

Intra-individual heteroplasmy in the *Gentiana tongolensis* plastid genome (Gentianaceae)

Shan-Shan Sun¹, **Xiao-Jun Zhou**^{Corresp., 1}, **Zhi-zhong Li**^{2, 3}, **Hong-Yang Song**¹, **Zhi-Cheng Long**⁴, **Peng-cheng Fu**^{Corresp. 1}

¹ College of Life Science, Luoyang Normal University, Luoyang, Henan, the People's Republic of China

² Key Laboratory of Aquatic Botany and Watershed Ecology, Wuhan Botanical Garden, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Wuhan, Hubei, the People's Republic of China

³ University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, the People's Republic of China

⁴ HostGene. Co., Ltd, Wuhan, Hubei, the People's Republic of China

Corresponding Authors: Xiao-Jun Zhou, Peng-cheng Fu
Email address: lynubio@126.com, fupengc@lynu.edu.cn

Chloroplasts are typically inherited from the female parent and are haploid in most angiosperms, but rare intra-individual heteroplasmy in plastid genomes has been reported in plants. Here, we report an example of plastome heteroplasmy and its characteristics in *Gentiana tongolensis* (Gentianaceae). The plastid genome of *G. tongolensis* is 145,757 bp in size and is missing parts of *petD* gene when compared with other *Gentiana* species. A total of 112 SNPs and 31 indels with frequencies of more than 2% were detected in the plastid genome, and most were located in protein coding regions. Most sites with SNP frequencies of more than 10% were located in six genes in the LSC region. After verification via cloning and Sanger sequencing at three loci, heteroplasmy was identified in different individuals. The cause of heteroplasmy at the nucleotide level in plastome of *G. tongolensis* is unclear from the present data, although biparental plastid inheritance and transfer of plastid DNA seem to be most likely. This study implies that botanists should reconsider the heredity and evolution of chloroplasts and be cautious with using chloroplasts as genetic markers, especially in *Gentiana*.

Intra-individual heteroplasmy in the *Gentiana tongolensis* plastid genome (Gentianaceae)

Shan-Shan Sun¹, Xiao-Jun Zhou¹, Zhi-Zhong Li^{2, 3}, Hong-Yang Song¹, Zhi-Cheng Long⁴, Peng-Cheng Fu¹

¹ College of Life Science, Luoyang Normal University, Luoyang, Henan, the People's Republic of China

² Key Laboratory of Aquatic Botany and Watershed Ecology, Wuhan Botanical Garden, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Wuhan, Hubei, the People's Republic of China

³ University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, the People's Republic of China

⁴ HostGene. Co., Ltd, Wuhan, Hubei, the People's Republic of China

Corresponding Author:

Peng-Cheng Fu¹

Jiqing Road 6[#], Luoyang, Henan, 471934, China

Email address: fupengc@lynu.edu.cn

Xiao-Jun Zhou¹

Jiqing Road 6[#], Luoyang, Henan, 471934, China

Email address: lynubio@126.com

Abstract

Chloroplasts are typically inherited from the female parent and are haploid in most angiosperms, but rare intra-individual heteroplasmy in plastid genomes has been reported in plants. Here, we report an example of plastome heteroplasmy and its characteristics in *Gentiana tongolensis* (Gentianaceae). The plastid genome of *G. tongolensis* is 145,757 bp in size and is missing parts of *petD* gene when compared with other *Gentiana* species. A total of 112 SNPs and 31 indels with frequencies of more than 2% were detected in the plastid genome, and most were located in protein coding regions. Most sites with SNP frequencies of more than 10% were located in six genes in the LSC region. After verification via cloning and Sanger sequencing at three regions, heteroplasmy was identified in different individuals. The cause of heteroplasmy at the nucleotide level in plastomes of *G. tongolensis* is unclear from the present data, although biparental plastid inheritance and transfer of plastid DNA seem to be most likely. This study implies that botanists should reconsider the heredity and evolution of chloroplasts and be cautious with using chloroplasts as genetic markers, especially in *Gentiana*.

Introduction

Chloroplasts transform solar energy into chemical energy stored in the form of carbohydrates, supporting life on Earth. In angiosperms, plastomes are generally highly conserved with a quadripartite structure that contains a pair of inverted repeats (IRs) that separate the remaining regions into one small single-copy region (SSC) and one large single-copy region (LSC) (Palmer, 1985; Jansen et al., 2005). Plastomes range in size from 120 to 160 kb and contain conserved gene content and order, usually 110–130 genes in angiosperms (Palmer, 1985; Ruhlman & Jansen, 2014). Most flowering plants exhibit maternal plastid inheritance, and rarely paternal plastid inheritance (Schumann & Hancock, 1989), but some genera display biparental plastid inheritance to some degree (Mogensen, 1996). At the level of population or species, plastids exhibit sequence and structural polymorphisms (e.g. Sun et al., 2018), but heteroplasmy, the condition of cells having more than one organelle haplotype, has also been reported in limited taxa (Fitter et al., 1996; Garcia, Nicholson & Nickrent, 2004; Frey, Frey & Forcioli, 2005; McCauley et al., 2007).

Chloroplast data are ubiquitous in plant systematics research due to its uniparental inheritance, haploid nature, highly conserved structure, and slower evolutionary rate of change compared to nuclear genomes (Wolfe, Li & Sharp, 1987; Shaw et al., 2014). Therefore, the plastome has been widely applied to reassess classifications, divergence dating, mutational hotspot identification, and genome evolution (e.g. Nikiforova et al., 2013; Yao et al., 2019). The National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) contains more than 7,000 records of complete chloroplast genomes in plants as of April 24th, 2019 (title = chloroplast AND complete genome).

