PeerJ

The complete mitochondrial genome of *Pyxicephalus adspersus*: high gene rearrangement and phylogenetics of one of the world's largest frogs

Yin-Yin Cai¹, Shi-Qi Shen¹, Li-Xu Lu¹, Kenneth B. Storey², Dan-Na Yu^{1,3} and Jia-Yong Zhang^{1,3}

¹ College of Chemistry and Life Science, Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua, Zhejiang, China

² Department of Biology, Carleton University, Ottawa, ON, Canada

³ Key Lab of Wildlife Biotechnology, Conservation and Utilization of Zhejiang Province, Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua, Zhejiang, China

ABSTRACT

The family Pyxicephalidae including two subfamilies (Cacosterninae and Pyxicephalinae) is an ecologically important group of frogs distributed in sub-Saharan Africa. However, its phylogenetic position among the Anura has remained uncertain. The present study determined the complete mitochondrial genome sequence of *Pyxicephalus adspersus*, the first representative mitochondrial genome from the Pyxicephalinae, and reconstructed the phylogenetic relationships within Ranoidae using 10 mitochondrial protein-coding genes of 59 frog species. The P. adspersus mitochondrial genome showed major gene rearrangement and an exceptionally long length that is not shared with other Ranoidae species. The genome is 24,317 bp in length, and contains 15 protein-coding genes (including extra COX3 and Cyt b genes), four rRNA genes (including extra 12S rRNA and 16S rRNA genes), 29 tRNA genes (including extra tRNA^{Leu (UAG)}, tRNA^{Leu (UUR)}, tRNA^{Thr}, tRNA^{Pro}, tRNA^{Phe}, tRNA^{Val}, tRNA^{Gln} genes) and two control regions (CRs). The Dimer-Mitogenome and Tandem duplication and random loss models were used to explain these gene arrangements. Finally, both Bayesian inference and maximum likelihood analyses supported the conclusion that Pyxicephalidae was monophyletic and that Pyxicephalidae was the sister clade of (Petropedetidae + Ptychadenidae).

Subjects Evolutionary Studies, Genomics, Zoology Keywords Pyxicephalidae, Mitogenome, Gene rearrangement, Phylogenetic relationship

BACKGROUND

Anuran mitochondrial (mt) genomes are closed double-stranded DNA molecules with the lengths varying from 15 to 23 kb (*Kakehashi et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2018a*) and typically encode 37 genes: two ribosomal RNAs (12S and 16S rRNAs), 22 transfer RNAs (tRNAs), 13 protein-coding genes (PCGs), and the control region (CR) or D-loop region (*Boore, 1999, 2000*). The mt genome has several valuable characteristics that have led to its wide use as a research tool including limited recombination, maternal inheritance, rapid evolutionary rate, small size, and relatively conserved gene arrangement (*Avise, 1994*). Complete or partial mt genomes have been extensively used to test evolutionary

Submitted 29 April 2019 Accepted 22 July 2019 Published 23 August 2019

Corresponding authors Dan-Na Yu, ydn@zjnu.cn Jia-Yong Zhang, zhangjiayong@zjnu.cn

Academic editor Tony Robillard

Additional Information and Declarations can be found on page 13

DOI 10.7717/peerj.7532

Copyright 2019 Cai et al.

Distributed under Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

OPEN ACCESS

How to cite this article Cai Y-Y, Shen S-Q, Lu L-X, Storey KB, Yu D-N, Zhang J-Y. 2019. The complete mitochondrial genome of *Pyxicephalus adspersus*: high gene rearrangement and phylogenetics of one of the world's largest frogs. **PeerJ 7:e7532** DOI 10.7717/peerJ.7532 hypotheses, to study population biogeography and phylogenetic relationships, and to distinguish cryptic species (*Boore, 1999*; *Yu et al., 2015*; *Zhang et al., 2008*).

To date, there are 7,977 known species of Anura (*Frost, 2019*) on Earth, the vast majority of which have not had their mt genomes sequenced and studied. According to *Jacob Machado et al. (2018)* and *Zhang et al. (2018a*), there are partial mt genomes for over one hundred species representing 35 families (72 genera) and complete mt genomes for over two hundred species representing 27 families (76 genera) listed in GenBank. Although non-neobatrachian frogs show conservation of the typical mt gene organization, ranoid frogs belonging to the neobatrachia show a variety of gene rearrangements in their mt genomes (*Kurabayashi & Sumida, 2013; Zhang et al., 2013*). Hence, by increasing the number of sequenced frog mt genomes, we can gain valuable information about mt genome arrangements and improve our understanding of mt genomic phylogenetics and evolution, population genetics (*Cai et al., 2018; Cheng et al., 2018; Lin et al., 2014; Ni et al., 2015; Ye et al., 2016*) and mt genome expression (*Zhang et al., 2019*).

Some gene rearrangements in the mt genome have been reported in neobatrachians. For example, some species show a rearrangement of the *ND5* gene (*Alam et al., 2010; Anoop et al., 2017; Kumar et al., 2017; Li et al., 2014a, 2016a; Sano et al., 2004, 2005*). A gene rearrangement of *ND6* gene has also been reported in several species (*Zhang et al., 2018a; Irisarri et al., 2010; Carr et al., 2015; Kakehashi et al., 2013*). Two *tRNA^{Met}* genes have also been found in many species of Ceratobatrachidae, Dicroglossidae, Mantoidae, and Megophryidae (*Jiang et al., 2018; Kiran et al., 2017; Kurabayashi et al., 2008; Li et al., 2018a, 2018b; Liang et al., 2016*). *Mantella madagascariensis (Kurabayashi et al., 2006*), *Rana kunyuensis (Li et al., 2016a*), and *Rhacophorus schlegelii (Sano et al., 2005)* possess duplicated CRs. By contrast, the *tRNA^{Thr}* gene was lost in *Nanorana taihangnica (Chen et al., 2015*) and the *ATP8* and *ND5* genes were lost in *Polypedates megacephalus (Zhang et al., 2005*).

The phylogenetic relationship of Pyxicephalidae was hotly disputed. Pyxicephalidae contains two subfamilies (Cacosterninae and Pyxicephalinae) with a total of 12 genera and 84 recognized species according to molecular and morphological data (*Frost et al., 2006*). Using mtDNA and morphological data, Van Der Meijden suggested that Pyxicephalinae, which is composed solely of *Aubria* and *Pyxicephalus* (*Frost et al., 2006*), was imbedded within the Discroglossine (*Van Der Meijden et al., 2005*). By contrast, *Wiens et al. (2009)* considered Pyxicephalinae as one subfamily of Ranidae. *Frost et al. (2006*) and *Roelants et al. (2007)* recovered the monophyly of Pyxicephalidae and *Van Der Meijden et al. (2011)* and *Pyron & Wiens (2011)* treated Pyxicephalidae as a valid family. *Zhang et al. (2016)* discussed the phylogenetic relationship of the genera in Pyxicephalidae and concluded that *Aubria* and *Pyxicephalus*, belonging to Pyxicephalinae, were the basal clade of Pyxicephalidae. Hence, research on the phylogenetic relationships of *Pyxicephalus* could reveal the monophyly of Pyxicephalidae.

The African giant bullfrog (*Pyxicephalus adspersus*) belongs to the subfamily Pyxicephalinae of the family Pyxicephalidae. It is an explosive-breeding anuran that inhabits arid to subtropical grasslands and savanna across most of southern Africa (*Channing, 2001; Minter et al., 2004*). It is one of the world's largest amphibians, with males (unusually larger than females) reaching a length of 225 mm (*Wager, 1965*) and weights of 1.4–2.0 kg (*Channing, DU Preez & Passmore, 1994; Loveridge, 1950*). *Liu et al.* (2013) found *Scapharca broughtonii* (Mollusca: Bivalvia), which is an enlarged species compared to other species of *Scapharca*, had largest mitochondrial genomes among the genus *Scapharca* (*Liu et al., 2013*). A species with a giant size within an animal group may be associated with a larger mitogenome (*Alam et al., 2010; Yu et al., 2012b, 2015; Zhang et al., 2018a*). However, no studies in *Pyxicephalus adspersus* has examined the potential involvement of mt genome evolution. So we wondered whether this giant bullfrog had novel features of its mt genome. In the present study, we sequenced the mt genome of *Pyxicephalus adspersus*, the first representative mt genome of the Pyxicephalinae, to determine if mitochondrial gene rearrangement occurred and to study the phylogenetic relationships of Pyxicephalidae.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animal treatments

Pyxicephalus adspersus are not protected by provisions in the laws of the People's Republic of China on the protection of wildlife. Two samples of *Pyxicephalus adspersus* were purchased from a pet market and bred in the laboratory of JY Zhang at the College of Life Science, Zhejiang Normal University. Sample acquisition was reviewed, approved and carried out in accordance with the relevant guidelines of the Committee of Animal Research Ethics of Zhejiang Normal University.

