

Marked variations in health status, gut microbiota and innate immune responses of marron (*Cherax cainii*, Austin 2002) fed dietary supplementation of *Clostridium butyricum* (#34753)

1

First submission

Editor guidance

Please submit by **1 Mar 2019** for the benefit of the authors (and your \$200 publishing discount).



Structure and Criteria

Please read the 'Structure and Criteria' page for general guidance.



Raw data check

Review the raw data. Download from the location [described by the author](#).



Image check

Check that figures and images have not been inappropriately manipulated.

Privacy reminder: If uploading an annotated PDF, remove identifiable information to remain anonymous.

Files

Download and review all files from the [materials page](#).

6 Figure file(s)

3 Table file(s)

6 Raw data file(s)



Structure your review

The review form is divided into 5 sections. Please consider these when composing your review:

1. BASIC REPORTING
2. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN
3. VALIDITY OF THE FINDINGS
4. General comments
5. Confidential notes to the editor

 You can also annotate this PDF and upload it as part of your review

When ready [submit online](#).

Editorial Criteria

Use these criteria points to structure your review. The full detailed editorial criteria is on your [guidance page](#).

BASIC REPORTING

-  Clear, unambiguous, professional English language used throughout.
-  Intro & background to show context. Literature well referenced & relevant.
-  Structure conforms to [PeerJ standards](#), discipline norm, or improved for clarity.
-  Figures are relevant, high quality, well labelled & described.
-  Raw data supplied (see [PeerJ policy](#)).

EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

-  Original primary research within [Scope of the journal](#).
-  Research question well defined, relevant & meaningful. It is stated how the research fills an identified knowledge gap.
-  Rigorous investigation performed to a high technical & ethical standard.
-  Methods described with sufficient detail & information to replicate.

VALIDITY OF THE FINDINGS

-  Impact and novelty not assessed. Negative/inconclusive results accepted. *Meaningful* replication encouraged where rationale & benefit to literature is clearly stated.
-  Speculation is welcome, but should be identified as such.
-  Conclusions are well stated, linked to original research question & limited to supporting results.
-  Data is robust, statistically sound, & controlled.

Standout reviewing tips

3



The best reviewers use these techniques

Tip

Support criticisms with evidence from the text or from other sources

Example

Smith et al (J of Methodology, 2005, V3, pp 123) have shown that the analysis you use in Lines 241-250 is not the most appropriate for this situation. Please explain why you used this method.

Give specific suggestions on how to improve the manuscript

Your introduction needs more detail. I suggest that you improve the description at lines 57- 86 to provide more justification for your study (specifically, you should expand upon the knowledge gap being filled).

Comment on language and grammar issues

The English language should be improved to ensure that an international audience can clearly understand your text. Some examples where the language could be improved include lines 23, 77, 121, 128 – the current phrasing makes comprehension difficult.

Organize by importance of the issues, and number your points

1. Your most important issue
2. The next most important item
3. ...
4. The least important points

Please provide constructive criticism, and avoid personal opinions

I thank you for providing the raw data, however your supplemental files need more descriptive metadata identifiers to be useful to future readers. Although your results are compelling, the data analysis should be improved in the following ways: AA, BB, CC

Comment on strengths (as well as weaknesses) of the manuscript

I commend the authors for their extensive data set, compiled over many years of detailed fieldwork. In addition, the manuscript is clearly written in professional, unambiguous language. If there is a weakness, it is in the statistical analysis (as I have noted above) which should be improved upon before Acceptance.

Marked variations in **health status**, gut microbiota and **innate** immune responses of marron (*Cherax cainii*, Austin 2002) fed dietary supplementation of *Clostridium butyricum*

Md Javed Foysal ^{Corresp., 1,2}, Thi Thu Thuy Nguyen ¹, Md Reaz Chaklader ¹, Muhammad A.B. Siddik ¹, Alfred Chin Yen Tay ³, Ravi Fotedar ¹, Sanjay Kumar Gupta ⁴

¹ School of Molecular and Life Sciences, Curtin University, Bentley, Western Australia, Australia

² Department of Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology, Shahjalal University of Science & Technology, Sylhet, Bangladesh

³ Helicobacter Research Laboratory, Marshall Centre for Infectious Disease Research and Training, School of Biomedical Sciences, University of Western Australia, Perth, Western Australia, Australia

⁴ Fisheries Biotechnology, ICAR-Indian Institute of Agricultural Biotechnology, Ranchi, Jharkhand, India

Corresponding Author: Md Javed Foysal

Email address: mdjaved.foysal@postgrad.curtin.edu.au

This study aimed to analyse the effects of *Clostridium butyricum* as dietary probiotic supplement on growth, gut microbiota and immune performance of marron (*Cherax cainii*). Marron were randomly distributed into two different treatment groups, control and probiotic fed group. After 42 days of feeding trial, the results revealed a significant ($P < 0.05$) increase in growth due to increase in number of moults in marron fed probiotics. The probiotic diet also significantly enhanced the total haemocyte counts (THC), lysozyme activity in the haemolymph and protein content of the tail muscle in marron. Compared to control, the 16S rRNA sequences data demonstrated an enrichment of bacterial diversity in the probiotic fed marron where significant increase of *Clostridium* abundance was observed. The abundance for crayfish pathogen *Vibrio* and *Aeromonas* were found to be significantly reduced post feeding with probiotic diet. Predicted metabolic pathway revealed an increased activity for the metabolism and absorption of carbohydrate, degradation of amino acid, fatty acid and toxic compounds, and biosynthesis of secondary metabolites. *C. butyricum* supplementation also significantly modulated the expression level of immune-responsive genes of marron post challenged with *Vibrio mimicus*. The overall results suggest that *C. butyricum* could be used as dietary probiotic supplement in marron aquaculture.

Marked variations in health status, gut microbiota and innate immune responses of marron (*Cherax cainii*, Austin 2002) fed dietary supplementation of *Clostridium butyricum*

Running title: Effects of *C. butyricum* on marron health

Md Javed Foysal^{1, 2*}, Thi Thu Thuy Nguyen¹, Md Reaz Chaklader¹, Mohammad A.B. Siddik¹, Alfred Chin Yen Tay³, Ravi Fotedar¹, Sanjay Kumar Gupta⁴

¹ School of Molecular and Life Sciences, Curtin University, Bentley, WA, Australia

² Department of Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology, Shahjalal University of Science and Technology, Sylhet, Bangladesh

³ Helicobacter Research Laboratory, Marshall Centre for Infectious Disease Research and Training, School of Biomedical Sciences, University of Western Australia, Perth, WA, Australia

⁴ ICAR-Indian Institute of Agricultural Biotechnology, Ranchi, Jharkhand, India

*Corresponding author:

Md Javed Foysal

School of Molecular and Life Science, Curtin University, Bentley, WA, Australia

Email address: mjfoysal-geb@sust.edu or mdjaved.foysal@postgrad.curtin.edu.au

Telephone: +61 8 9266 4508; mobile +61451404337

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2064-8897>

Marked variations in health status, gut microbiota and innate immune responses of marron (*Cherax cainii*, Austin 2002) fed dietary supplementation of *Clostridium butyricum*

ABSTRACT

This study aimed to analyse the effects of *Clostridium butyricum* as dietary probiotic supplement on growth, gut microbiota and immune performance of marron (*Cherax cainii*). Marron were randomly distributed into two different treatment groups, control and probiotic fed group. After 42 days of feeding trial, the results revealed a significant ($P<0.05$) increase in growth due to increase in number of moults in marron fed probiotics. The probiotic diet also significantly enhanced the total haemocyte counts (THC), lysozyme activity in the haemolymph and protein content of the tail muscle in marron. Compared to control, the 16S rRNA sequences data demonstrated an enrichment of bacterial diversity in the probiotic fed marron where significant increase of *Clostridium* abundance was observed. The abundance for crayfish pathogen *Vibrio* and *Aeromonas* were found to be significantly reduced post feeding with probiotic diet. Predicted metabolic pathway revealed an increased activity for the metabolism and absorption of carbohydrate, degradation of amino acid, fatty acid and toxic compounds, and biosynthesis of secondary metabolites. *C. butyricum* supplementation also significantly modulated the expression level of immune-responsive genes of marron post challenged with *Vibrio mimicus*. The overall results suggest that *C. butyricum* could be used as dietary probiotic supplement in marron aquaculture.