As the largest genus in family Gentianaceae, *Gentiana* contains 15 sections and 362 species (Ho & Liu, 2001). *Gentiana* is predominantly alpine around the world, and some taxa have already been cultured (Rybczyński, Davey & Mikula, 2015) due to chemical and horticultural value (Ho & Liu, 2001). The mountain ranges surrounding the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau (QTP), where roughly 250 species exist (Ho & Pringle, 1995), are the main diversity center of *Gentiana* (Favre et al., 2016). Presently, the plastomes of twelve *Gentiana* species have been sequenced (Fu et al., 2016; Ni et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2017; Sun et al., 2018), which belong to two sections, *Cruciata* Gaudin and *Kudoa* (Masamune) Satake & Toyokuni ex Toyokuni. In addition to these plastomes, a number of plastid fragments have been sequenced and used to reconstruct phylogenetic relationships in *Gentiana* and Gentianaceae (e.g. Favre et al., 2016). All previous studies tacitly accepted the maternal inheritance and haploid nature of plastomes in these species, and there are no reports regarding heteroplasmy in Gentianaceae.

As part of our ongoing study of *Gentiana* plastomes, some surprising heteroplasmy was uncovered in *G. tongolensis* Franchet, which is an annual herb belonging to section *Microsperma* T. N. Ho serious *Suborbisepalae* Marquand (Ho & Liu, 2001). This species is endemic to China with its distribution limited to the southeast of the QTP (Ho & Liu, 2001). *Gentiana tongolensis* has been a poorly studied species within a large genus, except for its classification and taxonomy (e.g. Favre et al., 2016). Here, we report heteroplasmy in the plastome of *G. tongolensis* at the nucleotide level. This information will help botanists to reconsider the heredity and evolution of chloroplasts and to take caution with their use as genetic markers.

Materials & Methods

Sample collection, genome sequencing and assembly

Five individuals of *G. tongolensis* were sampled at Daocheng, Sichuan Province in the QTP (28°52'E, 100°16'N). The species was identified by Dr. Peng-Cheng Fu, and its voucher specimens (No. Fu2016162) were deposited in the herbarium of the College of Life Science, Luoyang Normal University. Each sample was collected from a single plant and fast dried by silica gel. One sample (Fu2016162.05) was used for genome sequencing in this study. We performed total genomic DNA isolation, DNA fragmentation, and sequencing library construction following the process described by Fu et al. (2016). The extracted total genomic DNA was quantified by a Qubit3.0 fluorometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, USA) and 1% agarose electrophoresis. The fragmented genomic DNA was sequenced using the Illumina HiSeq 4000 platform (Novogene, Tianjing, China) yielding 150-bp paired-end reads from a library of around 300-bp DNA fragments. Based on the genome size (Mishiba et al., 2009) and previous plastid genome studies (Fu et al., 2016; Sun et al., 2018) in *Gentiana*, we obtained approximately 7 Gb of raw data that was deposited in the Genome Sequence Archive of the BIG Data Center (accession no. CRA001588). The raw reads were processed in a relatively standard manner in Trimmomatic 0.38 (Bolger, Lohse & Usadel, 2014), beginning by trimming and removing bases with qualities less than 20 at both 5' and 3' ends, and reads with less than 36 bp and average base quality less than 15 in a 4-base window. The plastome was *de novo* assembled in NOVOPlasty 2.6.1 (Dierckxsens, Mardulyn & Smits, 2016). The plastome sequence of *G. tongolensis* was deposited in GenBank (accession no. MK251985).

Genome annotation and comparative analysis

The protein coding genes, rRNAs, and tRNAs in the plastome were predicted and annotated using Dual Organellar GenoMe Annotator (Wyman, Janse & Boore, 2004) and GeSeq (Tillich et al., 2017). Comparative analysis was performed with three *Gentiana* species that represent the different groups reported (Sun et al., 2018). Plastomes were downloaded from NCBI (*G. straminea* NC_027441, *G. lawrencei* var. *farreri* KX096882, *G. stipitata* NC_037984), and genome comparisons were performed to identify the differences among taxa using Geneious Basic 5.6.4 (Kearse et al., 2012) and mVISTA (Frazer et al., 2004).

SNP calling and verification

Reads used for *de novo* assembly were aligned to the assembled plastome using BWA-MEM (Li, 2013) with default parameters. SNPs or indels were then called using PISCES 5.2.11 (Dunn et al., 2018) with default parameters. PISCES is an Illumina variant caller for calling low frequency variants in tumors by producing a Q-score indicating the confidence that the variant is indeed present. SNPs or indels with variant frequency less than 2% were omitted to avoid sequencing errors, whose rate can approach 1-2% in some situations (Schirmer et al. 2016). For reducing the effect of low-quality bases, SNPs with a Q-score of 100 were chosen for downstream analysis.

For verifying the SNPs in the plastome, three regions (GTcpSNP-3, GTcpSNP-4 and GTcpSNP-5) located in the LSC with high SNP frequencies were chosen (Fig. 1). GTcpSNP-3, located at the IRa-LSC boundary and ranged from 145,647 to 664 in the plastome, includes parts of *rps19*, all of

