- 1 Evaluation of 17 microsatellite markers for parentage testing and individual
- 2 identification of domestic yak (Bos grunniens)
- 4 Jie Pei^{1,2}, Pengjia Bao^{1,2}, Min Chu^{1,2}, Chunnian Liang^{1,2}, Xuezhi Ding^{1,2}, Hongbo Wang^{1,2},
- 5 Xiaoyun Wu^{1,2}, Xian Guo^{1,2} and Ping Yan^{1,2}
- 6
- 7 Lanzhou Institute of Husbandry and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Chinese Academy of
- 8 Agricultural Sciences, Lanzhou, China
- $^{\rm 2}$ Key Laboratory for Yak Genetics, Breeding, and Reproduction Engineering of Gansu
- 10 Province, Lanzhou, China
- 12 Corresponding authors:
- 13 Xian Guo, guoxianlz@163.com
- 14 Ping Yan, pingyan63@126.com

15

11

ABSTRACT

17	Background. Yak (<i>Bos grunniens</i>) is the most important domestic animal for people living at
18	high altitudes. Yak ordinarily feeds by grazing, and this behavior impacts the $\underline{accuracy}$ of \underline{the}
19	pedigree record accuracy because it is difficult to control mating in grazing yak. This study
20	aimed to evaluate the system of pedigree system and individual identification in polled yak.
21	Methods. Seventy-one microsatellite loci were selected from the literature, mostly from the
22	studies on cattle. Thirty-five microsatellite loci generated excellent $\frac{1}{1}$ PCR $\frac{1}{1}$ results and
23	were evaluated for the parentage testing and individual identification of 236 unrelated polled
24	yaks. Seventeen of these 35 microsatellite loci had polymorphic information content (PIC)
25	values greater than 0.5, and these loci were in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium without linkage
26	disequilibriumOf these, 17 microsatellite loci had polymorphic information content (PIC)
27	of >0.5, and they were included in Hardy Weinberg equilibrium without linkage
28	disequilibrium.
29	Results. Using multiplex PCRs, capillary electrophoresis, and genotyping, very high
30	exclusion probabilities were obtained for the combined core set of 17 loci \div the The exclusion
31	probability (PE) for one candidate parent when the genotype of the other parent is not known
32	<u>—was</u> 0.99718116; _PE for one candidate parent when the genotype of the other parent is
33	known = $\underline{\text{was}}$ 0.99997381; $\underline{\text{and}}$ PE for a known candidate parent pair = $\underline{\text{was}}$ 0.99999998. The
34	combined PEI (exclusion probability for identity of two unrelated individuals) and PESI
35	(exclusion probability for identity of two siblings) were > 0.99999999 and 0.99999899,
36	respectively. These findings indicated that the combination of 17 microsatellite markers could
37	be useful for efficient and reliable parentage testing and individual identification in polled
38	yak.
39	Discussion. Many microsatellite loci have been investigated for cattle paternity testing.
40	Nevertheless, these loci cannot be directly applied to yak identification because the two bovid
41	species have different genomice sequences and organization. Seventeen loci were selected
42	from 71 microsatellite loci based on efficient amplification, unambiguous genotyping, and
43	high PIC values for polled yaks, and were suitable for parentage analysis in polled yak

populations The 17 loci selected were deemed suitable for yak parentage testing based on their
 efficient amplification, unambiguous genotyping, and high PIC. Thus, this set of
 microsatellite loci is suitable for parentage analysis in polled yak populations.

