

1 Initial decomposition of floating leaf blades of waterlilies: causes, damage types and **impacts**

Deleted: their

2

3 Peter F. Klok and Gerard van der Velde

4

5 P. F. Klok · G. van der Velde (✉)

6 Department of Animal Ecology and Physiology, Institute for Water and Wetland Research,

7 Radboud University, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

8 e-mail: g.vandervelde@science.ru.nl

9

10 P. F. Klok

11 Department of Particle Physics, Institute for Mathematics, Astrophysics and Particle Physics,

12 Radboud University, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

13

14 G. van der Velde

15 Naturalis Biodiversity Center, Leiden, The Netherlands

16

18 Abstract

19 ~~The~~ initial decomposition of large floating-leaved macrophytes, such as waterlilies, can be studied by
 20 following changes in leaf damage and area loss of leaf blades marked in their natural environment. This
 21 approach was taken in the present study to examine the initial decomposition patterns of floating leaf
 22 blades of *Nuphar lutea* (L.) Sm., *Nymphaea alba* L. and *Nymphaea candida* J. Presl at three freshwater
 23 sites differing in nutrient status, alkalinity and pH. Floating leaf blades of the three plant species were
 24 marked and numbered within established replicate plots and the leaf length, percentages and types of
 25 damage and decay of all marked leaves were recorded weekly during the growing season. We found
 26 autolysis, microbial decay, infection by phytopathogenic fungi (*Colletotrichum nymphaeae*) and
 27 oomycetes (*Pythium* sp.), feeding by pond snails, and mechanical factors to be the most important
 28 causes of leaf damage. Several types of successional patterns reflecting different causes of damage
 29 could be distinguished. Young floating leaves were affected by feeding of more or less specialized
 30 invertebrate species consuming leaf tissue. Later, non-specialized invertebrate species started feeding on
 31 the floating leaves. In the two investigated more alkaline lakes the seasonal patterns of initial
 32 decomposition differed between *Nymphaea* and *Nuphar*.

Deleted: ¶
l

Deleted: (i.e. leaf damage and loss)

Deleted:

Deleted: well: the turnover of floating

Deleted: is low and leaves can persist for a relatively long time

Deleted: l

Deleted: ,

Deleted: were examined

Deleted: and alkalinity

Deleted: each

Comment [mog1]: Please use either marked or tagged consistently throughout the ms.

Deleted: tagged

Deleted:

Deleted: tagged

Deleted: measured and estimated

Deleted: ¶
The most important damage causes

Deleted: in this study were

Deleted: ,

Deleted: damage

Comment [mog2]: Statement correct and your intention?

Comment [mog3]: Or seasonal?

Deleted: forms

Deleted: causes

Deleted: on the leaves during the season

Deleted: offer food for

Deleted: a series of

Deleted: sect

Deleted: area

Deleted: and l

Deleted: the damaged leaves offer food for

Deleted: sect

Deleted: alkaline

Deleted: water

Deleted: the genera

71 Introduction

72 The decomposition of leaf blades of floating-leaved macrophytes commences when the leaves are
 73 still connected to the parent plant. The usual approach to study this process is to place detached or
 74 harvested plant material in litter bags (Brock et al., 1982; Wieder & Lang, 1982; Cotrufo et al.,
 75 2010; Lecerf, 2017; Laanbroek et al., 2018; Taketani et al., 2018). Much less attention has been
 76 paid to the initial decomposition of aquatic macrophytes before detachment. Decomposition in
 77 these natural conditions involves a complex set of interacting processes (Fig. 1), which can be
 78 broadly classified into internal (physiological) and external (abiotic or biotic) processes (Van der
 79 Velde et al., 1982). Often, various stages of decomposition occur on one plant or even on a single
 80 leaf.

81 During initial decomposition macrophyte tissue can be used by herbivores and
 82 phytopathogenic and saprotrophic microorganisms. Before death, the plant tissue senesces during
 83 which further decomposition and fragmentation is initiated by weak pathogens and facultative
 84 herbivores, leading to the production of debris and faecal pellets. The chemical composition of
 85 plant tissue also changes during senescence due to the hydrolysis of macromolecules like DNA
 86 and proteins and the resorption of nutrients like N and P as well as carbon compounds such as
 87 starch. This leads to a loss of tissue structure, sometimes also to a loss of secondary compounds.
 88 Subsequently, leaves are colonized by microorganisms, which makes the tissue more attractive for
 89 detritivorous macroinvertebrates (Rogers & Breen, 1983).

Deleted: ¶
Initial

Deleted: v

Deleted: is damage and loss of tissue

Formatted: Not Highlight

Deleted: It is followed by final decomposition in open air, soil or water. In ecological studies much attention is paid to decomposition processes on and in the soil as they are important for biogeochemical cycles.

Deleted: U

Deleted: ly

Deleted: with detached or harvested plant material were used in these studies

Comment [mog4]: 2-3 references are sufficient here.

Deleted: is

Deleted: A classification of the various causes of initial decomposition makes a distinction into internal and external causes, the internal due to physiological (...)

Deleted: of aquatic macrophyte tissue

Deleted: consists

Deleted: of

Deleted: series

Deleted: and

Deleted: o

Deleted: the

Deleted: process can be found

Deleted: one

Formatted: Indent: First line: 1.27 cm

Deleted: goes through the

Deleted: nce

Deleted: phase

Deleted: by

Deleted: ,

Deleted: , grazers and scrapers occur

Deleted: (bio)

Deleted: due to

Deleted: soluble

Comment [mog5]: What do you mena by this?

Deleted: chemical

Deleted: followed by

Deleted: ation

Deleted: of the tissue

Deleted: ing

Deleted: senescent, microbially enriched

Deleted: e

The phases of initial decomposition can be studied well in the floating leaf blades

Comment [mog6]: Consider deleting this paragraph.

(laminae) of large leaved plants such as waterlilies (Nymphaeaceae). Their turnover is low

(P/B_{\max} 1.35-2.25 yr^{-1}) and the leaves exist for a relatively long time, on average 38-48 days,

Comment [mog7]: correct

which allows weekly recordings to follow the damage and the fate of the leaves (Klok & Van der

Velde, 2017). The study of waterlilies has several other advantages.

Waterlilies occur worldwide (Conard, 1905; Wiersema, 1987; Padgett, 2007) and in many

types of water bodies differing in the physico-chemical conditions of water, sediment or both

(Van der Velde, Custers & De Lyon, 1986). They occupy a fixed position in the plant zonation in

the littoral zone of lakes between emergent and submerged macrophytes. The nymphaeid growth

form combines floating leaves with rooting in the sediment (Luther, 1983; Den Hartog & Van der

Velde, 1988). In addition, waterlilies produce thin underwater leaves and aerial leaves when

crowding occurs at the water surface or water levels are lowered (Glück, 1924; Van der Velde,

1980).

Floating leaf blades of waterlilies develop under water and subsequent unroll at the water

surface, are attacked by microorganisms, phytopathogens and herbivorous animals, both from the

underside and at the surface exposed to air (Lammens & Van der Velde, 1978; Van der Velde *et*

al., 1982; Van der Velde & Van der Heijden, 1985; Martínez & Franceschini, 2018). Young

leaves can already be attacked under water before they unroll; herbivores reduce plant growth in

the long term (Marquis, 1992; Stenberg & Stenberg, 2012).

Deleted: with

Deleted: ent

Deleted: and

Deleted: Furthermore, t

Deleted: have

Deleted: vegetation

Deleted: along

Deleted: water bodies

Deleted: helophytes

Deleted: is characterized by the

Deleted: ation of

Deleted: and

Deleted: , thus the plants will not float away during the study

Deleted: Besides floating leaves

Deleted: also

Deleted: at

Deleted: at lowered

Deleted: Because of their

Deleted: ment

Deleted: ing

Comment [mog8]: this is not a reason

Deleted: floating leaf blades

Deleted: of waterlilies

Deleted: ic

Deleted: fungi

Deleted: such as folivores

Deleted: the surrounding

Deleted: water

Deleted: from

Deleted: .