trnH, and parts of *psbA*. GTcpSNP-4, ranging from 23,015 to 23,631 in the plastome, is part of gene *rpoB*. GTcpSNP-5, ranging from 68,312 to 68,882 in the plastome, is part of gene *psbB*. Another two regions (GTcpSNP-1 and GTcpSNP-2) with no SNPs were also chosen as controls (Fig. 1). GTcpSNP-1 is located in the SSC region and ranges from 114,570 to 115,206 in the plastome. GTcpSNP-2 is located in the IR region and ranges from 129,395 to 129,965 in the plastome. Verification was performed first in the same individual that was sequenced to obtain the Illumina data and then four more individuals in the same population. Since primers could not be designed for the loci for TaqMan, the method of transformed cloning was adopted. Primers were designed using PRIMER version 5.0 (Clarke and Gorley, 2001), and their information is presented in Table S1. PCR was performed in 25- μ L volumes containing 20 ng of template DNA, 1 \times PCR Buffer, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.25 mM of each dNTP, 0.3 mM of each primer, and 1 unit of Taq DNA polymerase (Takara, Dalian, China). The PCR cycling profile included an initial step of 5 min at 95°C, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 50 s, 50 s of annealing at 54°C, and 30 s at 72°C, and a final extension at 72°C for 7 min. PCR products were purified by an eZNA DNA Gel Extraction Kit (Omega Bio-Tek, Guangzhou, China). The concentration of purified PCR products was measured by a NanoDrop 2000c Spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific), and then the PCR products were ligated into a pMD19-T vector (Takara, Dalian, China) and transformed into Trans5 α Chemically Competent Cells (TransGen, Beijing, China). Positive clones were tested in a 20- μ L PCR reaction volume containing 10-100 ng template DNA, 1 \times PCR Buffer, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.2 mM of each dNTP, 0.2 mM of M13F/R, and 1 unit of Taq DNA polymerase (Takara, Dalian, China). PCR was performed under the following program: an initial step of 5 min at 95°C followed by 20 cycles of 30 s at 95°C, 1 min at 53°C, and 30 s at 72°C, followed by a final extension step at 72°C for 7 min. The positive clones were sequenced using the Sanger method with M13 universal primer.

Genetic analysis of verified loci

Sequences were aligned with Geneious Alignment and edited in Geneious Basic 5.6.4 (Kearse et al., 2012). Haplotypes were identified in DnaSP 5.1 (Librado & Rozas, 2009) and deposited in GenBank (nos. MK251953–MK251984). Phylogenetic relationships among haplotypes were reconstructed in PhyML 3.0 (Guindon and Gascuel, 2003) with the GTR model estimated in jModelTest 2.1.7 (Darriba et al., 2012).

Results

Quality of reads and features of the plastome

There were 218 million clean reads counting for 18.3 billion bases from high-throughput sequencing. The Q20 and Q30 were 99.99% and 96.69%, respectively. The size of the plastid genome was 145,757 bp in length (Table 1). Its circular-mapping assembly possessed the typical quadripartite structure (Shinozaki et al., 1986; Fig. 1) and comprised a pair of IR regions (IRa and IRb), one LSC region, and one SSC region, which were 25,359 bp, 78,289 bp, and 16,750 bp, respectively. A comparison of plastomes in *Gentiana* showed that *G. tongolensis* has a similar genome structure and gene order with *G. straminea* and *G. stipitata* (Fu et al., 2016; Ni et al., 2016; Sun et al., 2018), but had partial gene loss in *petD* (Fig. S1).

Character of SNPs

After filtering with variant frequency and Q-score, a total of 112 SNPs and 31 indels were identified in the plastome of *G. tongolensis*. The average sequencing depth of each base at a variant position was 2699x. Among the variant positions, 45 (31.46%) had a frequency more than 10%, and all were located in the LSC region. A total of 22 SNPs or indels were detected in the SSC region, and none in the IR regions. The top 20 SNPs or indels with highest frequencies are presented in Table 2. After locating the 143 sites and function annotation, 96 were located in genes, including three in introns, and 47 were located in the intergenic space (Fig. 1; Table S2). Forty-four out of 45 positions whose SNP frequencies were more than 10% were located in genes *atpA*, *psbB*, *rpoB*, *rpoC1*, *trnH*, *psbC*, *rpoA* and *psaA*. There were 22 C→T plus 15 G→A transitions, and 16 T→C plus 23 A→G transitions in the plastome of *G. tongolensis*, and thus no excess of mutations was attributable to 5^mC deamination which is a clue as to whether the sequence is nucleus-derived or plastid-derived (Huang et al., 2005).

Verification and genetic characteristics of SNPs

To verify the polymorphisms detected in the Illumina data, we selected three regions that spanned multiple polymorphisms and two control regions without polymorphisms for cloning and Sanger sequencing (Fig. 1). Verification was first performed for the one individual for Illumina sequencing. In the two regions used as positive controls, 10 and 12 clones were sequenced successfully in GTcpSNP-1 and GTcpSNP-2, respectively, and did not show any SNPs. In GTcpSNP-3, a total of 22 clones were successfully sequenced, and nine SNPs and one indel were detected (Table S3). In GTcpSNP-4, 23 clones were sequenced, and three SNPs were detected (Table S4). In GTcpSNP-5, 26 clones were sequenced, and five indels and two SNPs were detected (Table S5). Focusing on the individual for Illumina sequencing, only two sites (a SNP, position 23411; a indel, position 68770) in the sequences obtained from verification were matched with the sites in the plastome on which our primers were designed (Table 3). On the other hand, lots of new variable sites were detected through verification.

For further clarifying the SNPs in the plastome, four more individuals were verified and analyzed with the one individual for Illumina sequencing. For GTcpSNP-1 and GTcpSNP-2, 10 and seven clones were sequenced in two individuals. For GTcpSNP-1 and GTcpSNP-2, a total of 20 sequences with 636 bp and 19 sequences with 571 bp were obtained from three individuals, respectively. No SNP was detected in the two positive control regions in all verified individuals. In GTcpSNP-3 and GTcpSNP-4, a total of 25 and 29 clones were sequenced in two and four individuals, respectively. In GTcpSNP-3, there were 47 obtained sequences ranging from 775–778 bp in length. Nucleotide variations were detected at 39 sites, with 35 base substitutions and four indels, and a total of 22 haplotypes were identified in three individuals (Table S3). In GTcpSNP-4, 52 obtained sequences were 614–615 bp in length. Nucleotide variations were detected at 21 sites, with 19 base substitutions and two indels, and 15 haplotypes were identified in five individuals (Table S4). In GTcpSNP-5, there were 26 obtained sequences ranging from 562–572 bp in length. Nucleotide variations were detected at seven sites which included five indels and two SNPs, and a total of eight haplotypes were identified in one individual (Table S5). Besides the

same sequences with the reference plastome were shared by different individuals, no haplotype was shared among individuals, indicating high heterozygosity in the plastome. We attempted to determine the phylogenetic relationship among haplotypes, but we found no phylogenetic structure among them (results not shown).

