#### First occurrence of the enigmatic peccaries *Mylohyus elmorei* and *Prosthennops serus* from the Appalachians: Latest Hemphillian to Early Blancan of Gray Fossil Site, Tennessee (#29976)

1

First submission

| Editor    | Editor guidance  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Please s  | Please submit by <b>11 Sep 2018</b> for the benefit of the authors (and your \$200 publishing discount). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ø         | <b>Structure and Criteria</b><br>Please read the 'Structure and Criteria' page for general guidance.     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ļ         | <b>Author notes</b><br>Have you read the author notes on the <u>guidance page</u> ?                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|           | <b>Raw data check</b><br>Review the raw data. Download from the <u>materials page</u> .                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|           | Image check<br>Check that figures and images have not been inappropriately manipulated.                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Privacy r | eminder: If uploading an annotated PDF, remove identifiable information to remain anonymous.             |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

**Files** Download and review all files from the <u>materials page</u>.

Т

11 Figure file(s)5 Table file(s)

For assistance email peer.review@peerj.com

#### Structure your review

The review form is divided into 5 sections. Please consider these when composing your review:

- **1. BASIC REPORTING**
- 2. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN
- **3. VALIDITY OF THE FINDINGS**
- 4. General comments
- 5. Confidential notes to the editor
- You can also annotate this PDF and upload it as part of your review

When ready submit online.

#### **Editorial Criteria**

Use these criteria points to structure your review. The full detailed editorial criteria is on your guidance page.

#### **BASIC REPORTING**

- Clear, unambiguous, professional English language used throughout.
- Intro & background to show context. Literature well referenced & relevant.
- Structure conforms to <u>PeerJ standards</u>, discipline norm, or improved for clarity.
- Figures are relevant, high quality, well labelled & described.
  - Raw data supplied (see <u>PeerJ policy</u>).

#### VALIDITY OF THE FINDINGS

- *i* Impact and novelty not assessed. Negative/inconclusive results accepted. *Meaningful* replication encouraged where rationale & benefit to literature is clearly stated.
  - Data is robust, statistically sound, & controlled.

#### **EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN**

- Original primary research within Scope of the journal.
   Research question well defined, relevant & meaningful. It is stated how the research fills an identified knowledge gap.
   Rigorous investigation performed to a high technical & ethical standard.
   Methods described with sufficient detail & information to replicate.
   Speculation is welcome, but should be identified as such.
  - Conclusions are well stated, linked to original research question & limited to supporting results.



#### Standout reviewing tips



The best reviewers use these techniques

#### Тір

Support criticisms with evidence from the text or from other sources

Give specific suggestions on how to improve the manuscript

Comment on language and grammar issues

Organize by importance of the issues, and number your points

Please provide constructive criticism, and avoid personal opinions

Comment on strengths (as well as weaknesses) of the manuscript

#### Example

Smith et al (J of Methodology, 2005, V3, pp 123) have shown that the analysis you use in Lines 241-250 is not the most appropriate for this situation. Please explain why you used this method.

Your introduction needs more detail. I suggest that you improve the description at lines 57- 86 to provide more justification for your study (specifically, you should expand upon the knowledge gap being filled).

The English language should be improved to ensure that an international audience can clearly understand your text. Some examples where the language could be improved include lines 23, 77, 121, 128 – the current phrasing makes comprehension difficult.

- 1. Your most important issue
- 2. The next most important item
- 3. ...
- 4. The least important points

I thank you for providing the raw data, however your supplemental files need more descriptive metadata identifiers to be useful to future readers. Although your results are compelling, the data analysis should be improved in the following ways: AA, BB, CC

I commend the authors for their extensive data set, compiled over many years of detailed fieldwork. In addition, the manuscript is clearly written in professional, unambiguous language. If there is a weakness, it is in the statistical analysis (as I have noted above) which should be improved upon before Acceptance.

#### First occurrence of the enigmatic peccaries *Mylohyus elmorei* and *Prosthennops serus* from the Appalachians: Latest Hemphillian to Early Blancan of Gray Fossil Site, Tennessee

Evan M. Doughty Corresp., 1, Steven C. Wallace 2, Blaine W. Schubert 2, Lauren M. Lyon 2, 3

<sup>1</sup> Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California, United States

<sup>2</sup> Department of Geosciences and Don Sundquist Center of Excellence in Paleontology, East Tennessee State University, Johnson City, Tennessee, United States

<sup>3</sup> Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Tennessee - Knoxville, Knoxville, Tennessee, United States

Corresponding Author: Evan M. Doughty Email address: emdoughty@g.ucla.edu

Two peccary species, Mylohyus elmorei and Prosthennops serus are described from the medium-bodied fauna of the Gray Fossil Site (GFS) of northeastern Tennessee. This site, recognized as an oak-hickory forest, is latest Hemphillian or earliest Blancan based on mammalian biochronology, with an estimated age of 4.9-4.5 Ma. The GFS represents the only site outside the Palmetto Fauna of Florida with *M. elmorei*, greatly expanding the species range north into the Appalachian region. This is also the first Appalachian occurrence of the relatively widespread P. serus. Our understanding of intraspecific variation for both *M. elmorei* and *P. serus* is expanded due to morphological and proportional differences found in cranial and dental material from the GFS, Tyner Farm locality, Palmetto Fauna, and within the literature. The GFS M. elmorei material represents the most complete mandible and second cranium for the species, and preserve intraspecific variation in the length of the diastema, dental proportions, and the complexity of the cuspules hypoconulid complex. Similarly, mandibular material from the GFS for P. serus exhibited larger dentitions and a greater degree of robustness than currently recognized for the species. The preservation of these two species from GFS suggests tayassuid niche partitioning in this ancient forested ecosystem.

| 1  | First occurrence of the enigmatic peccaries Mylohyus elmorei and Prosthennops serus from  |
|----|---|
| 2  | the Appalachians: Latest Hemphillian to Early Blancan of Gray Fossil Site, Tennessee  |
| 3  | Evan M. Doughty <sup>1</sup> , Steven C. Wallace <sup>2</sup> , Blaine W. Schubert <sup>2</sup> , and Lauren M. Lyon <sup>2,3</sup> |
| 4  | <sup>1</sup> Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of California - Los Angeles, Los                            |
| 5  | Angeles, California United States   |
| 6  | <sup>2</sup> Department of Geosciences and Don Sundquist Center of Excellence in Paleontology, East                                 |
| 7  | Tennessee State University, Johnson City, Tennessee United States   |
| 8  | <sup>3</sup> Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Tennessee - Knoxville,                                   |
| 9  | Knoxville, Tennessee United States  |
| 10 |   |
| 11 |   |
| 12 | Corresponding Author: Evan Doughty  |
| 13 | 103 Hershey Hall, 612 Charles E. Young Drive East   |
| 14 | Los Angeles, CA 90095-7246, United States   |
| 15 | Email address: emdoughty@g.ucla.edu   |
| 16 |   |
| 17 |   |
| 18 |   |
| 19 |   |
| 20 |   |

| 21 | First occurrence of the enigmatic peccaries Mylohyus elmorei and Prosthennops serus from  |
|----|---|
| 22 | the Appalachians: Latest Hemphillian to Early Blancan of Gray Fossil Site, Tennessee  |
| 23 | Evan M. Doughty <sup>1</sup> , Steven C. Wallace <sup>2</sup> , Blaine W. Schubert <sup>2</sup> , and Lauren M. Lyon <sup>2,3</sup> |
| 24 | <sup>1</sup> Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of California - Los Angeles, Los                            |
| 25 | Angeles, California United States   |
| 26 | <sup>2</sup> Department of Geosciences and Don Sundquist Center of Excellence in Paleontology, East                                 |
| 27 | Tennessee State University, Johnson City, Tennessee United States   |
| 28 | <sup>3</sup> Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Tennessee - Knoxville,                                   |
| 29 | Knoxville, Tennessee United States  |
|    |   |

#### 30 Abstract

Two peccary species, Mylohyus elmorei and Prosthennops serus are described from the 31 medium-bodied fauna of the Gray Fossil Site (GFS) of northeastern Tennessee. This site, 32 recognized as an oak-hickory forest, is latest Hemphillian or earliest Blancan based on 33 mammalian biochronology, with an estimated age of 4.9-4.5 Ma. The GFS represents the only 34 site outside the Palmetto Fauna of Florida with M. elmorei, greatly expanding the species range 35 Drth into the Appalachian region. This is also the first Appalachian occurrence of the relatively 36 widespread P. serus. Our understanding of intraspecific variation for both M. elmorei and P. 37 serus is expanded due to morphological and proportional differences found in cranial and dental 38 material from the GFS, Tyner Farm locality, Palmetto Fauna, and within the literature. The GFS 39 *M. elmorei* material represents the most complete mandible and second cranium for the species, 40 and preserve intraspecific variation in the length of the diastema, dental proportions, and the 41 complexity of the cuspules hypoconulid complex. Similarly, mandibular material from the GFS 42

#### Manuscript to be reviewed

for *P. serus* exhibited larger dentitions and a greater degree of robustness than currently
recognized for the species. The preservation of these two species from GFS suggests tayassuid
niche partitioning in this ancient forested ecosystem.

46 Introduction

47 Tayassuidae, a family of pig-like artiodactyls endemic to the New World, is geographically and temporally widespread (Wright, 1998). East of the Mississippi River, 48 however, late Hemphillian to early Blancan faunas are rare. The Palmetto Fauna of Florida is 49 50 similar in age to GFS, and is represented by an aggregation of multiple mine localities (e.g. Fort Green Mine, Palmetto Mine, Payne Creek, Saddle Creek Mine, and South Pierce quarries) within 51 the Central Florida Phosphate District of Polk, Hillsborough, and Hardee County (Fig. 1) 52 (Wright & Webb, 1984; Wright, 1989; Hulbert, 2001; Webb et al., 2008). Outside of the 53 Palmetto Fauna, the complete eastern record is represented by the Pipe Creek paleosinkhole of 54 Indiana (Farlow et al., 2001; Prothero & Sheets, 2013), the Mauville local fauna of Alabama 55 (Hulbert & Whitmore, 2006), and the Tyner Farm locality of Florida (Hulbert et al., 2009a). 56 Specifically, the Tyner Farm and Mauville local faunas both chiefly exhibit *Prosthennops serus* 57 58 (Hulbert & Whitmore, 2006; Hulbert et al., 2009a) whereas the Pipe Creek fauna is attributed by Prothero and Sheets (2013) to include Protherohyus brachydontus (=Catagonus brachydon 59 and *Platygonus pollenae*. Tayassuids are also identified within the fauna present at the Gray 60 61 Fossil Site (GFS), with Parmelee et al. (2002) suggesting cf. *Protherohyus* sp. However, based on the fragmentary nature of the material recovered at that time, subsequent workers identified 62 63 GFS peccaries to the family level only (e.g., Wallace & Wang, 2004; DeSantis & Wallace, 64 2008).

The GFS, located in eastern Tennessee (Fig. 1), is one of the few localities with 65 eastern United States that represents the latest Hemphillian ( to earliest Blancan (Wallace & 66 67 Wang, 2004; Samuels, Bredehoeft & Wallace, 2018). A lacustrine deposit of approximately 2.6-3.5 ha and a depth of up to 42m, the GFS is comprised of to eleven paleosinkholes within the 68 Cambrian to Ordovician dolostone of the Knox Group (Shunk, Driese & Clark, 2006; Whitelaw 69 70 et al., 2008). For a full review of the geology of GFS see Shunk, Driese & Clark (2006) and Shunk et al. (2009). Early descriptions from the GFS constrain the site to 7 to 4.5 Ma, based on 71 the presence of *Teleoceras* and *Plionarctos*, but a recent description of *Gulo sandorus* from the 72 site included a list of additional fauna which suggest an upr age limit of approximately 4.9 Ma 73 74 (Wallace & Wang, 2004; Samuels, Bredehoeft & Wallace, 2018). This site appears to represent an oak-hiclor forest that may have acted as a refugium for a diverse fauna and flora that were 75 otherwise disappearing due to the spread of grasslands throughout other regions of the United 76 States (Wallace & Wang, 2004; DeSantis & Wallace, 2008). Despite bearing taxa with Asiatic 77 78 affinities (e.g., Wallace & Wang, 2004; Liu & Jacques, 2010; Ochoa et al., 2012)-much of the 79 fauna of the GFS exhibits great similarity to that of the Palmetto Fauna of Florida (Hulbert et al., 80 2009b; Bourque & Schubert, 2015). This includes *Tapirus polkensis*, *Teleoceras* sp., *Plionarctos* 81 sp., and *Alligator* sp. (Wallace & Wang, 2004; Webb et al., 2008; Short, 2013). These 82 similarities extend to the previously unstudied GFS peccary material and the multiple tayassuid 83 taxa recognized within the Palmetto Fauna (Wright & Webb, 1984; Wright, 1989, 1998; Hulbert 84 et al., 2009a).

