1	Initial decomposition of floating leaf blades of waterlilies: causes, damage types and their
2	impact
3	
4	Peter F. Klok and Gerard van der Velde
5	
6	P. F. Klok · G. van der Velde (⊠)
7	Department of Animal Ecology and Physiology, Institute for Water and Wetland Research,
8	Radboud University, Nijmegen, The Netherlands
9	e-mail: g.vandervelde@science.ru.nl
10	
11	P. F. Klok
12	Department of Particle Physics, Institute for Mathematics, Astrophysics and Particle Physics,
13	Radboud University, Nijmegen, The Netherlands
14	
15	G. van der Velde
16	Naturalis Biodiversity Center, Leiden, The Netherlands
17	

### Abstract

18

19

36

20 Initial decomposition (i.e. leaf damage and loss) of large floating leaved macrophytes, such as 21 waterlilies, can be studied well: the turnover of floating leaf blades is low and leaves can persist for a 22 relatively long time. In the present study, the initial decomposition patterns of floating leaf blades of 23 Nuphar lutea (L.) Sm., Nymphaea alba L. and Nymphaea candida Presl, were examined at three freshwater sites differing in water quality, such as nutrient status, pH and alkalinity. Floating leaf blades 24 25 of each species were tagged and numbered within established replicate plots and the leaf length, 26 percentages and types of damage to-and decay of leaves were measured and estimated weekly 27 throughout the growing season. 28 The mMost important damage causes found in this study with respect to Only a few damage 29 causes had a significant impact on leaf damage and leaf loss were autolysis, phytopathogenic 30 fungi (Colletotrichum nymphaeae, Pythium sp.), pond snails and mechanical damage, although 31 other sources were also registered. The floating leaves offer food for a series of specialized 32 insects consuming leaf area from below the water surface, from the upper surface or by mining 33 the leaf tissue. Several forms of succession of damage could be distinguished on the leaves and 34 during the season. In alkaline waters the seasonal patterns of initial decomposition differed 35 between Nymphaea and Nuphar.

### Introduction

47

54

37

38

39 Plant leaves are, already during their development, exposed to abiotic factors, such as weather conditions, -as well as biotic factors, such as infection by fungi and viruses, herbivores, and other animals, that cause initial damage to using these leaves in various ways). This exposure is wellknown for crops and ornamental plants as it causes economic damage. Initial decomposition, when the leaves are still connected to the plant, precedes the breakdown cycleprocess of leaf material entering the decomposition eyele later occurring oin the soil, in open air or in water. In ecological studies much attention is paid to the latter process because 46 these soil processes are important for the biogeochemical cycles. However, with the exception of agriculture, horticulture and forestry for which phytopathology is a main discipline, much less 48 attention is paid to the first process, in particular for aquatic macrophytes. 49 Initial decomposition of floating leaves of aquatic macrophytes such as waterlilies includes both 50 leaf damage and loss (Lammens & Van der Velde, 1978 ;Van der Velde et al., 1982; Van der 51 Velde & Van der Heijden, 1985), occurring even before a leaf unrolls at the water surface. A 52 classification of the various causes of initial decomposition of floating leaves (was proposed 53 earlier (Van der Velde et al., 1982) separates . Herein a primary division is madethem in internal

3

and external causes, the internal due to physiological factors (autolysis), the external due to either

56 starvation as a result of stress factors under internal factors to this classification. 57 Decomposition of aquatic macrophyte tissue consists of a complex series of interacting processes 58 (Kok, 1993; Fig. 1), and -Ooften various stages of the decomposition process can be found on 59 one plant or even on one leaf. During initial decomposition macrophyte tissue can be used by 60 herbivores, and phytopathogenic and saprotrophic microorganisms. Before the plant material dies 61 away, the plant tissue goes through the senescence phase d. During senescence which further 62 decomposition and fragmentation by weak pathogens, facultative herbivores, grazers and scrapers 63 occur, leading to the production of debris and fecal pellets. The (bio)chemical composition of plant tissue also changes during senescence due to hydrolysis of macromolecules like DNA and 64 65 proteins and due to resorption of soluble nutrients like N and P. This leads to a loss of tissue structure, sometimes to a loss of secondary chemical compounds and to the colonization of the 66 67 tissue by microorganisms, making senescent, microbially enriched tissue more attractive for 68 facultative detritivoreous macroinvertebrates (Rogers & Breen, 1983).

abiotic or biotic factors. Roweck (1988) added water level fluctuations as abiotic factor and mass

55

69

70

71

72

73

**Commented [MA1]:** Not only to facultative... I would say that microbial enriched tissue is more attractive for all detritivore invertebrates

These phases of initial decomposition can be studied well in the floating leaf blades (laminae) of

large leaved plants such as waterlilies in which the turnover of floating leaf blades, further

indicated in this paper as floating leaves or leaves, is low (P/B<sub>max</sub> 1.35-2.25) and the leaves exist

for a relatively long time, on average 38-48 days (Klok & Van der Velde, 2017). The study of

waterlilies has several other advantages. Waterlilies occur worldwide (Conard, 1905; Wiersema,

74 1987; Padgett, 2007) in many types of water bodies with different physicophysic-chemical 75 conditions of water and sediment (Van der Velde, Custers & De Lyon, 1986). Furthermore, they 76 have a fixed position in the vegetation zonation along water bodies between helophytes and 77 submerged macrophytes. The nymphaeid growth form is characterized by the combination of 78 possessing floating leaves and rooting in the sediment (Luther, 1983; Den Hartog & Van der 79 Velde, 1988) and thus. Thes the plants will not float away as other fthe free floating-leaved plants 80 which are free floating. Besides floating leaves, waterlilies also produce thin underwater leaves 81 and at crowding at the water surface and at lowered water level aerial leaves (Glück, 1924; Van 82 der Velde, 1980). 83 When developed, tThe leaves have defense mechanisms against damage and decay which slow 84 down decomposition processes. Because of their development under water and subsequent 85 occurrence unrolling atom the water surface, floating leaf blades of waterlilies are attacked by 86 microorganisms, phytopathogenic fungi and herbivorous animals such as folivores, both from the 87 surrounding water below and from the air above (Lammens & Van der Velde, 1978; Van der 88 Velde et al., 1982; Van der Velde & Van der Heijden, 1985; Martínez & Franceschini, 2018). 89 Young leaves can already be attacked under water before they unroll. Longterm effects of 90 folivores on plant growth are reported as negative (Marquis, 1992) by reducing leaf density 91 (Stenberg & Stenberg, 2012). Defenses of waterlily leaves against attacks include replacing old 92 floating leaves by new ones, shifting from floating leaves to underwater leaves (Kouki, 1993),

