

# Recognizing two new *Hippolyte* species (Decapoda, Caridea, Hippolytidae) ~~form from the~~ South China Sea based on integrative taxonomy

Zhi B~~-in~~ Gan<sup>1, 2</sup>, Xin Z~~-heng~~ Li<sup>1, 2, 3, 4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, ~~7 Nanhai Road~~, Qingdao ~~266071~~, China

<sup>2</sup> Center for Ocean Mega-Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, ~~7 Nanhai Road~~, Qingdao, ~~266071~~, China

<sup>3</sup> University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing ~~100049~~, China

<sup>4</sup> Laboratory for Marine Biology and Biotechnology, Pilot National Laboratory for Marine Science and Technology (Qingdao), ~~1 Wenhai Road~~, Qingdao ~~266237~~, China

Corresponding Authors:

Xin ~~Zheng~~ Li

~~7 Nanhai Road, Qingdao, 266071, China~~

~~Email address: lixzh@qdio.ac.cn~~

Zhi Bin Gan

~~ganzhibin2005@163.com~~

## Abstract

~~Shrimps of Hippolyte~~ shrimps exhibit abundant biological diversity and display great ecologically ~~significant significance~~ in ~~the ecosystem of~~ seaweed bed ecosystems. Dozens of *Hippolyte* specimens were collected from Hainan Island and the Xisha Islands in the South China Sea. Detailed examination indicates that ~~they~~ some of these specimens represent ~~some~~ new *Hippolyte* species ~~to the genus~~. Based on morphological, genetic, and ecological data, *Hippolyte* H. chacei sp. nov. and *Hippolyte* H. nanhaiensis sp. nov. are described. *Hippolyte* H. chacei sp. nov. was collected from the biotope of *Sargassum* sp. in Hainan Island, ~~it and is distinguishes~~ distinguished from ~~the its~~ congeners by ~~its the~~ unique mandible and ~~particular~~ dactyli of the third to fifth pereopods, ~~which eorresponding corresponds~~ which to its basal position in the Indo-West ~~pacific-Pacific~~ species clade of the phylogenetic tree. *Hippolyte* H. nanhaiensis sp. nov. was collected from the biotopes of *Galaxaura* sp. and *Halimeda* sp. in the Xisha Islands, ~~it~~ This new species differs from ~~the its~~ congeners ~~by the combined~~ based on a combination of features of the rostrum, scaphocerite, antennular peduncle, and ~~the spines in on the~~ dactyli of the third to fifth pereopods, ~~and additionally, it is forms~~ sister group with *Hippolyte* H. australiensis in the phylogenetic tree. An identification key to mature female Hippolyte of the Indo-West Pacific and neighboring seas is provided.

**Key words:** Caridea, *Hippolyte*, Integrative taxonomy, Marine biodiversity, New species, South China Sea

## Introduction

Shrimps ~~belonging to~~ the genus *Hippolyte* Leach, 1814, ~~which display incredible~~ high diversity in morphology, coloration, and ecological habits, ~~are~~ mainly occur in tropical and temperate oceans, but can also occur in the polar region. For example, *H. varians* Leach, 1814 were recorded from the Arctic Circle in Norway (d'Udekem d'Acoz, 2007). Most *Hippolyte* species commonly perching ~~inhabit in the~~ seaweed of the euphotic layer ~~of tropical and subtropical oceans around the planet~~, and a few are obligatory or facultative symbionts of other organisms, such as gorgonians, and crinoids (d'Udekem d'Acoz, 2007; Marin et al., 2011). ~~and display wondrously bio diverse in morphology, coloration, and ecological habit.~~ In the last decade, the taxonomy, phylogeny, and biology of *Hippolyte* genus ~~has have~~ attracted considerable attention ~~in the research of taxonomy, phylogeny and biology~~ (Manjón-Cabeza et al., 2011; Marin et al., 2011; Terossi & Mantelatto, 2012; Liasko et al., 2015; Duarte & Flores, 2017; Duarte et al., 2017; Gan & Li, 2017a; 2017b; Liasko et al., 2017; Terossi et al., 2017). Currently, a total of 35 valid species are recognized worldwide (d'Udekem d'Acoz, 1996; 2007; De Grave & Fransen, 2011; Marin et al., 2011; Gan & Li, 2017a; 2017b; Terossi et al., 2017). Among these species, ~~no more than half of them~~ fewer than half (about approximately 12 species) ~~are~~ occurring in the Indo-West Pacific region. ~~In the meantime~~ Moreover, some unnamed ~~species or~~ cryptic species ~~are were~~ also documented in ~~the~~ previous publications (Hayashi, 1986; d'Udekem d'Acoz, 1996; 2007; Terossi et al., 2017) waiting to be revised.

Because of its extensive morphological diversity and ~~morphic~~ overlap, ~~as well as complex information described in previously published literature~~ as well as the confusions appeared in the literatures, the taxonomical research of *Hippolyte* ~~is has~~ always ~~been~~ considered ~~to be~~ difficult based ~~on the morphological method~~ morphology (d'Udekem d'Acoz, 1996; Gan & Li, 2017a). The situation is even more ~~serious referring to~~ complicated for the '*Hippolyte*-*H. ventricosa* H. Milne Edwards, 1837' species complex, which includes *H. acuta* (Stimpson, 1860), *H. australiensis* (Stimpson, 1860), *H. ngi* Gan & Li, 2017; *H. singaporensis* Gan & Li, 2017, *H. ventricosa* H. Milne Edwards, 1837, *Hippolyte* sp. A from Australia, *Hippolyte* sp. B from Hawaii, *Hippolyte* sp. C from the Malay Archipelago, and *Hippolyte* sp. D from Madagascar (d'Udekem d'Acoz, 1996; Gan & Li, 2017a; 2017b). More recently, Terossi et al. (2017) further recognized four cryptic or pseudocryptic species (*H. ventricosa* group-sp. 1 and sp. 2 from Indonesia, *H. ventricosa* group-sp. 3 from Fiji, and *H. ventricosa* group-sp. 4 from Taiwan) based on genetic analysis, ~~but however~~, their morphological features are ~~greatly very~~ similar to ~~those of~~ *H. ventricosa*.

During recent biodiversity surveys of islands (2014–2018) of the South China Sea, dozens of *Hippolyte* specimens were collected from Hainan Island and ~~the~~ Xisha Islands by snorkeling. After detailed examination and multiple ~~analysis~~ analyses, we described ~~d~~ two new species of the

**Comentário [AdS1]:** Rephrase this sentence. E.g. inhabit the euphotic layer on seaweed beds?

**Comentário [AdS2]:** This sentence should be revised. It is not clear what *H. ventricosa* are you exactly mentioning in the end.

79 ‘*H. ventricosa* H. Milne Edwards, 1837’ species complex based on integrative methods, namely,  
80 the validity of the new species is supported by the morphological, genetic and ecological data.