Considering the above, analysis of the GFS tayassuid material provides a unique
opportunity to better understand the latest Hemphillian to earliest Blancan of the Appalachian
region and its relation to other similarly aged sites within eastern North America. Here we report

#### Manuscript to be reviewed

the first occurrence of *Mylohyus elmorei* outside the Palmetto Fauna of Florida, recognize *Prosthennops serus* within Appalachia, and discuss the implications of multiple tayassuid
specimens occurring at the GFS and the region. Additional tayassuid material is recognized
within GFS, however, more work is required to verify a full designation.

#### 92 Methods and Materials

Linear measurements (mm) follow Von den Driesch (1976), whereas nomenclature 93 regarding skeletal morphology follows Woodburne (1968), Sisson and Grossman (1975), and 94 Wright (1989, 1991, 1998) (Fig. 2). Dental measurements and nomenclature were adapted from 95 Wright and Webb (1984) and Wright (1991) (Fig. 3). Images of specimens within the University 96 of Florida Museum of Natural History collections were taken with a Nikon d5100 camera using a 97 98 Nikon AF-S Micro-NIKKOR 60mm f/2.8G ED lens and are available on the FLMNH website www.flmnh.ufl.edu/vertpaleo-search/—through NSF grant CSBR 1203222 (Sean Moran, pers. 99 comms., 2015). GFS specimens were photographed using a Canon EOS Rebel Xsi camera and 100 tripod or MK DigitalDirect Photo-eBox Plus Digital Lighting System. All images were edited 101 using GIMP 2.0, Inkscape 0.91, and Adobe Photoshop CS2 and CS5. 102

103 Specimen Repositories—ETMNH, East Tennessee State University Museum of Natural

104 History—Fossil Collections, Gray, Tennessee; ETVP, East Tennessee State University Museum

105 of Natural History—Comparative Collection, Johnson City, Tennessee; UF, Division of

106 Vertebrate Paleontology, Florida Museum of Natural History, University of Florida, Gainesville,

107 Florida; UF/TRO, Timberlane Research Organization, Lake Wales, Florida (part of the John

108 Waldrop Collection now housed at the Division of Vertebrate Paleontology, Florida Museum of

109 Natural History, Gainesville, Florida).

#### 110 **RESULTS**

#### 111 Systematic Paleontology

| 112 | Class MAMMALIA Linneaeus, 1758                     |
|-----|--|
| 113 | Order ARTIODACTYLA Owen, 1848                      |
| 114 | Family TAYASSUIDAE Palmer, 1897                    |
| 115 | Subfamily TAYASSUINAE Palmer, 1897                 |
| 116 | Genus Mylohyus Cope, 1889                          |
| 117 | Mylohyus elmorei (White, 1942) Wright & Webb, 1984 |

**Holotype**—MCZ 3805: partial L. ramus with p2-m3.

**Referred Specimens (ND=2)**—ETMNH 7279: L. M2 with partial maxilla; ETMNH 8046:
reconstructed partial maxilla with L. and R. P2-M3, partial mandible with L. p3-m3 and R. p2m3; ETMNH 17219: partial L. p3; ETMNH 19281: L. m2; UF/TRO 440, UF 203540: isolated R.
M3.

**Description**— Crar chibits a partial maxilla with the left portion of the laterally convex 123 rostrum extending from the P2 to the anterior margin of the canine buttress (Fig. 4). Buttress 124 exhibits an irregular shape; bearing both triangular and hemispherical outlines in dorsal and 125 lateral views, respectively. A deep, anteroventrally tapering concavity separates the buttress and 126 the rest of the rostrum. Concavity is bordered laterally by a thin anteromedial to posterolaterally 127 oriented crest that increases in robustness posterodorsally until merger with the dorsal apex of 128 the buttress. Occlusal surface of the anterior buttress is comprised of a triangular patch of 129 relatively flat cortical bone that is separated from the bulbous, inflated lateral margins of the 130 buttress by a shallow ridge. Left canine alveolus is intact and ellipsoid in outline but canine is 131

not present. Post-canine diastema between the posterior boundary of the canine alveoli and P2 is 132 long-approximately 110% of total cheek tooth series length (Table 1)-and contains a shallow 133 diastemal crest that trends its full length. Rostrum exhibits a laterally extending crest along the 134 dorsal surface that is the origination of pneumatic zygoma. Crest is bulbous and inflated along 135 its anterodorsal margin whereas the inferior boundary is marked by posteroventrally trending 136 137 curvature of the maxillary bone. No internal structure of this portion of the zygoma is preserved; however, a deep sinuous depression is present posteromedial of the crest. A shallow to moderate 138 supraorbital sulci trends the length of the dorsolateral surface of the rostrum, originating 139 posteromedial to the lateral crest and terminating anterior and dorsal of the left canine buttress. 140 Ventral surface of rostrum exhibits a palatine sulcus that extends medial of the P2 to the canine 141 buttresses. The remaining medial portion of the palate posterior of the P2 is reconstructed with 142 the posterior portion of the sulci lacking. Maxillopalatine region along the dorsal surface of the 143 palate is sinuous. Within the maxillopalatine labyrinth, the thin—approximately 1.52mm 144 width—nasal septum diverges approximately 7.5 mm anterior of the P2. Internal surface of 145 maxilla dorsal and anterior of the P2 appears to exhibit thin, shallow anteroposteriorly trending 146 sulci. Nasal passage is incomplete with the medially projecting remnants indicating a posteriorly 147 148 constricting tubular profile that trends posterodorsally from medial of the canine buttress to medial of the origination of the zygoma, dorsal to the chambers of the maxillopalatine labyrinth. 149 150 Vomeroethmoid chamber is directly ventral of the nasal passage and lateral of the nasal septum. 151 Lateral expansion of the chamber is evident posterior of the canine buttresses due the lateral bulging of the cortical bone of the maxilla, with the external surface being convex whereas the 152 153 interior surface is comprised of a moderate elliptical depression. Medial surface of the rostral 154 cortical bone is pockmarked by numerous intersecting sulci of very shallow depths. Further

analysis of the maxillopalatine labyrinth as described by Wright (1991) is not possible due to thelack of preservation.

157 Mandible exhibits an elongate, gracile condition and is mostly complete but lacking the anterior margin of the symphysis, right mandibular condyle, and right coronoid process (Fig. 5). 158 Canine alveoli and the anterior margin of symphysis are missing. Despite being incomplete, the 159 160 symphysis is relatively gracile and elongate with a moderate to deep, medially positioned spoutlike concavity along its anteroposterior length that bears similarities with the mandibular spout 161 present in ground sloths (e.g. Mcdonald and De Muizon, (2002), De Muizon et al., (2003)). This 162 mandibular concavity is laterally bounded by raised ridges that trend posteriorly and then 163 dorsoposteriorly until the base of the p2. A single mental foramen is evident ventral to the trigon 164 of the p4 on the labial surface of the right rami. Two genial pits are positioned within a shallow 165 laterally trending genial fossa along the posterior surface of the symphysis medial to the rami. A 166 shallow transverse ridge trends along the ventral-most edge of the symphasis ventral to the genial 167 fossa. Distance between anterior edge of the p2 to the posterior edge of the symphysis is ~43.5 168 mm. Rami are mediolaterally gracile with a relatively consistent depth along the cheek tooth 169 series, however, the region in contact with the cheek teeth is medially inflated relative to the 170 171 ventral margin of the rami. Ventral surface of the rami retains a relatively similar width leading to the development of a shallow digastric fossa and submandibular fossa between the p2 and m3 172 which opens posteriorly into the shallow pterygoid fossa. Ventral to the m<sub>3</sub>, the left mandibular 173 foramen, despite being damaged, appears to be ellipsoid in profile as it opens into a moderate to 174 shallow, anteroposteriorly trending mylohyoid groove. A small mental foramen is positioned 175 ventral to the anterior cusps of the p4 along the labial surface of the right rami. Coronoid 176 process exhibits a triangular outline with a shallow to moderately deep masseteric fossa. Angle 177

originates approximately ventral to the posterior margin of the m3 and exhibits a shallowpterygoid fossa that is bounded posteroventrally by a shallow ridge.

Specimen exhibits moderate wear on the teeth of the upper and lower dentition (Fig. 6 180 and Fig. 7). Premolars of the lower and upper dentition exhibit a mostly quadrate, molariform 181 condition with moderate anterior and posterior cingula. Exhibiting a more squared to trapezoidal 182 183 outline, the P2 bears a longer labial edge relative the lingual edge due to a strong, lingually terminating anterior cingulum along the anterior surface of paracone (Table 1). Paracone and 184 anterior cingulum are merging through wear on the right P2 along the anterolingual moiety of the 185 cusp. However, this merger is incomplete as a shallow furrow still separates the median to 186 lingual moiety of the cusp. Trigon and talon are separated by a moderate to deep median valley. 187 Metacone is conic in outline with the left P2 exhibiting slight merger of the cusp with the 188 posterior cingulum along the posterolignual-most edge of the cusp; a shallow furrow separates 189 the posterior edge of the cusp from the cingulum. Hypocone is worn to merger with the posterior 190 cingulum which reduces labially until its termination along the posterolabial to labial edge of the 191 metacone. An ellipsoid fossette is visible on the anterior moiety of the hypocone on the left P2. 192

Third and fourth upper premolars exhibit similar morphology except for the latter being 193 194 slightly larger. Both exhibit a merger of the protocone and the weak anterior cingulum through wear. Protocone is merging anterolabially with the heavily worn paraconule is whereas the 195 paracone remains separated from either feature by a moderate furrow. Metaconule and 196 hypoconule are positioned anteromedial and posteromedial to the hypocone and metacone, 197 respectively. Both metaconule and hypoconule merge with the hypocone through wear. 198 Ellipsoid to semilunar fossettes are located at the center of the metaconule, hypoconule, and 199 hypocone. Metacone is separated from the remainder of the talon by a very shallow furrow. 200

#### Manuscript to be reviewed

Posterior cingulum is moderate, trending the entire posterior edge of all but the left P3 which exhibits chipping of the enamel posterior to the metacone. A depression is evident along the posterior cingulum of the left P4 and the anterior cingulum of the M1, which may represent a cavity or pathology.