44

45 INTRODUCTION

46 Crayfish harbour complex bacterial communities in the intestine that stimulates various host
 47 functions like digestion, absorption, immunity and disease resistance (Skelton et al., 2017).
 48 Augmentation in the growth and immunity of fish and crayfish with dietary probiotic supplements
 49 has long been studied (Ambas, Fotedar, & Buller, 2017; Ambas, Suriawan, & Fotedar, 2013;
 50 Didinen et al., 2016; Panigrahi et al., 2007). Probiotics are live microorganisms that can improve
 51 the digestive function by influencing the growth of beneficial microorganisms in the gut when
 52 administered in adequate amounts (Irianto & Austin, 2002; Dawood et al., 2016). Probiotic
 53 bacteria can improve the growth, immune response, feed utilization and stress response of fish
 54 (Kesarcodi-Watson et al., 2008; Iwashita et al., 2015; Dawood et al., 2016) in the host species.
 55 Among the bacterial supplements, majority of the studies focussed on the beneficial effects of
 56 Lactic acid bacteria (LAB), especially *Lactobacillus* species on growth, immunity and disease
 57 resistance of fish and crayfish (Pirarat et al., 2006; Didinen et al., 2016; Zheng et al., 2017).
 58 *Clostridium butyricum*, an acid producing bacteria that resides in healthy intestinal flora, has been
 59 used as diet supplements to enhance the growth and immune response of fish and broiler chicken
 60 (Song et al., 2006; Gao et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2014; Ramírez et al., 2017). In addition, *C.*
 61 *butyricum* as probiotic supplement demonstrated to have inhibitory effects against the colonization
 62 of pathogenic bacteria including species from *Vibrio* and *Aeromonas* in the fish gut (Pan et al.,
 63 2008; Gao et al., 2013). However, the impacts of *C. butyricum* on crayfish, especially on marron
 64 (*Cherax cainii*) growth performance, gut microbiota and immune gene expression has yet to be
 65 explored.

Marron is the third largest freshwater crayfish; iconic to Western Australia (WA). The global demands of marron is very high due to its large harvest size (up to 2 kg), distinctive flavour, disease-free status, high consumer preference, and ability of live transport (Ambas, Suriawan, & Fotedar, 2013). Slow growth of marron has long been a bottleneck in the expansion of marron aquaculture in WA (Lawrence, 2007; Alonso, 2010; Department of Fisheries, 2017). Although there has not been any current report of infection in marron, however, future expansion of marron industry could bring this threat especially infections by *Vibrio* species (Eaves & Ketterer, 1994; Bean et al., 1998; Sherry et al., 2016). Therefore, enhancing growth parameters and minimizing the possible incidences of *Vibrio* infections are the two utmost challenges in the expansion of marron aquaculture.

Integration of traditional growth performance analysis methods with recently developed high-tech stuffs like 16S rRNA based high throughput sequencing and bioinformatics pipelines have enabled more comprehensive analysis of feeding effects at a cellular and molecular level of fish (Allali et al., 2017; Miao et al., 2018). In addition, quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) has been widely used to measure the relative expression level of immune responsive genes for fish (Mahanty et al., 2017). The present investigation was designed to evaluate the effects of dietary supplementation of *C. butyricum* on health, gut microbial community and immune related gene expression of marron.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experiment set-up

A total of 24 marron with a mean weight of 69.65 ± 1.04 g were transported alive from Blue Ridge Marron, Manjimup, (34.2019° S, 116.0170° E) to Curtin Aquatic Research Laboratory (CARL),

Bentley campus, Curtin University, Western Australia. Marron were then randomly distributed into six different tanks (150 L capacity) and acclimatized for two weeks before starting the feeding trial. During acclimatization, marron were fed with commercial basal diet named marron pellet (Glenn Forest, Perth, Australia), composed of 28% crude protein, 9% crude fat, 8.5% moisture, and 5% crude ash. After acclimatization, marron were disseminated into two distinct treatment groups viz. control and probiotic fed group, in three randomly replicated tanks for each feeding treatment, with a stocking density of four marron per tank. Constant aeration to each tank was distributed by air stones (Aqua One, Perth, Australia) and fixed temperature of 22 ± 0.5 °C was maintained using submersible thermostat set (Aqua One, Perth, Australia). Each marron were individually nurtured in a special cage prepared of plastic mesh (0.8-8.0 mm thickness) to avoid cannibalism as described previously (Ambas, Suriawan, & Fotedar, 2013). Approximately 30% of water was exchanged every day from each tank to remove uneaten feed and faecal debris by siphoning.

Probiotic feed formulation

The probiotic feed was formulated at CARL according to the procedure used by Ambas, Suriawan, & Fotedar, (2013). The ingredients of the commercial basal feed were gently passed through 100 µm mesh sieve and homogenized to get uniform particle size. The bacteria (*C. butyricum*) were then added at 10^7 CFU/mL per kg of feed as described in previous studies (Ramírez et al., 2017) and mixed uniformly. Pellet were prepared with mince mixture followed by air drying and storage at 4 °C until used. During experimental trial, each marron were fed once every day at 5 PM for 42 days at a rate of 1.5% of total marron biomass per tank (Ambas, Fotedar, & Buller, 2017). Control group fed basal diet and probiotic group served *C. butyricum* supplemented diet.

Monitoring of water quality parameters

The temperature and pH of experimental tank water was measured using portable waterproof °C/mV/pH meter (CyberScan pH 300, Eutech Instruments, Singapore). The levels of dissolve oxygen (DO) in water was monitored by digital DO meter (YSI55, Perth Scientific, Australia). The concentrations of nitrate (NO_3^-) and nitrite (NO_2^-) were checked using Hach DR/890 Colorimeter (Hach, Loveland, CO, USA) following the method of cadmium reduction and diazotization (Hoang et al., 2016). Phosphate (PO_4^-) level in water was examined by ascorbic acid standard 4500-PE method, as described by Huong Mai, Fotedar, & Fewtrell, 2010. The concentrations of ammonia (NH_3) in water was monitored using ammonia test kit (Hach, Loveland, CO, USA).

Analysis of growth and immune parameters

Before starting the experiment and at the end of the trial, the weight of each marron was recorded. The weight gain (WG), specific growth rate (SGR) and feed conversion ratio (FCR) were measured at the end of the experiment according to Ambas, Fotedar, & Buller, 2017. The number of moults in each tank was monitored carefully. For analysis of immune parameters like haemolymph osmolality (HO), lysozyme and total haemocyte counts (THC), the haemolymph was extracted carefully from the pericardial cavity of marron with a 0.5 mL syringe containing 0.1 mL pre-cooled anticoagulant (0.1%) glutaraldehyde in 0.2M sodium cacodylate, (pH 7.0 ± 0.2). The HO of marron was measured using Cryoscopic Osmometer-Osmomet 030 (Gonotec, Berlin, Germany) as described by Sang & Fotedar, 2004. THC of the extracted haemolymph from each replicate of two treatment group was calculated under a hemocytometer (Nauabuer, Germany) with 100X magnification according to method described by Ambas, Fotedar, & Buller, 2017. The lysozyme activity of marron haemolymph in control and probiotic fed groups was measured using turbidimetric assay (Mai & Fotedar, 2018). Briefly, 50 μL of hemolymph samples (anticoagulant

added) were disseminated into 96-well microtiter-plate (Iwaki, Tokyo, Japan). After incubation for 15 min at room temperature, 50 μ L of PBS (0.25 mg/mL) suspended *Micrococcus lysodeiktitikus* (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) solution was added into separate wells of the same plate. The absorbance of each well in the microtiter-plate was then monitored in a MS212 reader (Titertek Plus, Tecan, Grodig, Austria) at 450 nm wavelength. The measurements were taken at every 2 minute intervals for 20 min.

