

A proposed update for the classification and description of bacterial lipolytic enzymes (#37278)

1

First submission

Guidance from your Editor

Please submit by **19 May 2019** for the benefit of the authors (and your \$200 publishing discount).



Structure and Criteria

Please read the 'Structure and Criteria' page for general guidance.



Raw data check

Review the raw data. Download from the location [described by the author](#).



Image check

Check that figures and images have not been inappropriately manipulated.

Privacy reminder: If uploading an annotated PDF, remove identifiable information to remain anonymous.

Files

Download and review all files
from the [materials page](#).

5 Table file(s)



Structure and Criteria

Structure your review

The review form is divided into 5 sections. Please consider these when composing your review:

1. BASIC REPORTING
2. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN
3. VALIDITY OF THE FINDINGS
4. General comments
5. Confidential notes to the editor

 You can also annotate this PDF and upload it as part of your review

When ready [submit online](#).

Editorial Criteria

Use these criteria points to structure your review. The full detailed editorial criteria is on your [guidance page](#).





BASIC REPORTING

-  Clear, unambiguous, professional English language used throughout.
-  Intro & background to show context. Literature well referenced & relevant.
-  Structure conforms to [PeerJ standards](#), discipline norm, or improved for clarity.
-  Figures are relevant, high quality, well labelled & described.
-  Raw data supplied (see [PeerJ policy](#)).

EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

-  Original primary research within [Scope of the journal](#).
-  Research question well defined, relevant & meaningful. It is stated how the research fills an identified knowledge gap.
-  Rigorous investigation performed to a high technical & ethical standard.
-  Methods described with sufficient detail & information to replicate.

VALIDITY OF THE FINDINGS

-  Impact and novelty not assessed. Negative/inconclusive results accepted. *Meaningful* replication encouraged where rationale & benefit to literature is clearly stated.
-  Data is robust, statistically sound, & controlled.
-  Speculation is welcome, but should be identified as such.
-  Conclusions are well stated, linked to original research question & limited to supporting results.

Standout reviewing tips

3



The best reviewers use these techniques

Tip

Support criticisms with evidence from the text or from other sources

Example

Smith et al (J of Methodology, 2005, V3, pp 123) have shown that the analysis you use in Lines 241-250 is not the most appropriate for this situation. Please explain why you used this method.

Give specific suggestions on how to improve the manuscript

Your introduction needs more detail. I suggest that you improve the description at lines 57- 86 to provide more justification for your study (specifically, you should expand upon the knowledge gap being filled).

Comment on language and grammar issues

The English language should be improved to ensure that an international audience can clearly understand your text. Some examples where the language could be improved include lines 23, 77, 121, 128 – the current phrasing makes comprehension difficult.

Organize by importance of the issues, and number your points

1. Your most important issue
2. The next most important item
3. ...
4. The least important points

Please provide constructive criticism, and avoid personal opinions

I thank you for providing the raw data, however your supplemental files need more descriptive metadata identifiers to be useful to future readers. Although your results are compelling, the data analysis should be improved in the following ways: AA, BB, CC

Comment on strengths (as well as weaknesses) of the manuscript

I commend the authors for their extensive data set, compiled over many years of detailed fieldwork. In addition, the manuscript is clearly written in professional, unambiguous language. If there is a weakness, it is in the statistical analysis (as I have noted above) which should be improved upon before Acceptance.

A proposed update for the classification and description of bacterial lipolytic enzymes

Thomas C A Hitch ^{Corresp., 1}, Thomas Clavel ¹

¹ Institute of Medical Microbiology, University hospital RWTH Aachen, Aachen, Germany

Corresponding Author: Thomas C A Hitch
Email address: thitch@ukaachen.de

Bacterial lipolytic enzymes represent an important class of proteins: they provide their host species with access to additional resources and have multiple applications within the biotechnology sector. Since the formalisation of lipolytic enzymes into families and subfamilies, advances in molecular biology have led to the discovery of lipolytic enzymes unable to be classified via the existing system. Utilising sequence-based comparison methods, we have integrated these novel families within the classification system proposed by Arpigny and Jaeger (1999) and recently updated by Kovacic *et al* (2019) so that it now consists of 35 families and 11 true lipase subfamilies. Representative sequences for each family and subfamily have been defined as well as methodology for accurate comparison of novel sequences against the reference proteins, facilitating the future assignment of novel proteins. Both the code and protein sequences required for integration of additional families are available at:

https://github.com/thh32/Lipase_reclassification

A proposed update for the classification and description of bacterial lipolytic enzymes

Thomas C. A. Hitch^{1,*} and Thomas Clavel¹

¹Functional Microbiome Research Group, Institute of Medical Microbiology, University hospital of RWTH Aachen, Germany.

*To whom correspondence should be addressed.

Contact: thitch@ukaachen.de

Abstract

Bacterial lipolytic enzymes represent an important class of proteins: they provide their host species with access to additional resources and have multiple applications within the biotechnology sector. Since the formalisation of lipolytic enzymes into families and subfamilies, advances in molecular biology have led to the discovery of lipolytic enzymes unable to be classified via the existing system. Utilising sequence-based comparison methods, we have integrated these novel families within the classification system proposed by Arpigny and Jaeger (1999) and recently updated by Kovacic *et al* (2019) so that it now consists of 35 families and 11 true lipase subfamilies. Representative sequences for each family and subfamily have been defined as well as methodology for accurate comparison of novel sequences against the reference proteins, facilitating the future assignment of novel proteins. Both the code and protein sequences required for integration of additional families are available at: https://github.com/thh32/Lipase_reclassification

1. Introduction

Bacterial lipolytic enzymes are involved in a diverse range of microbial functions from metabolism of fat for energy (1) to pathogenesis (2). These enzymes are also of interest to the biotechnology industry for production of biodiesel and biopolymers (3). The alpha-beta hydrolase family contains both lipases (Enzyme commission (EC): 3.1.1.3) and carboxylesterases (EC: 3.1.1.1), both of which can catalyse the hydrolysis of 4-nitrophenyl-bound fatty acids (4,5). Lipases are defined as those which display some ability to catalyse the release of free fatty acids from long-chain triacylglycerols, such as those found in plant oil and animal fat, however activity may be highest against short or medium chain fatty acids (3,6,7). This separates the lipases from the esterases, which may have activity against p-nitrophenyl (pNP)-bound fatty acids (a standard test for substrate specificity) but are unable to act upon triacylglycerol molecules (8,9). A further subset of lipases are the true lipases which are defined as lipases which display preferential activity against long-chain fatty acids (>C10) (10). The gold standard classification system of bacterial lipolytic enzymes was originally published by Arpigny

and Jaeger in 1999 and defined eight families based on the biochemical properties and sequence similarity of 53 proteins (11).

Under the Arpigny and Jaeger (1999) classification system, true lipases were placed within a singular family (family I), within which sub-families are defined by unique lipase groups (3,11). Originally, six sub-families of true lipases were described based on shared features such as source genus, requirement of a chaperone for folding, motifs and sequence similarity (11). Jaeger and Eggert (2002) redefined these families by splitting family I.5 into two new families, family I.5 and family I.6, and moving the previous members of family I.6 into family I.7 (3). In the 20 years since this bacterial lipolytic enzyme classification system was published, more than twice as many 'novel' families have been proposed (5,6,20–24,12–19). A recent update to this system expanded the system to include some (11 of 30) of the recently published novel lipases within 19 families and 8 true lipase sub-families, however many lipolytic proteins remain unclassified (25).