Deleted: Longterm effects of

Deleted: foli

Deleted: on

Deleted: are reported as negative

Responses of waterlilies to attacks include replacing old leaves by new ones, shifting from floating leaves to underwater leaves (Kouki, 1993), producing hydrophobic epicuticular wax layers (Riederer & Müller, 2006; Aragón *et al.*, 2017) (Fig. 2), spines (Zhang & Yao, 2017), sclereids containing calcium oxalate crystals (Brock & Van der Velde, 1983; Franceschi & Nakata, 2005), tough tissue (Kok *et al.*, 1992; Mueller & Dearing, 1994), and plant secondary metabolites, such as alkaloids (Hutchinson, 1975) and phenolics (Kok *et al.*, 1992; Vergeer & Van der Velde, 1997; Smolders *et al.*, 2000; Martínez & Franceschini, 2018). This means that only specific species are able to attack the fresh plant tissue. These species are more or less specialized and often restricted to particular plant species, genera or families. Other species colonize the leaves at later stages after autolysis, microbial decay and other factors have weakened the defense system (Kok *et al.*, 1992). Damage of leaves can induce the leaching of soluble carbohydrates such as oligosaccharides and starch, proteinaceous and phenolic compounds, some of which can be rapidly metabolized by microorganisms (Brock, Boon & Paffen, 1985). Partially decayed floating leaves sink to the bottom, where they provide a resource fuelling detritus-based benthic food webs and continue being decomposed (Brock, 1985; Van der Velde & Van der Heijden, 1985; Kok & Van der Velde, 1991; Kok *et al.*, 1992; Kok, 1993).

The present study summarizes causes and patterns of initial decomposition of floating leaves of three species of waterlilies in three water bodies differing in pH, alkalinity, nutrient levels and surrounding land use. Data from previous studies were compiled to answer three

Deleted: Defenses

Deleted: against

Deleted: floating

Deleted: with

Deleted: c

Deleted: chemical compounds

Deleted: can break through the defense and can use

Deleted:

Deleted: us

Deleted: y

Deleted: Less specialized

Deleted: have to wait for

Deleted: and

Deleted: or

Deleted: to

Deleted: out

Deleted: es

Deleted: material

Deleted: are

Deleted: at high rates

Deleted: during the initial decomposition

Deleted: Fully

Deleted: f

Deleted: material that

Deleted: makes a significant contribution to the

Deleted: chain

Deleted: by further

Deleted: ition processes

Deleted: It reaches the bottom as debris, decayed leaves, leaf fragments and fecal pellets, which fuel the benthic communities serving as food for detritivores and saprophytes (Kok *et al.*, 1992).

Formatted: Indent: First line: 1.27 cm, No bullets or numbering, Tab stops: Not at 1.27 cm

Deleted: focusses on

Deleted: plots in

Deleted: buffering capacity

Deleted: s

Deleted: was

Deleted: illect

Deleted: the following

251 questions: 1) What are the causes and patterns of initial decomposition of floating leaves? 2)
 252 What is the impact of each cause? 3) How does initial decomposition progress during the
 253 season?

Deleted: research

Deleted: ¶

Deleted: the

Deleted: ¶

Deleted: ¶

255 Materials and Methods

256 Sites

257 Field research took place in dense, nearly mono-specific stands of waterlilies in three different
 258 water bodies located in The Netherlands: Haarsteegse Wiel (HW), Oude Waal (OW) and Voorste
 259 Goorven (VG) (Table 1). Three plots were laid out in stands of *Nuphar lutea* (L.) Sm. (HW and
 260 OW in 1977; VG in 1988), two plots in stands of *Nymphaea alba* L. (OW in 1977; VG in 1988)
 261 and one plot in a stand of *Nymphaea candida* Presl (HW in 1977). The plots were accessed with a
 262 small zodiac, which was navigated by gently paddling. Otherwise no boating or navigation
 263 occurred in the water bodies, which prevented damage of the plants by propellers etc.

Deleted: ¶

Deleted: ¶

Deleted: in 1977 and in 1988

Deleted: where dense, nearly mono-specific waterlily stands occurred

Deleted: ,

Deleted: ,

Deleted: ,

Deleted: ,

Deleted: ,

Deleted: In

Deleted: ne of these

Deleted: water bodies

Deleted: and thus

Deleted: no

Deleted: boat

Deleted: ,

Deleted: , occurred.

Deleted: For the present study we used a small zodiac with paddles to gently reach the plots.

Deleted: The

Formatted: Indent: First line: 1.27 cm

Deleted: (

Deleted: ; 51°43'05" N, 5°11'07" E)

Deleted: breakthrough

Deleted: in the past

Deleted: It

Deleted: s

Comment [mog9]: ok?

Deleted: D

Deleted: the

Deleted: period stratification occurs

Deleted: detritus

Deleted: border

264 Haarsteegse Wiel located in the Province of Noord-Brabant originates from two
 265 connected ponds created by dike bursts along the River Meuse. This is an isolated eutrophic
 266 water body with low alkalinity (Table 1). The water level fluctuates, depending on precipitation,
 267 groundwater seepage and evaporation. Stratification of the water column occurs during summer.
 268 The lake bottom consists of sand and an organic layer with increasing thickness towards the
 269 littoral zone. The waterlily beds are situated in the wind-sheltered part of the lake.

307 Oude Waal in the Province of Gelderland is a highly eutrophic oxbow lake in the
 308 forelands of the River Waal. Depth during the growing season is shallow, except for three
 309 connected breakthrough ponds (Table 1). The water level is dependent on precipitation, upward
 310 groundwater seepage, overflow of the River Waal in winter and/or spring, which strongly
 311 influences water chemistry and quality, as well as evaporation. The bottom consisting of clay and
 312 sand is covered by an organic layer of varying thickness in the nymphaeid beds.

313 Finally, Voorste Goorven in the Province of Noord-Brabant is a shallow, oligotrophic,
 314 isolated, culturally acidified moorland pool, with very low alkalinity, It is surrounded by forests
 315 stocking on poorly buffered sandy soils. The hydrology is mainly dependent on precipitation,
 316 upward groundwater seepage and evaporation.

317

318 Leaf area

319 Potential and actual leaf area were distinguished to quantify leaf area loss. The potential area
 320 refers to the area of the intact leaf. The actual area was defined as the potential area minus the
 321 area that was missing. The potential leaf area was calculated by using a quadratic regression to
 322 relate it to leaf length (Van der Velde & Peelen-Bexkens, 1983; Klok & Van der Velde, 2017).
 323 Specifically, undamaged, fully green floating leaves randomly sampled outside the plots were
 324 taken to the laboratory where both length and area were measured to establish relationships of
 325 the form;

- Deleted: The
- Deleted: (
- Deleted: ; 51°51'13" N, 5°53'35" E)
- Deleted: shallow,
- Deleted: , alkaline
- Deleted: The d
- Deleted: th
- Comment [mog10]: ok?
- Deleted: (
- Deleted:)
- Deleted: nd
- Deleted: s
- Deleted: ,
- Deleted: detritus
- Deleted: The
- Deleted: (
- Deleted: ; 51°33'53" N, 5°12'26" E)
- Deleted: ,
- Deleted: showing
- Deleted: values
- Comment [mog11]: ok?
- Deleted: The lake has a poorly buffered sandy soil and is surrounded by forests.
- Deleted: Characteristics of the investigated water bodies are listed in Table 1. Chemical characteristics were derived from Brock, Boon & Paffen (1985) and Kok, Van der Velde & Landsbergen (1990). ¶
- Deleted: Potential and actual l
- Deleted: ¶
To quantify leaf loss, a distinction was made between p
- Deleted: was defined as
- Deleted: ¶
- Deleted: correlation with the leaf length,
- Deleted: equation
- Comment [mog12]: How many?
- Deleted: Randomly harvested
- Comment [mog13]: Please also present the relationship (e.g. in a Table: the coefficient c, but also N and r2 for the different species and locations).
- Deleted: and
- Deleted: in order to determine equation coefficients. With the aid of these equations the potential areas of floating leaves in the plots were calculated. Mathematically, the equation is described by

$$A(L) = c_i L^2 \quad (1)$$

where:

$A(L)$ = potential leaf area at length L (cm²)

L = leaf length from the leaf tip to a basal lobe tip (cm)

c_i = regression coefficient of species i

i = species (*Nuphar lutea*, *Nymphaea alba*, *Nymphaea candida*)

Study design and data collection

Six representative plots of 1 m² were laid out in the center of mono-specific stands, each

containing one rhizome apex per plot. A non-destructive leaf-marking method was used to mark

all floating leaves individually within the plots (REF). Newly unrolled leaves were marked with

uniquely numbered Rotex tape fixed around the petiole just under the leaf blade. This enabled us

to collect data during the full life-span of the leaves. Each plot was bordered by a square

perforated PVC tube frame, held approximately 15 cm below the water surface by cork floaters

and anchored to the bottom by four bricks. This set-up does not affect the unrolling of floating

leaves in the plots. All leaves having their petioles within the frame were counted and measured.

