

1 Rehabilitation time has greater influences on soil
2 mechanical composition and erodibility than land type in
3 the hilly-gully region of the Loess Plateau, China

4
5 Leilei Qiao^{1▲}, Wenjing Chen^{1,2▲}, Yang Wu², Hongfei Liu², Jiaoyang
6 Zhang^{3,4}, Guobin Liu^{1, 3}, Sha Xue^{1, 3*}

7
8 ¹ State Key Laboratory of Soil Erosion and Dryland Farming on the Loess Plateau,
9 Institute of Soil and Water Conservation, Northwest A&F University, Yangling
10 712100, P. R. China.

11 ² Collage of Forestry, Northwest A&F University, Yangling 712100, P. R. China.

12 ³ Institute of Soil and Water Conservation, Chinese Academy of Sciences and
13 Ministry of Water Resources, Yangling 712100, P. R. China.

14 ⁴ University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China.

15
16 Address correspond Institute of Soil and Water Conservation, Northwest A&F
17 University, Yangling 712100, P. R. China. E-mail: xuesha100@163.com

18 ▲ Joint first authors

Rehabilitation time has greater influences on soil mechanical composition and erodibility than land type in the hilly-gully region of the Loess Plateau, China

Background. The major landscape in the hilly-gully region of the Loess Plateau is greatly affected by vegetation rehabilitation on abandoned cropland. Although many studies have shown that the rehabilitation have greatly improved soil conditions and protected them from erosion, these effectiveness were not always in consensus possibly due to the land type of vegetation or to the rehabilitation time. To close this gap, we conducted a long term experiment as follows.

Methods. In this study, we analysed four land types of vegetation rehabilitation (shrub land, woodland, naturally revegetated grassland, and orchard land) with different rehabilitation times and investigated the mechanical composition and erodibility of the soil. Areas of slope cropland and natural forest were selected as controls.

Results. The results showed that soil depth, rehabilitation time and rehabilitation land type had strong impacts on soil mechanical composition, micro-aggregation and erodibility. Following rehabilitation, naturally revegetated grassland and shrub land had lower fractal dimensions of particle size distribution (fractal dimensions of PSD), fractal dimensions of micro-aggregation, and erodibility (K factor) than did cropland. Compared to the positive effects of rehabilitation mainly happened in the topsoil layer at other rehabilitation land type, that of woodland happened in the deeper soil layer. Besides, the indispensable rehabilitation time for the significant improvement of soil

Eliminado: variation

Eliminado: s

Eliminado:

condition was shorter at naturally revegetated grassland than that at shrub land and woodland.

Discussion. Although rehabilitation time was more influential than was rehabilitation land type or soil depth, the differences among the rehabilitation land types showed that naturally revegetated grassland is the most time-saving rehabilitation vegetation for the Loess Plateau in the conversion from slope cropland, and the wood-land benefits to the rehabilitation of deeper soil layer. Based on the differences of rehabilitation effectiveness resulting from land type, we should be cautious to choose land types for the rehabilitation of soil conditions in the Loess Plateau.

Key word: erosion; vegetation rehabilitation; fractal dimension; Loess Plateau

Introduction

Soil erosion initiated by either natural or human factors is a serious environmental problem in many parts of the world. It not only caused the degradation of soil quality but also the destruction of ecosystem function and safety (*Chen & Peng 2000; Zheng et al., 2010; Lian et al., 2013; Borrelli et al. 2017*). Severe soil erosion is a serious challenge in the Loess Plateau of China (*Sun et al., 2016b*). To alleviate soil erosion and restore the local ecological environment, the Chinese government implemented the “Grain for Green” programme in 1999 to convert degraded cropland to forest and grassland (*Uchida et al. 2005; Chen et al., 2007a; Uchida et al. 2009; Zhang et al., 2011a; Song et al., 2015*). This programme has greatly decreased soil loss (*Zheng & Fen 2006; Chen et al., 2007b*).

Several studies had examined the effects of plant species changes, land

Comentario [GP1]: The abstract is good but it possible will need to be modified according with the requests from the reviewer and editor (see the letters)

Comentario [GP2]: Not in references

Comentario [GP3]: Not in references

preparation, rainfall intensity, anthropogenic disturbance, afforestation, and land abandonment on the mechanical composition and erodibility of the soil (*Koulouri & Giourga 2007; Keesstra et al., 2009; Xia et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2011b; Moora et al. 2014; Yu et al., 2017*). Soil mechanical composition and micro-aggregate stability were shaped by complicated geophysical and environmental processes and responded to land-use changes, thereby affecting soil hydrological and mechanical functioning and soil erosion (*Wang et al., 2005; Alagöz & Yilmaz 2009; Xiao et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2016*). Many studies have reported positive impacts of vegetation rehabilitation of sloped cropland on soil conditions and soil resistance to erosion (*Xu et al., 2013; Ziadat & Taimeh 2013; Xiao et al., 2014; Fu et al., 2015; Sun et al., 2016b*). Different plant species, with differences in morphology, architecture and other biological characteristics, show variation in their effectiveness for vegetation rehabilitation (*Bochet & García-Fayos 2004; Ghestem et al. 2014; Fu et al., 2015*). However, local precipitation, parent material, disturbance and their interaction and sampling time can influence vegetation rehabilitation and make interpretation of results challenging. Thus, long-term research on the dynamics of soil erosion is necessary to understand the effects of vegetation rehabilitation on soil physical condition while accounting for confounding factors. However, several studies have focused on the effects of different rehabilitation patterns or the dynamic changes following rehabilitation in a certain land type but have not clearly identified the impacts of the various rehabilitation land type on the soil mechanical composition and erodibility during a long-time scale. Soil erosion, solution transformation and soil-moisture are influenced by soil particle size

distribution (PSD) (Mazaheri & Mahmoodabadi 2012; Yu et al., 2015). Land use could influence soil structure and physical and biochemical activity through PSD affected by water erosion (Basic et al., 2004; Su et al., 2004). Therefore, its variation remains to be characterized for understanding and evaluating soil structure and dynamics and the effects of land use on soil structure. Fractal theory, an effective and reliable tool, can be used to characterize it (Chen and Zhou, 2013).

