The association between shame and substance use in young people: a Systematic Review

Masuma Rahim, Robert Patton

BACKGROUND: Shame has been associated with a range of maladaptive behaviours, including substance use. Young people may be particularly vulnerable to heightened shame sensitivity, and substance use is a significant problem amongst UK adolescents. Although there appears to be a relationship between shame and substance use, the direction of the relationship remains unclear. AIM: The purpose of this study was to undertake a Systematic Review of the literature relating to shame and substance use in young people. METHOD: Five electronic databases were searched for articles containing terms related to 'adolescence', 'shame' and 'substance use'. Six articles were included in the final analyses. RESULTS: Adverse early experiences, particularly sexual abuse, predict shame-proneness, and substance use is a mechanism by which some individuals cope with negative feelings. In general, there is a dearth of literature investigating the shame-substance use relationship in adolescent samples. The available literature associates shame-proneness with poorer functioning and suggests that it may potentially lead to psychopathology and early-onset substance use. Scant attention has been paid to the cognitive and emotional processes implicated. Further research is required to ascertain the strength of the shame-substance use relationship in young people and to develop appropriate interventions for this population.

2   Masuma Rahim
3   University of Surrey

4   Dr Robert Patton
5   University of Surrey

_Introduction

A significant body of research has investigated the effect of factors related to self-concept on substance use in young people. Self-esteem, impulsivity and shame have all been associated with alcohol and other drug use in young people but existing understanding of the association with shame in particular is limited. This paper will review current empirical and conceptual understanding of the association between shame and substance use in this population. It is of note that drug and alcohol use ranges from 'experimental' to 'harmful' and that some young people report 'non-problematic' or 'recreational' use (Bauman & Phongsavan, 1999; Martin, Chung, & Langenbucher, 2008). The papers reviewed here use a variety of terms to describe use of alcohol and other drugs; consequently, the term 'substance use' refers to all levels of use throughout this paper.

Shame relates to global, negative feelings about the self (Dearing, Stuewig, & Tangney, 2005) and has been described as an intense negative emotion which can result in feelings of inferiority and powerlessness (Wicker, Payne, & Morgan, 1983). Shame can arise from a disparity between the ideal self and the actual real self, leading to feelings of inadequacy and disgust. Although it is generally associated with negative consequences, it is notable that shame is universally experienced and that moderate levels can be beneficial in enabling individuals to evaluate themselves and their actions and to moderate them appropriately (Cook, 1987; Nathanson, 1987; Potter-Efron, 1987). Much of the literature has sought to distinguish the concepts shame and guilt, although, historically, it has failed to do so adequately (Kugler & Jones, 1992). More recently, it has been suggested that both emotions are essential to the experience of being human and that they can occur either independently or in tandem with each other (Clark, 2012). Both shame and guilt enable self-evaluation and serve to guide our interactions with others (Tangney & Dearing, 2002). Shame has been described as a 'failure of being', or global self-condemnation, whilst guilt has been referred to as a 'failure of doing' (Potter-Efron, 1987). The former may result in feelings of inadequacy, deficiency and being 'exposed'; the latter is associated with an individual feeling 'wicked' and remorseful. Whilst vulnerability to shame can arise from a conflict between the ideal and actual self, vulnerability to

38   guilt may result from conflict between the actual self and the 'should' self (Moretti &

39   Tory-Higgins, 1990). It appears that shame is directed primarily at the self, whereas

40   guilt addresses the particular act, and may be implicated in conformity to societal

41   norms (Quiles, Kinnunen, & Bybee, 2002). Shame-proneness is often internalized

42   and has been associated with the development of psychopathology, whilst

43   proneness to guilt, generally more overt, correlates with non-pathological, adaptive

44   empathy (Tangney, Wagner, & Gramzow, 1992). However, far from being a purely

45   negative emotional state, feeling shameful warns the individual that their actions

46   are socially unacceptable and may result in them being rejected by others. In order

47   to avoid rejection, the individual seeks to find alternative ways of behaving

48   (Nathanson, 1987). As such, shame is characterised by 'hiding' the self, whereas

49   guilt may manifest itself in reparative behaviours. Consequently, similar situations

50   can result in distinct responses, depending on the individual's attributional style

51   (Lewis, 2008).