Many claims of identifying novel families of lipolytic enzymes have been made, however no exhaustive comparison has been conducted to verify and combine these results. This has led to an inconsistent characterisation system and multiple publications claiming discovery of the same family (24). Examples of this are family X (6,20) and family XV (21,22), both of which have been coined twice. Rao *et al* (2013) attempted to incorporate previously published families into their analysis, however their literature search did not include multiple families (15,17–20,26,27). Ferrer *et al* (2015) also attempted to integrate additional families into the Arpigny and Jaeger (1999) classification system. Both previous attempts at including additional families relied on the authors claims of 'novelty' without reanalysis of these claims and, as discussed above, incomplete literature searches mean additional 'novel' families have been missed from the analysis. The possibility exists that multiple publications may describe members of the same 'novel' family under different names, artificially inflating the number of families. Therefore, before additional families can be incorporated into the system and provided with a family number, previous claims of novelty must be confirmed and integration of these proteins into a singular classification system must occur.

Alternative classification systems for bacterial lipolytic enzymes have been published alongside online databases dedicated to these new classification systems, including MELDB (28) and LIPABASE (29). Both websites have since been deactivated preventing their continued use. The simplicity of the Arpigny and Jaeger (1999) classification system is that by providing type proteins for each family, the system can continue to be utilised by the research community. Additional databases such as the Lipase Engineering Database (30) and ESTHER (31) classify similar groups of enzymes, however these are not specific to bacterial lipolytic enzymes and as with MELDB and LIPABASE, their continued availability is not guaranteed.

In this paper, the novelty of lipolytic enzymes was confirmed via pairwise sequence comparison to the current type proteins. This allowed us to expand the

current classification system and remove spurious claims of novelty and provide a consistent nomenclature to these proteins.

2. Methods

2.1. Literature search

Multiple methods were used to identify post-1999 claims of novel lipases and lipase families. The key terms ‘novel, bacterial, lipase, esterase, carboxylesterase, family’ were used to search both the Pubmed (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/) and Google scholar (<https://scholar.google.de/>) databases. Each identified paper was screened to confirm that: (i) the protein was of bacterial/metagenomic origin; (ii) the lipolytic activity of the proposed sequence was confirmed experimentally; (iii) the studied lipolytic protein was indeed novel or assigned to a previously defined family.

2.2. Sequence comparison

Type proteins for both the families described by Arpigny and Jaeger (1999) and lipolytic proteins identified by the literature search were compared pairwise via ClustalW (32). Sequence identity was calculated using a python implementation of the “Identity and similarity” tool within the Sequence Manipulation Suite (33) and has been made available as a reproducible Jupyter notebook (Pairwise_analysis.ipynb) within the Github repository given in the abstract. Proteins were considered likely to be part of the same family at 60% sequence identity, a threshold that is 3 standard deviations away from the mean similarity between the type proteins of the original 8 families ($30.9 \pm 9.6\%$ similarity). Proteins identified by sequence comparison to be representatives of the same family had their biochemical properties compared to identify if these were consistent or highly divergent. Transmembrane regions were detected within each protein using TMHMM (34). Proteins were also annotated with an ESTHER family using the online HMMscan tool, minimum bitscore of 50 (31), allowing for cross-classification system comparison.

2.3. Taxonomic occurrence

To provide insight into the evolutionary diversity or specificity of each family, the NCBI genome database (downloaded November 2017) (35) containing 72,462 genomes was annotated against the type proteins for each family using BLASTP (36). Matches were separated into two categories: (i) strict matches required high-scoring-pairs to share >80% identity across >80% of both the query and subject sequences, providing an overall identity of >64%. Minimum identity of 60% was selected based on previous research on functional annotation transfer (37); (ii) An expanded genome search using reduced strictness (>60% identity across >80% of the query sequence, resulting in a total identity of >48%) was conducted to provide insight into the potential host range of metagenome-derived proteins with no close matches. The coverage and identity used in the expanded genome search remain more stringent than those previously identified to be optimum for protein family identification and clustering (38). Once annotated, genomes were linked to their taxonomic lineage using the NCBI

taxonomy information, implemented in MGkit (39), and the Genome Taxonomy database (GTDB), version 86 (40). GTDB annotations were used to confirm the taxonomic assignment of genomes when the NCBI assignment was uncertain.

2.4. Origin check of metagenomic enzymes

Metagenomic approaches capture both eukaryotic and prokaryotic DNA. To prevent the inclusion of eukaryotic enzymes into this system, enzymes captured by metagenomic approaches were screened against the NCBI genome database. If a strict match was identified, the bacterial origin of the enzyme was assured. For those enzymes without a genome match, possibly due to the host species being as-yet uncultured, the sequences were compared against the NR database using BLASTP. The taxonomic assignment of the top 10 matches was examined and if all were bacterial, the enzyme was included within the classification system, else they were excluded.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Overview

Pairwise sequence comparison of the accepted type proteins from Arpigny and Jaeger (1999) to each proposed new family retrieved from the literature identified a single match (>60% similarity), Supplementary Table S1. The HZ lipase proposed by Masomian *et al* (2016) shared 69.5% similarity with family I.5 (U78785) and 57.0% similarity with family I.6 (M1271) (9). Due to this, the HZ lipase was assigned as a member of family I.5. Choi *et al* (2004) also proposed a novel lipase (EstI) that was identified to share 62.5% similarity with family I.6 (M12715), which indicates it is a member of this subfamily.

Pairwise comparison of the proposed families type proteins against one-another was conducted to identify if any families have been discovered multiple times (Supplementary Table S2). We identified that Est30 (13), EstUT1 (41) and EstOF4 (42) share >60% similarity, forming a single family, as did EstGtA2 (43), bMGL (44) and LipS (45).

As this paper aims to act as an expand upon the existing Arpigny and Jaeger (1999) classification system which was updated very recently (25), all proposed families were included within the existing framework. We remained consistent with the recent update to the original classification system proposed by Kovacic *et al* (2019), however we propose a few corrections. In the aforementioned update, LipG was identified as the type protein for family XI, however its preference for long-chain fatty acids (C14-16) and activity against triglycerides (tricaprylin) identify it as a true lipase (14). Secondly, EstD and LipR were both placed together under family X, however these proteins share <30.1% similarity and have different biochemical characteristics, suggesting they represent separate families. This is supported by each proteins catalytic serine being contained within different motifs. Due to this we propose dividing family X into family X and family XXVIII according the numbering proposed in the present study. Finally, in the updated classification system by Kovacic *et al*, family XVIII was based on EstUT1,

separate from Est30 and EstOF4 against which it shares however high (>60%) similarity. Due to this we have collapsed family XVIII into family XIII. These changes mean that family I to XIX remain consistent with the published updated classification system, apart from family XI and XVIII. To prevent confusion regarding our changes up to family XIX we have provided descriptions for each family starting at family IX (see Table 1 and individual descriptions provided below).

3.2. Proposed family I subfamilies

3.2.1. Family I, subfamily 9

LipG, the type protein for family I.9, was isolated during a metagenomic study of korean tidal flat sediment (14). The GxSxG motif (where x represents any amino acid) was identified as GHSLG. Genome analysis was unable to find similar proteins within the current genome database (Supplementary Table S3). However, sequence matches within the NR database confirmed its bacterial origin (Supplementary Table S4). Maximum activity was identified against C14 and C16, however activity decreased by 65% against C18. Both Ca^{2+} and Mn^{2+} were identified to increase the activity of LipG and chelation of divalent metal ions via ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) significantly reduced activity confirming the necessity of metal ions. The effect of temperature and pH have yet to be reported for LipG. LipG was annotated as belonging to 'Lipase_3' under the ESTHER system.