93 hydrophobic epicuticular wax layers (Riederer & Müller, 2006; Aragón et al., 2017), spines 94 (Zhang & Yao, 2017), sclereids with calcium oxalate crystals (Brock & Van der Velde, 1983; 95 Franceschi & Nakata, 2005), tough tissue (Mueller & Dearing, 1994; Kok et al., 1992), and plant 96 secondary metabolic chemical compounds such as alkaloids (Hutchinson, 1975) and phenolics ( 97 Kok et al., 1992; Vergeer & Van der Velde, 1997; Smolders et al., 2000; Martínez & 98 Franceschini, 2018). This means that only specific species can break through the defense and can 99 use the fresh plant tissue, while other species have to wait for autolysis and decay or other factors 100 to weakening the defense system (Kok et al., 1992). In the first case the attacking species are 101 more or less specialized and often restricted to plant species, genus or family. Damage of leaves 102 can eause a leach out of soluble carbohydrates such as oligosaccharides and starches, 103 proteinaceous material and phenolic compounds which are metabolized at high rates by 104 microorganisms during the initial decomposition (Brock, Boon & Paffen, 1985). 105 Fully decayed floating leaf material that sinks to the bottom makes a significant contribution to 106 the detritus food chain by further decomposition processes (-Brock, 1985; Van der Velde & Van 107 der Heijden, 1985; Kok & Van der Velde, 1991; Kok, 1993). They reach the bottom as debris, 108 decayed leaves, leaf fragments and fecal pellets which fuel the benthic communities serving as 109 food for detritivores and saprophytes (Kok et al., 1992). 110 Brock, Boon & Paffen (1985) used harvested laminae of the waterlily Nymphaea alba in litter 111 bags situated on the bottom in the field and in the laboratory and showed that weight loss during decomposition was low under acid conditions in a moorland pool (Voorste Goorven) and fast in an eutrophic alkaline oxbow lake (Oude Waal) with similar results under laboratory conditions mimicking a comparable water quality as in the field. During the first 10-30 days a pronounced weight loss and a rapid change in organic matter composition was observed, after that period changes are—were small and an accumulation of structural carbohydrates such as cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin from the cell wall fraction could be observed. The disappearance of that fraction was dependent on the water quality of the water body (Brock, Boon & Paffen, 1985).

The present study focusses on patterns and causes of initial decomposition of floating leaves of three species of waterlilies in plots in three water bodies differing in pH, buffering capacity, nutrient levels and surroundings. Data was collected to answer the following research questions:

• What are the causes and the patterns of initial decomposition of floating leaves?

• What is the effect of each cause on initial decomposition?

• How does decomposition progress during the season?

**Materials and Methods** 

128 Sites

130 Field research took place in 1977 and in 1988 in three different water bodies in The Netherlands: 131 Haarsteegse Wiel (HW), Oude Waal (OW) and Voorste Goorven (VG) where. In these water 132 bodies, dense, nearly mono-specific waterlily stands occurred. Three plots were laid out in stands 133 of Nuphar lutea (HW and OW, 1977; VG, 1988), two plots in stands of Nymphaea alba (OW, 134 1977; VG, 1988) and one plot in a stand of Nymphaea candida (HW, 1977). 135 The Haarsteegse Wiel (Province of Noord-Brabant; 51°43'05" N, 5°11'07" E) originates from two 136 connected breakthrough ponds created by dike bursts along the river Meuse in the past. It is an 137 isolated eutrophic water body with low alkalinity. The water level depends on precipitation, 138 seepage and evaporation. During the summer period stratification occurs. The bottom consists of 139 sand and a detritus layer with increasing thickness towards the littoral border. The waterlily beds 140 are situated in the wind-sheltered part of the lake. 141 The Oude Waal (Province of Gelderland; 51<sup>0</sup>51<sup>1</sup>13" N, 5<sup>0</sup>53<sup>1</sup>35" E) is a shallow highly eutrophic, 142 alkaline oxbow lake in the forelands of the river Waal. The depth during the growth season is 143 shallow, except for three connected breakthrough ponds. The water level is dependent on 144 precipitation, upward seepage, overflow of the River Waal in winter and/or spring (which 145 strongly influences water chemistry and quality), and evaporation. The bottom consists of clay 146 and sand, covered by a detritus layer of varying thickness in the nymphaeid beds. 147 The Voorste Goorven (Province of Noord-Brabant; 51°33'53" N, 5°12'26" E) is a shallow,

oligotrophic, isolated, culturally acidified moorland pool, showing very low alkalinity values. The

hydrology is mainly dependent on precipitation, upward seepage and evaporation. The lake has a poorly buffered sandy soil and is surrounded by forests.

Characteristics of the investigated water bodies are listed in Table 1. Chemical characteristics were derived from Brock, Boon & Paffen (1985) and Kok, Van der Velde & Landsbergen (1990).

In none of these water bodies boating or navigation occurred, and thus which is important to mention as in that case floating leaves can also be no damaged by boat propellers, etc., occurred.

For the present study we used a small zodiac with peddles to gently reach the plots.

## Potential and actual leaf area

area was defined as the area of the intact leaf. The actual area was defined as the potential area minus the area that was missing.

The potential leaf area was calculated by correlation with the leaf length, using a quadratic regression equation (Van der Velde & Peelen-Bexkens, 1983; Klok & Van der Velde, 2017).