A leaf was considered present as long as, after partial degradation and fragmentation, tissue of

the lamina was connected to the petiole in the case of OW and HW. In VG a leaf was considered

gone when it was completely brown, dead and submerged, or when it had disappeared.

Deleted: C

Deleted: ed plot data

Comment [mog14]: This design allows you to express your results in a more quantitative fashion means/medians with corresponding SDs/quartiles

Deleted: ¶
To collect data during the growing season,

Deleted: s

Comment [mog15]: Reference and/or briefly explain

Deleted: a

Deleted: ,

Deleted: which

Deleted: data

Deleted: ion

Deleted: complete

Deleted: A

Deleted:

Deleted: In t

Deleted: way

Deleted: was not hindered and a

Deleted: still

Deleted: the

Deleted: and

Deleted: sunk under the water surface

All leaves within the plots were inspected and measured at weekly intervals during the growing season, typically from April until November. Site visits involved marking newly unrolled leaves, counting the number of leaves, measuring leaf length from the leaf tip to one of the basal lobe tips and visually estimating different types of initial decomposition expressed as percentage of the potential leaf area of each leaf. Leaves showing several types of damage were photographed in the field and harvested outside the plots to be studied and photographed in the laboratory.

Deleted: Measurements and observations of a

Deleted: took place

Formatted: Indent: First line: 1.27 cm

Deleted: a

Deleted: in general

Deleted: It

Deleted: included tagging

Deleted: with uniquely numbered Rotex tapes (fixed around the petiole just under the leaf)

Deleted: actual

Deleted: the

Deleted: various

Deleted: types

Comment [mog16]: This doesn't tell readers what you actually did. Please clarify.

Results

Loss of leaf tissue tended to increase during the vegetation season (Table 2). In the more alkaline lakes (OW and HW), leaf area loss by damage of *Nuphar lutea* and *Nymphaea alba* was less than 20% of the total potential leaf area until mid-September, but increased to more than 50% thereafter. Leaf area loss by damage of *Nymphaea candida* (HW) was less than 10% of the potential area in the beginning and increased to almost 20% in September-October. In the acidic moorland pond (VG) leaf area loss was minimal as these leaves did not fragment (Fig. 3).

Deleted: ¶

Deleted: waters

Deleted:

Deleted: in the plot

Deleted: afterwards

Deleted: ,

Deleted: with an

Deleted: water body

Deleted: are

Deleted: ing

Causes and impacts of initial decomposition

The causes of damage classified in the present study were autolysis, frost, hailstones, dehydration, mechanical damage, bird scratches, feeding waterfowl (*Fulica atra* L. and *Gallinula*

Deleted: and their impact

Deleted: ¶

Deleted: damage

Deleted: found

Deleted: is

Deleted:

Deleted: the bird

453	<i>chloropus</i> L., Rallidae), pond snails (<i>Lymnaea</i> sp., Lymnaeidae, Gastropoda), reed beetles	Comment [mog17]: Correct English name?
454	(<i>Donacia crassipes</i> F., Chrysomelidae, Coleoptera), adults and larvae of the water-lily beetle	Deleted: the
455	(<i>Galerucella nymphaeae</i> L., Chrysomelidae, Coleoptera), a weevil (<i>Bagous rotundicollis</i>	Formatted: Font: Italic
456	Bohemann, Curculionidae, Coleoptera), larvae of the aquatic moth brown china mark (<i>Elophila</i>	Deleted:
457	<i>nymphaeata</i> (L.), Lepidoptera), larvae of a dung fly (<i>Hydromyza livens</i> (Fabricius),	Deleted: the
458	Scathophagidae, Diptera), chironomid larvae (Chironomidae, Diptera), including	Deleted: y
459	<i>Endochironomus</i> spp. and <i>Tribelos intextus</i> (Walker), a phytopathogenic fungus (<i>Colletotrichum</i>	Deleted: of
460	<i>nymphaeae</i> (Pass.) Aa) and oomycete (<i>Pythium</i> sp. Jacobs), and microbial decay (Fig. 4, Table	Deleted: larvae of
461	3). In some cases, specific causes could not be identified.	Deleted: i
462	Autolysis . The influence of autolysis reached its maximum towards the end of the growing	Deleted: and unknown causes
463	season. In October the percentage of affected leaves was 100%, however, the surface area	
464	affected by autolysis was generally around 10%. Leaf area affected by autolysis decreased over	Deleted: in
465	time, since microbial decay became predominant on leaf areas affected by autolysis (Fig. 5).	Deleted: took over part of the
466	Frost . Frost in early spring can damage the tips of young leaves sticking out of the water. As a	Deleted: may only
467	result , such leaves can lose up to one third of their area (Fig. 6). However, the effect on the total	Deleted: by which
468	leaf surface area was less than 5%.	Deleted: T
469	Hailstones . Occasional hailstone showers damage the floating leaves by penetrating the leaf and	Deleted:
470	leaving typical Y-shaped scars (Fig. 7). However, leaf area damage by hail was minimal overall .	Deleted:
		Deleted: through
		Deleted: making
		Deleted: L
		Deleted: area due to

492 **Dehydration.** ~~High winds~~, often lift ~~floating leaves above~~ the water surface and ~~may flip them~~
493 over. Subsequently, those leaves are exposed to air, ~~particularly~~ the leaf margins, leading to leaf
494 desiccation (Fig. 8). Overall, the effect of desiccation stress on leaf surface area was generally
495 less than 5%.

Deleted: Due to hard...igh winds,...floating leaves

496 **Mechanical damage.** This ~~type of~~ damage is caused by wind and wave action ~~resulting in~~ cracks
497 in the leaf ~~tissue~~, or ~~lost leaves~~ ~~when the~~ petiole ~~breaks~~ (Fig. 8). For *Nuphar lutea* at HW,
498 *Nymphaea alba* at OW and *Nymphaea candida* at HW, the percentage of leaves ~~affected over~~ the
499 whole vegetation period ranged ~~from~~ 60-80%. *Nuphar lutea* at OW showed peaks of 90% in
500 spring, 70% in autumn and 10% in summer. ~~In contrast,~~ *Nuphar lutea* at VG and *Nymphaea alba*
501 at VG showed no mechanical damage.

Deleted:and consists of...n cracks in the leaf

Comment [mog19]: These can no longer be evaluated. How did you del with that?

Deleted: by ...hen breaking of ...he petiole breaks

502 **Bird scratches.** ~~Scratches are often~~ caused by the ~~claws~~ of birds, mostly ~~coots~~ (*Fulica atra*) ~~but~~
503 also ~~the common mporhen~~ (*Gallinula chloropus*), as they walk or run over the leaves (Fig. 9). In
504 general, the scratches are straight and affect only the epidermis of the leaf, but angle-shaped cuts
505 due to ~~claws~~ penetrating the leaf tissue also occur. The ~~affected~~ leaf surface ~~area~~ was low,
506 generally below 5%, ~~although a~~ high percentage of leaves ~~was affected~~, sometimes up to 100%
507 for all plots at HW and OW. ~~In contrast,~~ the plots at VG showed no scratches.