3.2.2. Family I, subfamily 10

RlipE1 was isolated from the rumen of Chinese Holstein cattle using a metagenomic bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) library (46). Whilst no genomes contained similar proteins in our analysis, the closest matches within the NR database were bacterial in origin, indicating that RlipE1 originated from bacteria. The catalytic Serine was identified within GLSMG. Activity against triglycerides along with maximum activity against C16 confirmed that RlipE1 is a true lipase. Optimum conditions were identified as 30°C and pH 7-8. The effect of metal ions has yet to be examined. RlipE1 was annotated as 'A85-Feruloyl-Esterase' under the ESTHER system.

3.2.3. Family I, subfamily 11

MPlaG is a phospholipase isolated from tidal flat sediment in korea (47). The catalytic serine is present within the pentapeptide GHSLG. Activity against triglycerides and a preference for long-chain fatty acids identified MPlaG as a true lipase. Optimum conditions were determined as pH 8 and 25°C. Addition of Ca^{2+} increased activity 10-fold whilst Cu^{2+} , Zn^{2+} , and Ni^{2+} all decreased activity. EDTA (5mM) removed all activity, suggesting Ca^{2+} is essential for activity. Expanded genomic analysis identified possible members of this enzyme family within the *Oceanospirillaceae*. The source of isolation of MPlaG along with its potential host identified by genome analysis suggest that family I.11 may be specific to the marine environment. MPlaG had no significant match to a ESTHER family.

3.3. Proposed families

3.3.1. Family IX

Family IX was characterised in 2001 with the type protein phaZ7 (36 kDa), isolated from *Paucimonas lemoignei* (DSMZ7445) (12). phaZ7 appears to be host-specific as it was not detected within any deposited genome, including that of *Paucimonas lemoignei* NCTC10937. phaZ7 was identified as a carboxyesterase specific for the degradation of poly((R)-3-hydroxybutyrate) (PHB). However, no sequence similarity was noted between it any known PHB depolymerase. The presence of the AHSMG motif within phaZ7 along with its optimum temperature of 65°C support its identification as a thermophilic esterase. Activity was also increased within alkaline environments, with the optimum being pH 10 and remaining stable for 6.5 hours in solutions up to pH 12. Addition of Ca²⁺ was identified to significantly increase the activity of phaZ7 and in combination with EDTA, which by itself reduced activity by 70%, activity returned to baseline levels. Whilst resistant to the effects of phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF), a serine hydrolase inhibitor, activity was significantly reduced by addition of another serine hydrolase inhibitor, diisopropyl fluorophosphates (DFP), confirming the identification of phaZ7 as a serine hydrolase. This family is represented in the ESTHER system as 'PHAZ7_phb_depolymerase'.

3.3.2. Family X

EstD (44.5 kDa) was isolated from *Thermotoga maritime* (6), a hyperthermophile isolated from geothermally heated marine sediment (48). EstD contains the GHSLG variant of the GxSxG motif. Activity was reduced by 96% when treated with PMSF. Both highly similar and reduced threshold matches to EstD were specific to the *Thermotoga* genus. EstD activity increased linearly with temperature to a maximum of 100°C at which it has a half life of 1 hour. The optimum pH of EstD was 7 with a maximum activity against C5 to C8. EstD activity is not significantly affected by the presence or absence of metal ions or by treatment with EDTA, suggesting metal ions may have a minor effect but are not essential for activity. EstD was annotated as 'Bacterial_EstLip_FamX' under the ESTHER system.

3.3.3. Family XI

The type protein for family XI, EstA (33 kDa) was identified via lipase screening of a bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) library created using DNA fragments from the South China Sea (26). Analysis of EstA and its closest relatives identified the GxSxG variant, GHSMG, within all members. PMSF reduced activity of EstA by 97%. Strict genome analysis was unable to identify any matching sequences but the expanded genome search identified matches were found within 16 taxonomic families and 41 genera. These results suggest that while the exact protein is likely to be present in a uncultured species, variants exist within a diverse range of hosts. EstA is specific for short- and medium-chain fatty acids with maximum activity against C6, decreasing till C10 past which no activity is detected. The optimum temperature and pH for EstA was determined to be 45°C and 6.5. The metal ions Mg²⁺, Mn²⁺ and Co²⁺ increase EstA activity while Fe²⁺, Fe³⁺ and Zn²⁺ reduced activity. The effect of EDTA was not tested.

EstA was annotated as belonging to the 'A85-EsteraseD-FGH' family within the ESTHER classification system.

3.3.4. Family XII

Functional analysis of a fosmid library created using DNA from intertidal flats which experience periodic changes in salinity, temperature and pH identified LipEH166 (42 kDa), the type protein for family XII (16). LipEH166 contains the catalytic serine within GHSLG. Family XII is preferentially active against medium length fatty acids, C8 to C14, with maximum activity against C12. As the fosmid containing LipEH166 displayed lipolytic activity on a tributyrin agar plate however the purified proteins activity was never tested against a long-chain triglyceride such as triolein, it has been characterised as a non-true lipase. The optimum temperature and pH for LipEH166 are 30°C and 8-9 respectively. The presence of Ca^{2+} , Mg^{2+} and Mn^{2+} increased activity whilst Cu^{2+} and Zn^{2+} were inhibitory and chelation with EDTA removed activity, confirming LipEH166 as a metalloprotein. Genomic analysis assigned LipEH166 to the *Marinobacter* which are known to reside in marine sediment and produce emulsifying agents (49). Expanded genomic analysis identified similar enzymes within three families, *Oceanospirillaceae*, *Alteromonadaceae* and *Oleiphilaceae* which are known to exist in marine environments (49–51). A single transmembrane region was detected from position 7-26. LipEH166 was annotated as belonging to the ESTHER family 'Bact_LipEH166_FamXII'

3.3.5. Family XIII

Ewis *et al* (2004) identified Est30 (30 kDa), the type protein for family XIII, within *Geobacillus stearothermophilus* ATCC7954 (13). EstUT1, identified by Samoylova *et al* (2018), was determined to be the second member of this family due to sharing 65% sequence similarity and key biochemical characteristics (41). EstOF4 was also identified to be a member of this family based on a protein similarity of 85.6% and 60.9% to Est30 and EstUT1 respectively (42). The GxSxG motif was identified within all members as GxSLG and treatment with PMSF inhibited enzymatic activity. Optimum conditions for family XIII are 50-80°C and pH 8-9. All members were determined to be most active against short and medium-chain fatty acids and display no activity against long-chain fatty acids. EDTA had no effect on either lipases, suggesting activity is independent of metal ions. Strict genome analysis identified the presence of proteins similar to Est30 within four genera (*Anoxybacillus*, *Bacillus*, *Geobacillus*, *Parageobacillus*) belonging to the *Bacillaceae*, in which both EstOF4 and EstUT1 was also identified. Expanded genome analysis identified matches in five taxonomic families and 31 genera according to the NCBI taxonomy. This suggests that members of this enzymatic family are present within a wide range of taxonomic groups. All three members of this family were assigned to 'CarbLipBact_1' under the ESTHER classification system.