52   **The development of shame in children and young people**

53   The development of shame is dependent on individual possessing sufficient

54   cognitive capacity, having an awareness of social rules and expectations, and an

55   understanding of their behaviour in comparison to those expectations, as well as

56   adequate theory of mind (Gilbert, 2002; Lewis, 2003). As such, it is unlikely that

57   shame develops before the age of two years (Zahn-Waxler, Radke-Yarrow, Wagner, &

58   Chapman, 1992).

59   Sexual abuse, insecure attachment styles and harsh parenting have all been

60   associated with the development of shame in children (Feiring, Deblinger, Hoch-

61   Espada, & Haworth, 2002; Gross & Hansen, 2000; Jeffrey & Jeffrey, 1991; Stuewig &

62   McCloskey, 2005). Shame may develop as a secondary consequence of early

63   adversity; In families where factors such as parental substance use are implicated,

64   children may develop more empathic attitudes as they try to minimise parental

65   disturbance (Lewis, 1995). If they fail to do so, they are likely to blame themselves,

66   developing a negative global attributional style and heightened proneness to shame

67   (Lewis, 1995). Differences in the ways males and females are socialized can result in

68   females developing a greater sense of responsibility and becoming more shame-

69   prone than their male peers, despite experiencing similar early life events (Lewis,

70   1995; Tangney, 1990).

71   Shame is likely to manifest itself according to the child's developmental stage.
72   Young children may experience shame as feelings of embarrassment and inferiority;
73   coping with this negative affect by behaving in a controlling, critical or rageful
74   manner (Bradshaw, 2005). They may also polarise themselves and others as being
75   'all good' or 'all bad'. During puberty shame can be experienced as limiting one's
76   ability to form their identity and may serve to isolate the individual (Bradshaw,
77   2005). Self-evaluation is central to the development of shame in both younger
78   children and adolescents (Lewis, 1995; Lewis, 2003; Reimer, 1996). Adolescence is
79   conceptually characterised by the development of identity and by separation from
80   caregivers (Koepke & Denissen, 2012) and It is often here that young people begin
81   to develop meaningful peer relationships (Allen & Land, 1999). As their capacity for
82   self-reflection and social perspective-taking develops, adolescents are more likely to
83   compare themselves negatively to peers, (Reimer, 1996). Some young people may
84   develop an enhanced vulnerability to feeling shameful, potentially resulting in the
85   use of maladaptive coping strategies such as substance use and making them more
86   vulnerable to depression, eating disorders and suicide (Reimer, 1996). There is some
87   evidence that alcohol use demonstrates a stronger association with
88   psychopathology than other drugs, such as marijuana (Dearing et al., 2005).

89   **Substance use amongst adolescents**
90   In the UK, 84% of 12-17 year-olds have drunk alcohol. Over a third are frequent
91   drinkers and 15% have been involved in antisocial behaviour as a result of drinking
92   (Institute for Alcohol Studies, 2013). The same report stated that two major reasons
93   given for underage drinking were 'escapism' and 'gaining respect from peers'. With
94   reference to illicit substances, 18% of 11-15 year-olds report having used drugs;
95   12% having done so in the past year. Cannabis is the most widely-used controlled
96   drug, taken by 8% of 11-15 year-olds in 2010. Truanting and school suspension are
97   highly correlated with regular drug use (NHSIC, 2011), and those who begin using
98   alcohol between the ages of 14 and 16 are four times as likely to develop alcohol-
99   related problems in later life than those who begin drinking in their 20s (Gunzerath,
100 Hewitt, Li, & Warren, 2011). Despite this, outcomes following intervention remain
101 variable: although 23 000 Britons under the age of 18 accessed support for
102 substance use in 2009/10, only one in three completed treatment (NHSIC, 2011).
103 Given that the primary motivators underlying substance use relate to coping with

104 negative affect, it may be the case that interventions need to more effectively target
105 these factors.

The relationship between shame and substance use

107 In adults shame has been strongly implicated in behaviours which enable individuals
108 to escape feelings of worthlessness and failure, such as binge-eating, sexual risk-
109 taking and substance use (Adams & Robinson, 2001; Hayaki, Friedman, & Brownell,
110 2002; Peñas-Lledó, Fernández, & Waller, 2004; Talbot, Talbot, & Tu, 2004).
111 Heightened shame significantly increases vulnerability to addictive behaviours,
112 particularly substance use (Cook, 1987). Although several studies indicate that
113 shame which arise from the stigma surrounding substance use may serve as a
114 barrier to treatment (Cook, 1987; Corrigan, Watson, & Miller, 2006; Luoma et al.,
115 2007), it has been suggested that this stigma is more pronounced amongst certain
116 groups. In particular, females seeking treatment for substance use and related
117 problems may face greater stigma than males, often risking the breakdown of
118 intimate relationships, as well as the removal of their children (Blume, 1990;
119 O'Connor, Berry, Inaba, & Weiss, 1994; Reed, 1987). Consequently, females who
120 enter treatment programs often experience enhanced shame and guilt compared to
121 their male counterparts (Mason, 1991).

