

# Pure oxygen ventilation during general anaesthesia does not result in increased postoperative respiratory morbidity but decreases surgical site infection. An observational clinical study.

**Background:** Pure oxygen ventilation during anaesthesia is debatable, as it may lead to development of atelectasis. Rationale of the study was to demonstrate the harmlessness of ventilation with pure oxygen. **Methods:** This is a single-centre, one-department observational trial. Prospectively collected routine-data of 76,784 patients undergoing general, gynaecological, orthopaedic, and vascular surgery during 1995 – 2009 were retrospectively analysed. Postoperative hypoxia, unplanned ICU-admission, surgical site infection (SSI), postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV), and hospital mortality were continuously recorded. During 1996 the anaesthetic ventilation for all patients was changed from 30% oxygen plus 70% nitrous oxide to 100% oxygen in low-flow mode. Therefore, in order to minimize the potential of confounding due to a variety of treatments being used, we directly compared years 1995 (30% oxygen) and 1997 (100%), whereas the period 1998 to 2009 is simply described. **Results:** Comparing 1995 to 1997 pure oxygen ventilation led to a decreased incidence of postoperative hypoxic events (4.3 to 3.0%;  $p < 0.0001$ ) and hospital mortality (2.1 to 1.6%;  $p = 0.088$ ) as well as SSI (8.0 to 5.0%;  $p < 0.0001$ ) and PONV (21.6 to 17.5%;  $p < 0.0001$ ). There was no effect on unplanned ICU-admission (1.1 to 0.9;  $p = 0.18$ ). **Conclusions:** Pure oxygen ventilation during general anaesthesia is harmless, as long as certain standards are adhered to. It makes anaesthesia simpler and safer and may reduce clinical morbidity, such as postoperative hypoxia and surgical site infection.

**Pure oxygen ventilation during general anaesthesia does not result in increased postoperative respiratory morbidity but decreases surgical site infection. An observational clinical study.**

Benno von Bormann, Sirilak Suksompong, Jürgen Weiler<sup>1</sup>, Rolf Zander<sup>2</sup>

Department of Anesthesiology, Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol-University, 2 Prannok Road, Bangkoknoi, Bangkok 10700, Thailand

**Corresponding Author:** Benno von Bormann, Department of Anesthesiology, Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol-University, 2 Prannok Road, Bangkoknoi, Bangkok 10700, Thailand; Phone 0066(0)891598442 (mobile), 0066(0)24197990 (Office), Fax 0066(0)24113256 (Office), Email: [bvb@jodu.de](mailto:bvb@jodu.de)

<sup>1</sup> Anästhesie Team Nordrhein, Dinslaken, Germany; Email: [juergen.weiler@narkose.de](mailto:juergen.weiler@narkose.de),

<sup>2</sup> Department of Physiology, Johannes Gutenberg-University, Saarstraße 21, D-55122 Mainz, Germany; Email: [zander@physioklin.de](mailto:zander@physioklin.de)

## Introduction

Abandoning nitrous oxide for general anaesthesia enables the risk-free performance of low flow anaesthesia (1), and as a consequence the oxygen fraction during anaesthetic ventilation ( $\text{FiO}_2$ ) is an increasingly debated issue (2). Ventilating patients by using a closed circuit ensures that the lowest possible flow corresponds with the oxygen uptake of the individual; which is 200 – 300 ml/min for an adult (3). Applying consequent low flow ventilation has been the key reason for the paradigm change of our department as described in this study.

There is concern about high oxygen fraction deteriorating pulmonary function, as it is known to promote the development of atelectasis (4). However, up to now there is no scientific evidence of this effect being relevant for general anaesthesia in otherwise adequately treated patients (5).

The rationale of our study was to demonstrate the harmlessness of pure oxygen ventilation, after realizing that it is still 1. controversially debated (6), 2. not recommended (7) and 3. as far as we know nowhere else routinely applied. Our study, which may be the first of its kind, reports the experience of more than 13 years after switching from 30% oxygen plus 70%  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  ventilation to 100% oxygen.

## METHODS

Comprehensive data analysis was started after ethical approval was granted by the Siriraj Institutional Review Board (Si 202/2013; 158/2556/E4). The data were collected at the Catholic Clinic Duisburg, now Helios-Klinikum Duisburg, Germany; their use was granted by the General Manager, according to the letter dated October 22, 2012.

**Patients:** Patients with general, vascular, orthopaedic and gynaecological surgery under general anaesthesia between 01.01.1995 and 31.12.2009 except premature infants were included. There were no other exclusion criteria.

**Study questions:** First, does pure oxygen ventilation affect the incidence of clinically relevant postoperative respiratory problems (oxygenation) and unplanned admission to the Intensive Care Unit (ICU)? Additionally, does pure oxygen ventilation decrease surgical site infection (SSI) and does it influence postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV); is there an effect on hospital mortality?

