Prevalence of malocclusion and occlusal traits in the early mixed dentition in Shanghai, China

Xin Yu [Equal first author, 1, 2] , **Hao Zhang** [Equal first author, 2, 3] , **Liangyan Sun** [2, 4] , **Jie Pan** [2, 4] , **Yuehua Liu** [2, 4] , **Li Chen** [Corresp. 2, 4]

1 Department of Pediatric Dentistry, Shanghai Stomatological Hospital, Fudan University, Shanghai, China

2 Oral Biomedical Engineering Laboratory, Shanghai Stomatological Hospital, Fudan University, Shanghai, China

3 Department of Preventive Dentistry, Shanghai Stomatological Hospital, Fudan University, Shanghai, China

4 Department of Orthodontics, Shanghai Stomatological Hospital, Fudan University, Shanghai, China

Corresponding Author: Li Chen
Email address: lichen_kq@fudan.edu.cn

Background. Epidemiological data on malocclusion among Chinese children are scant. The aim of this study was to provide detailed information on the prevalence of malocclusion in early mixed dentition children in Shanghai, China.

Methods. A cross-sectional survey was conducted from September 2016 to April 2017, and 2810 children aged 7- to 9- years were selected from 10 primary schools by cluster random sampling. Several occlusal parameters, including Angle molar relationship, overjet, overbite, open bite, anterior and posterior crossbite, midline displacement, scissors bite, and teeth crowding and spacing, were clinically registered by five calibrated orthodontic dentists.

Results. We found that 79.4% children presented one or more occlusal anomalies. Angle Class I, Class II and Class III molar relationship were recorded in 42.3%, 50.9% and 5.9% of the sample, respectively. The proportion of Class III increased from 5.0% at age 7 to 7.8% at age 9. In the sagittal plane, increased overjet >3 mm was observed in 40.8% subjects, while the prevalence of severe overjet (>8 mm), anterior edge-to-edge (zero overjet) and anterior crossbite were 5.2%, 8.1% and 10.5%, respectively. Vertically, deep overbite > 2/3 overlap was found in 6.2% of the children and open bite in 4.3%. Boys exhibited a higher rate of overbite than girls. For the transversal occlusal anomalies, 36.1% of the children had a midline displacement, which was followed by posterior crossbite (2.6%) and scissors bite (1.0%). Teeth space discrepancies were also common anomalies and anterior crowding (> 2 mm) affecting 28.4% of the children, while anterior spacing (> 4 mm) affecting 9.5%. Girls showed a higher prevalence of anterior crowding and a lower frequency of teeth spacing than boys.

Conclusions. Our study demonstrated that malocclusion is prevalent among children in the early mixed dentition, and more health resources should be warranted to meet the challenge of prevention or early intervention of malocclusion.

1 **Prevalence of malocclusion and occlusal traits in the early mixed**

2 **dentition in Shanghai, China**

4 Xin Yu†[1], Hao Zhang†[2,3], Liangyan Sun[3,4], Jie Pan[3,4], Yuehua Liu[3,4], Li Chen*[3,4]

7 1. Department of Pediatric Dentistry, Shanghai Stomatological Hospital, Fudan University,

8 Shanghai 200001, China

9 2. Department of Preventive Dentistry, Shanghai Stomatological Hospital, Fudan University,

10 Shanghai 200001, China

11 3. Oral Biomedical Engineering Laboratory, Shanghai Stomatological Hospital, Fudan

12 University, Shanghai 200001, China

13 4. Department of Orthodontics, Shanghai Stomatological Hospital, Fudan University, Shanghai

14 200001, China

17 *Corresponding author

18 Li Chen, Email: lichen_kq@fudan.edu.cn

20 † These authors contributed equally to this work.

22 **Prevalence of malocclusion and occlusal traits in the early mixed**

23 **dentition in Shanghai, China**

25 **Abstract**

26 **Background**. Epidemiological data on malocclusion among Chinese children are scant. The aim

27 of this study was to provide detailed information on the prevalence of malocclusion in early

28 mixed dentition children in Shanghai, China.

29 **Methods**. A cross-sectional survey was conducted from September 2016 to April 2017, and

30 2810 children aged 7- to 9- years were selected from 10 primary schools by cluster random

31 sampling. Several occlusal parameters, including Angle molar relationship, overjet, overbite,

32 open bite, anterior and posterior crossbite, midline displacement, scissors bite, and teeth

33 crowding and spacing, were clinically registered by five calibrated orthodontic dentists.

34 **Results**. We found that 79.4% children presented one or more occlusal anomalies. Angle Class I,

35 Class II and Class III molar relationship were recorded in 42.3%, 50.9% and 5.9% of the sample,

36 respectively. The proportion of Class III increased from 5.0% at age 7 to 7.8% at age 9. In the

37 sagittal plane, increased overjet >3 mm was observed in 40.8% subjects, while the prevalence of

38 severe overjet (>8 mm), anterior edge-to-edge (zero overjet) and anterior crossbite were 5.2%,

39 8.1% and 10.5%, respectively. Vertically, deep overbite > 2/3 overlap was found in 6.2% of the

40 children and open bite in 4.3%. Boys exhibited a higher rate of overbite than girls. For the

41 transversal occlusal anomalies, 36.1% of the children had a midline displacement, which was

42 followed by posterior crossbite (2.6%) and scissors bite (1.0%). Teeth space discrepancies were

43 also common anomalies and anterior crowding (> 2 mm) affecting 28.4% of the children, while

44 anterior spacing (> 4 mm) affecting 9.5%. Girls showed a higher prevalence of anterior crowding

45 and a lower frequency of teeth spacing than boys.