Deleted: S...cratches. Damage by s...cratches is

508 **Consumption by coots.** ~~C~~onsumption of leaf tissue by ~~coots~~ can be recognized by missing parts
509 in the form of triangular areas at the edge of ~~leaves~~. Sometimes ~~major parts~~ of ~~leaves are~~
510 consumed. Generally, prints ~~of the~~ beak are visible around the consumed areas (Fig. 10).

Deleted: *Fulica atra* (Rallidae)...oots. Damage by

559 Nevertheless, the overall effect on total leaf surface area was minimal. The plots at VG showed no
 560 damage by coot consumption.

561 Consumption by pond snails. A major cause of damage on fresh leaf tissue is caused mainly by
 562 *Lymnaea stagnalis* L. to a lesser extent also by other lymnaeids. Pond snails consume folded
 563 leaves still under water. Rows of holes can then be seen in the unrolled floating leaves, large near
 564 the edge and smaller towards the center of the leaf (Fig. 11). In general, lymnaeid snails show a
 565 preference for decaying leaf material, such as areas infected by fungi. Damage by these snails
 566 was generally an important cause of damage during the whole period for both *Nuphar lutea* and

567 *Nymphaea alba*, contributing 20-40% to the total leaf area loss.

568 Consumption by reed beetles. Both *Nuphar* and *Nymphaea* spp. are host plants of the reed
 569 beetle *Donacia crassipes*. The adult beetles live on the upper side of floating leaves where they
 570 feed on leaf tissue (upper epidermis, parenchyma and lower epidermis). The leaf areas removed
 571 as a results of tissue consumption by reed beetles are round to oval. Eggs are deposited in two or
 572 three rows on the leaf underside. To this end, the beetle gnaws a round or oval hole in the leaf.
 573 then sticks its abdomen through the hole to reach the leaf underside and oviposit (Fig. 12). The
 574 percentage of leaf area damaged by reed beetles was minimal.

575 Consumption by water lily beetles. The waterlily beetle (*Galerucella nymphaeae*) completes its
 576 full life cycle on the upper surface of floating leaves. Both adult beetles and larvae feed on the
 577 upper epidermis and palisade and sponge parenchyma. The larvae, which can be considered half

Deleted: T

Deleted: total

Deleted: *Fulica atra*

Deleted: (Lymnaeidae)

Deleted: D

Deleted: ves

Deleted: and

Deleted: ow

Deleted:

Deleted: .

Deleted: and the r

Deleted: becoming

Deleted: have

Deleted: e.g.

Deleted: that were

Deleted:

Comment [mog20]: Or do these percentages refer to the total area initially. Then: 20-40% loss of the total leaf area.

Deleted: with a

Deleted: o

Deleted: of

Deleted: *Donacia crassipes* (Chrysomelidae)

Deleted: spp.

Comment [mog21]: Ok?

Deleted: floating leaf

Deleted: till the under

Deleted: lost

Deleted: by

Deleted: of leaves

Deleted: :

Deleted: to

Deleted:

Deleted: by which it can

Deleted: the

Deleted: for oviposition at

Deleted: floating

Deleted: damaged

Deleted: by

Deleted: *Galerucella nymphaeae* (Chrysomelidae)

Deleted: W

Deleted: B

Deleted: surface of floating leaves by grazing

miners, create irregular trenches on the surface, leaving the lower epidermis of the leaf intact and depositing their faeces in the trenches. The resultin pattern of leaf tissue damage is easily recognized. The adult beetles consume smaller areas (Fig. 13). Damage was only found in *Nymphaea alba* at VG, where leaves started to be affected in mid-June, rising to damage levels of 30-40% between August and October and reaching a sharp peak of 60% in mid-October.

Consumption by weevils. The adults of *Bagous rotundicollis* scrape off areas of leaf tissue (ca. 1 cm diameter) from the underside of floating leaves near the margin. Only the lower epidermis and sponge parenchyma is consumed, whereas the palisade parenchyma and upper epidermis remain intact (Fig. 14). Damage by weevils was found only in *Nymphaea alba* at VG, with up to 30% of these leaves being affected.

Consumption and damage by the brown china mark. The caterpillar of the aquatic moth *Elophila nymphaeata* damages floating leaves in two ways, by leaf tissue consumption, and by cutting out oval leaf patches that they can attach to the underside of a floating leaf to make a shelter. They can also spin two patches together to construct a floating shelter (Fig. 15). The effect of these activities on leaf surface area was low, at most 5%. *Nymphaea candida* at HW, *Nuphar lutea* at VG and *Nymphaea alba* at VG were not damaged by the moth.

Mining by a dung fly. Larvae of the dung fly *Hydromyza livens* only occurred in *Nuphar* leaves, where they mine and consume leaf tissue. Eggs are laid at the underside of the leaves. For that purpose the fly goes underwater, following the dichotomous veins on the underside of the leaves

Deleted: under ...lower epidermis of the leaf

Deleted: *Bagous rotundicollis*

Deleted: ...scrapes...off areas with a diameter ...f

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Deleted: D...amage and consumption

Formatted: Font: Bold

Deleted: *Elophila nymphaeata* (Crambidae)... Th

Deleted: *Hydromyza livens* (Scatophagidae)... Th

696 till it reaches the midrib to lay an egg. The newly hatched larvae immediately start to mine the
 697 leaf tissue. The mine track has a characteristic shape as the larvae first move from the midrib
 698 towards the margins of the leaf, then turn to continue mining in parallel to the leaf margin, then
 699 turn again towards the midrib and mine further into the petiole where they pupate. This creates a
 700 breaking point where the leaf blade can detach and float away (Fig. 16). Overall, the effect of
 701 dung flies mining the leaves was less than 8%.

Deleted: of the leaf...to,...where it ...ays...an egg (...)

702 **Mining by chironomids.** Larvae of some Chironomidae mine the leaf tissue and dig or eat their
 703 way through the leaf tissue. Typical damage on *Nuphar* leaves is caused by larvae of *Tribelos*

Deleted: C...ironomidsae... Larvae of some (...)

704 *intextus*. These larvae mine leaves still folded underwater, resulting in rows of small holes that
 705 become visible when the floating leaves unroll at the water surface (Fig. 17). Other miners

Deleted: the ...eaves when they are ...till folded an (...)

706 observed at the study sites are larvae of *Cricotopus trifasciatus* (Meigen in Panzer, 1813) (Fig.

Comment [mog23]: Year never given above...

707 18), which is a half miner. The species was observed to cause some damage at the leaf margins of

Comment [mog24]: What is that

708 *Nuphar lutea* in the neighbourhood of *Nymphoides peltata* (Gmel.) O. Kuntze in OW. Overall,

Deleted: It

Moved (insertion) [1]

709 however, the impact of these chironomid species on floating leaves was minimal.

Deleted: T...e total ...mpact of these chironomid (...)

710 **Mining by Endochironomus spp.** Larvae of these midges mine in floating leaves. The mines of

Deleted: (Chironomidae)... The l...arvae of these (...)

711 could clearly be distinguished from those of other Chironomidae described above, since they

712 appear on the upper side of the floating leaves, as straight dark stripes (Fig. 19). The total effect

713 on the decomposition of floating leaves was minimal.

766 **Infection by phytopathogens.** The leaves of *Nuphar lutea* were infected by the oomycete
 767 *Pythium* “type F” (Fig. 20) and the leaves of *Nymphaea alba* and *Nymphaea candida* by the

Deleted: P
 Deleted: ic fungi

768 fungus *Colletotrichum nymphaeae*, the causative agent of leaf spot disease (Fig. 21). The
 769 percentage of damaged surface area was about 15% for *Pythium* and up to 55% for
 770 *Colletotrichum*.