Upper molars exhibit typical tayassuid morphology due to the square to rhombohedral 205 206 placement of the four primary cusps and strong to moderate anterior and posterior cingula. Left M1 exhibits a continuation of the depression of the P4 at the site of paraconule on the anterior 207 cingulum. Paraconules on both the M1 and M2 are merging with the paracone through wear, 208 whereas the M3 only exhibits merger of the paraconule with the anterior cingulum. All molars 209 210 exhibit labial cingula that are weak to moderate along the anterolateral edge of the paracone, within the deep median valleys between the labial cusps, and along the posterolateral surface of 211 the metacone. A single accessory cuspule populates the labial cingulum within the median 212 213 valley of the M2 and M3, however, the cuspule in the latter is reduced. Both the trigon and talon 214 have been almost completely worn into irregularly-shaped, transversely elongate fossettes in the M1. Talon fossette exhibits a partially separation of the metacone fossette from the rest of talon 215 216 fossette by a thin remnant of lingual to posteriorly bounding enamel (right) or raised dentin (left) 217 that opens anterolingually. Alternatively, the M2 only exhibits merger of the hypocone and metacone with the hypoconule and the metaconule, respectively. Metaconule is heavily worn in 218 the M3 but remains separated from the metacone and hypocone by shallow furrows. In the M2 219 the hypoconule is worn flat with the posterior cingulum and is merging with the hypocone. 220 221 Posterior cingulum is strongly developed and bears a small accessory cuspule at its termination at the posterolabial edge of the metacone. Four distinct cusps and conules are present on the 222 moderately worn hypoconulid complex of the M3 in addition to the hypoconulid. Three of these 223

#### Manuscript to be reviewed

accessory cuspules are arranged in a transverse row along the posterior boundary of the complex
and are merging through wear. The remaining cuspule is positioned directly labial to the
hypoconule. Posterior cingulum is reduced to a small but strong shelf positioned posterolingual
of the metacone and dominated by two small accessory cuspulids.

Lower premolars of ETMNH 8046 exhibit similar morphology to the upper premolars; 228 229 however, the p2 is more transversely constricted. In total, each premolar displays a fully formed protoconid, metaconid, hypoconid, and entoconid. Protoconid and metaconid of the p2 are 230 231 distinct, but not fully bifurcated as in the p3-in both ETMNH 8046 and ETMNH 17219-and the p4. Anterior cingula are variable between premolars, being weakly developed but become 232 inflated at the site of the paraconulid in the p3 and p4. Metacone of the p3 and p4 exhibits an 233 ellipsoid to rectangular posterolabial extension that is distinct from the parent cusp in ETMNH 234 17219. Premolar talonid basins exhibit a metaconulid and hypoconulid that are positioned 235 directly anteromedial and posteromedial of the entoconid and hypoconid, respectively, in a 236 237 'cross-'or 'plus-' shaped configuration. Posterior cingulum exhibits additional crenulation and very small accessory cuspules on the p4 that are not present on the p3. A slight elevation of the 238 trigonid cusps, relative to the talonid cusps, is evident in ETMNH 17219 whereas ETMNH 8046 239 240 lacks this feature due to a greater degree of wear.

Heavily worn, the m1 of ETMNH 8046 exhibits complete obliteration of all cusps and conules. Trigonid and the anterior cingulum are worn to a single transversely trending fossette. Wear of the talonid produces an ellipsoid fossette with ellipsoid extensions into the positions of the entoconulid and hypoconulid. Trigonid and talonid fossettes are separated from one another by a thin band of enamel on the right m1. However, the left m1 exhibits merger of the trigonid and talonid fossettes in tandem with the posterior cingulum almost being completely worn.

Moderately deep, semispherical concavities are present within the dentin at the positions of the metaconid and entoconid of the right m1 and the posterior margin of the posterior cingulum of the left m1 indicating a potential pathology.

250 Similar to the m1 in general outline and apparent cusp arrangement, the m2 and m3 are less worn. Anterior cingulum is moderate to strong in both ETMNH 8046 and ETMNH 19281. 251 252 Angular wear facets along the surface of the protoconid and metaconid merge the cusps anteriorly with the anterior cingulum. Both cusps exhibit a central fossette along the occlusal 253 surface, with the protoconid exhibiting an anterolabial extension of the fossette into the median 254 of the anterior cingulum. Posterolateral projection of the metaconid is merged with the main 255 body of the metaconid through wear in both ETMNH 8046 and ETMNH 19281. Hypoconid is 256 separate from the hypoconulid in ETMNH 19281, but is merged through wear in ETMNH 8046. 257 Hypoconulid is separate in both specimens, however, it is merged with the strong posterior 258 cingulum through wear in ETMNH 8046. Despite being slightly less worn, the m3 exhibits a 259 260 similar positioning of the primary cusps as the m2 with the presence of a hypoconulid complex. Four distinct cusps or conulids, including the hypoconulid, are positioned on the hypoconulid 261 complex of the right m3; whereas the left m3 exhibits five conules in ETMNH 8046. On both 262 263 m3's the hypoconulid is positioned posteromedian of the talonid with the accessory cuspules, of variable size and profile, being positioned posterior to the hypoconulid in a circular arrangement. 264 **Comparisons**—Material from the GFS is referred to *Mylohyus* due to the presence of distinct 265 apomorphies; specifically, a long diastema that exceeds the length of the cheek tooth row and 266 fully molarized premolars (Wright, 1991, 1998). This material is referred to *M. elmorei* on the 267 268 grounds that it bears notable similarity to material previously collected from the Palmetto fauna

of Florida (Table S1). Dental morphologies of ETMNH 8046 and a cast of the holotype, MCZ

3805 (labeled UF 57280), display very few differences outside of the latter exhibiting relatively 270 larger dental dimensions for all but the p4. This is due to the holotype having less robust 271 272 cingula—both anterior and posterior—on the p4 than that of ETMNH 8046. A concave depression on the lingual surface of the m1 metaconid is also evident in the holotype cast. Other 273 potential differences may be obscured due to the p3 missing its talonid on the holotype. 274 275 Alternatively, the p3 of UF/TRO 412 displays variation of the talonid: with the entoconulid and hypoconulid being merged into a single anteroposterior trending rectangular cuspule that is 276 positioned median of the entoconid and hypoconid. Distinct posterolabial extensions of the 277 metaconid on the p3 and p4 are only present in UF/TRO 412, UF 293749, and UF 57280. 278 Moderate wear obscures the number of accessory cuspules present on the posterior cingula of the 279 p4 in ETMNH 8046, UF/TRO 412, and UF 57280. Five accessory cuspules of variable size are 280 visible on the posterior cingulum of the p4 on UF 294729. Hypoconulid complex exhibits a 281 substantial amount of variation between the observed specimens. Three cuspules (including the 282 283 hypoconulid) are evident on UF/TRO 412, whereas UF 57280 and ETMNH 8046 exhibit hypoconulid complexes comprised of four five cuspules, respectively. 284

285 Dental and cranial morphologies are relatively similar between ETMNH 8046 and UF 286 12265. Both ETMNH 8046 and UF 12265 exhibit a very elongate post canine diastema, the former being  $\sim 109\%$  of the cheek tooth row, whereas the latter exhibits a diastema of only 287  $\sim 101\%$  (Fig. 8). Origination of the triangular zygoma is positioned directly dorsal to the P2 in 288 both specimens; however, further comparisons are not possible due to the fragmentary nature of 289 290 ETMNH 8046. Little deviation outside of tooth dimensions of the P2-M2 is present between ETMNH 8046 and UF 12265. Consequently, the M3 exhibits a greater degree of variation with 291 ETMNH 8046 exhibiting a wider talon and hypoconule complex, relative to the trigon, than 292

#### Manuscript to be reviewed

those of UF 12265, UF 203540, and UF/T 1440. Further differences between the specimens 293 are evident in the lateral flaring of the canine buttress in UF 12265 that is not present in ETMNH 294 8046 (Fig. 9). Specifically, the flaring in UF 12265 begins on the approximate anteroposterior 295 midpoint of the postcanine diastema, whereas the buttresses flare develops within the anterior-296 most portion of the postcanine diastema in ETMNH 8046. These dental and cranial differences 297 298 could be indicative of these specimens representing different species; however, this seems premature because the GFS specimen will be the second partial cranium reported for the species. 299 As such, the variation (geographic, temporal, sexual, or individual) present within the species is 300 unknow 301

302

#### cf. Mylohyus elmorei (White, 1942) Wright & Webb, 1984

**Referred Specimens (MNI=1)**—ETMNH 6767: partial L. zygoma and maxillary fossa.

**Description**— Highly sinuous cortical bone is evident in both the proximal and distal 304 reconstructions of the left zygomatic wing, ETMNH 6767. Comprised of three associated 305 portions of a left zygomatic wing, ETMNH 6767 exhibits the squamosal portion of zygoma with 306 an intact mandibular fossa. A lambdoid crest of moderate depth projects posterodorsally from 307 the confluence of the zygomatic wing and jugal bar. Ventrolaterally oriented, the semilunar 308 mandibular fossa is positioned posterior and ventral to the zygoma, with the concave-most 309 margin of the fossa being approximately equal in level with the zygoma. Zygoma remnants 310 311 exhibit a triangular dorsal outline of the posterior margin where the inflated portion reduces posterodorsally to a thin edge. Deeply incised cortical bone demarcates the partial, circular to 312 ellipsoid, rostral muscle fossa on the ventral surface of the reconstructed segments of the main 313 distal body of the zygoma. Another muscle attachment is evident along the flat dorsal surface of 314 this distal section in the form of an anteromedially trending muscle scar, comprised of an 315

| 316 | elongate raised ridge. It should be noted that both ETMNH 6767 and ETMNH 8046 could               |
|-----|---|
| 317 | potentially be a single individual, however, given the spatial distribution of the two specimens  |
| 318 | they are considered separate for this analysis.   |
| 319 | Comparisons—Despite being comprised of reconstructed fragments, ETMNH 6767 appears to             |
| 320 | exhibit affinities to UF 12265 due to the mandibular fossa being positioned posterior and ventral |
| 321 | to the posterior margin of the triangular zygomatic wing. This separates ETMNH 6767 from          |
| 322 | either Protherohyus brachydontus and Prosthennops serus, which exhibit a mandibular fossa that    |
| 323 | is positioned directly ventral of the trailing edge of the wing-like zygoma. Moreover, the        |
| 324 | anterolateral to posteromedial angle of the posterior margin of ETMNH 6767 further mirrors UF     |
| 325 | 122665. Conclusive assignment of ETMNH 6767 is withheld as a larger and less fragmentary          |
| 326 | sample of <i>M. elmorei</i> is needed for a reliable taxonomic assignment.                        |
| 327 | Genus Prosthennops Gidley, 1904   |
| 328 | Prosthennops serus (Cope, 1877) Gidley, 1904  |
| 329 | Holotype—AMNH 8511: partial mandible with R. i1,2, p2-m1 and L. i1-3, p2-m3.                      |
| 330 | Referred Specimens (MNI=2)—ETMNH 410: isolated L. p4; ETMNH 5615: partial mandible                |
| 331 | with L. and R. i1-m3; UF/TRO 413: L. m2 ; UF 220251: L. m3.                                       |
| 332 | Description—As a partial mandible, ETMNH 5615 (Fig. 10) is lacking the coronoid, condylar,        |
| 333 | and angular processes. Mandibular symphysis is long with the dorsal surface exhibiting a          |
| 334 | moderately deep spout-like concavity with a posteroventral orientation. This mandibular           |
| 335 | concavity is bounded by raised ridges of cortical bone that trend the length of the postcanine    |
| 336 | diastema. Projecting posteriorly, the post-canine diastema is moderate in length-approximately    |
| 337 | 62.4% of total cheek tooth series length (Table 3). Paired genial pits are positioned along the   |

posterior margin of the symphysis where rami merge to form the symphysis. A single mental 338 foramen is located along the anteroventral surface of the symphysis posteroventral of the i2 339 along both rami. Another set of foramina are evident along the postcanine diastema with the left 340 bearing three foramina and the right bearing two foramina. Rami laterally broaden in a 341 posterodorsal trend beginning ventral of p3 before being level with the base of the m3. Posterior 342 343 extent of the broadening appears to be evident along the labial edge of the left m3, however, due to this region being heavily reconstructed this is tentative. Coracoid process originates directly 344 posterior of the m3. Angle appears to originate ventral of the m3; however, the reconstruction of 345 this portion of the rami may be skewing this observation. Submandibular fossa is lacking in 346 much of the specimen; only being evident at the posterior of the rami where it transitions into the 347 shallow pterygoid fossa along the medial surface of the angle ventral to the posterior of the m3. 348 All cheek teeth are bunodont and brachydont. 349

Anterodorsally oriented, the incisors exhibit a subspatulate to subconical morphology 350 with the i3 exhibiting a reduced peg-like condition (Table 4). All incisors are procumbent. Wear 351 is evident on the occlusal surface of the i1 in the development of a pseudo-cylindrical wear facet 352 comprised of an ellipsoid fossette bound by enamel. The i2 is worn, with the anterior-most 353 354 portion equal to the surface of the wear facet for the i1. Remaining portions of the elliptical wear facet exhibit a posterolabial trend. Peg-like i3, only present on the right, appears to exhibit 355 rounding of its occlusal surface. A small diastema—approximately 7 mm—occurs between the 356 i3 and anterior boundary of the canine. Canines are typical of tayassuids, with a triangular 357 outline and occlusal wear on the posterior surface. 358

Triangular in occlusal outline, the p2 exhibits two roots (Fig. 11). Protoconid, conic in profile, is the primary cusp of the p2 and is well elevated above the talonid cusp/cuspule. A

#### Manuscript to be reviewed

weak anterior cingulum trends along the anterior surface of the protoconid. Talonid
cusp/cuspule is positioned directly posterolabial of the protoconid on the right p2. Left p2
appears to lack this cusp due to damage and/or merger through wear. A weak lingual cingulum
trends from the posterolabial edge of the protoconid along the labial and posterior edges of the
talonid basin.

