Endemism and diversity of small mammals along two neighboring Bornean mountains
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ABSTRACT

Mountains offer replicated units with large biotic and abiotic gradients in a reduced spatial scale. This transforms them into well-suited scenarios to evaluate biogeographic theories. Mountain biogeography is a hot topic of research and many theories have been proposed to describe the changes in biodiversity with elevation. Geometric constraints, which predict the highest diversity to occur in mid-elevations, have been a focal part of this discussion. Despite this, there is no general theory to explain these patterns, probably because of the interaction among different predictors with the local effects of historical factors. We characterize the diversity of small non-volant mammals across the elevational gradient on Mount (Mt.) Kinabalu (4,095 m) and Mt. Tambuyukon (2,579 m), two neighboring mountains in Borneo, Malaysia. We documented a decrease in species richness with elevation which deviates from expectations of the geometric constraints and suggests that spatial factors (e.g., larger diversity in larger areas) are important. The lowland small mammal community was replaced in higher elevations (from above ~1,900 m) with montane communities consisting mainly of high elevation Borneo endemics. The positive correlation we find between elevation and endemism is concordant with a hypothesis that predicts higher endemism with topographical isolation. This supports lineage history and geographic history could be important drivers of species diversity in this region.

INTRODUCTION

Understanding the mechanisms that are responsible for shaping patterns of biodiversity across geography has been an important driver of biological research (Wallace, 1869; Heaney, 1986; Rosenzweig, 1995; Lomolino et al., 2010). Mountains are valuable natural experiments that allow researchers to test biogeographical hypotheses for these reasons: (1) they have limited confounding variation across historical and ecological conditions,
they are discrete units to study and (3) they offer replicated gradients for factors (climatic, spatial, ecological) that have been central for research in biogeography (Brown, 2001). Numerous studies have sought to explain the change of alpha diversity across elevation for diverse taxonomic groups (Rahbek, 1995; Patterson et al., 1998; Heaney, 2001; Fu et al., 2006; Kluge, Kessler & Dunn, 2006). Previous research on diversity gradients on mountains has focused on the relationship between diversity gradients on mountains with temperature and precipitation, primary productivity, area, isolation, and geometric constraints. However, the effects of abiotic predictors on mountains are inconsistent across studies (Rahbek, 1995; Patterson et al., 1998; Heaney, 2001; Fu et al., 2006; Kluge, Kessler & Dunn, 2006), and to date, no uniform theory explains mammalian diversity gradients on mountains (Brown, 2001; Lomolino, 2001; Heaney, 2001; Stevens, Rowe & Badgley, 2019). Geometric constraints stand out from the former predictors as the most recurrent explanation for diversity gradients on mountains across many systems (Rahbek, 1995; Fu et al., 2006; Kluge, Kessler & Dunn, 2006; Rowe, 2009). They predict that the overlap of species’ ranges on a constrained area causes species richness to be higher at the center of this area (Colwell & Lees, 2000). Translated to mountains, geometric constraints predict a higher diversity at mid-elevations (mid-elevation bulge) caused by the overlap of species ranges with midpoints at different elevations. This phenomenon is often referred to as mid-domain effect (MDE). In mammals, a MDE has been reported across different mountain ranges, but it is not a global pattern (Rickart, 2001; Heaney, 2001; Nor, 2001; Li, Song & Zeng, 2003; McCain, 2004, 2005; Rowe, 2009; Rowe, Heaney & Rickart, 2015; Hu et al., 2017).

The history of lineage and place can profoundly influence current patterns of diversity on mountains (Brown, 2001; Lomolino, 2001; Stevens, Rowe & Badgley, 2019). These effects of history vary from mountain system and taxa, which has hampered the consolidation of a theory to explain species richness on mountain gradients (Brown, 2001). On mountaintops, (1) more geographical isolation should favor speciation and restrict processes of dispersal and colonization, and (2) species richness should decline as a consequence of higher extinction caused by generally smaller areas associated with higher elevation bands (Brown, 2001; Lomolino, 2001). This, in turn, should lead to fewer species but higher endemism on mountaintops. A recent worldwide review on plant endemism on mountains by Steinbauer et al. (2016) found a clear correlation of peak isolation with increased endemism in mountaintops. They suggested the coupling of historical climate changes with topography as a “pump” for montane endemism (Steinbauer et al., 2016). For mammals, there is growing evidence that endemism increases with elevation (Sánchez-Cordero, 2001; Heaney, 2001; Swenson et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2017), but this data is often descriptive and lacks statistical testing.

