection is introduced to the herd. However, the general positive association between badger sett density and breakdown duration may not simply be a function of badger population density, and could also be product of density-dependent badger behavior which may possibly influence contact rates between badgers and cattle.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the staff in the Agri–Food and Biosciences Institute Veterinary Sciences Division (VSD) who contributed to the bTB strain–typing work.

References

Abernethy DA, Denny GO, Menzies FD, McGuckian P, Honhold N, and Roberts AR. 2006. The Northern Ireland programme for the control and eradication of Mycobacterium bovis. *Veterinary Microbiology* 112:231-237. 10.1016/j.vetmic.2005.11.023

Abernethy DA, Upton P, Higgins IM, McGrath G, Goodchild AV, Rolfe SJ, Broughan JM, Downs SH, Clifton-Hadley R, Menzies FD, de la Rua-Domenech R, Blissitt MJ, Duignan A, and More SJ. 2013. Bovine tuberculosis trends in the UK and the Republic of Ireland, 1995–2010. *Veterinary Record* 172:312-312. 10.1136/vr.100969

AHVLA. 2016. Bovine tuberculosis: Infection status in cattle in GB. Annual surveillance report for the period January to December 2015.

Allen AR, Skuce RA, and Byrne AW. 2018. Bovine Tuberculosis in Britain and Ireland – A Perfect Storm? the Confluence of Potential Ecological and Epidemiological Impediments to Controlling a Chronic Infectious Disease. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science* 5. 10.3389/fvets.2018.00109

546 Brown, E., Marshall, A.H., Mitchell, H.J. and Byrne, A.W., 2019. Cattle movements in
547 Northern Ireland form a robust network: implications for disease management.
548 *Preventive Veterinary Medicine*, 170, p.104740.
549 Byrne, AW, Graham J, Brown C, Donaghy A, Guelbenzu-Gonzalo M, McNair J, Skuce
550 R, Allen A, and McDowell S. 2017. Bovine tuberculosis visible lesions in cattle
551 culled during herd breakdowns: the effects of individual characteristics, trade
552 movement and co-infection. *BMC Veterinary Research* 13:400. 10.1186/s12917-
553 017-1321-z
554 Byrne, A.W., O'Keeffe, J., Buesching, C.D. and Newman, C., 2018. Push and pull
555 factors driving movement in a social mammal: context dependent behavioural
556 plasticity at the landscape scale. *Current Zoology*.
557 https://doi.org/10.1093/cz/zoy081
558 Byrne, A.W., Quinn, J.L., O'Keeffe, J.J., Green, S., Paddy Sleeman, D., Wayne Martin,
559 S. and Davenport, J., 2014. Large-scale movements in E uropean badgers: has
560 the tail of the movement kernel been underestimated?. *Journal of Animal*
561 *Ecology*, *83*(4), pp.991-1001.
562 Byrne, A.W., White, P.W., McGrath, G., James, O. and Martin, S.W., 2014. Risk of
563 tuberculosis cattle herd breakdowns in Ireland: effects of badger culling effort,
564 density and historic large-scale interventions. *Veterinary Research*, 45(1), p.109.
565 Clegg TA, Good M, Hayes M, Duignan A, McGrath G, and More SJ. 2018. Trends and
566 Predictors of Large Tuberculosis Episodes in Cattle Herds in Ireland. *Frontiers in*
567 *Veterinary Science* 5:86.
568 DAERA. 2017. Tuberculosis Staff Instructions. In: Department of Agriculture EaRAVS,
569 editor. Belfast: Department of Agriculture, Environment and Rural Affairs
570 Veterinary Service
571 DAERA. 2018. Tuberculosis disease statistics in Northern Ireland 2018. *Available at*
572 *https://www.daera-ni.gov.uk/publications/tuberculosis-disease-statistics-northern-*
573 *ireland-2018*.
574 Doyle LP, Courcier EA, Gordon AW, O'Hagan MJH, and Menzies FD. 2016. Bovine
575 tuberculosis in Northern Ireland: Risk factors associated with duration and
576 recurrence of chronic herd breakdowns. *Prev Vet Med* 131:1-7.
577 10.1016/j.prevetmed.2016.06.016
578 Gallagher J, and Clifton-Hadley RS. 2000. Tuberculosis in badgers; a review of the
579 disease and its significance for other animals. *Research in Veterinary Science*
580 69:203-217. 10.1053/rvsc.2000.0422
581 Gallagher MJ, Higgins IM, Clegg TA, Williams DH, and More SJ. 2013. Comparison of
582 bovine tuberculosis recurrence in Irish herds between 1998 and 2008. *Prev Vet*
583 *Med* 111:237-244. 10.1016/j.prevetmed.2013.05.004
584 Griffin JM, Hahesy T, Lynch K, Salman MD, McCarthy J, and Hurley T. 1993. The
585 association of cattle husbandry practices, environmental factors and farmer
586 characteristics with the occurence of chronic bovine tuberculosis in dairy herds in
587 the Republic of Ireland. *Prev Vet Med* 17:145-160. https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-
588 5877(93)90025-O
589 Houtsma E, Clegg TA, Good M, and More SJ. 2018. Further improvement in the control
590 of bovine tuberculosis recurrence in Ireland. *Veterinary Record* 183:622-622.
591 10.1136/vr.104642

