

# Genome-wide analysis of circular RNAs in Goat skin fibroblast cells in response to Orf virus infection

Feng Pang, Mengmeng Zhang, Xiaojian Yang, Guohua Li, Shu Zhu, Xin Nie, Ruiyong Cao, Xiaohong Yang, Zhenxing Zhang, Haifeng Huang, Baobao Li, Chengqiang Wang, Li Du, Fengyang Wang \*

College of Animal Science and Technology, College of Tropical Agriculture and Forestry, Hainan University, Hainan Key Lab of Tropical Animal Reproduction & Breeding and Epidemic Disease Research, Haidian Island, Haikou 570228, People's Republic of China

\* Correspondence: fywang68@163.com

Tel.: +8613648616818

**Abstract:** Orf, caused by Orf virus (ORFV), is a globally distributed zoonotic disease responsible for serious economic losses in the agricultural sector. However, the mechanism underlying ORFV infection remains largely unknown. Circular RNAs (circRNAs), a novel type of endogenous non-coding RNAs, play important roles in various pathological processes but their involvement in ORFV infection and host response is unclear. In the current study, whole transcriptome sequencing and small RNA sequencing were performed in ORFV-infected goat skin fibroblast cells and uninfected cells. A total of 151 circRNAs, 341 messenger RNAs (mRNAs), and 56 microRNAs (miRNAs) were differentially expressed following ORFV infection. Four circRNAs: circRNA1001, circRNA1684, circRNA3127 and circRNA7880 were validated by qRT-PCR and Sanger sequencing. Gene ontology (GO) analysis indicated that host genes of differentially expressed circRNAs were significantly enriched in regulation of inflammatory response, epithelial structure maintenance, positive regulation of cell migration, positive regulation of ubiquitin-protein transferase activity, regulation of ion transmembrane transport, etc. The constructed circRNA-miRNA-mRNA network suggested that circRNAs may function as miRNA sponges indirectly regulating gene expression following ORFV infection. Our study presented the first comprehensive profiles of circRNAs in response to ORFV infection, thus providing new clues for the mechanisms of interactions between ORFV and the host.

## Introduction

Circular RNAs (circRNAs), a new member of non-coding RNAs, are generally produced by back-splicing of pre-mRNA (Barrett & Salzman 2016; Barrett et al. 2015). Because of their unique circular structure, circRNAs can resist the activity of RNA exonuclease digestion. Thus, they are more stable and have longer half-lives than their linear transcripts *in vivo* (Jeck et al. 2013; Suzuki et al. 2006). Moreover, circRNAs are highly abundant and are conserved among a variety of species (Barrett & Salzman 2016; Memczak et al. 2013). A number of circRNAs also present cell type- and tissue-specific expression patterns (Salzman et al. 2013; Szabo et al. 2015).

Increasing evidence suggests that circRNAs can function as competing endogenous RNAs (ceRNAs) or miRNA sponges, thereby acting as post-transcriptional regulatory elements (Hansen et al. 2013; Qu et al. 2015; Zhang et al. 2016). For instance, the circRNA ciRS-7, containing 73 conventional miR-7 binding sites, strongly inhibits miR-7 activity, thus increasing the expression

levels of miR-7 targets (Hansen et al. 2013). Many studies show that circRNAs play key roles in the progression of several serious types of disease such as cancer (Li et al. 2015; He et al. 2017a; Tian et al. 2017). Moreover, circRNAs are associated with viral infection and host-pathogen interactions. Shi et al. performed circRNA sequencing to study the expression profiles of circRNAs in Vero cells following infection with SV40 virus (Shi et al. 2017) while He et al. analyzed the roles of circRNA in host-grass carp reovirus interactions via deep sequencing (He et al. 2017b). However, the functions of circRNAs within host cells in response to Orf virus (ORFV) infection has not been studied thus far.

Orf, also called contagious ecthyma, is a globally-distributed zoonotic disease responsible for serious economic losses in the agricultural and animal husbandry industries (Friederichs et al. 2014). Infection usually occurs in the lips, oral mucosa, and around the nostrils of goats and sheep, with typical symptoms including erythema, papula, pustules, and scabs (Delhon et al. 2004). ORFV, the causative agent of Orf, is a zoonotic virus belonging to the *Parapoxvirus* genus (Zhang et al. 2014a). As such, humans may become infected with ORFV after coming into contact with infected animals (Kumar et al. 2014; Peng et al. 2016; Rajkomar et al. 2016). ORFV has a linear, double-stranded DNA genome approximately 130–140 kb in length and containing a putative 131 open reading frames (Delhon et al. 2004; Martins et al. 2017; Zhang et al. 2014a). To better understand the pathogenesis of ORFV, several previous studies have conducted genome sequencing of different ORFV isolates (Chi et al. 2015; Delhon et al. 2004; Zhao et al. 2010), as well as transcriptome sequencing of host cells following ORFV infection (Chen et al. 2017; Jia et al. 2017).