### Change to pure oxygen and data collection

Until 1996 anaesthetic ventilation in our department comprised 70% nitrous oxide plus 30% oxygen ( $\text{FiO}_2 = 0.3$ ). In preparation for a fundamental change of the ventilation strategy, meticulous data collection as described below was started 1<sup>st</sup> January 1995, the last complete year with 30% oxygen ventilation. In January 1996 a testing phase was started with varying anaesthetic ventilation, 100% oxygen vs. 30% oxygen + 70% nitrous oxide. Upon completion, data analysis showed no negative clinical outcomes, particularly no respiratory problems after high oxygen ventilation. The final decision to switch to pure oxygen was made in August 1996. Through SOP (standard operating procedure) *No 1.2.1/96* pure oxygen ventilation was mandated for all patients with general anaesthesia. Details of anaesthetic treatment during 1995 and from August 1996 to 2009 are summarized in table 1.

Data were recorded by the anaesthesiologists responsible, residents, staff members, senior consultants, and head of department respectively using adapted forms. Central documentation and maintenance of data was performed by the head of the department and one of the senior consultants (JW). Patients' data were kept confidential until discharge or death, and then condensed and transferred into anonymous files without traceable personal characteristics. These files summarize parameters as described below.

## Parameters and organization

The following parameters in summarized pattern have been available for evaluation:

1. Patient's age (0 – 15, 16 – 70, > 70 years old), female gender, ASA risk classification (I + II, III, IV) following the modified score of Lutz et al. (8), regional pain catheter (yes/no), homologous and/or autologous blood transfusion (yes/no), operation time ( $\leq$  90, > 90 minutes).
2. Surgical intervention – accurately defined groups (s. table 2 and results).
3. Postoperative hypoxia (up to 24 hours postoperatively) defined as  $O_2\text{-Sat} < 92\%$  while breathing normal air without spontaneous recovery and the need for treatment, such as supplemental oxygen and/or CPAP assistance.
4. Unplanned ICU-admission during hospital stay. This group include patients with severe pulmonary complications after surgery.
5. Surgical site infection (SSI), defined as wound infection during hospital stay with at least positive bacterial culture after smear test. The information was gained from surgeons and/or ward staff members on the occasion of daily contacts. Cooperation was undisturbed.
6. Postoperative nausea and/or vomiting (PONV; up to 24 hours postoperatively) without differentiation between nausea and vomiting. It was estimated positive the patients judging it as 'very unpleasant'.
7. Hospital mortality; no follow up after discharge.

All operations with general anaesthesia were performed in a central area with 7 ORs plus 7 + 7 connected areas for anaesthesia care. Directly connected to the ORs were the postoperative Care Unit (PACU) with 6 beds and the Intensive care unit (ICU) with 12 beds, both run by the anaesthetic department. Patients not scheduled for ICU were postoperatively moved to PACU (all). Oxygen 2 – 4 l/min was applied via nasal tube, which was continued on the ward until the 1<sup>st</sup> postoperative day and beyond at treating surgeons' discretion. All patients have been visited by 'their' anaesthesiologist on postoperative day one and again if appropriate. Crucial documentation, including the pre- and postoperative period was under permanent scrutiny by senior staff members and the

head of department. Every single protocol had to be signed by the department head or his proxy before filing.

### **Statistical analysis**

Due to confounding factors data of the whole 15-years investigation period were not statistically evaluated but described in absolute numbers and/or percentages only. The well matching years 1995 (30% oxygen) and 1997 (100% oxygen) were compared applying statistical measures.

**Comparing 1995 and 1997:** Sample size calculation was based on surgical site infection rate in patients receiving 30% oxygen during general anaesthesia. According to the literature supplemental oxygen may lead to an approximate decrease of SSI between 25 and 50% (9,10). It was assumed patients with 30% compared to 100% oxygen ventilation during general anaesthesia will have a 20% higher rate of infection. To detect a type I error of 0.05 and a type II error of 0.1 using nQuery Advisor 3.0 the required sample size in each group is 5,241.

Data were analysed using SPSS version 16.0 software (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Categorical data such as sex, ASA physical status, prevalence of pain therapy, transfusion rate and incidence of side effects are presented as number (per cent) and compared using  $\chi^2$  test. A p-value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

## RESULTS

A total of 76,784 patients with general anaesthesia during 1995 – 2009 (15 years) are included, 66,226 of them (1997 – 2009) having received pure oxygen ventilation.

### From 1995 to 2009

**Age groups 0 – 15, 16 – 70, and > 70 years:** There was a slight increase of patients > 70 in all groups, most pronounced in vascular surgery.

**Gender:** The ratio of female patients didn't change significantly during the years, which was similar for the **risk status (ASA score)**; only in gynaecological patients the rate of ASA III/IV-patients increased from below 20% in 1995, to nearly 40% in 2009.

**Pain Catheters:** The rate of pain catheters applied in patients with general surgery was about 30% with little observed variation over the years; in gynaecology it was 6 – 10%, in orthopaedics and trauma 22 – 29%, and in vascular patients 23 – 27%.

**Homologous blood transfusion (HT):** The most frequent transfusions were red cells (OR, ICU, wards). The HT-rate was 16.7 – 25.4% in general surgery, 6.2 – 12.8% in gynaecology, 9.6 – 20.4% in orthopaedic surgery, and 12.6 – 18.4% in vascular surgery.

**Autologous blood transfusion (AT):** Most patients with AT were in vascular (up to 40.4%) and orthopaedic surgery (up to 34%), due to frequent autologous predeposit.