Discussion

Polymorphisms are common in plastid genomes at the level of population or species, but intra-individual heteroplasmy has rarely been reported (*Medicago sativa*, Fitter et al., 1996; *Cynomorium coccineum*, Garcia, Nicholson & Nickrent, 2004; *Senecio vulgaris*, Frey, Frey & Forcioli, 2005; *Silene vulgaris*, McCauley et al., 2007). Due to the modular nature of plant growth, perennial plants can develop the same type of organs independently and at different times during their lifetime, thus leading the intra-individual genomic variation, for example in apples (Gillian et al., 2017); however, this does not explain the heteroplasmy in *G. tongolensis*, which is an annual herb. Another possibility of the heteroplasmy is due to DNA damage - either DNA damage due to technical reasons (e.g. sample storage) or to biological reasons. DNA damage during sample storage with silica gel maybe one potential artificial factor leading to the appearance of SNPs in Illumina read data. However, the agarose electrophoresis before library construction did not show any signs of degradation. Besides, DNA damage that occurred due to biological processes would lead to random mutations whose frequencies should be very low. However, our data showed that SNPs were mainly located in genes in the LSC region, and the frequencies of a number of SNPs were high. On the other hand, compromise in plastid DNA repair is a potential reason that cannot be excluded by our present data. Because the rate with which we have detected variants among clones within a verified individual was well above expected somatic mutation levels, it seems unlikely that this is a cause for the heteroplasmy in *G. tongolensis*.

The transfer of plastid DNA, ranging from individual genes (eg. *rpl22*, Jansen et al., 2010; *rpl32*, Park, Jansen & Park, 2015) to as much as 131 kb in rice (Noutsos, Richly & Leister, 2005), is an ongoing and ubiquitous process in plants (Martin et al., 1998; Huang et al., 2005). Plastid DNA insertions in the nucleus are in a new mutational environment and have different evolutionary fates compared with plastid genomes (Huang et al., 2005; Noutsos, Richly & Leister, 2005; Michalovov, Vyskot & Kejnovsky E. 2013). Chloroplast genomes exhibit very low levels of cytosine methylation (Ayliffe et al., 1998), which is extensive in plant nuclear genomes (Finnegan et al., 1998). Therefore, an excess of mutations attributable to 5^mC deamination, detected by C→T plus G→A transitions vs. T→C plus A→G transitions, is a clue as to whether the sequence is nucleus-derived or plastid-derived (Huang et al., 2005). Because no excess of mutations attributable to 5^mC deamination were observed in this study, it seems that we may not attribute the individual mutations to the transfer of plastid DNA to the nucleus in *G. tongolensis*. However, more evidence is necessary to uncover the reason for the heteroplasmy.

These findings raise fundamental questions about chloroplast inheritance in *Gentiana*. Among the seed plants, chloroplasts can be inherited strictly from the female parent, strictly from the male parent, or biparentally (Mogensen, 1996). Most flowering plants exhibit maternal plastid inheritance, but there is rare paternal plastid inheritance among such plants as *Medicago*

(Schumann & Hancock, 1989) and *Arabidopsis* (Azhagiri & Maliga, 2007). However, some genera display biparental plastid inheritance such as in *Coreopsis grandiflora* (Mason, Holsinger & Jansen, 1994), *Chamaecyparis obtusa* (Shiraishi et al., 2001), *Medicago truncatula* (Matsushima et al., 2008), and Caprifoliaceae (Hu, Zhang & Rao, 2008). Paternal, maternal, and biparental plastid inheritance have even been detected from both interspecific and intraspecific crosses in *Passiflora* (Hansen et al., 2007). Biparental plastid inheritance is a reasonably widespread trait (20% to nearly one-third) in angiosperms (Smith, 1989; Zhang & Sodmergen, 2010), and it is probable that heteroplasmy occurs on a limited scale in most groups of angiosperms (Azhagiri & Maliga, 2007; Hansen et al., 2007). Therefore, this suggests that intra-individual heterozygosity in the *G. tongolensis* plastome may be observed in functionally paralogous copies within an individual caused by biparental plastid inheritance. Consistent with this idea is the fact that all high-frequency SNPs detected in the plastome of *G. tongolensis* were located in coding regions rather than intergenic regions.

Although the plastome is conserved in flowering plants, structural variations such as gene loss, inverted repeats, and rearrangements are common (Downie & Jansen, 2015; Ruhlman and Jansen, 2018). Recent studies in *Gentiana* show that the plastome structure is conserved, except for the *ndh* gene being lost in section *Kudoa* (Fu et al., 2016; Sun et al., 2018). In the plastome of *G. tongolensis*, we detected partial loss of *petD*, which encodes subunit IV of the cytochrome *b₆/f* complex. We also found that the *psbB*, *trnH* and *rpoB* genes have distinctly high frequencies of SNPs within an individual in this study. Intra-genomic synonymous rate variations resulting from localized variations in mutation rate can lead to the distribution of SNPs among the coding region (Zhu et al., 2014). However, *psbB*, *trnH* and *rpoB* were not mutational hotspots in *Gentiana* (Fig. S1; Sun et al., 2018). Although locus-specific substitution rates are not based on gene or protein function (Zhu et al., 2014), the possibility that the three genes may have experienced or are experiencing unusual evolutionary processes cannot be excluded. Serious mutations in the plastid genome may cause nuclear-plastid incompatibility, which is the most important driving force for altering modes of organelle inheritance (Hurst et al., 1996). One hypothesis suggested is that biparental plastid inheritance may have been derived to rescue angiosperms with nuclear-plastid incompatibility caused by defective plastids (Zhang & Sodmergen, 2010). The case of *G. tongolensis* may support this hypothesis, but more evidence is required.

