

Figure legends

Figure 1. ~~Defense system.~~ A ~~just newly~~ enrolled ~~new~~-floating leaf of *Nymphaea alba* showing the hydrophobic wax layer as indicated by ~~the~~-water droplets.

Figure 2. ~~Seasonal changes in the loss of p~~Photosynthetic leaf area ~~loss-by-caused by~~ external ~~causes-factors in time per plot~~ (white), shown ~~as the difference between by~~ the actual surface (hatched) and the potential surface (white + hatched) ~~in six field plots~~. (A) *Nuphar lutea*, HW, 1977, (B) *Nuphar lutea*, OW, 1977, (C) *Nymphaea alba*, OW, 1977, (D) *Nymphaea candida*, HW, 1977, (E) *Nuphar lutea*, VG, 1988, (F) *Nymphaea alba*, VG, 1988.

Figure 3. ~~Seasonal changes in the R~~Relative contributions to leaf damage by external causes ~~per-in six field plot in times~~. (A) *Nuphar lutea*, HW, 1977, (B) *Nuphar lutea*, OW, 1977, (C) *Nymphaea alba*, OW, 1977, (D) *Nymphaea candida*, HW, 1977, (E) *Nuphar lutea*, VG, 1988, (F) *Nymphaea alba*, VG, 1988.

Figure 4. ~~Sequence Senescence and microbial decay.~~ (A, B, C) ~~of c~~show colour changes ~~in~~ ~~color from green living tissue (dark grey areas) by to~~ senescent ~~tissueee~~ (lighter grey areas) and ~~areas of by~~ microbial decay (black areas) on *Nymphaea candida*, photographed ~~by-under~~ translucent light. ~~Green living tissue is visible as darker areas.~~

Figure 5. ~~Symptoms~~ ~~[mog1]~~Of Frost: (A) ~~shows~~ damage ~~by frost-of~~ ~~n a whole~~ *Nuphar lutea* leaf; (A,B) ~~shows and~~ the tip of a *Nuphar lutea* leaf ~~above-sticking out of~~ the water (B) ~~which~~ ~~might be frozen off and detached.~~

Figure 6. ~~Hailstones~~~~Symptoms of.~~ (A, B) ~~show~~ damage by hailstones (white arrows) and snails (black arrows) on *Nymphaea alba*.

Figure 7. (A) ~~and (B) show u~~Uplifted leaves as ~~a~~ result of wind and wave action (A, B), leading to ~~mechanical damage as well as to~~ dehydration ~~by air and sun exposure, and~~ ~~mechanical damage.~~ (C) ~~as~~ shows ~~dehydration-of at~~ the leaf margin of *Nuphar lutea* (C) ~~by~~ ~~additional air and sun exposure.~~

Figure 8. Bird scratches caused by the ~~nails-claws~~ of *Fulica atra* or *Gallinula chloropus*; ~~Also-visible-are~~ damage ~~caused~~ by *Pythium* "type F", and dehydration of the leaf margin.

Figure 9. (A, B, C) ~~show d~~Damage ~~and leaf area loss by due to the~~ consumption of leaf-tissue by *Fulica atra* on *Nymphaea alba*.

Figure 10. (A) ~~shows T~~The pond snail *Lymnaea stagnalis* (A); ~~causing (B, C) leaf-show~~ damage ~~by Lymnaea stagnalis on of~~ *Nymphaea alba*, which is visible as (rows of holes ~~caused~~ ~~by the snail before in-leaf created before blades~~ unroll (B, C) ~~ing of the leaf~~).

Figure 11. ~~Floating-leaf c~~Consumption by the water-lily reed beetle *Donacia crassipes* ~~on~~ ~~floating-leaves of Nuphar lutea~~. (A) ~~shows the s~~Size of consumed spots and of egg deposition holes made by imagines of ~~the beetle~~ *Donacia crassipes* on ~~floating-leaves of Nuphar lutea~~; (B) ~~shows eggs of Donacia crassipes deposited~~ at the underside of a ~~floating leaf of Nuphar lutea leaf~~; (C) imago of ~~Donacia crassipes~~ on *Nymphaea alba*, (D, E, F, G) leaves of *Nuphar lutea* damaged ~~as a result of by~~ consumption ~~of by~~ *D. onacia* ~~crassipes~~.

Figure 12. Consumption of floating leaves by the water-lily leaf beetle *Galerucella nymphaeae*. (A) shows Eggs, (B) shows larvae and pupae, (C) shows an imago with consumption spots, (D) shows typical damage patterns caused by larvae on *Nymphaea alba*, and (E, F) show damage patterns caused by larvae and imagines on *Nuphar lutea*.

Figure 13. Consumption by the weevil *Bagous rotundicollis*. (A, B) show an imago and (C) shows the damaged spots indicated by white arrows along the margin on the underside of a leaf.

Figure 14. Consumption and damage by caterpillars of the brown china mark *Elophila nymphaeata* on *Nymphaea alba*. Where (A, B) show a caterpillar in a free-floating shelter composed of two pieces of floating leaf, (C) shows a adult moth on a leaf, (D, E) show damage on floating leaves of *Nymphaea alba*.

Figure 15. Mining by larvae of the dung fly *Hydromyza livens*. (A) shows eggs of *Hydromyza livens* on the underside of a *Nuphar lutea* leaf, (B) shows a scanning electron micrograph of the head of a larva, (C) drawing of shows an imago, (D, E) larval show mine tracks of larvae on *Nuphar lutea* (D, E). The photos (D, E) also show and infection by *Pythium* spec. (scattered small spots). Photos of leaves made taken with translucent light.

Figure 16. Mining by larvae of the chironomid *Tribelos intextus* on *Nuphar lutea* (A, B).

Figure 17. Typical mining patterns caused by larvae of *Cricotopus trifasciatus* (Chironomidae) on floating leaves. Patterns in the centre of a leaf blade (A) and near the leaf margin (B, C).

Figure 18. Typical mining patterns by larvae of *Endochironomus* spec. (Chironomidae). Patterns on the leaf (A, B) and near the leaf margin (C).

Figure 19. Damage by *Pythium* "type F" on *Nuphar lutea* (A-H). Photographs made by under translucent light.

Figure 20. Damage caused by *Colletotrichum nymphaeae* on *Nymphaea alba* (A, B, C, D). (A) also shows and infected spots that are consumed by snails (A).

Table captions

Table-1.docx

table (16KB)

Characteristics of the three study sites.

Characteristics of the three study sites in The Netherlands to investigate the initial decomposition of floating leaf blades of waterlilies.

Table-2.docx

table (14KB)

Length-area regression equations for the leaves of the three study species.

Length-area regression equations for of fresh green the leaves of the three study species.