46 **Conclusions**. Our study demonstrated that malocclusion is prevalent among children in the early

47 mixed dentition, and more health resources should be warranted to meet the challenge of

48 prevention or early intervention of malocclusion.

49 **Keywords:** Malocclusion; Prevalence; Early mixed dentition; Angle classification; Overjet;

50 Open bite; Crossbite; Crowding, Spacing

51

52 **Introduction**

53 Malocclusion is one of the most common oral disorders among children, and it affects not only

54 the oral masticatory function but also the craniofacial development and facial appearance.

55 Children with certain malocclusion traits appear to have more problems related to psychology

56 and social interactions, and even their quality of life suffers when they reach adulthood (*Martins-*

57 *Junior et al. 2012; Nguyen et al. 1999; Stenvik et al. 2011*). For this reason, malocclusion is

58 regarded as an emerging public health issue.

59   The mixed dentition is an important developmental stage to the undisturbed occlusal

60 relationship. The eruption of the first permanent molar plays a critical role in maintaining the

61 interarch space and the sagittal occlusal relationship. Several longitudinal observations have

62 revealed that a substantial number of malocclusions occur during this period (*Dimberg et al.*

63 *2015; Dimberg et al. 2013; Gois et al. 2012*), and the accumulated evidence has indicated that

64 early intervention starting from the mixed dentition would benefit the youngsters with Class III

65 malocclusion, crossbite, crowding and posterior crossbite (*Gianelly 2002; Keski-Nisula et al.*

66 *2008; Lippold et al. 2013; Mitani 2002*).

67   Epidemiological information is essential for developing strategies and plans to promote oral

68 health. In China, national or local surveys on dental caries and periodontitis have been carried

69 out regularly (*Li & Wang 2014; Zhou et al. 2018*). However, there is still insufficient information

70   on the prevalence of malocclusions. Recently, we made an effort to investigate the malocclusion

71   status of Shanghai preschool children and an extraordinarily high prevalence, 83.9%, was found

72   (*Zhou et al. 2017*). In the current study, another cross-sectional survey was carried out to assess

73   the prevalence of malocclusion and the distribution of occlusal traits among school children at

74   the stage of early mixed dentition in Shanghai.

Materials and Methods

75

Study sample

76

77   For the period of September 2016 to April 2017, a cluster random sampling was applied in this

78   study. In brief, we chose five administrative districts in Shanghai city: three of them in the urban

79   area (Hongkou, Putuo, and Jing'an districts) and two in the suburbs (Pudong and Minhang

80   districts). Then, two primary schools in each district were randomly selected, and the students

81   with the following characteristics were identified as candidates of this survey: (1) aged 7 to 9

82   years; (2) without a history of orthodontic treatment; (3) without craniofacial diseases; and (4)

83   consensual participation of the children and their parents. In all, 2810 children, including 1479

84   boys and 1331 girls, were recruited.

85   The protocol of this study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Shanghai

86   Stomatological Hospital, Fudan University (Approval Number: 2015-0012). Written informed

87   consent was signed by the parents of all the children who participated in the survey.

Oral examination

88

89   The oral examination was carried out by five calibrated orthodontic dentists. The children

90   were examined at schools, using portable lighting and disposable mouth mirrors. Sagittal molar

91   relationships by Angle classification, degree of overjet and overbite, anterior and posterior

92   crossbite, and teeth crowding and spacing were recorded (Table 1).

93   The children who presented one or more of the following indications were registered as

94   malocclusion: Angle Class III, increased overjet (>3 mm), anterior crossbite, anterior edge-to-

95   edge, deep overbite (>2/3 overlap), open bite, midline displacement, posterior crossbite, posterior

96   edge-to-edge, scissors bite, anterior or posterior crowding (>2 mm), and anterior spacing (>4

97   mm).

98   **Reliability of examinations**

99   Twenty subjects were evaluated by the five examiners independently of each other. One of

100   the examiners was an orthodontist with more than fifteen years' clinic experience, and the other

101   four examiners compared their results to the senior orthodontist's data respectively. Inter-

102   examiner reliability was determined by calculating Cohen's kappa coefficient, and the values

103   were >0.68.

104   **Statistical analysis**

105   The rates of occlusal characteristics and malocclusion were reported by age and gender. The

106   chi-squared test and Fisher's exact probability method were applied to determine the statistical

107   associations between the independent variables and the malocclusion variable. Cohen's kappa

108   value was used to measure the agreement among examiners. The data were input using the

109   Epidata software and analyzed using SPSS Statistics 22 (IBM, Armonk NY, USA). The level of

110   significance was set at $p < .05$.

111   **3. Results**

112   The overall prevalence of malocclusion among school children aged 7 - 9 years in Shanghai was

113   79.4% (2231/2810), and only 20.6% of them had normal occlusion (Table 2). The boys had a

114   very similar rate of malocclusion to that of the girls. No significant difference was observed

115   between age groups ($p > .05$).

116   The distribution of the sagittal occlusal features among the children in Shanghai is shown in

117   Table 3. The relationship of the first molars was classified according to the Angle classification;

118   42.3% children showed a Class I relationship, 50.9% children were Class II, and 5.9% were

119   Class III. An increasing trend in the rate of Angle Class III with age was observed, from 5.0% at

120   age 7 to 7.8% at age 9. The increased overjet was prevalent (40.8%), and most of the cases were

121   mild or moderate, but 5.2% of the children were found to have a severe overjet. Approximately

122   one-tenth of the children had an anterior crossbite.