Here, we surveyed non-volant small mammals across elevational gradients on two tropical mountains from the same range, Mt. Kinabalu and Mt. Tambuyukon, in northern Borneo. Mt. Kinabalu (4,095 m) is the tallest mountain in Sundaland, a tropical hotspot of biodiversity (Myers et al., 2000). A number of taxa have been surveyed across large elevational transects on this mountain: moths (Beck & Chey, 2008), ants (Brühl, Mohamed & Linsenmair, 1999; Malsch et al., 2008), plants (Kitayama, 1992; Aiba & Kitayama, 1999;
Aiba, Takyu & Kitayama, 2005; Grytnes & Beaman, 2006; Grytnes et al., 2008), oribatid mites
(Hasegawa, Ito & Kitayama, 2006), snails (Liew, Schilthuizen & Bin Lakim, 2010) and
small mammals (Nor, 2001). These studies have recovered either a decline in diversity
with elevation, which seems to fit a global pattern (Rahbek, 1995) or a MDE. To date,
Nor’s (2001) survey is the most comprehensive dataset of non-volant small mammals on
Mt. Kinabalu. It describes a clear MDE, although the number of species he reports in the
lowest elevation was unexpectedly low (only five species), compared to the maximum
of approximately 35 non-volant small mammals that are known to be distributed in the
lowland forests of Borneo (Nor, 2001; Payne et al., 2007). Thus, there could be a strong bias
in the interpretations caused by incomplete sampling of the lowest elevation (Rahbek,
1995; Lomolino, 2001). Furthermore, Mt. Kinabalu is a hotspot of endemism for many
mountain lineages (Payne et al., 2007; Van Der Ent, 2013; Merckx et al., 2015). The
montane conditions in the upper slopes of Mt. Kinabalu are unique in Sundaland. Similar
conditions are only partially found on a handful of other peaks in Borneo (i.e., upper
montane forest in Mt. Tambuyukon, 2,579 m, Wood & Van Der Ent, 2012; Mt. Trusmadi,
2,642 m, Kitayama et al., 1993; Mt. Murud, 2,423 m, Beaman & Anderson, 1997; and
Mt. Mulu, 2,376 m, Collins, 1980) and on several peaks in Sumatra (Laumonier, 1997).

This study aimed to describe the effects of (1) the MDE on alpha diversity (species
richness) and (2) mountain endemics on beta diversity (change in the composition of
the community), for small non-volant mammals along the elevational gradient on
Mt. Kinabalu and Mt. Tambuyukon. We hypothesized that alpha diversity should decrease
with elevation coinciding with less available area and less complex habitat heterogeneity,
while greater endemism on higher elevational bands should correlate with the
particular isolation of Mt. Kinabalu in Sundaland. For this purpose, we characterized
the small mammal diversity along the elevational gradient on Mt. Kinabalu and
Mt. Tambuyukon. The raw data is fully accessible and all analyses and figures are

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study sites

Mt. Kinabalu and Mt. Tambuyukon are two neighboring peaks inside Kinabalu National
Park in the Malaysian state of Sabah, Borneo (Fig. 1). This park covers 764 square
kilometers. Mt. Kinabalu is the tallest peak in Borneo at 4,095 m and is home to thousands
of endemic plant and animal species (Payne et al., 2007; Van Der Ent, 2013). Mt.
Tambuyukon (the third highest peak in Borneo, 2,579 m; Fig. 1), despite being only 18 km
away, is far less scientifically explored. The vegetation zones as described by Kitayama
(1992) for Mt. Kinabalu have been used for simplicity as well as for consistency with
previous elevational surveys (Nor, 2001): lowland (>1,200 m), lower montane (1,200–2,000 m),
upper montane (2,000–2,800 m) and subalpine (2,800–3,400 m).

The lowland dipterocarp forest dominates both mountains from the lowest elevations
up to 1,200 m. Above this elevation begins the lower montane oak forest of 10-25 m trees
up to around 1,800-1,900 m on both Mt. Kinabalu and Mt. Tambuyukon. On Mt.
Tambuyukon at 1,440 m there is a sharp break to an ultramafic outcrop and the vegetation
changes to a low productivity forest with shorter trees. The mossy or cloud forest begins at around 2,000 m on both mountains. This zone is usually immersed in clouds, moss covers most surfaces, and pitcher plants (genus *Nepenthes*), epiphytes, orchids, and climbing bamboos are abundant. At 2,350 m on Mt. Tambuyukon and 2,600 m on Mt. Kinabalu there is a fast transition to an open stunted forest dominated by *Dacrydium* and *Leptospermum* species. At these elevations, the vegetation develops a sclerophyllous and microphyllous syndrome. At 2,800 m the subalpine vegetation appears on Mt. Kinabalu, which is absent on Mt. Tambuyukon.

**Field survey**

Surveys were conducted in two consecutive field seasons along elevational gradients following climbing trails along Mt. Tambuyukon and Mt. Kinabalu. We targeted small non-volant mammals and further included opportunistic observations and data from trail cameras. Species identification was performed according to *Payne et al. (2007)*. During the first field season, we surveyed Mt. Tambuyukon in June–August 2012. Surveys for the second field season were conducted on select locations on Mt. Tambuyukon (to fill gaps in the first season sampling) and along the full elevational gradient of Mt. Kinabalu in February–April 2013.

We set traps from ~331 to 2,509 m on Mt. Tambuyukon, and from 503 to 3,466 m on Mt. Kinabalu (*Dataset S1*). The taxa we expected in the small mammal trap surveys included members of the families Soricidae (shrews), Erinaceidae (gymnures), Tupaiidae (treeshrews), and rodents in the families Muridae (mice and rats) and Sciuridae (squirrels). Trapping was conducted following ethical standards according to the guidelines of the American Society of Mammalogists (*Sikes, Gannon & Animal Care and Use Committee of the American Society of Mammalogists, 2011*). Animal care and use committees approved

---

**Figure 1 Trapping locations.** Map of Kinabalu Park, Sabah, Malaysia with trails followed and trapping locations.

Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.7858/fig-1
the protocols (Smithsonian Institution, National Museum of Natural History, Proposal Number 2012-04 and Estación Biológica de Doñana Proposal Number CGL2010-21524). Field research was approved by Sabah Parks (TS/PTD/5/4 Jld. 45 (33) and TS/PTD/5/4 Jld. 47 (25)), the Economic Planning Unit (100-24/1/299) and the Sabah Biodiversity Council (JKM/MBS.1000-2/2(104)).