Where N = number of leaves used to determine the equation coefficients, A = leaf area, L = leaf length.

~~Table -3. Summary characteristics of waterlily stands.~~

Summary characteristics of waterlily stands in three water bodies of The Netherlands.

~~Where~~ HW = Haarsteegse Wiel, OW = Oude Waal, VG = Voorste Goorven.

~~Table-4.docx~~

~~table (21KB)~~

~~Damage to leaves during initial decomposition. Prevalence of different causes of leaf~~
~~Damage to leaves during initial decomposition of floating leaves at six plots in three water~~
~~bodies located in The Netherlands. The total number of leaves and the total potential area of~~
~~leaves per plot are listed in Table 3. av. = Per damage cause the percentage of leaves affected,~~
~~the average (av.) and, max. = maximum; (max.) percentage of the potential area affected and~~
~~the area of lost surface tissue for all leaves produced per plot are shown. The total number of~~
~~leaves and the total potential area of leaves per plot are listed in Table 3. The plots are~~
~~indicated by~~

- (1) = *Nuphar lutea*, Haarsteegse Wiel, 1977;
- (2) = *Nuphar lutea*, Oude Waal, 1977;
- (3) = *Nuphar lutea*, Voorste Goorven, 1988;
- (4) = *Nymphaea candida*, Haarsteegse Wiel, 1977;
- (5) = *Nymphaea alba*, Oude Waal, 1977;
- (6) = *Nymphaea alba*, Voorste Goorven, 1988.

1 **Initial decomposition of floating leaf blades of waterlilies: causes, damage types and impacts**

2

3 Peter F. Klok and Gerard van der Velde

4

5 P. F. Klok · G. van der Velde (✉)

6 Department of Animal Ecology and Physiology, Institute for Water and Wetland Research,

7 Radboud University, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

8 e-mail: g.vandervelde@science.ru.nl

9

10 P. F. Klok

11 Department of Particle Physics, Institute for Mathematics, Astrophysics and Particle Physics,

12 Radboud University, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

13

14 G. van der Velde

15 Naturalis Biodiversity Center, Leiden, The Netherlands

16

Abstract

The initial decomposition of large floating-leaved macrophytes, such as waterlilies, can be studied by following changes in leaf damage and area loss of leaf blades tagged in their natural environment. This approach was taken in the present study to examine the initial decomposition patterns of floating leaf blades of *Nuphar lutea* (L.) Sm., *Nymphaea alba* L. and *Nymphaea candida* C. Presl at three freshwater sites differing in nutrient status, alkalinity and pH. Floating leaf blades of the three plant species were tagged and numbered within established replicate plots and the leaf length, percentages and types of damage and decay of all tagged leaves were recorded weekly during the growing season. Microbial decay, infection by phytopathogenic fungi (*Colletotrichum nymphaeae*) and oomycetes (*Pythium* sp.), consumption by pond snails, and mechanical factors were the most important causes of leaf damage. Several types of succession comprising different causes of damage were distinguished during the season. For example, young floating leaves are affected by more or less specialized invertebrate species consuming leaf tissue, followed by non-specialized invertebrate species feeding on the damaged floating leaves. In the two investigated hardwater lakes the seasonal patterns of initial decomposition differed between *Nymphaea* and *Nuphar*.

36 **Introduction**

37 The decomposition of leaf blades of floating-leaved macrophytes commences when the leaves are
38 still connected to the parent plant. The usual approach to study this process is to place detached or
39 harvested plant material in litter bags (Brock et al., 1982; Wieder & Lang, 1982; Taketani et al.,
40 2018). Much less attention has been paid to the initial decomposition of aquatic macrophytes
41 before detachment or harvesting. Decomposition in these natural conditions involves a complex
42 set of interacting processes, which can be classified into internal (physiological) and external
43 (abiotic or biotic) processes (van der Velde *et al.*, 1982). Often, various stages and causes of
44 decomposition occur on one plant or even on a single leaf.

45 During initial decomposition macrophyte tissue can be used by herbivores and by
46 phytopathogenic and saprotrophic microorganisms. Before death, the plant tissue senesces and
47 further decomposition and disintegration is initiated by weak pathogens and facultative
48 herbivores, leading to the production of debris and fecal pellets. The chemical composition of
49 plant tissue also changes during senescence due to the hydrolysis of macromolecules, which can
50 weaken tissue structure, the resorption of nutrients like N and P as well as carbon compounds
51 such as starch, and the loss of secondary compounds. Furthermore, leaves are colonized by
52 microorganisms, which make the tissue more attractive for detritivorous macroinvertebrates
53 (Rogers & Breen, 1983).

54 The phases of initial decomposition can be studied well in floating leaf blades (laminae)
55 of large-leaved plants such as waterlilies (Nymphaeaceae) which exist for a relatively long time,
56 on average 38-48 days, and whose turnover is low (P/B_{\max} 1.35-2.25 yr⁻¹) (Klok & van der Velde,
57 2017). Waterlilies occur worldwide (Conard, 1905; Wiersema, 1987; Padgett, 2007) and in many
58 types of water bodies differing in physico-chemical conditions (van der Velde, Custers & de
59 Lyon, 1986). Waterlilies typically occupy a fixed position in the plant zonation in the littoral zone
60 of lakes between emergent and submerged macrophytes. The nymphaeid growth form combines
61 floating leaves with rooting in the sediment (Luther, 1983; Den Hartog & van der Velde, 1988).
62 In addition, waterlilies produce thin underwater leaves and aerial leaves when crowding occurs at
63 the water surface or water levels are lowered (Glück, 1924; van der Velde, 1980).

64 Floating leaf blades of waterlilies develop under water and subsequently unroll at the
65 water surface where they are attacked by various organisms, although young leaves can already
66 be attacked under water before they unroll (Lammens & van der Velde, 1978; van der Velde *et*
67 *al.*, 1982; van der Velde & van der Heijden, 1985; Martínez & Franceschini, 2018). Responses of
68 waterlilies to attacks include replacing old leaves by new ones, shifting from floating leaves to
69 underwater leaves (Kouki, 1993), producing hydrophobic epicuticular wax layers (Riederer &
70 Müller, 2006; Aragón, Reina-Pinto & Serrano, 2017) (Fig. 1), spines (Zhang & Yao, 2018),
71 sclereids containing calcium oxalate crystals (Brock & van der Velde, 1983; Franceschi &
72 Nakata, 2005), tough tissue (Kok *et al.*, 1992; Mueller & Dearing, 1994), and plant secondary

73 metabolites such as alkaloids (Hutchinson, 1975) and phenolics (Kok *et al.*, 1992; Vergeer & van
74 der Velde, 1997; Smolders *et al.*, 2000; Martínez & Franceschini, 2018). This means that only
75 specific species are able to attack the fresh plant tissue. These species are more or less specialized
76 and often restricted to particular plant taxa. Other species colonize the leaves at later stages after
77 the defense system has been weakened (Kok *et al.*, 1992). Damage of leaves can induce the
78 leaching of soluble carbohydrates such as oligosaccharides and starch, proteinaceous and
79 phenolic compounds, some of which can be rapidly metabolized by microorganisms (Brock,
80 Boon & Paffen, 1985). Partially decayed floating leaves sink to the bottom, where they provide a
81 resource fuelling detritus-based benthic food webs and continue being decomposed (Brock, 1985;
82 van der Velde & van der Heijden, 1985; Kok & van der Velde, 1991; Kok *et al.*, 1992; Kok,
83 1993).