366 Trapezoidal in occlusal outline, the p3 exhibits four primary cusps and evidence for two to three roots. Trigonid is comprised of poorly bifurcated protoconid and metaconid that may 367 merge with wear. A strong anterior cingulum is positioned along the anterior base of the trigonid 368 cusps. An accessory cuspule, or posterolabial extension of the metaconid, is evident along the 369 posterior margin of the protoconid and metaconid. Merger of this feature with the metaconid 370 through wear is present in ETMNH 5615. Talonid is comprised of two rounded cusps/cuspules 371 that are separated from the trigonid cusps (and themselves) by weak valleys. A weak labial 372 cingulum extends across the short valley between the protoconid and the hypoconid. Evidence is 373 374 present for a posterior cingulum but both left and right p3 exhibit an elongate fossette and/or damage along the posterior margin of the tooth. 375

Similar in cusp morphology to the p3, the p4 exhibits a more quadrate condition and four 376 roots. Cusps are subequal in height and conic with the trigonid cusps being elevated dorsal to the 377 talonid cusps. Weakly to moderately worn in nature, ETMNH 410 exhibits a moderate anterior 378 cingulum along the base of the trigonid. Anterior cingulum of ETMNH 5615 merges with the 379 trigonid through wear. Metaconid is merging with its posterior extension or accessory cuspule in 380 both ETMNH 410 and ETMNH 5615. Deep valleys separate the trigonid and talonid, with the 381 382 labial valley exhibiting a moderate cingulum between the posterior edge of the protoconid and the anterior edge of the hypoconid. Entoconulid does not appear to be present in either ETMNH 383

#### Manuscript to be reviewed

410 or ETMNH 5615. Hypoconid exhibits anterolingual extension of its wear facet in ETMNH 410 toward where the entoconulid would be positioned in a molariform premolar, however, no evidence of a distinct cusp is present. In ETMNH 5615 the hypoconid and entoconid wear to a circular occlusal profile, with centrally positioned circular to ellipsoid fossettes. Hypoconulid remains separate in both specimens being positioned along the posterolingual edge of the hypoconid.

Despite being heavily worn, the m1 exhibits quadrate, four rooted condition. Enamel is only present along the lingual edge of the right m1 and along the entire labial edge and lingual edge of the metacone of the left m1 due to the entoconid being absent. Trigonid and talonid fossettes are transversally ellipsoid and completely merged. Anterior margin of both the right and left m1's of ETMNH 5615 exhibit a concave depression that conforms to the posterior margin of the preceding p4.

Heavy wear on the m2's is evident as the protoconid and metaconid on both teeth are 396 worn to low mounds that are merging at the median valley, now reduced to a very weak furrow. 397 Protoconid exhibits an ellipsoid fossette with an extension to the paraconule and anterior 398 cingulum. Metaconid also exhibits an ellipsoid fossette bearing an ellipsoid extension into the 399 merged posterior extension or accessory cuspule. Trigonid and talonid are still separated by a 400 moderate valley that is weak anterolingual of the entoconulid. A weak labial cingulum is present 401 between the protoconid and hypoconid. Hypoconid is merging anterolingually with the 402 entoconulid, as well as posterolingually with the hypoconulid and posterior cingulum. A circular 403 fossette dominates the center of the hypoconid with an ellipsoid extension into the site of the 404 405 entoconulid. Hypoconulid, despite being worn flat to the posterior cingulum, exhibits an

406 ellipsoid fossette that remains separate from the hypoconid fossette. Entoconid remains separate407 with an ellipsoid fossette dominating the center of the cusp.

408 Cusps of the m3 exhibit angular wear along the anterior and posterior surface with the 409 metaconid and entoconid exhibiting less wear. A strong anterior cingulum trends across the anterior of the trigonid cusps. Protoconid exhibits anterolingual merger with the paraconulid and 410 411 anterior cingulum. Metaconid is merged with its posterolabial extension or accessory cuspule. Deep valleys separate the trigonid and talonid, while a labial cingulum trends between the 412 protoconid and hypoconid. Hypoconulid is merged anterolingually with the entoconulid but 413 remains separate from the hypoconulid. Moreover, the m3 exhibits a bulbous hypoconulid 414 complex with a single broad and robust cusp posterior to the anteroposteriorly compressed 415 hypoconulid. Left m3 exhibits merger of the hypoconulid with the heel cusp along the 416 posterolabial edge of the cuspule. 417

**Comparisons**—Specimens are attributed to *Prosthennops serus* due to the presence of a robust, 418 bunodont and brachydont dentition. At the generic level, these specimens can be differentiated 419 from Mylohyus based on the presence of submolariform p2's and a post-canine diastema that is 420 less than the length of the cheek tooth row. Moreover, all specimens being assigned to 421 *Prosthennops serus* exhibit a triangular p2 with a single prominent cusp anterior to the talonid; 422 further distinguishing these specimens from the lophate, subzygodont to zygodont *Protherohyus* 423 424 brachydontus and Platygonus pollenae. Cranial apomorphies specific to Prosthennops serus (e.g. distally angular zygomatic wings, zygoma originating dorsal to premolars (Wright, 1991, 425 1998)) are not evident in the GFS material due to the lack of crania. 426

Partial mandible, ETMNH 5615, is comparable to UF 212306, UF 166243, a cast of the
type specimen (AMNH 8511), originally described by Cope (1877), UNSM 76052, UNSM

#### Manuscript to be reviewed

76054, and UNSM 76059 (Table S1). Symphyses of these specimens exhibit a deep 429 anteroposteriorly trending semi-cylindrical spout-like concavity that opens along the posterior 430 431 margin of the symphysis. Moreover, each of these specimens' exhibit dentitions that are bunodont and brachydont with a submolariform p2 and p3 and a molariform p4. Dentition of UF 432 212306 and UF 166243 is less worn compared to ETMNH 5615 (Fig. 11). Continuation of the 433 434 anterior cingulum along the anterolabial edge of the protoconid into the labial cingulum on the p3 differentiates UF 212306, ETMNH 5615, UF 166243, UNSM 76052, and UNSM 76054. In 435 ETMNH 5615, UF 166243, UNSM 76052, and UNSM 76054 this cingulum terminates along the 436 anterior edge of the protoconid, with an isolated labial cingulum present within the median 437 valley between the protoconid and hypoconid. Labial cingulum trends posteriorly along the 438 labial edge of the hypoconid in UF 166243. A labial cingulum is also observed in the p4 with 439 ETMNH 5615, UF 212306, UNSM 76052, UNSM 76054, and UNSM 76059 exhibiting a labial 440 cingulum that is restricted within the median valley. Alternatively, UF 166243 exhibits an 441 442 extension of the cingulum along the labial to posterior margin of the hypoconid of the p4. None of the observed specimens adequately represent the cusps of the m1 due to wear or loss through 443 damage. Remaining molars exhibit a similar morphology, with ETMNH 5615 exhibiting more 444 445 labiolingually broad and robust anterior and posterior cingula of the m2 and m3 than UF 212306, UF/TRO 413, UF 166243, UNSM 76052, UNSM 76054, or UNSM 76059. Both UF 220251 446 447 and UF/TRO 413 exhibit m3's that are comparable to ETMNH 5615, UF 212306, UNSM 76052, 448 UNSM 76054, and UNSM 76059 due to the relative morphology of the cusps and the hypoconulid complex being dominated by two to three poorly bifurcated accessory cusps that 449 450 may merge together through wear into a single prominent cusp. Overall, ETMNH 5615, UF 451 212306, UF220251, and UF/TRO 413 exhibit similar dental and mandibular characteristics to the

452 cast of the type specimen—UF 166243—and those described in Hesse (1935), Colbert (1938),

453 and Schultz and Martin (1975); however, dental dimensions vary within the sample (Fig. 11).

454 Specimens from the GFS and Tyner Farm Locality are proportionally larger than the material

- described by Hesse (1935), Colbert (1938), and Schultz and Martin (1975), indicating greater
- 456 interspecific variation than previously recognized.

#### 457 **DISCUSSION**

Previously only known from the Palmetto Fauna of Florida (Wright & Webb, 1984; Wright, 458 1991, 1998) within the Fort Green Mine, Palmetto Mine, Payne Creek, Saddle Creek Mine, and 459 South Pierce quarries, M. elmorei exhibits a northward expansion into the Appalachian region 460 with the inclusion of GFS material (Fig 1). Although this discovery expands the range of M. 461 *elmorei*, it does not negate the assertion by Webb et al. (2008) that the species is endemic to the 462 southeastern North America. *Mylohyus*, as a genus, is widespread throughout parts of North 463 America (Wright, 1998). *Mylohyus fossilis* in particular is prevalent through the Blancan to 464 Rancholabrean of the central and southeastern regions of North America (Kinsey, 1974; Kurten 465 & Anderson, 1980; Wright, 1991, 1998). Alternatively, another Hemphillian species within the 466 genus, M. longirostris, is reported from the John Day region based on a single rami and 467 fragmentary cranial material (Thorpe, 1924; Wright, 1991, 1998). Wright (1998) attributes 468 material collected from the Hemphillian Mixon's Bone Bed local fauna of Florida as being 469 affiliated to *M. longirostris*; however, only the locality is designated. No specimen data is 470 reported to verify this record. In sum, the geographic distribution of these species illustrates the 471 472 potential for a larger distribution for *M. elmorei*, however, the rarity of the species within given 473 localities can make further range expansions difficult to determine.