Line transects were set at approximately every 400–600 m in elevation. On Mt. Tambuyukon, transects were placed along the mountaineering trail markers (placed every one km along the trail) as follows: from Monggis substation to km 1 at 500 m, 900 m (km 7.5), 1,300 m (km 10.3), 1,600 m (km 11), 2,000 m (km 12.6) and 2,400 m (km 13.5). On Mt. Kinabalu the 500 and 900 m transects were located at Poring Hot Springs, from the entrance and along the trail to the Langanan Waterfall. The next elevation transect for Mt. Kinabalu was set at ~1,500 m at the Park Headquarters, ~2,200 m along the Timpohon mountaineering trail (km 2, Kamborangoh), 2,700 m (km 4, Layang-Layang), and 3,200 m (around Waras, Pendant hut and Panar Laban). For reporting results and data analysis, we hereafter refer to these locations as “trapping locations.” Each trapping location gathered the trap data from transects which could span a distance of over 100 m up- or downhill (raw data in Dataset S1).

We set traps at approximately 5–10 m intervals for a total of around 40 traps per transect. Trapping locations are shown in Fig. 1. Collapsible Tomahawk live traps (40 cm long), collapsible Sherman traps (two sizes 30 and 37 cm long), and local mesh-wire box traps were used. We considered traps as “close to the ground” if set below approximately three meters off the ground. Most of these traps were directly set on the ground, while a small proportion was set on branches or vines at the reach of the hand. We considered the three-meter threshold as reasonable to describe the terrestrial small mammal community given the arboreal habits of many small terrestrial Bornean mammals (Wells et al., 2004) and the high-complexity of the vegetation in most transects. Any trap above that Threshold was considered “arboreal.” A bait mixture (of varying composition) consisting of bananas, coconuts, sweet potatoes, palm fruit and oil, vanilla extract and dried fish was placed in each trap. A small number of pitfall traps were distributed from 500 to 2,000 m on Mt. Tambuyukon (number and distribution were limited by the substrate) (Table 1).

Each trapping location had a total of two to four transects. The highest elevation had a lower number of trap nights due to the smaller area available for placement of traps. Coordinates for trapping locations were recorded using Garmin eTrex® series and Garmin GPSmap 60CSx. The minimum number of trap nights was based on the saturation rates obtained from Nor (2001) at approximately 300 trap nights. For every trapping location the cumulative trap-nights and species richness were calculated by adding the cumulative number of species caught and trap-nights for each trap for each successive day from the date the trap was set.

We set up four camera traps (Reconyx RapidFire RC55™ cameras, and ScoutGuard HCO™ cameras) along the mountaineering trail on Mt. Tambuyukon. Camera 1 was placed at 500 m, at the first-kilometer marker for the hiking trail. Cameras 2 and 3 were placed along the Kepuakan River near km 8 and at approximately 900 m. Camera 4 was
placed at approximately 1,300 m near km 10.5. No cameras were deployed along the Mt. Kinabalu trail due to a large number of day hikers and mountain climbers.

Additionally, while on Mt. Tambuyukon we opportunistically recorded mammal observations while walking to, from and along our trap lines, while setting cameras, or while in our campsite.

### Alpha diversity

**Diversity index**

We computed diversity indices for each trapping location. The Community Ecology Package “vegan” 2.5-5 (Dixon, 2003) in R 3.5.1 (R Development Core Team, 2018) was used to calculate the Shannon diversity ($H'$) and Simpson’s diversity (D). Pielou’s evenness ($J'$) was calculated as $J' = H'/H_{max}$, and species richness ($S$) as the number of species. We used the LOWESS smoother (stats::lowess function, in R) to visualize the change of these indexes with elevation. The pattern of species richness across elevation in each mountain was contrasted against a MDE using “rangemodelR” 1.0.4 (Marathe, 2019) in R, as in Wang & Fang (2012). We used the function range.shuffle which returns the pattern of species diversity under the MDE. The following arguments were used for computations: 50 m as the interval to discretize species ranges and midpoints into elevational bands, soft boundaries and 5,000 repetitions.

### Predictors of species richness

The relation between species richness and two predictors, (1) elevation and (2) expectations under the MDE, were assessed in separate linear models with mixed-effects (Laird & Ware, 1982) using lme function from “nlme” package 3.1-137 (Pinheiro et al., 2019) in R.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elev. (m)</th>
<th>Including arboreal and pitfall traps</th>
<th>Excluding arboreal and pitfall traps</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Trap nights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mt. Kinabalu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,200</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>434</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,700</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,200</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>2,044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mt. Tambuyukon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>1,713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,300</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,600</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1,036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1,036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,400</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>6,187</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 **Trap success across all elevations.** The number of animals caught is in column N, followed by number of trap nights, and the overall trap success per trapping location.
Model building and the evaluation of their fit was carried out following the recommendations in *Harrison et al. (2018)*. In both models, we corrected for spatial autocorrelation by including a term to account for the correlation structure built with `nlme::corSpatial` function in R. This object contained the centroids of coordinates from all animals trapped at a given location. Mountain was included as a random intercept. The fit of these models was compared with a likelihood ratio tests (*King, 1998*) against a null model that excluded the fixed effect (*Nickerson, 2000; Pinheiro & Bates, 2000; Harrison et al., 2018)*.