84 The present study summarizes causes and patterns of initial decomposition of floating
85 leaves of three species of waterlilies in three water bodies differing in pH, alkalinity, nutrient
86 levels and surrounding land use. Data from previous studies were compiled to answer three
87 questions: 1) What are the causes and patterns of initial decomposition of floating leaves? 2)
88 What is the impact of each cause? 3) How does initial decomposition progress during the season?

89

90 **Materials and Methods**

91 **Sites**

92 Field research took place in dense, nearly mono-specific stands of waterlilies in three different
93 water bodies located in The Netherlands: Haarsteegse Wiel (HW), Oude Waal (OW) and Voorste
94 Goorven (VG) (Table 1). Three plots were laid out in stands of *Nuphar lutea* (L.) Sm. (HW and
95 OW in 1977; VG in 1988), two plots in stands of *Nymphaea alba* L. (OW in 1977; VG in 1988)
96 and one plot in a stand of *Nymphaea candida* C. Presl in J. et C. Presl (HW in 1977). The plots
97 were accessed with a small zodiac, which was navigated by gently paddling. Otherwise no
98 boating or navigation occurred in the water bodies, which prevented damage of the plants by
99 propellers.

100 Haarsteegse Wiel, located in the Province of Noord-Brabant, originates from two
101 connected ponds created by dike bursts along the River Meuse. The now isolated water body is
102 eutrophic and has a relatively low alkalinity. The water level fluctuates, depending on
103 precipitation, groundwater seepage and evaporation. Stratification of the water column occurs
104 during summer. The lake bottom consists of sand and an organic layer with increasing thickness
105 towards the littoral zone. The waterlily beds are situated in the wind-sheltered part of the lake.

106 Oude Waal in the Province of Gelderland is a highly eutrophic oxbow lake in the
107 forelands of the River Waal. Depth during the growing season is shallow, except for three
108 connected breakthrough ponds. The water level is dependent on precipitation, groundwater
109 seepage, overflow of the River Waal in winter or spring, which strongly influences water

chemistry and quality, and evaporation. The bottom consisting of clay and sand is covered by an organic layer of varying thickness in the nymphacid beds.

Finally, Voorste Goorven in the Province of Noord-Brabant is a shallow, oligotrophic, isolated, culturally acidified moorland pond with very low alkalinity. It is surrounded by forests stocking on poorly buffered sandy soils. The hydrology is mainly dependent on precipitation, groundwater seepage and evaporation.

Leaf area

The potential and actual leaf areas were determined to quantify leaf area loss. The potential area refers to the area of the intact leaf. The actual area was defined as the potential area minus the area that was missing. The potential leaf area was calculated by using a quadratic regression to relate it to leaf length (van der Velde & Peelen-Bekkens, 1983; Klok & van der Velde, 2017) (Table 2). Specifically, undamaged, fully green floating leaves randomly sampled outside the plots were taken to the laboratory where both length and area were measured to establish relationships of the form:

$$A(L) = c_i L^2 \quad (1),$$

where:

$A(L)$ = potential leaf area at length L (cm²)

L = leaf length from the leaf tip to a basal lobe tip (cm)

c_i = regression coefficient of species i

i = species (*Nuphar lutea*, *Nymphaea alba*, *Nymphaea candida*)

Study design and data collection

Six representative plots of 1 m² were laid out in the center of mono-specific stands, each containing one rhizome apex per plot. A non-destructive method was used to tag all floating leaves individually within the plots (Klok & van der Velde, 2017). Newly unrolled leaves were tagged with uniquely numbered Rotex tape fixed around the petiole just under the leaf blade. This enabled us to collect data during the full life-span of the leaves. Each plot was bordered by a square perforated PVC tube frame, held approximately 15 cm below the water surface by cork floaters and anchored to the bottom by four bricks. This set-up does not affect the unrolling of floating leaves in the plots. All leaves having their petioles within the frame were counted and measured. A leaf was considered present as long as, after partial degradation and disintegration, tissue of the lamina was connected to the petiole in the case of OW and HW. In VG a leaf was considered ‘gone’ when it was completely brown, dead and submerged, or when it had disappeared.

All leaves within the plots were inspected and measured at weekly intervals during the growing season, typically from April until November. Site visits involved tagging newly unrolled leaves, counting the number of leaves, measuring leaf length from the leaf tip to one of

148 the basal lobe tips and visually estimating different types of initial decomposition expressed as
149 percentage of the potential leaf area of each leaf. Leaves showing several types of damage were
150 harvested outside the plots to be photographed in the laboratory.

151

152 **Results**

153 Leaves developed during 53 to 73 % of the vegetation period of 135 to 199 days (Klok & van der
154 Velde, 2017). Loss of leaf tissue tended to increase during the vegetation period (Fig. 2; Table 3).
155 In the hardwater lakes (OW and HW), leaf area loss by damage of *Nuphar lutea* and *Nymphaea*
156 *alba* was less than 20% of the total potential leaf area until mid-September, but increased to more
157 than 50% thereafter. Leaf area loss by damage of *Nymphaea candida* (HW) was less than 10% of
158 the potential area in the beginning and increased to almost 20% in September-October. In the
159 acidic moorland pond (VG) leaf area loss was minimal as these leaves did not disintegrate.