Rationale for the current review

123 As described, there is some evidence that shame arises from early adversity and
124 that it is correlated with a range of maladaptive behaviours, including substance
125 use. Substance use is a significant problem amongst young people but little research
126 has investigated the impact of shame on alcohol and illicit drug use in this
127 population. This paper presents a systematic review of the existing evidence
128 investigating the relationship between shame and substance use in young people in
129 order to identify the areas that substance use interventions might focus on in this
130 population.

131   **Search strategy**

132 Five electronic databases were searched (PsycArticles, PsycInfo, Medline, Web of
133 Science and PubMed) for English-language articles published in peer-reviewed
134 journals for all periods up to, and including, January 2012. Articles were searched for

135   using terms related to 'adolescence' (e.g., 'adolescen*', 'teen*', 'child*', 'juvenile*',
136   'youth*'), 'shame' (e.g. 'shame*') and 'substance use' (e.g., 'substanc*', 'drug*',
137   'alcohol*', 'illicit*', 'drink*'). The use of '*' denotes truncated search terms in order
138   to increase the number of records retrieved. For the purposes of this review,
139   'adolescent' refers to individuals aged 11-19. Where databases could be searched
140   by topic, 'psychology' was specified. After the removal of duplicate records, the
141   search yielded 220 results. Following review of the abstracts, articles were excluded
142   if they were unavailable in English (n=9) or if they focused on unrelated physical or
143   psychological health problems (n=122). Of the remaining 89 articles, 61 were
144   excluded as they did not contribute to the literature relating to the relationship
145   between shame and substance use (e.g. 19 papers focused on shame arising from
146   parental substance use). The remaining articles (n=28) were read to ensure that
147   they included a research question and outcome measures. Seven papers exclusively
148   reviewed the literature, but were not empirical studies. Another five discussed cases
149   and process issues in therapy but did not relate specifically to shame as either a
150   contributing factor or a consequence of substance use. Given that these papers did
151   not contribute to the scientific understanding of the relationship between shame and
152   substance use, they were excluded. A further ten papers were excluded as they
153   used samples of children (pre-teen) or adults (post-adolescent). The final analyses
154   included six empirical papers.

155   **Results**

156   Details of the articles reviewed can be seen in Table 1. All of the papers had been
157   published between 1989 and 2012. Four of the studies were quantitative in
158   methodology; two used a qualitative design. Amongst the quantitative studies,
159   sample sizes ranged from 97-816. The qualitative studies employed 12 and 597
160   participants, respectively. One paper considered the development of shame in young
161   people in treatment for substance use; five investigated the relationship between
162   shame and substance use.

163   ***Insert Table 1 Here***

The role of sexual abuse in the development of shame

Of the six studies reviewed, one investigated factors resulting in heightened shame in later life (Edwall, Hoffmann, & Harrison, 1989), identifying sexual abuse, including incest, as a predictor of shame-proneness. In their qualitative study of 597 adolescent girls, it was found that 35% of inpatients receiving treatment for substance use reported previous sexual abuse. Sexual abuse was highly correlated with both a history of physical abuse and with having attempted in the previous year. Sexual abuse was also strongly associated with feelings of shame, particularly amongst those who had experienced only extra familial abuse ($p < 0.001$). The authors concluded that adolescent girls with a history of abuse may internalize adverse experiences and construct an image of themselves as 'bad', making them vulnerable to suicidal ideation and the development of mental health problems. However, the categorisation of those who had or had not been abused was problematic; 58 girls who denied having been sexually abused during interviews with the researchers were classified by their therapists as having reported sexual abuse in therapy sessions, and excluded from analyses. Additionally, the researchers made no use of standardised measures, and thus the severity of the shame experienced cannot be assessed. No attempt was made to ascertain the duration or nature of the sexual abuse and only limited information pertaining to the course of the participants' use of substances was available.

The relationship between shame and substance use

Two of the studies included in this review found significant associations between shame-related affect and maladaptive behaviours, including substance use (Abramowitz & Berenbaum, 2007; Dearing et al., 2005). Abramowitz & Berenbaum's (2007) study found that the desire to enhance positive affect was a strong motivator of alcohol use, and that shame reliably predicted a range of 'impulsive-compulsive' (IC) behaviours, including substance use, sexual activity, playing video games and obsessive-compulsive-type behaviours such as cleaning. Shame was most strongly associated with substance use (correlation = 0.16; $p < 0.05$). It is of note, however, that their data were based on retrospective accounts of behaviours the participants had engaged in during the past three months and that, although the associations reported were statistically significant, causality could not be ascertained. In addition, the sample was aged 16-30 and, as the authors note, many impulsive and compulsive behaviours diminish with age.