**Endemism**

We quantified endemism at each trapping location as the proportion of endemic species. This measure is robust to sampling bias and it is not overridden by local diversity (*Steinbauer et al., 2016*). We defined endemic as only found in Borneo. Shrews were excluded as we were not able to identify them to the species level. To visualize the change of proportion of endemics with elevation we created a confidence envelope by resampling 1,000 times the species present at each trapping location. This same approach was used to visualize the proportion of captures that belonged to endemic species across elevation. We evaluated the contribution of elevation (predictor) to explaining the proportion of endemism (dependent variable 1) and the proportion of captures (dependent variable 2) that corresponded to endemic species. We used generalized models with mixed effects using a binomial family with logit link. Mountain was added as a random intercept. Model fitting was done using `glmer` function from “lme4” package 1.1-21 (*Bates et al., 2015*) in R. The fit of these models was compared using likelihood ratio tests against a null model that excluded the fixed effect (*Nickerson, 2000; Pinheiro & Bates, 2000*).

**Beta diversity**

We calculated beta diversity for each mountain using a Sorensen-based dissimilarity index ($\beta_{\text{SOR}}$) with its turnover ($\beta_{\text{SIM}}$) and nestedness ($\beta_{\text{NES}}$) components (*Baselga, 2010*). For calculations we used function `beta.multi`, from package “betapart” 1.3 (*Baselga & Orme, 2012*) in R. These calculations were also done pairwise between all trapping locations within the same mountain using the function `betapart::beta.pair`. These distances were used for a cluster analysis using neighbor-joining (*Saitou & Nei, 1987*) with `ape::nj` function in R to evaluate the community similarity between mountains and elevations.

We estimated the contribution of endemics to $\beta_{\text{SIM}}$ and $\beta_{\text{NES}}$ components of beta diversity. For each mountain, we removed endemic species from the dataset and recalculated $\beta_{\text{SIM}}$ and $\beta_{\text{NES}}$ (hereafter referred to as $\beta_{\text{SIM-end}}$ and $\beta_{\text{NES-end}}$). We created subsets of the data to which these measures could be directly compared by randomly sub-sampling 5,000 times without replacement, several species from the complete dataset equal to the non-endemics present in that mountain. P-values were determined from the distributions of the permuted values.
RESULTS

Field survey

The overall trapping success increased toward high elevation on both mountains. On Mt. Tambuyukon, we trapped a total of 295 different individuals (not including recaptured animals) from 21 different species (Dataset S1; Table S1) over 5,957 trap nights, for a total of 5.0% trap success (not including arboreal or pitfall trapping; Table 1). Trap success at each elevation ranged from 2.1% at 1,600 m to 9.6% at 2,400 m. One non-target capture of a carnivore, a Kinabalu ferret-badger (Melogale everetti), was recorded. The trap success calculations were done excluding pitfall traps and arboreal traps (due to inconsistent placement of traps). The use of pitfall and arboreal traps was limited by the time necessary to set and check arboreal traps, difficulty finding appropriate places to set pitfall traps and the high number of tourists on Mt. Kinabalu, which limited the sites we could set traps to those out of sight of the trails. The accumulation of species across trap nights varied across elevations, and appeared near saturation in all trapping locations (Fig. S1). A species of shrew, Suncus sp., was collected in a pitfall trap, and a gray tree rat (Lenothrix canus) in an arboreal trap, bringing the total number of species to 23.

On Mt. Kinabalu, we trapped a total of 20 species from 209 different individuals (Dataset S1; Table S1) over 2,022 trap nights, for an average trap success of 10.3% (Table 1). The trap success across elevations was much higher on Mt. Kinabalu, ranging from 5.6% (at 900 m), to 15.4% (at 2,700 m) (Table 1). This overall higher capture rate resulted in species saturation with a lower number of trap nights on Mt. Kinabalu than on Mt. Tambuyukon (Fig. S1).

Species distribution

The mountain treeshrew, Tupaia montana, was the most frequently caught species (35.7% of all catches) and it had a wide elevational distribution from 836 to 3,382 m. The Bornean mountain ground squirrel (Sundasciurus everetti, formerly Dremomys everetti; Hawkins et al., 2016), the long-tailed giant rat (Leopoldamys sabanus), and Whitehead’s spiny rat (Maxomys whiteheadi) also had large elevational distributions on both mountains (Fig. 2). The lowland (<1,000 m) terrestrial small mammal community was the most diverse, with 19 species trapped. We captured 16 species in the community associated with montane forest between 1,000 and 2,400 m and only seven species at 2,400 m and above (Fig. 2).

We captured a single shrew (Suncus sp.) after 176 pitfall trap nights. A less intensive arboreal trapping effort of 76 trap nights yielded seven individuals from six small mammal species: T. montana (n = 2), Lenothrix canus (n = 1), Callosciurus prevostii (n = 1), Sundasciurus jentinki (n = 1), Sundamys muelleri (n = 1) and T. minor (n = 1). Despite the smaller effort on arboreal trap nights, we still captured two species that were not trapped elsewhere (Lenothrix canus and Sundasciurus jentinki). However, we also caught arboreal species in ground traps or traps close to the ground (<3 m), including Chiropodomys pusillus, Callosciurus prevostii and T. minor.
We set four trail cameras on Mt. Tambuyukon to document larger mammals not targeted by our traps. They documented an additional eight species of mammals (Table 2; Fig. S2). The number of species captured by the cameras varied from one to five, with the camera at 500 m exhibiting the most diversity, both in number of species and number of independent visits (Table 2). They documented four species that were not documented in any other way.

On Mt. Tambuyukon, several species were detected only through direct observation (Table 3). Of these sightings many were documented only a single time, including the orangutan (*Pongo pygmaeus*), the Bornean giant tufted ground squirrel (*Reithrosciurus macrotis*), Whitehead’s squirrel (*Exilisciurus whiteheadii*), and the bearded pig (*Sus barbatus*). The Bornean gibbon (*Hylobates muelleri*) was heard singing on an almost daily basis, but only directly observed a single time. The sambar deer (*Rusa unicolor*) was heard vocalizing once at 1,400 m. Only one observation was made of a carnivore, the Malay civet (*Viverra tangalunga*), which was observed during a late night-walk. The visual observations increased the diversity of species documented, especially for primates and tree squirrels.