160

161 **Causes and impacts of initial decomposition**

162 The causes of damage classified in the present study are senescence, frost, hailstones,
163 dehydration, mechanical damage, bird scratches, feeding waterfowl (*Fulica atra* L. and *Gallinula*
164 *chloropus* L., Rallidae), pond snails (*Lymnaea* sp., Lymnaeidae, Gastropoda), water-lily reed
165 beetle (*Donacia crassipes* F., Chrysomelidae, Coleoptera), adults and larvae of the water-lily leaf
166 beetle (*Galerucella nymphaeae* L., Chrysomelidae, Coleoptera), a weevil (*Bagous rotundicollis*

Bohemann, Curculionidae, Coleoptera), larvae of the aquatic moth brown china mark (*Elophila nymphaeata* (L.), Crambidae, Lepidoptera), larvae of a dung fly (*Hydromyza livens* (Fabricius), Scathophagidae, Diptera), chironomid larvae (Chironomidae, Diptera), including *Endochironomus* spp. and *Tribelos intextus* (Walker), a phytopathogenic fungus (*Colletotrichum nymphaeae* (Pass.) Aa) and an oomycete (*Pythium* sp.), and finally microbial decay (Fig. 3, Table 4). In some cases, specific causes could not be identified.

Senescence. Senescence is visible by the change in leaf colour from green to yellow, indicating that chlorophyll is degraded. The extent of yellow areas reached its maximum towards the end of the growing season. In October the percentage of affected leaves was 100%; however, the yellow surface area was generally around 10% and loss of green photosynthetic leaf tissue loss ranged between 10 and 20% of the total leaf loss. The extent of leaf area turned yellow decreased over time, since brown leaf areas leading to microbial decay became increasingly dominant (Fig. 4; Table 4).

Frost. Frost in early spring can damage the tips of young leaves sticking out of the water. As a result, such leaves can lose up to one third of their area (Fig. 5). However, the effect on the total leaf surface area was less than 5%.

Hailstones. Occasional hailstone showers damage the floating leaves by penetrating the leaf and leaving typical Y-shaped scars (Fig. 6). Leaf area damaged by hail was minimal.

185 **Dehydration.** High winds often lift floating leaves above the water surface and may flip them
186 over. Subsequently, those leaves are exposed to air, particularly the leaf margins, leading to leaf
187 desiccation (Fig. 7). The effect of desiccation stress on leaf surface area was generally less than
188 5%.

189 **Mechanical damage.** This type of damage is caused by wind and wave action resulting in cracks
190 in the leaf tissue or lost leaves when the petiole breaks (Fig. 7). Lost leaves were ascribed to
191 unknown causes. For *Nuphar lutea* at HW, *Nymphaea alba* at OW and *Nymphaea candida* at
192 HW, the percentage of leaves affected over the whole vegetation period ranged from 60-80%.
193 *Nuphar lutea* at OW showed peaks of 90% in spring, 70% in autumn and 10% in summer. In
194 contrast, *Nuphar lutea* at VG and *Nymphaea alba* at VG showed no mechanical damage.

195 **Bird scratches.** Scratches are often caused by the claws of birds, mostly coots (*Fulica atra*) but
196 also the common moorhen (*Gallinula chloropus*), as they walk or run over the leaves (Fig. 8). In
197 general, the scratches are straight and affect only the epidermis of the leaf, but angle-shaped cuts
198 due to claws penetrating the leaf tissue also occur. The affected leaf surface area was low,
199 generally below 5%, although a high percentage of leaves was affected, sometimes up to 100%
200 for all plots at HW and OW. In contrast, the plots at VG showed no scratches.

201 **Consumption by coots.** Consumption of leaf tissue by coots can be recognized by missing parts
202 in the form of triangular areas at the edge of leaves. Sometimes major parts of leaves are
203 consumed. Generally, prints of the beak are visible around the consumed areas (Fig. 9).

204 Nevertheless, the overall effect on total leaf surface area was minimal. The plots at VG showed
205 no damage by coot consumption.

206 **Consumption by pond snails.** A major cause of damage on fresh leaf tissue is caused mainly by
207 *Lymnaea stagnalis* L., to a lesser extent also by other lymnaeids. Pond snails consume folded
208 leaves still under water. Rows of holes can then be seen in the unrolled leaf blades, large near the
209 edge and smaller towards the center of the leaf (Fig. 10). Lymnaeid and other freshwater
210 pulmonate snails show a preference for decaying leaf material, such as areas infected by fungi.
211 Damage by snails was generally an important cause of damage during the whole period for both
212 *Nuphar lutea* and *Nymphaea alba*, contributing up to 20% to the total leaf area loss in HW.

213 **Consumption by water-lily reed beetles.** Both *Nuphar* and *Nymphaea* spp. are host plants of the
214 water-lily reed beetle *Donacia crassipes*. The adult beetles live on the upper side of floating
215 leaves where they feed on leaf tissue (upper epidermis, parenchyma and lower epidermis). The
216 leaf areas removed as a result of tissue consumption by these beetles are round to oval. Eggs are
217 deposited in two or three rows on the leaf underside. To this end, the beetle gnaws a round or oval
218 hole in the leaf, then sticks its abdomen through the hole to reach the leaf underside and oviposit
219 (Fig. 11). The percentage of leaf area damaged by reed beetles was minimal.

220 **Consumption by water-lily leaf beetles.** The water-lily leaf beetle (*Galerucella nymphaeae*)
221 completes its full life cycle on the upper surface of floating leaves. Both adult beetles and larvae
222 feed on the upper epidermis and palisade and sponge parenchyma. The larvae create irregular

trenches on the surface, leaving the lower epidermis of the leaf intact and depositing their faeces in the trenches. The resulting pattern of leaf tissue damage is easily recognized. The adult beetles consume smaller areas (Fig. 12). Damage was only found in *Nymphaea alba* at VG, where leaves started to be affected in mid-June, rising to 30-40% between August and October and reaching a sharp peak of 60% in mid-October. The percentage of lost leaf area was minimal.

Consumption by weevils. The adults of *Bagous rotundicollis* scrape off areas of leaf tissue (ca. 1 cm diameter) from the underside of floating leaves near the margin. Only the lower epidermis and sponge parenchyma are consumed, whereas the palisade parenchyma and upper epidermis remain intact (Fig. 13). Damage by weevils was found only in *Nymphaea alba* at VG, with up to 30% of these leaves being affected. Leaf area loss was minimal.

Consumption and damage by the brown china mark. The caterpillar of the aquatic moth *Elophila nymphaeata* damages floating leaves in two ways, by leaf tissue consumption and by cutting out oval leaf patches that they can attach to the underside of a floating leaf to make a shelter. They can also spin two patches together to construct a floating shelter (Fig. 14). The effect of these activities on leaf surface area was low, at most 5%. *Nymphaea candida* at HW, *Nuphar lutea* at VG and *Nymphaea alba* at VG were not damaged by the moth.