Deleted: leaf spot disease
 Deleted: (Pass.) van der Aa
 Deleted: for the
 Deleted: around
 Deleted: “type F”
 Deleted: *nymphaeae*

771 **Microbial decay.** The resistance of a leaf against microbial infection disappears quickly due to
 772 autolysis, facilitating microbial decay (Fig. 5). The effect on the affected surface area ranged
 773 from 15 to 25%, with an exceptional level of damage reaching 60% in *Nymphaea candida* at HW
 774 at the very end of the growing season.

Comment [mog25]: How is this recognized. What are the criteria? How is this distinguished from fungal/oomycete attack?
 Deleted: allowing
 Deleted: normal

775 **Unknown causes.** Missing leaves or parts thereof can result from animal consumption or
 776 damage; however, in many cases, the cause could not be determined. Leaves disconnected from
 777 their petioles were scattered by wind and wave action and could not be traced back to their parent
 778 plant. Damage occasionally rose to 60% for *Nuphar lutea* at HW, *Nymphaea alba* at OW and
 779 *Nymphaea candida* at HW; however, this type of damage was hardly found for *Nuphar lutea* at
 780 OW and VG and *Nymphaea alba* at VG.

Comment [mog26]: I don't understand: Initially it's zero and then it gradually increases. Can you clarify?
 Deleted: -
 Deleted: peak
 Deleted: of
 Deleted: (parts of)
 Deleted:
 Deleted: be caused by
 Comment [mog27]: But also for other reasons, as you describe above, especially mechanical losses, including through wind and wave action. Please clarify.
 Deleted:
 Deleted: by
 Deleted: aquatic animals,
 Deleted: occasions
 Deleted: real
 Deleted: of lacking leaf parts
 Deleted: followed
 Deleted: anymore
 Deleted: went up
 Deleted: ,

782 Discussion

783 **Senescence and autolysis.** Newly unrolled leaf blades of waterlilies are fully green and
 784 hydrophobic due to a thick epicuticular wax layer. This waxy layer gradually erodes during

Deleted: ¶
 Deleted: The n
 Deleted: floating
 Deleted: v
 Deleted: n
 Deleted: During senescence t

senescence and as cellulolytic and other bacteria and fungi colonize the leaf tissue (Howard-Williams *et al.*, 1978; Robb *et al.*, 1979; Rogers & Breen, 1981; Barnabas, 1992). Autolysis starts shortly after the first leaves are fully grown and continues throughout the growth period. During autolysis the leaves turn from green to yellow, and subsequently to brown. Concomitant microbial decay softens the leaves. The list of causes identified in the present study (Table 3) clearly indicates that autolysis followed by microbial decay had the greatest impact on the initial decomposition of floating leaves. Leaves developed during 53 to 73 % of the vegetation period (Klok & Van der Velde, 2017) of 135 to 199 days (Table 2).

Infection by phytopathogens and microbial decay. In *Nuphar* both microbial decay and infection by the phytopathogenic oomycete *Pythium* sp. "type F" were important from the start of the season. In *Nymphaea*, infection by the phytopathogenic fungus *Colletotrichum nymphaeae* also started early and increased in importance towards the end of the season. In general, microbial decay and phytopathogenic infection gradually increased in importance, whereas most other causes of damage diminished over time.

Weather conditions. Minor causes of leaf impairment occurring once during spring were frost damage of the first newly unrolled leaves and hailstones, which hardly affected leaf area loss but contributed to leaf fragmentation. Moreover, high solar radiation and air temperature dehydrated leaves that had been flipped over, with the impact being high in HW and OW but not in the wind sheltered VG. Furthermore, prolonged cloudy and wet weather imposes stress on waterlilies by

Deleted: by ...s cellulolytic and other colonization

Comment [mog28]: Senescence?!

Deleted: whole floating leaf vegetation...rowth

Comment [mog29]: I would call this senescence, which is an orderly physiological process,

Deleted: becoming soft by ...oncomitant microbial

Comment [mog30]: The statement doesn't fit here. Please move to an appropriate place in Results, possibly at least at the beginning of this paragraph.

Deleted: P...ytopathogenic fungi...and microbial

Comment [mog31]: Leaf area loss?

Formatted: Strikethrough

Formatted

Deleted: ...pring when the first leaves unroll at the

Comment [mog32]: This seems not to be the right word. Can you clarify/reword?

Deleted: of the leaves... Moreover, hH...gh solar

900 weaken~~ing~~ the defense of leaves due to reduced ~~solar radiation~~, and ~~thus promoting~~ heavy
 901 infection and ~~damage~~ by phytopathogens (Van der Aa, 1978). ~~One mechanism is that poor light~~
 902 ~~conditions~~ reduce the ~~content of~~ phenolics with fungistatic properties ~~in the leaf tissue~~ (Vergeer
 903 & Van der Velde, 1997), ~~which~~ turns mature leaves vulnerable to infection.

904 **Damage by animals.** Causes of damage by insects ~~were~~ similar for *Nymphaea* and *Nuphar* with
 905 the exception of *Hydromyza livens* and *Tribelos intextus*, which ~~appear~~ to be specific for *Nuphar*
 906 (Brock & Van der Velde, 1983; Van der Velde & Hiddink, 1987). Some species such as *Bagous*
 907 *rotundicollis* (Van der Velde et al., 1989) and *Donacia crassipes* (Gaevskaya, 1969) ~~exclusively~~
 908 feed on Nymphaeaceae. Other species such as *Galerucella nymphaeae* and *Elophila nymphaeae*,
 909 feed on ~~floating-leaved~~ macrophytes ~~but~~ also consume ~~emergent macrophytes~~ (Gaevskaya 1969;
 910 Lammens & Van der Velde, 1978; Pappers et al., 2001). *Cricotopus trifasciatus* causes damage
 911 on leaves of *Nymphoides peltata* (Lammens & Van der Velde, 1978) ~~and~~ was also observed to
 912 damage *Nuphar lutea* leaves (Van der Velde & Hiddink, 1987).

913 In VG, damage was mainly caused by phytophagous insects ~~consuming~~ floating leaf tissue,
 914 ~~particularly~~ herbivorous beetles, fly larvae, and mining chironomid larvae. Leaf ~~fragmentation~~
 915 was hardly observed in the acidic VG, which was also the ~~site~~ most sheltered against wind and
 916 wave action by a surrounding forest. This ~~allowed~~ ~~the waterlily beetle~~ *Galerucella nymphaeae* to
 917 cause ~~extensive~~ damage. ~~Herbivory by this species removes the lower~~ epidermis of their tracks,
 918 which makes the leaf ~~tissue~~ vulnerable to ~~subsequent~~ microbial attack (Wesenberg-Lund, 1943;

Deleted: ing...the defenses...of waterlily ...eaves

Deleted: a...e similar for *Nymphaea* and *Nuphar*

Formatted: Font: Italic

Deleted: ... which seems ...ppear to be specific for

Moved up [1]: (Gmel.) O. Kuntze

Deleted: cause ...amage on

Deleted: which ...onsume...ng floating leaf tissue,

Comment [mog33]: Again, what exactly do you mean by fragmentation? See also comment above.

Formatted: Highlight

Deleted: water body...(...G.)

Comment [mog34]: Why?

Comment [mog35]: Recast correct?

Deleted: become an important ...ause of ...xtensive

Deleted: ves

Roweck, 1988). Although leaf area loss is mainly caused by fungi and bacteria, the process is initiated by the beetle (Wallace & O'Hop, 1985). The damaged areas characterized by regular margins made by adult *Galerucella nymphaeae* are distinct from those made by adult *Donacia crassipes* where the margins of damaged areas are rather irregular (Roweck, 1988). *Galerucella nymphaeae* was absent in the two water bodies which are frequently exposed to strong wind. In winter the adults of this beetle hide in remains of dead emergent macrophytes, under the bark of trees or in ground litter and reappear in spring when the floating leaves begin to develop. In acidic water, leaves were consumed by specialized insect species causing only minor leaf area loss.

Comment [mog36]: Or what do you mean by disappearance. And, can a reference be cited to support the first part of this statement?