Confirmation of *Prosthennops serus* at the GFS expands the known range of the taxon 474 eastward and northward into the Appalachian Mountain region, making GFS the second eastern-475 most locality from which *Prosthennops serus* is recognized (Fig. 1). Following Wright (1998), 476 *Prosthennops serus*—*senso stricto*—is known from the early Clarendonian of Kansas (Cope, 477 1877; Wright, 1998), earliest Hemphillian of Oregon (Colbert, 1938), earliest to late early 478 479 Hemphillian of Nebraska (Hesse, 1935; Schultz & Martin, 1975), earliest Hemphillian to Blancan of an unnamed unit within Hidalgo, Mexico (Wright, 1998), late early Hemphillian of 480 Alabama (Hulbert & Whitmore, 2006), and early Hemphillian Tyner Farm locality of Florida 481 (Hulbert et al., 2009a). Material from the late to latest Hemphillian of the Coffee Ranch Fauna 482 of Texas— approximately 6.6 Ma (Passey et al., 2002)—and Ocote Fauna of Mexico are also 483 referred by Wright (1998), however, no catalog numbers are listed resulting in ambiguity 484 regarding whether this refers to new or reassigned material. Other localities listed by Wright 485 (1998) as bearing material comparable to Prosthennops serus are located within the earliest 486 Hemphillian of the Deer Lodge Basin of Montana, late early Hemphillian Higgins Local Fauna 487 of Texas, and late early Hemphillian of the Wray Fauna of Colorado. Webb and Perrigo (1984) 488 also refer a well-worn m3 as being comparable to the species from the Gracias Fm. of Honduras, 489 490 however, the worn nature of the tooth and predominant use of anteroposterior and transverse measurements make this identification suspect. 491

Overall, the presence of *M. elmorei* and *Prosthennops serus* within the fauna of the GFS provides further evidence for a forested environment. Additionally, their presence draws further parallels to the Palmetto Fauna. *Mylohyus elmorei* and *Protherohyus brachydontus* within the Palmetto Fauna are referred to by Webb et al. (2008) as browse-dominated mixed-feeders. DeSantis and Wallace (2008) report that two of the GFS tayassuid specimens exhibit a C<sub>3</sub>

dominated dietary profile. Despite the specimens being attributed to *M. elmorei* and 497 Prosthennops serus not being recovered until after DeSantis and Wallace (2008), a similar 498 browsing diet is suggested for the GFS M. elmorei and Prosthennops serus material based on 499 morphology. Specifically, the presence of a bundont and brachydont dentition is cited by 500 Hulbert (2001) as an indicator for *M. fossilis* being a forest species that subsisted on fruit  $\mathcal{P}$  ts. 501 and succulents. Similar parallels are drawn by Woodburne (1968), Kiltie (1981), Sowls (1997) 502 and Wright (1998) based on observations on the populations of modern woodland populations of 503 Pecari (=Dicotyles) tajacu and Tayassu pecari. Additionally, potential dental pathologies (e.g. 504 caries such as those described by Andrews (1973), Coyler (1990), Figueirido et al. (2017), and 505 Wang et al. (2017)) on the m1's of ETMNH 8046 further suggest a frugivorous or sugar-rich diet 506 that would fit in with the current interpretation of the site being an oak-hickory forest. 507 Presence of both *M. elmorei* and *Prosthennops serus* at the GFS does suggest an interesting 508 sympatric relationship. Morphological differences between the two taxa suggests niche 509 510 partitioning between these species. Extant populations of the three extant tayassuid species, *Pecari tajacu*, *T. pecari*, and *Parachoerus wagneri*, exhibit a large proportion of sympatry 511 throughout their respective ranges (Mayer & Brandt, 1982; Sowls, 1997). Niche partitioning 512 513 between T. pecari and Pecari tajacu in the Amazon basin results in subtle differences in diet, with *T. pecari* more actively consuming harder palm fruit seeds (Kiltie, 1981; Sowls, 1997). 514 Kiltie (1982) suggests that this preference toward harder foodstuffs can be driven by resource 515 shortages that would have otherwise placed both *T. pecari* and *Pecari tajacu* in greater degrees 516 of competition. This, however, may be variable over large spatial scales as Galetti et al. (2015) 517 reports limited dietary overlap between the two species in the Pantanal region. Moreover, 518

519 partitioning between *Pecari tajacu* and *T. pecari* is reported to extend to habitat use across

multiple temporal and spatial scales (Mayer & Brandt, 1982; Manuel & Fragoso, 1999; Galetti et 520 al., 2015). Habitat preference is also suggested to play a role in the partitioning of resources 521 since it may limit the amount of overlap between species over large tracks of heterogeneous 522 habitat (Manuel & Fragoso, 1999). Galetti et al. (2015) reports offset foraging periods between 523 the two species at more local scales which is suggested to indicate avoidance between the 524 525 smaller *Pecari tajacu* and the larger *T. pecari*. Consequently, *Pecari tajacu* is stated to abandon feeding sites when T. pecari approaches, however, no direct conflict was observed (Galetti et al., 526 2015). Similar, interactions may have facilitated the co-existence of multiple tayassuids at the 527 GFS. 528

Recent assessment of the mammalian fauna suggests an age of 4.9 to 4.5 Ma for the GFS 529 (Samuels, Bredehoeft & Wallace, 2018). This suggestion brings the maximum age of the GFS to 530 be in line with that of the Palmetto Fauna of Florida, which is interpreted to be 5.0-4.5 Ma 531 (Tedford et al., 2004; Webb et al., 2008). Presence of *M. elmorei* could be used to reinforce the 532 upper age limit of GFS, however, there is a possibility that the GFS represents an earlier or later 533 record for *M. elmorei*. Moreover, the presence of Prosthennop Prus at the GFS cannot be 534 utilized to constrain the age due to the species being known from the latest Clarendonian to 535 536 earliest Blancan (Wright, 1998). Further verification of material from other sites and radiometric analyses, where permissible, are needed to utilize any of the GFS tayassuids for further 537 constraining the site's biochronology. 538

#### 539 CONCLUSIONS

Within the GFS tayassuid material a total of two individuals attributed to *M. elmorei* and *Prosthennops serus*, respectively, are recognized through systematic analyses. Accordingly, the
known distribution of *M. elmorei* and *Prosthennops serus* is expanded north into the

Appalachian region; the first reported instance of *M. elmorei* outside the Palmetto Fauna of 543 Florida. Moreover, the presence of *M. elmorei* emphasizes further parallels between the 544 Palmetto Fauna and the GFS reinforcing the paleoenvironmental interpretation of the latter and 545 suggesting a greater connectivity between the faunas than previously thought. Indeterminant 546 tayassuid material that cannot be directly assigned to either species is evident within the GFS 547 fauna, however, the limited and fragmentary nature of the remaining tayassuid material prevents 548 the designation of another species at this time. Future work focused on this material, in 549 particular the postcranial material, is necessary to further discern the ecology and morphological 550 variation of these species both within the GFS and late Hemphillian to early Blancan of North 551 America. 552

#### 553 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Jim Mead for comments and suggestions on an earlier version of this 554 manuscript. Moreover, further thanks are due to Sandra Swift for her aid and overall support 555 throughout the project. Additional thanks to Shawn Haugrud, Brian Compton, April Nye, and 556 Anthony Woodward for their assistance in ETSU collections. We thank Dr. Richard Hulbert for 557 providing access to the University of Florida (UF) collections and providing references and 558 feedback related to the collection. Recognition for the photography goes to Sean Moran. 559 Express thanks are also due to Dr. James Farlow and Ronald Richards for providing access and 560 consultation regarding the tayassuid material associated with the Pipe Creek paleosinkhole. We 561 thank Dr. Hugh "Greg" McDonald for his assistance in clarifying morphological nomenclature. 562

#### 563 **REFERENCES**

Andrews AH. 1973. Dental Caries in an Experimental Domestic Pig. The Veterinary record

565 93:257–258.

- 566 Bourque JR., Schubert BW. 2015. Fossil musk turtles (Kinosternidae, Sternotherus) from the late
- 567 Miocene–early Pliocene (Hemphillian) of Tennessee and Florida. *Journal of Vertebrate*
- 568 *Paleontology* 35:1–19. DOI: 10.1080/02724634.2014.885441.
- Colbert EH. 1938. Pliocene peccaries from the Pacific Coast region of North America. *Carnegie Institute of Washington Publication* 487:241–269.
- 571 Cope ED. 1877. Descriptions of new Vertebrata from the upper Tertiary formations of the West.

572 *Proceedings of the American Philosophical Society* 17:219–231.

- 573 Cope ED. 1889. The Artiodactyla (Continued). *The American Naturalist* 23:111–136.
- 574 Coyler JF. 1990. Colyer's Variations and Diseases of the Teeth of Animals, Revised Edition.

575 Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. DOI: 10.1017/CBO9780511565298.

- 576 DeSantis LRG., Wallace SC. 2008. Neogene forests from the Appalachians of Tennessee, USA:
- 577 Geochemical evidence from fossil mammal teeth. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology,*

578 *Palaeoecology* 266:59–68. DOI: 10.1016/j.palaeo.2008.03.032.

579 Farlow JO., Sunderman JA., Havens JJ., Swinehart AL., Holman JA., Richards RL., Miller NG.,

580 Martin RA., Hunt Jr RM., Storrs GW., Curry BB., Fluegeman RH., Dawson MR., Flint

- 581 MET. 2001. The Pipe Creek Sinkhole Biota, a Diverse Late Tertiary Continental Fossil
- 582 Assemblage from Grant Count, Indiana. *American Midland Naturalist* 145:367–378.
- 583 Figueirido B., Pérez-Ramos A., Schubert BW., Serrano F., Farrell AB., Pastor FJ., Neves AA.,
- Romero A. 2017. Dental caries in the fossil record: a window to the evolution of dietary
- 585 plasticity in an extinct bear. *Scientific Reports* 7:1–7. DOI: 10.1038/s41598-017-18116-0.

| 586 | Galetti M., Camargo H., Siqueira T., Keuroghlian A., Donatti CI., Jorge MLSP., Pedrosa F.,       |
|-----|--|
| 587 | Kanda CZ., Ribeiro MC. 2015. Diet Overlap and Foraging Activity between Feral Pigs and           |
| 588 | Native Peccaries in the Pantanal. <i>PLOS ONE</i> 10:1–10. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0141459.    |
| 589 | Gidley JW. 1904. New or little known mammals from the Miocene of South Dakota: American          |
| 590 | Museum Expedition of 1903.(By WD Matthew and JW Gidley, Part. III, Dicotylidae by JW             |
| 591 | Gidley). Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History 20:241–268.                          |
| 592 | Hesse CJ. 1935. A vertebrate fauna from the type localiity of the Ogallala Formation. University |
| 593 | of Kansas Science Bulletin 32:79–118.  |
| 594 | Hulbert RC. 2001. The fossil vertebrates of Florida. University Press of Florida.                |
| 595 | Hulbert RC., Morgan GS., Kerner A., Bo O. 2009a. Collared Peccary (Mammalia,                     |
| 596 | Artiodactyla, Tayassuidae, Pecari) from the Late Pleistocene of Florida. Papers on Geology,      |
| 597 | Vertebrate Paleontology, and Biostratigraphy in Honor of Michael O. Woodburne. Museum            |
| 598 | of Northern Arizona Bulletin 65, Flagstaff, Arizona. 65:543–556.                                 |
| 599 | Hulbert RC., Wallace SC., Klippel WE., Parmalee PW. 2009b. Cranial morphology and                |
| 600 | systematics of an extraordinary sample of the late Neogene dwarf tapir, Tapirus polkensis        |
| 601 | (Olsen). Journal of Paleontology 83:238–262.   |
| 602 | Hulbert RC., Whitmore FC. 2006. Late Miocene mammals from the Mauvilla Local Fauna,              |
| 603 | Alabama. Bulletin of the Florida State Museum 46:1–28.   |
| 604 | Kiltie RA. 1981. Stomach contents of rain forest peccaries (Tayassu tajacu and T. pecari).       |
| 605 | <i>Biotropica</i> 13:234–236.  |
| 606 | Kiltie RA. 1982. Bite force as a basis for niche differentiation between rain forest peccaries   |

- 607 (Tayassu tajacu and T. pecari). *Biotropica* 14:188–195. DOI: 10.2307/2388025.
- 608 Kinsey PE. 1974. A New Species of Northe Peccary from the Florida Early Pleistocene. In:

609 Webb SD ed. *Pleistocene Mammals of Florida*. University Presses of Florida, 158–169.

- Kurten B., Anderson E. 1980. *Pleistocene mammals of North America*. Columbia University
  Press.
- Linnaeus C. 1758. Systema naturae per regna tria naturae: secundum classes, ordines, genera,
  species, cum characteribus, differentiis, synonymis, locis. Stockholm: Tomus I. L. Salvii.
- Liu YSC., Jacques FMB. 2010. Sinomenium crocarpum sp. nov. (Menispermaceae) from the
- Miocene--Pliocene transition of Gray, northeast Tennessee, USA. *Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology* 159:112–122.
- 617 Manuel J., Fragoso V. 1999. Perception of Scale and Resource Partitioning by Peccaries:
- Behavioral Causes and Ecological Implications. *Journal of Mammalogy* 80:993–1003. DOI:
- **619** 10.2307/1383270.
- 620 Mayer JJ., Brandt PN. 1982. Identity, distribution and natural history of the peccaries,
- 621 Tayassuidae. *Mammalian Biology in South America* 6:85–93.
- 622 Mcdonald HG., De Muizon C. 2002. The cranial anatomy of Thalassocnus (Xenarthra,
- Mammalia), a derived nothrothere from the Neogene of the Pisco Formation (Peru). *Journal*
- 624 *of Vertebrate Paleontology* 22:349–365. DOI: 10.1671/0272-
- 625 4634(2002)022[0349:TCAOTX]2.0.CO;2.
- 626 De Muizon C., Mcdonald HG., Salas R., Urbina M. 2003. A new early species of the aquatic
- sloth Thalassocnus (Mammalia, Xenarthra) from the Late Miocene of Peru. *Journal of*

628 *Vertebrate Paleontology* 23:886–894. DOI: 10.1671/2361-13.