Mining by a dung fly. Larvae of the dung fly *Hydromyza livens* only occurred in *Nuphar* leaves, where they mine and consume leaf tissue. Eggs are laid at the underside of the leaves. For that purpose the fly goes underwater, following the dichotomous veins on the underside of the leaves

242 till it reaches the midrib to lay an egg. The newly hatched larvae immediately start to mine the
243 leaf tissue. The mine track has a characteristic shape as the larvae first move from the midrib
244 towards the margins of the leaf, then turn to continue mining in parallel to the leaf margin, then
245 turn again towards the midrib and mine further into the petiole where they pupate. This creates a
246 breaking point where the leaf blade can detach and float away (Fig. 15). Overall, the effect of
247 dung flies mining the leaves was less than 8%.

248 **Mining by chironomids.** Larvae of some Chironomidae mine their way through the leaf tissue
249 by consuming particular tissue layers while leaving the upper and lower epidermis unaffected for
250 protection. Typical damage on *Nuphar* leaves is caused by larvae of *Tribelos intextus*. These
251 larvae mine leaves still folded underwater, resulting in rows of small holes that become visible
252 when the floating leaves unroll at the water surface (Fig. 16). Also observed at the study sites
253 were larvae of *Cricotopus trifasciatus* (Meigen) (Fig. 17), which makes an open mine by
254 removing the upper epidermis while leaving the lower epidermis intact. The species was observed
255 in OW to cause some damage at the leaf margins of *Nuphar lutea* in the neighbourhood of
256 *Nymphoides peltata* (Gmel.) O. Kuntze, its main food plant. Overall, however, the impact of
257 these chironomid species on floating leaves was minimal.

258 **Mining by *Endochironomus* spp.** Larvae of these midges mine in floating leaves. The mines
259 could clearly be distinguished from those of other Chironomidae described above, since they
260 appear on the upper side of the floating leaves as straight dark stripes (Fig. 18). The total effect
261 on the decomposition of floating leaves was minimal.

262 **Infection by phytopathogens.** The leaves of *Nuphar lutea* were infected by the oomycete
263 *Pythium* “type F” (Fig. 19) and the leaves of *Nymphaea alba* and *Nymphaea candida* by the
264 fungus *Colletotrichum nymphaeae*, the causative agent of leaf spot disease (Fig. 20). The
265 percentage of damaged surface area was about 15% for *Pythium* and up to 55% for
266 *Colletotrichum*.

267 **Microbial decay.** The resistance of a leaf ~~against to~~ microbial infection quickly disappears
268 ~~quickly due to~~during senescence, facilitating microbial decay (Fig. 4); ~~which is~~as indicated by a
269 change in leaf colour from yellow to brown and the softening of ~~the~~ leaf tissue by maceration.
270 The affected surface area rose to 15-25%, with an exceptional extent of 60% reached in
271 *Nymphaea candida* at HW at the very end of the growing season.

272 **Unknown causes.** Missing leaves or parts thereof can result from various types of damage,
273 including animal consumption and mechanical damage. Missing leaf material where the cause of
274 loss could not be determined was registered under unknown causes. These causes include leaves
275 disconnected from their petioles and scattered by wind and wave action, occasionally accounting
276 for up to 60% of lost area for *Nuphar lutea* at HW, *Nymphaea alba* at OW and *Nymphaea*
277 *candida* at HW. However, such losses were rare for *Nuphar lutea* at OW and VG and *Nymphaea*
278 *alba* at VG.

279

280 **Discussion**

281 **Senescence.** Newly unrolled leaf blades of waterlilies are fully green and hydrophobic due to a
282 thick epicuticular wax layer. This waxy layer gradually erodes during senescence and as
283 cellulolytic and other bacteria and fungi colonize the leaf tissue (Howard-Williams, Davies &
284 Cross, 1978; Robb *et al.*, 1979; Rogers & Breen, 1981; Barnabas, 1992). Senescence starts
285 shortly after the first leaves are fully grown and continues throughout the growth period. During
286 senescence, an orderly physiological process controlled by the plant itself, the leaves turn from
287 green to yellow, and ultimately to brown. Concomitant microbial decay softens the leaves.

288 **Infection by phytopathogens and microbial decay.** In *Nuphar* both microbial decay and
289 infection by the phytopathogenic oomycete *Pythium* sp. “type F” were important from the start of
290 the season. In *Nymphaea*, infection by the phytopathogenic fungus *Colletotrichum nymphaeae*
291 also started early and increased in importance towards the end of the season. In general, microbial
292 decay and phytopathogenic infection gradually increased in importance, whereas most other
293 causes of damage diminished over time.

294 **Weather conditions.** Minor causes of leaf impairment occurring once during spring were frost
295 damage of the first newly unrolled leaves and hailstones. Hailstones hardly caused leaf area loss.
296 High solar radiation and air temperature dehydrated leaves that had been flipped over, with the
297 impact being high in HW and OW but not in the wind-sheltered VG. Prolonged cloudy and wet
298 weather imposes stress on waterlilies by weakening the defense of leaves due to reduced solar
299 radiation, and thus promoting heavy infection and damage by phytopathogens (van der Aa, 1978).

One mechanism is that poor light conditions reduce the content of phenolics with fungistatic properties in the leaf tissue (Vergeer & van der Velde, 1997), which turns mature leaves vulnerable to infection.

Damage by animals. Causes of damage by insects were similar for *Nymphaea* and *Nuphar* with the exception of *Hydromyza livens* and *Tribelos intextus*, which appear to be specific for *Nuphar* (Brock & van der Velde, 1983; van der Velde & Hiddink, 1987). Some species such as *Bagous rotundicollis* (van der Velde, Kok & van Vorstenbosch, 1989) and *Donacia crassipes* (Gaevsкая, 1969) exclusively feed on Nymphaeaceae. Other species such as *Galerucella nymphaeae* and *Elophila nymphaeae* feed on both floating-leaved and emergent macrophytes (Gaevsкая 1969; Lammens & van der Velde, 1978; Pappers et al., 2001). *Cricotopus trifasciatus* primarily causes damage on leaves of *Nymphoides peltata* (Lammens & van der Velde, 1978) but was also observed to damage nearby *Nuphar lutea* leaves (van der Velde & Hiddink, 1987).