Deleted: disappearance

Deleted: ...he process is initiated by the beetle

Comment [mog37]: Please add reference. And ow does this sentence connect to the preceding one?

Deleted: starts... In acidic water, the consumption

Comment [mog38]: Your own observation?

Consumption by snails was only found in the more alkaline lakes, since they require calcium to build their shells. Snails at those sites prefer consuming decaying, microbially colonized parts of the leaves (Kok, 1993).

Deleted: plots... since they are absent under acid

Nymphaea candida (HW) showed an increase in scratches by bird claws, towards the end of June, which may have been due to young coots. High densities of waterfowl at HW and OW are facilitated by the surrounding meadows where birds graze during winter.

Deleted: nail ...cratches of ...yC...ird

Deleted: Low pH of the water caused a low rate of

Comment [mog39]: Is the mechanism known? Please clarify.

Formatted: Not Superscript/ Subscript

Formatted: Not Superscript/ Subscript

Deleted: ...high Al concentrations of the water, as

Comment [mog40]: Please add a reference that reports evidence supporting these points.

Comment [mog41]: By what?

Comment [mog42]: See comments above

Deleted:

Deleted: and leads to...increased...storage

Comment [mog43]: What about microbial colonization?

Deleted:Thus ...resulting, the plant tissue

pH and alkalinity. Decomposition of leaves was slowed at the acidic site (VG) because of low alkalinity and high Al concentrations of the water, as well low pH and high phenolic contents of the plant tissue. The inhibition of cell wall degradation slows fragmentation, increases concentrations of phenolics, and reduces softening of the leaf tissue, resulting, in a low-quality

1062 food resource for detritivores, which are also inhibited by high Al concentrations and low pH
1063 (Kok, 1993).

1064 Harvested green leaf blades of *Nymphaea alba* placed in litter bags in the field and
1065 laboratory and showed lower leaf area loss under acidic conditions in a moorland pool (VG) than
1066 in a eutrophic, more alkaline oxbow lake (OW), and results in laboratory conditions mimicking
1067 differences in water chemistry were similar (Brock, Boon & Paffen (1985). Depending on water
1068 chemistry, mass loss was pronounced and organic matter chemical composition changed rapidly
1069 during the first 10-30 days, followed by an accumulation of structural plant polymers such as
1070 cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin. The disappearance of those fractions was dependent on the
1071 water quality of the water body (Brock, Boon & Paffen, 1985).

1072 The decomposition pattern of *Nuphar lutea* was similar in the two more alkaline waters,
1073 and differed from the patterns of *Nymphaea alba* and *N. candida*. In the acidic VG, the effect of
1074 leaf damage on leaf area loss was minimal for both *Nuphar lutea* and *Nymphaea alba* (Fig. 2).

1076 **Conclusions**

1077 Overall patterns of initial decomposition of floating waterlily leaves were influenced by plant
1078 species, water chemistry and local conditions such as the extent of wind exposure. Fresh floating
1079 leaves offer food for a suite of more or less specialized insects, consuming leaf tissue under
1080 water, on the upper surface or by mining in the tissue. Coots also consum w floating leaves.

Comment [mog44]: Correct?

Deleted: Brock, Boon & Paffen (1985) used ...h

Deleted: laminae ...eaf blades of the waterlily

Comment [mog45]: This si NT a carbohydrate.

Deleted: from the cell wall... The disappearance of

Deleted: ...uphar lutea was similar in the two more

Comment [mog46]: The concluding section is not needed. It simply reiterates statements made above.

Deleted: ¶

1130 Subsequently, the damaged leaves offer food for non-specialized insects, pond snails, fungi,

1131 oomycetes and microbes. Tissue loss as a consequence of microbial decay is particularly

1132 prominent.

1133 Floating-leaved macrophyte stands in wind-sheltered locations were colonized by partially

1134 different insect species and this led to different impacts than in wind-exposed plots, where

1135 mechanical damage by wind and wave action was significant. Impacts on floating leaves in acidic

1136 and more alkaline waters were also different.

1137 Of the causes of initial decomposition found in th studies summarized here, only a few have

1138 significant impact on leaf damage and leaf loss. Identified causes with high impact were

1139 autolysis, microbial decay, infection by phytopathogens, consumption by pond snails and

1140 mechanical damage.

1141 Several types of succession of damage could be distinguished. Erosion of the wax layer and

1142 damage by phytophagous insects were followed by cellulolytic bacteria, a fungus and oomycete,

1143 followed by snails and mechanical damage, followed by biotic causes or decay or autolysis,

1144 followed by phytopathogens, or microbial decay, followed by tissue removal by snails. In the

1145 more alkaline waters, this was followed by leaves breaking up, or, in acidic water, by intact dead

1146 leaves sinking to the bottom,

1148 Acknowledgements

Deleted: already

Deleted: ¶

Deleted: A

Deleted:

Deleted: ,

Deleted: tissue removal

Deleted: very

Deleted: W

Deleted: plots

Deleted: showed

Deleted: some

Deleted: with

Deleted: and no

Deleted: compared to

Deleted: wind-exposed plots

Deleted: ¶
Floating leaves showed different i

Deleted: f

Deleted: damage causes

Deleted: VG (*Nuphar lutea* and *Nymphaea alba*) was acid in contrast to HW (*Nuphar lutea* and *Nymphaea candida*) and OW (*Nuphar lutea* and *Nymphaea alba*).

Deleted: of floating leaves that have been

Deleted: H

Deleted: causes a

Deleted:

Deleted: ic fungi

Deleted: forms

Deleted: or

Deleted: i

Comment [mog47]: What is meant.

Deleted: or abiotic

Deleted: ic

Deleted: fungal

Deleted: normal

Deleted: T

Deleted: breaking up of

Deleted: in the case of alkaline water

Deleted: wards

Deleted: of intact dead leaves in the case of acid water

1190 We thank M. Ankersmid, R. Kwak, R. de Mooij, H. Peeters, F. Verhoeven, V. Vintges and C.J.
1191 Kok for collecting field data, W. Lemmens for help with data modelling, W.J. Metzger for
1192 English language corrections and Manuela Abelho and one anonymous reviewer for constructive
1193 ~~comments that improved~~ the manuscript.

Deleted: ¶

Comment [mog48]: What is that?

Deleted: remarks

Deleted: leading to the

Deleted: ment

Deleted: of

1195 **References**

1196

1197 Aragón W, Reina-Pinto JJ, Serrano M. 2017. The intimate talk between plants and
1198 microorganisms at the leaf surface. Journal of Experimental Botany 68(19): 5339-5350.

1199

1200 Barnabas AD. 1992. Bacteria on and within leaf blade epidermal cells of the seagrass
1201 *Thalassodendron ciliatum* (Forsk.) Den Hartog. Aquatic Botany 43: 257-266.

1202

1203 Brock TCM, Huijbregts CAM, Van de Steeg-Huberts, Vlassak MA. 1982. In situ studies on the
1204 breakdown of *Nymphoides peltata* (Gmel.) O. Kuntze (Menyanthaceae); some methodological
1205 aspects of the litter bag technique. Hydrobiological Bulletin 16(1): 35-49.