## 81 82 **Materials & Methods**

83 **Sample collection and morphological examination.** All the specimens were collected by a  
84 handheld net when snorkeling among the seaweed. After photographing, the specimens were  
85 preserved in 95% ethanol. Dissection and illustrations were made using a stereomicroscope  
86 (Nikon SMZ 1500, Japan) and a microscope (Nikon AZ100, Japan). Measurements and length  
87 ratios were calculated according to the method proposed by d’Udekem d’Acoz (1996). All the  
88 specimens are ~~depositing~~ deposited in the Marine Biological Museum of Chinese Academy of  
89 Sciences (MBM) in the Institute of Oceanology of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Qingdao,  
90 China (IOCAS)

91 **Molecular data and analysis.** Total genomic DNA was extracted from the pleopods of the  
92 specimens using the QIAamp DNA Mini Kit (QIAGEN, Germany) following the manufacturer’s  
93 instructions. The extracted DNA was eluted in 100 µl of double-distilled H<sub>2</sub>O (ddH<sub>2</sub>O). Partial  
94 sequences of the 16S rRNA genes were amplified from the diluted DNA via the polymerase  
95 chain reaction (PCR). The reactions were carried out in a 50-µl volume containing the following  
96 reagents: 25 µl Premix Taq (TaKaRa Taq™ Version 2.0 plus dye, Japan), 1 µl forward and  
97 reverse primers (10 µM) respectively, 3 µl DNA template, and 20 µl ddH<sub>2</sub>O. The primers 16S-  
98 AR/1472, 5'-CGCCTGTTTATCAAAAACAT-3'/5'-AGATAGAAACCAACCTGG-3', were  
99 used (Crandall & Fitzpatrick, 1996). The PCR profile was as follows: 3 min at 94 °C for initial  
100 denaturation, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 30 s, annealing at 52 °C for 40 s  
101 and elongation at 72 °C for 50 s, with a final extension at 72 °C for 10 min. The PCR products  
102 were purified using the QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit (QIAGEN, Germany), and then  
103 bidirectionally sequenced using the same primers with an ABI 3730xl Analyzer (Applied  
104 Biosystems, USA). The obtained sequences were checked and proofread by ContigExpress 6.0 (a  
105 component of the Vector NTI Suite 6.0).

106 ~~Besides of~~In addition to the sequences obtained by PCR (Table 1, Dataset S1), we ~~also~~  
107 downloaded some ~~other sequences of Hippolyte~~ sequences species from Genbank ~~with caution,~~  
108 including the previously reported cryptic or pseudocryptic species, namely *H. ventricosa* group-  
109 sp. 1 (KX588914), *H. ventricosa* group-sp. 2 (KX588915), *H. ventricosa* group-sp. 3  
110 (KX588915), and *H. ventricosa* group-sp. 4 (KX588915) reported by Terossi et al. (2017) and *H.*  
111 *ventricosa* group-sp. 5 (KF023090) reported by De Grave et al. (2014). The molecular data,  
112 including 37 sequences of 16S rRNA genes, were aligned using MUSCLE 3.8 (Edgar, 2004).  
113 The highly divergent and poorly aligned sites were omitted from the alignment according to  
114 GBLOCKS 0.91b (Castresana, 2000). The best-fitting nucleotide base substitution model  
115 (GTR+I+G) for the alignment data was determined by ModelTest 3.7 (Posada & Crandall,  
116 1998). Then this model was subsequently applied to phylogenetic analysis using the maximum  
117 likelihood (ML) method by PhyML 3.1 (Guindon & Gascuel, 2003) with 1000 bootstrap  
118 reiterations. The Bayesian inference (BI) tree was constructed using MrBayes 3.2 (Huelsenbeck

Comentário [AdS3]: Provide more information on the Island biodiversity surveys, here.

& Ronquist, 2001), the Markov chains were run for 2000000 generations, with sampling every 2000 generations, after the first 25% trees were discarded as burn-in, the remaining trees were used to construct the 50% majority-rule consensus tree and to estimate posterior probabilities. The genetic distances were calculated under the Kimura 2-parameter (K2P) model in MEGA 7.0 (Kumar et al., 2016).

**Ecological data.** When the shrimp specimens were captured, their biotopes (mainly the algal colony ~~where-in which~~ the shrimp living in) were documented.

The following abbreviations are used: CL, carapace length, the length from the posterior orbital margin to the posterior dorsal border of the carapace; Coll., collector (s).

The electronic version of this article in Portable Document Format (PDF) will represent a published work according to the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature (ICZN), and hence the new names contained in the electronic version are effectively published under that Code from the electronic edition alone. This published work and the nomenclatural acts it contains have been registered in ZooBank, the online registration system for the ICZN. The ZooBank LSIDs (Life Science Identifiers) can be resolved and the associated information viewed through any standard web browser by appending the LSID to the prefix <http://zoobank.org/>. The LSID for this publication is: ~~[*Hippolyte chacei* sp. nov. urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:2A219926-8CEC-4106-930A-8CF7EB14417E; *Hippolyte nanhaiensis* sp. nov. urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:A0FDA677-E061-448A-A323-DEFD5AF23C72; and the publication under is urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:1186ACB4-410C-4061-BE93-97CE040F0702].~~ The online version of this work is archived and available from the following digital repositories: PeerJ, PubMed Central and CLOCKSS.

## Results

### Taxonomy

#### Order Decapoda Latreille, 1802

#### Family Hippolytidae Spence Bate, 1888

#### Genus *Hippolyte* Leach, 1814

#### *Hippolyte chacei* sp. nov.

(Figs. 1–54, 40A–5A)

**Material examined.** *Holotype*: MBM285015, non-ovigerous female, 3.3 mm CL, Hongtang bay, Hainan Island, northern South China Sea, 1–3 m, Coll. Z B, Gan, 25 March 2018 (GenBank accession number of 16S rRNA gene: MK231007). *Paratypes*: MBM285016, 1 male, 2.3 mm CL, same collection data as holotype (GenBank accession number of 16S rRNA gene: MK231008); MBM285017, 2 non-ovigerous female, 2.7–3.0 mm CL, Houhai bay, Hainan Island, northern South China Sea, 2–3 m, Coll. Z B, Gan, 22 March 2018.

**Description.** ~~Large-sized shrimp of *Hippolyte*, o~~Outline robust (Fig. 1, 2). Ratio lateral length/height of carapace 1.56–1.72. Rostrum long, slightly shorter than carapace, distinctly overreaching antennular peduncle, nearly reaching to the end of scaphocerite, ~~without~~ without lateral carina, superior border slightly concave, unarmed in the female specimens (Fig. 3A–2A,B) and armed with only one proximal tooth in the male specimen (Fig. 3C–2C), inferior border slightly convex, armed with 4 teeth in the distal half length. Carapace smooth and glabrous, with robust

159 supraorbital ~~spine~~, antennal ~~spine~~ and hepatic spines (Fig. ~~3A2A~~,B). Base of supraorbital spine  
160 posterior to ~~the~~ posterior orbital margin. Tip of antennal spine slightly overreaching inferior  
161 orbital angle. Tip of hepatic spine falling short of anterior edge of carapace. Inferior orbital angle  
162 strongly produced, knob-like (Fig. ~~3B2B~~,D). Branchiostegal margin with ~~a~~ distinct notch.  
163 Pterygostomian region rounded, strongly produced (Fig. 1,~~3B2B~~).