Analysis of health indexes

The protein and energy content in the tail muscle and fat content in the hepatopancreas were measured according to methods described by the Association of Official Analytical Chemists, AOAC international (AOAC, 2006). The percentage of crude protein in oven dried tail muscle was measured following Kjeldahl method ($N \times 6.25$) in Kjeltex Auto 1030 analyser (Foss Tecator, Höganäs, Sweden) (Jim, Garamumhango & Musara, 2017). Fat content in hepatopancreas was analysed following Soxhlet ether extraction method using Soxtec System HT6 (Tecator, Höganäs, Sweden) (Jim, Garamumhango & Musara, 2017). The amount of total gross energy in the tail muscle was calculated using bomb calorimeter (Heitersheim, Germany).

High throughput sequencing

At the end of the experiment, gut samples from all marron were collected aseptically from each tank, homogenized and pooled together for DNA extraction. Due to special role in digestion, absorption and immunity, hindgut was selected for 16S rRNA sequencing (Wang et al., 2018). The bacterial DNA from pooled hindgut samples was extracted using DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Crawley, UK) following manufacturer's instructions. Extracted DNA was checked for quantity and quality in a NanoDrop Spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA,

USA) and diluted to 30 ng/μL as final concentration. Thirty cycles of PCR amplification were performed in a BioRad S100 Gradient Thermal Cycler (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc., Foster City, California, USA) with V3-V4 sequencing primers and Hot Start *Taq* 2X Master Mix (BioLab Inc., Australia). Amplified PCR products were separated by 1% agarose gel and visualized under a gel documentation system (FujiFilm LAS-4000 Image Analyzer, Boston Inc., Foster City, California, USA). Secondary PCR was applied for the barcoding of 16S rRNA PCR amplicon of each sample according to the Illumina standard protocol (Part # 15044223 Rev. B). The samples were then sequenced up to 20,000 reads on an Illumina MiSeq platforms (Illumina Inc., San Diego, California, USA) at Harry Perkins Institute of Medical Research, Western Australia, using a v3 kit (600 cycles).

Gene expression analysis in challenged marron

Six genes, namely, interleukin 8, interleukin 10, interleukin 17F, cathepsin L, prophenoloxidase and cytosolic manganese superoxide dismutase (IL-8, IL-10, IL-17F, PcCTSL, proPO and cytMnSOD) that have been reported to be associated with innate immunity of fish and crayfish (Liu et al., 2013; Jiang et al., 2015; Dai et al., 2017; Miao et al., 2018) were selected. The primers used for the selected genes are listed in **Table 1. Crayfish pathogen** *Vibrio mimicus* was collected from the Department of Food and Agriculture, Western Australia. At the end of the feeding trial, eight marron were selected for challenge test from two different groups, each injected with previously prepared 2×10^8 CFU/mL stock solution of *V. mimicus* (Ambas, Suriawan, & Fotedar, 2013). Fifty microliters of bacterial solution were injected through the base of the fifth thoracic leg of marron (Ambas, Suriawan, & Fotedar, 2013). Control marron were injected with 50 μL of phosphate buffer saline (PBS). Injected marron were subjected to RNA extraction from **intestine** tissue after 24 h of bacterial challenge. For RNA extraction, intestine tissue samples from challenged marron were initially stored at -80 °C with *RNA Later* solution (Sigma-Aldrich,

Germany). The samples were thawed, dried, homogenized, grinded into fine powder, and finally pooled together according to groups prior to use for RNA extraction. Approximately, 5 mg of pooled tissue sample from each group was used for RNA extraction using RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). During extraction process, RNase free DNase-I (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) was added according to manufacturer's instructions for removing of DNA related impurities. The quality and quantity of the extracted RNA was checked in 1% gel electrophoresis and NanoDrop spectrophotometer 2000c (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). The cDNA library was prepared using Omnicript RT kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) from 1 µg of RNA. Real-time PCR amplification was performed using PowerUp™ Cyber Green Master Mix (Thermo Scientific, USA) with 7500 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, USA) to measure the relative expression level of six selected genes. The relative expression pattern of each target gene in two different groups was calculated using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method, after normalisation against β -actin reference gene.

Bioinformatics

After extraction, the initial quality of 16S rRNA sequences were checked in fastQC pipelines (Andrews, 2010). Sickle program was used for the trimming of quality reads (Joshi & Fass, 2011). Following trimming, reads having a length of less than 200 bp were discarded. MeFiT pipeline with default parameters was used for the joining of overlapping pair-ends reads (Parikh et al., 2016). Filtering of chimeric sequences, *de novo* greedy clustering of 16S rRNA sequences into Operational Taxonomic Units (OTUs) at 97% similarity threshold and removal of singleton OTUs were conducted using micca otu (version 1.7.0) (Albanese et al., 2015). Taxonomic assignment of the representative OTUs was performed using mica classify against SILVA 132 database clustered at 97% identity (Quast et al., 2013). Multiple sequence alignment of the obtained OTUs was

performed using PASTA algorithm (Mirarab et al., 2015). The rarefaction depth value was set at 4672 and subsequent calculation of alpha and beta diversities was performed using QIIME pipeline (version 1.9.1) (Kuczynski et al., 2012). Briefly, the alpha diversity was calculated based on observed species and diversity indices (Shannon, Simpson, Alpha Fisher and Chao1) using vegan package in Rstudio (Oksanen et al., 2018). Non-parametric *t*-test for two samples was performed to compare the alpha diversity metrics between the control and probiotic-fed samples. Principle coordinates analysis (PCoA) was performed to visualize separation of samples using Bray-Curtis and jaccard abundance metrics. Non-parametric statistical test of the distance metric was done using ANOSIM (1000 permutations). PCoA plots were generated using PhyloToAST software (Dabdoub et al., 2016). The assigned bacterial genus from two different feeding groups were plotted in Venn diagram, generated using FunRich tool (Benito-Martin & Peinado, 2015). Indicator bacterial genus in two different conditions was differentiated from rarefy 16S rRNA sequence data using Linear Discriminant Analysis Effect Size, LEfSe (University of Auckland, New Zealand) (Segata et al., 2011). For predicting the metabolic pathways through 16S rRNA sequences of two different fed groups, Piphillin pipeline (<http://secondgenome.com/Piphillin>) was applied with supports of KEGG (May, 2017 release), BioCyc 21.0 databases and LEfSe algorithm (Segata et al., 2011; Iwai et al., 2016). One way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to calculate any significant differences ($P < 0.05$) among the numerical data obtained from two different feeding conditions.