In VG, damage was mainly caused by phytophagous insects consuming floating leaf tissue, particularly herbivorous beetles, fly larvae and mining chironomid larvae. Leaf disintegration was hardly observed in the acidic VG, which was also the site most sheltered against wind and wave action by a surrounding forest. Protection from wind and wave action allowed the water-lily leaf beetle *Galerucella nymphaeae* to cause extensive damage, because wind blows them from the leaves and ~~by wave action~~ they float away as a result of wave action^[mog1]. Although this species spares the lower epidermis of their tracks, the epidermis becomes vulnerable to microbial attack

319 and thus disappears at a later stage (Wesenberg-Lund, 1943; Roweck, 1988). As observed in the
 320 present study, the minor leaf area loss by the beetle and its larvae is succeeded by damage caused
 321 by fungi, ~~or~~ oomycetes ~~or~~ bacteria (Wallace & O'Hop, 1985). The damaged areas
 322 characterized by regular margins made by adult *Galerucella nymphaeae* are distinct from those
 323 made by adult *Donacia crassipes* where the margins of damaged areas are rather irregular
 324 (Roweck, 1988). *Galerucella nymphaeae* was absent in the two water bodies frequently exposed
 325 to strong wind.
 326 Consumption by snails was restricted to the two hardwater lakes, since they require calcium to
 327 build their shells. Snails at those sites prefer consuming microbially colonized, decaying parts of
 328 the leaves (Kok, 1993).
 329 *Nymphaea candida* (HW) showed an increase in scratches by bird claws towards the end of June,
 330 which may have been due to young coots. High densities of waterfowl at HW and OW are
 331 facilitated by the surrounding meadows where birds graze during winter.
 332 **pH and alkalinity.** Decomposition of leaves was slowed down at the acidic site (VG). Such
 333 water bodies are characterized by a very low alkalinity and high Al concentrations of the water, as
 334 well as low pH (Leuven, van der Velde & Kersten, 1992). A laboratory study in chemostats with
 335 synthetic media showed that pH, Al and HCO_3^- concentrations clearly influence the
 336 decomposition and chemical composition of leaf blades of floating-leaf plants, with low pH and
 337 elevated Al concentrations inhibiting and high bicarbonate concentrations (alkalinity) stimulating

338 decomposition (Kok, Meesters & Kempers, 1990). Al is toxic to microorganisms and low pH
339 slows down leaf disintegration by inhibiting cell-wall degradation by microbial pectin-degrading
340 exoenzymes and xylanase (Kok & van der Velde, 1991). At low pH, tannins accumulate in the
341 slowly decomposing leaf material, microbial colonization is inhibited and maceration of the leaf
342 tissue is reduced, resulting in a low-quality food resource for detritivores (Kok et al., 1992). The
343 occurrence of detritivores is also inhibited by high Al concentrations and low pH (Kok & van der
344 Velde, 1994). Finally, fungal degradation of major groups of structural carbohydrates is inhibited
345 by low pH (Kok, Haverkamp & van der Aa, 1992).

346 Harvested fresh and decaying leaf blades of *Nymphaea alba* placed in litter bags in the
347 field and in the laboratory showed lower leaf area loss under acidic conditions in a moorland
348 pond (VG) than in a eutrophic, hardwater oxbow lake (OW), and results under laboratory
349 conditions mimicking differences in water chemistry were similar (Brock, Boon & Paffen, 1985).
350 Depending on water chemistry, mass loss was pronounced and organic matter chemical
351 composition changed rapidly during the first 10-30 days, followed by an accumulation of
352 structural plant polymers such as cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin. The disappearance of those
353 fractions was dependent on the water quality of the water body (Brock, Boon & Paffen, 1985).
354 In conclusion, the present study shows that the decomposition pattern of *Nuphar lutea* was
355 similar in the two hardwater lakes, and differed from those of *Nymphaea alba* and *N. candida*. In

the acidic VG, the effect of leaf damage on leaf area loss was minimal for both *Nuphar lutea* and *Nymphaea alba*.

Acknowledgements

We thank M. Ankersmid, R. Kwak, R. de Mooij, H. Peeters, F. Verhoeven, V. Vintges and C.J. Kok for collecting field data, R.P.W.M. Jacobs and H.A. van der Aa for identifying oomycetes and fungi, respectively, W. Lemmens for help with data analysis, W.J. Metzger for English language corrections, and the editor Mark Gessner, reviewer Manuela Abelho and one anonymous reviewer for constructive comments that very much improved the manuscript.

References

Aragón W, Reina-Pinto JJ, Serrano M. 2017. The intimate talk between plants and microorganisms at the leaf surface. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 68(19): 5339-5350.

Barnabas AD. 1992. Bacteria on and within leaf blade epidermal cells of the seagrass *Thalassodendron ciliatum* (Forsk.) Den Hartog. *Aquatic Botany* 43: 257-266.

374 Brock TCM, Huijbregts CAM, van de Steeg-Huberts MJHA, Vlassak MA. 1982. In situ studies
375 on the breakdown of *Nymphoides peltata* (Gmel.) O. Kuntze (Menyanthaceae); some
376 methodological aspects of the litter bag technique. Hydrobiological Bulletin 16(1): 35-49.
377
378 Brock TCM, van der Velde G. 1983. An autecological study on *Hydromyza livens* (Fabricius)
379 (Diptera, Scatomyzidae), a fly associated with nymphaeid vegetation dominated by *Nuphar*.
380 Tijdschrift voor Entomologie 126(3): 59-90.
381
382 Brock TCM. 1985. Ecological studies on nymphaeid water plants. Thesis. Catholic University
383 Nijmegen, 205 pp.
384
385 Brock TCM, Boon JJ, Paffen BGP. 1985. The effects of the season and water chemistry on the
386 decomposition of *Nymphaea alba* L. – weight loss and pyrolysis mass spectrometry of the particulate
387 organic matter. Aquatic Botany 22 (3-4): 197-229.
388
389 Conard HS. 1905. *The Waterlilies. A monograph of the genus Nymphaea*. The Carnegie Institute
390 of Washington, 279 pp.
391

392 Den Hartog C, van der Velde G. 1988. Structural aspects of aquatic plant communities. In:
 393 Symoens JJ, ed. *Vegetation of inland waters*. Handbook of vegetation science 15: 113-153.
 394 Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.
 395
 396 Franceschi VR, Nakata PA. 2005. Calcium oxalate in plants: Formation and function. Annual
 397 Review in Plant Biology 56: 41-47.
 398
 399 Gaevskaya NS. 1969. The role of higher aquatic plants in the nutrition of the animals of fresh-
 400 water basins. Volume I, II and III. Published by National Lending Library for Science and
 401 Technology, Boston Spa, Yorkshire, England, 629 pp.
 402
 403 Glück H. 1924. *Biologische und morphologische Untersuchungen über Wasser- und*
 404 *Sumpfgewächse. Vierter Teil: Untergetauchte und Schwimmblattflora*. Verlag von Gustav
 405 Fischer, Jena, 746 pp.
 406
 407 Howard-Williams C, Davies BR, Cross RHM. 1978. The influence of periphyton on the surface
 408 structure of a *Potamogeton pectinatus* L. leaf (an hypothesis). Aquatic Botany 5: 87-91.
 409

410 Hutchinson GE. 1975. A treatise on limnology Vol. III-Limnological Botany. New York: John
411 Wiley & Sons, 660 pp.

412

413 Klok PF, van der Velde G. 2017. Plant traits and environment: floating leaf blade production and
414 turnover of waterlilies. PeerJ 5:e3212; DOI 10.7717/peerj.3212.