Comentário [AdS4]: This sentence is difficult to understand. Revise it, please.

164 Abdominal segments smooth (Fig. 1). Third abdominal segment geniculately curved. Ratio  
165 ~~dorsal~~ length/height of the sixth abdominal segment 1.95–2.10. Telson (Fig. ~~3E2E~~) longer than  
166 the sixth abdominal segment; ~~posterior~~ margin rounded, armed with eight strong spines, outer  
167 spines smallest, medial two longest, without intermediate spinules ~~or setae~~; ~~Dorsal dorsal~~ surface  
168 armed with two pairs of spines situated on distal 0.31–0.35 and 0.59–0.63 telson length.

Comentário [AdS5]: Is this word needed here?

169 Eye (Fig. ~~3A2A~~) well developed; ~~tip~~ of cornea nearly reaching to the end of first segment of  
170 antennular peduncle when extended forward; unpigmented part of eyestalk longer than broad;  
171 cornea semispherical, distinctly shorter than unpigmented part of eyestalk.

172 Antennular peduncle (Fig. ~~3E2E~~) slightly overreaching ~~middle~~ length of scaphocerite. First  
173 segment of antennular peduncle with one well developed distolateral tooth; ~~inner ventral~~ tooth  
174 (Fig. ~~3G2G~~) ~~on~~ 0.47–0.50 of first segment (excluding distolateral tooth). Stylocerite large,  
175 reaching 0.56–0.62 (distolateral tooth included), or 0.69–0.75 (distolateral tooth excluded) of  
176 first segment. Second segment of antennular peduncle 0.81–0.86 times as long as broad in dorsal  
177 view, approximately 0.86–0.98 times as long as third segment in dorsal view. Outer antennular  
178 flagellum shorter than inner ~~flagellum one~~ and proximal 6–8 segments thicker than  
179 ~~others~~ ~~others~~ distal ones. Scaphocerite (Fig. ~~2H2H~~) 3.06–3.18 times as long as wide, distolateral  
180 spine of scaphocerite far from reaching distal margin of blade, distolateral spine and blade  
181 separated by a distinct notch.

Comentário [AdS6]: Length?

182 Mandible (Fig. ~~4A3A~~,B) without palp, incisor process ~~unique in the genus Hippolyte~~, with 15–  
183 17 acute teeth. Maxillula (Fig. ~~4C3C~~) with broad curved palp, distal margin of upper lacinia  
184 armed with 14–18 spines and scattered simple long setae. Maxilla (Fig. ~~4D3D~~) with short palp; ~~scaphognathite~~  
185 broad and long, lateral border nearly straight; inner lacinia bilobed, distal margin  
186 furnished with row of spines and long plumose setae; proximal endite round, with long setae on  
187 distal margin. Epipod of first maxilliped (Fig. ~~4E3E~~) slightly bilobed, endopod broad with distal  
188 long setae, exopod ~~with~~ well-developed, caridean lobe broad. Second maxilliped (Fig. ~~4F3F~~)  
189 with well-developed exopod, flagelliform; endopod normal, dactylar segment oval, terminal  
190 margin furnished with simple and spinous setae; propodal segment with anteromedial margin  
191 round, bearing simple setae; carpus broader than long, and shorter than merus; ischium and basis  
192 fused. Third maxilliped (Fig. ~~4G3G~~) reaching to 0.32–0.39 of the scaphocerite when extended  
193 forward; ~~Exopod exopod~~ relatively short, only reaching to the mid-length of antepenultimate  
194 segment; ~~of endopod~~; ~~Ultimate ultimate~~ segment (excluding apical spine) of endopod 1.23–1.32  
195 times as long as penultimate segment, distal half armed with 7–9 strong spines; antepenultimate  
196 segment nearly equal length to the last two segments combined.

197 First pereopod (Fig. ~~5A4A~~) shortest among pereopods, robust and oblique, reaching to the  
198 end of basicerite when extended forward. Ventral margin of ischium, merus and carpus furnished

with long simple setae. Terminal margin of carpus cotyloid. Cutting edges of chela non-denticulate, with tiny setula and long simple distal setae; tip of fingers armed with 3 acute spines respectively (Fig. 5B4B).

Second pereiopod (Fig. 5C4C) slightly overreaching the end of third maxilliped when extended forward. Carpus with three subsegments, first subsegment 1.70–1.85 times as long as second subsegment, third subsegment slightly longer than or subequal to first subsegment; first subsegment 2.45–2.56 times as long as wide, second subsegment 1.08–1.12 times as long as wide, third subsegment 2.06–2.12 times as long as wide. Cutting edges of chela not denticulate, with tiny setula and long simple setae; tip of fixed finger and dactylus armed with 3 acute spines respectively (Fig. 5D4D).

Third to fifth pereiopods long and robust. Third pereiopod (Fig. 5E4E) of female specimen reaching to the distolateral spine of scaphocerite when extended forward; ~~Dactylus-dactylus of third pereiopod~~ with 13–16 spines, the last 2–3 subdorsal spines distinctly shorter than the other terminal spines (Fig. 5F4F); ~~Propodus-propodus~~ 5.56–5.62 times as long as wide, armed with 6–7 pairs of spines on ventral margin; ~~Carpus-carpus~~ 2.66–2.73 times as long as wide, armed with one proximal lateral spine; ~~Merus-merus~~ 5.58–5.62 times as long as wide, armed with 3 lateral spines. Ratio length of third pereiopod dactylus with longest apical spine/length of propodus 0.45–0.49; ratio length of third pereiopod dactylus with longest apical spine/length of carpus 0.79–0.83; ratio length of dactylus without spines/breadth of dactylus without spines 2.61–2.69; ratio length of dactylus with longest spines/breadth of dactylus without spines 2.95–3.10; ratio length of longest spine of dactylus/breadth of dactylus without spines 0.62–0.71; ratio length of longest spine of dactylus/length of dactylus without spines 0.22–0.28. Third pereiopod (Fig. 5G4G,H) of male specimen with propodus and dactylus forming a prehensile apparatus. Fourth and fifth pereiopods (Fig. 5H4H,J,K,L) similar in shape to third pereiopod of female specimen, but slightly decreasing in size. Merus of fourth pereiopod armed with 2 lateral spine; merus of fifth pereiopod without lateral spine.

First pleopod (Fig. 5M4M) of female specimen normal, endopod about 0.54–0.62 times as long as exopod. First pleopod (Fig. 5N4N) of male specimen with endopod about 0.41–0.46 times as long as exopod. Second pleopod (Fig. 5O4O) of male specimen with endopod about 0.81–0.89 times as long as exopod, appendix masculina with 9 apical setae, about 0.39–0.43 times as long as appendix interna (Fig. 5P4P).

**Coloration.** Generally light brown over body (Fig. 25A), with few tawny stripes on carapace, and ~~with faint pindling~~ tawny spots on abdomen.