198 Carrying out semi-structured interviews with college students, Lashbrook (2000)
199 found that the desire to avoid ridicule, isolation and feelings of inadequacy was key
200 in alcohol use. Despite the participants in this study not using terms such as 'shame'
201 explicitly, the literature suggests that ridicule, isolation and inadequacy are closely
202 linked to constructs of shame-proneness (Cook, 1987; Potter-Efron, 1987; Wicker et
203 al., 1983). It is possible that low self-esteem was implicated in these negative
204 emotions, although this was not investigated within the study. However, findings
205 reported by Dielman, Campanelli, Shope, & Butchart (1987) provide support for the
206 suggestion that low self-esteem is associated with vulnerability to peer pressure and
207 increased substance use.

208 Dearing et al. (2005) conducted three studies to test the relationships between
209 shame- and guilt-proneness amongst undergraduate students and prisoners,
210 hypothesising that the former would correlate positively with substance use, whilst
211 the opposite would be true for guilt-proneness. Of their undergraduate sample, 7.3%
212 reported symptoms consistent with problematic alcohol use and 15.4% indicated
213 symptoms of problematic drug use. Shame was positively correlated with alcohol
214 problems but not with problematic drug use. In contrast, guilt was found to correlate
215 negatively with both drug and alcohol problems; findings which supported those
216 presented by Quiles et al. (2002). The authors concluded that although shame-
217 proneness showed some association with problematic drug use, stronger effects
218 were observed with alcohol use. The shame-substance use relationship appears
219 consistent in a range of populations. The authors suggested that alcohol and other
220 drugs may be used in order to cope with negative affect such as shame but noted
221 that use of substances may in itself result in additional shame.

222 The majority of the studies included in this review indicate that vulnerability to
223 shame is associated with increased drug and alcohol use in young people. Some
224 research suggests that feelings of shame can arise as a consequence of using
225 substances (Arentzen, 1978; Blume, 1990; Cook, 1987; Corrigan et al., 2006;
226 Fossum & Mason, 1986; Luoma et al., 2007; O'Connor et al., 1994; Reed, 1987),
227 and , of the papers reviewed here, one suggests that, amongst those who already
228 use substances problematically, shame may have a positive impact by increasing
229 motivation to seek treatment. In their study of 188 16-24 year-olds entering

230 treatment for moderately-problematic substance use, (Rosenkranz, Henderson,
231 Muller, & Goodman, 2012) found that those individuals who reported greater shame-
232 proneness were more likely to recognize their substance use as problematic and to
233 seek treatment.   Some research suggests that these individuals demonstrate
234 superior treatment outcomes (Williams et al., 2008), but their data were subject to
235 disclosure biases. Similarly, Rosenkranz et al. (2012) used a measure of treatment
236 motivation which conflates proneness to shame with motivation to seek treatment,
237 and which included items (e.g., If I remain in treatment it will probably be because
238 I'll feel very bad about myself if I don't) open to being interpreted as either 'shame'
239 or 'guilt' by participants.

Discussion

Summary of findings

242 This paper sought to review the literature relating to shame and substance use in
243 young people. Despite the search strategy specifying 'adolescent', and variations
244 thereof, only five papers used samples which investigated teenagers and young
245 adults. An additional study carried out by Quiles et al. (2002), used a sample aged
246 ≤27 but excluded all those aged 22-27 (*n*=17) from their analyses; thus, the paper
247 was included in this review. Amongst the remainder of the studies participants
248 ranged in age from 7-80. It appears that there is a significant absence of research
249 into the association between shame and substance use amongst young people and
250 this paucity limits the extent to which theoretical or empirical conclusions can be
251 drawn. It appears that early maltreatment and neglect can result in heightened
252 shame-proneness, possibly as a result of adverse experiences being internalized,
253 and that greater maladjustment results from more severe adversity. Although shame
254 arising from maladaptive early experiences has been found to correlate significantly
255 with substance-using behaviours, it can also motivate individuals to seek and
256 engage in treatment. It is concluded from the evidence reviewed that it is shame
257 which is most heavily implicated in these mechanisms, not guilt.

258 The literature suggests that shame-proneness is generally associated with negative
259 outcomes such as poorer functioning, psychopathology and early-onset substance
260 use Adolescents are more likely to compare themselves negatively to peers (Reimer,
261 1996) and those who develop a heightened proneness to shame may be more likely

262 to utilise coping strategies such as criminal or risk-taking behaviours in an attempt
263 to gain peer acceptance (Adams & Robinson, 2001; Arnett, 1995; Hayaki et al.,
264 2002; Peñas-Lledó et al., 2004; Talbot et al., 2004).