Calculations

Following calculations were used:

Weight gain, $WG = [(\text{mean final body weight} - \text{mean initial body weight}) / [\text{mean initial body weight}]$

Specific growth rate, SGR (% day) = $[(\ln \text{ mean final body weight} - \ln \text{ mean initial body weight})/\text{number of days}] \times 100$

Feed conversion ratio, FCR = feed intake (dry weight)/weight gain (wet g)

Haemolymph osmolality, HO = $3 \times \text{osmolality of the mix} - 2 \times \text{osmolality of anticoagulant}$

Total haemocyte counts, THC = $(\text{cells counted} \times \text{dilution factor} \times 1000)/\text{volume of grid (0.1 mm}^3\text{)}$

RESULTS

Water quality parameters

The water quality parameters were recorded within the normal range for optimum growth of marron according to Nugroho & Fotedar, (2013). Temperature was maintained at $22.3 \pm 0.014 - 22.4 \pm 0.01$ °C, pH was recorded within the range of $7.5 \pm 0.01 - 7.6 \pm 0.01$, dissolved oxygen levels between 6.51 ± 0.01 and 6.65 ± 0.01 , nitrate and nitrite concentration of $0.046 \pm 0.01 - 0.049 \pm 0.01$ and $0.015 \pm 0.01 - 0.018 \pm 0.01$ were recorded, phosphate concentration was found to be varied within the range of $0.36 \pm 0.01 - 0.52 \pm 0.02$, and ammonia concentration of $0.20 \pm 0.01 - 0.22 \pm 0.01$ was observed in water during the experiment.

Probiotic supplement enhanced the growth and haemolymph parameters

After 42 days, the marron in the probiotic fed tanks gained significant ($P < 0.05$) weight gain (WG) and specific growth rate (SGR) than the control (**Table 2**). A total of 11 marron were moulted in the probiotic fed tanks compared to three in the control tanks, shown significant ($P < 0.05$) increase of moult number in probiotic fed marron. Multiple regression analysis revealed a strong “Pearson” correlation (0.99) between the number of moults and weight gain (**Figure 1A**). PCoA plot also revealed a complete separation of probiotic fed group based on moulting numbers and weight gain

(**Figure 1B**). Probiotic diet also exhibited significant ($P<0.05$) impacts on two out of three haemolymph parameters, total haemocyte counts (THC) and lysozyme. Among two tail muscle parameters, significant ($P<0.05$) increase in protein content was observed in probiotic fed marron while the variations were insignificant ($P>0.05$) for total gross energy, moisture and fat in the hepatopancreas in the marron fed probiotic feed (**Table 2**).

Probiotic diet modulated the microbial communities in the distal gut

The probiotic supplemented diet exhibited a distinct effect on gut microbial community of marron after feeding trial (**Figure 2**). The 16S rRNA sequence from eight pooled hindgut samples generated 128,567 high quality reads after quality trimming and removing singletons, that were classified into 83 OTUs and 49 genus. Besides 18 shared genus in both groups (control and probiotic), the probiotic feed group had an additional 31 unique genus compared to four in control diet group (**Figure 3**). At phylum level, control diet group dominated by the *Proteobacteria* (96.5%) while *Fusobacteria* (53.4%) and *Proteobacteria* (44.1%) shared 97.5% of OTUs in the probiotic fed group (**Figure 4A**). At genus level, control group demonstrated more abundance for *Aeromonas* (47.1%) and *Vibrio* (31.8%) whereas in probiotic fed group, *Hypnocyclicus* (57.7%) was the most dominant bacteria, followed by *Vibrio* (13.1%) and *Aeromonas* (9.7%). A significant reduction of *Vibrio* (13.1%) and *Aeromonas* (9.7%) counts was noticed in the probiotic fed group at the end of the trial (**Figure 4B**). Although the values for major diversity indices such as Shannon, Simpson, Fisher Alpha and Chao1 were significantly ($P<0.05$) higher but more pronounced effects was observed only for Shannon ($P<0.001$) and Simpson ($P<0.005$) indices in the probiotic fed group (**Table 3**).

Probiotic diet modified the microbial lineages and metabolic pathways in the hindgut

Linear discriminant analysis effect size (LEfSe) based on Wisconsin non-parametric t-test at 0.05 level of significance revealed three bacterial genus namely, *Illumatobacter*, *Clostridium* and *Cyanobium* to be enriched with *C. butyricum* supplementation in the marron (**Figure 5A**). No significant ($P>0.05$) enrichment of bacteria was observed in the control group. Piphillin and LEfSe based metabolic pathway extracted from KEGG database revealed increased pathway activities for carbohydrate metabolism and absorption, amino acid metabolism, catabolism of fatty acid, degradation of toxic and synthetic compounds and biosynthesis of secondary metabolites in the probiotic fed group. In contrast, control group had up-regulated galactose, hormone and vitamin metabolism activities (**Figure 5B**).

Probiotic feed up-regulate the expression profile of immune responsive genes

The results of qRT-PCR for the selective genes associated with crayfish immune response post *in-vivo* challenge demonstrated significant ($P<0.05$) up-regulation of pro-inflammatory cytokine (interleukin 17, IL17), anti-inflammatory cytokine (interleukin 10, IL10), cytosolic manganese superoxide dismutase (cytMnSOD) and prophenoloxidase (proPO). After 24 h of challenge, results revealed that the relative expression level of IL-17, IL-10, cytMnSOD and proPO were increased by 2.8, 2.5, 2.2 and 1.9 folds, respectively (**Figure 6**). The expression level of cathepsin L (PcCTSL) and interleukin 8 (IL-8) genes were relatively static during post challenge test.

Data availability

The raw FASTQ files are currently available in National Centre for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) BioProject under the accession number PRJNA515886.

DISCUSSION

Probiotics as a dietary supplement have been in vogue for past 10 years for crayfish aquaculture to promote growth, disease resistance and stress tolerance (Ambas, Fotedar, & Buller, 2017; Ambas, Suriawan, & Fotedar, 2013; Li, Tan, & Mai, 2009; Zheng, Duan, Dong, & Zhang, 2017). Most of the earlier researches on probiotics studies in aquatic animals were performed with the dietary inclusion of *Bacillus* and *Lactobacillus* species (Ambas, Fotedar, & Buller, 2017; Ambas, Suriawan, & Fotedar, 2013; Kongnum & Hongpattarakere, 2012; Zheng, Duan, Dong, & Zhang, 2017). However, a very few studies highlighted the importance of using *C. butyricum* as possible dietary probiotic candidate for crayfish (Ramírez et al., 2017). Results conducted with *C. butyricum* as dietary probiotic supplements demonstrated positive influence on growth and immune parameters of fish, chicken, piglet etc. (Song et al., 2006; Pan et al., 2008; Gao et al., 2013; Abdel-Latif et al., 2018; Chen et al., 2018). Reports also have shown that *C. butyricum* supplementation promote growth performance, body protein content of Pacific white leg shrimp, *Lotopenaeus vannamei* fed (Duan et al., 2017). Based on the findings of Duan et al., 2017 study, we aimed to analyse the effects of *C. butyricum* on growth, microbial composition in gut and immune response of marron for the first time. Consistent with previous study by Duan et al., (2017), we found significant ($P<0.05$) improvement of growth and protein content in tail muscle of marron after 42 days of feeding trial. Besides this, *C. butyricum* supplementation in the diet also led to significantly increase the lysozyme and total haemocyte counts (THC) of haemolymph, indicating the possibility of using *C. butyricum* as a probiotic supplements in marron aquaculture. Intestine of crayfish is regarded as the centre for digestion and absorption of nutrients whereas microbial communities present in the distal intestine play vital role in digestion and immunity (Duan et al., 2017). Besides the available core microbiota, enrichment with some bacterial communities facilitate to enhance the growth and immune performance of crayfish (Hoseinifar,