**Operation time:** In general-, orthopaedic- and vascular-surgery the relation  $\leq 1.5$  h:  $> 1.5$  h was about 50:50 – 45:65 with no relevant changes during the years. In gynaecological patients the ratio was 58:52 – 70:30.

**Outcome (Figure 1):** Including *all* surgical patients postoperative hypoxia, surgical site infection (SSI) and postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV) dropped significantly from 1995 to 1997 with a decreasing tendency during the years to follow, whereas unplanned ICU-admission and hospital-mortality remained to a large extent stable. Figure 1 shows the incidence of postoperative hypoxia regarding to 4 different surgical disciplines.

### Comparing 30% O<sub>2</sub> + 70% N<sub>2</sub>O (1995) and 100% O<sub>2</sub> (1997); Table 2, 3 + 4

**Group characteristics:** With exception of increase of elderly (> 70 years) from 8.9% (1995) to 14.0% (1997) in the vascular group, there were no significant differences be-

tween 1995 and 1997 regarding surgical procedures (Table 2), patient's age, gender, ASA status, pain management, and transfusion requirement (Table 3).

**Outcome:** The incidences of the five recorded parameters are demonstrated in Table 4.

**Postoperative Hypoxia:** Pure oxygen ventilation led to a decrease of postoperative hypoxia in all groups and subgroups, which was significant in all patients (4.3% to 3.0%;  $p < 0.0001$ ), in patients with general surgery (all;  $p = 0.026$ ), in orthopaedic patients (all;  $p = 0.003$ ), and in arthroplasty patients ( $p = 0.020$ ). *There was no report of atelectasis related hypoxemia.*

**Unexpected ICU-admission (U-ICU):** the frequency of U-ICU was generally low with no significant changes between 1995 and 1997.

**Surgical site infection (SSI):** Comparing all patients without dividing in surgical groups or subgroups SSI decreased from 8% in 1995 to 5% in 1997 ( $p < 0.0001$ ), and decreased also within all subgroups which was statistically significant in general surgery, and in patients with peripheral artery surgery (Table 4).

**Postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV):** Decrease of PONV was significant for all patients, all surgical disciplines in total, and some subgroups, such as minor general and minor orthopaedic surgery and patients with operations of the peripheral arteries.

**Mortality:** Overall Hospital mortality dropped from 2.1% to 1.6% ( $p = 0.088$ ). There were no significant differences within the subgroups.



## DISCUSSION

**Findings:** We found that high oxygen ratio leads to a decrease of postoperative hypoxia and overall in-hospital mortality as well as a reduction of surgical site infection and postoperative nausea and vomiting. There were no adverse effects.

**Data quality and clinical standards:** This single-centre study analysed follow-up routine data, which were steadily and prospectively collected in a well manageable and tightly organized anaesthetic division. Initially publication was not planned. It is known that quality of anaesthetic management, such as preoperative antibiotics, maintenance of perioperative normothermia and optimized pain therapy relevantly affect patients' outcome (11). In our hospital quality standards (SOP) have been implemented since 1989, which is long before acquisition of the presented data. Almost all of our patients, when indicated had regional pain catheters. Transfusions, known to deteriorate surgical patients' outcome (12), were standardized by specific mandatory transfusion instructions (13). Red cells were given when cHb was < 8.0 (homologous) or < 9.0 g/dl (autologous) respectively. All patients, even for the shortest surgical procedure were provided with a warming blanket which included the postoperative period when necessary. The consistency of anaesthetic management is demonstrated in table 1. The influence of the individual anaesthesiologist on outcome is considered to be limited. Tables 2 (surgical procedures) and 3 (group characteristics) show the comparability of the data 1995 vs. 1997, which is in accordance with the Statistical Department, Mahidol University.

**Confounding factors for the whole period (1995 – 2009):** Within 15 years surgical techniques and hygiene standards improved markedly, and so did equipment and performance in anaesthesia and intensive care. In 1997 the mean operation time for total hip arthroplasty in our hospital was 2 hours 30 minutes, the average blood loss 1,000 ml and transfusion requirement (autologous and/or homologous) 100%. In 2000 with a new orthopaedic crew it was 45 minutes, 150 ml and 35% respectively (almost entirely autologous). Between 1995 and 2009 the chairmen of all surgical disciplines included in this data collection changed. Only the head of anaesthesia (BvB) remained the same. Finally we have to point out that we compared 100% oxygen vs. 30% oxygen *plus* 70% nitrous oxide.