265 Whilst there is some indication that enhanced shame results in a greater number of
266 maladaptive behaviours (Cook, 1987), it is also suggested that shame-proneness
267 affects males and females differently. Based upon the evidence reviewed, it is
268 tentatively speculated that shame in females results in behaviours which harm the
269 self, such as eating disorders, whereas males tend externalise negative self-image
270 and may act in an antisocial manner. It is suggested that, if shame encompasses
271 negative affect and symptoms typically observed in depression, it is to be expected
272 that young people who demonstrate a tendency towards feeling shame would also
273 score poorly on measures of self-worth and self-esteem.

274 The studies included in this review were conducted using a wide range of outcome
275 measures and methodological designs; each of which demonstrated both strengths
276 and limitations. For the most part, researchers made efforts to distinguish between
277 shame and guilt, a key methodological requirement, given the conceptual overlap in
278 these variables. Nevertheless, it is of note that each of the studies reviewed
279 conceptualised shame in distinct ways and investigated different facets of substance
280 use, further limiting the extent to which overarching conclusions can be drawn.

281 **Gaps in the literature**
282 In addition to the dearth of literature focusing exclusively on adolescent populations,
283 the majority of studies have failed to address use of substances in a discrete
284 manner. Although some studies considered alcohol and other drug use separately
285 (Dearing et al., 2005; Quiles, Kinnunen, & Bybee, 2002), not all did so. It cannot be
286 presumed *a priori* that all addictive behaviours are a product of the same
287 mechanisms and this requires further investigation.

288 More fundamentally, although there is some evidence of an association between
289 shame and substance use, there has been little focus on the cognitive and
290 emotional processes which mediate this relationship. Shame is associated with
291 substance use, which has a profound impact on both society and the individual; as
292 such, future work should aim to identify salient risk factors and develop effective

293   treatments. This review has not included studies which aimed to treat substance use
294   per se; although two studies did recruit participants engaged in treatment programs
295   (Edwall et al., 1989; Rosenkranz et al., 2012) and there is some indication that
296   reducing shame is integral to positive treatment outcomes. Some research suggests
297   that particular factors related to shame, specifically 'fragility and lack of control' and
298   'loneliness and emptiness', appear to be associated with addiction (Cook, 1987), and
299   future work should investigate the specific antecedents and maintenance processes
300   of these factors and the implications for substance use treatments. At present, some
301   evidence suggests that shame results in vulnerability to addiction to alcohol and
302   illicit drugs, but there is little understanding of the mechanisms underlying this
303   relationship. Similarly, there is an absence of evidence relating to the age at which
304   shame-prone adolescents are most vulnerable to substance use. Few studies have
305   investigated young people exclusively, and, of the papers reviewed here, none has
306   compared adolescents at different developmental stages. It may be that there is a
307   point of 'greatest vulnerability' and, if so, there will be significant implications for the
308   ways in which adolescents are educated about alcohol and illicit substances, and
309   preventative measures are established. In addition, the majority of the studies used
310   samples in which females were over-represented. Future work should attempt to
311   redress the balance by investigating the shame-substance use relationship in young
312   people of both sexes.

313   In this review, only papers relating to Western cultures were included. Although
314   there was a clear rationale for this, given the prevalence of adolescent substance
315   use in the UK and USA, there has been limited scope to investigate the shame-
316   substance use relationship, or the meaning surrounding substance use, in social
317   sub-groups. Although some studies used samples diverse in ethnicity and age, the
318   present review has noted little that is relevant to constructs of class or religious
319   belief. Although such factors have been investigated to only a limited degree, some
320   research has attempted to improve our understanding of them (Rastogi & Wadhwa,
321   2006; Sandberg, 2010). Using substances may result in heightened shame only in
322   specific groups; alternatively, certain social clusters may be more or less inclined
323   toward substance use. It should not be presumed that the findings of this review can
324   be applied to all groups without further exploration of salient factors.