DNA extraction, PCR and sequencing

Total DNA was extracted from the clipped toe of one specimen using a DNeasy Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). We amplified 13 overlapping gene fragments by normal polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and long-and-accurate (LA) PCR methods slightly modified from Yu et al. (2015) and Zhang et al. (2013). However, these methods failed to find some mitochondrial genes including ND3, ND5, and tRNA^{Ile}, tRNA^{Cys}, tRNA^{Lys}, and *tRNA*^{*Arg*}. We subsequently designed one pair of primers to sequence the *ND5* gene (ND5-J: ATRGARGGNCCNACACCWGT; ND5-N: CCCATNTTWCGRATRTCCTGGTC) based to the known mitochondrial gene sequences of 53 Ranidae species from GenBank. After we obtained the ND5 gene fragment, we then designed two pairs of specific primers for ND6 (ND65-J: ACAAGAGCAGAACAATAAGC, ND65-N: TAGAGTGGAGTAAGGCAGAA and ND56-J: ATACAACCGAATTGGAGACA, ND56-N: GGTAAATCAGTGGGTAGGTAT) to sequence the fragment ranging from *ND6* to *ND5* genes and the fragment ranging from *ND5* to *ND6* genes, respectively. Surprisingly, when the two fragments from ND6 to ND5 and ND5 to ND6 were amplified their sizes proved to be nearly 8,000 and 15,000 bp, respectively. All PCR was performed using a programable Thermal Cycler (Veriti PCR Thermal Cycler; Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) or a MyCycler Thermal Cycler (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). TaKaRa LA-Taq kits and TaKaRa Ex-Taq (Takara Biomedical, Dalian, China) were used for LA-PCR and normal PCR reactions, respectively. PCR products were electrophoresed on 1% or 2% agarose gels and sequences were obtained using an ABI 3730 automated DNA sequencer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) using the primers walking method for both strands.

Sequence assembly, annotation and analysis

Sequences were checked and assembled using SeqMan (Lasergene version 5.0) (*Burland*, 2000). All mt genes were determined by Mitos WebServer (*Bernt et al.*, 2013). The locations of the 15 protein coding genes and four rRNA genes were further identified by comparison with the homologous sequences of closely related anurans downloaded from GenBank. All tRNA genes were further determined using tRNA-scan SE 2.0 (*Chan* & *Lowe*, 2016) or determined by comparison with the homologous sequences of other anurans. The mt genome was deposited in GenBank with accession number MK460224. The mt genome map of *Pyxicephalus adspersus* was formed using GenomeVx (*Conant* & *Wolfe*, 2008) (http://wolfe.ucd.ie/GenomeVx/). The A+T content, codon usage and relative synonymous codon usage (RSCU) of PCGs were calculated by Mega 7.0 (*Kumar*, *Stecher* & *Tamura*, 2016). Composition skewness was calculated according to the following formulae: AT-skew = (A-T)/(A+T); GC-skew = (G-C)/(G+C) (*Perna* & *Kocher*, 1995).

Molecular phylogenetic analysis

The phylogenetic analyses was performed using the combined nucleotide datasets by the Bayesian inference (BI) and maximum likelihood (ML) methods. BI and ML analyses were performed with 59 anuran mt genomes including Pyxicephalus adspersus. In total this included 55 species as the ingroup from Ranidae, Dicroglossidae, Rhacophoridae, Mantellidae, Pyxicephalidae, Petropedetidae, Ptychadenidae, Ceratobatrachidae, and Phrynobatrachidae (Alam et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2011; Hofman et al., 2012; Huang et al., 2016a, 2016b; Jiang et al., 2017; Kakehashi et al., 2013; Kurabayashi et al., 2006, 2010; Li et al., 2014b, 2016b; Lin et al., 2014; Liu, Wang & Zhao, 2017a; Liu et al., 2017b; Liu, Wang & Su, 2005; Ni et al., 2015; Ren et al., 2009; Sano et al., 2004, 2005; Xia et al., 2014; Yan et al., 2016; Yang et al., 2018; Yu, Zhang & Zheng, 2012a; Yu et al., 2012b, 2015; Zhang, Xia & Zeng, 2016; Zhang et al., 2005, 2009, 2013, 2018a; Zhao, Meng & Su, 2018; Zhou et al., 2009) and four species as outgroups from Microhylidae (Chen et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2018; Zhao, Meng & Su, 2018). We used the nucleotide data to assess BI and ML topology to discuss the phylogenetic position of *Pyxicephalus*. Although extra COX3 and Cyt b genes were found in Pyxicephalus adspersus (see the result in the following context), the extra copies of COX3 and Cyt b genes were identical to the other COX3 and *Cyt b* genes (100% similarity). Therefore, in phylogenetic analyses, only one set genes of COX3 and Cytb was used. In addition, due to some mitochondrial PCGs missing in some species (ND5), lacking good information (ATP8) and the heterogeneous base composition and poor phylogenetic performance (ND6) (Zhang et al., 2018a), 10 PCGs genes were used in this study and separately aligned in Mega 7.0 (Kumar, Stecher & Tamura, 2016). All genes were split jointed, clustered, Gblocked and concatenated using PhyloSuite v1.1.13 (Zhang et al., 2018b). An alignment of the 10 mt PCGs dataset consisting of 7,244 nucleotides sites was concatenated. To obtain the substitution model of the 10 mt PCGs dataset, data partitioning schemes were compared according to the Bayesian information criterion using the program PartitionFinder v1.0 (*Lanfear et al., 2012*). We set the 10 PCGs as 30 partitions in the 10 mt PCGs dataset according to the codon positions (the first, second, and third position) and gene numbers (10 PCGs). The best substitution model of the 30 partitions of the 10 mt PCGs dataset is shown in Table 1. Next, a GTRGAMMAI model in the RaxML program (*Stamatakis, 2006*) for the 10 mt PCGs dataset with 30 partitions was used for ML analysis and the GTR+I+G model in the MrBayes3.1.2 (*Huelsenbeck & Ronquist, 2001*) was used for Bayesian analysis. During BI analysis, the following settings were applied: number of Markov chain Monte Carlo generations = 10 million; sampling frequency = 1,000; burn-in = 1,000. The burn-in size was determined by checking convergences of -log likelihood. Bayesian runs achieved sufficient convergence when the average standard deviation of split frequencies was below 0.01.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Mitogenome characteristics of Pyxicephalus adspersus

The length of the *Pyxicephalus adspersus* mt genome was 24,317 bp and the mt genome encoded 15 PCGs (including extra *COX3* and *Cyt b* genes), four rRNA genes (two each of *12S rRNA* and *16S rRNA* genes), 29 tRNA genes (including extra *tRNA^{Leu (UAG)}*, *tRNA^{Thr}*, *tRNA^{Pro}*, *tRNA^{Phe}*, *tRNA^{Leu (UUR)}*, *tRNA^{Val}*, and *tRNA^{Gln}* genes) and two CRs (including one extra CR) (Fig. 1; Table 2). All PCGs excluding the *ND6* gene and four rRNA genes as well as all tRNA genes excluding *tRNA^{Ala}*, *tRNA^{Asn}*, *tRNA^{Cys}*, *tRNA^{Gln}*, *tRNA^{Glu}*, *tRNA^{Pro}*, *tRNA^{Ser}*, *tRNA^{Tyr}* genes were encoded on the major strand. The length of the *Pyxicephalus adspersus* mitogenome was the largest size among all known anuran mitogenomes.