123   Table 4 depicts the vertical and transversal occlusal anomalies. The probability of the deep

124   overbite of the anterior teeth was 43.8% and that of severe overbite was 6.2%. Boys were more

125   prone to deep overbite than girls (p = .003). The rate of open bite of anterior teeth was 4.24%; it

126   decreased with age, from 4.9% at age 7 to 2.7% at age 9. With respect to transversal anomalies,

127   36.1% of the children were found to have a midline displacement, and 2.6% had posterior

128   crossbite. The prevalence of a scissors bite was relatively low (0.9%), but it increased with age.

129   Teeth crowding and spacing were prevalent among the children (Table 5). The prevalence

130   of anterior crowding of >2 mm of the maxillary or mandibular teeth was 13.3% and 22.5%,

131   respectively. In all, 28.4% of the children presented anterior crowding. Posterior crowding was

132   less common, and only 0.2% of the subjects were found to have maxillary posterior crowding of

133   >2 mm, and 1.0% was mandibular posterior. The rate of anterior spacing of >4 mm of the

134   maxillary teeth was 8.0%, and that of the mandibular teeth was 3.0%. An increasing trend with

135   age was observed for the rate of crowding of the upper anterior teeth, and the boys' probability

136   of anterior crowding, either of the maxillary or of the mandibular teeth, was lower than that of

137   the girls' (p < .001).

138   **Discussion**

139   The prevalence of malocclusion in different populations ranges from 21% to 90% (*Grippaudo et*

140   *al. 2013; Perillo et al. 2010; Perinetti et al. 2008; Shalish et al. 2013; Thilander et al. 2001*),

141   and this huge variation may largely be attributed to the discrepancies in the definitions of

142   malocclusion and the methodologies applied. We found that the prevalence of malocclusion in

143   early mixed dentition in Shanghai was as high as 79.4%, which was considerably higher than the

144   rate of 71.2% among children with mixed dentition in a national survey in 2000 (*Fu et al. 2002*).

145   This result was similar to the rate of malocclusion in the deciduous dentition, i.e., 83.9%, in the

146   Shanghai area (*Zhou et al. 2017*). Our findings confirmed that malocclusion was one of the most

147   common health problems in children and adolescents.

148   Deep overbite (> 1/3 overlap, prevalence 43.8%) and increased overjet (> 3mm, prevalence

149   40.8%) were the two most common types of occlusion abnormalities in Shanghai schoolchildren.

150   The high rates of overbite and overjet were also reported in Nigeria (deep overbite: 31.7% and

151   increased overjet: 44.6%) (*daCosta et al. 2016*) and in China's western city Xi'an (deep overbite

152   37.6% and increased overjet 35.0%) (*Zhou et al. 2016*). Nevertheless, compared to the fact that

153   63.7% of the preschool children were found to have deep overbite in Shanghai (*Zhou et al.*

154   *2017*), it was less frequent in the age group considered in this study. This decline could be partly

155   explained by the self-correction of deep overbite during dental development (*Dimberg et al.*

156   *2015*). The increased overjet (>3 mm) occurred more frequently in the early mixed dentition

157   (43.8%) than in the primary stage (33.9%) (*Zhou et al. 2017*). However, in terms of severe

158   increased overjet (>8 mm), the change was substantial: 0.9% for primary and 5.2% for mixed

159   dentition. This change may increase the risk of oral trauma (*Nguyen et al. 1999*).

160   Anterior crowding and anterior crossbite were another two high-incidence malocclusions

161   observed in this study. In contrast to deep overbite, crowding and crossbite are less likely to be

162   self-corrected without any intervention or treatment. Anterior crowding of >2 mm was recorded

163   in 28.4% of the subjects, considerably more frequent than the proportion in primary dentition in

164   the city (*Zhou et al. 2017*). Moreover, the crowding problem might be worse in the permanent

165   dentition stage, as the arch length decreased during the transition from the mixed to the

166   permanent dentition (*Gianelly 2002*). It was noteworthy that anterior crowding was more

167   prevalent in the mandible (22.5%) than maxilla (13.3%) in Shanghai children, which was

168   consistent in what was found among children in the early mixed dentition in Germany (*Tausche*

169   *et al. 2004*) and adolescents in the permanent dentition in Japan (*Komazaki et al. 2012*).

170   However, in Iran and Turkey, adolescents had more crowding in the maxilla than mandible

171   (*Borzabadi-Farahani et al. 2009; Gelgor et al. 2007*).

172   The prevalence of anterior crossbite in Shanghai children was comparable to that in Israeli

173   (9.5%) (*Shalish et al. 2013*), German (7.7%) (*Tausche et al. 2004*), and Iranian (8.4%)

174   (*Borzabadi-Farahani et al. 2009*) children. Nevertheless, only 2.6% of the children had a

175   posterior crossbite, which was relatively less frequent comparing the rates in Canada (15%)

176   (*Karaiskos et al. 2005*), Brazil (13.3%) (*Almeida et al. 2011*) and Israel (23.3%) (*Shalish et al.*

177   *2013*). Several studies have pointed out that Chinese adults have a higher prevalence of Angle

178   Class III malocclusion than the other racial groups (*Lew et al. 1993; Soh et al. 2005; Woon*

179   *1988*); however, we found that this rate was acceptable in Shanghai children, even though it was

180   slightly lower than in children from some other Asian countries (*Borzabadi-Farahani et al.*

181   *2009; Komazaki et al. 2012*). We found about 50 percent of the children had an Angle Class II

182   molar relationship, and the rate was much higher than those reported in German (28%) (*Tausche*

183   *et al. 2004*), Brazil (21.4%) (*Dias & Gleiser 2009*), Israel (29.9%) (*Shalish et al. 2013*) and

184   Sweden (28%) (*Dimberg et al. 2013*). A high prevalence of Angle Class II, namely 38.2%, was

185   also reported among 12-15-year-old adolescents in Japan (*Komazaki et al. 2012*), and it seemed

186   that East Asians were more prone to have Angle Class II.