**Biotope.** All specimens were captured among gulfweed (*Sargassum* sp.) ~~under the at~~ depths of 1–3 m. Plenty of *Hippolyte* cf. *ventricosa* were ~~eo-~~ captured ~~at the same times~~ simultaneously, ~~the ratio of prisal nearly reached to 1:8.~~

**Distribution.** Hongtang bay and Houhai bay, Hainan Island, northern South China Sea; ~~presumably~~ Presumably, also distribute in Malayan Archipelago and Madagascar (see discussion).

Comentário [AdS7]: Where are this in the figure?

Comentário [AdS8]: Occurs? Revise the sentence.

238 **Etymology.** The new species is named after Dr. Fenner A. Chace, Jr. in recognition of his  
239 great contribution to the crustacean taxonomy.  
240

241 *Hippolyte nanhaiensis* sp. nov.

242 (Figs. 6–9, ~~10B–E~~ E)

243 **Material examined.** *Holotype*: MBM285018, ovigerous female, 1.6 mm CL, Ganquan Island,  
244 Xisha Islands, the South China Sea, 1–3 m, Coll. Z B, Gan, 15 May 2015 (GenBank accession  
245 number of 16S rRNA gene: MK231005). *Paratypes*: MBM285019, 1 male, 1.1 mm CL, same  
246 collection data as holotype (GenBank accession number of 16S rRNA gene: MK231006);  
247 MBM189210, 4 ovigerous female, 1.3–1.6 mm CL, 2 female, 1.2–1.3 mm CL, 2 male, 0.9–1.1  
248 mm CL, 1 juvenile 0.6 mm CL, same collection data as holotype; MBM189211, 19 ovigerous  
249 female, 1.3–1.9 mm CL, 6 female, 1.0–1.4 mm CL, 5 male, 0.8–1.1 mm CL, 5 juvenile 0.6–0.8  
250 mm CL, Bei Island, Xisha Islands, the South China Sea, 1–3 m, Coll. Z B, Gan, 13 May 2015.

251 **Description.** ~~Middle-sized shrimp of Hippolyte, e~~Outline ~~stout~~soft (Fig. 6, ~~10B–E~~ E). Ratio  
252 ~~lateral~~ length/height of carapace 1.49–1.58. Rostrum distinctly shorter than carapace, reaching to  
253 or slightly overreaching the end of antennular peduncle, without lateral carina; superior border  
254 straight, armed with 1–2 tooth in proximal position (Fig. 7A–D); inferior border armed with 1  
255 ~~subdistal tooth~~~~distal teeth~~ in female specimens (Fig. 7C), and unarmed or only with 1 tiny distal  
256 notch in male specimens (Fig. 7D). Carapace smooth and glabrous, with supraorbital ~~spine~~,  
257 antennal ~~spine~~ and hepatic spines (Fig. 7B,C). ~~Base of supraorbital spine posterior to posterior~~  
258 ~~orbital margin~~. Antennal spine small, slightly overreaching inferior orbital angle. Hepatic spine  
259 reaching to or slightly overreaching anterior edge of carapace. Inferior orbital angle produced,  
260 knob-like (Fig. 7B,C). Branchiostegal margin sinuous. Pterygostomian region rounded, strongly  
261 produced (Fig. 7C).

262 Abdominal segments smooth (Fig. 6), without or with few long plumose setae on tergum.  
263 Third abdominal segment geniculately curved. Ratio ~~dorsal~~ length/height of the sixth abdominal  
264 segment 1.91–2.08. Telson (Fig. 7E) longer than sixth abdominal segment, ~~posterior~~ posterior margin  
265 rounded, armed with eight strong spines, outer spines smallest, medial two longest, without or  
266 with two intermediate long plumose setae. ~~Dorsal~~ ~~dorsal~~ surface armed with two pairs of spines  
267 situated on distal 0.21–0.26 and 0.43–0.49 telson length.

268 Eye (Fig. 7A) well developed, tip of cornea falling short of the first segment of antennular  
269 peduncle when extended forward, ~~unpigmented~~ unpigmented part of eyestalk slightly longer than broad, ~~cornea~~  
270 cornea semispherical, slightly shorter than unpigmented part of eyestalk.

271 Antennular peduncle (Fig. 7F) distinctly overreaching mid-~~dle~~ length of scaphocerite. First  
272 segment of antennular peduncle with one distolateral tooth, ~~inner~~ ventral tooth (Fig. 7G) on  
273 0.59–0.66 of first segment (excluding distolateral tooth), ~~small~~ ~~small~~. Stylocerite large, reaching  
274 0.86–0.92 (distolateral tooth included), or 0.76–0.81 (distolateral tooth excluded) of first  
275 segment. Second segment of antennular peduncle 0.88–0.96 times as long as broad in dorsal  
276 view, 0.83–0.95 times as long as third segment. Outer antennular flagellum shorter than inner  
277 flagellum and proximal 7–9 segments thicker than ~~others~~ ~~others~~ distal ones. Scaphocerite (Fig.

Formatada: Português (Portugal)

Comentário [AdS9]: I question if this is the right place for this word. Please, revise the sentence.

Comentário [AdS10]: Revise this sentence to clarify the meaning.

Comentário [AdS11]: ?



278 7H) 2.19–2.38 times as long as wide, distolateral spine of scaphocerite far from reaching distal  
279 margin of blade, distolateral spine and blade separated by a notch.

280 Mouthparts typical for genus. Mandible (Fig. 8A) without palp, incisor process with 5 acute  
281 teeth. Maxillula (Fig. 8B) with curved palp, distal margin of upper lacinia armed with 8–10  
282 spines and two long plumose setae. Maxilla (Fig. 8C) with ~~pudgy-short~~ palp; scaphognathite  
283 broad in upper ~~half~~ and narrow in lower ~~er half~~, lateral border nearly straight; inner lacinia bilobed,  
284 distal margin furnished with spinous setae; proximal endite round, with long plumose setae on  
285 distal margin. Endopod of first maxilliped (Fig. 8D) slender, with long plumose setae; exopod  
286 with feeble caridean lobe on base. Second maxilliped (Fig. 8E) with well-developed exopod,  
287 flagelliform; endopod normal, dactylar segment arch~~ed~~, terminal margin armed with row of long  
288 spines; propodal segment bearing few long plumose setae; carpus longer than broad, shorter than  
289 merus. Third maxilliped (Fig. 8F) reaching to mid-~~lengthlength~~ of the scaphocerite when  
290 extended forward; exopod reaching to 0.72–0.79 of antepenultimate segment; ultimate segment  
291 (excluding apical spine) of endopod 1.61–1.78 times as long as penultimate segment, distal half  
292 armed with 6–9 strong spines; antepenultimate segment slightly shorter than the last two  
293 segments combined.

294 First pereopod (Fig. 9A) shortest among pereopods, oblique, nearly reaching to mid-  
295 ~~lengthlength~~ of the scaphocerite when extended forward. Ventral margin of basis, ischium, and  
296 merus furnished with long plumose setae. Terminal margin of carpus cotyloid. Cutting edges of  
297 chela non-denticulate, with tiny setula and long simple setae; tip of fixed finger with 3 acute  
298 spines, tip of dactylus with 4 acute spines (Fig. 9B).