Randomly harvested undamaged, fully green leaves sampled outside the plots were taken to the laboratory and both length and area using a planimeter were measured in order to determine equation coefficients between leaf length and area. With the aid of these equations the potential

To quantify leaf loss, a distinction was made between potential and actual leaf area. The potential

areas of floating leaves in the plots were calculated. Mathematically, the equation is described

168 by:

$$A(L) = c_i L^2 \tag{1}$$

170 where:

171 
$$A(L)$$
 = potential leaf area at length  $L$  (cm<sup>2</sup>)

172 
$$L$$
 = leaf length from the leaf tip to a basal lobe tip (cm)

$$c_i = correlation coefficient of species i$$

i = species (Nuphar lutea, Nymphaea alba, Nymphaea candida)

## Collected plot data

To collect data on initial decomposition during the growing season, six representative plots of 1 m<sup>2</sup> were laid out in the center of mono-specific stands, surveying one rhizome apex per plot. A non-destructive leaf-marking method was used to mark all floating leaves within a plot, which enabled data collection during the complete life-span of the leaves. A square perforated PVC tube frame, held approximately 15 cm below the water surface by cork floaters and anchored to the bottom by four bricks, bordered a plot. In this way the unrolling of floating leaves in the plot was not hindered and all leaves having their petioles within the frame were counted and measured. A leaf was considered still present as long as, after fragmentation, tissue of the lamina

Commented [MA2]: Surrounding?

186 was connected to the petiole in the case of OW and HW. In VG the leaf was considered gone when it was completely decayed and sunk under the water surface or when it disappeared. 188 Measurements and observations of all leaves within a plot took place weekly during the growing 189 season, in general from April until November. It included tagging newly unrolled leaves with 190 uniquely numbered Rotex tapes (fixed around the petiole just under the leaf), counting the actual 191 number of leaves, measuring leaf length in mm (from the leaf-tip to a-the basal lobe tip) and 192 visually estimating the different types of initial decomposition as percentage of the potential leaf 193 area of each leaf. During the whole growing season, undamaged leaves were harvested at 194 random a few meters outside the plots at each location to measure length (mm) and area (em²) to eventually determine the coefficients of equation (1). 195

197 Results

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

196

187

species in the plots are presented in Table 2. Data on damage to leaves are presented per damage cause for all plots in Table 3. Initial decomposition tendeds to increase in time during the vegetation season with the exception of the acid Voorste Goorven (with Nuphar lutea and Nymphaea alba). In the alkaline waters (Oude Waal and Haarsteegse Wiel) leaf damage for of Nuphar lutea was less than 20% related to

Vegetation period, total number of leaves produced and total potential area of leaves of the

the total potential leaf area in the plot until half September, but afterwards increased to more than 50%. For Leaf damage of Nymphaea alba and Nymphaea candida it—was less than 10% with an increase to almost 20% in October (Fig. 2 and Fig. 3).

Vegetation period, total number of leaves produced and total potential area of leaves of the species in the plots are presented in Table 2. Data on damage to leaves are presented per damage cause for all plots in Table 3.

Leaf loss by external causes per plot in time is given in Fig. 2 and percent contributions to leaf damage by external causes per plot per cause in time are given in Fig. 3.

## Causes of initial decomposition and their impact

The damage causes found in this study were autolysis, frost, hail stones, dehydration, mechanical damage, scratches, the bird *Fulica atra*, pond snails, the beetle *Donacia crassipes*, imagines and larvae of the beetle *Galerucella nymphaeae*, the beetle *Bagous rotundicollis*, larvae of the moth *Elophila nymphaeata*, larvae of the fly *Hydromyza livens*, larvae of Chironomidae, larvae of *Endochironomus* speespp., phytopathogenic fungi, microbial decay and unknown causes. **Autolysis**. The newly unrolled floating leaves are green and hydrophobic by due to an epicuticular wax layer (Fig. 4). During senescence this wax layer erodes by colonization of bacteria and fungi. In this stage the leaf tissue can be attacked by cellulolytic bacteria (Howard-

224	Williams et al., 1978; Robb et al., 1979; Rogers & Breen, 1981; Barnabas, 1992). Autolysis starts	
225	shortly after the first leaves are fully grown and continues through the whole floating leaf	
226	vegetation period. The leaf turns from green to yellow, which leads at the end of the existence of	
227	the floating leaf to total microbial decay, the leaf turning brown. Autolysis is controlled by the	 Commented [MA3]: This sentence must be improved
228	plant itself by hormones (e.g. Osborne, 1963). As expected, tThe influence of autolysis reached	
229	its maximum towards the end of the growing season. In October the percentage of affected leaves	
230	rose twaso 100%, however, the surface area affected by autolysis was quite stable and generally	
231	around 10%. For separate leaves the area affected by autolysis may decreased in time, since	 Commented [MA4]: Detached?!
232	microbial decay will taketook over part of the area (Fig. 5).	
233	Frost. Frost in early spring may damage the tips of young leaves sticking out of the water. Frost	
234	does did not occur frequently, but when it did, because of frost individual leaves may lose lost up	
235	to one third of their area (Fig. 6). The effect on surface area was less than 5%.	 Commented [MA5]: ?! It is quite difficult to understand wh really happened , because this sentence is quite different from the
236	Hail stones. Occasional hail stone showers damage the floating leaves by penetrating through	previous one. Was frost damage on average less than 5% but it up to one third in some cases?
237	the leaf and making typical Y-shaped scars on the leaves (Fig. 7). Leaf damage area due to hail	 Commented [MA6]: Could you distinguish in the figure the marks of hail and of snails? Maybe using arrows?
238	was minimal.	
239	Dehydration. Due to hard wind floating leaves are lifted from the water, flip over and	
240	subsequently the air exposed parts + in particular the leaf margin. dry out (Fig. 8). The effect on	
241	leaf surface area was generally less than 5%.	