187   Although there was no difference of overall prevalence of malocclusion between boys and

188   girls, several sexual dimorphisms were identified in the current study. It seemed that boys were

189   more likely to have overbite than girls, and this finding was supported by previous studies in

190   Germany, France, Turkey and Brazil (*Dias & Gleiser 2009; Gelgor et al. 2007; Lux et al. 2009;*

191   *Souames et al. 2006*). Nevertheless, anterior crowding was more prevalent among girls than

192   boys, which was consistent with what was found in Japan and Colombia (*Komazaki et al. 2012;*

193   *Thilander et al. 2001*). These dimorphisms might be explained by the differences in skeletal

194   maturity and/or eruption of permanent teeth (*Lux et al. 2009*).

195   Despite the reported benefit of early intervention of malocclusion (*Dimberg et al. 2013;*

196   *Keski-Nisula et al. 2008; Proffit 2006*), the high prevalence of malocclusion did not mean that

197   most children were subjected to orthodontic treatment. Since these children were in the "ugly

198   duckling" stage, and they probably suffered transient malocclusions, and some of them, such as

199 maxillary midline diastema, increased overjet, deep overbite, crowding and even Angle Class II

200 molar relationship, might be spontaneously corrected (*Dimberg et al. 2015; Huang & Creath*

201 *1995; Kapur et al. 2018*). On the other hand, treatment priorities may vary depending on the

202 severity of malocclusions. Therefore, many investigators have considered the orthodontic

203 treatment need indices such as the Index of Orthodontic Treatment Need (IOTN) in

204 epidemiological studies (*daCosta et al. 2016; Komazaki et al. 2012; Shalish et al. 2013;*

205 *Steinmassl et al. 2017; Tausche et al. 2004; Thilander et al. 2001*). Even though the assessment

206 of the orthodontic treatment need was not the major aim of the current survey, we attempted to

207 obtain a rough estimate of this need on the basis of the criteria of IOTN's Grade 4 and Grade 5

208 and found that 26.2% of the children exhibited one or more of the following conditions (Table

209 S1): Angle Class III, increased overjet > 8 mm, anterior crossbite, open bite > 3 mm, posterior

210 crossbite, scissors bite, and anterior or posterior crowding > 4 mm. This rate was consistent with

211 that in the Germans (26.2%) (*Tausche et al. 2004*), the Iranians (23%) (*Borzabadi-Farahani et*

212 *al. 2009*), and the Austrians (30.6%) (*Steinmassl et al. 2017*).

213 A strict cluster random sampling was conducted, and a good representation was obtained in

214 this study. Since this survey was school-based, it was infeasible to obtain the treatment records

215 from the children who had a history of orthodontic intervention, and we excluded them because

216 we did not know their original occlusal traits which had already been changed. Although many

217 investigators did so in previous epidemiological studies (*Komazaki et al. 2012; Lagana et al.*

218 *2013; Souames et al. 2006; Thilander et al. 2001*), it should be kept in mind that this exclusion

219 may introduce some representativeness bias. However, to the best of our knowledge, very few

220 children under the age of 10 years appeal to orthodontists for malocclusion in Shanghai, the

221 effects of the exclusion may be limited. Actually, in the current study, no more than one per cent

222 of the subjects had received orthodontic treatment, which was less than the rate reported in

223 French children (*Souames et al. 2006*).

224 A large number of young people meet the criteria for early orthodontic treatment, and this

225 is a huge challenge for our health system. Besides early treatment, establishing effective policies

226   to prevent the occurrence of malocclusion may be another choice. Multiple factors, including

227   genetic, environmental, and social-behavioral factors, play a role in the development of

228   malocclusion. (*Grippaudo et al. 2016; Laganà et al. 2013*). Some feeding habits and oral habits

229   are believed to be important causes of malocclusion, and sucking habits are associated with

230   anterior open bite and posterior crossbite (*Agarwal et al. 2014; Boronat-Catala et al. 2017;*

231   *Gungor et al. 2016*). Therefore, attention needs to be paid to malocclusion disorders, and early

232   health education and behavior intervention may contribute to a reduction of the burden of

233   malocclusion.

234   **Conclusions**

235   Our cross-sectional study demonstrated that 79.4% of the children in the stage of mixed

236   dentition had one or more malocclusion traits. For the prevention and intervention of

237   malocclusion, substantial resources and efforts are warranted from orthodontists, health policy

238   makers, communities, and, of course, families.

239

240   **Acknowledgments**

241   The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to all the workers of the five Preventive

242   Dental Clinics in the Hongkou, Putuo, Jing'an, Pudong, and Minhang districts for supporting this

243   study. We thank LetPub for its linguistic assistance during the preparation of this manuscript.

244

245   **Reference**

246   **Agarwal SS, Nehra K, Sharma M, Jayan B, Poonia A, and Bhattal H. 2014.** Association

247   between breastfeeding duration, non-nutritive sucking habits and dental arch

248   dimensions in deciduous dentition: a cross-sectional study. *Prog Orthod* 15:59. DOI

249   10.1186/s40510-014-0059-4.

250   **Almeida MR, Pereira ALP, Almeida RR, Almeida-Pedrin RR, and Silva Filho OG. 2011.**

251   Prevalence of malocclusion in children aged 7 to 12 years. *Dental Press J Orthod*

252   16:123-131.

253   **Boronat-Catala M, Montiel-Company JM, Bellot-Arcis C, Almerich-Silla JM, and Catala-**
254   **Pizarro M. 2017.** Association between duration of breastfeeding and malocclusions
255   in primary and mixed dentition: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sci Rep*
256   7:5048. DOI 10.1038/s41598-017-05393-y.