3.2.6. Family XIV

EstA3 (50 kDa), the type protein for family XIV, was identified within *Thermoanaerobacter tengcongensis*, a thermophilic bacteria isolated from a hot spring in China (5). The catalytic serine is present within the CHSMG pentapeptide. Family XIV

was identified to be monophyletic, occurring only within genomes from the *Thermoanaerobacteraceae*. Optimum activity was achieved at 70°C and pH 9.5. Maximum activity was identified against C6, although high activity was also observed against C4 suggesting preference for short-chain fatty acids. Family XIV is inhibited by all tested metal ions (Mg^{2+} , Ca^{2+} , Sn^{2+} , Co^{2+} , Mn^{2+} , Ag^{+} , Ni^{2+} , Fe^{3+} , Pb^{2+} , Hg^{2+} , Zn^{2+} , Cu^{2+}) and not effected by EDTA suggesting metal ions are not required for activity. Activity was also not effected by addition of PMSF due to the active serine being ‘buried’ within the structure of EstA3. The weak annotation (bitscore of 54.3) of EstA3 to the ESTHER family ‘Lipase_2’ suggests it represents a separate family, not currently included within the ESTHER system.

3.3.7. Family XV

EstGtA2 (~30 kDa) was identified within the thermophile *Geobacillus thermodenitrificans* (43). Both bMGL (44) and LipS (45) were identified as members of this family via sequence similarity to EstGtA2 of 92.0% and 65.9% respectively. Maximum activity was identified against C8-C12 and optimum conditions of 50-75°C and pH 6-8.. Sequence analysis identified the catalytic serine within the GLSMG pentapeptide for all members. Strict genome analysis identified EstGtA2 as monophyletic to the *Bacillaceae*, occurring within the genera *Geobacillus* and *Anoxybacillus*. This was identical to the results for bMGL. LipS was identified to have a unique taxonomic distribution to both other members of this family as strict matches were identified only within *Symbiobacterium* with the expanded search identifying additional matches within *Rudaea*. All three members of this family were assigned to ‘CarbLipBact_2’ under the ESTHER classification system.

3.2.8. Family XVI

Mining the genome of *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* GS11 led to the identification of LipSM54 (52.8 kDa), the type protein for family XVI (52). The catalytic serine was identified within GISYG and PMSF reduced activity by 95%. A single transmembrane helix was identified from position 5-24. Family XVI shows preferential activity against medium length fatty acids with maximum activity against C8 and C10 in both pNP ester and triacylglycerol forms, confirming LipSM54 as a true lipase. Optimum activity was detected at 35 and pH 8. While Mn^{2+} slightly increased activity of LipSM54, Li^{+} , Fe^{2+} , Hg^{2+} , Ni^{2+} , Zn^{2+} , Co^{2+} and Cu^{2+} inhibited activity by up to 80%. Addition of EDTA reduced activity by up to 50%, however addition of Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} reduced the effect of EDTA. Family XVI was identified to be monophyletic to the *Xanthomonadaceae*, specifically the *Lysobacter* and *Stenotrophomonas* genera. LipSM54 was annotated as a member of the ESTHER family Peptidase_S15.

3.3.9. Family XVII

Mining the genome of *Janibacter* sp. R02 which was isolated from the Antarctic soil led to the discovery of LipJ2 (44 kDa), the type protein for family XVII (23). Whilst the strain was identified to hydrolyse olive oil, LipJ2 showed a preference towards short- rather than long-chain fatty acids, prevented it being characterised as a true lipase. Optimum conditions for LipJ2 were determined to be pH 7-8 and 80°C. While Na^{2+} and K^{+} did not significantly effect the activity of LipJ2 separately, together they

synergistically increased activity by 250% compared to the baseline. The catalytic serine (Ser²¹⁹) was identified within the pentapeptide GYSQG. An expanded search of family XVII members identified matches within only the genus *Janibacter*. LipJ2 was annotated as a member of 'Fungal-Bact_LIP' within the ESTHER system.

3.2.10. Family XVIII

PlaB, a phospholipase isolated from *Legionella pneumophila*, is the type protein for family XVIII (15). Family XVIII contains its catalytic triad serine within the motif THSTG, replacing the first glycine in the common GxSxG motif with threonine. Genome analysis identified family XVIII as monophyletic to the *Legionellaceae*, specifically the *Legionella* and *Fluoribacter* genera. Due to the clinical relevance of *Legionella pneumophila*, research on PlaB has focused on its possible role as a virulence factor via hydrolysis of phosphatidylcholine within eukaryotic membranes. PlaB was annotated to its own ESTHER family called 'PlaB'.

3.3.11. Family XIX

Cultivation of lipase positive isolates from waste-treatment sludge, determined by Rhodamine B-olive oil plates, identified *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* Psi-1 and the identification of LipSm (40.7 kDa) (24). LipSm activity was tested against two pNP-bound fatty acids (C4 and C12) with maximum activity against C12. Optimum activity was achieved at 30-40°C and pH 7 and all tested metal ions (Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, Mn²⁺, Na²⁺) inhibited activity. Sequence analysis identified the presence of the catalytic serine within the pentapeptide GHSQG and similar proteins only in the *Stenotrophomonas*. The ability of purified LipSm to release free fatty acids from olive oil was not tested, preventing its classification as a true lipase. LipSm was annotated as belonging to the 'Fungal-Bact_LIP' family under the ESTHER classification system.

3.3.12. Family XX

Hu *et al* (2010) isolated two lipolytic enzymes sharing identity with a putative poly(3-hydroxybutyrate) depolymerase, FLS18C and FLS18D (~32 kDa), from the South China Sea forming the novel family XX (18). As biochemical characterisation has only been conducted for FLS18D, it was selected as the type protein. The catalytic serine was identified within the conserved GHSMG motif. Activity was highest against C4, with decreasing activity until C10, suggesting preferential hydrolysis of short-chain fatty acids. Optimum activity was achieved at 45°C at pH 8. The effect of metal ions on the activity of FLS18D has not yet been studied. Genome analysis was unable to identify similar proteins within any sequenced bacteria but bacterial origin was identified via BLAST annotation against the NR database. Both proteins within this family were annotated as members of the 'Abhydrolase_5' family within ESTHER.

3.3.13. Family XXI

Yu *et al* (2010) identified the type protein, FnL (~30 kDa), for family XXI within *Fervidobacterium nodosum* Rt17-B1, an anaerobic thermophile with optimum growth at 80°C (8). Genome analysis identified FnL as specific to the *Fervidobacterium*. The pentapeptide sequence AxSxG identified within FnL and its closest relatives in the

original analysis. Treatment with PMSF reduced activity to 5%, confirming FnL as a serine hydrolase. FnL showed the highest activity towards medium length fatty acids, with highest activity on C10. Whilst initially published as a subfamily of true lipases, its preference to medium-chain fatty acids identifies it as a novel family of non-true lipases. FnL showed the highest activity at 70°C and pH of 9.0. Activity was significantly affected by metal ion concentrations with significantly reduced activity with Zn^{2+} and Cu^{2+} and increased activity with Mg^{2+} , Ca^{2+} , Na^{+} , Ni^{2+} and Co^{2+} . Chelation using EDTA reduced activity by only 6%, suggesting metal ions are not essential for FnL activity. FnL had no significant match within the ESTHER classification system.

3.3.14. Family XXII

Functional analysis of a metagenomic library produced from the rhizosphere microbiome of multiple plants identified EstD2 (53 kDa), the type protein for family XXII (17). EstD2 contains the GxSxG motif in the form of GHSQG. Maximum activity was identified against C4 and decreased as the fatty acid length increased. Optimum conditions were determined to be 35°C and pH 7-9. EstD2 activity is highly sensitive to metal ions, as inhibition occurred via addition of Mn^{2+} , Zn^{2+} , Cd^{2+} , Co^{2+} , Cu^{2+} , Fe^{2+} , Ni^{2+} and Rb^{2+} but not Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} . EDTA reduced activity by up to 68%, indicating that metal ions may be required for activity of family XXII enzymes. No similar proteins were identified within the genome database suggesting this family is present within currently uncultured bacteria, however BLAST annotation against the NCBI-NR database confirmed it as bacterial in origin. EstD2 was annotated as a member of the 'Duf_3089' family within the ESTHER system.