415

416 Kok CJ, Meesters HWG, Kempers AJ. 1990. Decomposition rate, chemical composition and
417 nutrient recycling of *Nymphaea alba* L. floating leaf blade detritus as influenced by pH, alkalinity
418 and aluminium in laboratory experiments. Aquatic Botany 37: 215-227.

419

420 Kok CJ, van der Velde G, Landsbergen KM. 1990. Production, nutrient dynamics and initial
421 decomposition of floating leaves of *Nymphaea alba* L., and *Nuphar lutea* (L.) Sm.
422 (Nymphaeaceae) in alkaline and acid waters. Biogeochemistry 11: 235-250.

423

424 Kok CJ, van der Velde G. 1991. The influence of selected water quality parameters on the decay
425 and exoenzymatic activity of detritus of floating leaf blades of *Nymphaea alba* L. in laboratory
426 experiments. Oecologia 88: 311-316.

427

428 Kok CJ, Haverkamp W, van der Aa HA. 1992. Influence of pH on the growth and leaf maceration
429 ability of fungi involved in the decomposition of floating leaves of *Nymphaea alba* in an acid
430 water. Journal of General Microbiology 138: 103-108.

431

432 Kok CJ, Hof CHJ, Lenssen JPM, van der Velde G. 1992. The influence of pH on concentrations
433 of protein and phenolics and resource quality of decomposing floating leaf material of *Nymphaea*
434 *alba* L. (Nymphaeaceae) for the detritivore *Asellus aquaticus* (L.). Oecologia 91: 229-234.

435

436 Kok CJ. 1993. Decomposition of floating leaves of *Nymphaea alba* L. under alkaline and acid
437 conditions. Doctoral Thesis, Catholic University Nijmegen, 121 pp.

438

439 Kok CJ, van der Velde G. 1994. Decomposition and macroinvertebrate colonization of aquatic
440 and terrestrial leaf material in an alkaline and an acid still water. Freshwater Biology 31: 65-75.

441

442 Kouki J. 1993. Herbivory modifies the production of different leaf types in the yellow water-lily,
443 *Nuphar lutea* (Nymphaeaceae). Functional Ecology 7: 21-26.

444

445 Lammens EHRR, van der Velde G. 1978. Observations on the decomposition of *Nymphoides*
446 *peltata* (Gmel.) O. Kuntze (Menyanthaceae) with special regard to the leaves. Aquatic Botany 4:
447 331-346.

448

449 Leuven RSEW, van der Velde G, Kersten HLM. 1992. Interrelations between pH and other
450 physico-chemical factors. Archiv für Hydrobiologie 126: 27-51.

451

452 Luther H. 1983. On life forms, and above-ground and underground biomass of aquatic
453 macrophytes. Acta Botanica Fennica 123: 1-23.

454

455 Martínez FS, Franceschini C. 2018. Invertebrate herbivory on floating-leaf macrophytes at the
456 northeast of Argentina: should the damage be taken into account in estimations of plant biomass?
457 Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências 90(1): 12 pp. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/0001-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/0001-3765201820170415)
458 3765201820170415.

459

460 Mueller UG, Dearing MD. 1994. Predation and avoidance of tough leaves by aquatic larvae of the
461 moth *Paraponyxa rugosalis* (Lepidoptera, Pyralidae). Ecological Entomology 19(2): 155-158.

462

463 Padgett DJ. 2007. A monograph of *Nuphar* (Nymphaeaceae). *Rhodora* 109 (937): 1–95.

464

465 Pappers SM, van Dommelen H, van der Velde G, Ouborg NJ. 2001. Differences in morphology
466 and reproduction traits of *Galerucella nymphaeae* from four host plant species. *Entomologia*
467 *Experimentalis et Applicata* 99: 183-191.

468

469 Riederer M, Müller C (eds). 2006. *Biology of the plant cuticle*. Annual Plant Reviews, Vol. 23.
470 Blackwell, Oxford, 438 pp.

471

472 Robb F, Davies BR, Cross R, Kenyon C, Howard-Williams C. 1979. Cellulolytic bacteria as
473 primary colonizers of *Potamogeton pectinatus* L. (Sago pond weed) from a brackish south-
474 temperate coastal lake. *Microbial Ecology* 5: 167-177.

475

476 Rogers KH, Breen CM. 1981. Effects of periphyton on *Potamogeton pectinatus* L. leaves.
477 *Microbial Ecology* 7: 351-361.

478

479 Rogers KH, Breen CM. 1983. An investigation of macrophyte epiphyte and grazer interactions.
480 In: Wetzel, R.G. (ed.). Periphyton of freshwater ecosystems. Developments in Hydrobiology 17:
481 217-226.
482

483 Roweck H. 1988. Ökologische Untersuchungen an Teichrosen. Archiv für Hydrobiologie
484 Monographische Beiträge Supplementband 81 (2/3): 103-358. Stuttgart: E. Schweizerbart'sche
485 Verlagbuchhandlung (Nägele u. Obermiller).
486

487 Smolders AJP, Vergeer LHT, van der Velde G, Roelofs JGM. 2000. Phenolic contents of
488 submerged, emergent and floating leaves of (semi-) aquatic macrophyte species. Why do they
489 differ? Oikos 91: 307-310.
490

491 Taketani R, Moitinho MA, Mauchline TH, Melo IS. 2018. Co-occurrence patterns of litter
492 decomposing communities in mangroves indicate a robust community resistant to disturbances.
493 PeerJ 6: article number e5710.
494

495 van der Aa HA. 1978. A leaf spot disease of *Nymphaea alba* in the Netherlands. Netherlands
 496 Journal of Plant Pathology 84: 109-115.
 497
 498 van der Velde G. 1980. Studies in nymphaeid-dominated systems with special emphasis on those
 499 dominated by *Nymphoides peltata* (Gmel.) O. Kuntze (Menyanthaceae). Doctoral Thesis,
 500 Catholic University Nijmegen, 163 pp.
 501
 502 van der Velde G, van der Heijden LA, van Grunsven PAJ, Bexkens PMM. 1982. Initial
 503 decomposition of *Nymphoides peltata* (Gmel.) O. Kuntze (Menyanthaceae), as studied by the
 504 leaf-marking method. Hydrobiological Bulletin 16 (1):51-60.
 505
 506 van der Velde G, Peelen-Bexkens PMM. 1983. Production and biomass of floating leaves of three
 507 species of Nymphaeaceae in two Dutch waters. Proceedings of the International Symposium on
 508 Aquatic Macrophytes, Nijmegen, The Netherlands, 18-23 September, 1983, 230-235.
 509
 510 van der Velde G, van der Heijden LA. 1985. Initial decomposition of floating leaves of
 511 *Nymphoides peltata* (Gmel.) O. Kuntze (Menyanthaceae) in relation to their age, with special
 512 attention to the role of herbivores. Verhandlungen der Internationalen Vereinigung für
 513 theoretische und angewandte Limnologie 22: 2937-2941.