Eliminado:

Eliminado:

Eliminado: .

Eliminado:

Eliminado:

Eliminado:

Eliminado:

The Loess Plateau of China has been suffering serious soil erosion (Liu et al., 2007) mainly caused by the human irrational utilization (Hu et al., 2017). The "Grain for Green" Programs (GGP) launched by Chinese government aimed at reducing soil erosion through replacing degraded cropland with forest and grassland. Since then, a sloped cropland was abandoned and restored naturally and artificially. In this study, we collected comprehensive and long-term data on historic vegetation (e.g., forest, shrubland and grassland) with different rehabilitation times (1) to elucidate the effects of rehabilitation land type, time and soil depth on soil mechanical condition and erodibility; (2) to clearly identify the key influencing factors.

Comentario [GP4]: Provide a better detailed short paragraph about the recent history of the Loess Plateau, which human activities have generated the current conditions of degradation and soil erosion? Agriculture, deforestation, inadequate use of the land, etc.

Eliminado: government

Materials and methods

Experimental area

This study was conducted in Ansai County, Shanxi Province, China (36°31'-37°20'N, 108°52'-109°26'E; 1,012-1,731 m a.s.l.), which lies in the middle part of the Loess Plateau (Fig. 1) (Sun et al., 2016a). This region has a typical semiarid continental climate with a mean annual temperature of 8.8°C, meaning that

125 monthly temperature ranges from 22.5°C in July to 7°C in January and an annual
126 precipitation of 549.1 mm, which mainly occurs between July and September. The
127 landform is characterized by a deeply incised hilly-gully Loess landscape. The soil in
128 this area is mainly Huangmian soil, a Calcic Cambisol classified in the WRB
129 reference system (*FAO/UNESCO/ISRIC, 1988*), originating from wind-blown deposits
130 and characterised by yellow color, absence of bedding, silty texture, looseness,
131 macroporosity, and wetness-induced collapsibility (*Xiao et al., 2014*). This type of soil
132 is characterized by weak cohesion (*Sun et al., 2016a*), which makes it highly
133 susceptible to severe soil erosion.

134 The vegetation cover of the Loess Plateau has changed greatly during the
135 historical ~~period~~; this area was generally occupied by forests and grassland.
136 Overgrazing, deforestation and other land-use patterns led to severe damage to the
137 ecological environment and severe soil erosion by the middle of the last century.
138 Since the late 1950s, the land use type in this region changed remarkably by the GGP,
139 sloping cropland has been replanted with woodland (*Robinia pseudoacacia*),
140 shrubland (*Caragana korshinskii*, *Hippophae rhamnoides*), artificial grassland
141 (*Medicago sativa*) and naturally revegetated grassland to control soil erosion (*Sun et*
142 *al., 2016b*). Much of barren lands and degraded croplands with slopes over 15° were
143 ~~rebuilt~~, accounting for about 14% of hilly Loess Plateau (*Xu et al., 2018*). Artificially
144 and naturally rebui~~lt~~ grassland, shrub land, and planted woodland consist of the main
145 land use types in the region.

146 In this region, before restoration the soil is weakly cohesive and thus prone to

Comentario [GP5]: Provide a succinct review about the original native vegetation in the Loess Plateau landscape, see the papers referenced in my letter to authors.

Eliminado: period,

Eliminado: rebuilt

Eliminado: lted

erosion, with erosion modulus of 10,000-12,000 mg km⁻² yr⁻¹ (Liu, 1999). Recently, some soil and water conservation measure such as revegetation have effectively reduced soil erosion and have successfully restored some degraded ecosystems in this area, where the representative vegetation includes woody plants such as *Robinia pseudoacacia*, shrubs as *Hippophae rhamnoides* and *Caragana microphylla* and herbaceous plants such as *Artemisia sacrorum* and *Stipa bungeana* (Sun et al., 2016a). The wood land area has increased from <5% to >40% since 1980 (Xu et al., 2018) (SFig. 1).

Eliminado:

Eliminado:

Sampling and data collection

Based on the investigation of the history of land use, we selected four types of vegetation rehabilitation of cropland abandoned between July 10 and September 10 in 2011 and 2012, the season in which plant community biomass peaks. The four types were naturally revegetated grassland (with rehabilitation times of 2yr, 5yr, 8yr, 11yr, 15yr, 18yr, 26yr and 30yr), planted shrubland (with rehabilitation times of 5yr, 10yr, 20yr, 30yr, 36yr, 47yr), planted woodland (with rehabilitation times of 5yr, 10yr, 20yr, 37yr and 56yr), and orchard land (with rehabilitation times of 5yr, 10yr and 20yr). All these sites suffering similar farming practices before conversion, and the farmlands had more than 200 years of cultivation history (Deng et al., 2016). Thus, sloping cropland sites were selected as representative of the original condition for the rehabilitation chronosequences of the naturally revegetated grassland, revegetated shrub land, woodland and orchard land. In this area, the climax vegetation is the *Quercus liaotungensis* Koidz (Zhang et al., 2011b), which were naturally regenerated

174 on abandoned land from grassland to shrub land and climax forest (*Q. liaotungensis*)
175 over about 150 years, based on previous research of secondary forests in this area. So,
176 we considered it as representative of the soil-dominated climax community in
177 vegetation rehabilitation to assess the effectiveness of vegetation rehabilitation. These
178 selected sites offered representativeness, typicality and consistency and had similar
179 slope gradients, slope aspects, and topography. The properties of the experimental
180 sites are shown in Table 1.