3.3.15. Family XXIII

The type protein for family XXIII is LipA (32 kDa) and was identified within a fosmid library created from Brazilian mangrove sediment (53). Genome analysis identified no similarity to proteins from sequenced bacteria, however annotation against the NR database confirmed it as bacterial in origin. LipA has the pentapeptide sequence of AHSMG, fitting with the AxSxG motif. LipA was shown to have the highest activity on C10 and optimum pH and temperature of 7.5-8.5 and 35°C respectively. LipA was annotated as belonging to the ESTHER family 'Bacterial_lipase'.

3.2.16. Family XXIV

Rv0045c (35.5 kDa) is an esterase isolated from *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (4). The pentapeptide GMSLG contains the catalytic serine. Maximum activity was identified against C6 and optimum conditions of 39°C and pH 8. Genome analysis identified this family of lipolytic enzymes as specific to the *Mycobacterium*. Crystallography identified that the active site of Rv0045c is highly conserved and consists of only a serine and histidine, not the traditional catalytic triad (54). The reliance of Rv0045c on the active serine has been confirmed by inactivation with PMSF (55). Rv0045c was annotated to the ESTHER family 'Epoxide-hydrolase_like', however similar similarity was identified to multiple other families, suggesting it may represent its own ESTHER family.

3.3.17. Family XXV

Analysis of a metagenomic library from a deep-sea sediment for lipolytic activity identified Est3L4 (~37 kDa), the type protein for family XXV (19). Optimum conditions for activity were identified as 35°C, pH 7.5 and 1.5M NaCl. The addition of NaCl consistently improved activity, suggesting this family has adapted to the saline environment of the deep-sea. Family XXV is affected by metal ions as Mn^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , Ca^{2+} , Cu^{2+} significantly increased activity. Reduced activity was identified with addition of Co^{2+} and addition of Fe^{2+} inhibited all activity. EDTA was shown to have no effect, suggesting that metal ions are not essential for activity. Addition of PMSF significantly reduced activity. Est3L4 was identified as specific for long-chain fatty acids with maximum activity against C16. No similar proteins were identified within the genome database. A single transmembrane helix was detected at position 9-31. Est3L4 was annotated as a member of the 'Esterase_phb' ESTHER family.

3.3.18. Family XXVI

A metagenomic library of German soil samples identified EstGS (40.7 kDa) containing the GxSxG motif in the form of GHSFG (27). Maximum activity was identified against C6, with high activity also against C4. The effect of factors such as temperature, pH and metal ions were not studied. No matching proteins were identified via genome analysis but bacterial origin was confirmed via annotation against the NCBI-NR database. EstGS was identified as a member of the ESTHER family 'UCP031982'.

3.3.19. Family XXVII

Alongside the discovery of family XXVII, Nacke *et al* (2011) identified the type protein for family XXVII, EstGH (45.6 kDa), via metagenomic analysis of German soil (27). The catalytic serine of EstGH was contained within GHSLG and expanded genome analysis identified similar proteins within the *Mycobacterium* which is known to exist within soil samples (56). EstGH had no significant match to any ESTHER family,

3.3.20. Family XXVIII

The high lipolytic activity of *Rhodococcus* sp. strain CR-53, isolated from soil, led to the discovery of LipR (43 kDa), the type protein for family XXVIII (20). LipR was identified to be active against medium length fatty acids with maximum activity against C10. High activity was determined on Rhodamine-triolein-supplemented agar plates. Optimum conditions were determined as 40°C and pH 7. High concentrations of Mg^{2+} , Mn^{2+} and Ca^{2+} metal ions (10mM) were shown to increase activity whilst low concentrations (1mM) of Fe^{2+} , Zn^{2+} , Cu^{2+} and Ag^{+} significantly inhibited the lipolytic activity of LipR. The catalytic serine (Ser²¹²) was present within the pentapeptide GYSGG. Family XXVIII was identified to be monophyletic, occurring specifically within members of the genus *Rhodococcus*. LipR was determined to contain a single transmembrane helix from position 12-34. LipR was annotated as a member of the ESTHER family 'Fungal-Bact_LIP'.

3.3.21. Family XXIX

EstGX1 (22.4 kDa) was isolated via metagenomic analysis of high Andean forest soil from Columbia (57). The catalytic serine was located within the pentapeptide GPSGG. Maximum activity was identified against C4 with optimum conditions of 40°C

and pH 8. Whilst no genomic match was identified, annotation against NR identified EstGX1 as being bacterial in origin. EstGX1 had no match to any ESTHER family.

3.2.22. Family XXX

Metagenomic fosmid analysis of Chinese soil samples identified EstP2K (25 kDa) (58). Sequence analysis determined the catalytic serine was located within AHSLG and genomic analysis identified no matching sequences. Maximum activity was detected against C8 with optimum conditions of 45-55°C and pH 7.5. Metal ions were identified to have a large effect on the activity of EstP2K with Mg^{2+} doubling the activity whilst Co^{2+} , Cu^{2+} , Zn^{2+} , Ca^{2+} , Pb^{2+} , Ni^{2+} , Mn^{2+} , Hg^{2+} , Fe^{2+} and Ag^{+} all decreased the activity. Chelation using EDTA halved the enzymatic activity of EstP2K, suggesting Mg^{2+} is required for activity. Activity was reduced to 1.5% with the addition of PMSF, confirming EstP2K as a serine hydrolase. EstP2K had a weak match (bitscore = 70.3) to the ESTHER family 'Lipase_2'.

3.3.23. Family XXXI

Genome mining of *Psychrobacter celer* 3Pb1, a known psychrophile, identified the presence of Est12 (35kDa) (59). Sequence analysis identified the catalytic serine within GHSAG and genome analysis determined this family as monophyletic to the *Psychrobacter*. Maximum activity was identified against C4 with optimum conditions of 35°C and pH 7-8. Est12 was identified to retain activity when exposed to high concentrations of NaCl (<4.5M) for 13 hours, indicating it is salt tolerant. EDTA was shown to reduce activity by 15%, suggesting metal ions are beneficial for activity but may not be essential. PMSF completely inactivated Est12 when added at 5mM. Est12 was annotated as a member of the 'Hormone-sensitive_lipase_like' family in the ESTHER system.

3.3.24. Family XXXII

Est9x (32 kDa) was isolated from marine metagenomic library of the South China sea (60). Sequence analysis identified the catalytic serine within GHSAG and genome analysis identified highly similar proteins within the *Glaciecola*, indicating that the original host may have been a member of this genus. PMSF significantly reduced activity, confirming Est9x as a serine hydrolase. Maximum activity was identified against C2 with decreasing activity till C14. Optimum conditions were identified as 65°C and pH 8. Activity was removed via addition of Co^{2+} whilst Ni^{2+} , Cu^{2+} , Zn^{2+} all decreased activity by 50% and Mn^{2+} and Mg^{2+} only slightly decreased activity. The inability of EDTA to effect the activity of Est9x confirmed it as not being a metalloprotein. Est9x belongs to its own ESTHER family 'Est9X'.