47

48

INTRODUCTION

Yak (Bos grunniens), a member of Bovidae family, has successfully been adapted to the 49 50 severe cold and low oxygen levels, which is a characteristics of high altitude regions (~2,500– 51 5,500 m), such as the Himalayas in South-Central Asia, the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau-in-Mongolia, and Russia (Wu 2016). These regions are known for their high elevations, pristine 52 53 natural environments, and extreme seasonal variations (Mizuno et al. 2015). The ability of yak to survive in such rugged natural environment is due to its various varied behavioral, 54 physiological, and genetic adaptations (Barsila et al. 2014; Hu et al. 2012; Qiu et al. 2012). 55 56 For instance, remarkable reduction of heat production at night when not grazing and increased 57 energy consumption when grazing in-under free-range conditions enables yaks to save more energy and resist the extremely harsh conditions than other cattle under the same similar 58 environmental conditions in order to resist the extremely harsh conditions (Ding et al. 2014). 59 60 Yak can thrive in under extreme environmental conditions, such as the Tibetan Plateau, 61 where few other animals also can survive. In this region, yak have significantly contributed to 62 human life by providing meat, milk, fur, leather, and transportation when compared to other animals (Medhammar et al. 2012; Wang et al. 2018). 63 64 There are about 13 million domestic yak in China, accounting for Of approximately 90% of the global yak population, domestic yak constituted about 13 million in China. Although there 65 are 18 yak breeds in China, only one breed (Datong) has been included in a breeding program 66 67 (Wu 2016). However, polled yak have has been bred for many years at the foot of Ashidan Mountain, as polling reduces the risk of horn-inflicted injury or death among the herdsmen. 68 Accurate genealogical records can help estimate the genetic parameters and improve the 69 breeding programs, ensuring efficient and effective breeding progression to avoid excessive 70

```
71
      inbreeding. However, while grazing, it is difficult to control mating among yaks while
72
      grazing. Furthermore, semen samples could be erroneously mislabeled during preparation,
73
      and mating records might be misinterpreted because of clerical errors made during artificial
74
      insemination. Therefore, accurate yak pedigree records that are compiled by parentage testing
75
      and individual identification are essential in to the yak breeding process of yak.
        Molecular markers can indicate the degree of genetic relatedness between animals,
76
77
      facilitating the parentage verification and individual identification (Estoup et al. 2002).
      Microsatellites are also known refer to as short tandem repeats (STRs) or simple sequence
78
      repeats (SSRs), and are considered as tracts of DNA motifs ranging from 1—one to 10
79
80
      nucleotides in length with repeats of 5–50 times (Carneiro Vieira et al. 2016). The
81
      mMicrosatellites can be used to develop pedigreed animal populations and to evaluate animal
      breeding, which in turn-supporting the genetic improvement by selective breeding (Weising et
82
83
      al. 1998). The applications of microsatellites as molecular markers for animal identification
      and parentage verification produced highly accurate and effective results in both breeding and
84
      forensics (Linacre et al. 2011).
85
        Microsatellite marker analysis has been used to verify the parentage in breeding registries
86
87
      and identify individual animals that are linked to a particular database or owner.
88
      Microsatellite panels of cattle (Zhao et al. 2017), horse (Kang et al. 2016), sheep (Rosa et al.
      2013), dog (Jeong et al. 2015), and parrot (Coetzer et al. 2017) have been well characterized.
89
90
      Parentage control in the beef cattle breeds, Charolais, Limousin, and Preta, in Portugal was
      assessed using 10 microsatellite markers, and the results revealed a combined exclusion
91
      probability (PE) of above 0.9995, indicating their ability to exclude a random parent pair
92
      (Carolino et al. 2009). The application of 11 microsatellite loci in paternity testing regarding
93
94
      thein Yugoslav Pied cattle breed in Serbia revealed a combined PE of 0.999 (Stevanovic et al.
      2010). Sixteen specific microsatellite markers were used to develop a genetic system for of
95
96
      meat traceability of for several beef cattle breeds, including Japanese Black, Anduo yak,
97
      Limousin, Jiaxian Red, Nanyang Yellow, and Luxi Yellow (Zhao et al. 2017). Previous
98
      studies have reported that microsatellite genotyping was used for kinship relationship
```

identification population genetics analysis and parentage testing in yak. However, these loci and their primers were originally developed for cattle, and then used directly in yak (Li et al. 2013; Nguyen et al. 2005). Therefore, there is a need for explorative and application-based studies on microsatellite markers or panels of microsatellite markers that are suitable for yak have to be explored and applied for accurate individual identification and parentage testing in yak.

Hence, in the present study, we aimed to establish a paternity test and individual

Hence, in the present study, we aimed to establish a paternity test and individual identification system for the polled yak. SoTherefore, the study planned-was expected to (1) to calculate the genetic parameters of polled yak microsatellite loci, which have been commonly reported by studies on kinship relationships population genetics studies in of cattle and yak; (2) to evaluate the application values of the loci, with high polymorphic information content (PIC), for parentage testing and individual identification; and (3) to explore a multiloci combination test system for parentage testing and individual identification.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Marker selection and primer design

The microsatellites used in the present study were selected from the previous reports on cattle breeding based on the following criteria: (a) high PIC; (b) large number of alleles; (c) relatively infrequent null alleles; and (d) homogeneous or approximately homogeneous repeat motifs (Schnabel et al. 2000). Among the 71 bovine microsatellite markers selected, 65 were derived from the cattle, (Tian et al. 2008; Zhang et al. 2008b) and six 6 were exclusive to yak (Supplemental Table S1) (Li 2004). All the 71 microsatellites and their flanking sequences were found in the cattle genome, and were searched for in the yak genome. The primers for most of the loci used in the previous studies were not adapted suitable to for the yak genome due to because of low scores. However, the primers used to amplify the loci-BM1824, BM2113, BMS2533, ETH121, ETH225, ILSTS008, INRA124, RM099, INRA126, UMN0103, UMN0307, UMN0920, UMN2303, UMN3007, and UMN3008 loci presented

126 relatively high scores, and therefore, so these loci were not adjusted redesigned for yak. The 127 primers used in the present study are listed in Table S1. 128 Sample collection 129 130 Polled Yaks-yaks polled bred byvia selective breeding were selected from the herds in 131 Ashidan Mountain, region in Qinghai Province, China. To avoid consanguinity, samples 132 from animals with no genetic relationship were selected taken from animals animals with no 133 genetic relationship. All yaks were handled in strict accordance with good animal practices, by following the Animal Ethics Procedures and Guidelines of the People's Republic of China. 134 The present study was approved by the Animal Administration and Ethics Committee of 135 Lanzhou Institute of Husbandry and Pharmaceutical Sciences of Chinese Academy of 136 137 Agricultural Sciences (Permit No. SYXK-2016-0039). Blood was drawn from the jugular 138 veins of 236 unrelated individuals, including 38 sires and 198 dams, and samples were mixed with the preservation buffer (containing 1.5 mg mL-1 EDTA and 137 mmol L-1 NaCl) at a ratio 139 140 of 5:1. The blood samples were stored at -80 °C in an ultra-cold freezer until DNA extraction. 141 142 DNA extraction and quantification The gGenomic DNA was extracted from white blood cells, which were separated from the 143 144 whole blood, and digested with Proteinase proteinase K. After digestion, the samples were centrifuged at 5000 × g for 2 min, and the resulting supernatant (clear aqueous layer) was 145 146 transferred to a new test tube. After the addition of 0.5 mL of 10 mg mL-1 RNase A, the-DNA 147 was individually extracted with a phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol mixture (25:24:1) 148 followed by chloroform, precipitated with ethanol, and then resuspended in 50 mL TE buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl and 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0). The extracted DNA was then quantified using a 149 NanoDrop 2000 fluorometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). 150