Conclusions and future directions

The papers reviewed here indicate that adverse early experiences are associated with the development of shame, that behaviours considered to be impulsive and maladaptive, such as substance use, are significantly associated with feeling shameful (Abramowitz & Berenbaum, 2007; Dearing et al., 2005; Edwall et al., 1989), and that amongst college students alcohol use arises from a desire to avoid unwanted feelings of isolation and inadequacy (Lashbrook, 2000). The absence of literature relating to shame and substance use indicates a significant gap in theoretical understanding. Nevertheless, a recent bio psychosocial theory of motivation postulates that behaviour is fundamentally unstable and constantly re-determined on the basis of myriad stimuli, impulses and inhibitory forces (West & Brown, 2013). Consequently, vulnerability to engaging in substance use is unlikely to be constant; rather, individuals may present with heightened or diminished vulnerability at specific time-points, contingent on a number of predisposing and precipitating factors, such as the factors related to self-concept suggested here (West & Brown, 2013). Recent findings from longitudinal research have concluded that, whereas guilt-proneness in childhood predicts reduced substance use in alter adolescence, proneness to shame results in heightened engagement in risky behaviours, including use of alcohol and other drugs, independent of the influence of socioeconomic status (Stuewig et al., 2014). The results indicate that children's moral and emotional styles appear to be established even in middle childhood, and that there are clear and significant implications for behaviours which commonly occur in early adulthood. Further research should seek to investigate the mechanisms underlying these relationships and to ascertain the point at which appropriate interventions should be provided.

References

350

351 Abramowitz, A., & Berenbaum, H. (2007). Emotional triggers and their relation to

352   impulsive and compulsive psychopathology. *Personality and Individual*

353   *Differences*, *43*(6), 1356–1365.

354 Adams, K. M., & Robinson, D. W. (2001). Shame reduction, affect regulation, and

355   sexual boundary development: Essential building blocks of sexual addiction

356   treatment. *Sexual Addiction and Compulsivity*, *8*(1), 23–44.

357 Allen, J. P., & Land, D. (1999). Attachment in adolescence. In J. Cassidy & P. R. Shaver

358   (Eds.), *Handbook of attachment: Theory, research, and clinical applications*

359   (pp. 319–335). London: Guildford.

360 Arentzen, W. P. (1978). Impact of alcohol misuse on family-life. *Alcoholism-Clinical*

361   *and Experimental Research*, *2*(4), 349–351.

362 Arnett, J. (1995). The young and the reckless: Adolescent reckless behavior. *Current*

363   *Directions in Psychological Science*, *4*(3), 67–71.

364 Bauman, A., & Phongsavan, P. (1999). Epidemiology of substance use in

365   adolescence: Prevalence, trends and policy implications. *Drug and Alcohol*

366   *Dependence*, *55*(3), 187–207.

367 Blume, S. B. (1990). Chemical dependency in women: Important issues. *American*

368   *Journal of Drug and Alcohol Abuse*, *16*(3-4), 297–307.

369 Bradshaw, J. (2005). *Healing the shame that binds you*. Deerfield Beach, FL: HCI.

370 Clark, A. (2012). Working with guilt and shame. *Advances in Psychiatric Treatment*,

371   *18*(2), 137–143.

372 Cook, D. R. (1987). Measuring shame: The Internalized Shame Scale. *Alcoholism*

373   *Treatment Quarterly*, *4*(2), 197–215.

374 Corrigan, P. W., Watson, A. C., & Miller, F. E. (2006). Blame, shame, and

375   contamination: The impact of mental illness and drug dependence stigma on

376   family members. *Journal of Family Psychology*, *20*(2), 239–246.

377   Dearing, R. L., Stuewig, J., & Tangney, J. P. (2005). On the importance of

378   distinguishing shame from guilt: Relations to problematic alcohol and drug

379   use. *Addictive Behaviors*, *30*(7), 1392–1404.

380   Dielman, T. E., Campanelli, P. C., Shope, J. T., & Butchart, A. T. (1987). Susceptibility

381   to peer pressure, self-esteem, and health locus of control as correlates of

382   adolescent substance abuse. *Health Education & Behavior*, *14*(2), 207–221.

383   Edwall, G. E., Hoffmann, N. G., & Harrison, P. A. (1989). Psychological correlates of

384   sexual abuse in adolescent girls in chemical dependency treatment.

385   *Adolescence*, *24*(94), 279–288.

386   Feiring, C., Deblinger, E., Hoch-Espada, A., & Haworth, T. (2002). Romantic

387   relationship aggression and attitudes in high school students: The role of

388   gender, grade, and attachment and emotional styles. *Journal of Youth and*

389   *Adolescence*, *31*(5), 373–385.

390   Fossum, M. A., & Mason, M. J. (1986). *Facing shame: Families in recovery*. New York:

391   W.W. Norton.

392   Gilbert, P. (2002). Body shame: A biopsychosocial conceptualization and overview

393   with treatment implications. In P. Gilbert & J. Miles (Eds.), *Body shame:*

394   *Conceptualization, research & treatment* (pp. 3–54). Hove, UK: Brunner-

395   Routledge.

396   Gross, C. A., & Hansen, N. E. (2000). Clarifying the experience of shame: The role of

397   attachment style, gender, and investment in relatedness. *Personality and*

398   *Individual Differences*, *28*(5), 897–907.