Plastid DNA is a ubiquitous molecular marker in plant systematics and population genetics research, such as DNA barcoding (Group, 2009) and plant phylogeography (Morris & Shaw, 2018), because of its uniparental inheritance and haploid nature in flowering plants (Wolfe, Li & Sharp, 1987; Shaw et al., 2014). In most angiosperms, plastids are under maternal inheritance, thus plastid datasets can provide information on past changes in species distribution when the colonization of new habitats occurs through seeds (Petit et al., 2003). They also offer insight into plant phylogenetic relationships by eliminating complex evolutionary events such as hybridization or introgression. Plastomes have also been widely applied for reassessing classifications, divergence dating, and mutational hotspot identification (eg. Nikiforova et al., 2013; Yao et al., 2019). Biparental inheritance of plastids will result in divergent plastids within an individual and

are functionally paralogous (Wolfe & Randle, 2004), thus random sampling of nucleotide sequences from different plastid haplotypes or from paralogous sequences may affect the resulting gene tree topology and the resulting inferences (Wolfe & Randle, 2004; Hansen et al., 2007). Examples have been presented in the phylogenetic inferences in *Passiflora* (Hansen et al., 2007) and *Picea* (Sullivan et al., 2017). Since we detected intra-individual plastid genome heterozygosity in *G. tongolensis*, the chloroplast phylogeny may be problematic for phylogenetic inference and not accurately represent ancestor-descendant relationships, especially in *Gentiana*. Besides phylogenetic studies, intra-individual chloroplast heterozygosity can also affect population studies that are sometimes based on the inference of genetic diversity within and between populations. Therefore, before chloroplast heteroplasmy is further studied in more taxa, additional attention should be paid when chloroplast sequences are used.

Conclusions

In summary, we reported a case of heteroplasmy and its characteristics in the *G. tongolensis* plastome, but the underlying cause of it is unclear from the present data. Biparental plastid inheritance and transfer of plastid DNA seemed to be most likely, and to better address this question, it will be necessary to collect more data from this species. Hopefully, this study will encourage botanists to reconsider the heredity and evolution of chloroplasts and exercise caution when using them as genetic markers, especially in *Gentiana*.

Acknowledgements

We thank the three anonymous reviewers and the editor for their helpful comments and suggestions on the manuscript.

References

- Ayliffe MA, Scott NS, Timmis JN. 1998. Analysis of plastid DNA-like sequences within the nuclear genomes of higher plants. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **15**: 738–745 DOI 10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a025977.
- Azhagiri AK, Maliga P. 2007. Exceptional paternal inheritance of plastids in *Arabidopsis* suggests that low-frequency leakage of plastids via pollen may be universal in plants. *The Plant Journal* **52**: 817–823 DOI 10.1111/j.1365-3113X.2007.03278.x.
- Birky Jr CW. 2001. The inheritance of genes in mitochondria and chloroplasts: laws, mechanisms, and models. *Annual Review of Genetics* **35**: 125–148 DOI 10.1146/annurev.genet.35.102401.090231.
- Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. 2014. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **30**: 2114–2120 DOI 10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170.
- Clarke KR, Gorley RN. 2001. PRIMER v5: User Manual / Tutorial. PRIMER-E Ltd, 99 Plymouth, p 91.
- Darriba D, Taboada GL, Doallo R, Posada D. 2012. jModelTest 2: more models, new heuristics and parallel computing. *Nature Methods* **9**: 772 DOI 10.1038/nmeth.2109.
- Dierckxsens N, Mardulyn P, Smits G. 2016. NOVOPlasty: *de novo* assembly of organelle genomes from whole genome data. *Nucleic Acids Research* **45**: e18–e18 DOI 10.1093/nar/gkw955.