3.3.25. Family XXXIII

Bioinformatic analysis of a metagenomic dataset from the Solnechny hot spring in Russia led to the identification of EstDZ2 (29.4 kDa), the type protein for family XXXIII (22). EstDZ2 activity was specific for short-chain fatty acids with maximum activity against C4. Optimum conditions were identified as 55°C and pH 8. Metal ions and EDTA were shown to have minimal effect on activity, indicating family XXXIII are not metalloproteins. Sequence analysis identified the catalytic serine within GHSAG and an

expanded search identified no similar proteins within the genome database. Activity was significantly reduced by addition of PMSF, confirming its classification as a serine hydrolase. EstDZ2 was annotated as a member of the ESTHER family 'Hormone-sensitive_lipase_like'.

3.3.26. Family XXXIV

Est22 (44.56 kDa) was isolated from a metagenomic library of deep-sea sediment. Activity is specific to short length fatty acids as maximum activity was reported against C4 and no activity occurred past C10. Optimum conditions were identified as 60°C, pH 9 and 0.5M NaCl. The inability of EDTA to alter Est22 activity suggests that metal ions are not required for activity; hence their effect was not tested. Sequence analysis identified the pentapeptide GPSMG containing the catalytic serine as well as similar proteins being present within the *Alteromonadaceae* and the expanded search identified additional matches within the *Pseudoaltermonadaceae*. PMSF was shown to significantly inhibit the activity of Est22. Est22 was identified as belonging to the ESTHER family 'Homoserine_transacetylase'.

3.2.27. Family XXXV

The acetyl xylan esterase AcXE2 (25 kDa) was isolated from *Caldicellulosiruptor bescii*, a highly thermophilic species able to degrade cellulose (61). As an acetyl xylan esterase, AcXE2 removes the acetyl group on acetylated xylobiose and glucose. Sequence analysis identified the catalytic serine within the pentapeptide GDSIT. Whilst highly similar enzymes were only identified within the *Caldicellulosiruptor*, potential members of this family were also identified within the *Bacillaceae*, *Chthonomonadaceae* and *Paenibacillaceae*, suggesting a diverse host range. Maximum activity was identified against C2 and C4 and optimum temperature of 70°C and pH 7.5. AcXE2 had no match to any family within the ESTHER classification system.

4. Conclusions

In this paper, we have significantly expanded the classification of lipolytic enzymes to 35 families and 11 true lipase subfamilies. Defining the biochemical features of each family along with providing type proteins allows for a greater number of potentially novel lipases to be assigned to pre-described families, preventing inconsistency within the literature. The lack of multiple members for each family with proven activity prevented comparative analysis of motifs and biochemical features.

N-terminal single transmembrane alpha-helices were detected within type proteins for 4 families/sub-families. Experimental evidence gained via deletion of the N-terminal transmembrane alpha-helix of LipA identified that this helix lies within the 3D structure of the protein allowing for opening of the lipase cap (62). It is likely that the transmembrane alpha-helices detected within the newly described families play a similar role in the structure and functionality of the proteins and do not represent membrane-bound lipases.

Previous research has shown that sequence identity does not guarantee shared functionality (63). The average pairwise similarity between the lipolytic enzymes was 31.3% with the minimum being 14.2%. Whilst these enzymes do not share identical functionality, they have demonstrated the ability to hydrolyse the release of pNP-bound fatty acids. The low level of sequence similarity between lipolytic enzymes suggests that *in vitro* examination of proteins sharing low homology with known lipolytic enzymes may lead to identification of additional families.

Cultivation-independent investigation of environmental samples led to the identification and characterisation of multiple lipolytic families suggesting that a greater number of enzymes that have yet to be characterised likely exist. The inability of many families to be assigned to a host via genome search suggests that not only do further lipolytic enzymes remain uncharacterised, but their host species have yet to be cultured. We hope that with the recent increased effort in cultivation of novel species from environmental samples additional enzymes of biotechnological importance will be identified.

5. Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Tarek Moustafa and Theresa Streidl for their invaluable feedback during the writing of this manuscript.

6. Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

7. Funding information

TC received funding from the German Research Foundation (DFG) (grant no. CL481/2-1). TCAH received internal funding from the START grant program.

8. Author contribution

TCAH performed the analysis and writing with advice and editing provided by TC.

9. References

1. Drouault S, Juste C, Marteau P, Renault P, Corthier G. Oral Treatment with *Lactococcus lactis* Expressing *Staphylococcus hyicus* Lipase Enhances Lipid Digestion in Pigs with Induced Pancreatic Insufficiency. 2002;68(6):3166–8.
2. Canaan S, Maurin D, Chahinian H, Pouilly B, Dourousseau C, Frassinetti F, et al. Expression and characterization of the protein Rv1399c from *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*: A novel carboxyl esterase structurally related to the HSL family. *Eur J Biochem*. 2004;271(19):3953–61.
3. Jaeger KE, Eggert T. Lipases for biotechnology. *Curr Opin Biotechnol*. 2002;13(4):390–7.

- 635 4. Guo J, Zheng X, Xu L, Liu Z, Xu K, Li S, et al. Characterization of a Novel
636 Esterase Rv0045c from *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *PLoS One*. 2010;5(10).
- 637 5. Rao L, Xue Y, Zhou C, Tao J, Li G, Lu JR, et al. A thermostable esterase from
638 *Thermoanaerobacter tengcongensis* opening up a new family of bacterial lipolytic
639 enzymes. *Biochim Biophys Acta - Proteins Proteomics*. Elsevier B.V.;
640 2011;1814(12):1695–702.
- 641 6. Levisson M, van der Oost J, Kengen SWM. Characterization and structural
642 modeling of a new type of thermostable esterase from *Thermotoga maritima*.
643 *FEBS J*. 2007;274(11):2832–42.
- 644 7. Glogauer A, Martini VP, Faoro H, Couto GH, Müller-Santos M, Monteiro RA, et al.
645 Identification and characterization of a new true lipase isolated through
646 metagenomic approach. *Microb Cell Fact*. BioMed Central Ltd; 2011;10(1):54.
- 647 8. Yu S, Yu S, Han W, Wang H, Zheng B, Feng Y. A novel thermophilic lipase from
648 *Fervidobacterium nodosum* Rt17-B1 representing a new subfamily of bacterial
649 lipases. *J Mol Catal B Enzym*. Elsevier B.V.; 2010;66(1–2):81–9.
- 650 9. Masomian M, Abd Rahman RNZR, Salleh AB, Basri M. Analysis of comparative
651 sequence and genomic data to verify phylogenetic relationship and explore a new
652 subfamily of bacterial lipases. *PLoS One*. 2016;11(3):1–20.
- 653 10. Sorokin DY, Jones BE. Improved method for direct screening of true lipase-
654 producing microorganisms with particular emphasis on alkaline conditions.
655 *Microbiology*. 2009;78(1):125–30.
- 656 11. Arpigny JL, Jaeger K-E. Bacterial lipolytic enzymes: classification and properties.
657 *Biochem J*. 1999;343(1):177.
- 658 12. Handrick R, Reinhardt S, Focarete ML, Scandola M, Adamus G, Kowalczyk M, et
659 al. A New Type of Thermoalkalophilic Hydrolase of *Paucimonas lemoignei* with
660 High Specificity for Amorphous Polyesters of Short Chain-length Hydroxyalkanoic
661 Acids. *J Biol Chem*. 2001;276(39):36215–24.
- 662 13. Ewis HE, Abdelal AT, Lu CD. Molecular cloning and characterization of two
663 thermostable carboxyl esterases from *Geobacillus stearothermophilus*. *Gene*.
664 2004;329(1–2):187–95.
- 665 14. Lee MH, Lee CH, Oh TK, Song JK, Yoon JH. Isolation and characterization of a
666 novel lipase from a metagenomic library of tidal flat sediments: Evidence for a
667 new family of bacterial lipases. *Appl Environ Microbiol*. 2006;72(11):7406–9.
- 668 15. Bender J, Rydzewski K, Broich M, Schunder E, Heuner K, Flieger A.
669 Phospholipase PlaB of *Legionella pneumophila* represents a novel lipase family.
670 Protein residues essential for lipolytic activity, substrate specificity and hemolysis.
671 *J Biol Chem*. 2009;284(40):27185–94.
- 672 16. Kim EY, Oh KH, Lee MH, Kang CH, Oh TK, Yoon JH. Novel cold-adapted alkaline
673 lipase from an intertidal flat metagenome and proposal for a new family of
674 bacterial lipases. *Appl Environ Microbiol*. 2009;75(1):257–60.
- 675 17. Lee MH, Hong KS, Malhotra S, Park JH, Hwang EC, Choi HK, et al. A new
676 esterase EstD2 isolated from plant rhizosphere soil metagenome. *Appl Microbiol
677 Biotechnol*. 2010;88(5):1125–34.
- 678 18. Hu Y, Fu C, Huang Y, Yin Y, Cheng G, Lei F, et al. Novel lipolytic genes from the
679 microbial metagenomic library of the South China Sea marine sediment. *FEMS
680 Microbiol Ecol*. 2010;72(2):228–37.