Sun, Wang, & Zhou, 2018; E. Li et al., 2018). *C. butyricum* is a spore-forming butyric acid producing bacteria, common in animal gut that has many beneficial characteristics to be used as probiotic in poultry and aquaculture industry (Pan et al., 2008; Van Immerseel et al., 2010; Takahashi et al., 2018). Butyric acid has been widely used for animal nutrition owing to its positive effects on growth, intestinal digestion, absorption, metabolism and disease resistance (Robles et al., 2013; Levy et al., 2015; Bourassa et al., 2016). The dietary supplementation of *C. butyricum* in present study significantly ($LDA > 2.0$, $P < 0.05$) increased the abundance for *Clostridium* genus in the marron gut leading to enhanced growth and immune performance. In addition to *Clostridium*, the probiotic supplement also increased the richness of genus *Cyanobium* and *Illumatobacter*. Both of these bacteria identified from the gut and water demonstrated to have positive influence on remediation of water quality through the detoxification of pollutants and minimization of hypoxia (Homonnay et al., 2012; Matsumoto et al., 2013; Wilhelm et al., 2014; Naoum, 2016; Yamaguchi et al., 2016). At genus level, *C. butyricum* supplement in present study significantly ($P < 0.05$) reduced the *Vibrio* and *Aeromonas* abundance in marron gut. *Vibrio* species has been associated with a number of diseases in crayfish and also considered as emerging pathogen for marron (Ambas et al., 2013; Bean et al., 1998; Momtaz, Foysal, Rahman, & Fotedar, 2019). *Aeromonas hydrophilla* is widely reported as the pathogen for many crayfish species including *Pacifastacus leniusculus*, *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* (Jiravanichpaisal et al., 2009; Abdolnabi et al., 2015). Therefore, reduction of abundance for these two bacteria from the fish gut represents positive impact of probiotic diet on marron gut.

Although the use of probiotic bacteria for the growth and development of aquatic animals are widespread, however, the mode of action of these bacteria in crayfish gut is yet not clear. To investigate the effects of dietary *C. butyricum* supplementation on marron metabolic pathway, we

applied simple, commonly used and straight-forward online tool, Piphillin (Iwai et al., 2016). The outcome of the analysis revealed significantly higher activities for protein and energy metabolism, detoxification and secondary metabolites synthesis in the probiotic fed group. Furthermore, the positive effects of *C. butyricum* on crayfish, *Litopenaeus vannamei* growth, as reflected in terms of significant increase in enzymatic pathways activities for the metabolism of carbohydrate and protein were recorded (Duan et al., 2017). Consistent to this, our results also revealed up-regulation of carbohydrate metabolism and absorption, amino acids (glycine, serine, threonine and lysine) metabolism and fatty acid metabolism. In addition, we also found increased activities for biosynthesis of secondary metabolites including prodigiosin, degradation of toxic compounds including organic (polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon, PAHs) and synthetic (caprolactam) waste. High PAHs concentration is harmful for aquatic life as they can persist for long time without being degraded by the natural system while microbial mediated biological degradation has been reported in the aquatic systems (Noverita Dian, Aryanti & Nugraha, 2013). The present study was designed to nurture marron without any filtration system, rather 30% water exchanged regularly. The untreated organic waste accumulated from the faecal and excess feed therefore mostly settled in the bottom of the tanks. The up-regulation of degradation pathway suggests bacterial decomposition of toxic organic waste might also be associated with higher growth in the probiotic fed marron.

The profiling of immune responsive genes post bacterial challenge test were performed to understand the role of probiotic feeding with the infection. Interleukins (IL) are the major class of cytokines associated with the immunity of crayfish (Jiang et al., 2015). Dietary supplementation of probiotic bacteria modulated the expression level of cytokine genes in fish (Panigrahi, Viswanath & Satoh, 2011; Zokaefar et al., 2012; Selim & Reda, 2015). Present study also revealed

significant upregulation of IL-17F and IL-10 expression in pathogen challenged marron. When pro-inflammatory cytokine (i.e., IL-17F) are up-regulated in challenged fish, the anti-inflammatory cytokine are also over-expressed to prevent the damage from inflammation (Miao et al., 2018). Up-regulation of cytokine genes after feeding probiotic bacteria have been widely authenticated as positive influence to enhance immune performance of fish (Panigrahi et al., 2007; Yang et al., 2014; Miao et al., 2018). Two other significantly overexpressed genes, prophenoloxidase (proPO) and cytosolic manganese superoxide dismutase (cytMnSOD) reported to inhibit the growth of some crayfish pathogen i.e. shrimp white-spot virus (WSSV), *Vibrio* spp., and *Aeromonas hydrophilla* (Liu et al., 2013). Boosting immune performance by inhibition of *Vibrio* and *Aeromonas* after dietary administration of *C. butyricum* is in accordance with the finding of Liu et al., (2013). Cathepsin L (PcCTSL) showed discrepancy in the expression level with previous study (Dai et al., 2017) which possibly due to differences in the composition of feed and shorter length of the previous study (two weeks).

CONCLUSION

In summary, cellular and molecular based study revealed that probiotic bacteria, *C. butyricum* supplemented in feed, exerts its beneficial influence on growth performance, gut microbiota and immune response of marron. Therefore, *C. butyricum* could be utilised as potential probiotic supplement in the diet of marron. Further studies are required to reveal out the molecular mechanism of metabolic signalling pathways for improving the immune performance induced by *C. butyricum* in marron.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Authors are thankful to Australian Government for the financial support through Research Training Pathway (RTP) program.

REFERENCES

Abdel-Latif MA, El-Hack MEA, Swelum AA, Saadeldin IM, Elbestawy AR, Shewita RS, Ba-Awadh HA, Alowaimer AN, El-Hamid HSA. 2018. Single and combined effects of *Clostridium butyricum* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* on growth indices, intestinal health, and immunity of broilers. *Animals* **8**. DOI: 10.3390/ani8100184.

Abdolnabi S, Ina-Salwany MY, Daud HM, Mariana NS, Abdelhadi YM. 2015. Pathogenicity of *Aeromonas hydrophila* in giant freshwater prawn; *Macrobrachium rosenbergii*, cultured in East Malaysia. *Iranian Journal of Fisheries Sciences* **14**:232–245.

Albanese D, Fontana P, De Filippo C, Cavalieri D, Donati C. 2015. MICCA: A complete and accurate software for taxonomic profiling of metagenomic data. *Scientific Reports* **5**:1–7. DOI: 10.1038/srep09743.

Allali I, Arnold JW, Roach J, Cadenas MB, Butz N, Hassan HM, Koci M, Ballou A, Mendoza M, Ali R, Azcarate-peril MA. 2017. A comparison of sequencing platforms and bioinformatics pipelines for compositional analysis of the gut microbiome. *BMC Microbiology* **17**:1–16. DOI: 10.1186/s12866-017-1101-8.

Alonso AD. 2010. Marron farming in Western Australia: scope and constraints. *British Food Journal* **112**:69–82. DOI: 10.1108/00070701011011218.

Ambas I, Fotedar R, Buller N. 2017. Synbiotic effect of *Bacillus mycoides* and organic selenium on immunity and growth of marron, *Cherax cainii* (Austin, 2002). *Aquaculture Research*

48:2729–2740. DOI: 10.1111/are.13105.

Ambas I, Suriawan A, Fotedar R. 2013. Immunological responses of customised probiotics-fed marron, *Cherax tenuimanus*, (Smith 1912) when challenged with *Vibrio mimicus*. *Fish and Shellfish Immunology* **35**:262–270. DOI: 10.1016/j.fsi.2016.07.028.

Andrews S. 2010. FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data. :2010. DOI: citeulike-article-id:11583827.

Association of Official Agricultural Chemists (AOAC) AOAC official methods. 18th Edition Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Incorporated; Arlington: 2006 2006. *AOAC*.

Bean NH, Maloney EK, Potter ME, Korazemo P, Ray B, Taylor JP, Seigler S, Snowden J. 1998. Crayfish : A newly recognized vehicle for vibrio infections. *Epidemiology* **121**:269–273.

Benito-Martin A, Peinado H. 2015. FunRich proteomics software analysis, let the fun begin! *Proteomics* **15**:2555–2556. DOI: 10.1002/pmic.201500260.

Bourassa MW, Alim I, Bultman SJ, Ratan RR. 2016. Butyrate, neuroepigenetics and the gut microbiome: Can a high fiber diet improve brain health? *Neuroscience Letters* **625**:56–63. DOI: 10.1016/j.neulet.2016.02.009.