Mechanical damage. This damage is caused by wind and wave action, and consists of cracks in the leaves or lost leaves by breaking of the petiole (Fig. 8). The percentage of affected leaves was quite high during the whole data taking period for plots (Nuphar lutea at, HW), (Nymphaea alba, at\_OW) and (Nymphaea candida; at\_HW), ranging about\_60-80%. Plot\_(Nuphar lutea\_at, OW) showed peaks of 90% in spring and 70% in autumn with a dip of 10% in summer. Plots (Nuphar lutea, at VG) and (Nymphaea alba, at VG) showed no mechanical damage at all for this cause. Scratches. Damage by scratches is caused by the fingernails of birds, mostly Coot (Fulica atra L.) and also Moorhen (Gallinula chloropus L.), as they are walking or running over the leaves (Fig. 9). In general the scratches are straight and effect only the epidermis of the leaf, but angleshaped cuts due to nails penetrating the leaf tissue also occur (Lammens & Van der Velde, 1978). The impact on leaf surface was low, generally below 5%, despite the high percentage of affected leaves, sometimes up to 100% for plots (Nuphar lutea, at HW and OW), (Nuphar lutea, OW), (Nymphaea alba, at OW) and (Nymphaea candida at, HW). Plots (Nuphar lutea, VG) and (Nymphaea alba; at VG) showed no damage at allby scratches. Consumption by Fulica atra L. (Rallidae). Damage by consumption of leaf tissue by the Coot (Fulica atra) can be recognized by omissions missing parts in the form of triangular areas at the edge of a leaf. Sometimes a major part of the leaf has been consumed. Generally prints from the

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Commented [MA7]: ?!

beak are visible around the consumed areas (Fig. 10). The total effect on leaf surface area was

minimal:, while plots (Nuphar lutea, VG) and (Nymphaea alba, at VG) showed no damage at allby Fulica atra consumption.

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

Formatted: Font: Italic

Consumption by pond snails (Lymnaeidae). Damage on fresh leaves is caused mainly by Lymnaea stagnalis L. and to a lower extent by other lymnaeids. Since snails grow best and become larger by eating soft fresh leaf material. Pond snails consume fFolded leaves still under water are the victim of consumption, and the which can be seen from rows of holes can be seen in the unrolled floating leaves, large near the edge and becoming smaller towards the center of the leaf (Fig. 11). In general snails have a preference for decaying leaf material, e.g. consuming areas that were infected by fungi. Van der Aa (1978) noticed small holes in the center of many spots and suggested that an arthropod has been active. Possibly he observed the result of grazing by snails on the spots. Damage by snails was generally is an important cause of damage during the whole period of data for both Nuphar lutea and Nymphaea alba, with a contribution of 20-40%. Consumption by Donacia crassipes F. (Chrysomelidae). Both Nuphar spp. and Nymphaea spp. are hHost plants of the beetle Donacia crassipes are waterlilies (Nuphar spp. and Nymphaea spp.). The imagines live on the floating leaf upper side where they feed on leaf tissue (upper epidermis, parenchym till the under epidermis). The lost areas by consumption are round to oval. Around tThese damaged areas are starting points for later decay starts after some time. Eggs are deposited in two rows on the underside of leaves: For that purpose the beetle gnaws a round to oval hole in the leaf by which it can stick the abdomen for oviposition at the floating leaf underside (Fig. 12). Hatched larvae sink to the bottom and feed on roots. After a-three-years lifeeyele, they overwinter as pupae in cocoons attached to roots (Bienkowski, 1996)). The percentage of damaged leaf area is was minimal. Consumption by Galerucella nymphaeae L. (Chrysomelidae). The Waterlily Beetle (Galerucella nymphaeae) completes its full life cycle on the upper surface of floating leaves. In winter the adults hide in remains of dead helophytes, under the bark of trees or in ground litter. Simultaneous with the development of floating leaves the beetles appear. Eggs are attached in clusters to the upper surface of floating leaves. Hatching of eggs is followed by three larval stages and pupation, taking 15-29 days. Both imagines and larvae feed on the upper surface of floating leaves by grazing epidermis and palisade and sponge parenchyma. The larvae, which can be considered halfminers, create irregular trenches on the surface leaving the under epidermis of the leaf intact. In the trench they deposit their feces which leads to decay. The under epidermis decays and disappears, which makes the leaves vulnerable to fungal and microbial attacks (Wesenberg-Lund, 1943; Roweck, 1988). So leaf disappearance is finally caused by fungi and bacteria, but the process is initiated by the beetle (Wallace & O'Hop, 1985). The pattern of damage to the leaves is easily recognized, (Fig. 13). Imagines consume smaller areas in contrast to the larvae. (Fig. 13). These damaged areas with regular margins made by Galerucella nymphaeae imagines can be distinguished from those made by Donacia crassipes of which the margins are more ragged (Roweck, 1988). Damage was only found in plot (Nymphaea alba at;