257   **Borzabadi-Farahani A, Borzabadi-Farahani A, and Eslamipour F. 2009.** Malocclusion
258   and occlusal traits in an urban Iranian population. An epidemiological study of 11-
259   to 14-year-old children. *Eur J Orthod* 31:477-484. DOI 10.1093/ejo/cjp031.

260   **daCosta OO, Aikins EA, Isiekwe GI, and Adediran VE. 2016.** Malocclusion and early
261   orthodontic treatment requirements in the mixed dentitions of a population of
262   Nigerian children. *J Orthod Sci* 5:81-86. DOI 10.4103/2278-0203.186164.

263   **Dias PF, and Gleiser R. 2009.** Orthodontic treatment need in a group of 9-12-year-old
264   Brazilian schoolchildren. *Braz Oral Res* 23:182-189.

265   **Dimberg L, Lennartsson B, Arnrup K, and Bondemark L. 2015.** Prevalence and change
266   of malocclusions from primary to early permanent dentition: a longitudinal study.
267   *Angle Orthod* 85:728-734. DOI 10.2319/080414-542.1.

268   **Dimberg L, Lennartsson B, Soderfeldt B, and Bondemark L. 2013.** Malocclusions in
269   children at 3 and 7 years of age: a longitudinal study. *Eur J Orthod* 35:131-137. DOI
270   10.1093/ejo/cjr110.

271   **Fu M, Zhang D, and Wang B. 2002.** The prevalence of malocclusion in China - an
272   investigation of 25,392 children [in Chinese]. *Chin J Stomatol* 37:371–373.

273   **Gelgor IE, Karaman AI, and Ercan E. 2007.** Prevalence of malocclusion among
274   adolescents in central anatolia. *Eur J Dent* 1:125-131.

275   **Gianelly AA. 2002.** Treatment of crowding in the mixed dentition. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial*
276   *Orthop* 121:569-571. DOI 10.1067/mod.2002.124172.

277   **Gois EG, Vale MP, Paiva SM, Abreu MH, Serra-Negra JM, and Pordeus IA. 2012.**
278   Incidence of malocclusion between primary and mixed dentitions among Brazilian
279   children. A 5-year longitudinal study. *Angle Orthod* 82:495-500. DOI

280   10.2319/033011-230.1.

281 **Grippaudo C, Pantanali F, Paolantonio EG, Grecolini ME, Saulle R, La Torre G, and Deli**

282   **R. 2013.** Prevalence of malocclusion in Italian schoolchildren and orthodontic

283   treatment need. *Eur J Paediatr Dent* 14:314-318.

284 **Grippaudo C, Paolantonio EG, Antonini G, Saulle R, La Torre G, and Deli R. 2016.**

285   Association between oral habits, mouth breathing and malocclusion. *Acta*

286   *Otorhinolaryngol Ital* 36:386-394. DOI 10.14639/0392-100X-770.

287 **Gungor K, Taner L, and Kaygisiz E. 2016.** Prevalence of Posterior Crossbite for

288   Orthodontic Treatment Timing. *J Clin Pediatr Dent* 40:422-424. DOI 10.17796/1053-

289   4628-40.5.422.

290 **Huang WJ, and Creath CJ. 1995**. The midline diastema: a review of its etiology and

291   treatment. *Pediatr Dent* 1995 17:171-179.

292 **Kapur A, Chawla HS, Utreja A, and Goyal A. 2018.** Guiding the Child's Teeth with Class III

293   Dental Malocclusion into Correct Occlusion: A Clinician's Parenting. *J Clin Pediatr*

294   *Dent* 42:72-78. DOI 10.17796/1053-4628-42.1.13.

295 **Karaiskos N, Wiltshire WA, Odlum O, Brothwell D, and Hassard TH. 2005.** Preventive

296   and interceptive orthodontic treatment needs of an inner-city group of 6- and 9-

297   year-old Canadian children. *J Can Dent Assoc* 71:649.

298 **Keski-Nisula K, Hernesniemi R, Heiskanen M, Keski-Nisula L, and Varrela J. 2008.**

299   Orthodontic intervention in the early mixed dentition: a prospective, controlled

300   study on the effects of the eruption guidance appliance. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial*

301   *Orthop* 133:254-260. DOI 10.1016/j.ajodo.2006.05.039.

302 **Komazaki Y, Fujiwara T, Ogawa T, Sato M, Suzuki K, Yamagata Z, and Moriyama K.**

303   **2012.** Prevalence and gender comparison of malocclusion among Japanese

304   adolescents: a population-based study. *J World Fed Orthod* 1:e67-e72. DOI

305   10.1016/j.ejwf.2012.07.001.

306 **Lagana G, Masucci C, Fabi F, Bollero P, and Cozza P. 2013.** Prevalence of malocclusions,

307   oral habits and orthodontic treatment need in a 7- to 15-year-old schoolchildren

308   population in Tirana. *Prog Orthod* 14:12. DOI 10.1186/2196-1042-14-12.

309   **Lew KK, Foong WC, and Loh E. 1993.** Malocclusion prevalence in an ethnic Chinese

310   population. *Aust Dent J* 38:442-449.

311   **Li C, and Wang Y. 2014.** Status of oral health service needs, demands and utilization of

312   Shanghai residents [in Chinese]. *J Shanghai Jiao Tong University (Medical Science)*

313   34:206-210.

314   **Lippold C, Stamm T, Meyer U, Végh A, Moiseenko T, Danesh G. 2013.** Early treatment of

315   posterior crossbite--a randomised clinical trial.*Trials* 14:20. DOI 10.1186/1745-

316   6215-14-20.