152	Preliminary primer screening
153	Unlabeled primer pairs (Table S1) for each microsatellite marker were used for amplifying
154	amplification of the DNA fragments. PCR was performed with a reaction mixture at a total
155	<u>volume</u> of total volume 20 μ L, and comprising of 20–50 ng of genomic DNA, 10 mM Tris-
156	HCl (pH 9.0), 50 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl ₂ , 2.0 mM each dNTP, 5 ng of bovine serum
157	albumin, and 1.0 U of $Taq^{\rm TM}$ Hot Start Version polymerase (TaKaRa Bio Inc., Kusatsu,
158	Shiga, Japan). Primer The concentrations of Primers ranged from 1.0 to 5.5 μM . The thermal
159	cycle parameters were as follows: 5 min at 95 °C; 35 cycles of 30 s at 95 °C, 30 s at 55–58
160	$^{\rm o}$ C (Table S1), and 20 s at 72 $^{\rm o}$ C; and a final extension step for 5 min at 72 $^{\rm o}$ C. Amplifications
161	were performed on a Veriti 96-Well Thermal Cycler (Applied Biosystems Corp., Foster City,
162	CA, USA). The amplicons were visualized on 2% agarose gels (Gene Tech Co. Ltd., Chai
163	Wan, Hong Kong, PRC). Five microliters of PCR product were mixed with 1 μ L of loading
164	dye (TaKaRa Bio Inc.) and electrophoresed for 35 min at 85 V_{\div the The samples were then
165	$visualized\ using\ the\ GelDoc^{TM}\ XR+\ gel\ imaging\ system\ (Bio-Rad\ Corp.,\ Hercules,\ CA,$
166	USA).
167	The amplicons with high specificity, and high amplification efficiency, and whose loci
168	$\frac{\text{that}}{\text{were either non-syntenic or separated by}} > 10 \text{ cM}$ (to avoid strong genetic linkage) (Table
169	S2), were manually cut out of agarose gels and forwarded to Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA, USA)
170	and Thermo Fisher Scientific, without prior purification, for Sanger sequencing on an
171	ABI3730xl automated sequencer (Applied Biosystems Corp.) to identify repeat markers. Only
172	the forward primers were used to sequence the target markers.
173	
174	Genetic information acquisition
175	The confirmed primer sequences flanking the microsatellite loci were synthesized with a
176	fluorescent label (FAM TM , HEX TM , or TAMRA TM ; Thermo Fisher Scientific) attached to the
177	5' end of each forward primer. The microsatellites were separately amplified by PCR to
178	identify the highly polymorphic loci. The amplification systems and conditions were similar

179	to those described above for unlabeled primers.
180	After amplification, 1 μL of amplified fragment mix was added to 0.5 μL of loading buffer
181	(blue dextran, 50 mg mL-1, EDTA, 25 mM) and 4 μL of deionized formamide, and $\underline{it\ was}$ then
182	denatured by incubation for 5 min at 95 °C. An internal size standard (0.5 μL of Thermo ABI
183	4322682; Thermo Fisher Scientific) was added to each sample, and the fluorescently labeled
184	PCR products were then separated by capillary electrophoresis (ABI3730xl Genetic Analyzer;
185	Applied Biosystems Corp.). The fluorescently labeled DNA fragments were first analyzed
186	with GENESCAN v. 3.7 (Applied Biosystems Corp.) and then with followed by
187	GENOTYPER v. 3.7 NT (Applied Biosystems Corp.). Then it was The fragement fragments
188	were then automatically sorted according to the internal size standard. The numbers of
189	$microsatellite\ repeat\ motifs\ were\ calculated\ based\ on\ the\ \underline{amplicon}\ lengths\ \underline{of\ the\ amplicons}\ (Table\ S3).$
190	
191	Genetic information analysis
191	
192	The genotypic data were initially processed in Microsoft Office Excel 2007, manually
193	checked for errors, and then transformed into input files that were required for the
194	following subsequent analyses. The performance characteristics [observed heterozygosity
195	(HO);). expected heterozygosity (HE);). PIC _a ; estimated null allele frequency (F(null));)).
196	Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE);). and genotypie linkage disequilibrium] were measured
197	with GENEPOP v. 4.6 (Raymond & Rousset 1995; Rousset 2008) and CERVUS v. 3.0.7
198	(Kalinowski et al. 2010; Slate et al. 2000).
199	
200	Muldinlan DCD and distant
200	Multiplex PCR conditions
201	Genotyping of 236 yaks for 35 loci (Table 1) produced a core set of 17 loci with high PIC
202	<u>values</u> (Table 2). Four multiplex PCR <u>reaction</u> s were assembled, each containing four or five
203	microsatellite markers. The primer sequences and concentrations used in the multiplex PCR
204	<u>reactions</u> are shown in Table 2. The multiplex PCR <u>reactions</u> were performed with reaction
205	mixtures of at a total volume of 15 uL, comprising of containing 25 ng of genomic DNA, 10

206	mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.3), 35 mM KCl, 1.8 mM MgCl $_2$, 5.0 mM each dNTPs, and 2.5 U of
207	Taq^{TM} Hot Start Version polymerase (TaKaRa Bio Inc.). Amplifications were performed in a
208	Veriti 96-Well thermal cycler (Applied Biosystems Corp.) under the following conditions: 95
209	°C for 5 min; 25 cycles of 95 °C for 30 s, 55–58 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 30 s; 10 cycles of
210	95 °C for 30 s, 53 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 30 s; and final extension at 72 °C for 5 min.
211	Genotyping of these microsatellite loci was performed as described above.