181 The size of the plots were varied with the plant communities to match their
182 spatial distribution: the replicated plots of 20 × 20m were established in each site of
183 planted woodland (*Robinia pseudoacacia*), while the replicated plots of 10 × 10m
184 were established in each shrub land site (*Caragana microphylla*, *Hippophae*
185 *rhamnoides*) and in each orchard land site. The smaller replicate plots (2 × 2m) were
186 randomly established in each naturally revegetated grassland site (including *Artemisia*
187 *sacrorum*, *A. capillaries*, *A. giraldii*, *Aneurolepidium dasystachys*, *Bothriochloa*
188 *ischaemum*, *Heteropappus altaicus*, *Lespedeza bicolor*, *Stipa bungeana*, *Setaria*
189 *viridis*, and other grasses). The plots were separated by at least 50m.

190 We choose four random sampling to avoid the sampling error. At each sampling
191 plot, after removing ground litter, five soil layers (0-10, 10-20, 20-30, 30-50, and
192 50-100cm) were separately collected with a soil drilling sampler (4cm diameter). The
193 soil samples from the same layer of the same plot were mixed to form one sample.
194 The samples were divided into two parts and were passed through 2mm screens for
195 removing roots, gravel, and coarse fragments. Then each sample was brought to

Con formato: Color de fuente:
Automático

Eliminado:

Eliminado:

Eliminado: , t

Eliminado:

Eliminado:

Eliminado:

Eliminado:

Eliminado:

laboratory. One part was naturally air-dried to measure the organic carbon and analyse soil organic carbon (SOC), total nitrogen (TN), and total phosphorus (TP) contents, particle size distributions and micro-aggregates. The other part was stored in a refrigerator at 4°C to analyse water-soluble amounts (carbon, nitrogen), microbial biomass (carbon, nitrogen), enzyme activity as well as other variables not reported in this paper.

Physical and chemical analyses

The soil bulk density (BD) of each soil layer was measured with the cutting ring method (Ding et al., 2019). SOC was determined using the dichromate oxidation method (Nelson & Sommers, 1982), and TN was determined using the Kjeldahl method (Bremner, 1982). For soil PSD (particle-size distribution) and micro-aggregate analysis, soil samples were analysed by a laser diffraction technique using a Longbench Mastersizer 2000 (Malvern Instruments, Malvern, England) (Xiao et al., 2014). There are some differences between the pretreatment methods for determining soil PSD and micro-aggregate. For soil PSD, soil samples were pretreated with 6% H₂O₂ and 10% HCL to remove organic matter and carbonates and oxides and were soaked in distilled water for 24 h, then mechanically dispersed with 0.4% Calgon by an ultrasonic bath for 5 min. For micro-aggregate determination, the soil samples were soaked in distilled water for 24 h and mechanically dispersed in ultrasonication for 5 min (Xiao et al., 2014).

224 **Fractal features**

225 The fractal dimension of the PSD and micro-aggregation were calculated by the
226 following formula (Tyler & Wheatcraft 1992):

227
$$V(r < R_i) / V_T = (R_i / R_{max})^{3-D}$$

228 where r is the particle diameter, R_i is the particle size of subinterval i in the
229 particle size grading, $V(r < R_i)$ is the total volume of soil particles with diameter less
230 than R_i , V_T is the sum volume of soil particles, and R_{max} is the maximum diameter of
231 soil particles.

232 **Erodibility (K)**

233 Soil erodibility was measured by the K factor in the EPIC model using SOC
234 content and soil PSD (Williams et al., 1984) and was calculated as follows:

235
$$K = \{0.2 + 0.3 \exp[-0.0256 \text{SAN}(1 - 0.01 \text{SIL})]\} \times \left(\frac{\text{SIL}}{\text{CLA} + \text{SIL}}\right)^{0.3} \times \left(1.0 - \frac{0.25 C}{C + \exp^2(3.72 - 2.95 C)}\right)$$

236
$$\times \left(1.0 - \frac{0.25 C}{\text{SNI} + \exp^2(-5.51 + 22.9 \text{SNI})}\right)$$

237 where SAN, SIL, and CLA are the sand (%), silt (%), and clay (%) fractions,
238 respectively; C is the soil organic carbon content (%); and SNI = 1-SAN/100.

239 **Statistical analysis**

240 Three-way ANOVA was performed to test the effects of rehabilitation land type
241 (naturally revegetated grassland, woodland, shrub land, orchard land), rehabilitation
242 time (years since sloping cropland abandonment) and soil depth (0-10cm, 10-20cm,
243 20-30cm, 30-50cm, and 50-100cm) on soil mechanical composition and erodibility.

Eliminado:

Eliminado:

Eliminado:

Eliminado:

Eliminado:

Eliminado:

250 Significance was evaluated at the 0.05 level ($P < 0.05$). Duncan's (D) post hoc test
251 was used to perform multiple comparisons when significance of the ANOVA was
252 observed. The differences between the natural forest and various types of vegetation
253 rehabilitation at each last restoration year were examined by student's t test. Pearson
254 correlation analysis was used to analyse the correlations among particle fractal
255 dimension, micro-aggregate fractal dimension, erodibility and soil nutrients, soil
256 texture, and recovery time. In addition, linear regression analysis was used to
257 determine the relationships between each of particle fractal dimension,
258 micro-aggregate fractal dimension, and erodibility and recovery time in each soil
259 layer between 0 and 100 cm. All of the above statistical analyses were conducted
260 using SPSS 17.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and R version 3.4.4.

261 Results

262 Rehabilitation time, and rehabilitation land type had significant effects on the
263 soil PSD fractal dimension and K factor, only the rehabilitation land type had
264 significant effects on the soil micro-aggregate fractal dimension (Table 2). PSD fractal
265 dimension, micro-aggregate fractal dimension and erodibility showed trends of
266 decline since cropland in all land types. However, PSD fractal dimension,
267 micro-aggregate fractal dimension and erodibility varied among the land types (Figs.
268 2-4).