**Alpha diversity**

Both mountains showed a similar pattern for alpha diversity indices across elevations (Fig. 3; Table S2). Species richness and Shannon diversity were maximum in low elevations and decreased gradually toward high elevations. However, evenness was lowest at middle elevations (U-shaped) (Fig. 3). The high dominance of some species at middle
elevations (e.g., mountain treeshrew) leads the Shannon diversity to sink at around 1,500 m in both mountains. However, Shannon diversity increases again toward the highest elevations due to the more even occurrence of the species in the small mammal communities at those elevations, despite lower species richness (Fig. 3). The species richness we report in low elevations for both mountains are above the upper 97.5% quantile of the expected richness expected under the hypothesis of the MDE (Fig. 3A).

We detected a significant negative relationship between species richness and elevation ($\chi^2 = 8.81, P = 0.003$). However, species richness was not correlated with expected species richness under the MDE ($\chi^2 = 0.54, P = 0.46$) (Fig. 3).

Elevation was positively correlated with higher proportion of endemic species ($\chi^2 = 7.96, df = 1, P = 0.005$) and a greater proportion of captures belonging to endemic species ($\chi^2 = 10.7, df = 1, P = 0.001$) (Fig. 4).

**Beta diversity**

Variation in the species composition assemblages, or beta diversity, was very similar for both mountains ($\beta_{SOR} = 0.77$ on Mt. Kinabalu and $\beta_{SOR} = 0.74$ on Mt. Tambuyukon). Most of this beta diversity derived from the turnover component ($\beta_{SIM} = 0.73$ for Mt. Kinabalu and 0.65 for Mt. Tambuyukon). The nestedness component was very low on both mountains ($\beta_{NES} = 0.04$ for Mt. Kinabalu and 0.09 for Mt. Tambuyukon) (Fig. 5). This indicates that the assemblages at different elevations are not the product of species loss from the richest assemblages. Instead, they are singular assemblages with different species compositions. We found large dissimilarities in the turnover component between pairwise locations within each mountain ($\beta_{sim}$) associated with $< 1,900$ vs $> 1,900$ m locations, compared to lower values within lowland or highland locations (Table S3). When removing endemic species from the dataset, $\beta_{NES-end}$ increased and $\beta_{SIM-end}$ decreased on both mountains (Fig. 5). The permutations indicated that this decrease in the turnover component ($\beta_{SIM-end}$) was significant in Tambuyukon ($\beta_{SIM-end} = 0.36, P = 0.002$), but not in Kinabalu ($\beta_{SIM-end} = 0.68, P = 0.36$), and that the increase in nestedness ($\beta_{NES-end}$) was