Parentage testing and individual identification

Exclusion probability <u>values</u> for parentage testing <u>was-were</u> obtained using a likelihood-based method <u>with-based on the-genotypic</u> information. Five types of PEs were calculated for the set of loci in CERVUS v. 3.0.7. PE1 was defined as the average probability of excluding an unrelated candidate parent of an arbitrary offspring when the genotype of the other parent is unknown. PE2 was defined as the average probability of excluding an unrelated candidate parent of an arbitrary offspring when the genotype of the other parent is known. PEP was defined as the average probability of excluding a pair of unrelated candidate parents of an arbitrary offspring. PEI was defined as the average probability of differentiating two randomly-selected individuals. PESI was defined as the average probability of differentiating two randomly-selected full siblings (Kalinowski et al. 2010; Slate et al. 2000).

RESULTS

Microsatellite loci characteristics

After preliminary screening, a total of 35 microsatellite loci with the highest primer specificity and allele numbers among the 71 microsatellite loci were selected for further analysis. The number of alleles, allele size ranged, HO, HE, PIC, F(null), and HWE of the 35 microsatellite loci of polled yaks are presented in Table 1. Three monomorphic loci, viz., namely BM2943, INRA035, and RM099, were identified. The remaining 32 loci were

232 polymorphic. Their number of alleles per locus ranged from 3-three (CSSM013 and CSSM033) 233 to 12 (SPS115), and the PIC values ranged from 0.084 (MM12) to 0.815 (ILSTS028). Four of these 32 polymorphic loci had low PIC values (i.e., < 0.25), 11 had moderate PIC values 234 (0.25 + 0.50), and 17 loci had high PIC values (i.e., > 0.50) (Table 1). 235 236 The 17 genetic markers with high PIC values were reproducible, informative, and locusspecific for parentage testing and individual identification. The number of alleles ranged from 237 238 5 five (BM720, INRA005, TGLA227) to 12 (SPS115). Heterozygosityies values ranged from 239 0.573 (POTCHA) to 0.806 (ILSTS028), with an average of 0.662. Deviations between HO and HE ranged from 0.003 (INRA005) to 0.103 (TGLA126). The 17 loci had an average PIC 240 241 values of 0.636, ranging from 0.529 (INRA005) to 0.815 (ILSTS028). The null allele frequency ranged from 0.0015 (TGLA227) to 0.0819 (TGLA126) (Table 1). 242 243 244 Hardy-Weinberg and genotypic linkage disequilibrium tests 245 The results of HWE tests of the 17 microsatellite loci showed indicated no significance 246 significant differences (P > 0.05) (Table 1). Therefore, the 17 loci with high PIC values (> 247 0.5) were selected for yak paternity testing. Genotypic Linkage disequilibrium within the polled yaks resulted in 136 comparisons. There were , and no disequilibrium found in the 248 249 interlocus disequilibrium was detected between each locus loci within on the same chromosome (Table S6). 250 251 Multiplex amplification and loading 252 253 The mMultiplex PCR reactions were organized to co-amplify the four groups of 4-5the four 254 or five loci with high PIC values. Non-overlapping allele lengths that presented the same 255 fluorescent color label were selected. Typical fluorescence signals of the core 17 microsatellite loci are shown in Figure 1. Using the three available fluorescent colors, we 256 multiplex-loaded and scored two groups of eight or nine loci in each run. 257

Parentage inference

The exclusion probabilities were calculated from the allele frequencies based on the underlying assumptions of HWE. The PE1 values of the 17 core loci ranged from 0.189 (BM720) to 0.503 (ILSTS028), with an average of 0.285. The average PE2 values of the 17 markers was 0.451, and it-values ranged from 0.328 (INRA005) to 0.673 (ILSTS028). For Regarding the 17 core loci, the combined PE1 and PE2 values were 0.99718116 and 0.99997381, respectively. For the alleged-putative parents, the combined PEP value was 0.99999998. The eCombined PEI and PESI values were > 0.99999999 and 0.99999999, respectively (Table 2). Therefore, the PE values indicated that the discriminatory power of the 17 loci was highthe identity and parentage PEs based on the 17 core loci were highly different.

DISCUSSION

Previous studies indicated that 4.3% of annual losses with regard to genetic gain during dairy breeding were caused by pedigree errors (10%), compared to simulation analysis of accurate paternity determination dataPrevious studies have indicated that 4.3% of the annual losses in genetic progress during dairy breeding was caused by 10% (Israel & Weller 2000) to 22% pedigree errors in some farms due to inappropriate management systems (Ron et al. 1996; Visscher et al. 2002). In fact, the pedigree error rate in yaks was high due to incorrect paternity as the yaks feed primarily by grazing, thwarting kinship parentage attribution.