**Postoperative oxygenation, pulmonary morbidity:** Changing the anaesthetic ventilation strategy to 100% oxygen in 1996, we knew that formation of atelectasis during general anaesthesia was reported to be more pronounced in patients breathing high oxygen concentrations (14), but we had also read the studies of Lampron et al. and Lemaire et al. (15,16), demonstrating no negative effect of pure oxygen ventilation in patients with respiratory failure or severe bacterial pneumonia. Today, eighteen years later atelectasis during anaesthesia is still not proven to relevantly affect postoperative outcome in otherwise adequately treated patients. In our study postoperative oxygenation was not negatively affected by pure oxygen ventilation and there was no increased incidence in postoperative pulmonary morbidity, which went for all groups and subgroups. Postoperative hypoxic events even decreased slightly. Our data can be compared with the clinical investigation of Mackintosh and co-workers (17), who applied an intraoperative  $\text{FiO}_2$  of either 0.3 or 0.9 with and without PEEP and followed up the patients 24 hours after extubation. There was no difference between the groups regarding postoperative oxygenation and the need for additional oxygen. There are also studies with sophisticated approach, such as computer tomography (18), measurement of oxygenation index ( $\text{PaO}_2/\text{FiO}_2$ ) and functional residual capacity (5,19) and meta-analyses of randomized trials (20,21) comparing patients being ventilated with 30%, 40%, 80% or 100% oxygen respectively. None of these studies found any deleterious effect of high oxygen ratio during anaesthetic ventilation. However, especially the conclusions of the meta-analysis of Hovaguimian et al. (21) regarding the lack of pulmonary side effects induced a fierce controversial debate (22-25). Hedenstierna and co-workers referred to their own investigations (23,26) and experimental data of van Lam et al. showing that atelectasis increase the incidence of pneumonia (27). However, regarding the clinical relevance, they gave no answer. Meyhoff and et al. (25) criticized that in most studies routine pulmonary examinations have not been performed and, 'adverse effects may be greatly underdiagnosed'. We too can only present parameters of 'real life' routine. Patients after surgery having a normal clinical course and being discharged after the usual length of hospital stay, do not undergo additional diagnostics without justified indication. Observing postoperative oxygenation in all our patients closely for 15 years, more than 13 years of which were with pure oxygen ventilation, we experienced no negative effect of supplemental oxygen at all, though we did not apply any

prophylactic measures such as intraoperative recruitment manoeuvre. However, anaesthetic treatment was strictly adjusted, including intra- and postoperative body-temperature conservation, pain therapy, ventilation with PEEP and low tidal volume.

Finally we want to point to a routine anaesthetic measure, relaxation, which is nowhere discussed in the literature regarding supplemental oxygen and lung function. Relaxation 'by the clock' is a known risk factor for respiratory complications (28). In our patients relaxation was restrictively applied under continuous monitoring (relaxometry).

**Surgical site infection (SSI):** It is known from experimental and clinical data that wound healing and integrity of gastrointestinal anastomosis significantly depends on tissue oxygenation (29,30). It is also known that nitrous oxide has no effect on SSI (31). Comparing 30% to 100% oxygen ventilation within two well comparable groups with identical treatment regarding surgical technique, timing of antibiotics, anaesthetic care incl. temperature control and pain therapy (Table 1, 2, 3), there was a SSI-reduction in all surgical disciplines and subgroups (Table 4). The highest SSI- and consequently reduction-rates occurred in colonic surgery, patients with major abdominal approach, and surgery of peripheral arteries. The incidence of SSI in colonic surgery is high with 20% (32) to 36% (33). In their large randomized study in patients with colorectal resection and either 30% or 80% oxygen ventilation Greif and co-workers (9) found supplemental oxygen reducing SSI from 11.2 to 5.2%, which is low compared to our findings in similar patients (22.4 to 14.7%). However, Greif et al. investigated randomized groups excluding patients with minor colon surgery as well as patients with history of fever and infection and patients with serious malnutrition. Our patients were consecutive, with a rate of ASA III/IV patients of 40.5/50.6% (1995) and 33.8/60.1% (1997) respectively, whereas Greif did not include ASA IV patients at all and ASA III patients were 15 - 18 %. Our data match with the findings of Belda et al. (34). In their randomized controlled study in patients with elective colorectal surgery they found 24.4% SSI in patients with 30% and 14.9% in patients with 80% oxygen. In general the discussion about the benefit of high oxygen ratio on surgical site infection is still open, meta-analyses providing conflicting conclusions (20,35).

**Postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV):** The scientific debate about the influence of high oxygen ventilation on PONV is controversial (36,37). In our study we compared nitrous oxide (70%) with no nitrous oxide (100% oxygen). Leslie et al. in a randomized trial found N<sub>2</sub>O significantly led to an increase in PONV (38). Therefore the reduction of PONV in our study may be rather a consequence of ventilation without N<sub>2</sub>O than ventilating with pure oxygen.

**Simplicity and safety:** Anaesthesia with pure oxygen ventilation is simple when compared to any kind of air-gas- or nitrous oxide-gas mixture, because only the gas flow (approximately equivalent to patients' oxygen consumption) has to be adjusted. Most importantly it provides a maximum of safety. For one thing confusing oxygen with air or nitrous oxide is impossible. For another thing the pulmonary oxygen storage including residual volume and the physically dissolved oxygen is greatest possible. Physical oxygen is important not by its amount but by its availability (39) which can be crucial in case of cardiopulmonary problems or sudden blood loss with deteriorated circulation.

**Study limitations:** The assignment of particular data, such as specific co-morbidities, biometric and laboratory data, rate of emergency cases to the individual patient is no longer possible, restricting statistical analysis options. Thus the findings about morbidity parameters, such as SSI and PONV have to be interpreted with care. We present clinical observational data reflecting daily routine when sophisticated diagnostic procedures such as CT or lung function tests are applied only for a justifiable indication.