269 *The rehabilitation pattern in naturally revegetated grassland*

270 Fractal dimensions of PSD and K factor began to show greater decreases in

Con formato: Color de fuente:
Automático

Con formato: Color de fuente:
Automático

Eliminado: Naturally

naturally revegetated grassland than in cropland at 5th, 11th years, respectively, since cropland abandonment. And these trends mainly occurred in 0-20cm, gradually weaken with depth. Overall, the minimum time before significant decreases appeared in the particle fractal dimension, soil micro-aggregate fractal dimension and erodibility varied among the different rehabilitation land types; in general, the times were shorter for naturally revegetated grassland than for the other land types (Figs. 2-4) (STable 1-3). Naturally revegetated grassland did not differ from natural forest in fractal dimensions of micro-aggregation or K factor (20-100cm) over rehabilitation time (Figs. 5-7) (STable 1-3). Linear regression revealed that fractal dimensions of PSD decreased with the number of years since farmland conversion in the 0-50cm (except in 20-30cm) (Figs. 2-4) (STable 1-3).

The rehabilitation pattern in Wood land

Fractal dimensions of PSD, fractal dimensions of micro-aggregation and K factor began to show greater decrease in woodland than in cropland in the 10th, 10th and 37th respectively since cropland abandonment (Figs. 2-4) (STable 1-3). Over rehabilitation time, woodland did not differ from natural forest in fractal dimensions of PSD (30-100cm) and fractal dimensions of micro-aggregation (0-100cm), K factor in woodland did not differ from natural forest (0-30cm) and even was lower than that in natural forest (30-100cm) (Figs. 5-7) (STable 1-3). Compared to fractal dimensions of micro-aggregation and K factor, fractal dimensions of PSD in this site showed a clear decreasing trend at the all soil layers (Figs. 2-4) (STable 1-3).

Eliminado:

Eliminado:

Eliminado:

Eliminado: o

Eliminado:

The rehabilitation pattern in Shrub land

Fractal dimensions of PSD, fractal dimensions of micro-aggregation and K factor began to show greater decreases in shrub land than in cropland in the 20th, 36th and 30th years, respectively, since cropland abandonment, and tended to have significant differences with cropland since then (Figs. 2-4) (STable 1-3). The decreasing trend of fractal dimensions of PSD and fractal dimensions of micro-aggregation occurred in 0-100cm, but it gradually weakened with increasing depth. After rehabilitation, naturally revegetated grassland were also higher than natural forest in fractal dimensions of PSD (0-50cm), fractal dimensions of micro-aggregation (0-30cm) and K factor (0-10cm) (Figs. 5-7) (STable 1-3), and had no difference with that of natural forest at the deep layer. Linear regression indicated that fractal dimensions of PSD decreased with the number of years since farmland conversion in the 0-100 cm (except 30-50cm) (Figs. 2-4) (STable 1-3).

The rehabilitation pattern in Orchard land

Fractal dimensions of PSD and fractal dimensions of micro-aggregation showed a trend of lower levels in orchard land than in cropland, but there are no significant differences between them (Figs. 2-4) (STable 1-3). However, K factor in this site didn't decrease after a long-term rehabilitation. Following rehabilitation, fractal dimensions of PSD, fractal dimensions of micro-aggregation, and K factor were significantly higher in orchard land than in natural forest at shallow soil layer (Figs. 5-7) (STable 1-3). Linear regression revealed that fractal dimensions of PSD decreased with the number of years since farmland conversion in the 0-10 cm soil

321 layers (Figs. 2-4) (STable 1-3).

322 **Discussion**

323 ***Effects of rehabilitation time on soil mechanical composition and erodibility***

324 In our study, rehabilitation time was a key factor in driving changes in soil
325 mechanical condition, erodibility and properties (Table 2) (Fig. 8). The fractal
326 dimensions of PSD, K factor of the soil in the various rehabilitation land types
327 showed decreasing trends following rehabilitation (Figs. 2-4) (Table 1-3). These
328 changes were mainly due to the large amounts of soil nutrients released by residues
329 and decomposing dead roots, and they promote plant growth and rehabilitation
330 succession (Guo et al., 2013). Fractal dimensions of PSD, K factor positively
331 correlated with SOC, and the improvement of mechanical conditions were mainly
332 explained by the soil nutrient levels (Table 3). Soil organic matter, as a binding agent,
333 favoured soil structure stabilization and infiltration and protected it from erosion
334 (García-Orenes et al. 2012). In addition, well-developed root systems played a vital
335 role in soil mechanical functioning and actively exude substrates, such as
336 polysaccharides, phenolic compounds, and polygalacturonic acid that affect soil
337 particle cohesion and aggregation (Hodge et al. 2009; Sun et al., 2016b). Furthermore,
338 by directly binding soil particles in situ, plant roots prevented soil from being blown
339 or washed away (Reubens et al., 2007). Dense canopies and ground litter following
340 rehabilitation protected soil aggregates from breakdown and prevent particles from
341 being washed away by raindrop energy and runoff (Zuazo & Pleguezuelo 2009; Wang
342 et al., 2008).

Comentario [GP6]: Discuss in all the sections if there is a relative similitude of the species used in the rehabilitation to the original native vegetation present in the Loess Plateau, and the eventual effects of using other (?exotic) alternative species. Provide also a conclusion about this important point.