However, the specific characteristics and roles of circRNAs during ORFV infection remain unclear. Therefore, in the current study we conducted circRNA sequencing and small RNA sequencing of uninfected and ORFV-infected goat skin fibroblast (GSF) cells in an attempt to gain new insights into ORFV pathogenesis.

## Materials and methods

### Cell culture and viral infection

Goat skin fibroblast cells (GSF cells) were obtained from the Cell Bank of the Chinese Academy of Science (Kunming, China) and maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium (DMEM; Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Invitrogen) at 37°C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. When GSF cells seeded in 10-cm diameter dish reached ~90% confluence, the medium was removed and cells were rinsed three times with PBS. Cells were then infected with ORFV strain JLSY (tissue culture infectious dose 50% = 10<sup>6.2</sup>/mL), a gift from Professor Guixue Hu and Research Associate Hongze Shao, at a multiplicity of infection of one. Following incubation for 1 h at 37°C, the virus suspension was removed and cells were cultured for a further 6 h in standard medium.

### RNA extraction, library construction, and sequencing

Total RNA from both ORFV infected GSF samples (OV) and uninfected GSF samples were isolated using an Ambion *mirVana* miRNA Isolation Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The quality of total RNA were analyzed by Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA), and the concentration of the total RNA was quantified using a NanoDrop 2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Lafayette, Colorado, USA). In this study, circRNA libraries were constructed as below: Ribosomal RNA was removed from 5 µg aliquots of total RNA using an

Epicentre Ribo-Zero Gold Kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). Then, the RNA fractions were fragmented and were reverse transcribed using an mRNA-Seq Sample Preparation Kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). The cDNA libraries were then paired-end sequenced using an Illumina HiSeq-HiSeq-HiSeq 4000 platform (Lc-bio, Hangzhou, China). The raw and processed data have been deposited into the Gene Expression Omnibus database (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/>) under accession number GSE121725. (2) Small RNA libraries: About 1 µg total RNA of each sample was used for cDNA libraries construction with the Truseq-TruSeq Small RNA Preparation Kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) following the manufacture's protocol. Then, the cDNA libraries were single-end 50bp (SE50) sequenced with an Illumina HiSeq-HiSeq 2500 platform (Lc-bio, Hangzhou, China). The raw and processed data have been deposited into the Gene Expression Omnibus database (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/>) under accession number GSE121726.

#### Identification and differential expression analysis of circRNAs and mRNAs, and miRNAs

Firstly, Cutadapt (Martin 2011) was utilized to remove reads containing undetermined bases, adaptors, and low quality bases. Then sequence quality was verified using FastQC (<http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/>). Bowtie2 (Langmead & Salzberg 2012) and Tophat2 (Kim et al. 2013) were used to map reads to the *Capra hircus* (goat) reference genome (RefSeq assembly accession: GCF\_001704415.1). The matched reads of each sample were assembled using StringTie (Pertea et al. 2015). StringTie and Ballgown (Frazee et al. 2015) were utilized to evaluate the expression levels of all transcripts by Fragments per kilobase per million reads (FPKM). The dysregulated mRNAs were selected with  $|\log_2(\text{fold change})| \geq 1$  and  $p \leq 0.05$  by R package Ballgown (Frazee et al. 2015).

Any unmapped reads were individually mapped to the goat reference genome by TopHat-Fusion (Kim & Salzberg 2011). Then, reads mapped to goat genome using TopHat-Fusion were analyzed by CIRCexplorer to identify candidate circRNAs (Zhang et al. 2016; Zhang et al. 2014b). The criteria were as follows: 1) GU/AG must occur at both ends of splice sites; 2) less than two mismatches; 3) more than one back-spliced junction read in at least one sample of GSF or OV group; 4) two splice sites are no more than 100 kb apart on the genome. The expression of circRNAs was calculated by the number of reads spanning back-splicing junction and FPKM was used to normalize the expression level of circRNAs. CircRNAs with  $|\log_2(\text{fold change})| \geq 1$  and  $p \leq 0.05$  were regarded as differentially expressed by R package-edgeR (Robinson et al. 2014).

For miRNA analysis, ACGT101-miR (LC Sciences, Houston, Texas, USA) was used to acquire clean reads. Then, unique sequences containing 18 to 26 nucleotides were mapped to miRBase 21.0 by BLAST search to identify known and novel miRNAs. The expression level of miRNAs was normalized based on the read counts to tags per million counts (TPM). The significance standard was  $p \leq 0.05$ .