1206

1212 Brock TCM, Van der Velde G. 1983. An autecological study on *Hydromyza livens* (Fabricius)
1213 (Diptera, Scatomyzidae), a fly associated with nymphaeid vegetation dominated by *Nuphar*.
1214 Tijdschrift voor Entomologie 126(3): 59-90.
1215
1216 Brock TCM. 1985. Ecological studies on nymphaeid water plants. Thesis. Catholic University
1217 Nijmegen, 205 pp.
1218
1219 Brock TCM, Boon JJ, Paffen BGP. 1985. The effects of the season and water chemistry on the
1220 decomposition of *Nymphaea alba* L. – weight loss and pyrolysis mass spectrometry of the particulate
1221 organic matter. Aquatic Botany 22 (3-4): 197-229.
1222
1223 Conard HS. 1905. *The Waterlilies. A monograph of the genus Nymphaea*. The Carnegie Institute
1224 of Washington, 279 pp.
1225
1226 Cotrufo MF, Ngao J, Marzaioli F, Piermatteo D. Inter-comparison of methods for quantifying
1227 above-ground leaf litter decomposition rates. Plant and Soil 334 (1-2): 365-376.
1228

1229 Den Hartog C, Van der Velde G. 1988. Structural aspects of aquatic plant communities. In:
1230 Symoens JJ, ed. *Vegetation of inland waters*. Handbook of vegetation science 15: 113-153.
1231 Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.
1232
1233 Franceschi VR, Nakata PA. 2005. Calcium oxalate in plants: Formation and function. Annual
1234 Review in Plant Biology 56: 41-47.
1235
1236 Gaevskaya NS. 1969. The role of higher aquatic plants in the nutrition of the animals of fresh-
1237 water basins. Volume I, II and III. Published by National Lending Library for Science and
1238 Technology, Boston Spa, Yorkshire, England. 629 pp.
1239
1240 Glück H. 1924. *Biologische und morphologische Untersuchungen über Wasser- und*
1241 *Sumpfgewächse. Vierter Teil: Untergetauchte und Schwimmblattflora*. Verlag von Gustav
1242 Fischer, Jena, 746 pp.
1243
1244 Howard-Williams C, Davies BR, Cross RHM. 1978. The influence of periphyton on the surface
1245 structure of a *Potamogeton pectinatus* L. leaf (an hypothesis). Aquatic Botany 5: 87-91.
1246

1247 Hutchinson GE. 1975. A treatise on limnology Vol. III-Limnological Botany. New York: John
1248 Wiley & Sons, 660 pp.
1249
1250 Jacobs RPWM. 1982. Pythiaceus fungi associated with the decomposition of *Nymphoides*
1251 *peltata*. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek-Journal of Microbiology 48: 433-445.
1252
1253 Klok PF, Van der Velde G. 2017. Plant traits and environment: floating leaf blade production and
1254 turnover of waterlilies. PeerJ 5:e3212; DOI 10.7717/peerj.3212.
1255
1256 Kok CJ, Van der Velde G, Landsbergen KM. 1990. Production, nutrient dynamics and initial
1257 decomposition of floating leaves of *Nymphaea alba* L., and *Nuphar lutea* (L.) Sm.
1258 (Nymphaeaceae) in alkaline and acid waters. Biogeochemistry 11: 235-250.
1259
1260 Kok CJ, Van der Velde G. 1991. The influence of selected water quality parameters on the decay
1261 and exoenzymatic activity of detritus of floating leaf blades of *Nymphaea alba* L. in laboratory
1262 experiments. Oecologia 88: 311-316.
1263

1264 Kok CJ, Hof CHJ, Lenssen JPM, Van der Velde G. 1992. The influence of pH on concentrations
1265 of protein and phenolics and resource quality of decomposing floating leaf material of *Nymphaea*
1266 *alba* L. (Nymphaeaceae) for the detritivore *Asellus aquaticus* (L.). *Oecologia* 91: 229-234.
1267
1268 Kok CJ. 1993. Decomposition of floating leaves of *Nymphaea alba* L. under alkaline and acid
1269 conditions. Thesis Nijmegen. Ponsen & Looijen, Wageningen, 121 pp.
1270
1271 Kouki J 1993. Herbivory modifies the production of different leaf types in the yellow water-lily,
1272 *Nuphar lutea* (Nymphaeaceae). *Functional Ecology* 7: 21-26.
1273
1274 Laanbroek HJ, Zhang QF, Leite M, Verhoeven JTA, Whigham DF. 2018. Effects of *Rhizophora*
1275 mangrove leaf litter and seedlings on carbon and nitrogen cycling in salt marshes – potential
1276 consequences of climate-induced mangrove migration. *Plant and Soil* 426 (1-2): 383-400.
1277
1278 Lammens EHRR, Van der Velde G. 1978. Observations on the decomposition of *Nymphoides*
1279 *peltata* (Gmel.) O. Kuntze (Menyanthaceae) with special regard to the leaves. *Aquatic Botany* 4:
1280 331-346.
1281

1282 Lecerf A. 2017. Methods for estimating the effect of litterbag mesh size on decomposition.
1283 Ecological Modelling 362: 65-68.

1284

1285 Luther H. 1983. On life forms, and above-ground and underground biomass of aquatic
1286 macrophytes. Acta Botanica Fennica 123: 1-23.

1287

1288 Marquis RJ. 1992. Selective impact of herbivores. Chapter 13: In: Fritz RS, Simms EL, eds. *Plant*
1289 *resistance to herbivores and pathogens. Ecology, evolution, and genetics*. The University of
1290 Chicago Press, Chicago and London, 301-325.

1291

1292 Martínez FS, Franceschini C. 2018. Invertebrate herbivory on floating-leaf macrophytes at the
1293 northeast of Argentina: should the damage be taken into account in estimations of plant biomass?
1294 Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências 90(1): 12 pp. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/0001-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/0001-3765201820170415)
1295 [3765201820170415](http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/0001-3765201820170415).

1296

1297 Mueller UG, Dearing MD. 1994. Predation and avoidance of tough leaves by aquatic larvae of the
1298 moth *Paraponyx rugosalis* (Lepidoptera, Pyralidae). Ecological Entomology 19(2): 155-158.

1299

1300 Osborne DJ. 1963. Hormonal control of plant death. Discovery 24: 31-35.

1301

1302 Padgett DJ. 2007. A monograph of *Nuphar* (Nymphaeaceae). *Rhodora* 109 (937): 1–95.

1303

1304 Pappers SM, Van Dommelen H, Van der Velde G, Ouborg NJ. 2001. Differences in morphology

1305 and reproduction traits of *Galerucella nymphaeae* from four host plant species. *Entomologia*

1306 *Experimentalis et Applicata* 99: 183-191.

1307

1308 Riederer M, Müller C (eds). 2006. *Biology of the plant cuticle*. Annual Plant Reviews, Vol. 23.

1309 Blackwell, Oxford, 438 pp.

1310

1311 Robb F, Davies BR, Cross R, Kenyon C, Howard-Williams C. 1979. Cellulolytic bacteria as

1312 primary colonizers of *Potamogeton pectinatus* L. (Sago pond weed) from a brackish south-

1313 temperate coastal lake. *Microbial Ecology* 5: 167-177.

1314

1315 Rogers KH, Breen CM. 1981. Effects of periphyton on *Potamogeton pectinatus* L. leaves.

1316 *Microbial Ecology* 7: 351-361.

1317

1318 Rogers KH, Breen CM. 1983. An investigation of macrophyte epiphyte and grazer interactions.
1319 In: Wetzel, R.G. (ed.). Periphyton of freshwater ecosystems. Developments in Hydrobiology 17:
1320 217-226.
1321
1322 Roweck H. 1988. Ökologische Untersuchungen an Teichrosen. Archiv für Hydrobiologie
1323 Monographische Beiträge Supplementband 81 (2/3): 103-358. Stuttgart: E. Schweizerbart'sche
1324 Verlagbuchhandlung (Nägele u. Obermiller).
1325
1326 Smolders AJP, Vergeer LHT, Van der Velde G, Roelofs JGM. 2000. Phenolic contents of
1327 submerged, emergent and floating leaves of (semi-) aquatic macrophyte species. Why do they
1328 differ? Oikos 91: 307-310.
1329
1330 Stenberg JA, Stenberg JE. 2012. Herbivory limits the yellow water lily in an overgrown lake and
1331 in flowing water. Hydrobiologia 691: 81-88.
1332

1333 Taketani R, Moitinho MA, Mauchline TH, Melo IS. 2018. Co-occurrence patterns of litter
1334 decomposing communities in mangroves indicate a robust community resistant to disturbances.
1335 PeerJ 6: article number e5710.

1336

1337 Van der Aa HA. 1978. A leaf spot disease of *Nymphaea alba* in the Netherlands. Netherlands
1338 Journal of Plant Pathology 84: 109-115.