317   **Lux CJ, Ducker B, Pritsch M, Komposch G, and Niekusch U. 2009.** Occlusal status and

318   prevalence of occlusal malocclusion traits among 9-year-old schoolchildren. *Eur J*

319   *Orthod* 31:294-299. DOI 10.1093/ejo/cjn116.

320   **Martins-Junior PA, Marques LS, and Ramos-Jorge ML. 2012.** Malocclusion: social,

321   functional and emotional influence on children. *J Clin Pediatr Dent* 37:103-108.

322   **Mitani H. 2002.** Early application of chincap therapy to skeletal Class III malocclusion. *Am J*

323   *Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 121:584-585. DOI 10.1067/mod.2002.124170.

324   **Nguyen QV, Bezemer PD, Habets L, and Prahl-Andersen B. 1999.** A systematic review of

325   the relationship between overjet size and traumatic dental injuries. *Eur J Orthod*

326   21:503-515. DOI 10.1016/S0889-5406(00)70044-8.

327   **Perillo L, Masucci C, Ferro F, Apicella D, and Baccetti T. 2010.** Prevalence of orthodontic

328   treatment need in southern Italian schoolchildren. *Eur J Orthod* 32:49-53. DOI

329   10.1093/ejo/cjp050.

330   **Perinetti G, Cordella C, Pellegrini F, and Esposito P. 2008.** The prevalence of

331   malocclusal traits and their correlations in mixed dentition children: results from

332   the Italian OHSAR Survey. *Oral Health Prev Dent* 6:119-129.

333   **Proffit WR. 2006.** The timing of early treatment: an overview. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial*

334   *Orthop* 129:S47-49. DOI 10.1016/j.ajodo.2005.09.014.

335   **Shalish M, Gal A, Brin I, Zini A, and Ben-Bassat Y. 2013.** Prevalence of dental features

336   that indicate a need for early orthodontic treatment. *Eur J Orthod* 35:454-459. DOI

337   10.1093/ejo/cjs011.

338   **Soh J, Sandham A, and Chan YH. 2005.** Occlusal status in Asian male adults: prevalence

339   and ethnic variation. *Angle Orthod* 75:814-820. DOI 10.1043/0003-

340   3219(2005)75[814:OSIAMA]2.0.CO;2.

341   **Souames M, Bassigny F, Zenati N, Riordan PJ, and Boy-Lefevre ML. 2006.** Orthodontic

342   treatment need in French schoolchildren: an epidemiological study using the Index

343   of Orthodontic Treatment Need. *Eur J Orthod* 28:605-609. DOI 10.1093/ejo/cjl045.

344   **Steinmassl O, Steinmassl PA, Schwarz A, and Crismani A. 2017.** Orthodontic Treatment

345   Need of Austrian Schoolchildren in the Mixed Dentition Stage. *Swiss Dent J* 127:122-

346   128.

347   **Stenvik A, Espeland L, and Berg RE. 2011.** A 57-year follow-up of occlusal changes, oral

348   health, and attitudes toward teeth. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 139:S102-108.

349   DOI 10.1016/j.ajodo.2009.12.030.

350   **Tausche E, Luck O, and Harzer W. 2004.** Prevalence of malocclusions in the early mixed

351   dentition and orthodontic treatment need. *Eur J Orthod* 26:237-244. DOI

352   10.1093/ejo/26.3.237.

353   **Thilander B, Pena L, Infante C, Parada SS, and de Mayorga C. 2001.** Prevalence of

354   malocclusion and orthodontic treatment need in children and adolescents in Bogota,

355   Colombia. An epidemiological study related to different stages of dental

356   development. *Eur J Orthod* 23:153-167. DOI 10.1093/ejo/23.2.153.

357   **Woon KC. 1988.** Primary dentition occlusion in Chinese, Indian and Malay groups in

358   Malaysia. *Aust Orthod J* 10:183-185.

359   **Zhou X, Xu X, Li J, Hu D, Hu T, Yin W, Fan Y, and Zhang X. 2018.** Oral health in China:

360   from vision to action. *Int J Oral Sci* 10:1. DOI 10.1038/s41368-017-0006-6.

361   **Zhou X, Zhang Y, Wang Y, Zhang H, Chen L, and Liu Y. 2017.** Prevalence of Malocclusion

362   in 3- to 5-Year-Old Children in Shanghai, China. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 14.

363   DOI 10.3390/ijerph14030328.

364   **Zhou Z, Liu F, Shen S, Shang L, Shang L, and Wang X. 2016.** Prevalence of and factors

365   affecting malocclusion in primary dentition among children in Xi'an, China. *BMC*

366   *Oral Health* 16:91. DOI 10.1186/s12903-016-0285-x.