- Downie SR, Jansen RK. 2015.** A comparative analysis of whole plastid genomes from the Apiales: expansion and contraction of the inverted repeat, mitochondrial to plastid transfer of DNA, and identification of highly divergent noncoding regions. *Systematic Botany* **40**: 336–351 DOI 10.1600/036364415X686620.
- Dunn T, Berry G, Emig-Agius D, Jiang Y, Lei S, Iyer A, Udar N, Chuang HY, Hegarty J, Dickover M, Klotzle B, Robbins J, Bibikova M, Peeters M, Stromberg M. 2018.** Pisces: An accurate and versatile variant caller for somatic and germline next-generation sequencing data. *BioRxiv*, 291641 DOI 10.1101/291641.
- Esposito D, Higgs DC, Drager RG, Stern DB, Girard-Bascou J. 2001.** A nucleus-encoded suppressor defines a new factor which can promote *petD* mRNA stability in the chloroplast of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *Current Genetics* **39**: 40–48 DOI 10.1007/s002940000179.
- Favre A, Michalak I, Chen CH, Wang JC, Pringle JS, Matuszak S, Sun H, Yuan YM, Struwe L, Muellner-Riehl AN. 2016.** Out-of-Tibet: the spatio-temporal evolution of *Gentiana* (Gentianaceae). *Journal of Biogeography* **43**: 1967–1978 DOI 10.1111/jbi.12840.
- Finnegan EJ, Genger RK, Peacock WJ, Dennis ES. 1998.** DNA methylation in plants. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* **49**: 223–247 DOI 10.1146/annurev.arplant.49.1.223
- Fitter JT, Thomas MR, Rose RJ, Steele Scott N. 1996.** Heteroplasmy of the chloroplast genome of *Medicago sativa* L. cv ‘Regen S’ confirmed by sequence analysis. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **93**: 685–690 DOI 10.1007/BF00224063.
- Frazer KA, Pachter L, Poliakov A, Rubin EM, Dubchak I. 2004.** VISTA: computational tools for comparative genomics. *Nucleic Acids Research* **32**: W273–W279 DOI 10.1093/nar/gkh458.
- Frey JE, Frey B, Forcioli D. 2005.** Quantitative assessment of heteroplasmy levels in *Senecio vulgaris* chloroplast DNA. *Genetica* **123**: 255–261 DOI 10.1007/s10709-004-3711-y.
- Fu PC, Zhang YZ, Geng HM, Chen SL. 2016.** The complete chloroplast genome sequence of *Gentiana lawrencei* var. *farreri* (Gentianaceae) and comparative analysis with its congeneric species. *PeerJ* **4**: e2540 DOI 10.7717/peerj.2540.
- Garcia MA, Nicholson EH, Nickrent DL. 2004.** Extensive intra-individual variation in plastid rDNA sequences from the holoparasite *Cynomorium coccineum* (Cynomoriaceae). *Journal of Molecular Evolution* **58**: 322–332 DOI 10.1007/s00239-003-2554-y.
- Gilliam R, Eli A, Salomon TC, Jim C. 2017.** Intraindividual genomic variation and mutation in apple (*Malus × domestica*). Abstracts of Botany 2017, Texas.
- Group CPW. 2009.** A DNA barcode for land plants. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **106**: 12794–12797 DOI 10.1073/pnas.0905845106.
- Guindon S, Gascuel O. 2003.** A simple, fast and accurate method to estimate large phylogenies by maximum-likelihood. *Systematic Biology*. **52**: 696–704 DOI 10.1080/10635150390235520.
- Hansen AK, Escobar LK, Gilbert LE, Jansen RK. 2007.** Paternal, maternal, and biparental inheritance of the chloroplast genome in *Passiflora* (Passifloraceae): implications for phylogenetic studies. *American Journal of Botany* **94**: 42–46 DOI 10.3732/ajb.94.1.42.

- 359 **Hu Y, Zhang Q, Rao G. 2008.** Occurrence of plastids in the sperm cells of Caprifoliaceae:
360 biparental plastid inheritance in angiosperms is unilaterally derived from maternal
361 inheritance. *Plant and Cell Physiology* **49**: 958–968 DOI 10.1093/pcp/pcn069.
- 362 **Huang CY, Grünheit N, Ahmadinejad N, Timmis JN, Martin W. 2005.** Mutational decay
363 and age of chloroplast and mitochondrial genomes transferred recently to angiosperm
364 nuclear chromosomes. *Plant Physiology* **138**: 1723–1733 DOI 10.1104/pp.105.060327.
- 365 **Ho TN, Pringle JS. 1995.** Gentianaceae. In: Wu ZY, Raven PH. eds. *Flora of China*. Beijing,
366 China: Science Press, 1–140.
- 367 **Ho TN, Liu SW. 2001.** A worldwide monograph of *Gentiana*. Beijing, China: Science Press.
- 368 **Jansen RK, Raubeson LA, Boore JL, dePamphilis CW, Chumley TW, Haberle RC,**
369 **Wyman SK, Alverson AJ, Peery R, Herman SJ, Fourcade HM, Kuehl JV, McNeal JR,**
370 **Leebens-Mack J, Cui LY. 2005.** Methods for obtaining and analyzing chloroplast genome
371 sequences. *Methods in Enzymology* **395**: 348–384 DOI 10.1016/S0076-6879(05)95020-9.
- 372 **Jansen RK, Saski C, Lee SB, Hansen AK, Daniell H. 2010.** Complete plastid genome
373 sequences of three rosids (*Castanea*, *Prunus*, *Theobroma*): evidence for at least two
374 independent transfers of *rpl22* to the nucleus. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **28**: 835–
375 847 DOI 10.1093/molbev/msq261.
- 376 **Kearse M, Moir R, Wilson A, Stones-Havas S, Cheung M, Sturrock S, Buxton S, Cooper A,**
377 **Markowitz S, Duran C, Thierer T, Ashton B, Meintjes P, Drummond A. 2012.**
378 Geneious Basic: an integrated and extendable desktop software platform for the
379 organization and analysis of sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **28**: 1647–1649 DOI
380 10.1093/bioinformatics/bts199.
- 381 **Librado P, Rozas J. 2009.** DnaSP v5: a software for comprehensive analysis of DNA
382 polymorphism data. *Bioinformatics* **25**: 1451–1452 DOI 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp187.
- 383 **Li H. 2013.** Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-MEM.
384 *arXiv preprint arXiv:1303.3997*.
- 385 **Lohse M, Drechsel O, Bock R. 2007.** OrganellarGenomeDRAW (OGDRAW): a tool for the
386 easy generation of high-quality custom graphical maps of plastid and mitochondrial
387 genomes. *Current Genetics* **52**: 267–274 DOI 10.1007/s00294-007-0161-y.
- 388 **Martin W, Stoebe B, Goremykin V, Hansmann S, Hasegawa M, Kowallik KV. 1998.** Gene
389 transfer to the nucleus and the evolution of chloroplasts. *Nature* **393**: 162–165 DOI
390 10.1038/30234.
- 391 **Mason RJ, Holsinger KE, Jansen RK. 1994.** Biparental inheritance of the chloroplast genome
392 in *Coreopsis* (Asteraceae). *Journal of Heredity* **85**: 171–173 DOI
393 10.1093/oxfordjournals.jhered.a111430.
- 394 **Matsushima R, Hu Y, Toyoda K, Sakamoto W. 2008.** The model plant *Medicago truncatula*
395 exhibits biparental plastid inheritance. *Plant and Cell Physiology* **49**: 81–91 DOI
396 10.1093/pcp/pcm170.
- 397 **McCauley DE, Sundby AK, Bailey MF, Welch ME. 2007.** Inheritance of chloroplast DNA is
398 not strictly maternal in *Silene vulgaris* (Caryophyllaceae): evidence from experimental