19. Jeon JH, Kim JT, Lee HS, Kim SJ, Kang SG, Choi SH, et al. Novel lipolytic enzymes identified from metagenomic library of deep-sea sediment. Evidence-based Complement Altern Med. 2011;2011.
20. Bassegoda A, Pastor FIJ, Diaz P. Rhodococcus sp. strain CR-53 lipr, the first member of a new bacterial lipase family (Family X) displaying an unusual Y-type oxyanion hole, similar to the Candida antarctica lipase clan. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2012;78(6):1724–32.
21. Charbonneau DM, Beauregard M. Role of Key Salt Bridges in Thermostability of *G. thermodenitrificans* EstGtA2: Distinctive Patterns within the New Bacterial Lipolytic Enzyme Family XV. PLoS One. 2013;8(10):1–18.
22. Zarafeta D, Moschidi D, Ladoukakis E, Gavrilov S, Chrysina ED, Chatziioannou A, et al. Metagenomic mining for thermostable esterolytic enzymes uncovers a new family of bacterial esterases. Sci Rep. Nature Publishing Group; 2016;6(November):1–16.
23. Castilla A, Panizza P, Rodríguez D, Bonino L, Dfáz P, Irazoqui G, et al. A novel thermophilic and halophilic esterase from Janibacter sp. R02, the first member of a new lipase family (Family XVII). Enzyme Microb Technol. 2017;98(Family XVII):86–95.
24. Parapouli M, Foukis A, Stergiou PY, Koukouritaki M, Magklaras P, Gkini OA, et al. Molecular, biochemical and kinetic analysis of a novel, thermostable lipase (LipSm) from *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* Psi-1, the first member of a new bacterial lipase family (XVIII). J Biol Res. BioMed Central; 2018;25(1):1–12.
25. Kovacic F, Babic N, Krauss U, Jaeger K. Classification of Lipolytic Enzymes from Bacteria. In: Aerobic Utilization of Hydrocarbons, Oils and Lipids. 2019. p. Chapter 24.
26. Chu X, He H, Guo C, Sun B. Identification of two novel esterases from a marine metagenomic library derived from South China Sea. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 2008;80(4):615–25.
27. Nacke H, Will C, Herzog S, Nowka B, Engelhaupt M, Daniel R. Identification of novel lipolytic genes and gene families by screening of metagenomic libraries derived from soil samples of the German Biodiversity Exploratories. FEMS Microbiol Ecol. 2011;78(1):188–201.
28. Kang HY, Kim JF, Kim MH, Park SH, Oh TK, Hur CG. MELDB: A database for microbial esterases and lipases. FEBS Lett. 2006;580(11):2736–40.
29. Messaoudi A, Belguith H, Ghram I, Hamida J Ben. LIPABASE: a database for “true” lipase family enzymes. Int J Bioinform Res Appl. 2011;7(4):390.
30. Pleiss J, Fischer M, Peiker M, Thiele C, Schmid RD. Lipase engineering database: Understanding and exploiting sequence-structure-function relationships. J Mol Catal - B Enzym. 2000;10(5):491–508.
31. Lenfant N, Hotelier T, Velluet E, Bourne Y, Marchot P, Chatonnet A. ESTHER, the database of the α/β -hydrolase fold superfamily of proteins: Tools to explore diversity of functions. Nucleic Acids Res. 2013;41(D1):423–9.
32. Thompson JD, Higgins DG, Gibson TJ. CLUSTAL W: Improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. Nucleic Acids Res. 1994;22(22):4673–80.

33. Stothard P. The Sequence Manipulation Suite: javascript programs for analysing and forming protein and DNA sequences. *Biotechniques*. 2000;28(6):1102–4.
34. Krogh A, Larsson B, Von Heijne G, Sonnhammer ELL. Predicting transmembrane protein topology with a hidden Markov model: Application to complete genomes. *J Mol Biol*. 2001;305(3):567–80.
35. Benson DA, Karsch-Mizrachi I, Lipman DJ, Ostell J, Wheeler DL. GenBank. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2008;36(SUPPL. 1):25–30.
36. Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. Basic local alignment search tool. *J Mol Biol*. 1990 Oct;215(3):403–10.
37. Radivojac P, Clark WT, Oron TR, Schnoes AM, Wittkop T, Sokolov A, et al. A large-scale evaluation of computational protein function prediction. *Nat Methods*. 2013;10(3):221–7.
38. Veeramachaneni V, Makalowski W. Visualizing sequence similarity of protein families. *Genome Res*. 2004;14(6):1160–9.
39. Rubino F, McCabe MS, Newbold J, Veneman JB, Creevey CJ. MGkit : Metagenomic Framework For The Study Of Microbial Communities communities from a taxonomic and functional perspective , little has Rationale analysis of metagenomic data that includes fully automated analysis and carry out statistical tests to iden.
https://figshare.com/articles/MGkit_Metagenomic_Framework_For_The_Study_Of_Microbial_Communities/1269288. 2014.
40. Parks DH, Chuvochina M, Waite DW, Rinke C, Skarshewski A, Chaumeil P, et al. A standardized bacterial taxonomy based on genome phylogeny substantially revises the tree of life. *bioRxiv*. Nature Publishing Group; 2018;(August):256800.
41. Samoylova Y V., Sorokina KN, Romanenko M V., Parmon VN. Cloning, expression and characterization of the esterase estUT1 from *Ureibacillus thermosphaericus* which belongs to a new lipase family XVIII. *Extremophiles*. Springer Japan; 2018;22(2):271–85.
42. Rao L, Xue Y, Zheng Y, Lu JR, Ma Y. A Novel Alkaliphilic *Bacillus* Esterase Belongs to the 13th Bacterial Lipolytic Enzyme Family. *PLoS One*. 2013;8(4).
43. Charbonneau DM, Meddeb-Mouelhi F, Beauregard M. A novel thermostable carboxylesterase from *Geobacillus thermodenitrificans*: Evidence for a new carboxylesterase family. *J Biochem*. 2010;148(3):299–308.
44. Kitaura S, Suzuki K, Imamura S. Monoacylglycerol lipase from moderately thermophilic *Bacillus* sp. Strain H-257: Molecular cloning, sequencing, and expression in *Escherichia coli* of the gene. *J Biochem*. 2001;129(3):397–402.
45. Chow J, Kovacic F, Dall Antonia Y, Krauss U, Fersini F, Schmeisser C, et al. The Metagenome-Derived Enzymes LipS and LipT Increase the Diversity of Known Lipases. *PLoS One*. 2012;7(10).
46. Liu K, Wang J, Bu D, Zhao S, McSweeney C, Yu P, et al. Isolation and biochemical characterization of two lipases from a metagenomic library of China Holstein cow rumen. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*. Elsevier Inc.; 2009;385(4):605–11.
47. Lee MH, Oh KH, Kang CH, Kim JH, Oh TK, Ryu CM, et al. Novel metagenome-derived, cold-adapted alkaline phospholipase with superior lipase activity as an intermediate between phospholipase and lipase. *Appl Environ Microbiol*.