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Camera</th>
<th>Elevation (m)</th>
<th>Common name</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>No. of series</th>
<th>Camera nights</th>
<th>Relative abundance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>Pig-tailed Macaque</td>
<td><em>Macaca nemestrina</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>2.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Common Porcupine</td>
<td><em>Hystrix brachyura</em></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mouse Deer</td>
<td><em>Tragulus sp.</em></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Muntjac</td>
<td><em>Muntiacus sp.</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sambar Deer</td>
<td><em>Rusa unicolor</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>Malay Civet</td>
<td><em>Viverra tangalunga</em></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>9.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Banded Linsang</td>
<td><em>Prionodon linsang</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>Malay Civet</td>
<td><em>Viverra tangalunga</em></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1,300</td>
<td>Malay Civet</td>
<td><em>Viverra tangalunga</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>3.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Masked Palm Civet</td>
<td><em>Paguma larvata</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Results of camera trap survey. Results of camera trap surveys on Mt. Tambuyukon, with relative abundance calculated for 100 trap nights.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Common name</th>
<th>Scientific name</th>
<th>Method(s) of detection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Cercopithecidae</td>
<td>Pig-tailed Macaque</td>
<td>Macaca nemestrina</td>
<td>Camera trap/observation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Cercopithecidae</td>
<td>Long tailed Macaque</td>
<td>Macaca fascicularis</td>
<td>Observation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Cercopithecidae</td>
<td>Maroon Langur</td>
<td>Presbytis rubicunda</td>
<td>Observation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Cervidae</td>
<td>Muntjac</td>
<td>Muntiacus sp.</td>
<td>Camera trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Cervidae</td>
<td>Sambar Deer</td>
<td>Cervus unicolor</td>
<td>Camera trap/observation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Erinaceidae</td>
<td>Lesser Gymnure</td>
<td>Hylomys suillus</td>
<td>Live trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Hylobatidae</td>
<td>Bornean Gibbon</td>
<td>Hylobates muelleri</td>
<td>Observation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Hystricidae</td>
<td>Common Porcupine</td>
<td>Hystrix brachyura</td>
<td>Camera trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Muridae</td>
<td>Common Pencil-tailed Tree Mouse</td>
<td>Chiropodomys pusillus</td>
<td>Live trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Muridae</td>
<td>Grey tree rat/Sundaic Lenothrix</td>
<td>Lenothrix canus</td>
<td>Live trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Muridae</td>
<td>Long-tailed giant rat</td>
<td>Leopoldomys sабanus</td>
<td>Live trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Muridae</td>
<td>Bornean Mountain Maxomys</td>
<td>Maxomys alticola</td>
<td>Live trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Muridae</td>
<td>Chestnut-bellied spiny rat</td>
<td>Maxomys ochraceiventer</td>
<td>Live trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Muridae</td>
<td>Brown Spiny Rat</td>
<td>Maxomys rajah</td>
<td>Live trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Muridae</td>
<td>Red Spiny Rat</td>
<td>Maxomys surifer</td>
<td>Live trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Muridae</td>
<td>Whitehead’s Rat</td>
<td>Maxomys whiteheadi</td>
<td>Live trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Muridae</td>
<td>Dark-tailed tree rat</td>
<td>Niviventer cremioventer</td>
<td>Live trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Muridae</td>
<td>Mountain long tailed rat</td>
<td>Niviventer rapit</td>
<td>Live trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Muridae</td>
<td>Summit Rat</td>
<td>Rattus baluensis</td>
<td>Live trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Muridae</td>
<td>Polynesian/Pacific rat</td>
<td>Rattus exulans</td>
<td>Live trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Muridae</td>
<td>Giant Mountain Rat</td>
<td>Sundamys infraluteus</td>
<td>Live trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Muridae</td>
<td>Muller’s Rat/Sundamys</td>
<td>Sundamys muelleri</td>
<td>Live trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Mustelidae</td>
<td>Kinabalu ferret-badger</td>
<td>Melogale everetti</td>
<td>Live trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Pongidae</td>
<td>Bornean Orangutan</td>
<td>Pongo pygmaeus</td>
<td>Observation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Sciuridae</td>
<td>Bornean Mountain Ground Squirrel</td>
<td>Sundasciurus everetti</td>
<td>Live trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Sciuridae</td>
<td>Low’s squirrel</td>
<td>Sundasciurus lowii</td>
<td>Live trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Sciuridae</td>
<td>Plantain Squirrel</td>
<td>Callosciurus notatus</td>
<td>Observation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Sciuridae</td>
<td>Kinabalu Squirrel</td>
<td>Callosciurus baluensis</td>
<td>Observation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Sciuridae</td>
<td>Giant Squirrel</td>
<td>Ratufa affinis</td>
<td>Observation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Sciuridae</td>
<td>Jentink’s Squirrel</td>
<td>Sundasciurus jentinki</td>
<td>Live trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Sciuridae</td>
<td>Whitehead’s Squirrel</td>
<td>Exilisciurus whiteheadi</td>
<td>Observation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Sciuridae</td>
<td>Giant Bornean Tufted Ground Squirrel</td>
<td>Reithrosciurus macrotis</td>
<td>Observation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Soricidae</td>
<td>Shrew</td>
<td>Crocidura sp.</td>
<td>Live trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Soricidae</td>
<td>Shrew</td>
<td>Suncus sp.</td>
<td>Live trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Soricidae</td>
<td>Bearded Pig</td>
<td>Sus barbatus</td>
<td>Observation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Tragulidae</td>
<td>Mouse Deer</td>
<td>Tragulus sp.</td>
<td>Camera trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>Tupaiidae</td>
<td>Common treeshrew</td>
<td>Tupaia longipes</td>
<td>Live trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Tupaiidae</td>
<td>Lesser treeshrew</td>
<td>Tupaia minor</td>
<td>Live trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>Tupaiidae</td>
<td>Mountain treeshrew</td>
<td>Tupaia montana</td>
<td>Live trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Tupaiidae</td>
<td>Large treeshrew</td>
<td>Tupaia tana</td>
<td>Live trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>Viverridae</td>
<td>Malay Civet</td>
<td>Viverra tanganunga</td>
<td>Camera trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>Viverridae</td>
<td>Banded Linsang</td>
<td>Prionodon linsang</td>
<td>Camera trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>Viverridae</td>
<td>Masked Palm Civet</td>
<td>Paguma larvata</td>
<td>Camera trap</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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significant for Mt. Tambuyukon ($\beta_{\text{NES-end}} = 0.38$, $P = 0.001$), but only marginally significant for Mt. Kinabalu ($\beta_{\text{NES-end}} = 0.14$, $P = 0.05$) (Fig. 5).

The clustering analysis grouped trapping locations in two main groups, above and below $\sim 1,400$ m (Fig. S3). Within the high elevation group, all locations above $\sim 1,900$ m clustered together.

Figure 3  Diversity indices across elevations. Change of species richness (A), Shannon H' (B), Simpson's Diversity Index (C) and Pielou's Evenness (D) across elevation for Mt. Kinabalu (circles) and Mt. Tambuyukon (triangles), with loess regressions (Mt. Kinabalu, dashed line; Mt. Tambuyukon, pointed line). Shaded areas in (A) represent the 2.5% and 97.5% percentiles of the species richness for expectations under the MDE (Mt. Kinabalu, brown; Mt. Tambuyukon, green), with closed symbols being the fitted values of species richness corrected for autocorrelation.

Figure 4  Endemism with elevation. Proportion of Bornean endemics (A) and proportion of catches belonging to Bornean endemics (B) across elevation. A confidence envelope for the observed values is represented as a shaded area from 1,000 bootstrap replicates.
DISCUSSION

We report an extensive survey of non-volant small mammals within Kinabalu National Park, Borneo. A sampling effort of 8,231 trap-nights in Mt. Kinabalu ($n=2,044$) and its neighbor peak Mt. Tambuyukon ($n=6,187$) yielded a total of 512 individual records (Mt. Kinabalu, $n=213$; Mt. Tambuyukon, $n=299$) from 27 species (Mt. Kinabalu, $n=20$; Mt. Tambuyukon, $n=23$) (Table 1; Fig. 2). Records from camera traps and direct observations increased the total number of species recorded for Mt. Tambuyukon by 18 to a total of 44 (Table 3).