367

The definition of occlusal traits along with the criteria of malocclusion

1   Table 1 Definition of occlusal traits along with the criteria of malocclusion

Occlusal traits	Definition	Malocclusion
1. Sagittal anomalies		
1.1 First permanent molars	Class I, the mesiobuccal cusp of the maxillary first permanent molar occludes with the mesiobuccal groove of the mandibular first permanent molar (normal relation), or up to or equal to 1/2 cusp width post-normal or pre-normal relation; Class II (distal), more than 1/2 cusp width post-normal relation; Class III (mesial), more than 1/2 cusp width pre-normal relation.	Class III
1.2 Increased overjet	Distance of the most protruded maxillary incisor to the corresponding mandibular incisor: 0 mm, edge-to-edge (upper incisal edges touch lower edges when biting); >0 mm, ≤3 mm, normal; >3 mm, ≤5 mm, mild; >5 mm, ≤8 mm, moderate; >8 mm, severe	>3 mm
1.3 Anterior crossbite	One or more of the maxillary incisors/canine occluded lingually to the mandibular incisors/canine.	Present
2. Vertical anomalies		
2.1 Deep overbite	Coverage of the mandibular incisors by most of the maxillary incisors: >0, ≤1/3, normal; >1/3, ≤1/2, mild; >1/2, ≤2/3, moderate; >2/3, severe	>2/3
2.2 Open bite	Negative vertically overlapping between the maxillary and the mandibular incisors: >0, ≤3 mm, mild; >3 mm, ≤5 mm, moderate; >5 mm, severe	>0 mm
3. Transversal anomalies		
3.1 Midline displacement	Mandibular midline deviated 2 mm or more to the maxillary midline	Present
3.2 Posterior crossbite	One or more of the maxillary molars occluded lingually to the mandibular molars	Present
3.3 Scissors bite	Maxillary molars occluded to the buccal surfaces of the corresponding mandibular molars, and/or mandibular molars occluded to the lingual surfaces the corresponding maxillary molars	Present
4. Space		

discrepancies		
4.1 Crowding (anterior, posterior; maxillary, mandibular)	>0 mm, ≤2 mm, mild; >2 mm, ≤4 mm, moderate; >4 mm, severe	>2 mm
4.2 Anterior spacing (maxillary, mandibular)	>0 mm, ≤2 mm, mild; >2 mm, ≤4 mm, moderate; >4 mm, severe	>4 mm

Prevalence of malocclusion in 7-9-year-old children in Shanghai

1   **Table 2.** Prevalence of malocclusion in 7-9-year-old children in Shanghai

	n	Normal Occlusion		Malocclusion		P
		n	%	n	%	
Age (years)						0.354 [a]
7	937	190	20.3	747	79.7	
8	1217	241	19.8	976	80.2	
9	656	148	25.6	508	77.4	
Gender						0.624 [a]
Boys	1479	310	21.0	1169	79.0	
Girls	1331	269	20.2	1062	79.8	
Total	2810	579	20.6	2231	79.4	

2   a: chi-squared test.

Composition and prevalence of sagittal occlusal characteristic in 7-9-years-old children in Shanghai

1 **Table 3** Composition and prevalence of sagittal occlusal characteristic in 7-9-years-old children in Shanghai.

Sagittal Occlusal Characteristic	Age (years)			P	Sex		P	Total	
	7	8	9		Boys	Girls		n	%
First permanent molar				0.017 [c]			0.361 [d]		
Normal (Class I)	404 (43.1%)	488 (40.1%)	298 (45.4%)		647 (43.7%)	543 (40.8%)		1190	42.3
Distal (Class II)	474 (50.6%)	650 (53.4%)	306 (46.6%)		734 (49.6%)	696 (52.3%)		1430	50.9
Mesial (Class III)	47 (5.0%)	68 (5.6%)	51 (7.8%)		86 (5.8%)	80 (6.0%)		166	5.9
Mixed [a]	3 (0.3%)	4 (0.3%)	0 (0.0%)		2 (0.1%)	5 (0.4%)		7	0.2
Lost/Not erupted [b]	9 (1.0%)	7 (0.6%)	1 (0.2%)		10 (0.7%)	7 (0.5%)		17	0.6
Increased overjet				0.049 [d]			0.413 [d]		
Edge to edge	80 (8.5%)	97 (8.0%)	52 (7.9%)		128 (8.7%)	101 (7.6%)		229	8.1
Normal (>0 mm, ≤3 mm)	486 (51.9%)	604 (49.6%)	345 (52.6%)		751 (50.8%)	684 (51.4%)		1435	51.1
Mild (>3 mm, ≤5 mm)	238 (25.4%)	275 (22.6%)	148 (22.6%)		340 (23.0%)	321 (24.1%)		661	23.5
Moderate (>5 mm, ≤8 mm)	99 (10.6%)	161 (13.2%)	80 (12.2%)		190 (12.8%)	150 (11.3%)		340	12.1
Severe (>8 mm)	34 (3.6%)	80 (6.6%)	31 (4.7%)		70 (4.7%)	75 (5.6%)		145	5.2
Anterior crossbite				0.894 [d]			0.876 [d]		
Absent	836 (89.2%)	1093 (89.8%)	586 (89.3%)		1325 (89.6%)	1190 (89.4%)		2515	89.5
Present	101 (10.8%)	124 (10.2%)	70 (10.7%)		154 (10.4%)	141 (10.6%)		295	10.5

3   a: Child with Class II first molar relation on one side and Class III on the other side.

4   b: One or more first molars were missing or did not fully erupt.

5   c: Fisher's exact test.

6   d: chi-squared test.

Composition and prevalence of vertical and transverse anomalies in 7-9-years-old children in Shanghai

1   **Table 4** Composition and prevalence of vertical and transverse anomalies in 7-9-years-old children in Shanghai.