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

298 VG.) only with affected leaves starting half June going up to 30-40% in August until October 299 and a sharp peak of 60% half in mid October. 300 Consumption by Bagous rotundicollis Bohemann (Curculionidae). The beetle Bagous 301 rotundicollis feeds on waterlily leaves (Van der Velde et al., 1989). The adult scrapes off areas 302 with a diameter of ca. one cm from the underside of the floating leaf near its margin in which way 303 the lower epidermis and sponge parenchyma are consumed, leaving the palisade parenchyma and 304 upper epidermis intact (Fig. 14). Damage was found only in plot (Nymphaea alba at ,-VG) only 305 with up to 30% affected leaves. 306 Damage and consumption by Elophila nymphaeata (L.) (Crambidae). The caterpillar of the 307 moth Elophila nymphaeata damages the leaf in two ways. The larva consumes leaf tissue and 308 cuts out oval patches from the floating leaf. It can attach a patch to the underside of a floating leaf 309 to make a shelter below the leaf or it spins two patches together to make a floating shelter (Fig. 310 15). Life cycle and behavior of E. nymphaeata are described by Reichholf (1970). The effect on 311 leaf surface was low, at most 5%;, while leaves in plots (Nymphaea candida at; HW), (Nuphar 312 lutea and , VG) and (Nymphaea alba at, VG) showed no damage. 313 Mining by Hydromyza livens (Fabricius) (Scatophagidae). The larvae of the fly Hydromyza 314 livens only occurs in Nuphar leaves. The autecology of this fly species is extensively described in 315 (Brock & Van der Velde, (1983), where they . The larvae of Hydromyza livens mine and consume 316 in the leaf tissue which they consume. The eggs of this fly are laid at the underside of the leaves. For that purpose the fly goes via the margin under water and follows the dichotomous nerves till it reaches the midrib of the leaf, where it lays an egg. From the egg the larva immediately starts to mine in the leaf tissue. The mine track shows a very characteristic shape as the larvae first mine towards the margins of the leaf, then bend and mine parallel to the leaf margin, bend again towards the midrib and mine further into the petiole where they pupate (Fig. 16). Since they also mine the petiole, they create a weak breaking point where the leaf can break off and float away. The total effect on decomposition of floating leaves was less than 8%. With translucent light it appeared that the real damage was higher due to leakage, etc. Mining by Chironomidae. Larvae of some Chironomidae mine in the leaf tissue and dig/eat their way through the leaf tissue. Typical damage on Nuphar leaves is caused by larvae of Tribelos intextus. The larvae mines the leaves when they are still folded and below the water surface and thus damage the rolled leaf. So when the floating leaves unroll at the water surface rows of small holes become visible (Van der Velde & Hiddink, 1987) (Fig. 17). Other miners observed are larvae of Cricotopus trifasciatus (Meigen in Panzer, 1813) (Fig. 18), which is a half miner intensively damaging the floating leaves of Nymphoides peltata (Gmel.) O. Kuntze (Lammens & Van der Velde, 1978). It wais observed to cause some damage at the leaf margins on floating leaves of Nuphar lutea in the neighbourhood of Nymphoides in OW (Van der Velde &

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

Hiddink, 1987). The total effect on the decomposition of floating leaves was minimal.

Mining by Endochironomus speespp. (Chironomidae). The larvae of these midges mine in
floating leaves. In 1977 there may have been two not so well separated generations. The mines of
Endochironomus sp. could clearly be distinguished from those of other Chironomidae (previous
cause), since the mines are visible on the floating leaf upper side as straight dark stripes (Roweck,
1988) (Fig. 19). The total effect on the decomposition of floating leaves was minimal.
Phytopathogenic Fungi. The leaves of Nuphar lutea were infected by Pythium "type F"
(Jacobs, 1982) (Fig. 20) and the leaves of Nymphaea alba and Nymphaea candida by the leaf spot
disease Colletotrichum nymphaeae (Van der Aa, 1978) (Fig. 21). The percentage of damage for
the surface area was around 15% for Pythium "type F" and up to 55% for Colletotrichum
nymphaeae.
Microbial decay. Due to autolysis the The resistance of a leaf against microbial infection
<b>Microbial decay</b> . Due to autolysis the The resistance of a leaf against microbial infection disappears quickly due to autolysis, which gives rise tallowing o normal microbial decay (Fig. 5).
disappears quickly <u>due to autolysis</u> , <del>which gives rise tallowingo</del> normal microbial decay (Fig. 5).
disappears quickly <u>due to autolysis</u> , <del>which gives rise tallowingo</del> normal microbial decay (Fig. 5).  The effect on the affected surface area ranged 15-25%, with an exceptional peak of 60% in <del>plot</del>
disappears quickly due to autolysis, which gives rise tallowingo normal microbial decay (Fig. 5).  The effect on the affected surface area ranged 15-25%, with an exceptional peak of 60% in plot (Nymphaea candida at, HW) at the very end of the growing season.
disappears quickly due to autolysis, which gives rise tallowing onormal microbial decay (Fig. 5).  The effect on the affected surface area ranged 15-25%, with an exceptional peak of 60% in plot (Nymphaea candida at, HW) at the very end of the growing season.  Unknown causes. Missing (parts of) leaves can be caused by consumption or damage by aquatic

Nymphaea alba in at OW and Nymphaea candida in at HW, however, this type of damage was

hardly found for for the other plots (Nuphar lutea at , OW and VG), (Nuphar lutea, VG) and (Nymphaea alba at; VG), this type of damage was hardly found.

#### Discussion

354

355

356

357

358

368

369

370

371

372

359 Overall patterns of decomposition of floating leaves in the plots differed for waterlily species, 360 water quality (alkaline vs. acid) and wind exposure (Fig. 3). Leaf fragmentation was hardly 361 observed in the acid water bodyplots. The acid water water body studied (VG) which was also the 362 most sheltered against wind and wave action by a surrounding forest which allowed Galerucella 363 nymphaeae to become an important herbivore. This species was lacking in the other plots in 364 water bodies, which with were often subjected to a strong wind exposure. In the acid water plots 365 no consumption by snails was observed in contrast to the alkaline plots. In acid water consumption of leaves occurred by specialized insect species only causing low leaf loss. 366 367 In the two alkaline waters Nuphar lutea showed a similar seasonal decomposition pattern, that

infections by the phytopathogenic fungi (Pythium speespp.), which both were important for the decomposition from the beginning of the season. In Nymphaea, infection by thee

phytopathogenic fungus (Colletotrichum nymphaeae) started and increased in importance towards

differed from that of Nymphaea alba and N. candida, which showed a pattern that was more

similar to each other. In Nuphar, there was an increase in share of normal microbial decay and

Commented [MA8]: This paragraph should be reorganized in order to better convey the idea you want to transmit. Also, since this section is the discussion, we need a little bit more explanation on the causes for the differences observed. The same observation goes for the following paragraph.