Additionally, clerical and insemination errors and fading ink on artificial insemination records and labels might contribute to sample mixing, thereby, leading to pedigree errors. Therefore, it is necessary to identify and correct the pedigree through parentage testing and individual identification. These practices that aim at genetic improvement of yak are essential for generating reliable breeding programs that aim at genetic improvement of yak. Several reports have been published on the use of microsatellite markers for cattle identification (Sharma et al. 2015; Zhao et al. 2017), but the performance characteristics of yak identification panels

have not yet been established. Parentage testing in for yak breeding will increases the profitability by improving the efficiency of selective breeding programs. The most commonly used methods of livestock identification and parentage verification rely on microsatellites (Jan & Fumagalli 2016; Jeong et al. 2015; Wang et al. 2017). However, single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) have been applied in the identification and parentage verification of swine (Sus scrofa) and cattle (Bos taurus) (Eggen 2012; Rohrer et al. 2007). A recent study debated on whether the use of SNPs rather than instead of microsatellites should be used for parentage verification (Kaiser et al. 2017). But However, at least 200 SNPs should be used for parentage testing to reduce false-negative results, and at least 700 SNPs are required to completely eliminate the false positives (Strucken et al. 2016). In addition, a kinship parentage analysis based on SNPs has few predictable statistical problems that must be earefully considered carefully and appropriately evaluated appropriately before substituting the classical STRs approach (Amorim & Pereira 2005). For these reasons, microsatellites are preferred over SNPs for parentage testing. Most of the microsatellite markers used for cattle identification and parentage verification are dimeric repeat motifs (Carolino et al. 2009; Stevanovic et al. 2010; van de Goor et al. 2009; Zhao et al. 2017). Similarly, all microsatellite markers used in the present study were dimeric. Repeat patterns have advantages as well as disadvantages. Dimeric microsatellites might have mutations or stutter bands that -present allele interpretation errors (Walsh et al. 1996). On the contrary, Deduring PCR conduction, trimeric, tetrameric, and pentameric repeat motifs demonstrated lower stutter slippage efficiency than dimeric microsatellites (Gill et al. 2005), and they they ensured clear peak discrimination. On the contraryother hand, an appropriate mutation rate might be beneficial for the verification of multigenerational parentage. This is because the mutations might occur between an ancestor and a descendant's assumed father/mother, but not between an assumed father/mother and offspring, which thereby thus allowing the identification of the true father/mother. For trimeric, tetrameric, pentameric, and hexameric microsatellites, the gaps occurring during the sequence variant visualization within the repeat units can result in larger bin sizes larger than that those

286

287

288

289

290 291

292

293

294295

296

297 298

299

300

301 302

303

304 305

306 307

308

309

310

311

312

of dimeric microsatellites (Gill et al. 2000). However, when if the span of the sequence variants was is too wide, it becomes increasingly difficult to confine the microsatellite markers within a fluorochrome to a single multiplex PCR system. Furthermore, multimeric repeats can also be compound. All of The the microsatellite markers used in the present study were all-dimeric containing homogeneous repeat motifs, and therefore, some markers can be labeled as one fluorochrome in a single multiplex PCR with unambiguous genotypingthe genotyping remained unambiguous. In order to use them in paternity testing and individual identification, the microsatellite loci must have rare null alleles, to and be involved inat HWE, and the gametic association (linkage disequilibrium) should be absent. Null alleles are not amplified to the detectable levels by via PCR because of mutations of at primer binding sites (Kline et al. 2011). The frequency of null alleles is mainly estimated by Mendelian incompatibilities (Strucken et al. 2016) and by comparing the observed and expected numbers of homozygotes in at a locus (Dabrowski et al. 2015). This fact must be considered when performing genotyping for parentage testing, and when there is an apparent opposite homozygosity between parent and offspring. In the present study, the estimated null allele frequencies remained the highest for HEL10 (F(Null) = 0.703) and MGTG4B (F(Null) = 0.163). Therefore, these loci were excluded from the core set used for parental identification. The formulae used to estimate the exclusion probabilities assume random mating, random association between alleles of different loci, and allele frequencies consistent with HWE. The 17 loci that are-were selected as core microsatellite markers were included inat HWE (Table 1), indicating that they can be used to calculate the PE values. The microsatellites used in cattle parentage testing could not be directly applied to yak identification due to uncertainty as toof whether the primers used for cattle would produce the desired results in yak. In the present study, the microsatellite primers were designed according tobased on the yak gene sequences, and then were then tested by PCR and electrophoresis. In addition, the allelic frequencies differed between the cattle and yak. Even among the cattle breeds, the microsatellite PIC values have different differed values (Mao et al. 2008), and the same might be applied to the yak breeds of yak (Zhang et al. 2008). Thus, while determining