#### Gene ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) analysis

GO analysis was used to determine significantly enriched GO terms ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) by hypergeometric test (Beißbarth & Speed 2004; Consortium 2004). KEGG pathway analysis was used to explore significantly enriched pathways ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) by hypergeometric test (Kanehisa et al. 2017; Kanehisa et al. 2012).

$$P = 1 - \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \frac{\binom{M}{i} \binom{N-M}{m-i}}{\binom{N}{m}}$$

N: Total number of circRNA-hosting genes; n: The number of circRNA-hosting genes with

125 differential expression; M: The number of circRNA-hosting genes annotated to the GO term; m:  
126 The number of circRNA-hosting genes with differential expression annotated to the GO term

### 127 **Bioinformatics analysis and ceRNA network construction**

128 The circRNA-miRNA and miRNA-mRNA interactions were predicted using TargetScan 7.0  
129 and miRanda software. TargetScan 7.0 predicts the targets of miRNAs based on seed region  
130 homologies (Agarwal et al. 2015) while MiRanda is mainly based on the combination of free  
131 energy generated by miRNAs binding to their target genes (Betel et al. 2008). The lower the free  
132 energy, the stronger the binding. TargetScan score percentiles  $\geq 50$ , Miranda max free energy values  
133  $< -10$  and Miranda score  $> 140$  were defined as the cutoff points for targets prediction. To further  
134 explore the functional role of circRNAs, a ceRNA network was constructed using Cytoscape 3.6.0  
135 software (Shannon et al. 2003).

136  
137 **Validation of miRNAs**  
138 Six differentially expressed novel miRNAs (PC-3p-8215\_174, PC-5p-406\_14064, PC-5p-  
139 2253\_1210, PC-5p-5127\_361, PC-3p-10316\_124 and PC-3p-4306\_468) with mean TPM  $> 30$  in  
140 GSF samples or OV samples were selected for qPCR validation. First, the total RNA was reverse-  
141 ~~ly~~-transcribed using a miRNA 1st Strand cDNA Synthesis kit (Vazyme, Nanjing, China) with  
142 specific stem-loop primers (Table S1). Then, qRT-PCR assays were performed using miRNA  
143 Universal SYBR qPCR Master Mix (Vazyme, Nanjing, China) with specific forward primers (F)  
144 and the universal reverse primer (Table S1) ~~at~~ with an ABI 7500 Real-Time PCR System (Applied  
145 Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). U6 snRNA was used as an internal control for normalization  
146 of the expression level of these miRNAs. All experiments were conducted independently three  
147 times.

### 148 **Validation of circRNAs by qRT-PCR and Sanger sequencing**

149 Differentially expressed circRNAs with mean FPKM  $\geq 10$  (relatively high expression) in OV  
150 samples or GSF samples as well as possessing more than one back-spliced read in at least two  
151 replicates of OV samples or GSF samples were selected for confirmation by qRT-PCR. Thus, six  
152 down-regulated circRNAs: circRNA998, circRNA1000, circRNA1001, circRNA1684,  
153 circRNA3127, circRNA4287 and three up-regulated circRNAs: circRNA5112, circRNA7880,  
154 circRNA8565 were obtained. First, total RNA was reversely transcribed to cDNA using a Revert  
155 Aid First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Next, qPCR assays were  
156 performed with divergent primers using the ABI 7500 Real-Time PCR System (Applied  
157 Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) with  $2\times$  SYBR qPCR Mix (Aidlab, Beijing, China).  $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$   
158 method was used to calculate the relative expression level of circRNAs with goat glyceraldehyde-  
159 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (*GAPDH*) serving as an internal control. All experiments were  
160 conducted in triplicate. The PCR products from cDNA samples were ligated into pMD-19T (Takara,  
161 Dalian, China) for Sanger sequencing to determine the back-splicing junctions.

162 Moreover, genomic DNA (gDNA) was extracted from GSF cells using a Blood/Cell/Tissue  
163 Genome DNA Extraction Kit (Tiangen, Beijing, China). Both cDNA and gDNA were used as  
164 templates for PCR amplification using specific convergent and divergent primers (Table 1). The  
165 PCR products were examined using 1.5% agarose gel.

### 166 **Statistical analysis**

167 Statistically significance analysis was performed by Student's t-test, and  $p \leq 0.05$  was

168 considered statistically significant.

## 169 Results

### 170 *Properties of circRNAs in ORFV-infected and uninfected GSF cells*

171 We first performed circRNA sequencing, using the ribosomal RNA-depleted method, of three  
172 uninfected GSF samples (GSF samples) and three ORFV-infected samples (OV samples) on the  
173 Illumina HiSeqHiSeq 4000 platform. We acquired an average of 86 million and 93 million raw  
174 reads for the GSF and OV groups, respectively. Clean reads, which accounted for > 98.5% of the  
175 raw reads, were obtained after the removal of the low quality raw reads. The Q30 of each sample  
176 was ~93%. Most reads (~85%) were linearly mapped to the goat reference genome. Among the  
177 remaining unmapped reads, approximately 1% of reads from each sample were identified as back-  
178 spliced junction reads. Furthermore, we aligned the reads unmapped to goat genome from each  
179 sample to Orf virus reference genome (Orf virus NA1/11 strain under GenBank No. KF234407.1).  
180 The results indicated that a total of 125,619 reads, 165,878 reads and 157,779 reads were mapped  
181 to Orf virus genome in OV-1, OV-2, and OV-3 sample, respectively. There were nearly zero reads  
182 aligned to Orf virus genome in uninfected GSF samples (Table 2).