399   Gunzerath, L., Hewitt, B. G., Li, T. K., & Warren, K. R. (2011). Alcohol research: Past,

400   present, and future. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, *1216*, 1–23.

401   Hayaki, J., Friedman, M. A., & Brownell, K. D. (2002). Shame and severity of bulimic

402   symptoms. *Eating Behaviors*, *3*, 73–83.

403   Institute for Alcohol Studies. (2013). *Children, adolescents and underage drinking*.

404   London: IAS. Retrieved from

405   http://www.ias.org.uk/uploads/pdf/Factsheets/Underage%20drinking

406   %20factsheet%20December%202013.pdf

407   Jeffrey, T. B., & Jeffrey, L. K. (1991). Psychologic aspects of sexual abuse in

408   adolescence. *Current Opinion in Obstetrics & Gynecology*, *3*(6), 825–831.

409   Koepke, S., & Denissen, J. J. A. (2012). Dynamics of identity development and

410   separation–individuation in parent–child relationships during adolescence and

411   emerging adulthood – A conceptual integration. *Developmental Review*, *32*(1),

412   67–88. doi:10.1016/j.dr.2012.01.001

413   Kugler, K., & Jones, W. H. (1992). On conceptualizing and assessing guilt. *Journal of

414   Personality and Social Psychology*, *62*(2), 318–327.

415   Lashbrook, J. T. (2000). Fitting in: Exploring the emotional dimension of adolescent

416   peer pressure. *Adolescence*, *35*(140), 747–757.

417   Lewis, M. (1995). *Shame: The exposed self*. New York: Simon & Schuster.

418   Lewis, M. (2003). The role of the self in shame. *Social Research*, *70*(4), 1181–1204.

419   Lewis, M. D. (2008). Self-conscious emotions: Pride, shame and guilt. In J. M.

420   Haviland-Jones, P. L. F. Barrett, & M. D. Lewis (Eds.), *Handbook of emotions*

421   (3rd ed., pp. 742–757). Guilford Press.

422   Luoma, J. B., Twohig, M. P., Waltz, T., Hayes, S. C., Roget, N., Padilla, M., & Fisher, G.

423   (2007). An investigation of stigma in individuals receiving treatment for

424   substance abuse. *Addictive Behaviors*, *32*(7), 1331–1346.

425   Martin, C. S., Chung, T., & Langenbucher, J. W. (2008). How should we revise

426   diagnostic criteria for substance use disorders in the DSM-V? *Journal of

427   Abnormal Psychology*, *117*(3), 561–575.

428   Mason, M. (1991). Women and shame: Kin and culture. In C. Bepko (Ed.), *Feminism

429   and addiction* (pp. 175–194). New York: The Howarth Press.

430   Moretti, M. M., & Tory-Higgins, E. (1990). Relating self-discrepancy to self-esteem:

431   The contribution of discrepancy beyond actual-self ratings. *Journal of*

432   *Experimental Social Psychology*, *26*(2), 108–123.

433   Nathanson, D. (1987). The shame/pride axis. In H. B. Lewis (Ed.), *The role of shame*

434   *in symptom formation*. Hillsdale, New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

435   NHSIC. (2011). *Statistics on drug misuse: England*. The Health and Social Care

436   Information Centre.

437   O'Connor, L. E., Berry, J. W., Inaba, D., & Weiss, J. (1994). Shame, guilt, and

438   depression in men and women in recovery from addiction. *Journal of*

439   *Substance Abuse Treatment*, *11*(6), 503–510.

440   Peñas-Lledó, E., Fernández, J. de D., & Waller, G. (2004). Association of anger with

441   bulimic and other impulsive behaviours among non-clinical women and men.

442   *European Eating Disorders Review*, *12*(6), 392–397.

443   Potter-Efron, R. (1987). Shame and guilt: Definitions, processes and treatment issues

444   with AODA clients. *Alcoholism Treatment Quarterly*, *4*(2), 7–24.

445   Pulakos, J. (1996). Family environment and shame: Is there a relationship? *Journal of*

446   *Clinical Psychology*, *52*(6), 617–623.

447   Quiles, Z. N., Kinnunen, T., & Bybee, J. (2002). Aspects of guilt and self-reported

448   substance use in adolescence. *Journal of Drug Education*, *32*(4), 343–362.

449   Rastogi, M., & Wadhwa, S. (2006). Substance abuse among Asian Indians in the

450   United States: A consideration of cultural factors in etiology and treatment.

451   *Substance Use & Misuse*, *41*(9), 1239–1249.