314

315

316

317

318

319 320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329 330

331

332 333

334

335

336

337

338 339

340

the PE values of parentage testing and individual identification for a new breed, allele frequencies and PIC values should be calculated de novo. The microsatellite loci with PIC <u>value</u> > 0.5 were selected for the identification panel. Nevertheless, the PIC values of these loci were still moderately lower than those used for cattle testing (Stevanovic et al. 2010; Vohra et al. 2017). Therefore, a high number of microsatellite markers were screened in the present study, and a set of 17 microsatellite markers proved to be sufficient to for determine determining the PE values. The combined exclusion probabilities of wrongly assigned sires were 99.718116%, 99.997381%, and 99.99998% for PE1, PE2, and PEP, respectively. Similar results were reported in Angeln dairy cattle, with 16 microsatellites and approximately 99.9% PE1 (Sanders et al. 2006). The PEP value calculated for the set of 17 microsatellites for parentage testing in the present study was 99.997381%, which was marginally higher than that obtained for Swiss yaks (99.5%) using 13 STR markers (Nguyen et al. 2005). Therefore, the set of microsatellite loci used in the present study appeared to significantly contributed for to parental identification in the polled yak population. We used several mapped cattle microsatellites to develop the sets of yak loci that are were suitable for multiplex PCR amplification, and multiplex loading was conducted in a single run in order to reduce human errors, typing cost, and time. Nevertheless, selecting markers comprising of a for a universal panel depends on the balance among the required panel accuracy, amplicon length, and ability to undergo a successful multiplex reaction. Multiplex PCR amplifications are technically more difficult than their single-locus counterparts, but they are less likely to transfer across species than single-locus amplifications. Several multiplex PCR and loading optimization methods have been investigated for cattle-parentage testing of cattle. Nevertheless, these methods cannot be directly applied to yak identification. Therefore, we developed four multiplex amplifications, (each containing four or five loci, and two multiplex loads, (each containing eight or nine loci,) which were running in two gel lanes. The main advantage of this system is that the ranges of allele length ranges do not overlap within the same fluorochrome.

342

343 344

345 346

347 348

349

350 351

352

353

354

355 356

357

358

359

360 361

362 363

364

365

366 367

368

Hence, we proposed that a combination of 17 microsatellites can yield a polled yak panel with enhanced processing efficiency, reliability, and utility. AlsoMoreover, this system uses the standard genotyping methods of DNA fragment analysis technology. Combined with the likelihood-based parentage testing, these 17 markers will help to improve the breeding programs and accurately determine the polled yak pedigrees. If this system is used to identify polled yaks that are not the descendants of the expected breeding male yak, breeders can eliminate them from the breeding group to ensure genetic purity and breed improvement. On the other hand, if the semen samples of high-grade male yaks are mixed with those of other males, then the detection system can be used to identify it by using use DNA from semen and the blood sample of the high-grade male yak for identification. Multiplex systems can also be used to rapidly assess the breeding population history, structure, and diversity of the breeding population, and for these systems can reconstructing the relationships among breeds. Furthermore, Tthese multiplexemultiplex systems might also be applied to other yak breeds of the yak with similar gene frequencies that are similar to that of the population tested in the present study. Although the core set of microsatellite loci presented here was meaningful for yak parentage testing, this methodology has still has somethe following limitations: (a) as Since different yak breeds have different microsatellite genotypes, this core set was only suitable for parentage testing of polled yak; (b) the The PIC values of the microsatellite loci are not sufficiently high to reduce the number of loci, thus avoiding low PE values; and (c) the The number of panels for multiplex PCR reactions and multiplex loading was still remained too high, which was leading to time-consuming and high cost. Therefore, a large number of microsatellites with high PIC values should be obtained for different yak breeds to develop efficient parentage test systems, with higher PE values and fewer markers. Also-Furthermore, microsatellites should be suitable to each specific yak breed, and markers should be possibly

screened in ausing single multiplex PCR reactions or multiplex loading.

CONCLUSIONS

370

371

372

373

374

375 376

377

378 379

380

381 382

383

384 385

386

387

388 389

390

391

392 393

394

395 396

A set of 17 microsatellite markers, that can be which were assembled into the four multiplex PCR reaction systems and genotyped in the two multiplex loading systems, were identified and evaluated. The high variability displayed by these microsatellite loci demonstrated that the highly precise genotyping panels might be used in for individual genotyping, parentage verification, and individual identification. The microsatellites reported herein in this study can could also assist in be used to study in gevaluate to evaluate the yak population structure, history, and diversity, which subsequently aids for the genetic improvement of domestic yak.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Hongli Jiang from Beijing UBioLab Genetics Technology Co., Ltd. for technical advice on genotype evaluation and multiplex systems development. We also thank Editage for improving the language of this manuscript. We thank the <u>Ee</u>ditor and the anonymous Reviewers for their constructive comments on the manuscript.