A total 22 bp intergenic overlap inferring to 10 genes was found in the mt genome of *Pyxicephalus adspersus*. The total non-coding regions (NCRs) in the mitogenome was 1,376 bp, composed of 13 larger NCRs ranging from 22 to 324 bp and many smaller regions (1–10 bp) (Fig. 1; Table 2). NCR13 between *ND5* and *Glu* was 324 bp and showed 92.6% similarity with the *ND6* gene. NCR11 between *COX3* and *ND3* genes showed 73.9% similarity with the *tRNA^{Gly}* gene and NCR7 between *tRNA^{Leu}* and *tRNA^{Ile}* genes was 100% similar to the 5' segment of the *ND1* gene. The other spacer regions showed 48.7–67.3% similarity with corresponding gene clusters (Table 3).

A total of 13 NCRs also had high homology compared to corresponding deleted genes (Table 3). The $tRNA^{Ile}$ gene moved from the typical IQM tRNA cluster to a position between the 22 bp NCR7 and a 219 bp NCR8 and the corresponding location of the $tRNA^{Ile}$ gene between the ND1 and $tRNA^{Gln}$ genes was replaced by a 60 bp NCR1 (50% similarity with Ile). The O_L - $tRNA^{Cys}$ genes also moved from the $WANO_LCY$ tRNA cluster to a position between a 219 bp NCR8 and the $tRNA^{Asp}$ gene, whereas a 39 bp NCR2 was formed in the position between $tRNA^{Asn}$ and $tRNA^{Tyr}$ genes. The $tRNA^{Asp}$ gene moved from the typical position between the $tRNA^{Ser}$ and COX2 genes to a location between the $tRNA^{Cys}$ gene and a 151 bp NCR9 and the former position was replaced by a 59 bp NCR3. The $tRNA^{Lys}$ gene moved from the typical position between the typical position was replaced by a 59 bp NCR3.

| Subset | Subset partitions | Best model |
|--------------|---|------------|
| Partition 1 | atp6_pos1, cox3_pos3, nd1_pos1, nd2_pos1, nd3_pos1, nd4_pos1 | GTR+I+G |
| Partition 2 | atp6_pos2, cox2_pos2, nd2_pos2, nd3_pos2, nd4_pos2, nd4l_pos2 | TVM+I+G |
| Partition 3 | atp6_pos3, nd1_pos3, nd2_pos3, nd3_pos3, nd4_pos3, nd4l_pos3 | TrN+G |
| Partition 4 | cox1_pos1, cox3_pos1 | SYM+I+G |
| Partition 5 | cox1_pos2, cytb_pos2, nd1_pos2 | TIM+I+G |
| Partition 6 | cox1_pos3 | TrN+G |
| Partition 7 | cox2_pos1, cytb_pos1, nd4l_pos1 | SYM+I+G |
| Partition 8 | cox2_pos3 | TrN+G |
| Partition 9 | cox3_pos2 | JC |
| Partition 10 | cytb_pos3 | TrN+I+G |

| Table 1 | The partition | schemes and | best-fitting | models | selected | of 10 | protein-coding | genes in the |
|---------|---------------|-------------|--------------|--------|----------|-------|----------------|--------------|
| PCG123 | data. | | | | | | | |

location between a 151 bp NCR9 and a 54 bp NCR10 and the former position was replaced by a 61 bp NCR3. The *ND3-tRNA*^{Arg} genes moved from the typical position between $tRNA^{Gly}$ and *ND4L* genes to a location between a 65 NCR11 and ND5 and the former position was replaced by a 150 bp NCR5. The *ND5* gene moved from the typical position between $tRNA^{Ser}$ and *ND6* genes to a location between the 98 bp NCR12 and the 324 bp NCR13 and the former position was replaced by a 143 bp NCR6.

There were 15 PCGs in the mt genome of *Pyxicephalus adspersus*, including two *COX1* and two *Cyt b* genes; in both cases the two copies of each gene were identical. PCGs started with ATN codons except for *COX1*, which used GTG. Most PCGs ended with a complete TAN codon or with an incomplete T or TA except for *ND5* and *ND6* that showed AGG as the termination codon. RSCU and codon counts for the mt genome of *Pyxicephalus adspersus* are shown in Fig. 2. It was evident that the most frequently used codon was CGA, followed by GCC, CAA, and CCC. Leu (UUR), Ile, and Phe as the most frequently used amino acids were also found.

The genome composition (A: 29.5%, C: 27.8%, G: 14.5%, T: 28.2%) showed an A+T bias, which accounted for 57.7% of the bases, and exhibited a negative GC-skew (-0.31) and a positive AT-skew (0.02) (Table 4). The highest A+T content (65.5%) was found in the CR, whereas the lowest A+T content (56.5%) was found in the PCGs region.

Two copies of the gene cluster ($tRNA^{Glu}$ -Cyt b-CR- $tRNA^{Leu}$ - $tRNA^{Thr}$ - $tRNA^{Pro}$ - $tRNA^{Phe}$ -12S RNA- $tRNA^{Val}$ -16S RNA- $tRNA^{Leu}$) occurred, one between ND6 and $tRNA^{Ile}$ genes and the other between ND5 and ND1 genes. Remarkably, the nearly identical nucleotide sequences (99.99% similarity with only one G base extra inserted into $tRNA^{Glu}$ of the 5,454 alignment sites) were found in the two copies of these gene clusters The extra COX3 gene was located between $tRNA^{Lys}$ and ND3 genes. The $tRNA^{Ile}$ gene moved from the typical neobatrachian IQM tRNA cluster to a location between the $tRNA^{Leu}$ and $tRNA^{Cys}$ genes whereas the $tRNA^{Cys}$ gene moved from the typical neobatrachian WANCYtRNA cluster to a location between the $tRNA^{Ile}$ and $tRNA^{Lys}$ genes. The $tRNA^{Lys}$ gene moved from the typical neobatrachian position between COX2 and ATP8 genes to a



Figure 1 Graphical map of the mitogenome of *P. adspersus.* The tRNAs are labeled according to the three-letter amino acid codes. A gene name outside the circle indicates that the direction of transcription is anti-clockwise, whereas a gene name inside the circle indicates that the direction of transcription is clockwise. Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.7532/fig-1

location between $tRNA^{Cys}$ and the extra COX3 gene. The ND3- $tRNA^{Arg}$ genes moved from the position between $tRNA^{Gly}$ and ND4L genes to a location between the COX3 and ND5 genes. The ND5 gene moved from the typical neobatrachian position between $tRNA^{Ser}$ and ND6 genes to a location between the $tRNA^{Arg}$ and $tRNA^{Glu}$ genes.

The mt genome of *Pyxicephalus adspersus* contained two copies of the *16S rRNA* and *12S rRNA* genes and each copy was identical to its original. The two copies of the 16S rRNA gene with a length of 1,578 bp were located between two copies of $tRNA^{Leu}$ and $tRNA^{Val}$ genes, respectively, whereas the two copies of the *12S rRNA* gene with a length of 932 bp were located between two copies of $tRNA^{Val}$ genes, respectively (Fig. 1). We found that the AT-skew was slightly positive whereas the GC-skew was strongly negative in the skew of the rRNA genes (Table 4), which showed that the contents of A and C were higher than those of T and G, respectively.