183 Finally, 9,979 and 10,844 circRNAs with more than one back-spliced read existed in at least  
184 one sample of GSF or OV group were identified, respectively (Table S2). Among these circRNAs,  
185 4,649 were shared between the groups, while 5,330 and 6,195 circRNAs were uniquely expressed  
186 in the GSF and OV samples, respectively (Figure 1A). Interestingly, approximately 98% of the  
187 circRNAs were exonic circRNAs (ecircRNAs), while the remaining 2% were circular intronic  
188 RNAs (ciRNA) based on their location in the goat genome (Figure 1B). These candidate circRNAs  
189 were widely distributed from chromosome 1 to chromosome 29 (Figure 1C). In particular,  
190 chromosomes 1, 2, 3, 10, and 11 produced more than 800 circRNAs, respectively. The lengths of  
191 the circRNAs varied from 300–1,300 bp (Figure 1D). More than 2,000 genes produced only one  
192 circRNA isoform, while 889 genes produced two different isoforms, 554 genes produced three  
193 circRNA isoforms, and 364 genes produced four isoforms, with the number of genes decreasing  
194 with the number of isoforms produced (Figure 1E).

195 Additionally, an average of ~~of~~ 40882 transcripts were detected in GSF samples while 40778  
196 transcripts were identified in three OV samples, respectively. Following miRNA sequencing, 695  
197 mature miRNAs in GSF samples and 674 miRNAs in OV samples were acquired, respectively. The  
198 mapping overview of miRNA sequencing ~~were-is~~ provided ~~at-in~~ Table S3.

### 199 *Differential expression analysis of circRNAs, mRNAs and miRNAs*

200 Based on the filtering criteria in materials and methods, a total of 151 circRNAs were identified  
201 as differentially expressed in ORFV-infected samples compared with GSF samples. Of these, 59  
202 circRNAs were up-regulated while 92 circRNAs were down-regulated (Figure 2A, 2B, Table S4).  
203 There were 341 differentially expressed mRNAs with 187 up-regulated mRNAs and 154 down-  
204 regulated mRNAs. The significant differences in transcript expression between OV samples and  
205 GSF samples was presented by clustered heatmap and volcano plot (Figure 2D, 2E, Table S5). As  
206 for miRNA, 23 miRNAs were up-regulated while 33 miRNAs were down-regulated. Among the  
207 56 differentially expressed miRNAs, 26 miRNAs were goat-derived in miRBase 21.0 and 7  
208 miRNAs (PC-3p-8215\_174, PC-5p-406\_14064, PC-5p-2253\_1210, PC-5p-5127\_361, PC-3p-  
209 10316\_124, PC-3p-19472\_48, PC-3p-4306\_468) were first reported (Figure 2A, 2C, Table S6).

Commented [BKCN1]: Is 'linearly' the word we mean here, or 'uniquely'?

## GO and KEGG analysis for host genes of differentially expressed circRNAs

Due to the fact that the biological functions of circRNAs may be associated with their corresponding parental transcripts, GO and KEGG analyses for host genes of dysregulated circRNAs were conducted in the study. The top 20 GO terms significantly enriched ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) in molecular function, cellular component, and biological process ~~we~~are presented in figure 3A. The top six enriched GO terms in cellular component were proteinaceous extracellular matrix, intercalated disc, voltage-gated sodium channel complex, plasma membrane, T-tubule and extracellular region. The top six enriched GO terms in molecular function were growth factor binding, voltage-gated sodium channel activity, neuropilin binding, semaphorin receptor binding, chemorepellent activity and integrin binding. Moreover, regulation of inflammatory response, epithelial structure maintenance, negative regulation of insulin secretion, positive regulation of cell migration, positive regulation of ubiquitin-protein transferase activity, regulation of ion transmembrane transport were significantly enriched in the biological process subgroup (Figure 3A, Table S7).

Next, we conducted KEGG enrichment analysis for circRNA-hosting genes. Nine pathways: Neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction, Tight junction, Rheumatoid arthritis, Transcriptional misregulation in cancers, Focal adhesion, Vascular smooth muscle contraction, Mismatch repair, Other types of O-glycan biosynthesis and Adherens junction were significantly enriched ( $p \leq 0.05$ ). Furthermore, pathways such as Platelet activation, Fc gamma R-mediated phagocytosis, Endocytosis, Cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction, ~~and~~ TGF-beta signaling pathway were also enriched ( $p > 0.05$ ). Fc gamma R-mediated phagocytosis and cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction were involved in host immune response to pathogen infection (Figure 3B, Table S8).