Chen L, Li S, Zheng J, Li W, Jiang X, Zhao X, Li J, Che L, Lin Y, Xu S, Feng B, Fang Z, Wu D. 2018. Effects of dietary *Clostridium butyricum* supplementation on growth performance, intestinal development, and immune response of weaned piglets challenged with lipopolysaccharide. *Journal of Animal Science and Biotechnology* **9**:1–14. DOI: 10.1186/s40104-018-0275-8.

- Dabdoub SM, Fellows ML, Paropkari AD, Mason MR, Huja SS, Tsigarida AA, Kumar PS.** 2016. PhyloToAST: Bioinformatics tools for species-level analysis and visualization of complex microbial datasets. *Scientific Reports* **6**:1–9. DOI: 10.1038/srep29123.
- Dai LS, Chu SH, Yu XM, Li YY.** 2017. A role of cathepsin L gene in innate immune response of crayfish (*Procambarus clarkii*). *Fish and Shellfish Immunology* **71**:246–254. DOI: 10.1016/j.fsi.2017.10.021.
- Dawood MAO, Koshio S, Ishikawa M, Yokoyama S, El Basuini MF, Hossain MS, Nhu TH, Dossou S, Moss AS.** 2016. Effects of dietary supplementation of *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* or/and *Lactococcus lactis* on the growth, gut microbiota and immune responses of red sea bream, *Pagrus major*. *Fish and Shellfish Immunology* **49**:275–285. DOI: 10.1016/j.fsi.2015.12.047.
- Department of Fisheries.** 2017. Building a future for hairy marron. :<http://www.fish.wa.gov.au/About-Us/News/Pages/Buil>.
- Didinen BI, Bahadir-Koca S, Metin S, Diler O, Erol KG, Dulluc A, Koca HU, Yigit NO, Ozkok R, Kucukkara R.** 2016. Effect of lactic acid bacteria and the potential probiotic *Hafnia alvei* on growth and survival rates of narrow clawed crayfish (*Astacus leptodactylus* Esch., 1823) stage II juveniles. *Iranian Journal of Fisheries Sciences* **15**:1307–1317.
- Duan Y, Zhang Y, Dong H, Wang Y, Zheng X, Zhang J.** 2017. Effect of dietary *Clostridium butyricum* on growth, intestine health status and resistance to ammonia stress in Pacific white shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Fish and Shellfish Immunology* **65**:25–33. DOI: 10.1016/j.fsi.2017.03.048.
- Eaves LE, Ketterer PJ.** 1994. Mortalities in red claw crayfish *Cherax quadricarinatus* associated

- with systemic *Vibrio mimicus* infection. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms* **19**:233–237. DOI: 10.3354/dao019233.
- Gao Q, Xiao Y, Sun P, Peng S, Yin F, Ma X, Shi Z. 2013.** *In vitro* protective efficacy of *Clostridium butyricum* against fish pathogen infections. *Indian Journal of Microbiology* **53**:453–459. DOI: 10.1007/s12088-013-0394-z.
- Hoang TC, Cole AJ, Fotadar RK, O’Leary MJ, Lomas MW, Roy S. 2016.** Seasonal changes in water quality and *Sargassum* biomass in southwest Australia. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **551**:63–79. DOI: 10.3354/meps11735.
- Homonnay ZG, Kéki Z, Márialigeti K, Tóth EM. 2012.** Bacterial communities in the gut of the freshwater copepod *Eudiaptomus gracilis*. *Journal of Basic Microbiology* **52**:86–90. DOI: 10.1002/jobm.201100052.
- Hoseinifar SH, Sun YZ, Wang A, Zhou Z. 2018.** Probiotics as means of diseases control in aquaculture, a review of current knowledge and future perspectives. *Frontiers in Microbiology* **9**:1–18. DOI: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.02429.
- Van Immerseel F, Ducatelle R, De Vos M, Boon N, Van De Wiele T, Verbeke K, Rutgeerts P, Sas B, Louis P, Flint HJ. 2010.** Butyric acid-producing anaerobic bacteria as a novel probiotic treatment approach for inflammatory bowel disease. *Journal of Medical Microbiology* **59**:141–143. DOI: 10.1099/jmm.0.017541-0.
- Irianto A, Austin B. 2002.** Probiotics in aquaculture. *Journal of Fish Diseases* **25**:633–642. DOI: 10.1046/j.1365-2761.2002.00422.x.
- Iwai S, Weinmaier T, Schmidt BL, Albertson DG, Poloso NJ, Dabbagh K, DeSantis TZ. 2016.**

- 465 Piphillin: Improved prediction of metagenomic content by direct inference from human
466 microbiomes. *PLoS ONE* **11**:1–18. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0166104.
- 467 **Iwashita MKP, Nakandakare IB, Terhune JS, Wood T, Ranzani-Paiva MJT. 2015.** Dietary
468 supplementation with *Bacillus subtilis*, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Aspergillus oryzae*
469 enhance immunity and disease resistance against *Aeromonas hydrophila* and *Streptococcus*
470 *iniae* infection in juvenile tilapia *Oreochromis niloticus*. *Fish and Shellfish Immunology*
471 **43**:60–66. DOI: 10.1016/j.fsi.2014.12.008.
- 472 **Jiang H, Qian Z, Lu W, Ding H, Yu H, Wang H, Li J. 2015.** Identification and characterization
473 of reference genes for normalizing expression data from red swamp crawfish *Procambarus*
474 *clarkii*. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* **16**:21591–21605. DOI:
475 10.3390/ijms160921591.
- 476 **Jim F, Garamumhango P, Musara C. 2017.** Comparative analysis of nutritional value of
477 *Oreochromis niloticus* (Linnaeus), Nile tilapia, meat from three different ecosystems. *Journal*
478 *of Food Quality* **2017**:ID 674347. DOI: 10.1155/2017/6714347.
- 479 **Jiravanichpaisal P, Roos S, Edsman L, Liu H, Söderhäll K. 2009.** A highly virulent pathogen,
480 *Aeromonas hydrophila*, from the freshwater crayfish *Pacifastacus leniusculus*. *Journal of*
481 *Invertebrate Pathology* **101**:56–66. DOI: 10.1016/j.jip.2009.02.002.
- 482 **Joshi N, Fass J. 2011.** sickle - A windowed adaptive trimming tool for FASTQ files using quality.
483 (Version 1.33):[Software].
- 484 **Kesarcodi-Watson A, Kaspar H, Lategan MJ, Gibson L. 2008.** Probiotics in aquaculture: The
485 need, principles and mechanisms of action and screening processes. *Aquaculture* **274**:1–14.
486 DOI: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2007.11.019.

- Kongnum K, Hongpattarakere T. 2012.** Effect of *Lactobacillus plantarum* isolated from digestive tract of wild shrimp on growth and survival of white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) challenged with *Vibrio harveyi*. *Fish and Shellfish Immunology* **32**:170–177. DOI: 10.1016/j.fsi.2011.11.008.
- Kuczynski J, Stombaugh J, Walters WA, González A, Caporaso JG, Knight R. 2012.** Using QIIME to analyze 16s rRNA gene sequences from microbial communities. *Current Protocols in Microbiology* **s36**:1–20. DOI: 10.1002/9780471729259.mc01e05s27.
- Lawrence C. 2007.** Improved performance of marron using genetic and pond management strategies. *Fisheries Research Contract Report* **17**:1–178.
- Levy AW, Kessler JW, Fuller L, Williams S, Mathis GF, Lumpkins B, Valdez F. 2015.** Effect of feeding an encapsulated source of butyric acid (ButiPEARL) on the performance of male Cobb broilers reared to 42 d of age. *Poultry science* **94**:1864–1870.
- Li J, Tan B, Mai K. 2009.** Dietary probiotic *Bacillus* OJ and isomaltooligosaccharides influence the intestine microbial populations, immune responses and resistance to white spot syndrome virus in shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*). *Aquaculture* **291**:35–40. DOI: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2009.03.005.
- Li E, Xu C, Wang X, Wang S, Zhao Q, Zhang M, Qin JG, Chen L. 2018.** Gut microbiota and its modulation for healthy farming of Pacific white shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Reviews in Fisheries Science and Aquaculture* **26**:381–399. DOI: 10.1080/23308249.2018.1440530.
- Liu YT, Chang CI, Hseu JR, Liu KF, Tsai JM. 2013.** Immune responses of prophenoloxidase and cytosolic manganese superoxide dismutase in the freshwater crayfish *Cherax quadricarinatus* against a virus and bacterium. *Molecular Immunology* **56**:72–80. DOI:

10.1016/j.molimm.2013.03.023.