- crosses and natural populations. *American Journal of Botany* **94**: 1333–1337 DOI 10.3732/ajb.94.8.1333.
- Michalovova M, Vyskot B, Kejnovsky E. 2013.** Analysis of plastid and mitochondrial DNA insertions in the nucleus (NUPTs and NUMTs) of six plant species: size, relative age and chromosomal localization. *Heredity* **111**: 314–320. DOI 10.1038/hdy.2013.51.
- Mishiba KI, Yamane K, Nakatsuka T, Nakano Y, Yamamura S, Abe J, Kawamura H, Takahata Y, Nishihara M. 2009.** Genetic relationships in the genus *Gentiana* based on chloroplast DNA sequence data and nuclear DNA content. *Breeding Science* **59**: 119–127 DOI 10.1270/jsbbs.59.119.
- Mogensen HL. 1996.** The hows and whys of cytoplasmic inheritance in seed plants. *American Journal of Botany* **83**: 383–404 DOI 10.1002/j.1537-2197.1996.tb12718.x.
- Morris AB, Shaw J. 2018.** Markers in time and space: A review of the last decade of plant phylogeographic approaches. *Molecular Ecology* **27**: 2317–2333 DOI 10.1111/mec.14695.
- Ni L, Zhao Z, Xu H, Chen S, Dorje G. 2016.** Chloroplast genome structures in *Gentiana* (Gentianaceae), based on three medicinal alpine plants used in Tibetan herbal medicine. *Current Genetics* **63**: 241–252 DOI 10.1007/s00294-016-0631-1.
- Nikiforova SV, Cavalieri D, Velasco R, Goremykin V. 2013.** Phylogenetic analysis of 47 chloroplast genomes clarifies the contribution of wild species to the domesticated apple maternal line. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **30**: 1751–1760 DOI 10.1093/molbev/mst092.
- Noutsos C, Richly E, Leister D. 2005.** Generation and evolutionary fate of insertions of organelle DNA in the nuclear genomes of flowering plants. *Genome Research* **15**: 616–628 DOI 10.1101/gr.3788705.
- Park S, Jansen RK, Park S. 2015.** Complete plastome sequence of *Thalictrum coreanum* (Ranunculaceae) and transfer of the *rpl32* gene to the nucleus in the ancestor of the subfamily Thalicthroideae. *BMC Plant Biology* **15**: 40 DOI 10.1186/s12870-015-0432-6.
- Palmer JD. 1985.** Comparative organization of chloroplast genomes. *Annual Review of Genetics* **19**: 325–354 DOI 10.1146/annurev.ge.19.120185.001545.
- Petit RJ, Aguinagalde I, De Beaulieu JL, Bittkau C, Brewer S, Cheddadi R, Ennos R, Fineschi S, Grivet D, Lascoux M, Mohanty A, Müller-Starck G, Demesure-Musch B, Palmé A, Martín JP, Rendell S, Vendramin GG. 2003.** Glacial refugia: hotspots but not melting pots of genetic diversity. *Science* **300**: 1563–1565 DOI 10.1126/science.1083264.
- Ruhlman TA, Jansen RK. 2014.** The plastid genomes of flowering plants. In Ruhlman TA, Jansen RK, Maliga P. eds. *Chloroplast Biotechnology*. Totowa, USA: Humana Press, 3–38 DOI 10.1007/978-1-62703-995-6_1.
- Ruhlman TA, Jansen RK. 2018.** Aberration or Analogy? The Atypical Plastomes of Geraniaceae. In Chaw SM, Jansen RK, eds. *Advances in Botanical Research*. Cambridge, UK: Academic Press, 223–262.
- Rybczyński JJ, Davey MR, Mikula A. 2015.** The Gentianaceae-Volume 2: Biotechnology and Applications. Springer.