413 Amorim A, and Pereira L. 2005. Pros and cons in the use of SNPs in forensic kinship investigation: a comparative Field Code Changed 414 analysis with STRs. Forensic Sci Int 150:17-21. 10.1016/j.forsciint.2004.06.018 Formatted: Portuguese (Portugal) 415 Barsila SR, Kreuzer M, Devkota NR, Ding L, and Marquardt S. 2014. Adaptation to Himalayan high altitude pasture 416 sites by yaks and different types of hybrids of yaks with cattle. Livestock Science 169:125-136. Formatted: Portuguese (Portugal) 417 10.1016/j.livsci.2014.09.004 418 Carneiro Vieira ML, Santini L, Diniz AL, and Munhoz CdF. 2016. Microsatellite markers: what they mean and why 419 they are so useful. Genetics and Molecular Biology 39:312-328. 10.1590/1678-4685-gmb-2016-0027 420 Carolino I, Sousa CO, Ferreira S, Carolino N, Silva FS, and Gama LT. 2009. Implementation of a parentage control 421 system in Portuguese beef-cattle with a panel of microsatellite markers. Genetics and Molecular Biology 422 32:306-311. 10.1590/s1415-47572009005000026 423 Coetzer WG, Downs CT, Perrin MR, and Willows-Munro S. 2017. Testing of microsatellite multiplexes for 424 individual identification of Cape Parrots (Poicephalus robustus): paternity testing and monitoring trade. 425 Peerj 5. 10.7717/peerj.2900 426 Dąbrowski M, Bornelöv S, Kruczyk M, Baltzer N, and Komorowski J. 2015. 'True' null allele detection in 427 microsatellite loci: a comparison of methods, assessment of difficulties and survey of possible 428 improvements. Mol Ecol Resour 15:477-488. 429 Ding LM, Wang YP, Brosh A, Chen JQ, Gibb MJ, Shang ZH, Guo XS, Mi JD, Zhou JW, Wang HC, Qiu Q, and Long RJ. 430 2014. Seasonal heat production and energy balance of grazing yaks on the Qinghai-Tibetan plateau. 431 Animal Feed Science and Technology 198:83-93. 10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2014.09.022 432 Eggen A. 2012. The development and application of genomic selection as a new breeding paradigm. Animal 433 Frontiers 2:10-15, 10,2527/af,2011-0027 434 Estoup A, Jarne P, and Cornuet JM. 2002. Homoplasy and mutation model at microsatellite loci and their 435 consequences for population genetics analysis. Molecular Ecology 11:1591-1604. 10.1046/j.1365-436 294X.2002.01576.x 437 Gill P, Curran J, and Elliot K. 2005. A graphical simulation model of the entire DNA process associated with the analysis of short tandem repeat loci. Nucleic Acids Res 33:632-643. 10.1093/nar/gki205 438 Gill P, Whitaker J, Flaxman C, Brown N, and Buckleton J. 2000. An investigation of the rigor of interpretation rules 439 440 for STRs derived from less than 100 pg of DNA. Forensic Sci Int 112:17-40. 10.1016/s0379-441 0738(00)00158-4 Hu Q, Ma T, Wang K, Xu T, Liu J, and Qiu Q. 2012. The Yak genome database: an integrative database for studying 442 yak biology and high-altitude adaption. BMC Genomics 13:(7 November 2012)-(2017 November 2012). 443 444 Israel C, and Weller J. 2000. Effect of misidentification on genetic gain and estimation of breeding value in dairy 445 cattle populations. J Dairy Sci 83:181-187. 446 Jan C, and Fumagalli L. 2016. Polymorphic DNA microsatellite markers for forensic individual identification and

parentage analyses of seven threatened species of parrots (family Psittacidae). Peerj 4:e2416.

Jeong H, Choi B-H, Lee H-E, Gim J-A, and Kim H-S. 2015. Microsatellite analysis of genetic variation and structure

in Korean and exotic dog breeds. Genes & Genomics 37:819-827. 10.1007/s13258-015-0313-2

Kaiser S, Taylor S, Chen N, Sillett T, Bondra E, and Webster M. 2017. A comparative assessment of SNP and

microsatellite markers for assigning parentage in a socially monogamous bird. Mol Ecol Resour 17:183-

412

447

448

449

450

451

452

REFERENCES

Formatted: Portuguese (Portugal)

Formatted: Portuguese (Portugal)

- 453 Kalinowski ST, Taper ML, and Marshall TC. 2010. Revising how the computer program CERVUS accommodates 454 genotyping error increases success in paternity assignment (vol 16, pg 1099, 2007). *Molecular Ecology* 455 19:1512-1512. 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2010.04544.x
- Kang SW, Lee SY, Chio DH, Kang HJ, Hu MB, and Yang YJ. 2016. Statistical analysis of alleles in 4703 thoroughbred
 racing horses using fifteen microsatellite DNA markers. *Journal of Animal Science* 94:88-88.
 10.2134/ias2016.94supplement488x

459

460

461

462

466

467

468

469

470

471

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

490

- Kline MC, Hill CR, Decker AE, and Butler JM. 2011. STR sequence analysis for characterizing normal, variant, and null alleles. *Forensic Science International-Genetics* 5:329-332. 10.1016/j.fsigen.2010.09.005
- Li D, Chai Z, Ji Q, Zhang C, Xin J, and Zhong J. 2013. Genetic diversity of DNA microsatellite for Tibetan yak.

 Hereditas (Beijing) 35:175-184.
- Linacre A, Gusmao L, Hecht W, Hellmann AP, Mayr WR, Parson W, Prinz M, Schneider PM, and Morling N. 2011.

 ISFG: Recommendations regarding the use of non-human (animal) DNA in forensic genetic investigations. Forensic Science International-Genetics 5:501-505. 10.1016/j.fsigen.2010.10.017
 - Mao Y, Chang H, Yang Z, Zhang L, Xu M, Chang G, Sun W, Song G, and Ji D. 2008. The analysis of genetic diversity and differentiation of six Chinese cattle populations using microsatellite markers. *J Genet Genomics* 35:25-32.
 - Medhammar E, Wijesinha-Bettoni R, Stadlmayr B, Nilsson E, Charrondiere UR, and Burlingame B. 2012.