Con formato: Color de fuente: Automático

Con formato: Color de fuente: Automático

Con formato: Color de fuente: Automático

Con formato: Color de fuente: Automático

Eliminado: . And

Eliminado: cía -

Con formato: Inglés (Estados Unidos)

Eliminado: acid, that

Effects of rehabilitation land type on soil mechanical composition and erodibility

Vegetation rehabilitation type was the most influential factor in driving soil mechanical composition and erodibility (Table 2) (Fig. 8). Variation in vegetation recovery patterns and plant traits led to variation in the production and release of soil organic matter, which affect soil crusting, splash, aggregate size and stability. For example, high levels of soil organic matter reduced soil vulnerability to detachment by surface flow, rain splash and other erosion-inducing phenomena (Xiao *et al.*, 2014; Fu *et al.*, 2015). Litters with different chemical composition among different plant species would impact decomposition rates and the release of soil organic matter (Ayres *et al.*, 2006). Thus naturally revegetated grassland site dominated with high quality litter showed faster circulating rates than shrub and tree sites dominated with relative low quality litter. This phenomenon may explain why the recovery time needed to reach significant improvements of soil mechanical conditions and soil erosion was shorter for naturally revegetated grassland than for woodland and shrub land. Our results are in accordance with the study of Yu *et al.* (2015), which showed that high concentrations of soil organic matter greatly affected the fractal dimensions of PSD and generally facilitated the improvement of soil structure. In addition, vegetation alleviates erosion of soil by its canopy effectively reducing water-induced soil erosion (Kutílek 1995; Mohammad & Adam 2010; Wei *et al.*, 2010). However, that effectiveness was different from various land types. In naturally revegetated grassland site, the lower vegetation layer was more effective in reducing the kinetic

Eliminado: high

Comentario [GP7]: Not in references

Eliminado: ese

Eliminado: ere

energy of rainfall striking the soil surface than the tall vegetation in shrub land and woodland. Owing to the lack of roots at deep soil layer, the naturally revegetated grassland only showed positive effect at the shallow~~est~~ soil layer (0-10cm). However, due to the stronger stretching ability of the trees roots, woodland site also showed the potential of alleviate soil erosion at deep~~er~~ layers~~s~~. The soil loss in orchard land was continued ~~over a long time~~ owing to human disturbances (such as production management and tillage practices) and the absence of surface cover protection. ~~This~~ leads to the breakdown of shallow soil aggregates and the washing away of soil particles by raindrop energy and runoff (Blanco-Canqui & Lal 2008; Wang et al., 2008).

Eliminado:

Eliminado: , which

Comentario [GP8]: All the references have to be edited with "&" instead "and"

Effects of soil depth on soil fractal dimension and erodibility

In the ~~analysed~~ four types of vegetation rehabilitation, soil depth had large influences on soil mechanical composition and erodibility (Table 2) (Figs. 8) being consistent with previous studies (Xiao et al., 2014). In our study, the positive effects of vegetation recovery mainly occurred in the topsoil with the higher reduction rates of fractal dimensions of PSD, fractal dimensions of micro-aggregation and K factor in the topsoil than in the subsoil (Figs. 2-4) (STable 1-3). This pattern was resulting from the variation in plant root distribution density decreasing along soil depth (Reubens et al., 2007); thus, the deeper soil layers were, the weaker the improvements of soil conditions were (Sun et al., 2014). In addition, soil nutrients accumulated near the soil surface ~~due to the decomposition of vegetation litter and~~ ~~by influence of the~~

Eliminado: also

biogeochemical cycling (Wang *et al.*, 2014).

Conclusion

Our study suggested that vegetation rehabilitation time, type and soil depth significantly affects soil mechanical composition and erosion. Following the conversion of sloping cropland to naturally revegetated grassland, shrub land or woodland, the soil structure gradually recovered, and the resistance of the soil against erosive forces gradually increased, primarily within the topsoil. For the conversion of sloping cropland, the natural restoration process of grass represents a more efficient rehabilitation practice than does the planting of other vegetation types. Meanwhile, the woodland was the best type to improve soil mechanical condition and the erodibility of deep soil layer. Based on the differences of rehabilitation effectiveness among the rehabilitation land type, it is important to carefully select land types for the rehabilitation of soil conditions in the Loess Plateau. Our study, conducted at the regional scale, revealed the effects of vegetation rehabilitation on soil erosion in the Loess Plateau, China, ~~but it strongly~~ contributes to our understanding of the mechanisms through which rehabilitation improves soil quality and provides a suggestion for ecosystem management in arid and semi-arid regions.

Acknowledgements

We thank the anonymous referees and editors of the journal who provided valuable comments and suggestions on our manuscript. This research was funded by

Eliminado: . This study

the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2016YFC0501707).

References

Alagöz Z, and Yilmaz E. 2009. Effects of different sources of organic matter on soil aggregate formation and stability: A laboratory study on a Lithic Rhodoxeralf from Turkey. *Soil and Tillage Research* **103**: 419-424.

Ayres E, Dromph KM, Bardgett RD. 2006. Do plant species encourage soil biota that specialise in the rapid decomposition of their litter? *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* **38**: 183-186.

Basic, F, Kisic, I, Mesic, M, Nestroy, O, Butorac, A. 2004. Tillage and crop management effects on soil erosion in central Croatia. *Soil & Tillage Research* **78**: 197-206.

Blanco-Canqui H, and Lal R. 2008. Principles of soil conservation and management: Springer Science & Business Media.

Bochet E, and García-Fayos P. 2004. Factors Controlling Vegetation Establishment and Water Erosion on Motorway Slopes in Valencia, Spain. *Restoration Ecology* **12**: 166-174.

Borrelli P, Robinson DA, Fleischer LR, Lugato E, Ballabio C, Alewell C, Meusburger K, Modugno S, Schütt B, Ferro V, Bagarello V, Oost KV, Montanarella L, and Panagos P. 2017. An assessment of the global impact of 21st century land use change on soil erosion. *Nature Communications* **8**:2013.