## CONCLUSIONS

The data presented in this study support the thesis that pure oxygen low-flow ventilation during general anaesthesia is simple and provides highest possible degree of safety independent of the equipment of the individual department. It is harmless if therapists adhere to strict patient management standards, such as temperature control, optimized pain regimen, guideline-adapted antibiotic therapy and restrictive use of relaxants and allogeneic blood transfusions.

**Acknowledgements:** We thank *Dr. Daniel Bressington*, Senior lecturer, Canterbury Christ Church University, UK, for proof-reading this manuscript and lecturer *Prasert Sawasdiwipachai*, Siriraj hospital for assistance with literature research and data assessment.

This study is cordially dedicated to the late *Jan Baum*, Professor of Anaesthesiology, Damme, Germany, one of the ‘fathers’ of low flow anaesthesia.

**Declaration of interests:** All authors declare no conflicts of interests

**Funding:** There was no funding at all or other departmental or institutional financial support.

## References

1. Baum JA, Aitkenhead AR. Low-flow anaesthesia. *Anaesthesia* 1995;50 Suppl:37-44.
2. Baum J, von Bormann B, Meyer J, van Aken H. Pure oxygen as carrier gas in clinical anaesthesia. *Anaesthesiologie & Intensivmedizin* 2004;45:124-35.
3. Zander R. The oxygen status of arterial human blood. *Scand J Clin Lab Invest Suppl* 1990;203:187-96.
4. Hedenstierna G, Edmark L. Mechanisms of atelectasis in the perioperative period. *Best Pract Res Clin Anaesthesiol* 2010;24:157-69.
5. Staehr AK, Meyhoff CS, Henneberg SW, Christensen PL, Rasmussen LS. Influence of perioperative oxygen fraction on pulmonary function after abdominal surgery: a randomized controlled trial. *BMC Res Notes* 2012;5:383.
6. Canet J, Belda FJ. Perioperative hyperoxia: the debate is only getting started. *Anesthesiology* 2011;114:1271-3.
7. Qadan M, Akca O. Reassessing the role of supplemental oxygen in the prevention of surgical site infection. *Ann Surg* 2012;256:902-3.
8. Lutz H, Peter K. [Proceedings: The risks of anaesthesia in surgery (author's transl)]. *Langenbecks Arch Chir* 1973;334:671-9.
9. Greif R, Akca O, Horn EP, Kurz A, Sessler DI. Supplemental perioperative oxygen to reduce the incidence of surgical-wound infection. *N Engl J Med* 2000;342:161-7.
10. Bickel A, Gurevits M, Vamos R, Ivry S, Eitan A. Perioperative hyperoxygenation and wound site infection following surgery for acute appendicitis: a randomized, prospective, controlled trial. *Arch Surg* 2011;146:464-70.
11. Forbes SS, McLean RF. Review article: the anesthesiologist's role in the prevention of surgical site infections. *Can J Anaesth* 2013;60:176-83.
12. Ferraris VA, Davenport DL, Saha SP, Austin PC, Zwischenberger JB. Surgical outcomes and transfusion of minimal amounts of blood in the operating room. *Arch Surg* 2012;147:49-55.
13. Schleinzer W, Kasper SM, von Bormann B. [Quality assurance in autologous blood donation. Introduction of a universal German language Site Master File]. *Anesthesiol Intensivmed Notfallmed Schmerzther* 1995;30:519.

14. Rothen HU, Sporre B, Engberg G, Wegenius G, Reber A, Hedenstierna G. Prevention of atelectasis during general anaesthesia. *Lancet* 1995;345:1387-91.
15. Lampron N, Lemaire F, Teisseire B, Harf A, Palot M, Matamis D, Lorino AM. Mechanical ventilation with 100% oxygen does not increase intrapulmonary shunt in patients with severe bacterial pneumonia. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1985;131:409-13.
16. Lemaire F, Matamis D, Lampron N, Teisseire B, Harf A. Intrapulmonary shunt is not increased by 100% oxygen ventilation in acute respiratory failure. *Bull Eur Physiopathol Respir* 1985;21:251-6.
17. Mackintosh N, Gertsch MC, Hopf HW, Pace NL, White J, Morris R, Morrissey C, Wilding V, Herway S. High intraoperative inspired oxygen does not increase postoperative supplemental oxygen requirements. *Anesthesiology* 2012;117:271-9.
18. Akca O, Podolsky A, Eisenhuber E, Panzer O, Hetz H, Lampl K, Lackner FX, Wittmann K, Grabenwoeger F, Kurz A. Comparable postoperative pulmonary atelectasis in patients given 30% or 80% oxygen during and 2 hours after colon resection. *Anesthesiology* 1999;91:991-8.
19. Kanaya A, Satoh D, Kurosawa S. Higher fraction of inspired oxygen in anesthesia induction does not affect functional residual capacity reduction after intubation: a comparative study of higher and lower oxygen concentration. *J Anesth* 2013;27:385-9.
20. Qadan M, Akca O, Mahid SS, Hornung CA, Polk HC, Jr. Perioperative supplemental oxygen therapy and surgical site infection: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Arch Surg* 2009;144:359-66.
21. Hovaguimian F, Lysakowski C, Elia N, Tramer MR. Effect of intraoperative high inspired oxygen fraction on surgical site infection, postoperative nausea and vomiting, and pulmonary function: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Anesthesiology* 2013;119:303-16.
22. Belda FJ, Catala-Lopez F, Greif R, Canet J. Benefits and risks of intraoperative high inspired oxygen therapy: firm conclusions are still far off. *Anesthesiology* 2014;120:1051-2.
23. Hedenstierna G, Edmark L. Does high oxygen concentration reduce postoperative infection? *Anesthesiology* 2014;120:1050.
24. Hovaguimian F, Lysakowski C, Elia N, Tramer MR. In reply. *Anesthesiology* 2014;120:1053-4.
25. Meyhoff CS, Jorgensen LN, Wetterslev J, Rasmussen LS. Intraoperative high inspired oxygen fraction: are there real benefits? *Anesthesiology* 2014;120:1052-3.