The mt genome of *Pyxicephalus adspersus* contained 29 tRNA genes: two copies of *LTPF* gene clusters, two copies of *tRNA^{Leu}*, two copies of *tRNA^{Val}*, two copies of *tRNA^{Gln}*; the tRNA sequences of each copy were identical. However, *tRNA^{Ile}*, *tRNA^{Cys}*, and *tRNA^{Lys}* genes were transferred from the original regions. The existence of two copies of a tRNA

| Gene/region | Start position | Spacer (+) overlap (–) | Length (bp) | Start codon | Stop codon | Strand |
|---------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------|----------------|---------------|--------|
| tRNA ^{Leu} | 1–72 | +1 | 72 | | | Н |
| tRNA ^{Thr} | 74-143 | 0 | 70 | | | Н |
| tRNA ^{Pro} | 144-212 | +1 | 69 | | | L |
| tRNA ^{Phe} | 214-283 | 0 | 70 | | | Н |
| 12S rRNA | 284-1,215 | 0 | 932 | | | Н |
| tRNA ^{Val} | 1,216-1,283 | 0 | 68 | | | Н |
| 16S rRNA | 1,284-2,861 | 0 | 1,578 | | | Н |
| tRNA ^{Leu (UUR)} | 2,862-2,934 | 0 | 73 | | | Н |
| ND1 | 2,935-3,897 | +60 | 963 | ATG | TAG | Н |
| NCR1 | 3,898-3,957 | | 60 | | | |
| tRNA ^{Gln} | 3,958-4,028 | -1 | 71 | | | L |
| $tRNA^{Met}$ | 4,028-4,096 | 0 | 69 | | | Н |
| ND2 | 4,097-5,134 | -2 | 1,038 | ATT | TAG | Н |
| $tRNA^{Trp}$ | 5,133-5,201 | 0 | 69 | | | Н |
| tRNA ^{Ala} | 5,202-5,271 | 0 | 70 | | | L |
| tRNA ^{Asn} | 5,272-5,344 | +39 | 73 | | | L |
| NCR2 | 5,345-5,383 | | 39 | | | |
| $tRNA^{Tyr}$ | 5,384–5,450 | +1 | 67 | | | L |
| COX1 | 5,452-6,994 | +2 | 1,543 | GTG | Т | Н |
| tRNA ^{Ser} (UCN) | 6,997–7,067 | +69 | 71 | | | L |
| NCR3 | 7,067–7,130 | | 59 | | | |
| COX2 | 7,131–7,818 | +61 | 688 | ATG | Т | Н |
| NCR4 | 7,817–7,879 | | 61 | | | |
| ATP8 | 7,880-8,044 | -10 | 165 | ATG | TAA | Н |
| ATP6 | 8,035-8,716 | 0 | 682 | ATG | Т | Н |
| COX3 | 8,717–9,500 | +1 | 784 | ATG | Т | Н |
| tRNA ^{Gly} | 9,501–9,569 | +150 | 69 | | | Н |
| NCR5 | 9,570-9,719 | | 150 | | | |
| ND4L | 9,720-10,007 | -7 | 288 | ATG | TAA | Н |
| ND4 | 10,001-11,363 | 0 | 1,363 | ATG | Т | Н |
| tRNA ^{His} | 11,364–11,430 | 0 | 67 | | | Н |
| tRNA ^{Ser} (AGY) | 11,431–11,497 | +143 | 67 | | | Н |
| NCR6 | 11,496–11,640 | | 143 | | | |
| ND6 | 11,641-12,126 | 0 | 486 | ATG | AGG | L |
| tRNA ^{Glu} | 12,127-12,196 | +1 | 70 | | | L |
| Cyt b | 12,198–13,349 | 0 | 1,152 | ATG | TAA | Н |
| CR1 | 13,350-14,646 | 0 | 1,297 | | | Н |
| tRNA ^{Leu} | 14,647–14,718 | +1 | 72 | | | Н |
| tRNA ^{Thr} | 14,720–14,789 | 0 | 70 | | | Н |
| tRNA ^{Pro} | 14,790–14,858 | +1 | 69 | | | L |
| tRNA ^{Phe} | 14,860-14,929 | 0 | 70 | | | Н |

| Table 2 (continued) | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------|----------------|---------------|--------|--|--|
| Gene/region | Start position | Spacer (+) overlap (–) | Length (bp) | Start codon | Stop codon | Strand | | |
| 12S rRNA | 14,930–15,861 | 0 | 932 | | | Н | | |
| tRNA ^{Val} | 15,862-15,929 | 0 | 68 | | | Н | | |
| 16S rRNA | 15,930-17,507 | 0 | 1,578 | | | Н | | |
| tRNA ^{Leu (UUR)} | 17,508–17,580 | +22 | 73 | | | Н | | |
| NCR7 | 17,579–17,602 | | 22 | | | | | |
| tRNA ^{Ile} | 17,603–17,673 | +219 | 71 | | | Н | | |
| NCR8 | 17,672–17,866 | | 219 | | | | | |
| OL | 17,867–17,895 | | 29 | | | L | | |
| tRNA ^{Cys} | 17,893–17,957 | +9 | 65 | | | L | | |
| tRNA ^{Asp} | 17,967–18,035 | +151 | 69 | | | Н | | |
| NCR9 | 18,034–18,186 | | 151 | | | | | |
| tRNA ^{Lys} | 18,187–18,256 | +54 | 70 | | | Н | | |
| NCR10 | 18,255-18,310 | | 54 | | | | | |
| COX3 | 18,311–19,094 | +65 | 784 | ATG | Т | Н | | |
| NCR11 | 19,093–19,159 | | 65 | | | | | |
| ND3 | 19,160–19,501 | -2 | 342 | ATG | TAA | Н | | |
| $tRNA^{Arg}$ | 19,500–19,568 | +98 | 69 | | | Н | | |
| NCR12 | 19,569–19,666 | | 98 | | | | | |
| ND5 | 19,667–21,472 | +324 | 1,806 | ATG | AGG | Н | | |
| NCR13 | 21,471-21,796 | | 324 | | | | | |
| tRNA ^{Glu} | 21,797-21,867 | +1 | 71 | | | L | | |
| CYTB | 21,869-23,020 | 0 | 1,152 | ATG | TAA | Н | | |
| CR2 | 23,021-24,317 | | 1,297 | | | Н | | |

gene is uncommon. The size of the tRNAs was 2,021 bp with an average A+T content of 56.6%. Among the 29 tRNAs, most tRNA genes excluding $tRNA^{Ser}$ (AGN) have the common cloverleaf secondary structure. The mt genome of *Pyxicephalus adspersus* also contained two copies of the CR and each *CR* copy had an identical length of 1,306 bp and was located between two copies of the *Cyt b* and $tRNA^{Leu}$ genes.

Possible gene rearrangement mechanisms of *Pyxicephalus adspersus* mtDNA

In *Pyxicephalus adspersus*, two copies of the *tRNA^{Glu}-Cyt b-CR-tRNA^{Leu}-tRNA^{Thr}tRNA^{Pro}-tRNA^{Phe}-12S RNA-tRNA^{Val}-16S RNA-tRNA^{Leu}* gene cluster, two copies of the *COX3* gene, and the translocation of *tRNA^{Ile}*, *tRNA^{Cys}*, *tRNA^{Asp}*, *tRNA^{Lys}*, *tRNA^{Arg}*, *ND3* and *ND5* genes from their typical positions were found. Comparing the mt gene rearrangements in all sequenced mitochondrial genomes of frogs, we did not find any other species where similar gene rearrangements existed. The Tandem duplication and random loss model (TDRL) (*Arndt & Smith*, *1998*) can be used to explain the gene rearrangement in *Pyxicephalus adspersus*. The rearrangement mechanism of hypothesized intermediate steps is as follows (Fig. 3). Firstly, the two mitochondrial genomes were

| Table 3 The comparability between non-coding region (NCR) and the deleted corresponding genes. | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Non-coding region | Corresponding genes | Comparability (%) | | | | | | |
| NCR1 | Ile | 50 | | | | | | |
| NCR2 | O _L -tRNA ^{Cys} | 48.7 | | | | | | |
| NCR3 | Asp | 59.4 | | | | | | |
| NCR4 | Lys | 50.7 | | | | | | |
| NCR5 | ND3-tRNA ^{Arg} | 67.3 | | | | | | |
| NCR6 | ND5 | 60.1 | | | | | | |
| NCR7 | ND1 | 100 | | | | | | |
| NCR8 | Gln-Met-ND2-tRNA ^{Trp} -tRNA ^{Ala} -tRNA ^{Asn} | 53.0 | | | | | | |
| NCR9 | COX2 | 50.3 | | | | | | |
| NCR10 | ATP8-ATP6 | 57.4 | | | | | | |
| NCR11 | Gly | 73.9 | | | | | | |
| NCR12 | ND4-ND4L-tRNA ^{His} -tRNA ^{Ser} | 48.4 | | | | | | |
| NCR13 | ND6 | 92.6 | | | | | | |

| T 1 | | 0 1 11 (0) |
|-------------------|---|-------------------|
| von-coding region | Corresponding genes | Comparability (%) |
| JCR1 | Ile | 50 |
| JCR2 | O _L -tRNA ^{Cys} | 48.7 |
| JCR3 | Asp | 59.4 |
| JCR4 | Lys | 50.7 |
| VCR5 | ND3-tRNA ^{Arg} | 67.3 |
| JCR6 | ND5 | 60.1 |
| JCR7 | ND1 | 100 |
| JCR8 | Gln-Met-ND2-tRNA ^{Trp} -tRNA ^{Ala} -tRNA ^{Asn} | 53.0 |
| JCR9 | COX2 | 50.3 |
| JCR10 | ATP8-ATP6 | 57.4 |
| JCR11 | Gly | 73.9 |
| VCR12 | ND4-ND4L-tRNA ^{His} -tRNA ^{Ser} | 48.4 |
| JCR13 | ND6 | 92.6 |
| | | |