514

515 van der Velde G, Custers CPC & de Lyon MJH. 1986. The distribution of four nymphaeid species in the
516 Netherlands in relation to selected abiotic factors. Proceedings of the European Weed Research
517 Society/Association of Applied Biologists, 7th Symposium on Aquatic Weeds, Loughborough, UK,
518 1986, 363-368.

519

520 van der Velde G, Hiddink R. 1987. Chironomidae mining in *Nuphar lutea* (L.) Sm.
521 (Nymphaeaceae). Entomologica Scandinavica Supplement 29: 255-264.

522

523 van der Velde G., Kok CJ, van Vorstenbosch HJWT. 1989. *Bagous rotundicollis*, new for The
524 Netherlands, feeding on waterlily leaves (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). Entomologische Berichten,
525 Amsterdam 49: 57-60.

526

527 Vergeer LHT, van der Velde G. 1997. The phenolic content of daylight-exposed and shaded
528 floating leaves of water lilies (Nymphaeaceae) in relation to infection by fungi. Oecologia 112:
529 481-484.

530

531 Wallace JB, O'Hop, J. 1985. Life on a fast pad – Waterlily leaf beetle impact on water lilies.
532 Ecology 66 (5): 1534-1544
533
534 Wesenberg-Lund C. 1943. *Biologie der Süßwasserinsekten*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 682 pp.
535
536 Wieder RK, Lang GE. 1982. A critique of the analytical methods used in examining
537 decomposition data obtained from litter bags. Ecology 63 (6): 1636-1642.
538
539 Wiersema JH. 1987. A monograph of *Nymphaea* subgenus *Hydrocallis* (Nymphaeaceae).
540 Systematic Botanical Monographs 16: 1-112.
541
542 Zhang G, Yao R. 2018. The spinescent aquatic plants in the Yangtze Delta, East China. Israel
543 Journal of Plant Science 65 (1-2): 9-16. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07929978.2017.1279440>.
544

Site	Species	Year	Vegetation period		Growth period		Total number of leaves (m ²)	Total potential leaf area (cm ²)
			Time span	Days	Time span	Days		
HW	<i>Nuphar lutea</i>	1977	May 10 – Nov 24	199	May 10 – Sep 13	127	77	49674
OW	<i>Nuphar lutea</i>	1977	May 11 – Nov 1	175	May 11 – Sep 7	120	59	39898
VG	<i>Nuphar lutea</i>	1988	Apr 28 – Oct 27	183	Apr 28 – Sep 8	134	22	8440
HW	<i>Nymphaea candida</i>	1977	Jun 7 – Oct 19	135	Jun 7 – Aug 16	71	43	11185
OW	<i>Nymphaea alba</i>	1977	May 11 – Nov 6	180	May 11 – Sep 7	120	108	53035
VG	<i>Nymphaea alba</i>	1988	Apr 28 – Oct 27	183	Apr 28 – Sep 8	134	80	23053

Cause of damage	Percentage of leaves affected						Percentage of potential area affected												Photosynthetic area lost (cm ²)					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(1)		(2)		(3)		(4)		(5)		(6)		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
							av.	max.	av.	max.	av.	max.	av.	max.	av.	max.	av.	max.						
Senescence	79	92	91	84	78	64	6.3	40.0	6.2	19.0	4.8	23.5	10.9	39.0	5.4	35.0	2.9	15.7	4278	2508	1861	2181	4727	2748
Frost	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	<0.1	0.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	-
Hail stones	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dehydration	23	37	-	9	28	6	0.5	5.0	1.0	6.9	-	-	0.1	0.6	0.6	7.8	0.2	8.0	384	603	-	9	854	48
Mechanical damage	78	47	-	74	80	-	1.1	8.8	1.2	10.0	-	-	0.8	3.3	1.5	10.9	-	-	546	577	-	95	1118	-
Bird scratches	83	59	-	84	77	-	0.7	1.0	0.5	1.0	-	-	0.6	1.0	0.6	1.0	-	-	382	223	-	83	386	-
Consumption by coots	36	14	-	12	50	-	0.8	10.0	0.6	18.0	-	-	0.1	0.9	0.6	3.0	-	-	385	204	-	14	442	-
Consumption by pond snails	56	12	-	12	13	-	2.5	10.0	0.4	5.4	-	-	0.3	5.0	0.3	8.0	-	-	1113	203	-	26	120	-
Consumption by reed beetles	65	63	73	70	54	-	0.6	2.0	0.6	1.8	0.9	2.0	0.6	1.2	0.4	1.6	-	-	375	285	64	74	324	-
Consumption by waterlily beetles	-	-	-	-	-	24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.3	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	85
Consumption by weevils	-	-	-	-	-	29	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.2	1.0	-	-	-	-	-	63
Consumption and damage by the brown china mark	10	3	-	-	6	-	0.4	5.0	0.1	3.6	-	-	-	-	0.1	3.9	-	-	144	43	-	-	66	-
Mining by a dung fly	65	69	73	-	-	-	1.3	6.5	1.1	4.0	1.3	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	786	516	119	-	-	-
Mining by chironomids	14	2	-	2	6	-	0.2	5.0	<0.1	1.0	-	-	<0.1	0.4	<0.1	1.0	-	-	99	7	-	3	33	-
Mining by <i>Endochironomus</i>	5	-	50	12	25	23	<0.1	1.2	-	-	1.1	5.0	0.1	1.0	0.3	1.8	0.5	5.4	34	-	99	13	181	110
Infection by <i>Pythium</i> "type F"	86	92	77	-	-	-	4.2	11.8	6.1	12.9	1.0	4.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	2879	3153	277	-	-	-
Infection by <i>Colletotrichum nymphaeae</i>	-	-	-	79	53	94	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.7	17.9	6.1	21.7	2.1	8.8	-	-	-	3274	11464	767
Microbial decay	56	86	59	56	72	60	4.9	26.3	9.7	26.1	4.6	80.3	0.4	5.3	2.8	26.8	1.3	64.3	8803	11844	766	182	5634	6314
Unknown causes	65	5	-	19	34	-	7.2	33.3	0.1	1.0	-	-	1.0	26.7	1.6	40.0	-	-	3888	20	-	115	1235	-

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Deleted: spp.