- 2012;78(14):4959–66.
48. Latif H, Lerman JA, Portnoy VA, Tarasova Y, Nagarajan H, Schrimpe-Rutledge AC, et al. The Genome Organization of *Thermotoga maritima* Reflects Its Lifestyle. *PLoS Genet.* 2013;9(4).
49. Raddadi N, Giacomucci L, Totaro G, Fava F. *Marinobacter* sp. from marine sediments produce highly stable surface-active agents for combatting marine oil spills. *Microb Cell Fact. BioMed Central*; 2017;16(1):1–13.
50. Yan YW, Jiang QY, Wang JG, Zhu T, Zou B, Qiu QF, et al. Microbial communities and diversities in mudflat sediments analyzed using a modified metatranscriptomic method. *Front Microbiol.* 2018;9(JAN):1–15.
51. Golyshin PN, Chernikova TaN, Abraham WR, Lünsdorf H, Timmis KN, Yakimov MM. *Oleiphilaceae* fam. nov., to include *Oleiphilus messinensis* gen. nov., sp. nov., a novel marine bacterium that obligately utilizes hydrocarbons. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol.* 2002;52(3):901–11.
52. Li M, Yang LR, Xu G, Wu JP. Cloning and characterization of a novel lipase from *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* GS11: The first member of a new bacterial lipase family XVI. *J Biotechnol.* 2016;228:30–6.
53. Couto GH, Glogauer A, Faoro H, Chubatsu, L.S. SEM, Pedrosa, et al. Isolation of a novel lipase from a metagenomic library derived from mangrove sediment from the south Brazilian coast. *Genet Mol Res.* 2010;9(1):514–23.
54. Zheng X, Guo J, Xu L, Li H, Zhang D, Zhang K, et al. Crystal structure of a novel esterase RV0045c from *mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *PLoS One.* 2011;6(5).
55. Ortega C, Anderson LN, Frando A, Sadler NC, Brown RW, Smith RD, et al. Systematic Survey of Serine Hydrolase Activity in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* Defines Changes Associated with Persistence. *Cell Chem Biol. Elsevier Ltd*; 2016;23(2):290–8.
56. Kim Y-E, Yoon H, Kim M, Nam Y-J, Kim H, Seo Y, et al. Metagenomic analysis of bacterial communities on Dokdo Island. *J Gen Appl Microbiol.* 2014;60(2):65–74.
57. Jiménez DJ, Montaña JS, Álvarez D, Baena S. A novel cold active esterase derived from Colombian high Andean forest soil metagenome. *World J Microbiol Biotechnol.* 2012;28(1):361–70.
58. Ouyang LM, Liu JY, Qiao M, Xu JH. Isolation and biochemical characterization of two novel metagenome-derived esterases. *Appl Biochem Biotechnol.* 2013;169(1):15–28.
59. Wu G, Zhang S, Zhang H, Zhang S, Liu Z. A novel esterase from a psychrotrophic bacterium *Psychrobacter celer* 3Pb1 showed cold-adaptation and salt-tolerance. *J Mol Catal B Enzym. Elsevier B.V.*; 2013;98:119–26.
60. Fang Z, Li J, Wang Q, Fang W, Peng H, Zhang X, et al. A Novel Esterase from a Marine Metagenomic Library Exhibiting Salt Tolerance Ability. *J Microbiol Biotechnol.* 2014;24(246):771–80.
61. Soni S, Sathe SS, Odaneth AA, Lali AM, Chandrayan SK. SGNH hydrolase-type esterase domain containing Cbes-AcXE2: a novel and thermostable acetyl xylan esterase from *Caldicellulosiruptor bescii*. *Extremophiles. Springer Japan*; 2017;21(4):687–97.
62. Zha D, Zhang H, Zhang H, Xu L, Yan Y. N-terminal transmembrane domain of lipase LipA from *Pseudomonas protegens* Pf-5: A must for its efficient folding into

- an active conformation. *Biochimie*. 2014;105:165–71.
63. Punta M, Ofra Y. The rough guide to in silico function prediction, or how to use sequence and structure information to predict protein function. *PLoS Comput Biol*. 2008;4(10).

Table 1(on next page)

Bacterial lipolytic enzyme families.

Each family is provided with a type protein for which the accession number and name is provided along with its proposed function.

Family	Sub-family	Type protein	Accession	Function
Family I	1		D50587	True lipase
	2		X70354	True lipase
	3		D11455	True lipase
	4		M74010	True lipase
	5		U78785	True lipase
	6		M12715	True lipase / Phospholipase
	7		X99255	True lipase
	8	Lip1	Q3IF07	True lipase
	9	LipG	DQ458963	True lipase
	10	RlipE1	FJ529693	-
	11	MPlaG	EU285670	True lipase / Phospholipase
Family II			P10480	Secreted acyltransferase
Family III			M86351	Extracellular lipase
Family IV			X62835	Esterase
Family V			M58445	PHA-depolymerase
Family VI			D90904	Carboxylesterases
Family VII			Q01470	Carbamate hydrolase
Family VIII			AAA99492	Stereoselective esterase
Family IX		phaZ7	AY026355	Poly(3-hydroxybutyrate) depolymerase
Family X		EstD	NP_228147	Carboxylesterase
Family XI		EstA	ABY60416	Esterase
Family XII		LipEH166	EU515239	Lipase
Family XIII		Est30	AY186197	Carboxylesterase
Family XIV		EstA3	NP_623858	Carboxylesterase
Family XV		EstGtA2	AEN92268	Carboxylesterase
Family XVI		LipSM54	AGF29555	Lipase
Family XVII		LipJ2	KX096709	Lipase
Family XVIII		plaB	EF408871	Phosphatidylcholine-specific phospholipase
Family XIX		LipSM	KX353755	Lipase
Family XX		FLS18D	ACL67852	Esterase
Family XXI		FnL	ABS61180	Lipase
Family XXII		EstD2	GQ866023	Secreted esterase
Family XXIII		LipA	ACJ13070	Lipase
Family XXIV		Rv0045c	NP_214559	Esterase
Family XXV		EM3L4	GQ340926	Lipase
Family XXVI		EstGS	HQ156900	Secreted esterase
Family XXVII		EstGH	HQ156914	Esterase
Family XXVIII		LipR	YP_345621	Lipase
Family XXIX		EstGX1	HQ262952	Lipase
Family XXX		EstP2K	JN001203	Esterase
Family XXXI		Est12	KF313138	Esterase
Family XXXII		Est9x	AFR79233	Lipase
Family XXXIII		EstDZ2	KX301277	Secreted carboxylesterase

Family XXXIV	Est22	GAC12013	Homoserine transacetylase
Family XXXV	AcXE2	ACM59679	Acetyl xylan esterase

1