Bremner J M, Mulvaney C S. 1982. Nitrogen-total. *Agronomy monography* 9. In:

Comentario [GP9]: Please, the entire reference list must be improved, each reference should be written following the PeeJ style consistently. Please see the instructions for authors or a previously published paper.

Examples:

Gradstein FM, Ogg JG, Hilgen FJ. 2012. [On the geologic time scale](#). *Newsletters on Stratigraphy* **45**:171-188

Groenewald GH, Welman J, MacEachern JA. 2001. [Vertebrate burrow complexes from the early Triassic Cynognathus Zone \(Driekoppen Formation, Beaufort Group\) of the Karoo Basin, South Africa](#). *Palaios* **16**:148-160

Chinsamy-Turan A, Hurum JH. 2012. [The radiation, bone histology, and biology of early mammals](#). In: Chinsamy-Turan A, ed. [Forerunners of mammals: radiation, histology, biology](#). Bloomington: Indiana University Press. 249-270

437 Page A L, Miller R H, Keeney D R. Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 2, Chemical
438 and Microbial Properties, Madison: Agronomy Society of America, 595–624.

439 **Chen F, Peng BZ. 2000.** The effect of land use changes on soil conditions in arid
440 region. *Chinese Geographical Science* **10**: 226-230.

441 **Chen L, Fu GB, Huang Z, Huang Y, Gui L. 2007a.** Effect of land use conversion on
442 soil organic carbon sequestration in the loess hilly area, loess plateau of China.
443 *Ecological Research* **22**: 641-648 DOI 10.1007/s11284-006-0065-1

444 **Chen L, Wei W, Fu B, Lu Y. 2007b.** Soil and Water Conservation on the Loess Plateau
445 in China: Review and Perspective. *Progress in Physical Geography* **31**:
446 3547-3554 DOI 10.1177/0309133307081290

447 **Chen, X, Zhou, J. 2013.** Volume-based soil particle fractal relation with soil
448 erodibility in a small watershed of purple soil. *Environmental Earth Sciences* **70**:
449 1735-1746.

450 **Deng, L, Wang, K, Tang, Z, Shangguan, Z. 2016.** Soil organic carbon dynamics
451 following natural vegetation restoration: Evidence from stable carbon isotopes
452 ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$). *Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment* **221**: 235-244.

453 **Ding, LL, Wang, PC, Zhang, W, Zhang, Y, Li, SG, Wei, X, Chen, X, Zhang, YJ,**
454 **Yang, FL. 2019.** Shrub Encroachment Shapes Soil Nutrient Concentration,
455 Stoichiometry and Carbon Storage in an Abandoned Subalpine Grassland.
456 *Sustainability* 11, 17.

457 **Fu BJ, Wang YF, Lu YH, He CS, Chen LD, Song CJ. 2015.** The effects of land-use

458 combinations on soil erosion: a case study in the Loess Plateau of China. *Progress*
459 *in Physical Geography* **33**: 793-804 DOI 10.1177/0309133309350264

460 **FAO/UNESCO/ISRIC. 1988.** Soil map of the world; revised legend. *World Soil*
461 *Resource Report*, vol. 60. Rome, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United
462 Nations.

463 **García-Orenes F, Roldán A, Mataix - Solera J, Cerdà A, Campoy M, Arcenegui**
464 **V, and Caravaca F. 2012.** Soil structural stability and erosion rates influenced by
465 agricultural management practices in a semi - arid Mediterranean agro -
466 ecosystem. *Soil Use and Management* **28**: 571-579.

467 **Gao L, Bowker MA, Xu M, Sun H, Tuo D, Zhao Y. 2017.** Biological soil crusts
468 decrease erodibility by modifying inherent soil properties on the Loess Plateau,
469 China. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* **105**: 49-58 DOI
470 10.1016/j.soilbio.2016.11.009

471 **Ghestem M, Veylon G, Bernard A, Vanel Q, and Stokes A. 2014.** Influence of plant
472 root system morphology and architectural traits on soil shear resistance. *Plant and*
473 *Soil* **377**: 43-61.

474 **Guo C, Dannenmann M, Gasche R, Zeller B, Papen H, Polle A, Rennenberg H,**
475 **Simon J. 2013.** Preferential use of root litter compared to leaf litter by beech
476 seedlings and soil microorganisms. *Plant & Soil* **368**: 519-534 DOI
477 10.1007/s11104-012-1521-z.

Eliminado: cía -

Con formato: Inglés (Estados Unidos)

Comentario [GP10]: Not cited in text

479 **Hodge A, Berta G, Doussan C, Merchan F, and Crespi M. 2009.** Plant root growth,
480 architecture and function. *Plant and Soil* **321**: 153-187.

481 **Hu F, Liu J, Xu C, Wang Z, Liu G, Li H, Zhao S. 2018.** Soil internal forces initiate
482 aggregate breakdown and splash erosion. *Geoderma* **320**: 43-51 DOI
483 10.1016/j.geoderma.2018.01.019

Comentario [GP11]: Not cited in text

484 **Hu, J, Lu, Y, Fu, B, Comber, AJ, Harris, P. 2017.** Quantifying the effect of
485 ecological restoration on runoff and sediment yields: A meta-analysis for the
486 Loess Plateau of China. *Progress in Physical Geography-Earth and Environment*
487 **41**: 753-774.