## Integrated analysis of circRNAs-miRNAs-mRNAs

CircRNAs could serve as miRNA sponges indirectly regulating gene expression. Therefore, we constructed ceRNA networks based on up-regulated circRNAs, down-regulated miRNAs and up-regulated genes or down-regulated circRNAs, up-regulated miRNAs and down-regulated genes respectively to explore the biological functions of circRNAs during ORFV infection (Figure 4). Interestingly, we found that chi-miR-103-5p\_R-7, chi-miR-26b-3p, chi-miR-92a-5p, and chi-miR-122-R\_1 could bind at least four circRNAs. Novel miRNA PC\_3p-10316\_124 was shared by both circRNA-186 and circRNA-10457 while chi-miR-2335 and circRNA8386 showed unique binding. The validated circRNA1684 could function as sponge of chi-miR-92a-5p indirectly down-regulating eleven genes ~~,-A~~and the validated circRNA-3127 could bind chi-miR-103-5P down-regulating eight genes.

## Validation of miRNAs and circRNAs

The qRT-PCR results showed all ~~the~~ six novel miRNAs could be specifically amplified. The expression levels of PC-3p-4306\_468, PC-5p-5127\_361 and PC-3p-10316\_124 were consistent with the results of small RNA sequencing while the remaining three miRNAs did not show significant differential expression (Figure S1).

The relative expression levels of nine circRNAs were validated by qRT-PCR using specific divergent primers. The results indicated that circRNA1001, circRNA1684 and circRN3127 were down-regulated while circRNA7880 was up-regulated, which were consistent with the RNA-seq data (Figure 5A). As expected, the results of the agarose gel electrophoresis demonstrated that divergent primers could only amplify circRNAs from cDNA samples, while the convergent primers amplified products from both gDNA and cDNA. (Figure 5B). Back-splicing junctions of circRNAs



254 from cDNA samples were further validated by Sanger sequencing (Figure 5C).

## 255 Discussion

256 Transcriptional profiling ~~was~~ a powerful tool for researching the host-virus interactions  
257 during infection. Recently, researchers performed RNA-seq of sheep oral mucosa in response to  
258 Orf virus infection (Jia et al. 2017). They found that multiple differentially expressed genes were  
259 enriched in GO terms such as immune response, inflammatory response and apoptosis, indicating  
260 that, ~~the~~ the host could defend virus invasion through immune response and induce cell apoptosis to  
261 block viral proliferation. Another study reported alterations of transcriptional profiles in human  
262 foreskin fibroblast cells following ORFV infection (Chen et al. 2017). A variety of genes involved  
263 in immune response, apoptosis, cell cycle, etc were differentially expressed. These studies provided  
264 new insights into the mechanisms of infection by orf virus. Accumulating evidence indicated that  
265 circRNAs, a new type of non-coding RNAs, played key roles in diverse diseases (Li et al.2015;  
266 Tian et al. 2017). Li et al. reported that circular RNA ITCH had inhibitory effect on ESCC by  
267 suppressing the Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin pathway. Tian et al. revealed that hsa\_circ\_0043256 could inhibit  
268 cell proliferation and induce apoptosis by acting as a miR-1252 sponge. Although the mechanisms  
269 underlying ORFV-host interactions were thoroughly investigated, whether circRNAs were  
270 involved in the interactions between ORFV and its host yet remained unknown. Hence, in the  
271 current study, we conducted deep circRNA sequencing and small RNA sequencing to identify  
272 differentially expressed circRNAs, miRNAs and mRNAs and expected to find the potential  
273 circRNA-miRNA-mRNA network existing in interactions between ORFV and its host.

274 After circRNA sequencing, R package-psych was used to perform PCA (Principle Component  
275 Analysis) statistics investigating the correlations coefficient among the three virus-infected  
276 samples and the three mock samples. According to the values of each sample in the first principal  
277 component (PC1) and the second principal component (PC2), a two-dimensional coordinate map  
278 is made (Figure S2). The results indicated that the three mock samples were close to each other and  
279 they were clearly distinct from the three virus-infected samples. There existed a good similarity  
280 among samples in the same group and the obvious distinction between the virus-infected samples  
281 and the mock samples. Based on the existing criteria for identifying candidate circRNAs,  
282 approximately ten thousands ~~of~~ circRNAs were detected in uninfected and ORFV-infected GSF  
283 cells. Previous studies have also demonstrated that circRNAs are abundant in mammalian cells  
284 (Guo et al. 2014; Salzman et al. 2012). ~~But~~ However, if we only ~~cover~~ include the circRNAs  
285 detected in at least two of the three replicates in uninfected or ORFV-infected GSF samples, the  
286 number of candidate circRNAs decreased to a large extent. There were only 2,935 circRNAs  
287 detected in uninfected GSF samples while 2,359 circRNAs were detected in OV samples (Table  
288 S9, S10). This would significantly reduce false positives of candidate circRNAs identified in GSF  
289 samples or OV samples. Furthermore, we found that > 98% of circRNAs were ecirRNAs, which  
290 differs from Hu's finding in which 86% of circRNAs were ciRNAs while only 13% were  
291 ecirRNAs and 1% were exon-intron circRNAs derived from the pre-ovulatory ovarian follicles of  
292 goats (Tao et al. 2017). These discrepancies further demonstrate that the expression patterns of  
293 circRNAs are tissue specific and cell specific.