Mt. Kinabalu is a biodiversity hotspot for many taxa (Van Der Ent, 2013). Its mammal fauna has been studied for over a century (Oldfield, 1889; Whitehead, 1893; Emmons, 2000; Nor, 2001) and it is known to host 61 species of non-volant small mammals (Nor, 2001; Payne et al., 2007). We further explored for the first time the non-volant small mammal diversity along the complete elevational gradient in Mt. Tambuyukon (2,579 m), the third-highest peak in Borneo and only 18 km away from Mt. Kinabalu. Some important sightings on Mt. Tambuyukon included the orangutan ($P. pygmaeus$), which has an estimated population of only 50 individuals within Kinabalu Park boundaries (Ancrenaz et al., 2005). The Kinabalu ferret-badger ($Melogale everetti$) was a significant finding since it is the first official record of this species on Mt. Tambuyukon (Payne et al., 2007; Wilting et al., 2016). We trapped this species at 2,051 m on Mt. Tambuyukon and 3,336 m on Mt. Kinabalu. We identified a population of the summit rat ($Rattus baluensis$) on Mt. Tambuyukon, previously only known from Mt. Kinabalu. This species was common at high elevations and has its lower distribution limit at around 2,000 m. A population genetic analysis of the summit rats from Mt. Kinabalu and Mt. Tambuyukon demonstrated that they are currently genetically isolated (Camacho-Sanchez et al., 2018). We also make

![Figure 5 Beta diversity with and without endemics. Sorensen dissimilarity ($\beta_{SOR}$) decomposed into nestedness ($\beta_{SNE}$) and turnover ($\beta_{SIM}$) components, for Mt. Kinabalu and Mt. Tambuyukon (left/right depiction in each set of data points, respectively). Solid horizontal lines represent the observed values, while the dotted horizontal lines are the estimated beta diversity measures after removing endemic species from the matrix ($\beta_{SIM-end}$, $\beta_{SNE-end}$ and $\beta_{SOR-end}$). The random expectations for $\beta_{SIM-end}$, $\beta_{SNE-end}$ and $\beta_{SOR-end}$ are represented from the dotted cloud with 5,000 permuted values (see main text), together with their corresponding 2.5% and 97.5% percentiles (vertical gray bar).](DOI: 10.7717/peerj.7858/fig-5)
the first records of the mountain species *Maxomys alticola*, *Hylomys suillus* and *Niviventer rapit* on Mt. Tambuyukon.

**Alpha diversity**

Species richness peaked at low elevations on both mountains coinciding with the lowland dipterocarp forest. Then, it decreased gradually toward the highest elevations where it was lowest (Fig. 3). This pattern deviates from the expectations of the MDE (Fig. 3), and the MDE reported by *Nor* (2001) in a previous small mammal survey on Mt. Kinabalu with a very similar survey scheme to ours. *Colwell & Lees* (2000) suggested that a MDE should constitute the null hypothesis over which deviations should be interpreted. However, this point of view is not universal (*Rahbek, 1995; McCain, 2007, 2009*). Incomplete sampling of the low elevations happens regularly and can artificially create a MDE (*Rahbek, 1995; Lomolino, 2001*), which may explain the difference between *Nor* (2001) and our results for the same mountain. Our surveys detected 12 more species as compared to *Nor* (2001), including a climbing mouse, *Chirodipodomys pusillus*, a tree rat *Lenothrix canus*, Prevost’s squirrel, *Callosciurus prevostii*, Jentink’s squirrel, *Sundasciurus jentinki*, the rats *Maxomys rajah*, *Maxomys alticola* and *Sundamys muelleri*, the non-native species *Rattus exulans* and *Rattus tanezumi*, two species of tree shrews *Tupaia longipes* and *T. minor*, and two species of shrews, one trapped in a small Sherman trap, *Crocidura* sp., and one in a pitfall trap, *Suncus* sp.. The effects of an incomplete sampling should be more acute in lowland elevations where there is more habitat heterogeneity (*Rosenzweig, 1992, 1995*) and species might tend to occupy smaller ranges (*Rosenzweig, 1995; Brown, 2014*). However, we report the highest alpha diversity in the lowest elevations, which supports that our interpretations are not affected by this low-elevation sampling bias. Another observation supporting the comprehensiveness of our sampling is that we documented species in all sites between the lowest and highest occurrences, except for *Maxomys whiteheadi* on Mt. Kinabalu, and *Maxomys ochraceiventris* and *N. rapit* on Mt. Tambuyukon.

Conversely, the gradual decrease of species richness we recorded was explained by elevation alone. Even in the presence of a MDE, a gradual decrease of species richness with elevation seems to be a general pattern in mountain gradients (*Rahbek, 1995*). There are multiple factors that are correlated with elevation which have been proposed to explain diversity across mountains gradients, but disentangling their effects is difficult given the multicollinearity (*Heaney, 2001; Fu et al., 2006; Kluge, Kessler & Dunn, 2006*). The strong correlation of alpha diversity with elevation enables further discussion. Diversity has been proposed to peak with precipitation (*Heaney, 2001*), but on Mt. Kinabalu the peak of precipitation, at around 2,000 m (*Kitayama, 1992*), did not match the diversity peak. Productivity (*Aiba, Takyu & Kitayama, 2005*) and temperature (*Kitayama, 1992*) are negatively correlated with elevation in Kinabalu, and could potentially explain the diversity pattern. Nevertheless, it has been suggested that examining resource availability for this taxonomic group is more appropriate than simply looking at productivity (*Brown, 2001; Heaney, 2001*). This is a difficult variable to measure that was not incorporated in our original survey. A more plausible explanation for the change in diversity is area and habitat complexity. Available area (*Camacho-Sanchez et al., 2018*) and complexity of the forest...
(Kitayama, 1992) decrease with elevation on Mt. Kinabalu. The peak in diversity we find in low elevations is consistent with the spatial hypothesis which states that (1) at the regional level, larger areas (such as the lower elevations in mountains) have lower rates of extinction over speciation (Rosenzweig, 1992) and (2) that larger areas have more types of different habitats, so greater species diversity should be observed in larger areas (Rosenzweig, 1995). Perhaps, the relationship between area and diversity on elevational gradients along large mountains falls somewhere between these processes (McCain, 2007).