488 **Keesstra SD, Dam OV, Verstraeten G, Huissteden JV. 2009.** Changing sediment
489 dynamics due to natural reforestation in the Dragonja catchment, SW Slovenia.
490 *Catena* **78**: 60-71 DOI 10.1016/j.catena.2009.02.021

491 **Koulouri, M, Giourga, C. 2007.** Land abandonment and slope gradient as key factors
492 of soil erosion in Mediterranean terraced lands. *Catena* **69**: 274-281 DOI
493 10.1016/j.catena.2006.07.001

494 **Lian, J, Zhao XY, Zuo XA, Wang SK, Wang XY, Luo YQ. 2013.** Land cover
495 changes and the effects of cultivation on soil properties in Sheliwu wetland, Horqin
496 Sandy Land, Northern China. *Journal of Arid Land* **5**: 71-79 DOI
497 10.1007/s40333-013-0143-5

498 **Liu, GB. 1999.** Soil conservation and sustainable agriculture on the Loess Plateau:

499 Challenges and prospects. *Ambio* **28**: 663-668.

500 **Liu, SL, Guo, XD, Fu, BJ, Lian, G, Wang, J. 2007.** The effect of environmental
501 variables on soil characteristics at different scales in the transition zone of the
502 Loess Plateau in China. *Soil Use and Management* **23**: 92-99.

503 **Mazaheri MR, and Mahmoodabadi M. 2012.** Study on infiltration rate based on
504 primary particle size distribution data in arid and semiarid region soils. *Arabian*
505 *Journal of Geosciences*, **5**: 1039-1046.

Con formato: Fuente: Sin Negrita

Con formato: Fuente: Sin Negrita,
Cursiva

Con formato: Fuente: Sin Negrita

506 **Mohammad AG, Adam MA. 2010.** The impact of vegetative cover type on runoff and
507 soil erosion under different land uses. *Catena* **81**: 97-103 DOI:
508 10.1016/j.catena.2010.01.008

509 **Moora M, Davison J, Öpik M, Metsis M, Saks Ü, Jairus T, Vasar M, and Zobel M.**
510 **2014.** Anthropogenic land use shapes the composition and phylogenetic structure
511 of soil arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal communities. *FEMS microbiology ecology*
512 **90**: 609-621.

513 **Nelson D W, Sommers L E. 1982.** Total carbon, organic carbon, and organic matter.
514 Agronomy nonograph 9. In: Page A L, Miller RH, Keeney DR. *Methods of Soil*
515 *Analysis, Part 2, Chemical and Microbial Properties*. Madison: Agronomy Society
516 of America, 539-552

517 **Parysow P, Wang G, Gertner G, Anderson AB. 2003.** Spatial uncertainty analysis
518 for mapping soil erodibility based on joint sequential simulation. *Catena* **53**:65-78

519 DOI 10.1016/S0341-8162(02)00198-4

Comentario [GP12]: Not in text

520 **Reubens B, Poesen J, Danjon F, Geudens G, Muys B. 2007.** The role of fine and
521 coarse roots in shallow slope stability and soil erosion control with a focus on root
522 system architecture: a review. *Trees* **21**: 385-402 DOI
523 10.1007/s00468-007-0132-4

524 **Song Z, Zhang C, Liu G, Dong Q, Xue S. 2015.** Fractal Feature of Particle-Size
525 Distribution in the Rhizospheres and Bulk Soils during Natural Recovery on the
526 Loess Plateau, China. *Plos One* **10**: e0138057 DOI
527 10.1371/journal.pone.0140305

528 **Su, YZ, Zhao, HL, Zhao, WZ, Zhang, TH. 2004.** Fractal features of soil particle size
529 distribution and the implication for indicating desertification. *Geoderma* **122**:
530 43-49.

Movido (inserción) [1]

531 **Su ZA, Zhang JH, Nie XJ. 2010.** Effect of Soil Erosion on Soil Properties and Crop
532 Yields on Slopes in the Sichuan Basin, China. *Pedosphere* **20**: 736-746 DOI
533 10.1016/S1002-0160(10)60064-1

Comentario [GP13]: Not in text

534 **Sun C, Liu G, Xue S. 2016a.** Land-Use Conversion Changes the Multifractal Features
535 of Particle-Size Distribution on the Loess Plateau of China. *International Journal*
536 *of Environmental Research & Public Health* **13** DOI 10.3390/ijerph13080785

Eliminado: ¶

Con formato: Español (Uruguay)

537 **Sun C, Liu G, Xue S. 2016b.** Natural succession of grassland on the Loess Plateau of
538 China affects multifractal characteristics of soil particle-size distribution and soil

540 nutrients. *Ecological Research* **31**: 1-12 DOI 10.1007/s11284-016-1399-y

541 **Sun W, Shao Q, Liu J, Zhai J. 2014.** Assessing the effects of land use and topography
542 on soil erosion on the Loess Plateau in China. *Catena* **121**: 151-163 DOI
543 10.1016/j.catena.2014.05.009

544 **Tyler SW, Wheatcraft SW, 1992.** Fractal Scaling of Soil Particle-Size Distributions:
545 Analysis and Limitations. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* **56**: 362-369
546 DOI 10.2136/sssaj1992.03615995005600020005x

547 **Uchida E, Rozelle S, and Xu J. 2009.** Conservation payments, liquidity constraints,
548 and off-farm labor: impact of the Grain-for-Green Program on rural households in
549 China. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics* **91**: 70-86.

550 **Uchida E, Xu J, and Rozelle S. 2005.** Grain for Green: Cost-Effectiveness and
551 Sustainability of China's Conservation Set-Aside Program. *Land Economics* **81**:
552 247-264.