Figure 2 The relative synonymous codon usage (RSCU) in the P. adspersus mitogenome. Codon families are provided on the x-axis along with the different combinations of synonymous codons that code for the same amino acid. RSCU are provided on the y-axis. Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.7532/fig-2

linked by the head-to-tail method and the inferred "dimer-mitogenome" intermediate of the Pyxicephalus adspersus mtDNA could be formed from two entire mitogenomes. Secondly, some copies of duplicated genes were randomly deleted completely or partially from the two mt monomers. Thirdly, some duplicated genes lost their functions

| Table 4 Base composition of P. adspersus mitogenome. | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------------|-------------|--|
| | A% | T% | G% | C% | AT% | GC% | AT skewness | GC skewness | |
| Whole genome | 29.5 | 28.2 | 14.5 | 27.8 | 57.7 | 42.3 | 0.022 | -0.314 | |
| Protein-coding genes | 26.1 | 30.0 | 14.3 | 29.6 | 56.1 | 43.9 | -0.071 | -0.348 | |
| 1st codon positions | 26.9 | 28.1 | 18 | 27.1 | 55 | 45.1 | -0.022 | -0.202 | |
| 2nd codon positions | 23.5 | 33.5 | 13.1 | 29.9 | 57 | 43 | -0.175 | -0.392 | |
| 3rd codon positions | 27.9 | 28.5 | 11.9 | 31.7 | 56.4 | 43.6 | -0.012 | -0.455 | |
| tRNA genes | 28.8 | 27.8 | 22.1 | 21.2 | 56.6 | 43.3 | 0.018 | 0.021 | |
| rRNA genes | 33.3 | 24.5 | 18.2 | 24.0 | 57.8 | 42.2 | 0.151 | -0.139 | |
| Control region | 31.9 | 33.6 | 12.5 | 22.0 | 65.5 | 34.5 | -0.026 | -0.275 | |



Figure 3 Proposed mechanism of gene rearrangements in the *P. adspersus* mitogenome under the Dimer-Mitogenome and a model of tandem duplication of gene regions. (A) Typical Dicroglossidae gene order. (B) The dimeric molecule with two monomers linked head-to-tail and sub-sequent first deletions of partial genes or complete genes resulting in the derived gene order. (C) State in *P. adspersus* after the final duplication and deletion. Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.7532/fig-3

or became noncoding regions. This then formed the gene arrangement of *Pyxicephalus adspersus*. Hence, the Dimer-Mitogenome and the TDRL model (*Arndt & Smith*, 1998) may be the most appropriate to explain the gene arrangements in *Pyxicephalus adspersus*.

Phylogenetic analysis

We illustrated nodal supports from ML and BI analyses together using Bayesian topology (Fig. 4) because the combined data set consisting of 10 protein coding sequences resulted in identical topology for phylogenetic relationships. Both ML and BI analyses showed high branch support values. Dicroglossidae is a sister clade of Ranidae. The clade of Mantellidae and Rhacophoridae is a sister clade of Dicroglossidae and Ranidae. Petropedetidae is a sister clade of Ptychadenidae and then Pyxicephalidae is a sister clade of (Petropedetidae + Ptychadenidae). The clade of (Pyxicephalidae + (Petropedetidae + Ptychadenidae)) is a sister clade of (Dicroglossidae + Ranidae) + (Mantellidae + Rhacophoridae). Ceratobatrachidae is a sister clade of Phrynobatrachidae. The clade of



Figure 4 Phylogenetic relationships of Ceratobatrachidae, Dicroglossidae, Mantellidae, Petropedetidae, Phrynobatrachidae, Pyxicephalidae, Ptychadenidae, Ranidae, and Rhacophoridae based on 10 protein-coding genes using nucleotide datasets. Phylogenetic analyses using nucleotide datasets were carried out for 59 frog species based on all 10 protein-coding genes from their respective mt genomes. Branch lengths and topology are from BI analysis. The tree was rooted with four out-groups (*Micryletta inornata, M. mixtura, Glyphoglossus yunnanensis*, and *Kaloula verrucosa*). Numbers above the nodes are the posterior probabilities of BI on the left and the bootstrap values of ML on the right. Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.7532/fig-4

Ceratobatrachidae and Phrynobatrachidae is a sister clade of ((Pyxicephalidae + (Petropedetidae + Ptychadenidae)) + (Dicroglossidae + Ranidae) + (Mantellidae + Rhacophoridae). *Pyxicephalus adspersus* is a sister clade of *Tomopterna cryptotis*. The monophyly of Dicroglossidae, Ranidae, Mantellidae, Rhacophoridae, Pyxicephalidae, and Ceratobatrachidae was well supported.

The monophyly of Pyxicephalidae is supported for *Pyxicephalus adspersus* (Pyxicephalinae) as a sister clade of *T. cryptotis* (Cacosterninae). Pyxicephalidae is a valid family in this study as was also supported by the results of *Frost et al.* (2006), *Roelants et al.* (2007), and *Pyron & Wiens* (2011). Although Scott and Van Der Meijden supported Pyxicephalinae as imbedded within the Discroglossine (*Scott, 2005*; *Van Der Meijden et al., 2005*), *Pyxicephalus adspersus* (Pyxicephalidae) is not clustered into Dicroglossidae or Ranidae, whereas Dicroglossidae is a sister clade of Ranidae, which is supported by *Zhang et al.* (2013) supported Pyxicephalidae as a sister clade to Petropedetidae, our results supported Pyxicephalidae as monophyletic and Pyxicephalidae was a sister clade of Petropedetidae and Ptychadenidae.

CONCLUSIONS

We successfully determined the complete mitogenome of *Pyxicephalus adspersus*. The length of the *Pyxicephalus adspersus* mitogenome (24,317 bp) was the largest size among all known anurans mitogenomes. We found two copies of the *tRNA^{Glu}-Cyt b-CR-tRNA^{Leu}*-*tRNA^{Thr}-tRNA^{Pro}-tRNA^{Phe}-12S RNA-tRNA^{Val}-16S RNA-tRNA^{Leu}* gene clusters, two copies of *COX3* genes, and the translocation of *tRNA^{Ile}*, *tRNA^{Cys}*, *tRNA^{Asp}*, *tRNA^{Lys}*, *tRNA^{Arg}*, *ND3*, and *ND5* genes from their typical positions. The Dimer-Mitogenome and TDRL models may be the most appropriate to explain the gene arrangements in *Pyxicephalus adspersus*. In this study, both BI and ML analyses supported the conclusion that Pyxicephalidae was monophyletic and Pyxicephalidae was the sister clade of (Petropedetidae + Ptychadenidae).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to Le-Ping Zhang for her help in the study.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS

Funding

This research was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang Province (LQ16C030001), and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 31801963) for the study design, data collection and analyses. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, or preparation of the manuscript.

Grant Disclosures

The following grant information was disclosed by the authors: Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang Province: LQ16C030001. National Natural Science Foundation of China: 31801963.

Competing Interests

Kenneth B. Storey is an Academic Editor for PeerJ. Jia-Yong Zhang is an Academic Editor for PeerJ.

Author Contributions

- Yin-Yin Cai conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper.
- Shi-Qi Shen conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper.
- Li-Xu Lu performed the experiments, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper.
- Kenneth B. Storey prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper.
- Dan-Na Yu conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper.

• Jia-Yong Zhang conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, approved the final draft.