Also, the discussion should be organized in such a way that you start and finish one subject before passing to the next.

the end of the season. In general, microbial <u>decay</u> and phytopathogenic fungal <u>decay-infection</u> increased in <u>relative-importance</u> during the season, while unknown causes diminished just as all other damages causes together. Prolonged dark, cloudy and wet weather conditions by rain and/or shadowing are a stress factor –weakening the defenses of waterlily leaves due to reduced availability of sunlight and stimulate heavy infection and decay by phytopathogenic fungi (Van der Aa, 1978). Shading as a stress factor reduces the phenolic content – with fungistatic properties (Vergeer & Van der Velde, 1997), <u>making-turning</u> the mature leaves vulnerable to infection by fungi as phenolics have fungistatic properties (Vergeer & Van der Velde, 1997).

From the list of causes of initial decomposition and their impact (Table 3) it is clear that autolysis
was the most important for the decomposition of the floating leaves. During the vegetation

growth period the development of new floating leaves and the dying off-of old leaves continueds during a long period. The growing period of leaves comprises 53 to 73 % of the vegetation period (Klok & Van der Velde, 2017).

386 (Klok & Van der Velde, 2017)

<u>NAlso normal</u> microbial decay and unknown causes <u>also hadve a</u> high impact, except for Voorste Goorven, where the floating leaves showed no fragmentation and/or damage for these causes. Minor causes occurring incidentally <u>at</u>-once during the vegetation period in particular in spring when the first leaves unroll at the water surface, were frost that can cause serious leaf loss and

hail stones that hardly have impact with respect to disappeared area, but contribute to further fragmentation of the leaves. Dehydration and mechanical damage are dependent of on wind and wave action. High solar radiation and air temperatures cause the dehydration of the flipped over leaves with a high impact in Haarsteegse Wiel and Oude Waal in contrast with the wind protected Voorste Goorven where the leaves hardly show that type of damage. In the Voorste Goorven damage was mainly caused by specialized consumers of floating leaf tissue in particular herbivorous beetles, fly larvae, mining chironomid larvae (in particular in VG) and the omnivorous Coot. The surrounding biotopes are also important, as meadows are important for Coots to survive winter time by grazing grass in groups in OW and HW. High densities of waterfowl leads to higher damage of the leaves. Nymphaea candida (HW) showed an increase for in nail scratches towards the end of June, which may be the influence of young coots. The difference in leaf damage and leaf loss between acid and alkaline waters was clear (Fig. 2). In the acid VG (with Nuphar lutea and Nymphaea alba) the effect of leaf damage on leaf loss was minimal both for Nuphar lutea and Nymphaea alba. Low pH of the water caused a low rate of decomposition of the leaves by several interacting factors such as low HCO3, high Al concentrations, low pH in the plant tissue, high phenolics stored in the tissue due to inhibition of as cell wall degradation is inhibited. Al and low pH cause also a lower number of detritivores leading to low feeding and low leaf fragmentation. Inhibition of cell wall degradation leads to

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

low fragmentation and prevents softening of microbially enriched plant tissue which means that

also by high phenolics stored in the tissue the plant tissue has a low resource quality for detritivores (Kok, 1993). Snails are absent under acid conditions because due to theof lack of calcium for their shells. Snails prefer to consume decaying, microbially enriched parts of leaves under high pH and alkaline conditions (Kok, 1993).

## Conclusions

mechanical damage by wind and wave action.

The floating leaves offer food for a series of specialized insects, consuming leaf area from below the water surface—as well as from the upper surface or mining in the tissue, and for birds (Rallidae), which swim around in the neighborhood consuming leaf parts and walk on the leaves scratching the upper surface. Of the causes of initial decomposition of floating leaves that have been found, only a few have significant impact on leaf damage and leaf loss. High impact causes are autolysis, infection by phytopathogenic fungi, consumption by pond snails, mechanical damage and unknown causes. As a consequence of microbial decay, tissue removal is very prominent in some cases.

Other aspects of influence are abiotic conditions and physice—chemical characteristics of the water bodies. Wind-sheltered plots showed different insects species with different impact and no

429 Floating leaves in acid and alkaline water also showed different impact of damage causes. 430 Typically, this was the case for the acid Voorste Goorven (Nuphar lutea and Nymphaea alba), 431 which is sheltered against wind action by trees, in contrast to Haarsteegse Wiel (Nuphar lutea and 432 Nymphaea candida) and Oude Waal (Nuphar lutea and Nymphaea alba). 433 Several forms of succession of damage could be distinguished. Erosion of the wax layer and 434 damage by phytophagous insects were followed by cellulolytic bacteria or fungi, followed by 435 snails or abiotical damage, followed by biotic causes or decay or autolysis, followed by 436 phytopathogenic fungal or normal microbial decay, followed by tissue removal by snails. This 437 was followed by breaking up of leaves in the case of alkaline water or sinking towards the bottom 438 of intact decayed leaves in the case of acid water.

# Acknowledgements

439

440

441

446

447

We thank M. Ankersmid, R. Kwak, R. de Mooij, H. Peeters, F. Verhoeven, V. Vintges and C.J.

Kok for collecting field data, W. Lemmens for help with data modeling, W.J. Metzger for English
language corrections and Manuela Abelho and one anonymous reviewer for constructive remarks
leading to the improvement of the manuscript.

# References

448		
449	Aragón W, Reina-Pinto JJ, Serrano M, 2017. The intimate talk between plants and	
450	microorganisms at the leaf surface. Journal of Experimental Botany 68(19): 5339-5350.	
451		
452	Barnabas AD. 1992. Bacteria on and within leaf blade epidermal cells of the seagrass	
453	Thalassodendron ciliatum (Forskk.) Den Hartog. Aquatic Botany 43: 257-266.	
454		
455	Bienkowski <sub>7</sub> AO. 1996. Life cycles of Donaciinae (Coleoptera, Chrysomelidae). In: Jolivet PHA,	
456	Cox ML, eds. Chrysomelidae Biology. Vol. 3: General Studies. SPB Academic Publishers,	
457	Amsterdam/New York, 155-171.	
458		
459	Brock TCM, Van der Velde G. 1983. An autecological study on <i>Hydromyza livens</i> (Fabricius)	Formatted: Dutch (Netherlands)
460	(Diptera, Scatomyzidae), a fly associated with nymphaeid vegetation dominated by Nuphar.	
461	Tijdschrift voor Entomologie 126(3): 59-90.	
462		
463	Brock TCM. 1985. Ecological studies on nymphaeid water plants. Thesis. Catholic University	
464	Nijmegen.	
465		