294 ORFV infection influenced the circRNA expression profile of the host cells. Compared with  
295 the GSF samples, 151 differentially-expressed circRNAs derived from 90 parental genes were  
296 identified. Our findings showed that a single gene locus could produce one, two, or several  
297 circRNAs through alternative splicing (Table S4). Diverse circRNA isoforms derived from the  
298 same cognate linear gene were differentially expressed in ORFV-infected GSF cells, indicating that

their parental genes played significant roles in regulating the temporal expression of circRNAs. Fang et al. (Fang et al. 2018) recently reported that circ-Ccnb1 derived from its parental gene CCNB1, a regulator of cell mitosis, had an inhibitory effect on breast cancer cell proliferation and survival. It suggested that the biological functions of circRNAs might be closely associated with its parental gene. Next, we performed GO and KEGG analyses for the cognate linear isoforms of the differentially expressed circRNAs to explore the biological functions of circRNAs in response to ORFV infection. In the biological process oncology, regulation of inflammatory response, negative regulation of insulin secretion, positive regulation of cell migration, positive regulation of ubiquitin-protein transferase activity, regulation of ion transmembrane transport were significantly enriched with  $p \leq 0.05$  (Figure 3A, Table S7). CircRNA12709, circRNA14794 and circRNA14795, enriched in GO term “regulation of inflammatory response”, were different isoforms of their parental gene TNIP (TNFAIP3-interacting protein 1) which were up-regulated in OV samples compared to GSF samples during ORFV infection. TNFAIP3 (tumor necrosis factor  $\alpha$ -induced protein 3) also called A20 encoded a ubiquitin-editing protein which was an inhibitor of NF- $\kappa$ B. TNIP1 was shown to play a role in NF- $\kappa$ B inhibition by interacting with A20 (Aya et al. 2010). Pathways such as Tight junction, Rheumatoid arthritis, Transcriptional misregulation in cancers, Focal adhesion, Vascular smooth muscle contraction, Mismatch repair and Other types of O-glycan biosynthesis were significantly enriched ( $p \leq 0.05$ ). These findings indicated that differential expression of circRNAs may be involved in many biological processes and cellular response to ORFV infection, providing us some valuable clues about the functions of circRNAs. Given that circRNAs could function as miRNA sponges regulating gene expression.—Therefore, we constructed a ceRNA network to explore the potential functions of differentially expressed circRNAs during ORFV infection. For example, circRNA302, circRNA1684, circRNA2565, circRNA4319, circRNA7192, circRNA8828 and circRNA10352 were predicted to sponge chi-miR-92a-5p. Also, circRNA131, circRNA302, circRNA9787, circRNA11661 potentially bound chi-miR-122. These results revealed that a potential ceRNA regulatory network existed in the host-ORFV interaction, although the exact regulatory mechanism requires further investigation.

## Conclusion

In conclusion, we identified 9,979 and 10,844 circRNAs in GSF cells before and after ORFV infection. A total of 151 circRNAs (59 circRNAs up-regulated and 92 circRNAs down-regulated) 341 mRNAs, and 56 miRNAs were differentially expressed following ORFV infection. Four circRNAs: circRNA1001, circRNA1684, circRNA3127 and circRNA7880 were validated by qRT-PCR and Sanger sequencing. Host genes of differentially expressed circRNAs were significantly enriched in many biological processes including regulation of inflammatory response, positive regulation of cell migration, and regulation of ion transmembrane transport. A potential circRNA-miRNA-mRNA regulatory network existed-exists during ORFV infection. Our study is the first to present the expression profiles of circRNAs in GSF cells in response to ORFV infection and may provide new insights into the mechanism underlying ORFV pathogenesis.

## Acknowledgments

We thank Tamsin Sheen, PhD, from Liwen Bianji, Edanz Editing China (www.liwenbianji.cn/ac), for editing the English text of a draft of this manuscript.

**Commented [BKC2]:** I would suggest reword to either “The authors suggested..” or “These findings suggested..” rather than “It suggested..”