- Schirmer M, D'Amore R, Ijaz UZ, Hall N, Quince C. 2016.** Illumina error profiles: resolving fine-scale variation in metagenomic sequencing data. *BMC Bioinformatics* **17**: 125 DOI 10.1186/s12859-016-0976-y.
- Schumann CM, Hancock JF. 1989.** Paternal inheritance of plastids in *Medicago Sativa*. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **78**: 863–866 DOI 10.1007/BF00266672.
- Shaw J, Shafer HL, Leonard OR, Kovach MJ, Schorr M, Morris AB. 2014.** Chloroplast DNA sequence utility for the lowest phylogenetic and phylogeographic inferences in angiosperms: the tortoise and the hare IV. *American Journal of Botany* **101**: 1987–2004 DOI 10.3732/ajb.1400398.
- Shiraishi S, Maeda H, Toda T, Seido K, Sadaki Y. 2001.** Incomplete paternal inheritance of chloroplast DNA recognized in *Chamaecyparis obtusa* using an intraspecific polymorphism of the *trnD-trnY* intergenic spacer region. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **102**: 935–941 DOI 10.1007/s00122-001-0554-0.
- Smith SE. 1989.** Biparental inheritance of organelles and its implications in crop improvement. *Plant Breeding Reviews* **6**: 361–393 DOI 10.1002/9781118061039.ch9.
- Sullivan AR, Schiffthaler B, Thompson SL, Street NR, Wang XR. 2017.** Interspecific plastome recombination reflects ancient reticulate evolution in *Picea* (Pinaceae). *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **34**: 1689–1701 DOI 10.1093/molbev/msx111.
- Sun SS, Fu PC, Zhou XJ, Cheng YW, Zhang FQ, Chen SL, Gao QB. 2018.** The complete plastome sequences of seven species in *Gentiana* sect. *Kudoa* (Gentianaceae): insights into plastid gene loss and molecular evolution. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **9**: 493 DOI 10.3389/fpls.2018.00493.
- Tillich M, Lehwark P, Pellizzer T, Ulbricht-Jones ES, Fischer A, Bock R, Greiner S. 2017.** GeSeq—versatile and accurate annotation of organelle genomes. *Nucleic Acids Research* **45**: W6–W11 DOI 10.1093/nar/gkx391.
- Wang XF, Yang N, Su J, Zhang H, Cao XY. 2017.** The complete chloroplast genome of *Gentiana macrophylla*. *Mitochondrial DNA Part B* **2**: 395–396 DOI 10.1080/23802359.2017.1347831.
- Wolfe KH, Li WH, Sharp PM. 1987.** Rates of nucleotide substitution vary greatly among plant mitochondrial, chloroplast, and nuclear DNAs. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **84**: 9054–9058 DOI 10.1073/pnas.84.24.9054.
- Wolfe AD, Randle CP. 2004.** Recombination, heteroplasmy, haplotype polymorphism, and paralogy in plastid genes: implications for plant molecular systematics. *Systematic Botany* **29**: 1011–1020 DOI 10.1600/0363644042451008.
- Wyman SK, Janse RK, Boore JL. 2004.** Automatic annotation of organellar genomes with DOGMA. *Bioinformatics* **20**: 3252–3255 DOI 10.1093/bioinformatics/bth352.
- Yao G, Jin J, Li H, Yang J, Mandala VS, Croley M, Mostow R, Douglas NA, Chase MW, Christenhusz M, Soltis DE, Soltis PS, Smith SA, Brockington SE, Moore MJ, Yi TS, Li DZ. 2019.** Plastid phylogenomic insights into the evolution of Caryophyllales. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **134**: 74–86 DOI 10.1016/j.ympev.2018.12.023.

479 **Zhang Q, Sodmergen. 2010.** Why does biparental plastid inheritance revive in angiosperms?
 480 *Journal of Plant Research* **123**: 201–206 DOI 10.1007/s10265-009-0291-z.

481 **Zhu A, Guo W, Jain K, Mower JP. 2014.** Unprecedented heterogeneity in the synonymous
 482 substitution rate within a plant genome. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **31**: 1228–1236
 483 DOI 10.1093/molbev/msu079.
 484

Table 1 (on next page)

Basic information of the plastome and its SNPs or indels in *Gentiana tongolensis*.

1

□	Length (bp)	No.	Percentage of polymorphic sites (%)
LSC	78,289	121	84.62
IR	25,359	0	0
SSC	16,750	22	15.38
Total	145,757	143	100

2

3

Table 2 (on next page)

The 20 SNPs or indels with highest frequencies in the plastome of a single individual of *Gentiana tongolensis*.

Ref: reference nucleotide; Alt: alternative nucleotide; No.: number of high-quality bases.

1

Position	Reference Base	SNP	Depth of coverage	Frequency of SNP	Location	Region
14	A	G	2843	0.31	<i>trnH</i>	LSC
30	A	G	3265	0.297	<i>trnH</i>	LSC
54	A	G	3794	0.281	<i>trnH</i>	LSC
68516	CTGTAAA	C	4405	0.255	<i>psbB</i>	LSC
68943	G	A	3819	0.201	<i>psbB</i>	LSC
69026	G	A	3780	0.2	<i>psbB</i>	LSC
38948	T	G	3792	0.184	<i>psaA</i>	LSC
68569	A	G	3998	0.171	<i>psbB</i>	LSC
68436	C	T	3866	0.169	<i>psbB</i>	LSC
23192	A	G	3545	0.155	<i>rpoB</i>	LSC
68769	GATTGAATT	G	3672	0.153	<i>psbB</i>	LSC
22457	A	G	3518	0.149	<i>rpoB</i>	LSC
23411	C	T	3586	0.145	<i>rpoB</i>	LSC
9086	G	A	3651	0.144	<i>atpA</i>	LSC
22052	C	T	3783	0.144	<i>rpoB</i>	LSC
9142	C	A	3700	0.14	<i>atpA</i>	LSC
9137	T	G	3701	0.139	<i>atpA</i>	LSC
32024	G	A	3374	0.138	<i>psbC</i>	LSC
9119	T	C	3617	0.137	<i>atpA</i>	LSC
22169	C	T	3841	0.136	<i>rpoB</i>	LSC

2

Table 3(on next page)

Summary of verified Sanger sequenced data with Illumina sequenced data in a single individual of *Gentiana tongolensis*.

1
2

Position in plastome	23,411	68,770
Function	<i>rpoB</i>	<i>psbB</i>
Illumina sequencing data	C/T	ATTGAATT/-----
Frequency of SNP/indel	0.145	0.153
Sanger sequencing data	C/T	ATTGAATT/-----
Frequency of SNP/indel	0.043	0.038

3

Figure 1

The structural map of *Gentiana tongolensis* plastome.

Genes drawn inside the circle are transcribed clockwise, and those outside are transcribed counterclockwise. Genes belonging to different functional groups are shown in different colors. Dots represent SNPs or indels detected from Illumina data in a single individual of *G. tongolensis*. Detailed information about SNPs or indels are presented in Table S2. Five regions (from GTcpSNP-1 to GTcpSNP-5) used for verification are indicated by light blue boxes.