 Composition of milk from minor dairy animals and buffalo breeds: a biodiversity perspective. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* 92:445-474. 10.1002/jsfa.4690
- Mizuno S, Ishizaki T, Toga H, Sakai A, Isakova J, Taalaibekova E, Baiserkeev Z, Kojonazarov B, and Aldashev A.
 2015. Endogenous Asymmetric Dimethylarginine Pathway in High Altitude Adapted Yaks. *Biomed Research International*. 10.1155/2015/196904
- Nguyen T, Genini S, Ménétrey F, Malek M, Vögeli P, Goe M, and Stranzinger G. 2005. Application of bovine
 microsatellite markers for genetic diversity analysis of Swiss yak (Poephagus grunniens). *Anim Genet* 36:484-489.
 - Qiu Q, Zhang G, Ma T, Qian W, Wang J, Ye Z, Cao C, Hu Q, Kim J, Larkin DM, Auvil L, Capitanu B, Ma J, Lewin HA, Qian X, Lang Y, Zhou R, Wang L, Wang K, Xia J, Liao S, Pan S, Lu X, Hou H, Wang Y, Zang X, Yin Y, Ma H, Zhang J, Wang Z, Zhang Y, Zhang D, Yonezawa T, Hasegawa M, Zhong Y, Liu W, Zhang Y, Huang Z, Zhang S, Long R, Yang H, Wang J, Lenstra JA, Cooper DN, Wu Y, Wang J, Shi P, and Liu J. 2012. The yak genome and adaptation to life at high altitude. *Nature Genetics* 44:946-+. 10.1038/ng.2343
 - Raymond M, and Rousset F. 1995. GENEPOP (VERSION-1.2) POPULATION-GENETICS SOFTWARE FOR EXACT TESTS AND ECUMENICISM. *Journal of Heredity* 86:248-249.
 - Rohrer GA, Freking BA, and Nonneman D. 2007. Single nucleotide polymorphisms for pig identification and parentage exclusion. *Animal Genetics* 38:253-258. 10.1111/j.1365-2052.2007.01593.x
- 487 Rosa AJM, Sardina MT, Mastrangelo S, Tolone M, and Portolano B. 2013. Parentage verification of Valle del Belice
 488 dairy sheep using multiplex microsatellite panel. Small Ruminant Research 113:62-65.
 489 10.1016/j.smallrumres.2013.03.021
 - Rousset F. 2008. GENEPOP ' 007: a complete re-implementation of the GENEPOP software for Windows and Linux. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 8:103-106. 10.1111/j.1471-8286.2007.01931.x
- 492 Sanders K, Bennewitz J, and Kalm E. 2006. Wrong and missing sire information affects genetic gain in the Angeln 493 dairy cattle population. *J Dairy Sci* 89:315-321.
- Schnabel RD, Ward TJ, and Derr JN. 2000. Validation of 15 microsatellites for parentage testing in North American bison, Bison bison and domestic cattle. *Animal Genetics* 31:360-366. 10.1046/j.1365-2052.2000.00685.x

496 Sharma R, Kishore A, Mukesh M, Ahlawat S, Maitra A, Pandey A, and Tantia M. 2015. Genetic diversity and 497 relationship of Indian cattle inferred from microsatellite and mitochondrial DNA markers. *BMC Genet* 498 16:73.

- Slate J, Marshall T, and Pemberton J. 2000. A retrospective assessment of the accuracy of the paternity inference program CERVUS. *Molecular Ecology* 9:801-808. 10.1046/j.1365-294x.2000.00930.x
- Stevanovic J, Stanimirovic Z, Dimitrijevic V, and Maletic M. 2010. Evaluation of 11 microsatellite loci for their use in paternity testing in Yugoslav Pied cattle (YU Simmental cattle). *Czech Journal of Animal Science* 55:221-226.
- Strucken EM, Lee SH, Lee HK, Song KD, Gibson JP, and Gondro C. 2016. How many markers are enough? Factors influencing parentage testing in different livestock populations. *Journal of Animal Breeding and Genetics* 133:13-23. 10.1111/jbg.12179
- van de Goor LHP, Panneman H, and van Haeringen WA. 2009. A proposal for standardization in forensic bovine DNA typing: allele nomenclature of 16 cattle-specific short tandem repeat loci. *Animal Genetics* 40:630-636. 10.1111/j.1365-2052.2009.01891.x
- Vohra V, Sodhi M, Niranjan SK, Mishra AK, Chopra A, Kumar M, and Joshi BK. 2017. Characterization of rare migratory cattle and evaluation of its phylogeny using short-tandem-repeat-based markers. *Journal of Applied Animal Research* 45:355-363. 10.1080/09712119.2016.1194843
- Walsh PS, Fildes NJ, and Reynolds R. 1996. Sequence analysis and characterization of stutter products at the tetranucleotide repeat locus vWA. *Nucleic Acids Res* 24:2807-2812. 10.1093/nar/24.14.2807
- Wang G, Chen S, Chao T, Ji Z, Hou L, Qin Z, and Wang J. 2017. Analysis of genetic diversity of Chinese dairy goats via microsatellite markers. *J Anim Sci* 95:2304-2313.
- Wang L-L, Yu Q-L, Han L, Ma X-L, Song R-D, Zhao S-N, and Zhang W-H. 2018. Study on the effect of reactive oxygen species-mediated oxidative stress on the activation of mitochondrial apoptosis and the tenderness of yak meat. *Food Chemistry* 244:394-402. 10.1016/j.foodchem.2017.10.034
- Weising K, Winter P, Huttel B, and Kahl G. 1998. Microsatellite markers for molecular breeding. *Journal of Crop Production* 1:113-143.
- Wu J. 2016. The distributions of Chinese yak breeds in response to climate change over the past 50 years. *Animal Science Journal* 87:947-958. 10.1111/asj.12526
- Zhang G, Chen W, Xue M, Wang Z, Chang H, Han X, Liao X, and Wang D. 2008. Analysis of genetic diversity and population structure of Chinese yak breeds (Bos grunniens) using microsatellite markers. *J Genet Genomics* 35:233-238.
- Zhao J, Zhu C, Xu Z, Jiang X, Yang S, and Chen A. 2017. Microsatellite markers for animal identification and meat
 traceability of six beef cattle breeds in the Chinese market. Food Control 78:469-475.
 10.1016/j.foodcont.2017.03.017