Liu H, Li J, Guo X, Liang Y, Wang W. 2018. Yeast culture dietary supplementation modulates gut microbiota, growth and biochemical parameters of grass carp. *Microbial Biotechnology* **11**:551–565. DOI: 10.1111/1751-7915.13261.

Mahanty A, Purohit GK, Mohanty S, Nayak NR, Mohanty BP. 2017. Suitable reference gene for quantitative real-time PCR analysis of gene expression in gonadal tissues of minnow *Puntius sophore* under high-temperature stress. *BMC Genomics* **18**:1–9. DOI: 10.1186/s12864-017-3974-1.

Van Mai H, Fotedar R. 2018. Haemolymph constituents and osmolality as functions of moult stage, body weight, and feeding status in marron, *Cherax cainii* (Austin and Ryan, 2002) and yabbies, *Cherax destructor* (Clark, 1936). *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences* **25**:689–696. DOI: 10.1016/j.sjbs.2016.03.007.

Mai H, Fotedar R, Fewtrell J. 2010. Evaluation of *Sargassum* sp. as a nutrient-sink in an integrated seaweed-prawn (ISP) culture system. *Aquaculture* **310**:91–98. DOI: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2010.09.010.

Matsumoto A, Kasai H, Matsuo Y, Shizuri Y, Ichikawa N, Fujita N, Omura S, Takahashi Y. 2013. *Ilumatobacter nonamiense* sp. nov. and *Ilumatobacter coccineum* sp. nov., isolated from seashore sand. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **63**:3404–3408. DOI: 10.1099/ij.s.0.047316-0.

Miao S, Zhao C, Zhu J, Hu J, Dong X, Sun L. 2018. Dietary soybean meal affects intestinal homeostasis by altering the microbiota, morphology and inflammatory cytokine gene expression in northern snakehead. *Scientific Reports* **8**:1–10. DOI: 10.1038/s41598-017-

18430-7.

Mirarab S, Nguyen N, Guo S, Wang L-S, Kim J, Warnow T. 2015. PASTA: Ultra-large multiple sequence alignment for nucleotide and amino-acid sequences. *Journal of Computational Biology* **22**:377–386. DOI: 10.1089/cmb.2014.0156.

Momtaz F, Foysal J, Rahman M, Fotedar R. 2019. Design of epitope based vaccine against shrimp white spot syndrome virus (WSSV) by targeting the envelope proteins: An immunoinformatic approach. *Turkish Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* **19**:149–159. DOI: 10.4194/1303-2712-v19_2_07.

Naoum JO. 2016. Study of bacterial populations from oligotrophic soil ecosystems using high throughput sequencing technologies. *PhD Thesis*:1–197.

Noverita Dian T, Aryanti ST, Nugraha MA. 2013. Concentration of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAHs) in the sediments and milkfish (*Chanos chanos*, Forsk) at Marunda and Blanakan ponds, Indonesia. *International Journal of Marine Science* **3**:105–110. DOI: 10.5376/ijms.2013.03.0013.

Nugroho RA, Fotedar R. 2013. Growth, Survival and Physiological Condition of Cultured Marron,. *Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology* **3**:125–135.

Oksanen AJ, Blanchet FG, Kindt R, Legen P, Minchin PR, Hara RBO, Simpson GL, Soly P, Stevens MHH, Wagner H. 2018. Package “vegan.” :[Software].

Pan X, Wu T, Zhang L, Song Z, Tang H, Zhao Z. 2008. *In vitro* evaluation on adherence and antimicrobial properties of a candidate probiotic *Clostridium butyricum* CB2 for farmed fish. *Journal of Applied Microbiology* **105**:1623–1629. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2672.2008.03885.x.

- 552 **Panigrahi A, Kiron V, Satoh S, Hirono I, Kobayashi T, Sugita H, Puangkaew J, Aoki T. 2007.**
553 Immune modulation and expression of cytokine genes in rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss*
554 upon probiotic feeding. *Developmental and Comparative Immunology* **31**:372–382. DOI:
555 10.1016/j.dci.2006.07.004.
- 556 **Panigrahi A, Viswanath K, Satoh S. 2011.** Real-time quantification of the immune gene
557 expression in rainbow trout fed different forms of probiotic bacteria *Lactobacillus*
558 *rhamnosus*. *Aquaculture Research* **42**:906–917. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2109.2010.02633.x.
- 559 **Parikh HI, Koparde VN, Bradley SP, Buck GA, Sheth NU. 2016.** MeFiT: Merging and filtering
560 tool for illumina paired-end reads for 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing. *BMC Bioinformatics*
561 **17**:1–6. DOI: 10.1186/s12859-016-1358-1.
- 562 **Pirarat N, Kobayashi T, Katagiri T, Maita M, Endo M. 2006.** Protective effects and
563 mechanisms of a probiotic bacterium *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* against experimental
564 *Edwardsiella tarda* infection in tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *Veterinary Immunology and*
565 *Immunopathology* **113**:339–347. DOI: 10.1016/j.vetimm.2006.06.003.
- 566 **Quast C, Pruesse E, Yilmaz P, Gerken J, Schweer T, Yarza P, Peplies J, Glöckner FO. 2013.**
567 The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: Improved data processing and web-based
568 tools. *Nucleic Acids Research* **41**:590–596. DOI: 10.1093/nar/gks1219.
- 569 **Ramírez NCB, Rodrigues MS, Guimarães AM, Guertler C, Rosa JR, Seiffert WQ, Andreatta**
570 **ER, Vieira FN. 2017.** Effect of dietary supplementation with butyrate and probiotic on the
571 survival of Pacific white shrimp after challenge with *Vibrio alginolyticus*. *Revista Brasileira*
572 *de Zootecnia* **46**:471–477. DOI: 10.1590/S1806-92902017000600001.
- 573 **Robles R, Lozano AB, Sevilla A, Márquez L, Nuez-Ortín W, Moyano FJ. 2013.** Effect of

partially protected butyrate used as feed additive on growth and intestinal metabolism in sea bream (*Sparus aurata*). *Fish Physiology and Biochemistry* **39**:1567–1580. DOI: 10.1007/s10695-013-9809-3.

Sang HM, Fotedar R. 2004. Growth, survival, haemolymph osmolality and organosomatic indices of the western king prawn (*Penaeus latisulcatus* Kishinouye, 1896) reared at different salinities. *Aquaculture* **234**:601–614. DOI: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2004.01.008.

Segata N, Izard J, Waldron L, Gevers D, Miropolsky L, Garrett WS, Huttenhower C. 2011. Metagenomic biomarker discovery and explanation. *Genome Biology* **12**:R60. DOI: 10.1186/gb-2011-12-6-r60.

Selim KM, Reda RM. 2015. Improvement of immunity and disease resistance in the Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus*, by dietary supplementation with *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens*. *Fish and Shellfish Immunology* **44**:496–503. DOI: 10.1016/j.fsi.2015.03.004.