- 629 Ochoa D., Whitelaw M., Liu YSC., Zavada M. 2012. Palynology of Neogene sediments at the
- 630 Gray Fossil Site, Tennessee, USA: Floristic implications. *Review of Palaeobotany and*
- 631 *Palynology* 184:36–48. DOI: 10.1016/j.revpalbo.2012.03.006.
- 632 Owen R. 1848. Description of teeth and portions of jaws of two extinct anthracotherioid
- quadrupeds (Hyopotamus vectianus and Hyop. bovinus) discovered by the Marchioness of
- Hastings in the Eocene deposits on the NW coast of the Isle of Wight: with an attempt to

develop. *Quarterly Journal of the Geological Society of London* 4:104–141.

636 Palmer TS. 1897. Notes on the nomenclature of four genera of tropical American mammals.

637 *Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington* 11:173–174.

638 Parmalee PW., Klippel WE., Meylan PA., Holman JA. 2002. A late Miocene-early Pliocene

population of Trammys (Testudines: Emydidae) from east Tennessee. *Annals of Carnegie Museum* 71:233–239.

- Passey BH., Cerling TE., Perkins ME., Voorhies MR., Harris JM., Tucker ST. 2002.
- Environmental change in the Great Plains: an isotopic record from fossil horses. *The Journal of Geology* 110:123–140.
- 644 Prothero DR., Sheets HA. 2013. Peccaries (Mammalia, Artiodactyla, Tayassuidae) from the
- 645 Miocene-Pliocene Pipe Creek Sinkhole Local Fauna, Indiana. *Kirtlandia* 58:54–60.
- 646 Samuels JX., Bredehoeft KE., Wallace SC. 2018. A new species of *Gulo* from the Early Pliocene
- 647 Gray Fossil Site (Eastern United States); rethinking the evolution of wolverines. *PeerJ*

648 6:e4648. DOI: 10.7717/peerj.4648.

- 649 Schultz CB., Martin LD. 1975. A new Kimballian peccary from Nebraska. *Bulletin of the*
- 650 *University of Nebraska State Museum* 10:35–46.
- 651 Short RA. 2013. A New Species of Teleoceras from the Late Miocene Gray Fossil Site, with
- 652 Comparisons to Other North American Hemphillian Specie
- 653 Shunk AJ., Driese SG., Clark GM. 2006. Latest Miocene to earliest Pliocene sedimentation and
- climate record derived from paleosinkhole fill deposits, Gray Fossil Site, northeastern
- Tennessee, U.S.A. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 231:265–278.
- 656 DOI: 10.1016/j.palaeo.2005.08.001.
- 657 Shunk AJ., Driese SG., Farlow JO., Zavada MS., Zobaa MK. 2009. Late Neogene paleoclimate
- and paleoenvironment reconstructions from the Pipe Creek Sinkhole, Indiana, USA.
- 659 *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 274:173–184. DOI:
- 660 10.1016/j.palaeo.2009.01.008.
- 661 Sisson S., Grossman JD. 1975. *The anatomy of the domestic animals*. Phillidelphia: W.B.

662 Saunders Co.

- Sowls LK. 1997. Javelinas and Other Peccaries: Their Biology, Management, and Use. Texas
  A&M University Press.
- 665 Tedford RH., Albright III LB., Barnosky AD., Ferrusquia-Villafranca I., Hunt Jr RM., Storer JE.,
- 666 Swisher III CC., Voorhies MR., Webb SD., Whistler DP., others. 2004. Mammalian
- biochronology of the Arikareean through Hemphillian interval (late Oligocene through early
- 668 Pliocene epochs). In: Late Cretaceous and Cenozoic mammals of North America:
- *biostratigraphy and geochronology*. Columbia University Press New York, 169–231.

- 670 Thorpe MR. 1924. A New Species of Extinct Peccary from Oregon. American Journal of
- 671 *Science* 41:393–397. DOI: 10.1017/S1355617712001555.
- 672 Von den Driesch A. 1976. A guide to the measurement of animal bones from archaeological
- *sites*. Peabody Museum Bulletins, Harvard University.
- Wallace SC., Wang X. 2004. Two new carnivores from an unusual late Tertiary forest biota in
  eastern North America. *Nature* 431:556–559.
- 676 Wang X., Rybczynski N., Harington CR., White SC., Tedford RH. 2017. A basal ursine bear
- 677 (Protarctos abstrusus) from the Pliocene High Arctic reveals Eurasian affinities and a diet
- 678 rich in fermentable sugars. *Scientific Reports* 7:17722. DOI: 10.1038/s41598-017-17657-8.
- 679 Webb SD., Hulbert Jr. RC., Morgan GS., Evans HF. 2008. Terrestrial mammals of the Palmetto
- 680 Fauna (early Pliocene, latest Hemphillian) from the central Florida phosphate district.

681 *Natural History Museum Los Angeles County Science Series* 41:293–312.

- Webb SD., Perrigo SC. 1984. Late Cenozoic Vertebrates from Honduras and El Salvador.
- *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* 4:237–254.
- White TE. 1942. Additions to the fauna of the Florida phosphates. In: *Proceedings of the New England Zoology Club.* 87–91.
- 686 Whitelaw JL., Mickus K., Whitelaw MJ., Nave J. 2008. High-resolution gravity study of the
- 687 Gray Fossil Site. *Geophysics* 73:25–32.
- 688 Woodburne MO. 1968. The cranial myology and osteology of Dicoty tajacu, the collared
- 689 peccary, and its bearing on classification. *Memoirs of the Southern California Academy of*
- 690 *Sciences* 7:1–48.

- 691 Wright DB. 1989. Phylogenetic relationships of Catagon vageni: sister taxa from the Tertiary
- 692 of North America. *Advances in Neotropical Mammalogy*:281–308. DOI:
- 69310.1017/S1355617712001555.
- 694 Wright DB. 1991. Cranial morphology, systematics, and evolution of neogene Tayassuidae
- 695 (Mammalia). United States: University of Massachusetts.
- 696 Wright DB. 1998. Tayassuidae. Evolution of tertiary mammals of North America 1:389–401.
- 697 Wright DB., Webb SD. 1984. Primitive My yus (Artiodactyla: Tayassuidae) from the late
- Hemphillian Bone Valley of Florida. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* 3:152–159.

#### Table 1(on next page)

Measurements (mm) of the upper dentition and cranium of Mylohyus elmorei.

Approximate measurements are marked by (\*).

|                 |                    |   |            |       |      |        |       |      | ETMNH  |       | UF      |                    | UF     | UF/TRO  |       |       |
|-----------------|--------------------|---|------------|-------|------|--------|-------|------|--------|-------|---------|--------------------|--------|---------|-------|-------|
|                 |                    | N | v          |       | Dam  |        | -2    |      | Laff   | 8046  | Average | Left Right Average |        | 203540  | 440   |       |
| Longth D2 M     | [2                 | 2 | Λ<br>06.45 | 04.01 | Kang |        | 2.20  | 0    | 07.69  |       | Average | Len                |        | Average |       |       |
| Length P2-M     | 13                 | 2 | 90.45      | 94.91 | -    | 98.00  | 2.38  | 1.54 | 97.08  | 98.31 | 98.00   |                    | 94.91  |         |       |       |
| Length P2-P4    | +                  | 2 | 57.40      | 57.35 | -    | 37.40  | 0.00  | 0.06 | 30.78  | 37.91 | 37.35   |                    | 57.40  |         |       |       |
| Length MI-N     | A3                 | 2 | 59.20      | 57.20 | -    | 61.20  | 4.01  | 2.00 | 01.27  | 61.13 | 61.20   |                    | 57.20  |         |       |       |
| Post Canine     | Diastema           | 2 | 101.59     | 95.68 | -    | 107.51 | 34.95 | 5.91 | 107.51 |       |         |                    | 95.68* |         |       |       |
| % Length of P2- | PCD/ Length<br>-M3 | 2 | 105        | 101   | -    | 110    | 0.00  | 5    | 110    |       |         |                    | 101    |         |       |       |
| Height of Ca    | nine Buttress      | 1 | 51.21      |       |      |        |       |      | 51.21  |       |         |                    |        |         |       |       |
|                 |                    |   |            |       |      |        |       |      |        |       |         |                    |        |         |       |       |
| Canine          | APA                | 1 | 22.52      |       |      |        |       |      | 22.52  |       |         |                    |        |         |       |       |
|                 | Transverse         | 1 | 15.60      |       |      |        |       |      | 15.60  |       |         |                    |        |         |       |       |
| P2              | APO                | 2 | 10.34      | 10.31 | -    | 10.36  | 0.00  | 0.02 | 10.37  | 10.25 | 10.31   |                    | 10.36  |         |       |       |
|                 | AT                 | 2 | 9.50       | 9.32  | -    | 9.69   | 0.03  | 0.19 | 9.69   | 9.69  | 9.69    |                    | 9.32   |         |       |       |
|                 | PT                 | 2 | 9.47       | 9.32  | -    | 9.63   | 0.02  | 0.15 | 9.67   | 9.58  | 9.63    |                    | 9.32   |         |       |       |
| P3              | APO                | 2 | 12.44      | 12.36 | -    | 12.51  | 0.01  | 0.08 | 12.49  | 12.54 | 12.51   |                    | 12.36  |         |       |       |
|                 | AT                 | 2 | 11.39      | 11.36 | -    | 11.42  | 0.00  | 0.03 | 11.39  | 11.45 | 11.42   |                    | 11.36  |         |       |       |
|                 | РТ                 | 2 | 12.24      | 12.20 | -    | 12.28  | 0.00  | 0.04 | 12.09  | 12.31 | 12.20   |                    | 12.28  |         |       |       |
| P4              | APO                | 2 | 14.31      | 14.25 | -    | 14.38  | 0.00  | 0.07 | 14.28  | 14.48 | 14.38   |                    | 14.25  |         |       |       |
|                 | AT                 | 2 | 12.69      | 12.22 | -    | 13.17  | 0.23  | 0.48 | 12.01  | 12.42 | 12.22   |                    | 13.17  |         |       |       |
|                 | РТ                 | 2 | 14.26      | 13.98 | -    | 14.54  | 0.08  | 0.28 | 13.68  | 14.29 | 13.98   |                    | 14.54  |         |       |       |
| M1              | APO                | 2 | 17.63      | 17.09 | -    | 18.17  | 0.29  | 0.54 | 18.33  | 18.00 | 18.17   |                    | 17.09  |         |       |       |
|                 | AT                 | 2 | 16.16      | 16.11 | -    | 16.20  | 0.00  | 0.05 | 16.09  | 16.13 | 16.11   |                    | 16.20  |         |       |       |
|                 | РТ                 | 2 | 16.38      | 16.33 | -    | 16.43  | 0.00  | 0.05 | 16.44  | 16.41 | 16.43   |                    | 16.33  |         |       |       |
| M2              | APO                | 2 | 19.33      | 19.07 | -    | 19.58  | 0.07  | 0.26 | 19.62  | 19.54 | 19.58   | 19.16              | 18.98  | 19.07   |       |       |
|                 | AT                 | 2 | 17.57      | 17.30 | -    | 17.84  | 0.07  | 0.27 | 17.88  | 17.81 | 17.84   | 17.23              | 17.38  | 17.30   |       |       |
|                 | PT                 | 2 | 16.84      | 16.14 | -    | 17.55  | 0.50  | 0.71 | 17.66  | 17.44 | 17.55   | 16.02              | 16.29  | 16.14   |       |       |
| M3              | APO                | 4 | 22.57      | 21.29 | -    | 23.36  | 0.69  | 0.83 | 23.57  | 23.14 | 23.36   | 21.33              | 21.27  | 21.29   | 22.36 | 23.26 |
|                 | AT                 | 4 | 17.18      | 15.59 | -    | 18.79  | 1.35  | 1.16 | 16.91  | 16.66 | 16.78   | 15.53              | 15.65  | 15.59   | 17.55 | 18.79 |
|                 | PT                 | 4 | 13.76      | 12.34 | -    | 14.91  | 1.33  | 1.16 | 14.97  | 14.81 | 14.89   | 12.35              | 12.34  | 12.34   | 12.91 | 14.91 |
|                 | HT                 | 2 | 9.19       | 8.98  | -    | 9.40   | 0.04  | 0.21 | 9.29   | 9.51  | 9.40    | 8.86               | 9.09   | 8.98    |       |       |

1

#### Table 2(on next page)

Measurements (mm) of the lower dentition and mandible of *Mylohyus elmorei*.