1339

1340 Van der Velde G. 1980. Studies in nymphaeid-dominated systems with special emphasis on
1341 those dominated by *Nymphoides peltata* (Gmel.) O. Kuntze (Menyanthaceae). Thesis. Catholic
1342 University Nijmegen, 163 pp.

1343

1344 Van der Velde G, Van der Heijden LA, Van Grunsven PAJ, Bexkens PMM. 1982. Initial
1345 decomposition of *Nymphoides peltata* (Gmel.) O. Kuntze (Menyanthaceae), as studied by the
1346 leaf-marking method. Hydrobiological Bulletin 16 (1):51-60.

1347

1348 Van der Velde G., Peelen-Bexkens PMM. 1983. Production and biomass of floating leaves of
1349 three species of Nymphaeaceae in two Dutch waters. Proceedings International Symposium on
1350 Aquatic Macrophytes, Nijmegen, 18-23 September, 1983, 230-235.

1351

1352 Van der Velde G, Van der Heijden LA. 1985. Initial decomposition of floating leaves of
1353 *Nymphoides peltata* (Gmel.) O. Kuntze (Menyanthaceae) in relation to their age, with special
1354 attention to the role of herbivores. Verhandlungen der Internationalen Vereinigung für
1355 theoretische und angewandte Limnologie 22: 2937-2941.

1356

1357 Van der Velde G, C.P.C. Custers & M.J.H. de Lyon 1986. The distribution of four nymphaeid species in
1358 the Netherlands in relation to selected abiotic factors. Proceedings European Weed Research
1359 Society/Association of Applied Biologists 7th Symposium on Aquatic Weeds, 1986 (Loughborough):
1360 363-368.

1361

1362 Van der Velde G, Hiddink R. 1987. Chironomidae mining in *Nuphar lutea* (L.) Sm.
1363 (Nymphaeaceae). Entomologica Scandinavica Supplement No. 29: 255-264.

1364

1365 Van der Velde G., Kok CJ, Van Vorstenbosch HJWT. 1989. *Bagous rotundicollis*, new for The
1366 Netherlands, feeding on waterlily leaves (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). Entomologische Berichten,
1367 Amsterdam 49: 57-60.

1368

1369 Vergeer LHT, Van der Velde G. 1997. The phenolic content of daylight-exposed and shaded
1370 floating leaves of water lilies (Nymphaeaceae) in relation to infection by fungi. *Oecologia* 112:
1371 481-484.

1372

1373 Wallace JB, O’Hop, J. 1985. Life on a fast pad – Waterlily leaf beetle impact on water lilies.
1374 *Ecology* 66 (5): 1534-1544

1375

1376 Wesenberg-Lund C. 1943. *Biologie der Süßwasserinsekten*. Gyldendalske Boghandel. Nordisk
1377 Forlag, Kopenhagen und Verlag J. Springer, Berlin. Wien, 682 pp.

1378

1379 Wieder RK, Lang GE. 1982. A critique of the analytical methods used in examining
1380 decomposition data obtained from litter bags. *Ecology* 63 (6): 1636-1642.

1381 |

1382 Wiersema JH. 1987. A monograph of *Nymphaea* subgenus *Hydrocallis* (Nymphaeaceae).
1383 Systematic Botanical Monographs 16: 1-112.

1384

1385 Zhang G, Yao R. 2018. The spinescent aquatic plants in the Yangtze Delta, East China. Israel
1386 Journal of Plant Science 65 (1-2): 9-16. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07929978.2017.1279440>.

Deleted: ¶

1388
1389
1390

Table 1. Characteristics of the three study sites in The Netherlands to investigate the initial decomposition of floating-leaved macrophyte leaves.

Characteristic	Haarsteegse Wiel (HW)	Oude Waal (OW)	Voorste Goorven (VG)
Type of water body	Breakthrough lake	Oxbow lake with three breakthrough ponds	Moorland pool
Location	51°43'05" N, 5°11'07" E	51°51'13" N, 5°53'35" E	51°33'53" N, 5°12'26" E
Area (ha)	18	25	5
Maximum depth	17 m	1.5 m and 6-7 m	2 m
Water level fluctuations	Low	High in winter and spring	Low
Stratification	In summer, thermocline at 4-6 m	No	No
Hydrology	Precipitation, evaporation, groundwater seepage	Precipitation, evaporation, upward groundwater seepage, river overflow	Precipitation, evaporation, upward groundwater seepage
Surrounding vegetation	Trees, shrubs, reeds	Grassland	Forest
Wind and wave action	Low	Moderate	Moderate
Bottom	Sand, organic (sapropel)	Sand, clay, organic (sapropel)	Sand, organic (sapropel)
Trophic state	Eutrophic	Highly eutrophic	Oligotrophic
Alkalinity (mmol L ⁻¹)*	1.5	4.3-6.7	0.01-0.07
pH*	7.1-8.5	6.7-8.3	4.7-5.5
Sampling year	1977	1977	1988
Macrophyte species	Nuphar lutea, (1.5 m)	Nuphar lutea, (1.5 m)	Nuphar lutea, (2 m)
(water depth of plot)	Nymphaea candida, (2.5 m)	Nymphaea alba, (1.5 m)	Nymphaea alba, (2 m)

*From Brock, Boon & Paffen (1985) and Kok, Van der Velde & Landsbergen (1990).

1391
1392
1393
1394

Formatted Table

Comment [mog49]: Better "pond," I suppose?

Formatted

Formatted

Deleted: (m)

Deleted: Breakthrough lake

Deleted: Oxbow lake

Formatted

Deleted: with...nd 6-7 mthree breakthrough ponds

Deleted: Moorland pool 2 m

Deleted: (...inter and spring)

Deleted: Yes (

Formatted

Deleted: ¶

Deleted: /...vaporation, ¶

Deleted: /...vaporation, ¶

Deleted: /...vaporation¶

Formatted

Comment [mog50]: Also upward?

Comment [mog51]: Groundwater ok in all cases?

Deleted: ¶

Deleted: Direct environment

Deleted: bushes

Deleted: Meadows

Formatted

Formatted

Deleted: /

Formatted

Deleted: ium

Formatted

Deleted: /...clay, /

Formatted

Deleted: ium

Formatted

Deleted: /

Formatted

Deleted: ium

Deleted: us

Comment [mog52]: Correct?

Deleted: Chemical characteristics:¶

Formatted

Deleted: ¶

Formatted

Deleted: ¶

Deleted: ¶

Deleted: ¶

Deleted: ¶

Formatted

Formatted

Deleted: S...pecies,...

Deleted:

Deleted: ,

1495 | Table 2. Summary characteristics of floating-leaved macrophyte stand in three small water bodies of
1496 | The Netherlands.
1497

<u>Site</u>	Species	Year	Vegetation period		Growth period		Total number	Total potential
			<u>Time span</u>	<u>Days</u>	<u>Time span</u>	<u>Days</u>	<u>of leaves.(m²)</u>	<u>leaf area (cm²)</u>
<u>HW</u>	<i>Nuphar lutea</i>	1977	May 10 – Nov 24	199	May 10 – Sep 13	127	77	49674
<u>OW</u>	<i>Nuphar lutea</i>	1977	May 11 – Nov 1	175	May 11 – Sep 7	120	59	39898
<u>VG</u>	<i>Nuphar lutea</i>	1988	Apr 28 – Oct 27	183	Apr 28 – Sep 8	134	22	8440
<u>HW</u>	<i>Nymphaea candida</i>	1977	Jun 7 – Oct 19	135	Jun 7 – Aug 16	71	43	11185
<u>OW</u>	<i>Nymphaea alba</i>	1977	May 11 – Nov 6	180	May 11 – Sep 7	120	108	53035
<u>VG</u>	<i>Nymphaea alba</i>	1988	Apr 28 – Oct 27	183	Apr 28 – Sep 8	134	80	23053

Deleted: of
Deleted: t
Deleted: d
Deleted: t
Deleted: d

1498
1499
1500
1501