299 Second pereopod (Fig. 9C) slightly ~~overreachingreaching-to~~ the distolateral spine of  
300 scaphocerite when extended forward. Carpus with three subsegments, first subsegment 2.13–2.26  
301 times as long as second subsegment, third subsegment slightly shorter than first subsegment; first  
302 subsegment 2.65–2.76 times as long as wide, second subsegment 1.08–1.16 times as long as  
303 wide, third ~~subsegment~~ 1.76–1.83 times as long as wide. Cutting edges of chela not denticulate,  
304 with ~~tiny setula and long simple distal~~ setae. Tip of fixed finger with 3 acute spines, tip of  
305 dactylus with 4 acute spines (Fig. 9D).

306 Third to fifth pereopods long and robust. Third pereopod (Fig. 9E) ~~of female specimen~~  
307 reaching beyond terminal blade of scaphocerite by dactylus when extended forward-; ~~Dactylus~~  
308 ~~dactylus of third pereopod~~ with 8–10 spines, all spines in ventral and apical positions (none in  
309 dorsal or subdorsal positions), ~~with~~ two ~~large~~-apical spines ~~larger than others~~ (the ultimate longer  
310 but thinner than the penultimate) (Fig. 9F)-; ~~Propodus-propodus~~ 6.98–7.12 times as long as  
311 wide, armed with 4–6 pairs of spines on ventral margin-; ~~Carpus-carpus~~ 2.96–3.14 times as long  
312 as wide, armed with one proximal lateral spine-; ~~Merus-merus~~ 6.45–6.63 times as long as wide,  
313 armed with 2 lateral spines. Ratio length of third pereopod dactylus with longest apical  
314 spine/length of propodus 0.42–0.46; ratio length of third pereopod dactylus with longest apical  
315 spine/length of carpus 0.86–0.92; ratio length of dactylus without spines/breadth of dactylus  
316 without spines 2.86–2.93; ratio length of dactylus with longest spines/breadth of dactylus without  
317 spines 4.35–4.43; ratio length of longest spine of dactylus/breadth of dactylus without spines



1.50–1.55; ratio length of longest spine of dactylus/length of dactylus without spines 0.53–0.58. Third pereopod (Fig. 9G,H) of male specimen with propodus and dactylus forming a prehensile apparatus (Fig. 9G,H). Fourth and fifth pereopods (Fig. 9I,J) similar in shape to third pereopod of female specimen, but slightly decreasing in size. Merus of fourth pereopod armed with 0–1 lateral spine; merus of fifth pereopod without lateral spine.

First pleopod (Fig. 9K) of female specimen normal, endopod about 0.72–0.78 times as long as exopod. First pleopod (Fig. 9L) of male specimen with endopod about 0.25–0.29 times as long as exopod. Second pleopod (Fig. 9M) of male specimen with endopod about 0.79–0.86 times as long as exopod; appendix masculina with 8 apical setae, about 0.41–0.47 times as long as appendix interna (Fig. 9N).

**Coloration and Biotores.** The specimens collected in from different biotores exhibiting exhibited different body colours. Specimens (Fig. 10B,C) captured among *Galaxaura* sp. are generally pink over the body with numerous white spots; specimens (Fig. 10D,E) captured among *Halimeda* sp. are generally light green over the body with white or pink stains on the carapace, abdomen, and telson. All specimens were captured under the at depths of 1–3 m; without other *Hippolyte* spp. was co-captured.

**Distribution.** Xisha Islands, the South China Sea; presumably Presumably, also distribute in Taiwan Islands (see discussion).

**Etymology.** ‘Nanhai’ means the South China Sea; the new species is named after its type locality.

## Discussion

*Hippolyte chacei* sp. nov. can be distinguished from all of the valid *Hippolyte* species of *Hippolyte* by its the particular unique dactyli of the third to fifth pereopods. This kind of dactyli was seldom recorded from in previous literatures; and all the specimens with this kind of dactyli were under the name previously considered as *H. ventricosa* once upon a time, namely such as specimens the recorded from Malayan Archipelago (of Holthuis (1947) from Malayan Archipelago, the record of Ledoyer (1970) from Madagascar (Ledoyer, 1970), and also the record of Hayashi (1981) from Hawaii (Hayashi, 1981). d’Udekem-D’Udekem d’Acoz (1996) considered that all these specimens previous descriptions were not real *H. ventricosa*; and might represent some undescribed species. The the present work, based on molecular data, confirmed the this suspicion judgment of d’Udekem d’Acoz (1996) based on molecular data. In the 16S rRNA phylogenetic tree (Fig. 11) of 16S rRNA gene segments, *H. chacei* sp. nov. (two specimens) formed an lonely isolated branch clustered in the subbasal position of the Indo-West pacific-Pacific clade (Terossi et al., 2017); and Additionally, the average genetic divergence between *H. chacei* sp. nov. and other *Hippolyte* spp. is was 20.8%, which is slightly larger than the average interspecific genetic divergence, 20.5% (calculated from the 30 *Hippolyte* species of *Hippolyte* in the present study). According to Based on the oversimplified and inadequacy inadequate descriptions of by Holthuis (1947) and Ledoyer (1970), their specimens are were morphologically very similar to *H. chacei* sp. nov. in morphology; so Therefore, it is we speculated that their specimens may belong to *H. chacei* sp. nov.; however, the validation

358 ~~should be support~~this should be tested by a further detailed examination of the ~~specimens of~~  
359 Holthuis (1947) and Ledoyer (1970) ~~specimens~~. Hayashi (1981) stated that the mouthparts of ~~his~~  
360 ~~Hawaiian~~ specimens were similar to ~~those of~~ *H. edmondsoni* and *H. jarvisensis*, ~~and but this kind~~  
361 ~~of these~~ mouthparts ~~is~~ ~~weare~~ distinctly different from ~~that those~~ of *H. chacei* sp. nov., ~~and the~~  
362 ~~difference is also shown in~~ moreover, differences were also observed in the position of hepatic  
363 spine. Presumably, the specimens recorded by Hayashi (1981) may represent a different species  
364 from *H. chacei* sp. nov..