Approximate measurements are marked by (\*) whereas incomplete measurements, due to the posterior portion of enamel being absent, are marked by (+).

|           |                    |   |        |             |            |      | I     | ETMNH 80 | 46      | ETMNH 17219 | ETMNH 19281 | UF 57280         | UR/TRO 412 | UF 294749 |
|-----------|--------------------|---|--------|-------------|------------|------|-------|----------|---------|-------------|-------------|------------------|------------|-----------|
|           |                    | N | X      | Range       | $\sigma^2$ | Σ    | Left  | Right    | Average |             |             | Cast of holotype |            |           |
| Leng      | th p2-m3           | 1 | 100.81 |             |            |      |       | 100.81   | 100.81  |             |             |                  |            |           |
| Leng      | gth p2-p4          | 1 | 39.94  |             |            |      |       | 39.94    | 39.94   |             |             |                  |            |           |
| Leng      | th m1-m3           | 2 | 60.29  | 59.84-60.95 | 0.21       | 0.46 | 60.95 | 60.55    | 60.75   |             |             |                  | 59.84      |           |
| Dept<br>a | h of rami<br>1t m1 | 1 | 43.98  |             |            |      |       | 42.69    | 43.98   |             |             |                  |            |           |
| Dept<br>a | h of rami<br>at m3 | 1 | 43.00  |             |            |      |       | 42.71    | 43.00   |             |             |                  |            |           |
|           | APO                | 3 | 11.98  | 11.17-12.78 | 0.43       | 0.66 |       | 11.17    | 11.17   |             |             | 11.99            |            |           |
| p2        | AT                 | 3 | 7.87   | 6.63-9.12   | 1.04       | 1.02 |       | 6.63     | 6.63    |             |             | 7.87             |            |           |
|           | PT                 | 3 | 8.37   | 7.88-8.72   | 0.13       | 0.36 |       | 7.88     | 7.88    |             |             | 8.52             |            |           |
|           | APO                | 2 | 13.62  | 13.55-13.69 | 0.00       | 0.07 | 13.47 | 13.64    | 13.55   | 13.5+       |             |                  | 13.69      |           |
| p3        | AT                 | 3 | 10.92  | 10.02-12.70 | 1.59       | 1.26 | 10.09 | 9.99     | 10.04   | 10.26       |             | 12.70            | 10.02      |           |
|           | PT                 | 3 | 11.22  | 10.69-11.53 | 0.14       | 0.38 | 10.62 | 10.75    | 10.69   | 10.62       |             | 11.53*           | 11.44      |           |
|           | APO                | 5 | 14.67  | 12.74-16.83 | 1.69       | 1.30 | 14.75 | 14.70    | 14.73   |             |             | 14.62            | 14.44      | 12.74     |
| p4        | AT                 | 5 | 12.63  | 11.98-14.19 | 0.63       | 0.79 | 11.97 | 11.99    | 11.98   |             |             | 14.19            | 12.47      | 12.29     |
|           | PT                 | 5 | 13.63  | 12.53-16.22 | 1.74       | 1.32 | 12.94 | 13.07    | 13.01   |             |             | 16.22            | 13.36      | 13.05     |
|           | APO                | 3 | 16.49  | 16.20-16.84 | 0.07       | 0.27 | 16.35 | 16.52    | 16.44   |             |             | 16.84            | 16.20      |           |
| m1        | AT                 | 3 | 13.43  | 13.12-13.72 | 0.06       | 0.24 | 13.62 | 13.81    | 13.72   |             |             | 13.12            | 13.45      |           |
|           | PT                 | 3 | 14.73  | 13.86-16.41 | 1.41       | 1.19 | 13.88 | 13.84    | 13.86   |             |             | 16.41            | 13.92      |           |
|           | APO                | 4 | 19.52  | 17.74-21.88 | 2.55       | 1.60 | 18.48 | 18.38    | 18.43   |             | 20.03       | 21.88            | 17.74      |           |
| m2        | AT                 | 4 | 15.40  | 14.30-18.00 | 2.28       | 1.51 | 14.22 | 14.37    | 14.30   |             | 14.69       | 18.00            | 14.60      |           |
|           | PT                 | 4 | 15.86  | 14.56-19.00 | 3.37       | 1.83 | 14.58 | 14.53    | 14.56   |             | 15.28       | 19.00            | 14.61      |           |
|           | APO                | 3 | 26.22  | 25.36-27.75 | 1.18       | 1.09 | 25.45 | 25.26    | 25.36   |             |             | 27.75            | 25.55      |           |
| m3        | AT                 | 3 | 15.64  | 13.37-18.97 | 5.80       | 2.41 | 13.32 | 13.42    | 13.37   |             |             | 18.97            | 14.57      |           |
|           | PT                 | 3 | 14.75  | 13.02-18.10 | 5.62       | 2.37 | 13.12 | 13.13    | 13.13   |             |             | 18.10            | 13.02      |           |
|           | HT                 | 3 | 11.24  | 9.94-13.78  | 3.22       | 1.79 | 10.04 | 9.97     | 10.00   |             |             | 13.78            | 9.94       |           |

1

#### Table 3(on next page)

Measurements (mm) of the lower dentition and mandible of *Prosthennops serus*.

Approximate measurements are marked by (\*). Additional measurement data is taken from (T) Schultz and Martin (1975), (H) Hesse (1935), and (C) Colbert (1938).

|                                      |   |       |       |      |            |            |      | ]          | ETMNH :    | 5615    | UF 166243               | UF 212306 | UNSM<br>76052 <sup>T</sup> | UNSM<br>76504 <sup>T</sup> | KUMP<br>3755 <sup>H</sup> | C.I.T<br>610 <sup>c</sup> |
|--------------------------------------|---|-------|-------|------|------------|------------|------|------------|------------|---------|-------------------------|-----------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
|                                      | N | X     | R     | lang | e          | $\sigma^2$ | σ    | Left       | Right      | Average | Type Cast<br>(AMNH8511) |           |                            |                            |                           |                           |
| Length p2-m3                         | 5 | 97.71 | 91.60 | -    | 103.0<br>6 | 22.86      | 4.78 | 101.9<br>5 | 104.1<br>6 | 103.06  |                         | 102.41*   | 91.60                      | 92.70                      | 98.80                     |                           |
| Length p2-p4                         | 7 | 38.23 | 36.00 | -    | 40.27      | 1.95       | 1.40 | 37.39      | 39.15      | 38.27   | 38.41                   | 40.27     | 36.00                      | 36.50                      | 39.20                     | 39.00                     |
| Length m1-m3                         | 5 | 59.42 | 53.50 | -    | 15.98      | 4.00       | 4.16 | 64.22      | 65.18      | 64.70   |                         | 62.50     | 53.50                      | 56.70                      | 59.70                     |                           |
| Postcanine Diastema                  | 6 | 54.87 | 49.90 | -    | 62.40      | 28.33      | 5.32 | 61.17      | 63.62      | 62.40   |                         | 62.04*    | 51.50                      | 49.90                      | 53.40                     | 50.00                     |
| % Length of<br>PCD/Length p2-m3      | 1 | 0.605 | 0.60  | -    | 0.61       | 0.00       | 0.00 |            |            | 0.61    |                         | 0.60      |                            |                            |                           |                           |
| Precanine Diastema                   | 3 | 6.75  | 6.26  | -    | 7.50       | 0.29       | 0.54 | 6.01       | 6.51       | 6.26    |                         |           | 7.50                       | 6.50                       |                           |                           |
| Length Mandibular<br>symphysis       | 3 | 86.14 | 82.00 | -    | 90.28      | 17.13      | 4.14 |            | 92.33      |         |                         | 90.28     |                            |                            |                           | 82.00                     |
| Distance between p2<br>and symphysis | 1 |       |       |      |            |            |      |            | 10.66      |         |                         |           |                            |                            |                           |                           |
| Depth of rami at m3                  | 1 | 39.22 |       |      |            |            |      | 1          |            |         |                         | 39.22     |                            |                            |                           |                           |
| Depth of rami at m1                  | 3 | 45.79 | 42.27 | -    | 50.02      | 10.25      | 3.20 | 48.72      | 51.32      | 50.02   |                         | 42.27     | 45.10                      |                            |                           |                           |
| Width of rami at m3                  | 1 | 24.91 |       |      |            |            |      |            |            |         |                         | 24.91     |                            |                            |                           |                           |

1

#### Table 4(on next page)

Measurements (mm) of the lower dentition and mandible of *Prosthennops serus*.

Approximate measurements are marked by (\*). Additional measurement data is taken from (T) Schultz and Martin (1975), (H) Hesse (1935), and (C) Colbert (1938).