26. Hedenstierna G. Oxygen and anesthesia: what lung do we deliver to the post-operative ward? *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 2012;56:675-85.
27. van Kaam AH, Lachmann RA, Herting E, De JA, van IF, Noorduyn LA, Kok JH, Haitsma JJ, Lachmann B. Reducing atelectasis attenuates bacterial growth and translocation in experimental pneumonia. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2004;169:1046-53.
28. Grosse-Sundrup M, Henneman JP, Sandberg WS, Bateman BT, Uribe JV, Nguyen NT, Ehrenfeld JM, Martinez EA, Kurth T, Eikermann M. Intermediate acting non-depolarizing neuromuscular blocking agents and risk of postoperative respiratory complications: prospective propensity score matched cohort study. *BMJ* 2012;345:e6329.
29. Knighton DR, Halliday B, Hunt TK. Oxygen as an antibiotic. The effect of inspired oxygen on infection. *Arch Surg* 1984;119:199-204.
30. Schietroma M, Cecilia EM, Carlei F, Sista F, De SG, Piccione F, Amicucci G. Prevention of anastomotic leakage after total gastrectomy with perioperative supplemental oxygen administration: a prospective randomized, double-blind, controlled, single-center trial. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2013;20:1584-90.
31. Fleischmann E, Lenhardt R, Kurz A, Herbst F, Fulesdi B, Greif R, Sessler DI, Akca O. Nitrous oxide and risk of surgical wound infection: a randomised trial. *Lancet* 2005;366:1101-7.
32. Yokoe DS, Khan Y, Olsen MA, Hooper DC, Greenbaum M, Vostok J, Lankiewicz J, Fraser VJ, Stevenson KB. Enhanced surgical site infection surveillance following hysterectomy, vascular, and colorectal surgery. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2012;33:768-73.
33. Hubner M, Diana M, Zanetti G, Eisenring MC, Demartines N, Troillet N. Surgical site infections in colon surgery: the patient, the procedure, the hospital, and the surgeon. *Arch Surg* 2011;146:1240-5.
34. Belda FJ, Aguilera L, Garcia dIA, Alberti J, Vicente R, Ferrandiz L, Rodriguez R, Company R, Sessler DI, Aguilar G. Supplemental perioperative oxygen and the risk of surgical wound infection: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 2005;294:2035-42.
35. Togioka B, Galvagno S, Sumida S, Murphy J, Ouanes JP, Wu C. The role of perioperative high inspired oxygen therapy in reducing surgical site infection: a meta-analysis. *Anesth Analg* 2012;114:334-42.




36. Joris JL, Poth NJ, Djamadar AM, Sessler DI, Hamoir EE, Defechereux TR, Meurisse MR, Lamy ML. Supplemental oxygen does not reduce postoperative nausea and vomiting after thyroidectomy. *Br J Anaesth* 2003;91:857-61.
37. Rincon DA, Valero JF. [Supplemental oxygen for the prevention of postoperative nausea and vomiting: a meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials]. *Rev Esp Anesthesiol Reanim* 2008;55:101-9.
38. Leslie K, Myles PS, Chan MT, Paech MJ, Peyton P, Forbes A, McKenzie D. Risk factors for severe postoperative nausea and vomiting in a randomized trial of nitrous oxide-based vs nitrous oxide-free anaesthesia. *Br J Anaesth* 2008;101:498-505.
39. Feiner JR, Finlay-Morreale HE, Toy P, Lieberman JA, Viele MK, Hopf HW, Weiskopf RB. High oxygen partial pressure decreases anemia-induced heart rate increase equivalent to transfusion. *Anesthesiology* 2011;115:492-8.

**Figure Legend**

Postoperative hypoxia of four surgical disciplines (all patients) during the period 1995 – 2009.

Pure oxygen ventilation was started in August 1996.