365 ~~Our specimens of Hippolyte chacei sp. nov. were captured among Sargassum sp. together with~~  
366 ~~Hippolyte cf. ventricosa. This may indicate that the two species occupy similar ecological niche,~~  
367 ~~but the ratio of prisa nearly reached to 1:8. We speculate that H. chacei sp. nov. probably remain~~  
368 ~~a predicament in the interspecific competition.~~

369 ~~In morphology~~Morphologically, *Hippolyte*-*H. nanhaiensis* sp. nov. is closely related to *H.*  
370 *acuta*, *H. australiensis*, *H. ngi*, ~~and~~ *H. singaporensis*, and *H. ventricosa* (~~redescribed by d'Udekem~~  
371 ~~d'Acoz, 1999-)~~. They ~~they~~ all have the ~~features of~~ first article of ~~the~~ antennular peduncle with  
372 one distolateral tooth, fifth pleonite without dorsolateral ~~tooth~~teeth, and third to fifth pereopods  
373 with normal dactyli. *H. nanhaiensis* sp. nov. differs ~~s~~ from *H. acuta*, *H. australiensis*, and *H. ngi*  
374 by ~~the its~~ shorter rostrum (reaching to or slightly overreaching the end of ~~the~~ antennular peduncle  
375 vs. distinctly overreaching the end of ~~the~~ antennular peduncle). Furthermore, *H. acuta* ~~is~~  
376 distinguished ~~ed~~ from *H. nanhaiensis* sp. nov. ~~also by a its~~ particularly long eyestalk (Stimpson,  
377 1860; Hayashi & Miyake, 1968; Yanagawa & Watanabe, 1988; d'Udekem d'Acoz, 1996), ~~and~~  
378 *H. australiensis* ~~is~~ distinguished ~~ed~~ from *H. nanhaiensis* sp. nov. by ~~the its~~ rostrum, ~~with which has~~  
379 ~~a sharp lateral carina~~, and ~~the also by its~~ dactyli of ~~the~~ third to fifth pereopods, ~~which with have~~  
380 4 large apical spines (d'Udekem d'Acoz, 2001). *H. ngi* differs ~~s~~ from *H. nanhaiensis* sp. nov. by  
381 ~~the its hepatic, which overreaches the anterior edge of carapace by distal half of hepatic spine~~  
382 ~~overreaches the anterior edge of carapace half length~~, and ~~also by its the~~ dactyli of ~~the~~ third to  
383 fifth pereopods ~~with, which have~~ 3 large apical spines (Gan & Li, 2017b).

384 According to the *H. ventricosa* redescription ~~of Hippolyte ventricosa~~ by d'Udekem d'Acoz  
385 (1999) based on type specimens, *H. ventricosa* and *H. nanhaiensis* sp. nov. both have ~~2 large~~  
386 ~~apical spines on~~ the dactyli of ~~the~~ third to fifth pereopods ~~with 2 large apical spines,~~  
387 ~~however~~However, the latter has more longer apical spines. The ratio ~~length of the~~ longest spine  
388 of ~~the~~ dactylus/length of ~~the~~ dactylus without spines is 0.53–0.58 in *H. nanhaiensis* sp. nov., but  
389 ~~it~~ is only 0.35 in *H. ventricosa*. The ~~rostrum of H. ventricosa~~ ~~rostrum~~ distinctly overreaches the  
390 end of ~~the~~ antennular peduncle, but ~~it~~ only reaches to or slightly overreaches the end of ~~the~~  
391 antennular peduncle in *H. nanhaiensis* sp. nov.. The ~~scaphocerite of H. ventricosa~~ ~~scaphocerite~~ is  
392 3.10 times as long as wide, ~~while it but~~ is 2.19–2.38 times as long as wide in *H. nanhaiensis* sp.  
393 nov.. According to d'Udekem d'Acoz (1999), the total length ~~of syntype of the~~ *H. ventricosa*  
394 ~~syntypes~~ is up to 17 mm, ~~which is~~ nearly two times larger than the largest ~~specimen of H.~~  
395 *nanhaiensis* sp. nov. ~~specimen~~. Furthermore, the two species ~~take up inhabit~~ different ecological  
396 niches; *H. ventricosa* ~~living lives~~ among *Zostera* sp. and *Padina* sp., ~~maybe and may also be~~  
397 ~~found~~ among *Sargassum* sp. ~~eteand~~ ~~other organisms~~. Nevertheless, *H. nanhaiensis* sp. nov. was

Comentário [AdS12]: What kind of organism? Please specify.

398 not found from any of these biotopes; it was only captured from *Galaxaura* sp. and *Halimeda*  
399 sp., among which are currently found in higher temperatures at present, and no other species of  
400 *Hippolyte* were co-captured no congeneric species were found from these biotopes either. As  
401 different species possibly present may have different ecological requirements, and prefer  
402 different biotopes (d'Udekem d'Acoz, 1996).

403 In the 16S rRNA phylogenetic tree (Fig. 4+10) of 16S rRNA gene segments, *Hippolyte* *H.*  
404 *nanhaiensis* sp. nov. (two specimens); formed a clade together with *H. ventricosa* group—sp. 4  
405 (Terossi et al., 2017), form a monophyletic clade being sister group with and this clade is sister to  
406 *H. australiensis*. The average genetic divergence between *H. nanhaiensis* sp. nov. and other  
407 *Hippolyte* spp. is 22.5%, which is larger than the average interspecific genetic divergence;  
408 (20.5%) (calculated from the 30 species of Hippolyte in the present study). The result of 16S  
409 rRNA sequences alignment of 16S rRNA gene segments shows showed that the *H. nanhaiensis*  
410 sp. nov. sequences were of H. nanhaiensis sp. nov. is identical with to, or only has had one  
411 nucleotide base different difference from, that of *H. ventricosa* group—sp. 4 (KX588916). In this  
412 ease Therefore, *H. ventricosa* group—sp. 4 and *H. nanhaiensis* sp. nov. may represent the same  
413 species.

414 During the biodiversity surveys (Hainai Island biodiversity surveys were conducted in 2014,  
415 2015, 2016, 2017, and 2018, the Xisha Islands biodiversity surveys were conducted in 2015,  
416 2016), dozens of *Hippolyte* *H. cf. ventricosa* (GenBank accession number of 16S rRNA gene:  
417 MK231003, MK231004, MK231009) were collected among biotopes of *Sargassum* sp. and  
418 *Thalassia* sp. from the nearshore waters of Hainai Hainan Island (no specimens were found from  
419 the Xisha Islands). These specimens have had the following features: (1) first article of the  
420 antennular peduncle has with one distolateral tooth, and fifth pleonite has no dorsolateral tooth;  
421 (2) carapace length of mature females is among 1.8–3.3 mm, and total length among is 13–24  
422 mm; (3) rostrum distinctly overreaches overreaching the end of the antennular peduncle but  
423 falling short of scaphocerite apex, superior border with 1–2 tooth teeth and inferior border with  
424 1–5 tooth teeth; (4) incisor process of mandible with 5–6 teeth; (5) scaphocerite 2.79–3.38 times  
425 as long as wide; (46) the dactyli of the third to fifth pereopods with 2 large apical spines, but the  
426 longest apical spines never exceeding the half-length of dactyli properly, the ratio length of the  
427 longest spine of dactylus/length of dactylus without spines is among 0.33–0.41; (57) the  
428 specimens displaying ing various colorations (Fig. 40F5F–G). All of these features differed from that  
429 those of *H. acuta*, *H. australiensis*, *H. ngi*, *H. singaporensis*, and *H. nanhaiensis* sp. nov., but  
430 indicate these specimens belong to are similar to those of *H. ventricosa* (based on the  
431 redescription by d'Udekem d'Acoz, 1999). However Recently, more than four cryptic or  
432 pseudocryptic species of *H. ventricosa* were detected through with molecular data (De Grave et  
433 al., 2014; Terossi et al., 2017), although d'Udekem d'Acoz (1999) detailedly redescrived H.  
434 ventricosa based on type specimens which were also morphologically very similar to *H.*  
435 *ventricosa* (De Grave et al., 2014; Terossi et al., 2017). Therefore, it is currently unclear which  
436 specimens represent confused to determine which one is true *H. ventricosa*; the 16S rRNA gene

Comentário [AdS13]: Praries?