Animal Ethics

The following information was supplied relating to ethical approvals (i.e., approving body and any reference numbers):

Sample acquisition was reviewed, approved and carried out in accordance with the relevant guidelines of the Committee of Animal Research Ethics of Zhejiang Normal University.

DNA Deposition

The following information was supplied regarding the deposition of DNA sequences: The mt genome described here is accessible via GenBank accession number MK460224.

Data Availability

The following information was supplied regarding data availability: The raw measurements are available as a Supplemental File.

Supplemental Information

Supplemental information for this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/ peerj.7532#supplemental-information.

REFERENCES

- Alam MS, Kurabayashi A, Hayashi Y, Sano N, Khan MMR, Fujii T, Sumida M. 2010. Complete mitochondrial genomes and novel gene rearrangements in two dicroglossid frogs, *Hoplobatrachus tigerinus* and *Euphlyctis hexadactylus*, from Bangladesh. *Genes & Genetic Systems* 85(3):219–232 DOI 10.1266/ggs.85.219.
- Anoop VS, Kumar KS, Sivakumar KC, Reghunathan D, Manoj P, Deuti K, George S. 2017. The complete mitochondrial genome of *Euphlyctis karaavali* (Amphibia: Anura) with a note on its range expansion. *Conservation Genetics Resources* **9(3)**:427–430 DOI 10.1007/s12686-017-0703-7.
- Arndt A, Smith MJ. 1998. Mitochondrial gene rearrangement in the sea cucumber genus Cucumaria. Molecular Biology and Evolution 15(8):1009–1016 DOI 10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a025999.
- Avise JC. 1994. Molecular markers, natural history and evolution. New York: Champman and Hall.
- Bernt M, Donath A, Jühling F, Externbrink F, Florentz C, Fritzsch G, Pütz J, Middendorf M, Stadler PF. 2013. MITOS: improved de novo metazoan mitochondrial genome annotation. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 69(2):313–319 DOI 10.1016/j.ympev.2012.08.023.
- Bittencourt-Silva GB, Conradie W, Siu-Ting K, Tolley KA, Channing A, Cunningham M, Farooq HM, Menegon M, Loader SP. 2016. The phylogenetic position and diversity of the enigmatic mongrel frog *Nothophryne* Poynton, 1963 (Amphibia, Anura). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 99:89–102 DOI 10.1016/j.ympev.2016.03.021.
- Boore JL. 1999. Animal mitochondrial genomes. *Nucleic Acids Research* 27(8):1767–1780 DOI 10.1093/nar/27.8.1767.

- **Boore JL. 2000.** The duplication/random loss model for gene rearrangement exemplified by mitochondrial genomes of deuterostome animals. In: Sankoff D, Nadeau JH, eds. *Comparative Genomics: Empirical and Analytical Approaches to Gene Order Dynamics, Map Alignment and the Evolution of Gene Families.* Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 133–216.
- **Burland TG. 2000.** DNASTAR's Lasergene sequence analysis software. In: Misener S, Krawetz SA, eds. *Bioinformatics Methods and Protocols. Methods in Molecular Biology*. Totowa: Humana Press, 71–91.
- Cai Y-T, Ma L, Xu C-J, Li P, Zhang J-Y, Storey KB, Yu D-N. 2018. The complete mitochondrial genome of the hybrid of *Hoplobatrachus chinensis* (♀) × *H. rugulosus* (♂) and its phylogeny. *Mitochondrial DNA Part B* 3(1):344–345 DOI 10.1080/23802359.2018.1450661.
- **Carr LM, Trish McLenachan PA, Waddell PJ, Gemmell NJ, Penny D. 2015.** Analyses of the mitochondrial genome of *Leiopelma hochstetteri* argues against the full drowning of New Zealand. *Journal of Biogeography* **42(6)**:1066–1076 DOI 10.1111/jbi.12482.
- Chan PP, Lowe TM. 2016. tRNAscan-SE On-line: integrating search and context for analysis of transfer RNA genes. *Nucleic Acids Research* 44(W1):W54–W57 DOI 10.1093/nar/gkw413.
- Channing A. 2001. Amphibians of Central and Southern Africa. Pretoria: Protea Book House.
- Channing A, DU Preez L, Passmore N. 1994. Status, vocalization and breeding biology of two species of African bullfrogs (Ranidae: *Pyxicephalus*). *Journal of Zoology* 234(1):141–148 DOI 10.1111/j.1469-7998.1994.tb06061.x.
- Chen X, Ni G, He K, Ding Z-L, Li G-M, Adeola AC, Murphy RW, Wang W-Z, Zhang Y-P. 2016. An improved de novo pipeline for enrichment of high diversity mitochondrial genomes from Amphibia to high-throughput sequencing. *bioRxiv* 080689 DOI 10.1101/080689.
- Chen G, Wang B, Liu J, Xie F, Jiang JP. 2011. Complete mitochondrial genome of *Nanorana pleskei* (Amphibia: Anura: Dicroglossidae) and evolutionary characteristics of the amphibian mitochondrial genomes. *Current Zoology* 57(6):785–805 DOI 10.1093/czoolo/57.6.785.
- Chen Z, Zhai X, Zhang J, Chen X. 2015. The complete mitochondrial genome of *Feirana taihangnica* (Anura: Dicroglossidae). *Mitochondrial DNA* 26(3):485–486 DOI 10.3109/19401736.2014.908362.
- Cheng J-X, Cai Y-T, Zheng Y-J, Zhang J-Y, Storey KB, Bao Y-X, Yu D-N. 2018. The complete mitochondrial genome of *Fejervarya kawamurai* (Anura: Dicroglossidae) and its phylogeny. *Mitochondrial DNA Part B* 3(2):551–553 DOI 10.1080/23802359.2018.1467219.
- Conant GC, Wolfe KH. 2008. GenomeVx: simple web-based creation of editable circular chromosome maps. *Bioinformatics* 24(6):861–862 DOI 10.1093/bioinformatics/btm598.
- **Frost DR. 2019.** *Amphibian species of the world: an online reference. Version 6.0 (1 Dec. 2016).* New York: American Museum of Natural History.
- Frost DR, Grant T, Faivovich J, Bain RH, Haas A, Haddad CFB, De Sa RO, Channing A, Wilkinson M, Donnellan SC. 2006. The amphibian tree of life. Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History 2006(297):1–291.
- Hofman S, Pabijan M, Dziewulska-Szwajkowska D, Szymura JM. 2012. Mitochondrial genome organization and divergence in hybridizing central European waterfrogs of the *Pelophylax esculentus* complex (Anura, Ranidae). *Gene* 491(1):71–80 DOI 10.1016/j.gene.2011.08.004.
- Huang M, Duan R, Kong X, Wang H, Zhu H. 2016a. The complete mitochondrial genome of Amolops wuyiensis (Anura: Ranidae). Mitochondrial DNA Part A 27(3):1705–1706 DOI 10.3109/19401736.2014.961131.
- Huang M, Lv T, Duan R, Zhang S, Li H. 2016b. The complete mitochondrial genome of *Rhacophorus dennysi* (Anura: Rhacophoridae) and phylogenetic analysis. *Mitochondrial DNA Part A* 27(5):3719–3720 DOI 10.3109/19401736.2015.1079873.