553 **Wang B, Liu GB, Xue S, Zhu B. 2011.** Changes in soil physico-chemical and
554 microbiological properties during natural succession on abandoned farmland in
555 the Loess Plateau. *Environmental Earth Sciences* **62**: 915-925 DOI
556 10.1007/s12665-010-0577-4

Con formato: Português (Brasil)

Comentario [GP14]: Not cited in text

557 **Wang D, Fu B, Zhao W, Hu H, Wang Y. 2008.** Multifractal characteristics of soil
558 particle size distribution under different land-use types on the Loess Plateau,
559 China. *Catena* **72**: 29-36 DOI 10.1016/j.catena.2007.03.019

560 **Wang G, Gertner G, Liu X, Anderson A. 2001.** Uncertainty assessment of soil
561 erodibility factor for revised universal soil loss equation. *Catena* **46**: 1-14 DOI
562 10.1016/S0341-8162(01)00158-8

Comentario [GP15]: Not cited in text

563 **Wang HM, Wang WJ, Chen H, Zhang Z, Mao Z, Zu YG. 2014.** Temporal changes
564 of soil physic-chemical properties at different soil depths during larch
565 afforestation by multivariate analysis of covariance. *Ecology & Evolution* **4**:
566 1039-1048 DOI: 10.1002/ece3.947

567 **Wang J G, Yang W, Yu B, Li ZX, Cai CF, Ma RM. 2016.** Estimating the influence of
568 related soil properties on macro- and micro-aggregate stability in ultisols of
569 south-central China. *Catena* **137**: 545-553 DOI 10.1016/j.catena.2015.11.001

Con formato: Portugués (Brasil)

570 **Wang XD, Liu SZ, Liu GC. 2005.** Fractal Characteristics of Soil under Different
571 Land-Use Patterns in the Arid and Semi-Arid Region of the Western Tibet Plateau,
572 China. *Wuhan University Journal of Natural Sciences* **10**: 785-790

573 **Wei W, Chen L, Fu B, Chen J. 2010.** Water erosion response to rainfall and land use
574 in different drought-level years in a loess hilly area of China. *Catena* **81**: 24-31
575 DOI 10.1016/j.catena.2010.01.002

576 **Williams JR, Jones CA, Dyke PT, 1984.** A modeling approach to determining the
577 relationship between erosion and soil productivity. *Transactions of the ASAE* **27**:
578 129-144.

579 **Xia L, Zhang GC, Heathman GC, Wang YQ, Huang CH. 2009.** Fractal features of

soil particle-size distribution as affected by plant communities in the forested region of Mountain Yimeng, China. *Geoderma* **154**: 123-130 DOI 10.1016/j.geoderma.2009.10.005

Xiao L, Xue S, Liu GB, Zhang C. 2014. Fractal features of soil profiles under different land use patterns on the Loess Plateau, China. *Journal of Arid Land* **6**: 550-560 DOI 10.1007/s40333-014-0023-7

Xu, M, Wang, Z, Zhao, Y. 2018. Stratification ratio of soil organic carbon as an indicator of carbon sequestration and soil quality in ecological restoration. *Restoration Ecology* **26**: 555-562.

Xu G, Li Z, Li P. 2013. Fractal features of soil particle-size distribution and total soil nitrogen distribution in a typical watershed in the source area of the middle Dan River, China. *Catena* **101**: 17-23 DOI 10.1016/j.catena.2012.09.013

Yu J, Lv X, Bin M, Wu H, Du S, Zhou M, Yang Y, Han G. 2015. Fractal features of soil particle size distribution in newly formed wetlands in the Yellow River Delta. *Scientific Reports* **5**: 10540 DOI 10.1038/srep10540

Zhang C, Xue S, Liu GB, Song, ZL. 2011a. A comparison of soil qualities of different revegetation types in the Loess Plateau, China. *Plant & Soil* **347**: 163-178 DOI 10.1007/s11104-011-0836-5

Zhang GH, Liu GB, Wang GL, Wang, YX. 2011b. Effects of Vegetation Cover and Rainfall Intensity on Sediment-Bound Nutrient Loss, Size Composition and

600 Volume Fractal Dimension of Sediment Particles. *Pedosphere* **21**: 676-684 DOI
601 10.1016/S1002-0160(11)60170-7

Movido (inserción) [2]

602 Zhang, YW, Deng, L, Yan, WM, Shangguan, ZP. 2016. Interaction of soil water
603 storage dynamics and long-term natural vegetation succession on the Loess
604 Plateau, China. *Catena* **137**: 52-60.

Comentario [GP16]: Not in text

605
606 **Zheng FL. 2006.** Effect of Vegetation Changes on Soil Erosion on the Loess Plateau.
607 *Pedosphere* **16**: 420-427 DOI 10.1016/S1002-0160(06)60071-4

Subido [1]: Su ZA, Zhang JH, Nie XJ. 2010. Effect of Soil Erosion on Soil Properties and Crop Yields on Slopes in the Sichuan Basin, China. *Pedosphere* **20**: 736-746 DOI 10.1016/S1002-0160(10)60064-1¶

Eliminado: ¶

Con formato: Español (Uruguay)

Comentario [GP17]: Not in text

608 **Ziadat FM, and Taimeh AY. 2013.** Effect of rainfall intensity, slope, land use and
609 antecedent soil moisture on soil erosion in an arid environment. *Land Degradation*
610 *& Development* **24**: 582-590.

611 **Zhu B, Li Z, Li P, Liu G, Xue S. 2010.** Soil erodibility, microbial biomass, and
612 physical-chemical property changes during long-term natural vegetation
613 rehabilitation: a case study in the Loess Plateau, China. *Ecological Research* **25**:
614 531-541.

Comentario [GP18]: Not in text

615 **Zhuang J, McCarthy JF, Perfect E, Mayer LM, Jastrow JD. 2008.** Soil Water
616 Hysteresis in Water-Stable Microaggregates as Affected by Organic Matter. *Soil*
617 *Science Society of America Journal* **72**: 212-220 DOI 10.2136/sssaj2007.0001

Comentario [GP19]: Not in text

Subido [2]: Zhang, YW, Deng, L, Yan, WM, Shangguan, ZP. 2016. Interaction of soil water storage dynamics and long-term natural vegetation succession on the Loess Plateau, China. *Catena* **137**: 52-60.¶

618
619 **Zuazo VcHD, and Pleguezuelo CRoR. 2009.** Soil-erosion and runoff prevention by

633

plant covers: a review. *Sustainable agriculture*: Springer, 785-811.

Eliminado:

Con formato: Fuente: Cursiva

634