592 Humblet M-F, Boschiroli ML, and Saegerman C. 2009. Classification of worldwide
593 bovine tuberculosis risk factors in cattle: a stratified approach. *Veterinary*
594 *Research* 40:50. 10.1051/vetres/2009033
595 Karolemeas K, Donnelly CA, Conlan AJK, Mitchell AP, Clifton-Hadley RS, Upton P,
596 Wood JLN, and McKinley TJ. 2012. The effect of badger culling on breakdown
597 prolongation and recurrence of bovine tuberculosis in cattle herds in Great
598 Britain. *PLOS ONE* 7:e51342. 10.1371/journal.pone.0051342
599 Karolemeas K, Mckinley TJ, Clifton-Hadley RS, Goodchild AV, Mitchell A, Johnston WT,
600 Conlan AJK, Donnelly CA, and Wood JLN. 2010. Predicting prolonged bovine
601 tuberculosis breakdowns in Great Britain as an aid to control. *Prev Vet Med*
602 97:183-190. 10.1016/j.prevetmed.2010.09.007
603 Karolemeas K, Mckinley TJ, Clifton-Hadley RS, Goodchild AV, Mitchell A, Johnston WT,
604 Conlan AJK, Donnelly CA, and Wood JLN. 2011. Recurrence of bovine
605 tuberculosis breakdowns in Great Britain: Risk factors and prediction. *Prev Vet*
606 *Med* 102:22-29. 10.1016/j.prevetmed.2011.06.004
607 Lahuerta-Marin A, Gallagher M, McBride S, Skuce R, Menzies F, McNair J, McDowell
608 SWJ, and Byrne AW. 2015. Should they stay, or should they go? Relative future
609 risk of bovine tuberculosis for interferon-gamma test-positive cattle left on farms.
610 *Veterinary Research* 46:90. 10.1186/s13567-015-0242-8
611 Milne GM, Graham J, Allen A, Lahuerta-Marin A, McCormick C, Presho E, Skuce R,
612 and Byrne AW. 2019. Spatiotemporal analysis of prolonged and recurrent bovine
613 tuberculosis breakdowns in Northern Irish cattle herds reveals a new infection
614 hotspot. *Spatial and Spatio-temporal Epidemiology* 28:33-42.
615 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sste.2018.11.002
616 More SJ, Houtsma E, Doyle L, McGrath G, Clegg TA, de la Rua-Domenech R, Duignan
617 A, Blissitt MJ, Dunlop M, Schroeder PG, Pike R, and Upton P. 2018. Further
618 description of bovine tuberculosis trends in the United Kingdom and the Republic
619 of Ireland, 2003-2015. *The Veterinary Record* 183:717-717. 10.1136/vr.104718
620 NIAO. 2018. The control of Bovine Tuberculosis in Northern Ireland. Northern Ireland
621 Audit Office.
622 Reid N, Etherington TR, Wilson GJ, Montgomery WI, and McDonald RA. 2012.
623 Monitoring and population estimation of the European badger Meles meles in
624 Northern Ireland. *Wildlife Biology* 18:46-57.
625 Reilly LA, and Courtenay O. 2007. Husbandry practices, badger sett density and habitat
626 composition as risk factors for transient and persistent bovine tuberculosis on UK
627 cattle farms. *Prev Vet Med* 80:129-142. 10.1016/j.prevetmed.2007.02.002
628 Robinson PA. 2017. Farmers and bovine tuberculosis: Contextualising statutory disease
629 control within everyday farming lives. *Journal of Rural Studies* 55:168-180.
630 10.1016/j.jrurstud.2017.08.009
631 Skuce RA, Mallon TR, McCormick CM, McBride SH, Clarke G, Thompson A, Couzens
632 C, Gordon AW, and McDowell SWJ. 2010. Mycobacterium bovis genotypes in
633 Northern Ireland: herd-level surveillance (2003 to 2008). *Veterinary Record*
634 167:684-689. 10.1136/vr.c5108
635 Wolfe DM, Berke O, Kelton DF, White PW, More SJ, O'Keeffe J, and Martin SW. 2010.
636 From explanation to prediction: A model for recurrent bovine tuberculosis in Irish
637 cattle herds. *Prev Vet Med* 94:170-177. 10.1016/j.prevetmed.2010.02.010

638

Table 1(on next page)

a

Table 1: Summary statistics of the fixed effect explanatory variables.