#### Manuscript to be reviewed

|        |           |   |       |       |       |       |            |      | ETMNH 5615 |       |   | ETMNH 410 | UF 166243  |
|--------|-----------|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|------------|------|------------|-------|---|-----------|------------|
|        |           |   |       |       |       |       |            |      |            |       |   |           | Type Cast  |
|        |           | N | X     |       | Range | e     | $\sigma^2$ | σ    | Left       | Right | Average   |           | (AMNH8511) |
| i1     | APO       | 1 | 8.40  |       |       |       | 0.00       | 0.00 | 8.37       | 8.43  | 8.40  |           |            |
|        | AT        | 2 | 5.90  | 4.50  | -     | 7.30  | 1.96       | 1.40 | 7.06       | 7.55  | 7.30  |           |            |
|        | PT        | 0 |       |       |       |       |            |      |            |       |   |           |            |
|        | APO       | 3 | 9.94  | 5.41  | -     | 12.70 | 10.42      | 3.23 |            | 5.41  | 5.41  |           |            |
| 12     | AT        | 4 | 6.59  | 5.00  | -     | 8.56  | 1.80       | 1.34 | 8.41       | 8.71  | 8.56  |           |            |
|        | PT        | 0 |       |       |       |       |            |      |            |       |   |           |            |
| i3     | APO       | 2 | 5.11  | 4.70  | -     | 5.51  | 0.16       | 0.40 |            | 5.51  | 5.51  |           |            |
|        | AT        | 3 | 3.19  | 2.50  | -     | 4.47  | 0.82       | 0.90 |            | 4.47  | 4.47  |           |            |
|        | PT        | 0 |       |       |       |       |            |      |            |       |   |           |            |
| Canine | APA       | 5 | 16.33 | 13.74 | -     | 18.40 | 3.11       | 1.76 | 13.7       |       | 13.74   |           |            |
|        | Transvers | 4 | 13.21 | 12.24 | -     | 14.60 | 0.86       | 0.93 | 11.9       | 12.57 | 12.24   |           |            |
|        | APO       | 7 | 10.79 | 9.92  | -     | 11.25 | 0.20       | 0.45 | 9.79       | 10.06 | 9.92  |           | 10.93      |
| p2     | AT        | 6 | 6.93  | 6.39  | -     | 7.60  | 0.17       | 0.41 | 6.31       | 6.46  | 6.39  |           | 6.54       |
|        | PT        | 3 | 7.25  | 7.16  | -     | 7.33  | 0.01       | 0.07 | 7.19       | 7.37  | 7.28  |           | 7.16       |
|        | APO       | 7 | 12.49 | 11.80 | -     | 13.00 | 0.12       | 0.35 | 12.7       | 12.53 | 12.65   |           | 12.48      |
| p3     | AT        | 6 | 9.34  | 9.00  | -     | 9.70  | 0.06       | 0.25 | 9.01       | 9.26  | 9.14  |           | 9.22       |
|        | PT        | 3 | 9.41  | 9.31  | -     | 9.61  | 0.02       | 0.14 | 9.48       | 9.73  | 9.61  |           | 9.33       |
|        | APO       | 8 | 15.08 | 13.20 | -     | 17.36 | 1.36       | 1.16 | 15.7       | 16.14 | 15.94   | 17.36     | 15.00      |
| p4     | AT        | 7 | 11.97 | 11.07 | -     | 12.64 | 0.21       | 0.46 | 11.5       | 12.00 | 11.78   | 11.07     | 11.86      |
|        | PT        | 4 | 13.10 | 12.40 | -     | 13.85 | 0.30       | 0.55 | 13.1       | 13.55 | ight         Average           .43         8.40           .55         7.30           .55         7.30           .41         5.41           .71         8.56           .71         8.56           .71         8.56           .71         8.56           .71         8.56           .71         8.56           .71         8.56           .71         8.56           .71         8.56           .71         8.56           .71         8.56           .71         8.56           .71         8.74           .727         12.24           .006         9.92           .46         6.39           .37         7.28           .253         12.65           .26         9.14           .73         9.61           6.14         15.94           2.00         11.78           3.55         13.36           4.98         14.96           2.78         12.97           1.02         20.77           5.99         16.00           6.54 | 12.78     | 12.40      |
| 1      | APO       | 7 | 14.83 | 13.70 | -     | 15.98 | 0.56       | 0.75 | 14.9       | 14.98 | 14.96   |           | 15.38      |
| ml     | AT        | 6 | 12.61 | 12.00 | -     | 13.20 | 0.19       | 0.43 | 12.9       | 12.78 | 12.87   |           | 12.10      |
|        | PT        | 3 | 13.04 | 12.19 | -     | 13.96 | 0.53       | 0.73 |            | 12.97 | 12.97   |           | 12.19      |
|        | APO       | 8 | 18.82 | 16.80 | -     | 20.77 | 1.35       | 1.16 | 20.5       | 21.02 | 20.77   |           | 19.71      |
| m2     | AT        | 7 | 14.98 | 14.00 | -     | 16.00 | 0.46       | 0.68 | 16.0       | 15.99 | 16.00   |           | 15.51      |
|        | PT        | 4 | 15.84 | 15.42 | -     | 16.40 | 0.12       | 0.35 | 16.2       | 16.54 | 16.40   |           | 15.42      |
|        | APO       | 7 | 27.61 | 24.50 | -     | 32.26 | 5.92       | 2.43 | 29.4       | 29.77 | 29.63   |           |            |
| m3     | AT        | 7 | 16.14 | 14.90 | -     | 18.52 | 1.32       | 1.15 | 16.6       | 16.73 | 16.67   |           | 15.51      |
|        | PT        | 5 | 16.09 | 14.86 | -     | 17.60 | 0.95       | 0.97 | 16.4       | 16.77 | 16.58   |           | 14.86      |
|        | HT        | 4 | 12.61 | 12.29 | -     | 13.14 | 0.10       | 0.32 | 12.5       | 12.53 | 12.54   |           |            |

|        |            | UF 220251 | UF 212306 | UF/TRO 413 | UNSM 76052 <sup>T</sup> | UNSM 76504 <sup>T</sup> | KUMP 3755 <sup>H</sup> | C.I.T 610 <sup>c</sup> |
|--------|------------|-----------|-----------|------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
|        |            |           |           |            |                         |                         |                        |                        |
|        |            |           |           |            |                         |                         |                        |                        |
|        | APO        |           |           |            |                         |                         |                        |                        |
| i1     | AT         |           |           |            |                         |                         |                        | 4 50                   |
|        | PT         |           |           |            |                         |                         |                        | 4.50                   |
|        | APO        |           |           |            | 12.70                   | 11.70                   |                        |                        |
| i2     | AT         |           |           |            | 7.00                    | 5.80                    |                        | 5.00                   |
|        | РТ         |           |           |            |                         |                         |                        |                        |
|        | APO        |           |           |            |                         | 4.70                    |                        |                        |
| i3     | AT         |           |           |            |                         | 2.60                    |                        | 2.50                   |
|        | PT         |           |           |            |                         |                         |                        |                        |
| Canine | APA        |           |           |            | 18.40*                  | 16.50                   | 18.00                  | 15.00                  |
|        | Transverse |           |           |            | 14.60*                  | 12.50                   |                        | 13.50                  |
| p2     | APO        |           | 11.25     |            | 11.00                   | 10.30                   | 11.10                  | 11.00                  |
|        | AT         |           | 7.26      |            | 7.60                    | 6.80                    |                        | 7.00                   |
|        | PT         |           | 7.33      |            |                         |                         |                        |                        |
|        | APO        |           | 13.00     |            | 11.80                   | 12.70                   | 12.30                  | 12.50                  |
| p3     | AT         |           | 9.39      |            | 9.70                    | 9.60                    |                        | 9.00                   |
|        | PT         |           | 9.31      |            |                         |                         |                        |                        |
|        | APO        |           | 15.62*    |            | 13.20                   | 14.70                   | 14.30                  | 14.50                  |
| p4     | AT         |           | 12.64     |            | 12.40                   | 12.00                   |                        | 12.00                  |
|        | PT         |           | 13.85     |            |                         |                         |                        |                        |
| 1      | APO        |           | 15.98*    |            | 15.30                   | 13.70                   | 14.00                  | 14.50                  |
| mi     | AT         |           | 12.89     |            | 13.20                   | 12.60*                  |                        | 12.00                  |
|        | PT         |           | 13.96     |            |                         |                         |                        |                        |
|        | APO        |           | 19.23     | 18.73      | 16.80                   | 18.60                   | 19.20                  | 17.50                  |
| m2     | AT         |           | 14.84     | 15.58      | 14.40                   | 14.50                   |                        | 14.00                  |
|        | PT         |           | 15.74     | 15.80      |                         |                         |                        |                        |
|        | APO        | 32.26     | 28.14     | 26.57      | 24.50                   | 25.80                   | 26.40                  |                        |
| m3     | AT         | 18.52     | 16.32     | 16.03      | 14.90                   | 15.00                   |                        |                        |
|        | PT         | 17.60     | 16.17     | 15.25      |                         |                         |                        |                        |
|        | HT         | 13.14     | 12.48     | 12.29      |                         |                         |                        |                        |

6

**Peer**J

Eastern to southeast United States (US) showing locations of the Hemphillian sites bearing peccary material (A).

The Gray Fossil Site (top right) is located in north-central Washington County (B), TN at 36.5°N and 82.5°W. *Mylohyus elmorei* is previously only known from various localities within the Phosphate Mines (grey) of the Bone Valley Fm (bottom right) (C) (Wright and Webb, 1984; Wright, 1991, 1998). Wright (1998) reports material with affinity to *Mylohyus longirostris* from the Mixon's Bone Bed local fauna of northern Florida; however, no specimens are directly listed to verify this claim. *Prosthennops serus* is identified within the Mauville Fauna of southern Alabama (Hulbert and Whitemore, 2006) and the Tyner Farm locality of northern Florida (Hulbert et al. 2009). *Protherohyus brachydontus* (*=Catagonus brachydontus*), despite being widespread in the western US and Mexico, is currently only recognized in the Palmetto Fauna (Wright 1989, 1991, 1998) and the Pipe Creek paleosinkhole of Indiana (Prothero and Sheets, 2013) in the eastern US.

#### Manuscript to be reviewed





Measurements for tayassuid cranial (A and B) and mandibular (C and D) material (ETVP 17584, an adult *Pecari tajacu*).

Measurements are modified from Von den Driesh (1976) with the abbreviation PCD representing postcanine diastema.



**Peer**J

Dental measurements for both upper and lower dentition (A) follow Wright (1984, 1991) with the addition the transverse measurement across the hypoconule/hypoconulid complex.

Abbreviations: **APO**=Greatest anteroposterior length taken along the midline of the occlusal surface of the tooth; AT=Greatest transverse width of the trigon/trigonid cusps; PT=Greatest transverse width of the talon/talonid cusps; **HT**=Greatest transverse width of the hypoconulid complex. Dental nomenclature (B-E) is modified from Wright (1989, 1991, 1998) in regards to the posterior heel of upper and lower m3. This accessory cusp-bearing, posteriorly-oriented extension of the M3 and m3's talon/talonid is not referred to in a unified manner within the literature but is typically referred to as the "posterior lobe", "posterior heel", or "heel" (Matthew, 1924; Kinsey, 1974; Schultz & Martin, 1975; Dalquest & Mooser, 1980; Wright & Webb, 1984; Wright, 1989, 1991). Despite indicating a general location on the M3/m3, these terms are undescriptive as they do little to describe the composition of the feature, which bears the hypoconule/hypoconulid and a variable number of accessory cusps. Consequently, the terms hypoconule complex and hypoconulid complex are used herein to better describe both the placement and composition of this feature on the upper and lower dentition, respectively. General morphology of the hypoconulid complex is provided (D) for Prosthennops serus (left) and Mylohus elmorei (right), however, it should be indicated that there is notable inter- and intraspecific variation in the number of accessory cuspules on the hypoconulid complex.

PeerJ





Gray Fossil Site tayassuid material assigned to Mylohyus elmorei, ETMNH 8046.

This partial cranium exhibits an intact left canine alveolus and right and left P2-M3. Views: A) Lateral; B) occlusal; C) medial. Image is in black  $\sqrt[3]{2}$  white to prevent morphologies from being obscured due to coloration.





(C)



# Figure 5

Lateral (A) and buccal (B) view of mandible, ETMNH 8046, of *Mylohyus elmorei* bearing right p2-m3 and left p3-m3.



PeerJ

Upper dentitions of Gray Fossil Site and Bone Vall Formation *Mylohyus elmorei*.

Specimens observed include UF/TRO 440 (A), UF 203540 (B), UF 12265 (C), ETMNH 8046 (D), and ETMNH 7279 (E). Image is in black and white to prevent morphologies from being obscured due to coloration.



Lower dentition of *Mylohyus elmorei*.

Observed specimens are ETMNH 17219 (A), ETMNH 19281 (B), ETMNH 8046 (C), UF/TRO 412 (D), and UF 294749 (E). Image is in black and white to prevent morphologies from being obscured due to coloration.



Partial crania, ETMNH 8046 (A) and UF 12265 (B), of Mylohyus elmorei in occlusal view.



Partial crania, ETMNH 8046 (A) and UF 12265 (B), of Mylohyus elmorei in dorsal view.



Comparison of partial *Prosthennops* cf. *P. serus* and *Prosthennops serus* mandibles, UF 212306 (A and C) and ETMNH 5615 (B and D), respectively.



# Figure 11

Comparison of lower dentition of Prosthennops serus and Prosthennops cf. P. serus.

Observed specimens: ETMNH 5615 (A), UF/TRO 413 (B), UF 212306 (C), and UF 220251 (D). Image is in black and white to prevent morphologies from being obscured due to coloration.