Sherry YME, Mahmoud MM, Hassan ES, Mustafa SI, Elkamel AA. 2016. Subclinical vibriosis in red swamp crayfish, *Procambarus clarkii*. *International Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Studies* **4**:119–123.

Skelton J, Geyer KM, Lennon JT, Creed RP, Brown BL. 2017. Multi-scale ecological filters shape the crayfish microbiome. *Symbiosis* **72**:159–170. DOI: 10.1007/s13199-016-0469-9.

Song Z, Wu T, Cai L, Zhang L, Zheng X. 2006. Effects of dietary supplementation with *Clostridium butyricum* on the growth performance and humoral immune response in *Miichthys miiuy*. *Journal of Zhejiang University SCIENCE B* **7**:596–602. DOI: 10.1016/j.gaceta.2011.03.011.

- 595 **Takahashi M, McCartney E, Knox A, Francesch M, Oka K, Wada K, Ideno M, Uno K,**
596 **Kozłowski K, Jankowski J, Gracia MI, Morales J, Kritas SK, Esteve-Garcia E, Kamiya**
597 **S. 2018.** Effects of the butyric acid-producing strain *Clostridium butyricum* MIYAIRI 588 on
598 broiler and piglet zootechnical performance and prevention of necrotic enteritis. *Animal*
599 *Science Journal* **89**:895–905. DOI: 10.1111/asj.13006.
- 600 **Wang AR, Ran C, Ringø E, Zhou ZG. 2018.** Progress in fish gastrointestinal microbiota
601 research. *Reviews in Aquaculture* **10**:626–640. DOI: 10.1111/raq.12191.
- 602 **Wilhelm SW, Lecleir GR, Bullerjahn GS, Mckay RM, Saxton MA, Twiss MR, Bourbonniere**
603 **RA. 2014.** Seasonal changes in microbial community structure and activity imply winter
604 production is linked to summer hypoxia in a large lake. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* **87**:475–
605 485. DOI: 10.1111/1574-6941.12238.
- 606 **Yamaguchi H, Shimura Y, Suzuki S, Yamagishi T, Tatarazako N, Kawachi M. 2016.**
607 Complete genome sequence of *Cyanobium* sp. NIES-981, a marine strain potentially useful
608 for ecotoxicological bioassays. *Genome Announcements* **4**:e00736-16. DOI:
609 10.1128/genomeA.00736-16.
- 610 **Yang HL, Xia HQ, Ye YD, Zou WC, Sun YZ. 2014.** Probiotic *Bacillus pumilus* SE5 shapes the
611 intestinal microbiota and mucosal immunity in grouper *Epinephelus coioides*. *Diseases of*
612 *Aquatic Organisms* **111**:119–127. DOI: 10.3354/dao02772.
- 613 **Zhang L, Cao GT, Zeng XF, Zhou L, Ferket PR, Xiao YP, Chen AG, Yang CM. 2014.** Effects
614 of *Clostridium butyricum* on growth performance, immune function, and cecal microflora in
615 broiler chickens challenged with *Escherichia coli* K88. *Poultry Science* **93**:46–53. DOI:
616 10.3382/ps.2013-03412.

Zheng X, Duan Y, Dong H, Zhang J. 2017. Effects of dietary *Lactobacillus plantarum* in different treatments on growth performance and immune gene expression of white shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei* under normal condition and stress of acute low salinity. *Fish and Shellfish Immunology* **62**:195–201. DOI: 10.1016/j.fsi.2017.01.015.

Zokaeifar H, Balcázar JL, Saad CR, Kamarudin MS, Sijam K, Arshad A, Nejat N. 2012. Effects of *Bacillus subtilis* on the growth performance, digestive enzymes, immune gene expression and disease resistance of white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*. *Fish and Shellfish Immunology* **33**:683–689. DOI: 10.1016/j.fsi.2012.05.027.

Table 1(on next page)

Primers used for gene expression analysis in present study

Abbreviation: IL = Interleukin, PcCTSL = Cathepsin L, proPO = Prophenoloxidase, cytMnSOD = Cytosolic manganese superoxide dismutase

Primer name	Forward sequence (5'-3')	Reverse sequence (5'-3')
proPO	GCCAAGGATCTTTGTGATGTCTT	CGGCCGGCCAGTTCTAT
cytMnSOD	AGGTCGAGCAAGCAGGTGTAG	GTGGGAATAAACTGCAGCAATCT
PcCTSL	CGGATCACTGGAGGGTCAAACACTT	GCAATTTTCATCCTCGGCATCAT
IL-8	CTATTGTGGTGTTCCTGA	TCTTCACCCAGGGAGCTTC
IL-10	CAGTGCAGAAGAGTCGACTGCAAG	CGCTTGAGATCCTGAAATATA
IL-17F	GTCTCTGTCACCGTGGAC	TGGGCCTCACACAGGTACA
β-actin	TTGAGCAGGAGATGGGAACCG	AGAGCCTCAGGGCAACGGAAA

1

2

Table 2 (on next page)

Mean $\pm$ SE of some health parameters of marron after feeding trials

Abbreviation: WG = Weight gain; SGR = Specific growth rate; HM = Hepatopancreas moisture; THC = Total haemocyte count; HO = Haemolymph osmolality. *Significantly different at α -level of 0.05. †From tail muscle. ‡From hepatopancreas.

Parameters	Control	Probiotic
WG	21.66 ± 1.78	26.24 ± 0.81*
SGR	0.45 ± 0.034	0.53 ± 0.031*
Protein†	84.4 ± 0.57	88.7 ± 0.83*
Energy†	20038.8 ± 56.06	20241.1 ± 88.25
Fat[□]	8.8 ± 0.09	8.4 ± 0.06
HM[□]	38.5 ± 0.31	37.4 ± 0.22
Lysozyme	0.42 ± 0.005	0.48 ± 0.009*
THC	8.2 ± 0.09	9.5 ± 0.21*
HO	0.41 ± 0.004	0.44 ± 0.011

1

2

Table 3(on next page)

Diversity index (Mean $\pm$ SE) of bacterial genus in marron gut afterfeeding trials

*Significantly different at α -level of 0.05. **Significantly different at α -level of 0.005. ***Significantly different at α -level of 0.001.


Condition	OTUs (SE)	Shannon (SE)	Simpson (SE)	Fisher alpha (SE)	Chao1 (SE)
Control	15.8 (1.9)	1.51 (0.1)	0.69 (0.1)	7.59 (0.2)	86.74 (5.8)
Probiotic	30.3 (11.5)	3.19 (0.1) ^{***}	0.82 (0.1) ^{**}	16.7 (2.1) [*]	128.48 (8.6) [*]

1

Figure 1

[p] **(A)** Multiple regression analysis showing the relationship between the number of moults and three health index parameters of marron after trial; **(B)** PCoA plot displaying the separation of marron regarding moult counts in two different feed

A



B




Figure 2

PCoA plot representing the impacts of two different feeds on gut microbial communities of marron after 42 days of feeding trial.





Figure 3

(**A**) Venn diagram showing shared and unshared genus in two different groups; (**B**) Box plots exhibiting the species richness in two different feeding conditions after trial.

A



B




Figure 4

Microbial communities in two different fed groups after trial; **(A)** Relative abundance of bacterial OTUs at phylum level; **(B)** Relative abundance of bacterial OTUs at genus level.





Figure 5

(A) Indicator bacterial genus in two different conditions (control and probiotic) with LDA value 2.0 or more; **(B)** Predicted metabolic pathways in two different fed groups revealed from 16S rRNA sequence data using Piphillin and LEfSe package




Figure 6

Heat map showing the relative expression level of immune genes in marron intestine tissue after feeding trial.