	Age (years)			P	Sex		P	Total	
	7	8	9		Boys	Girls		n	%
Deep overbite				0.127 [a]			0.003 [a]		
None	94 (10.0%)	133 (10.9%)	60 (9.1%)		158 (10.7%)	129 (9.7%)		287	10.2
Normal (>0, ≤1/3)	451 (48.1%)	535 (44.0%)	307 (46.8%)		629 (42.5%)	664 (49.9%)		1293	46.0
Mild (>1/3, ≤1/2)	216 (23.1%)	294 (24.2%)	137 (20.9%)		366 (24.7%)	281 (21.1%)		647	23.0
Moderate (>1/2, ≤2/3)	117 (12.5%)	178 (14.6%)	115 (17.5%)		226 (15.3%)	184 (13.8%)		410	14.6
Severe (>2/3)	59 (6.3%)	77 (6.3%)	37 (5.6%)		100 (6.8%)	73 (5.5%)		173	6.2
Open bite				0.225 [b]			0.222 [b]		
None	890 (95.0%)	1162 (95.5%)	638 (97.3%)		1420 (96.0%)	1270 (95.4%)		2690	95.7
Mild (>0, ≤ 3 mm)	41 (4.4%)	52 (4.3%)	17 (2.6%)		56 (3.8%)	54 (4.1%)		110	3.9
Moderate (>3, ≤ 5mm)	5 (0.5%)	3 (0.2%)	1 (0.2%)		2 (0.1%)	7 (0.5%)		9	0.3
Severe (> 5 mm)	1 (0.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)		1 (0.1%)	0 (0.0%)		1	0.04
Midline displacement	326 (34.8%)	469 (38.5%)	219 (33.4%)	0.052 [a]	544 (36.8%)	470 (35.3%)	0.418 [a]	1014	36.1
Posterior crossbite	22 (2.3%)	29 (2.4%)	23(3.5%)	0.280 [a]	41 (2.8%)	33 (2.5%)	0.628 [a]	74	2.6
Scissors bite	2 (0.2%)	11 (0.9%)	12 (1.8%)	0.003 [b]	12 (0.8%)	13 (1.0%)	0.641 [a]	25	0.9

2 a: chi-squared test.

3 b: Fisher's exact test.

Composition and prevalence of space discrepancies in 7-9-years-old children in Shanghai

Table 5 Composition and prevalence of space discrepancies in 7-9-years-old children in Shanghai.

	Age (years)			P	Sex		P	Total	
	7	8	9		Boys	Girls		n	%
Maxillary anterior crowding				<0.001 [a]			<0.001 [a]		
None	631 (67.3%)	727 (59.7%)	366 (55.8%)		973 (65.8%)	751 (56.4%)		1724	61.4
>0, ≤ 2 mm	201 (21.5%)	311 (25.6%)	199 (30.3%)		341 (23.1%)	370 (27.8%)		711	25.3
>2, ≤ 4mm	92 (9.8%)	144 (11.8%)	68 (10.4%)		127 (8.6%)	177 (13.3%)		304	10.8
> 4 mm	13 (1.4%)	35 (2.9%)	23 (3.5%)		38 (2.6%)	33 (2.5%)		71	2.5
Maxillary posterior crowding				0.011 [b]			0.364 [b]		
None	920 (98.2%)	1193 (98.0%)	655 (99.8%)		1462 (98.9%)	1306 (98.1%)		2768	98.5
>0, ≤ 2 mm	14 (1.5%)	21 (1.7%)	1 (0.2%)		14 (0.9%)	22 (1.7%)		36	1.3
>2, ≤ 4mm	2 (0.2%)	2 (0.2%)	0 (0.0%)		2 (0.1%)	2 (0.2%)		4	0.1
> 4 mm	1 (0.1%)	1 (0.1%)	0 (0.0%)		1 (0.1%)	1 (0.1%)		2	0.1
Mandibular anterior crowding				0.006 [a]			<0.001 [a]		
None	373 (39.8%)	583 (47.9%)	313 (47.7%)		736 (49.8%)	533 (40.0%)		1269	45.2
>0, ≤ 2 mm	330 (35.2%)	367 (30.2%)	212 (32.3%)		444 (30.0%)	465 (34.9%)		909	32.3
>2, ≤ 4mm	184 (19.6%)	204 (16.8%)	99 (15.1%)		227 (15.3%)	260 (19.5%)		487	17.3
> 4 mm	50 (5.3%)	63 (5.2%)	32 (4.9%)		72 (4.9%)	73 (5.5%)		145	5.2
Mandibular posterior crowding				0.141 [b]			0.991 [a]		
None	917 (97.9%)	1191 (97.9%)	648 (98.8%)		1450 (98.0%)	1306 (98.1%)		2756	98.1
>0, ≤ 2 mm	11 (1.2%)	15 (1.2%)	1 (0.2%)		15 (1.0%)	12 (0.9%)		27	1.0

>2, ≤ 4mm	7 (0.7%)	9 (0.7%)	7 (1.1%)		12 (0.8%)	11 (0.8%)	23	0.8
> 4 mm	2 (0.2%)	2 (0.2%)	0 (0)		2 (0.1%)	2 (0.2%)	4	0.1
Maxillary anterior spacing				<0.001 [a]			<0.001 [a]	
None	487 (52.0%)	760 (62.4%)	434 (66.2%)		841 (56.9%)	840 (63.1%)	1681	59.8
>0, ≤ 2 mm	244 (26.0%)	277 (22.8%)	128 (19.5%)		356 (24.1%)	293 (22.0%)	649	23.1
>2, ≤ 4mm	115 (12.3%)	102 (8.4%)	39 (5.9%)		137 (9.3%)	119 (8.9%)	256	9.1
> 4 mm	91 (9.7%)	78 (6.4%)	55 (8.4%)		145 (9.8%)	79 (5.9%)	224	8.0
Mandibular anterior spacing				0.116 [a]			0.081 [a]	
None	734 (78.3%)	948 (77.9%)	523 (79.7%)		1136 (76.8%)	1069 (80.3%)	2205	78.5
>0, ≤ 2 mm	129 (13.8%)	183 (15.0%)	82 (12.5%)		216 (14.6%)	178 (13.4%)	394	14.0
>2, ≤ 4mm	51 (5.4%)	52 (4.3%)	23 (3.5%)		75 (5.1%)	51 (3.8%)	126	4.5
> 4 mm	23 (2.5%)	34 (2.8%)	28 (4.3%)		52 (3.5%)	33 (2.5%)	85	3.0

2 a: chi-squared test.

3 b: Fisher exact test.