## References

- Agarwal V, Bell GW, Nam JW, and Bartel DP. 2015. Predicting effective microRNA target sites in mammalian mRNAs. *eLife*,4: e05005.
- Aya K, Satoshi I, Hiroshi F, Taichi H, Daisuke G, Isao M, Makio K, Jun O, Graham RR, and Kunio M. 2010. Association of TNFAIP3 interacting protein 1, TNIP1 with systemic lupus erythematosus in a Japanese population: a case-control association study[J]. *Arthritis research & therapy*, 12(5): R174.
- Barrett SP, and Salzman J. 2016. Circular RNAs: analysis, expression and potential functions. *Development* 143:1838.
- Barrett SP, Wang PL, and Salzman J. 2015. Circular RNA biogenesis can proceed through an exon-containing lariat precursor. *eLife*,4: e07540.
- Beißbarth T, and Speed TP. 2004. GStat: find statistically overrepresented Gene Ontologies within a group of genes. *Bioinformatics* 20:1464.
- Betel D, Wilson M, Gabow A, Marks DS, and Sander C. 2008. The microRNA.org resource: targets and expression. *Nucleic Acids Research* 36:149-153.
- Chen D, Long M, Xiao B, Xiong Y, Chen H, Chen Y, Kuang Z, Li M, Wu Y, and Rock DL. 2017. Transcriptomic profiles of human foreskin fibroblast cells in response to orf virus. *Oncotarget* 8:58668-58685.
- Chi X, Zeng X, Li W, Hao W, Li M, Huang X, Huang Y, Rock DL, Luo S, and Wang S. 2015. Genome analysis of orf virus isolates from goats in the Fujian Province of southern China. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 6:1135.
- Consortium GO. 2004. The Gene Ontology (GO) database and informatics resource. *Nucleic Acids Res* 32:D258-261.
- Delhon G, Tulman ER, Afonso CL, Lu Z, De IC-BA, Lehmkuhl HD, Piccone ME, Kutish GF, and Rock DL. 2004. Genomes of the parapoxviruses ORF virus and bovine papular stomatitis virus. *Journal of Virology* 78:168.
- Fang L, Du WW, Lyu J, Dong J, Zhang C, Yang W, He A, Kwok Y, Ma J, and Wu N. 2018. Enhanced breast cancer progression by mutant p53 is inhibited by the circular RNA circ-Ccnb1. *Cell Death & Differentiation*.
- Frazee AC, Pertea G, Jaffe AE, Langmead B, Salzberg SL, and Leek JT. 2015. Ballgown bridges the gap between transcriptome assembly and expression analysis. *Nature Biotechnology* 33:243.
- Friedrichs S, Krebs S, Blum H, Wolf E, Lang H, Buttlar HV, and Büttner M. 2014. Comparative and retrospective molecular analysis of Parapoxvirus (PPV) isolates. *Virus Research* 181:11-21.
- Guo JU, Agarwal V, Guo H, and Bartel DP. 2014. Expanded identification and characterization of mammalian circular RNAs. *Genome Biology* 15:409.
- Hansen TB, Jensen TI, Clausen BH, Bramsen JB, Finsen B, Damgaard CK, and Kjems J. 2013. Natural RNA circles function as efficient microRNA sponges. *Nature* 495:384-388.
- He J, Xie Q, Xu H, Li J, and Li Y. 2017a. Circular RNAs and cancer. *Cancer Letters* 396:138.
- He L, Zhang A, Xiong L, Li Y, Huang R, Liao L, Zhu Z, and Wang AY. 2017b. Deep Circular RNA Sequencing Provides Insights into the Mechanism Underlying Grass Carp Reovirus Infection. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 18:1977.
- Jia H, Zhan L, Wang X, He X, Chen G, Zhang Y, Feng Y, Wei Y, Zhang Y, Jing Z. Transcriptome analysis of sheep oral mucosa response to Orf virus infection. *Plos One* , 12(10):e0186681.
- Jeck WR, Sorrentino JA, Wang K, Slevin MK, Burd CE, Liu J, Marzluff WF, and Sharpless NE.

2013. Circular RNAs are abundant, conserved, and associated with ALU repeats. *Rna-a Publication of the Rna Society* 19:141-157.

Kanehisa M, Furumichi M, Tanabe M, Sato Y, and Morishima K. 2017. KEGG: new perspectives on genomes, pathways, diseases and drugs. *Nucleic Acids Research* 45:D353-D361.

Kanehisa M, Goto S, Sato Y, Furumichi M, and Tanabe M. 2012. KEGG for integration and interpretation of large-scale molecular data sets.

Kim D, Pertea G, Trapnell C, Pimentel H, Kelley R, and Salzberg SL. 2013. TopHat2: accurate alignment of transcriptomes in the presence of insertions, deletions and gene fusions. *Genome Biology* 14:R36.

Kim D, and Salzberg SL. 2011. TopHat-Fusion: an algorithm for discovery of novel fusion transcripts. *Genome Biology*,12,8:R72.

Kumar N, Wadhwa A, Chaubey KK, Singh SV, Gupta S, Sharma S, Sharma DK, Singh MK, and Mishra AK. 2014. Isolation and phylogenetic analysis of an orf virus from sheep in Makhdoom, India. *Virus Genes* 48:312-319.

Langmead B, and Salzberg SL. 2012. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nature Methods* 9:357-359.

Li F, Zhang L, Li W, Deng J, Zheng J, An M, Lu J, Zhou Y. Circular RNA ITCH has inhibitory effect on ESCC by suppressing the Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin pathway. 2015.*Oncotarget* 6(8):6001.

Martin M. 2011. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads. *Embnet Journal* 17.