**Table 1** Specifics of intraoperative Anaesthetic measures in 1995 and from August 1996 until 2009

Anaesthesiological characteristics	1995	From 16 <sup>th</sup> August 1996
<b>Ventilator</b>	Dräger Primus™	
Preoxygenation (Flow, Time)	5 L, 5 Min	
Tidal volume (ml/kgbw)	6 – 8 (mild hypercarbia – pCO <sub>2</sub> ≈ 45 mmHg)	
PEEP (cmH <sub>2</sub> O)	≥ 5	
Inhalation Anaesthetic	Desflurane; in Infants ≤ 5 Y Sevoflurane	
Gas Monitoring: Anaesthetic, O <sub>2</sub> , N <sub>2</sub> O, CO <sub>2</sub>	inspiratory and expiratory (Required by law)	
<b>Nitrous-Oxide (%)</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Flow (l/Min)</b>	<b>2.5 - 5</b>	<b>0.2 – 0.3</b>
<b>FiO<sub>2</sub></b>	<b>0.3</b>	<b>1.0</b>
Inspiratory Oxygen (%; approx.) after equilibration period	28	90
Expiratory Oxygen (%; approx.) after equilibration period	25	86
Wash-In Phase (Min)	5	0
Wash-Out Phase (Min)	5	0
Induction, Relaxation, Opiate	Propofol (Etomidate), Rocuronium, Fentanyl	Propofol (Etomidate), Rocuronium, Remifentanyl
<b>Risk of low oxygen supply</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Readjustment</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Monitoring - Basic = standard</b>	ECG, NIBP, Temperature (oesophageal), Pulsoxymetry, Relaxometry (MSD, UK), Cuff-Pressure	
Advanced monitoring, selective for: Laparotomy (all disciplines), thoracotomy, surgery of the arteries (Aorta, carotid, subclavian, lower limb), Spine surgery; high risk patients	Invasive BP, C.I. (invasive and non-invasive) incl. various calculated hemodynamics, Somatosensory evoked potentials (SEP) Hourly blood gas analysis (Radiometer Medical, Bronshøj Denmark), incl. blood sugar, lactate, cHb, platelet count	
Adjuvant measures - all	Gastric tube, Thermal blanket - Baer Hugger™ (incl. PACU)	
Adjuvant measures – all female - all patients with PONV history	- Dexamethasone 4 mg IV during induction - additional Ondansetron 16 mg IV	
Advanced measures	Central venous catheter, pulmonary artery catheter	
<b>Antibiotic prophylaxis (SOP: IL-Nr:1.4-1992)</b>	<b>According to the respective actual guidelines</b>	

**Table 2** Characteristics of surgical procedures in 1995 and 1997

Surgical Discipline	Surgical Procedure	1995 (FiO <sub>2</sub> = 0.3)	1997 (FiO <sub>2</sub> = 1.0)
<b>ALL</b>		<b>5,255</b>	<b>5,245</b>
<b>General Surgery</b>	ALL	1,322	1,351
	Minor	765	838
	Major	231	220
	Colorectal	326	293
<b>Gynaecology</b>	ALL	779	736
	Minor	510	471
	Major	189	190
	Mamma	80	75
<b>Orthopaedic Surgery</b>	ALL	1,769	1,749
	Minor	997	990
	Major	693	656
	Spine	79	103
<b>Vascular Surgery</b>	ALL	1,443	1,409
	Minor	342	350
	Aortic	271	244
	Major artery	630	620
	Cerebral artery	200	195

**General surgery:** ‘Major’ = gastrectomy, Whipple operation, splenectomy, biliodigestive anastomosis, oesophagus resection, liver surgery, lung surgery; ‘Colorectal’ = surgery of colon, rectum, sigma; ‘Minor’ = herniotomy, strumectomy, cholecystectomy, appendectomy, biopsy and minor revisions. **Gynaecology:** ‘Major’ = laparotomy, abdominal hysterectomy, vulvectomy; ‘Mamma’ = all resections of the breast, ‘Minor’ = endoscopy, biopsy, curette, minor revisions. **Orthopaedic Surgery:** ‘Major’ = arthroplasty or major repair of knee, hip, shoulder, polytrauma of the skeleton; ‘Minor’ = osteosynthesis, removal of material, tendon repair, arthroscopy, nucleotomy, kyphoplasty, minor revisions; ‘Spine’ = fusion, vertebrectomy, laminectomy, spondylodesis. **Vascular Surgery:** ‘Aortic’ = open abdominal and thoracic repair; ‘Cerebral’ = carotid artery repair (95 %), repair of subclavian or vertebral artery; ‘Major artery’ = revascularization of peripheral arteries, such as iliac, femoral, popliteal, incl. various bypass procedures, thigh amputation; ‘Minor’ = varectomy, invasive catheter insertion, pacemaker and port insertion, shunt surgery, minor revisions and amputations.