467 breakdown of Nuphar lutea (L.)Sm. (Nymphaeaceae), and a comparison of three mathematical 468 models for organic weight loss. Aquatic Botany 21: 1-22. 469 470 Conard HS. 1905. The Waterlilies. A monograph of the genus Nymphaea. The Carnegie Institute 471 of Washington. 472 473 Den Hartog C, Van der Velde G. 1988. Structural aspects of aquatic plant communities. In: 474 Symoens JJ, ed. Vegetation of inland waters. Handbook of vegetation science 15: 113-153. 475 Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht. 476 477 Franceschi VR, Nakata PA. 2005. Calcium oxalate in plants: Formation and function. Annual 478 Review in Plant Biology 56: 41-47. 479 480 Glück, H. 1924. Biologische und morphologische Untersuchungen über Wasser- und 481 Sumpfgewächse. Vierter Teil: Untergetauchte und Schwimmblattflora. Verlag von Gustav 482 Fischer, Jena. 746 pp.

Brock TCM, De Lyon MJH, Van Laar EMJM, Van Loon EMM. 1985. Field studies on the

466

484	Howard-Williams C, Davies BR, Cross RHM. 1978. The influence of periphyton on the surface	
485	structure of a <i>Potamogeton pectinatus</i> L. leaf (an hypothesis). Aquatic Botany 5: 87-91.	
486		
487	Hutchinson GE. 1975. A treatise on limnology Vol. III-Limnological Botany. New York: John	
488	Wiley & Sons, 660 pp.	
489		
490	Jacobs RPWM. 1982. Pythiaceous fungi associated with the decomposition of Nymphoides	
491	peltata. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek-Journal of Microbiology 48: 433-445.	
492		
493	Klok PF, Van der Velde G. 2017. Plant traits and environment: floating leaf blade production and	
494	turnover of waterlilies. PeerJ 5:e3212; DOI 10.7717/peerj.3212.	
495		
496	Kok CJ, Van der Velde G, Landsbergen KM. 1990. Production, nutrient dynamics and initial	
497	decomposition of floating leaves of Nymphaea alba L., and Nuphar lutea (L.) Sm.	
498	(Nymphaeaceae) in alkaline and acid waters. Biogeochemistry 11: 235-250.	
499		
500	Kok CJ, Van der Velde G. 1991. The influence of selected water quality parameters on the decay	Formatted: Dutch (Netherlands)
501	and exoenzymatic activity of detritus of floating leaf blades of Nymphaea alba L. in laboratory	

experiments. Oecologia 88: 311-316.

503		
504	Kok CJ, Hof CHJ, Lenssen JPM, Van der Velde G. 1992. The influence of pH on concentrations	
505	of protein and phenolics and resource quality of decomposing floating leaf material of Nymphaea	
506	alba L. (Nymphaeaceae) for the detritivore Asellus aquaticus (L.). Oecologia 91: 229-234.	
507		
508	Kok CJ, 1993. Decomposition of floating leaves of Nymphaea alba L. under alkaline and acid	
509	conditions. Thesis Nijmegen. Ponsen & Looijen, Wageningen. 121 pp.	Formatted: English (United States)
510		
511	Kouki J 1993. Herbivory modifies the production of different leaf types in the yellow water-lily,	
512	Nuphar lutea (Nymphaeaceae). Functional Ecology 7: 21-26.	Formatted: Font: Italic, English (United States)
		Formatted: English (United States)
513		Formatted: English (United States) Formatted: English (United States)
513		
513 514	Lammens EHRR, Van der Velde G. 1978. Observations on the decomposition of <i>Nymphoides</i>	
514	Lammens EHRR, Van der Velde G. 1978. Observations on the decomposition of <i>Nymphoides</i>	
514 515	Lammens EHRR, Van der Velde G. 1978. Observations on the decomposition of <i>Nymphoides</i> peltata (Gmel.) O. Kuntze (Menyanthaceae) with special regard to the leaves. Aquatic Botany 4:	
514 515	Lammens EHRR, Van der Velde G. 1978. Observations on the decomposition of <i>Nymphoides</i> peltata (Gmel.) O. Kuntze (Menyanthaceae) with special regard to the leaves. Aquatic Botany 4:	
514 515 516	Lammens EHRR, Van der Velde G. 1978. Observations on the decomposition of <i>Nymphoides</i> peltata (Gmel.) O. Kuntze (Menyanthaceae) with special regard to the leaves. Aquatic Botany 4:	
514 515 516 517	Lammens EHRR, Van der Velde G. 1978. Observations on the decomposition of <i>Nymphoides</i> peltata (Gmel.) O. Kuntze (Menyanthaceae) with special regard to the leaves. Aquatic Botany 4: 331-346.	
514 515 516 517 518	Lammens EHRR, Van der Velde G. 1978. Observations on the decomposition of <i>Nymphoides</i> peltata (Gmel.) O. Kuntze (Menyanthaceae) with special regard to the leaves. Aquatic Botany 4: 331-346.  Luther H, 1983. On life forms, and above-ground and underground biomass of aquatic	

521 Marquis RJ. 1992. Selective impact of herbivores. Chapter 13: In: Fritz RS, Simms EL, eds. Plant 522 resistance to herbivores and pathogens. Ecology, evolution, and genetics. The University of 523 Chicago Press, Chicago and London, 301-325. 524 525 Martínez FS, Franceschini C, 2018. Invertebrate herbivory on floating-leaf macrophytes at the 526 northeast of Argentina: should the damage be taken into account in estimations of plant biomass? 527 Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências 90(1): 12 pp. http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/0001-528 3765201820170415. 529 530 Mueller UG, Dearing MD. 1994. Predation and avoidance of tough leaves by aquatic larvae of the 531 moth Paraponyx rugosalis (Lepidoptera, Pyralidae). Ecological Entomology 19(2): 155-158. 532 533 Osborne DJ. 1963. Hormonal control of plant death. Discovery 24: 31-35. 534 535 Padgett DJ. 2007. A monograph of Nuphar (Nymphaeaceae). Rhodora 109 (937): 1–95. 536 537 Reichholf J. 1970. Untersuchungen zur Biologie des Wasserschmetterlings Nymphula 538 nymphaeata L. (Lepidoptera, Pyralidae). Internationale Revue der gesamten Hydrobiologie 55:

687-728.