- Huelsenbeck JP, Ronquist F. 2001. MRBAYES: Bayesian inference of phylogenetic trees. *Bioinformatics* 17(8):754–755 DOI 10.1093/bioinformatics/17.8.754.
- Irisarri I, San Mauro D, Green DM, Zardoya R. 2010. The complete mitochondrial genome of the relict frog *Leiopelma archeyi*: insights into the root of the frog Tree of Life. *Mitochondrial DNA* 21(5):173–182 DOI 10.3109/19401736.2010.513973.
- Jacob Machado D, Janies D, Brouwer C, Grant T. 2018. A new strategy to infer circularity applied to four new complete frog mitogenomes. *Ecology and Evolution* 8(8):4011–4018 DOI 10.1002/ece3.3918.
- Jiang L, Li S, Wang L, Gao X, Wang G, Wang B. 2018. The complete mitochondrial genome of the toad species Oreolalax jingdongensis (Anura: Megophryidae) and related phylogenetic analyses. Conservation Genetics Resources 10(4):873–876 DOI 10.1007/s12686-017-0885-z.
- Jiang L, Zhao L, Liu Y, Leng Z, Zhao L, Ruan Q. 2017. The complete mitochondrial genome sequence of the Dark-spotted frog *Pelophylax nigromaculatus* (Amphibia, Anura, Ranidae). *Mitochondrial DNA Part A* 28(2):236–237 DOI 10.3109/19401736.2015.1115857.
- Kakehashi R, Kurabayashi A, Oumi S, Katsuren S, Hoso M, Sumida M. 2013. Mitochondrial genomes of Japanese *Babina* frogs (Ranidae, Anura): unique gene arrangements and the phylogenetic position of genus Babina. *Genes and Genetic Systems* **88(1)**:59–67 DOI 10.1266/ggs.88.59.
- Kiran SK, Anoop VS, Sivakumar KC, Dinesh R, Mano JP, Kaushik D, Sanil G. 2017. An additional record of *Fejervarya manoharani* Garg and Biju from the Western Ghats with a description of its complete mitochondrial genome. *Zootaxa* 4277(4):491 DOI 10.11646/zootaxa.4277.4.2.
- Kumar KS, Sivakumar KC, Reghunathan D, Manoj P, George S. 2017. The complete mitochondrial genome of *Indirana semipalmata* (Amphibia: Anura). *Conservation Genetics Resources* 9(1):83–86 DOI 10.1007/s12686-016-0625-9.
- Kumar S, Stecher G, Tamura K. 2016. MEGA7: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis Version 7.0 for Bigger Datasets. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 33(7):1870–1874 DOI 10.1093/molbev/msw054.
- Kurabayashi A, Sumida M. 2013. Afrobatrachian mitochondrial genomes: genome reorganization, gene rearrangement mechanisms, and evolutionary trends of duplicated and rearranged genes. *BMC Genomics* **14(1)**:633 DOI 10.1186/1471-2164-14-633.
- Kurabayashi A, Sumida M, Yonekawa H, Glaw F, Vences M, Hasegawa M. 2008. Phylogeny, recombination, and mechanisms of stepwise mitochondrial genome reorganization in mantellid frogs from Madagascar. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 25(5):874–891 DOI 10.1093/molbev/msn031.
- Kurabayashi A, Usuki C, Mikami N, Fujii T, Yonekawa H, Sumida M, Hasegawa M. 2006. Complete nucleotide sequence of the mitochondrial genome of a Malagasy poison frog *Mantella madagascariensis*: evolutionary implications on mitochondrial genomes of higher anuran groups. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 39(1):223–236 DOI 10.1016/j.ympev.2005.11.021.
- Kurabayashi A, Yoshikawa N, Sato N, Hayashi Y, Oumi S, Fujii T, Sumida M. 2010. Complete mitochondrial DNA sequence of the endangered frog *Odorrana ishikawae* (family Ranidae) and unexpected diversity of mt gene arrangements in ranids. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **56(2)**:543–553 DOI 10.1016/j.ympev.2010.01.022.
- Lanfear R, Calcott B, Ho SYW, Guindon S. 2012. PartitionFinder: combined selection of partitioning schemes and substitution models for phylogenetic analyses. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 29(6):1695–1701 DOI 10.1093/molbev/mss020.

- Li S, Gao X, Jiang J, Wang B. 2018a. Complete mitogenome of the toad species *Oreolalax multipunctatus* (Anura: Megophryidae) and phylogenetic analyses of Megophryidae. *Conservation Genetics Resources* 11(2):195–198 DOI 10.1007/s12686-018-0999-y.
- Li S, Gao X, Wei G, Wang B, Xu N. 2018b. The complete mitochondrial genome of the toad species *Oreolalax xiangchengensis* (Anura: Megophryidae) and phylogenetic analyses. *Mitochondrial DNA Part B* 3(2):1298–1299 DOI 10.1080/23802359.2018.1535842.
- Li E, Li X, Wu X, Feng G, Zhang M, Shi H, Wang L, Jiang J. 2014a. Complete nucleotide sequence and gene rearrangement of the mitochondrial genome of *Occidozyga martensii*. *Journal of Genetics* **93(3)**:631–641 DOI 10.1007/s12041-014-0418-4.
- Li Y, Wu X, Zhang H, Yan P, Xue H, Wu X. 2016b. The complete mitochondrial genome of *Amolops ricketti* (Amphidia, Anura, Ranidae). *Mitochondrial DNA Part A* 27(1):242–243 DOI 10.3109/19401736.2014.883606.
- Li J, Yin W, Xia R, Lei G, Fu C. 2016a. Complete mitochondrial genome of a brown frog, *Rana kunyuensis* (Anura: Ranidae). *Mitochondrial DNA Part A* 27(1):34–35 DOI 10.3109/19401736.2013.869681.
- Li Y, Zhang H, Wu X, Xue H, Yan P, Wu X. 2014b. A novel mitogenomic rearrangement for Odorrana schmackeri (Anura: Ranidae) and phylogeny of Ranidae inferred from thirteen mitochondrial protein-coding genes. Amphibia-Reptilia 35(3):331–343 DOI 10.1163/15685381-00002958.
- Liang X-X, Shu G-C, Wang B, Jiang J-P, Li C, Xie F. 2016. Complete mitochondrial genome of the Leishan moustache toad, *Vibrissaphora leishanensis* (Anura: Megophryidae). *Mitochondrial DNA Part B* 1(1):275–276 DOI 10.1080/23802359.2016.1159937.
- Lin Y, Tao B, Fang X, Wang T, Zhang J. 2014. The complete mitochondrial genome of *Lithobates catesbeianus* (Anura: Ranidae). *Mitochondrial DNA* 25(6):447–448 DOI 10.3109/19401736.2013.814115.
- Liu Y-G, Kurokawa T, Sekino M, Tanabe T, Watanabe K. 2013. Complete mitochondrial DNA sequence of the ark shell *Scapharca broughtonii*: an ultra-large metazoan mitochondrial genome. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part D: Genomics and Proteomics* 8(1):72–81 DOI 10.1016/j.cbd.2012.12.003.
- Liu W, Tao J, Wang H, Zhao W, Liu P. 2017b. Sequencing and analysis of the complete mitochondrial genome of *Rugosa emeljanovi* (Anura: Ranidae). *Mitochondrial DNA Part B* 2(2):383–384 DOI 10.1080/23802359.2017.1347841.
- Liu Z-Q, Wang Y-Q, Su B. 2005. The mitochondrial genome organization of the rice frog, *Fejervarya limnocharis* (Amphibia: Anura): a new gene order in the vertebrate mtDNA. *Gene* 346(14):145–151 DOI 10.1016/j.gene.2004.10.013.
- Liu P, Wang H, Zhao W. 2017a. Sequencing and analysis of the complete mitochondrial genome of *Rana amurensis* (Anura: Ranidae). *Mitochondrial DNA Part B* 2(2):424–425 DOI 10.1080/23802359.2017.1357444.
- Loveridge A. 1950. History and habits of the East African bullfrog. *Nairobi the East Africa Natural History Society* 19:253–275.
- Minter LR, Burger M, Harrison JA, Braack HH, Bishop PJ, Knoepfer D. 2004. *Atlas and red data book of the frogs of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland*. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institute and Avian Demography Unit, SI/MAB Series #9.
- Ni N, Yu D, Storey KB, Zheng R, Zhang J. 2015. The complete mitochondrial genome of *Lithobates sylvaticus* (Anura: Ranidae). *Mitochondrial DNA* 27(4):2460–2461
 DOI 10.3109/19401736.2015.1033697.