**Table 3** Summarized characteristics of surgical patients 1995 and 1997 in %

Surgery	ALL			General			Gynaecology			Orthopaedic s/Trauma			Vascular		
Parameter	1995	1997	p	1995	1997	p	1995	1997	p	1995	1997	p	1995	1997	p
<b>N</b>	<b>5,313</b>	<b>5,245</b>		<b>1322</b>	<b>1351</b>		<b>779</b>	<b>736</b>		<b>1769</b>	<b>1749</b>		<b>1433</b>	<b>1409</b>	
< 15 Y	10.1	11.0	0.36	16.6	17.8	0.69	7.8	9.5	0.23	13.5	14.6	0.57	1.2	0.8	< 0.0001
16 – 70 Y	78.8	76.3		65.2	64.6		79.1	75.4		79.9	78.5		89.9	85.2	
> 70 Y	11.1	12.7		18.2	17.6		13.1	15.1		6.6	6.9		8.9	14.0	
Female	47.0	48.5	0.18	47.1	49.1	0.16	100	100	1	37.2	39.3	0.19	30.2	32.5	0.2
ASA I – II	42.1	40.3	0.33	51.1	51.0	0.41	82.9	82.7	0.81	46.1	41.1	0.12	7.0	6.9	0.9
ASA III	32.8	33.6		24.2	22.4		13.0	12.5		46.9	51.1		33.7	33.6	
ASA IV	25.1	26.1		24.7	26.6		4.1	4.8		7.0	7.8		59.2	59.5	
Pain-Catheter	26.2	26.7	0.72	31.8	31.3	0.8	9.4	12.2	0.63	22.9	22.6	0.86	34.0	34.7	0.71
H-Trans	17.2	16.0	0.60	22.5	21.3	0.68	6.2	6.3	0.68	20.4	18.8	0.68	14.6	12.6	0.3
A-Trans	19.7	21.4		1.8	2.1		0.4	0.7		31.4	33.5		32.2	32.7	
OP ≤ 1.5 h	48.7	50.0	0.9	55.4	58.8	0.68	66.0	63.0	0.85	47.5	49.0	0.35	36.7	35.7	0.9
OP > 1.5 h	51.3	50.0		44.6	41.2		34.0	37.0		52.5	51.0		63.3	64.3	

Pain-Catheter = regional catheters for postoperative pain relief (epidural, femoral, sciatic, interscalene), H-/A-Trans = homologous/autologous transfusion (any kind)

**Table 4** Outcome data of surgical patients 1995 (30% oxygen) and 1997 (100% oxygen)

Surgical group	N		Postop. Hypoxia (%)			U-ICU (%)			SSI (%)			PONV (%)			H-Mortality (%)		
	1995	1997	1995	1997	P	1995	1997	P	1995	1997	P	1995	1997	P	1995	1997	P
<b>ALL</b>	5,313	5,245	4.3	3.0	< 0.0001	1.1	0.9	0.18	8.0	5.0	< 0.0001	21.6	17.5	< 0.0001	2.1	1.6	0.088
<b>GENERAL SURGERY</b>	1,322	1,351	3.9	2.7	0.026	0.8	0.8	0.959	10.8	6.1	< 0.0001	3.5	19.0	0.004	2.5	1.9	0.136
Colorectal	326	293	3.4	2.7	0.643	0*	0*		22.4	14.7	0.014	20.6	17.7	0.377	4.3	4.1	0.902
Major	231	220	3.5	2.3	0.514	0*	0*		17.3	10.9	0.051	25.6	20.9	0.245	6.9	4.1	0.110
Minor	765	838	4.1	2.7	0.114	1.4	1.3		3.9	1.8	< 0.01	24.2	19.0	0.011	0.4	0.5	0.796
<b>GYNECOLOGY</b>	779	736	2.3	2.2	0.581	0.5	0.1	0.20	8.5	5.4	0.021	21.3	16.8	0.027	0.8	0.4	0.321
Major	189	190	5.8	4.2	0.473	2.1	0.5	0.175	12.7	7.9	0.124	20.1	14.2	0.128	1.6	1.1	0.391
Mastectomy	80	75	3.8	4.0	0.936	0	0		13.8	8.0	0.252	23.8	18.7	0.44	2.5	0	0.168
Minor	510	471	0.8	0.6	0.784	0	0		6.1	4.0	0.146	21.4	17.6	0.139	0.2	0.2	0.792
<b>ORTHOPEDICS</b>	1,769	1,749	4.5	2.6	0.003	0.6	0.4	0.357	2.1	1.5	0.224	20.6	16.5	0.002	0.5	0.4	0.632
Spine	79	103	6.3	3.9	0.451	0*	0*		1.3	1.0	0.850	31.6	21.4	0.116	2.5	1.0	0.412
Major, Arthroplasty	693	656	4.6	2.3	0.020	1.0	0.3	0.112	2.7	1.8	0.264	20.5	16.9	0.093	0.7	0.6	0.801
Minor	997	990	4.3	2.7	0.055	0.4	0.2	0.418	1.7	1.4	0.601	20.2	15.8	0.011	0.2	0.2	0.994
<b>VASCULAR SURGERY</b>	1,443	1,409	5.4	4.9	0.128	2.2	1.8	0.481	12.3	7.9	< 0.0001	21.3	17.5	0.009	5.5	4.8	0.531
Aorta	271	244	8.1	6.1	0.387	0*	0*		6.3	3.3	0.114	18.1	14.8	0.300	5.2	4.5	0.729
Periph. Arteries	630	620	6.5	5.5	0.446	4.4	3.7	0.511	21.9	14.7	< 0.001	25.1	20.0	0.053	7.3	6.1	0.405
Cerebral Arteries	200	195	2.0	0.5	0.186	0*	0*		5.5	3.1	0.235			0.151	2.5	1.5	0.498
Minor	342	350	3.2	2.6	0.613	1.2	1.0	0.681	3.5	2.0	0.225	17.0	13.4	0.196	0	0	

\*Patients generally designated for ICU

U-ICU = Unplanned ICU admission, SSI = surgical site (wound) infection, PONV = postoperative nausea and vomiting

H-Mortality = in-hospital mortality (during hospital stay; no follow up)