541	Riederer M, Müller C. 2006. Biology of the plant cuticle. Annual Plant Reviews, Vol. 23.		
542	Blackwell, Oxford.		
543			
544	Robb F, Davies BR, Cross R, Kenyon C, Howard-Williams C. 1979. Cellulolytic bacteria as		
545	primary colonizers of Potamogeton pectinatus L. (Sago pond weed) from a brackish sout		
546	temperate coastal lake. Microbial Ecology 5: 167-177.		
547			
548	Rogers KH, Breen CM. 1981. Effects of periphyton on <i>Potamogeton pectinatus</i> L. leaves.		
549	Microbial Ecology 7: 351-361.		
550			
551	Rogers KH, Breen CM. 1983. An investigation of macrophyte epiphyte and grazer interactions.		
552	In: Wetzel, R.G. (ed.). Periphyton of freshwater ecosystems. Developments in Hydrobiology 17:		
553	217-226.		
554			
555	Roweck H. 1988. Ökologische Untersuchungen an Teichrosen. Archiv für Hydrobiologie		
556	Monographische Beiträge Supplementband 81 (2/3): 103-358. Stuttgart: E. Schweizerbart'sche		
557	Verlagbuchhandlung (Nägele u. Obermiller).		

559 Smolders AJP, Vergeer LHT, Van der Velde G, Roelofs JGM. 2000. Phenolic contents of 560 submerged, emergent and floating leaves of (semi-) aquatic macrophyte species. Why do they 561 differ? Oikos 91: 307-310. 562 563 Stenberg JA, Stenberg JE. 2012. Herbivory limits the yellow water lily in an overgrown lake and 564 in flowing water. Hydrobiologia 691: 81-88. 565 566 Van der Aa HA. 1978. A leaf spot disease of Nymphaea alba in the Netherlands. Netherlands 567 Journal of Plant Pathology 84: 109-115. 568 Van der Velde G. 1980. Studies in nymphaeid-dominated systems with special emphasis on those 569 570 dominated by Nymphoides peltata (Gmel.) O. Kuntze (Menyanthaceae). Thesis. Catholic 571 University Nijmegen. 572 573 Van der Velde G, van der Heijden LA, Van Grunsven PAJ, Bexkens PMM. 1982. Initial 574 decomposition of Nymphoides peltata (Gmel.) O. Kuntze (Menyanthaceae), as studied by the 575 leaf-marking method. Hydrobiological Bulletin 16:51-60.

578	three species of Nymphaeaceae in two Dutch waters. Proceedings International Symposium on	
579	Aquatic Macrophytes, Nijmegen, 18-23 September, 1983, 230-235.	
580		
581	Van der Velde G, Van der Heijden LA. 1985. Initial decomposition of floating leaves of	
582	Nymphoides peltata (Gmel.) O. Kuntze (Menyanthaceae) in relation to their age, with special	
583	attention to the role of herbivores. Verhandlungen der Internationalen Vereinigung für	
584	theoretische und angewandte Limnologie 22: 2937-2941.	
585		
586	Van der Velde G. C.P.C. Custers & M.J.H. de Lyon 1986. The distribution of four nymphaeid species in	Formatted: Line spacing: Multiple 2.5 li
		Formatted: Dutch (Netherlands)
587	the Netherlands in relation to selected abiotic factors. Proceedings European Weed Research	Formatted: Dutch (Netherlands)
588	Society/Association of Applied Biologists 7th Symposium on Aquatic Weeds, 1986 (Loughborough):	
589	363-368.	
590		Formatted: English (United States)
050	•	Tormatted: English (Officed States)
591	Van der Velde G, Hiddink R. 1987. Chironomidae mining in Nuphar lutea (L.) Sm.	
371	ran dei reide 6, madnik K. 1707. Emronomidae inning in mapital tatea (E.) Sili.	

(Nymphaeaceae). Entolomologica Scandinavica Supplement No. 29: 255-264.

Van der Velde G., Peelen-Bexkens PMM. 1983. Production and biomass of floating leaves of

594 Van der Velde G., Kok CJ, Van Vorstenbosch HJWT. 1989. Bagous rotundicollis, new for The 595 Netherlands, feeding on waterlily leaves (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). Entomologische Berichten, 596 Amsterdam 49: 57-60. 597 Vergeer LHT, Van der Velde G. 1997. The phenolic content of daylight-exposed and shaded 598 599 floating leaves of water lilies (Nymphaeaceae) in relation to infection by fungi. Oecologia 112: 600 481-484. 601 602 Wallace JB, O'Hop, J. 1985. Life on a fast pad - Waterlily leaf beetle impact on water lilies. 603 Ecology 66 (5): 1534-1544 604 605 Wesenberg-Lund C. 1943. Biologie der Süsswasserinsekten. Gyldendalske Boghandel. Nordisk 606 Forlag, Kopenhagen und Verlag J. Springer, Berlin. Wien. 682 pp. 607 608 Wiersema JH. 1987. A monograph of Nymphaea subgenus Hydrocallis (Nymphaeaceae). 609 Systematic Botanical Monographs 16: 1-112.

Zhang G, Yao R. 2017. The spinescent aquatic plants in the Yangtze Delta, East China. Israel

**Commented [MA9]:** This reference is incomplete. Please check also if date is 2017 or 2018

Journal of Plant Science. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07929978.2017.1279440.

612