Variable	Count	Median	Mean	IQR (1st-3rd)
breakdown_length		188	225.6	140-260
herd_size		93	141.2	42-190
outbreak_reactors		1	2.84	1-2
total_reactors		3	7.66	2-8
year_patch_prev		8.85	9.89	6.01-12.55
mean_patch_prev		10.18	10.73	7.83-13.24
MLVA_richness		1	1.25	1-1
main_sett		0.74	0.77	0.56-0.92
outwards_moves_year_before		52	98.22	23-106
inwards_moves_year_be		9	59.78	1-42
LRS	2209			
milk_licence	2360			
associated_herds	1501			
previous_breakdown	2061			
herd_type beef	3617			
herd_type dairy	2275			
herd_type other	98			

Table 2(on next page)

Parameter estimates of the fixed effectexplanatory variables in the final model for both the count model (negativebinomial) and ordinal model .

Table 2: Parameter estimates of the fixed effect explanatory variables in the final model for both the count model (negative binomial) and ordinal model**.**

Variable	IRR	95%CI Lower	95%CI Upper	OR	95%CI Lower	95%CI Upper
log(herd_size)	1.05	1.04	1.06	1.26	1.20	1.32
log(outbreak_reactors)	1.05	1.04	1.06	1.34	1.26	1.43
log(mean_patch_prev)	1.04	1.01	1.07	1.20	1.04	1.37
log(MLVA_Richness)	1.62	1.58	1.67	7.06	6.04	8.24
LRS_binary1	1.12	1.09	1.14	1.79	1.59	2.01
associated_herds_binary1	1.10	1.07	1.13	1.49	1.32	1.69
previous_breakdown	1.04	1.02	1.07	-	-	-

Table 3(on next page)

Parameter estimates of the explanatory variablesin an alternative count model, allowing main sett to vary on a per DVO basis.

Table 3: Parameter estimates of the explanatory variables in an alternative count model, allowing main sett to vary on a per DVO basis**.**

DVO	main_sett slope	Intercept
Armagh	-0.030	5.031
Ballymena	0.039	4.874
Coleraine	-0.004	4.971
Dungannon	-0.031	5.033
Enniskillen	0.047	4.856
Larne	0.017	4.923
Londonderry	0.031	4.892
Newry	-0.107	5.204
Newtownards	-0.010	4.986
Omagh	0.022	4.913

Variable	Est	Std. Error	z	IRR	95%CI Lower	95%CI Upper
Intercept	4.96	0.04	120.1	143	131.89	155.04
log(herd_size)	0.05	0.00	9.80	1.05	1.04	1.06
log(outbreak_reactors)	0.05	0.01	7.57	1.05	1.04	1.06
log(main_sett)	0.01	0.02	0.39	1.01	0.97	1.05
log(MLVA_Richness)	0.48	0.01	34.51	1.62	1.58	1.67
LRS_binary1	0.11	0.01	9.68	1.12	1.09	1.14
associated_herds_binary1	0.09	0.01	7.21	1.10	1.07	1.12
previous_breakdown	0.04	0.01	3.57	1.04	1.02	1.07

Figure 1

The distribution of continuous variables across each DVO area within Northern Ireland.

A number of variables exhibited little variation in the median values per-DVO and are therefore not displayed (outbreak reactors, median = 1 for all DVOs; MLVA Richness, median = 1 for all DVOs).


A Breakdown Length
Coleraine
Londonderry
Ballymena
Larne
Omagh
Dungannon
Newtownards
Enniskillen
Armagh
Newry
B Herd Size
C Total Reactors
D Yearly Patch Prevalence
E Total Patch Prevalence
F Main Sett Density
G Out-moves Before Breakdown
H In-moves Before Breakdown

Figure 2

Distribution of breakdown length



Figure 3

Comparison of parameter estimates across models

Comparison of parameter estimates for the six explanatory variables obtained from the ordinal regression model with four categories (full model), compared to parameter estimates obtained from three binary logistic regression models (model type).



Figure 4

Relationship between (A) MLVA genotype richnessand categorical breakdown duration; (B) how the number of reactors over abreakdown differs between production types; (C) how the breakdown lengthdiffers between production types and; (D) the confounding betwe


A
log(MLVA richness)
2.5
2.0
1.5
1.0
0.5
0.0
<=180 days
<=300 days
<=420 days
>=421 days
Duration Category
B
log(Total reactors)
4
2
0
0
1
Presence(1)/Absence(0) of milk licence
C
log(breakdown length)
7
6
5
4
0
1
Presence(1)/Absence(0) of milk licence
D
log(total_reactors)
4
2
0
0.0
0.5
1.0
1.5
2.0
2.5
log(MLVA richness)
milk_licence_binary
0
1

Figure 5

Correlations