- Perna NT, Kocher TD. 1995. Patterns of nucleotide composition at four fold degenerate sites of animal mitochondrial genomes. *Journal of Molecular Evolution* 41(3):353–358 DOI 10.1007/BF01215182.
- **Pyron AR, Wiens JJ. 2011.** A large-scale phylogeny of Amphibia including over 2800 species, and a revised classification of extant frogs, salamanders, and caecilians. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **61(2)**:543–583 DOI 10.1016/j.ympev.2011.06.012.
- Ren Z, Zhu B, Ma E, Wen J, Tu T, Cao Y, Hasegawa M, Zhong Y. 2009. Complete nucleotide sequence and gene arrangement of the mitochondrial genome of the crab-eating frog *Fejervarya cancrivora* and evolutionary implications. *Gene* 441(1–2):148–155 DOI 10.1016/j.gene.2008.09.010.
- Roelants K, Gower DJ, Wilkinson M, Loader SP, Biju SD, Guillaume K, Moriau L,
 Bossuyt F. 2007. Global patterns of diversification in the history of modern amphibians.
 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America
 104(3):887–892 DOI 10.1073/pnas.0608378104.
- Sano N, Kurabayashi A, Fujii T, Yonekawa H, Sumida M. 2004. Complete nucleotide sequence and gene rearrangement of the mitochondrial genome of the bell-ring frog, *Buergeria buergeri* (family Rhacophoridae). *Genes & Genetic Systems* 79(3):151–163 DOI 10.1266/ggs.79.151.
- Sano N, Kurabayashi A, Fujii T, Yonekawa H, Sumida M. 2005. Complete nucleotide sequence of the mitochondrial genome of Schlegel's tree frog *Rhacophorus schlegelii* (family Rhacophoridae): duplicated control regions and gene rearrangements. *Genes & Genetic Systems* 80(3):213–224 DOI 10.1266/ggs.80.213.
- Scott E. 2005. A phylogeny of ranid frogs (Anura: Ranoidea: Ranidae), based on a simultaneous analysis of morphological and molecular data. *Cladistics* 21(6):507–574 DOI 10.1111/j.1096-0031.2005.00079.x.
- Stamatakis A. 2006. RAxML-VI-HPC: maximum likelihood-based phylogenetic analyses with thousands of taxa and mixed models. *Bioinformatics* 22(21):2688–2690 DOI 10.1093/bioinformatics/btl446.
- Van Der Meijden A, Vences M, Hoegg S, Meyer A. 2005. A previously unrecognized radiation of ranid frogs in Southern Africa revealed by nuclear and mitochondrial DNA sequences. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 37(3):674–685 DOI 10.1016/j.ympev.2005.05.001.
- Van Der Meijden A, Crottini A, Tarrant J, Turner A, Vences M. 2011. Multi-locus phylogeny and evolution of reproductive modes in the Pyxicephalidae, an African endemic clade of frogs. *African Journal of Herpetology* **60(1)**:1–12 DOI 10.1080/21564574.2010.523904.
- Wager VA. 1965. The frogs of South Africa. Cape Town: Purnell & Sons (SA) Pty Ltd.
- Wang S, Liu L, Zhang M, Jiang J. 2018. The complete mitochondrial genome of the Kaloula verrucosa (Anura: Microhylidae) and phylogenetic analyses. *Mitochondrial DNA Part B* 3(2):547–548 DOI 10.1080/23802359.2018.1467238.
- Wiens JJ, Sukumaran J, Pyron RA, Brown RM. 2009. Evolutionary and biogeographic origins of high tropical diversity in Old World frogs (Ranidae). *Evolution* 63(5):1217–1231 DOI 10.1111/j.1558-5646.2009.00610.x.
- Xia Y, Zheng Y, Miura I, Wong PBY, Murphy RW, Zeng X. 2014. The evolution of mitochondrial genomes in modern frogs (Neobatrachia): nonadaptive evolution of mitochondrial genome reorganization. *BMC Genomics* 15(1):691 DOI 10.1186/1471-2164-15-691.
- Yan L, Geng Z-Z, Yan P, Wu X-B. 2016. The complete mitochondrial genome of *Glandirana tientaiensis* (Ranidae, Anura). *Mitochondrial DNA Part A* 27(2):1154–1155 DOI 10.3109/19401736.2014.936415.
- Yang J, Yu J, Liu J, Zhou M, Li B, Ouyang B. 2018. Three new Ranidae mitogenomes and the evolution of mitochondrial gene rearrangements among Ranidae species. *Asian Herpetological Research* 9(2):85–98 DOI 10.16373/j.cnki.ahr.170084.

- Ye L, Zhu C, Yu D, Zhang Y, Zhang J. 2016. The complete mitochondrial genome of *Hyla annectans* (Anura: Hylidae). *Mitochondrial DNA Part A* 27(3):1593–1594 DOI 10.3109/19401736.2014.1003875.
- Yu D, Zhang J, Li P, Zheng R, Shao C. 2015. Do cryptic species exist in *Hoplobatrachus rugulosus*? An examination using four nuclear genes, the *Cyt b* gene and the complete MT genome. *PLOS ONE* 10(4):e0124825 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0124825.
- Yu D, Zhang J, Zheng R. 2012a. The complete mitochondrial genome of *Babina adenopleura* (Anura: Ranidae). *Mitochondrial DNA* 23(6):423–425 DOI 10.3109/19401736.2012.710214.
- Yu DN, Zhang JY, Zheng RQ, Shao C. 2012b. The complete mitochondrial genome of Hoplobatrachus rugulosus (Anura: Dicroglossidae). Mitochondrial DNA 23(5):336–337 DOI 10.3109/19401736.2012.690748.
- Zhang D, Gao F, Li WX, Jakovlić I, Zou H, Zhang J, Wang GT. 2018b. PhyloSuite: an integrated and scalable desktop platform for streamlined molecular sequence data management and evolutionary phylogenetics studies. *bioRxiv* 489088 DOI 10.1101/489088.
- Zhang P, Liang D, Mao R-L, Hillis DM, Wake DB, Cannatella DC. 2013. Efficient sequencing of Anuran mtDNAs and a mitogenomic exploration of the phylogeny and evolution of frogs. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 30(8):1899–1915 DOI 10.1093/molbev/mst091.
- Zhang J-Y, Luu BE, Yu D-N, Zhang L-P, Al-attar R, Storey KB. 2019. The complete mitochondrial genome of Dryophytes versicolor: Phylogenetic relationship among Hylidae and mitochondrial protein-coding gene expression in response to freezing and anoxia. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules* 132(1):461–469 DOI 10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2019.03.220.
- Zhang J-F, Nie L-W, Wang Y, Hu L-L. 2009. The complete mitochondrial genome of the largeheaded frog, *Limnonectes bannaensis* (Amphibia: Anura), and a novel gene organization in the vertebrate mtDNA. *Gene* 442(1–2):119–127 DOI 10.1016/j.gene.2009.04.018.
- Zhang C, Xia Y, Zeng X. 2016. Characterization of the mitochondrial genome of Amolops tuberodepressus (Anura: Ranidae). Mitochondrial DNA Part A 27(4):2893–2894 DOI 10.3109/19401736.2015.1060425.
- Zhang J-Y, Zhang L-P, Yu D-N, Storey KB, Zheng R-Q. 2018a. Complete mitochondrial genomes of *Nanorana taihangnica* and *N. yunnanensis* (Anura: Dicroglossidae) with novel gene arrangements and phylogenetic relationship of Dicroglossidae. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 18(1):26 DOI 10.1186/s12862-018-1140-2.
- Zhang J, Zhou C, Gai Y, Song D, Zhou K. 2008. The complete mitochondrial genome of *Parafronurus youi* (Insecta: Ephemeroptera) and phylogenetic position of the Ephemeroptera. *Gene* 424(1-2):18-24 DOI 10.1016/j.gene.2008.07.037.
- Zhang P, Zhou H, Liang D, Liu Y-F, Chen Y-Q, Qu L-H. 2005. The complete mitochondrial genome of a tree frog, *Polypedates megacephalus* (Amphibia: Anura: Rhacophoridae), and a novel gene organization in living amphibians. *Gene* **346(14)**:133–143 DOI 10.1016/j.gene.2004.10.012.
- Zhao Y, Meng H, Su L. 2018. The complete mitochondrial genome of the mixtured pygmy frog Microhyla mixtura (Anura, Microhylidae). Conservation Genetics Resources 10(4):427–430 DOI 10.1007/s12686-017-0841-y.
- Zhou Y, Zhang J-Y, Zheng R-Q, Yu B-G, Yang G. 2009. Complete nucleotide sequence and gene organization of the mitochondrial genome of *Paa spinosa* (Anura: Ranoidae). *Gene* 447(2):86–96 DOI 10.1016/j.gene.2009.07.009.