Martins M, Joshi LR, Rodrigues FS, Anziliero D, Frandoloso R, Kutish GF, Rock DL, Weiblen R, Flores EF, and Diel DG. 2017. Immunogenicity of ORFV-based vectors expressing the rabies virus glycoprotein in livestock species. *Virology* 511:229-239.

Memczak S, Jens M, Elefsinioti A, Torti F, Krueger J, Rybak A, Maier L, Mackowiak SD, Gregersen LH, Munschauer M, Loewer A, Ziebold U, Landthaler M, Kocks C, le Noble F, and Rajewsky N. 2013. Circular RNAs are a large class of animal RNAs with regulatory potency. *Nature* 495:333-338. 10.1038/nature11928

Peng F, Chen Z, Zheng SY, Li HM, Du J, and Zhang JZ. 2016. A Case of Orf Identified by Transmission Electron Microscopy. *Chin Med J (Engl)* 129:108.

Pertea M, Pertea GM, Antonescu CM, Chang TC, Mendell JT, and Salzberg SL. 2015. StringTie enables improved reconstruction of a transcriptome from RNA-seq reads. *Nature Biotechnology* 33:290-295.

Qu S, Yang X, Li X, Wang J, Gao Y, Shang R, Sun W, Dou K, and Li H. 2015. Circular RNA: A new star of noncoding RNAs. *Cancer Letters* 365:141-148.

Rajkomar V, Hannah M, Coulson IH, and Owen CM. 2016. A case of human to human transmission of orf between mother and child. *Clinical & Experimental Dermatology* 41:60-63.

Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ, and Smyth GK. 2014. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data.

Salzman J, Chen RE, Olsen MN, Wang PL, and Brown PO. 2013. Cell-Type Specific Features of Circular RNA Expression. *Plos Genetics* 9:e1003777.

Salzman J, Gawad C, Wang PL, Lacayo N, and Brown PO. 2012. Circular RNAs Are the Predominant Transcript Isoform from Hundreds of Human Genes in Diverse Cell Types. *Plos One* 7:e30733.

Shannon P, Markiel A, Ozier O, Baliga NS, Wang JT, Ramage D, Amin N, Schwikowski B, and Ideker T. 2003. Cytoscape: A Software Environment for Integrated Models of Biomolecular Interaction Networks. *Genome Research* 13:2498.

433 Shi J, Hu N, Li J, Zeng Z, Mo L, Sun J, Wu M, and Hu Y. 2017. Unique expression signatures of  
 434 circular RNAs in response to DNA tumor virus SV40 infection. *Oncotarget* 8:98609-  
 435 98622.  
 436 Suzuki H, Zuo Y, Wang J, Zhang MQ, Malhotra A, and Mayeda A. 2006. Characterization of  
 437 RNase R-digested cellular RNA source that consists of lariat and circular RNAs from pre-  
 438 mRNA splicing. *Nucleic Acids Res* 34:e63. 10.1093/nar/gkl151  
 439 Szabo L, Morey R, Palpant NJ, Wang PL, Afari N, Jiang C, Parast MM, Murry CE, Laurent LC,  
 440 and Salzman J. 2015. Statistically based splicing detection reveals neural enrichment and  
 441 tissue-specific induction of circular RNA during human fetal development. *Genome*  
 442 *Biology* 16:1-26.  
 443 Tao H, Xiong Q, Zhang F, Zhang N, Liu Y, Suo X, Li X, Yang Q, and Chen M. 2017. Circular  
 444 RNA profiling reveals chi\_circ\_0008219 function as microRNA sponges in pre-ovulatory  
 445 ovarian follicles of goats (*Capra hircus*). *Genomics*, 110(4): 257-266.  
 446 Tian F, Yu CT, Ye WD, and Wang Q. 2017. Cinnamaldehyde induces cell apoptosis mediated by  
 447 a novel circular RNA hsa\_circ\_0043256 in non-small cell lung cancer. *Biochem Biophys*  
 448 *Res Commun* 493:1260.  
 449 Zhang K, Liu Y, Kong H, Shang Y, and Liu X. 2014a. Comparison and phylogenetic analysis based  
 450 on the B2L gene of orf virus from goats and sheep in China during 2009-2011. *Archives of*  
 451 *Virology* 159:1475-1479.  
 452 Zhang XO, Dong R, Zhang Y, Zhang JL, Luo Z, Zhang J, Chen LL, and Yang L. 2016. Diverse  
 453 alternative back-splicing and alternative splicing landscape of circular RNAs. *Genome*  
 454 *Research* 26:1277-1287.  
 455 Zhang XO, Wang HB, Zhang Y, Lu X, Chen LL, and Yang L. 2014b. Complementary sequence-  
 456 mediated exon circularization. *Cell* 159:134-147.  
 457 Zhao K, Song D, He W, Lu H, Zhang B, Li C, Chen K, and Gao F. 2010. Identification and  
 458 phylogenetic analysis of an Orf virus isolated from an outbreak in sheep in the Jilin province  
 459 of China. *Vet Microbiol* 142:408-415.