**Beta diversity**

The composition of the small mammal assemblage changed across elevation in a similar way for both mountains. Indeed, all trapping locations in high elevations (above ~1,900 m) were very similar in composition (Fig. S3). Mid-mountain locations showed intermediate compositions whereas the 500 and 900 m locations on both mountains also clustered together. This montane fauna transition was already identified by Nor (2001) to be at around 1,800 m, and it matches approximately the vegetation limit between the lower and upper montane forests on Mt. Kinabalu (Kitayama, 1992). The influence of this shift is reflected in the overall high turnover component of beta diversity ($\beta_{\text{SIM}}$) for both mountains (Fig. 5). The pairwise turnover components ($\beta_{\text{sim}}$) were highest between lowland—highland locations (Table S3). This indicates the lowland and highland communities are composed of different species, rather than the community with the lowest richness (highland) being a subset of the species present on the richest one (lowland). This pattern has already been described for other small mammals across several mountain systems (Mena & Vázquez-Domínguez, 2005). Unfortunately, there is no consistent data collection from other tall mountains in Sundaland to discuss a common mountain biogeography pattern in this region. Historical expeditions to Sumatra also point to a similar shift in vegetation structure and different mammal assemblages at high elevations above 2,000 m (Robinson & Kloss, 1918, 1919; Miller, 1942).

**Pattern of endemism**

Beyond the net alpha and beta diversity we describe, we put special attention on the nature of the species that could be driving these diversity patterns. Our models predicted that the proportion of Bornean endemism as well as the proportion captures belonging to endemic species increased with elevation (Fig. 4). At the same time, we found that the high-elevation endemics were responsible for the high turnover component in beta diversity on Mt. Tambuyukon (Fig. 5). The species that had the greatest contribution to this high-elevation endemism were *Rattus baluensis*, *T. montana*, *Sundasciurus everetti* and *Maxomys alticola* (Table S1). These species are restricted to mountain areas in northern or central-northern Borneo, and were present in high abundance and evenness from 2,000 m (Table S1). Nor (2001) reported high trapping success on Mt. Kinabalu, associated with higher abundance at high elevations, but he did not record *Maxomys alticola*. Heaney (2001) also recorded the highest abundances in the top elevations in the Philippines, and a peak of endemics at higher elevations. Three additional trapped species
are mountain endemics (*Melogale everetti, Sundasciurus jentinki* and *N. rapit*) but contributed less to our analysis because of their lower densities or detectability. This pattern of high-elevation endemics could be even more pronounced as the distribution and taxonomy of the highland mammals also found on other islands in Sundaland are further updated and revised (i.e., *Hylomys suillus* and *Sundamys infraluteus; Camacho Sánchez, 2017*). A majority of the lowland species are widespread, and also distributed across other Sundaland landmasses such as Sumatra and the Malay Peninsula (*Corbet & Hill, 1992*).

The pattern of mountain endemics on Mt. Kinabalu has previously been described for other taxa (*Merckx et al., 2015*). For mammals, this has also been observed by *Heaney (2001), Sánchez-Cordero (2001), Swenson et al. (2012)* and *Chen et al. (2017)* on other mountains. Mt Kinabalu is unique in Sunland due to its high elevation (4,095 m) and the scarcity of nearby peaks above 2,000 m. Higher isolation on mountain peaks boosts mountain endemism worldwide (*Steinbauer et al., 2016*), which could explain the greater endemism in the higher elevations in the Kinabalu range. For instance, a pattern of mountain endemism linked to divergence in allopatry induced by isolation from the combination of topography with past climate changes has been described in Bornean birds (*Sheldon, Lim & Moyle, 2015; Moyle et al., 2017; Manthey et al., 2017*). A similar pattern of high mountain endemism driven by intra-island speciation has been reported for shrews in Sumatra and Java (*Esselstyn et al., 2013; Demos et al., 2016*). Presumably, the high degree of isolation of the habitats on the higher slopes of Mt. Kinabalu help to maintain a highly endemic community. This could be due to reduced dispersal and colonization to/from nearby similar areas as proposed by *Steinbauer et al. (2016)*.

**CONCLUSIONS**

We found a decline in small mammal diversity from low to high elevations on both Mt. Kinabalu and Mt. Tambuyukon. This pattern differs from the MDE previously described for Mt. Kinabalu and other mountains worldwide. The decrease in diversity with elevation is concordant with the spatial hypothesis predicting higher diversity in lowlands driven by historically larger areas with less extinction and more habitat heterogeneity. However, we cannot exclude other climatic or ecological hypothesis. Endemic species were in higher proportion and more abundant in higher elevations and they drove the turnover component of beta diversity. The high number of mountain endemics point to historical factors as important drivers of the biogeography in this region.
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