Comentário [AdS14]: Specify the temperatures you are meaning here.

Comentário [AdS15]: Delete this.

Comentário [AdS16]: The information on the islands biodiversity surveys, including the exact dates of occurrence should be placed on the Mat&Met section. Remove it from here. A small description of each biotope (Hainai Island and Xisha Island should be included.

Comentário [AdS17]: This information should be added to the Results section and here it should be only discussed.

segment or other genetic data derived from the *H. ventricosa* topotype of *H. ventricosa* is expected to clarify this issue.

## Conclusions

As pointed out by d'Udekem d'Acoz (1999; 2001), the systematics of the Indo-West Pacific genus *Hippolyte* is extremely hysteric complicated, despite even though this region was is considered to be the origin center of the genus (Terossi et al., 2017). The taxonomic troubles confusion come from results from both the lack of knowledge on several species, such as *H. proteus*, *H. kraussiana*, and *H. acuta*, the poorly known species and the vast continuous variation of morphological characteristics. Our research indicates study revealed that the proportion of the rostrum, the scale of scaphocerite scale, the position of the hepatic spine, and the features of the dactyli of the third to fifth pereopods are more significant extremely important in *Hippolyte* morphological taxonomy of *Hippolyte*. And in the future, a new taxon established based on integrative datum, eg morphological data, genetic data, and ecological data and so on, will be more valuable and credible. A preliminary key to for the identification of mature female of the genus *Hippolyte* of the Indo-West Pacific and neighboring seas is provided. This key only contains the valid species listed in WoRMS (<http://www.marinespecies.org>), and the cryptic or pseudocryptic species of *H. ventricosa* are temporarily pooled together as '*H. ventricosa*' sensu lato.

Key to mature female of *Hippolyte* for the Indo-West Pacific and neighboring seas

- 1-First segment of antennular peduncle without distolateral tooth.....2
- 1-First segment of antennular peduncle with one distolateral tooth.....3
- 2-Merus of third pereopod with no more than one lateral spine, scaphocerite about 2.8 times as long as wide.....*H. proteus*
- 2-Merus of third pereopod with 3–5 lateral spines, scaphocerite about 3.5 times as long as wide.....*H. kraussiana*
- 3-Dactyli of third to fifth pereopods single-unguiculate, lacking or with tiny ventral spines, mainly associated with a Alcyonacean corals.....4
- 3-Dactyli of third to fifth pereopods with obvious ventral or subdorsal spines, mainly inhabited among seaweeds.....5
- 4-Carapace with dorsal surface fabulously gibbous..... *H. dossena*
- 4-Carapace with dorsal surface normal, not gibbous.....*H. commensalis*
- 5-Rostrum subequal to or shorter than carapace, not exceeding the end of antennular peduncle obviously.....6
- 5-Rostrum subequal to or longer than carapace, distinctly overreaching the end of antennular peduncle.....11
- 6-Rostrum less than half length of carapace, reaching to the end of first segment of antennular peduncle at most.....*H. edmondsoni*

Comentário [AdS18]: Relatively to exactly what?

Comentário [AdS19]: What exactly are the relevant morphological features of the scaphocerite? And it is the scaphocerite scale is exactly?

Formatada: Tipo de letra: Itálico

Comentário [AdS20]: Modify the numbering, e.g. 1a and 1b, etc. to make it clear.

Comentário [AdS21]: What this means exactly?

Comentário [AdS22]: Please replace this word with a more scientific one, clarifying what you mean here.

Comentário [AdS23]: What is a normal surface.

Comentário [AdS24]: When the rostrum is subequal to the carapace it is not possible to clearly distinguish the 2 taxa. Please revise this to make it clear.

477	6-Rostrum longer than the half length of carapace, reaching to the end of antennular	
478	peduncle.....	7
479	7-Rostrum without dorsal tooth, base of hepatic spine nearly situating at anterior edge of	
480	carapace.....	<i>H.singaporensis</i>
481	7-Rostrum with 1-2 dorsal teeth, base of hepatic spine situating at posterior to the anterior edge	
482	of carapace.....	8
483	8-Dactyli of third to fifth pereopods with three long terminal teeth, distal half of hepatic spine	
484	overreaching anterior edge of carapace.....	<i>H. ngi</i>
485	8-Dactyli of third to fifth pereopods with two terminal teeth, hepatic spine slightly overreaching	
486	anterior edge of carapace.....	9
487	9-Distal spine of dactylus of third pereopod longer than the half length of dactylus proper	
488	(excluding spines).....	10
489	9-Distal spine of dactylus of third pereopod shorter than the half length of dactylus proper	
490	(excluding spines).....	<i>H. jarvinensis</i>
491	10-Rostrum with postrostral spine, situating at just above the orbit.....	<i>H.caradina</i>
492	10-Rostrum without postrostral spine, all dorsal spines situating at prior to the	
493	orbit.....	<i>H. nanhaiensis</i> sp. nov.
494	11-Incisor process of mandible with no more than 8 acute teeth.....	12
495	11-Incisor process of mandible with 15–17 acute teeth, dactyli of third to fifth pereopods with 2–	
496	3 subdorsal spines.....	<i>H. chacei</i> sp. nov.
497	12-Unpigmented part of eyestalk 3 times as long as cornea.....	<i>H. acuta</i>
498	12-Unpigmented part of eyestalk no more than 3 times as long as cornea.....	13
499	13-Rostrum without dorsal spine.....	14
500	13-Rostrum with dorsal spine.....	15
501	14-Apex of the rostrum with trifold apex.....	<i>H.</i>
502	<i>multicolorata</i>	
503	14-Apex of rostrum normal, non-trifold simple.....	<i>H.</i>
504	<i>australiensis</i>	
505	15-Apex of the rostrum with bifid apex.....	<i>H. bifidirostris</i>
506	15-Apex of rostrum normal, non-bifid simple.....	<i>H. ventricosa</i>
507	<i>sensu lato</i>	
508		
509		
510		
511		

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 41506171 and 30370186) and the Scientific and Technological Innovation Project of the Pilot National Laboratory for Marine Science and Technology (Qingdao) (No. 2015ASKJ01). We are extremely grateful to Dr. Xinming Liu (*Guangxi Academy of Oceanography*) and Dr. Dong

Formatada: Inglês (Estados Unidos)

Formatada: Tipo de letra: Itálico

Dong ([Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences](#)) for their kind help with photographing the specimens, [and sincere thanks are extended to associate professor Yuanchao Li \(Hainan Academy of Ocean and Fisheries Sciences\) for his great help in the sample collection in the Xisha Islands.](#)