452   Reed, B. G. (1987). Developing women-sensitive drug dependence treatment

453   services: Why so difficult? *Journal of Psychoactive Drugs*, *19*(2), 151–164.

454   Reimer, M. (1996). Sinking into the ground: The development and consequences of

455   shame in adolescence. *Developmental Review*, *16*, 321–363.

456 Rosenkranz, S. E., Henderson, J. L., Muller, R. T., & Goodman, I. R. (2012). Motivation

457   and maltreatment history among youth entering substance abuse treatment.

458   *Psychology of Addictive Behaviors*, *26*(1), 171–177.

459 Sandberg, S. (2010). "The sweet taste of sin"—A Muslim drug dealer in a Nordic

460   welfare state. *Journal of Scandinavian Studies in Criminology and Crime*

461   *Prevention*, *11*(2), 103–118.

462 Stuewig, J., & McCloskey, L. A. (2005). The relation of child maltreatment to shame

463   and guilt among adolescents: Psychological routes to depression and

464   delinquency. *Child Maltreatment*, *10*(4), 324–336.

465 Stuewig, J., Tangney, J. P., Kendall, S., Folk, J. B., Meyer, C. R., & Dearing, R. L. (2014).

466   Children's proneness to shame and guilt predict risky and illegal behaviors in

467   young adulthood. *Child Psychiatry & Human Development*, 1–11.

468 Talbot, J. A., Talbot, N. L., & Tu, X. (2004). Shame-proneness as a diathesis for

469   dissociation in women with histories of childhood sexual abuse. *Journal of*

470   *Traumatic Stress*, *17*(5), 445–448.

471 Tangney, J. P. (1990). Assessing individual differences in proneness to shame and

472   guilt: Development of the Self-Conscious Affect and Attribution Inventory.

473   *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *59*(1), 102–111.

474 Tangney, J. P., & Dearing, R. L. (2002). *Shame and guilt*. New York: Guildford.

475 West, R., & Brown, J. (2013). *Theory of addiction* (2nd ed.). Chichester: Wiley-

476   Blackwell.

477 Wicker, F. W., Payne, G. C., & Morgan, R. D. (1983). Participant descriptions of guilt

478   and shame. *Motivation and Emotion*, *7*, 25–39.

479 Wright, M. O., Crawford, E., & Castillo, D. D. (2009). Childhood emotional

480   maltreatment and later psychological distress among college students: The

481   mediating role of maladaptive schemas. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, *33*(1), 59–68.

482   Zahn-Waxler, C., Radke-Yarrow, M., Wagner, E., & Chapman, M. (1992). Development

483   of concern for others. *Developmental Psychology*, *28*(1), 126–136.

Table 1

Table 1 - Included articles

Authors & Title	Year	Research Aim	Measures	Sample	*n*	Findings	Evaluation
Abramowitz, A., & Berenbaum, H. Emotional triggers and their relation to impulsive and compulsive psychopathology.	2007	Emotional triggers as a predictor of impulsive-compulsive behaviours	BIS-11, OCI	College Students	189	Anger & shame predict I-C pathology	Correlational study
Dearing, R. L., Stuewig, J., & Tangney, J. P. On the importance of distinguishing shame from guilt: Relations to problematic alcohol and drug use.	2005	Clarifying the role of shame and guilt in substance use	MCMI & TOSCA	Students & inmates	816	Shame correlates with substance use in both samples	Correlation
Edwall, G. E., Hoffmann, N. G., & Harrison, P. A. Psychological correlates of sexual abuse in adolescent girls in chemical dependency treatment.	1989	Psychopathology & self-concept in victims of sexual use	Interviews by counsellors	Adolescent females	597	Sexual abuse & shame are common in substance use	Limited data re: severity of abuse
Lashbrook, J. T. Fitting in: Exploring the emotional dimension of adolescent peer pressure.	2000	Emotions and conformity	Qualitative	College students	12	Facets of shame motivate individuals to drink alcohol with peers	Small sample; Retrospective

Quiles, Z. N., Kinnunen, T., & Bybee, J. Aspects of guilt and self-reported substance use in adolescence.	2002	The relationship between guilt and adolescent substance use	TOSCA, GI, MFCGI, PFQ2	Students	230	Substance users have weaker internalisation of societal standards	Retrospective, self-report data Focus on guilt
Rosenkranz, S. E., Henderson, J. L., Muller, R. T., & Goodman, I. R. Motivation and maltreatment history among youth entering substance abuse treatment.	2012	The relationship between maltreatment and motivation to change	SOCRATES, TEQ, TAQ, AUDIT, DAST, PSS	16-24 year-old substance abusers	188	Shames is associated with substance use	89% positive response rate Self-reported maltreatment