## References

- Castresana J. 2000. Selection of conserved blocks from multiple alignments for their use in phylogenetic analysis. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **17**(4): 540–552.
- Crandall KA, Fitzpatrick JF Jr. 1996. Crayfish molecular systematics: using a combination of procedures to estimate phylogeny. *Systematic Biology* **45**(1): 1–26.
- De Grave S, Li CP, Tsang LM Chu KH, Chan T-Y. 2014. Unweaving hippolytoid systematics (Crustacea, Decapoda, Hippolytidae): resurrection of several families. *Zoologica Scripta* **43**(5): 496–507.
- Duarte C, Flores AA. 2017. Morph-specific habitat and sex distribution in the caridean shrimp *Hippolyte obliquimanus*. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom* **97**(2): 235–242.
- Duarte RC, Flores AA, Vinagre C, Leal MC. 2017. Habitat-dependent niche partitioning between colour morphs of the algal-dwelling shrimp *Hippolyte obliquimanus*. *Marine Biology* **164**(11): 215.
- d'Udekem d'Acoz C. 1996. The genus *Hippolyte* Leach, 1814 (Crustacea: Decapoda: Caridea: Hippolytidae) in the East Atlantic Ocean and the Mediterranean Sea, with a checklist of all species in the genus. *Zoologische Verhandelingen* **303**: 1–133.
- d'Udekem d'Acoz C. 1999. Redescription of *Hippolyte ventricosa* H. Milne Edwards, 1837 based on syntypes, with remarks on *Hippolyte orientalis* Heller, 1862 (Crustacea, Decapoda, Caridea). *Zoosystema* **21**(1): 65–76.
- d'Udekem d'Acoz C. 2001. Redescription of *Hippolyte australiensis* (Stimpson, 1860) (Crustacea, Decapoda, Caridea). *Biologie* **71**: 37–44.
- d'Udekem d'Acoz C. 2007. New records of Atlantic *Hippolyte*, with the description of two new species, and a key to all Atlantic and Mediterranean species (Crustacea, Decapoda, Caridea). *Zoosystema* **29**(1): 183–207.
- Edgar RC. 2004. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. *Nucleic Acids Research* **32**(5): 1792–1797.
- Gan ZB, Li XZ. 2017a. A new species of the genus *Hippolyte* (Decapoda: Caridea: Hippolytidae) from South China Sea and Singapore. *Zootaxa* **4258**(1): 34–42.
- Gan ZB, Li XZ. 2017b. A new species of the genus *Hippolyte* (Decapoda: Caridea: Hippolytidae) from Singapore. *Raffles bulletin of zoology* **65**: 207–212.
- Guindon S, Gascuel O. 2003. A simple, fast, and accurate algorithm to estimate large phylogenies by maximum likelihood. *Systematic Biology* **52**(5): 696–704.



555 Hayashi KI. 1986. An annotated list of shrimps (Alpheidae and Palaemonidae excluded)  
 556 collected from the Gilbert and Solomon Islands. *Proceedings of the Japanese Society of*  
 557 *Systematic Zoology* **32**: 17–29.

558 Hayashi KI. 1981. The Central Pacific shrimps of the genus *Hippolyte*, with a description of two  
 559 new species (Decapoda, Caridea, Hippolytidae). *Pacific Science* **35**(3): 185–196.

560 [Hayashi KI, Miyake S. 1968. Studies on the hippolytid shrimps from Japan, V. Hippolytid fauna](#)  
 561 [of the sea around the Amakusa Marine Biological Laboratory. Ohmu \[Occasional papers of](#)  
 562 [Zoological Faculty of Agriculture, Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan\] 1\(6\): 121–163.](#)

563 Holthuis LB. 1947. The Hippolytidae and Rhynchocinetidae collected by the Siboga and Snellius  
 564 Expedition with remarks on other species. *Siboga Expeditie Monographie* **39a**: 1–100.

565 Huelsenbeck JP, Ronquist F. 2001. MRBAYES: Bayesian inference of phylogeny. *Biometrics*  
 566 **17**: 754–755.

567 Kumar S, Stecher G, Tamura K. 2016. MEGA7: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis  
 568 version 7.0 for bigger datasets. *Molecular biology and evolution* **33**(7): 1870–1874.

569 [Ledoyer M. 1970. Étude systématique et remarques écologiques sur les Caridea recueillis](#)  
 570 [principalement dans les biotopes de substrat meuble régions de Tuléar et de Nosy-Bé. Annales](#)  
 571 [de l'Université de Madagascar série Sciences de la nature et Mathématiques](#) **7**: 121–157.

572 [Liasko R, Anastasiadou C, Ntakos A. 2018. Eco-morphological consequences of the 'rostral loss'](#)  
 573 [in the intertidal marine shrimp \*Hippolyte sapphica\* morphotypes. Journal of the Marine](#)  
 574 [Biological Association of the United Kingdom](#) **98**(7): 1667–1673.

575 [Liasko R, Anastasiadou C, Ntakos A, Leonardos ID. 2015. How a sharp rostral dimorphism](#)  
 576 [affects the life history, population structure and adaptability of a small shrimp: the case study](#)  
 577 [of \*Hippolyte sapphica\*. Marine Ecology](#) **36**(3): 400–407.

578 [Manjón-Cabeza ME, Cobos V, Raso JEG. 2011. The reproductive system of \*Hippolyte\*](#)  
 579 [niezabitowskii \(Decapoda, Caridea\). Zoology](#) **114**(3), 140–149.

580 Marin I, Okuno J, Chan T-Y. 2011. On the “*Hippolyte commensalis* Kemp, 1925” species  
 581 complex (Decapoda, Caridea, Hippolytidae), with the designation of a new genus and  
 582 description of two new species from the Indo-West Pacific. *Zootaxa* **2768**: 32–54.

583 Posada D, Crandall KA. 1998. Modeltest: testing the model of DNA substitution. *Bioinformatics*  
 584 **14**(9): 817–818.

585 [Stimpson W. 1860. Prodromus descriptionis animalium evertebratorum, quae in Expeditione ad](#)  
 586 [Oceanum Pacificum Septentrionalem, a Republica Federate missa, Cadwaladaro Ring-gold et](#)  
 587 [Johanne Rodgers Ducibus, observavit et descripsit. Pars V. Crustacea Ocypodoidea.](#)  
 588 [Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia](#) **12**: 22–47.

589 [Terossi M, De Grave S, Mantelatto FL. 2017. Global biogeography, cryptic species and](#)  
 590 [systematic issues in the shrimp genus \*Hippolyte\* Leach, 1814 \(Decapoda: Caridea:](#)  
 591 [Hippolytidae\) by multimarker analyses. Scientific reports](#) **7**(1): 6697.

592 Terossi M, Mantelatto FL. 2012. Morphological and genetic variability in *Hippolyte*  
 593 *obliquimanus* Dana, 1852 (Decapoda, Caridea, Hippolytidae) from Brazil and the Caribbean  
 594 Sea. *Crustaceana* **85**(6): 685–712.

Formatada: Francês (França)

Formatada: Português (Portugal)

Formatada: Português (Portugal)

Formatada: Português (Portugal)

Formatada: Português (Portugal)

595 | Yanagawa SL, Watanabe S. 1988. Life history and morphology of the hippolytid shrimp  
596 | *Hippolyte ventricosa* in Kominato bay. *Bulletin of the Japanese Society of Scientific Fisheries*  
597 | **54(4):** 613–618.