Case based Rubella surveillance in Abia state, South East Nigeria. 2007– 2011.

Introduction: Rubella infection has the potential of causing severe fetal birth defects collectively called congenital rubella syndrome (CRS) if the mother is infected early in pregnancy. However, little is known about rubella and CRS epidemiology in Nigeria and rubella vaccines are still not part of routine childhood immunization in Nigeria.**Methods:** Analysis of confirmed cases of rubella in Abia state Nigeria from 2007 to 2011 detected through Abia state Integrated Disease Surveillance and Response system. **Results:** Of the 757 febrile rash cases, 81(10.7%) tested positive for rubella immunoglobulin M (IgM). New rubella infection decreased from 6.81/1,000,000 population in 2007 to 2.28/1,000,000 in 2009 and increased to 6.34/1,000,000 in 2011. The relative risk of rubella was 1.5 (CI 0.98 - 2.28) times as high in females compared to males and 1.6 times (CI 0.90 - 2.91) as high in rural areas compared to urban areas. Eighty six percent of rubella infections occurred in children less than 15 years with a high proportion of cases occurring between 5 and 14 years.**Conclusion**: Rubella infection in Abia state Nigeria is predominantly in those less than 15 years. It is also more in females and in those living in rural areas of the state. Unfortunately, there is no surveillance of CRS in Nigeria and so the public health impact of rubella infection in the state is not known. Efforts should be made to expand the rubella surveillance in Nigeria to incorporate surveillance for CRS.

2   Chukwuemeka Anthony Umeh[1], Stella Chioma Onyi[2]*

3   [1] Department of International Health, Boston University School of Public Health, Massachusetts,

4   USA.

5   [2]New York Institute of Technology College of Osteopathic Medicine, Old Westbury, New York,

6   USA.

7   *Corresponding author: Stella Chioma Umeh

8   Address: 11025 Mesa Linda St, Victorville, CA 92392

9   Email: umehs@hotmail.com

10   Phone no: (760)553-4263

Introduction

11 **Introduction**

12 Rubella is an acute contagious viral infection that usually affects children and young adults. It is a

13 mild self-limiting illness that presents with fever, maculopapular rash, malaise and mild

14 conjunctivitis. However, 20 – 50% of all rubella infections occur without a rash, or are

15 subclinical. Rubella is transmitted by airborne droplets when infected people sneeze or cough.[1,2]

16 Rubella is of public health importance because of its teratogenic effect on the fetus if the mother

17 is infected in early pregnancy or just before conception. A woman who is infected just before

18 conception or in early pregnancy has a 90% chance of having her fetus infected with rubella. This

19 can lead to miscarriage, stillbirth or severe birth defects which are collectively known as

20 congenital rubella syndrome (CRS).[1, 2]

21 CRS can present with major eye and heart defects and hearing impairments. Children with CRS

22 are also at risk of developing serious developmental disabilities and are prone to other lifelong

23 disabilities such as autism, diabetes mellitus and thyroid dysfunction.[2]

24 Globally, an estimated 110,000 children are born annually with CRS with most of the CRS

25 occurring in South East Asia, Africa, and Western Pacific regions – regions where few of the

26 countries have introduced rubella containing vaccines as part of the national vaccination

27 schedule. Due to massive rubella vaccination, the incidence of rubella and CRS has greatly

28 reduced or has practically been eliminated in most developed countries and some developing

29 countries.[2, 3]

30 Rubella vaccines are live attenuated vaccines and a single dose of the vaccine confers long-

31 lasting immunity in more than 95% of the vaccine recipients. There is also naturally induced

32 immunity after rubella infection. The presence of immunoglobulin G (IgG) antibodies in the

33 serum is indicative of rubella immunity, although there is country variations in the level of IgG

34 considered protective, rubella IgG antibodies ≥10 IU/ml is generally considered protective.[2,3]

35 There has been a renewed effort by World Health Organization (WHO) to eliminate measles and

36 rubella in most regions of the world. This led to the launching of a new Global Measles and

37 Rubella Strategic Plan by the Measles & Rubella Initiative in April 2012. The plan aims to

38 eliminate measles and rubella in at least five WHO regions by 2020. Part of the ways to achieve

39 this include: vaccination coverage with two doses of measles- and rubella-containing vaccines;

40 effective disease surveillance, and building up public confidence and demand for immunization.[1]

41 Rubella vaccine is not part of the routine immunization schedule in Abia state Nigeria.[4] There has

42 also been lack of attention on rubella in Abia state Nigeria. Abia state is in the south-eastern part

43 of Nigeria and covers a land area of 5,243.7 square kilometers. The population of Abia State by

44 the 2006 population census was 2,833,999 and with an annual growth rate of 2.7%, the estimated

45 population in 2012 is 3,368,430. Abia state has 17 local government areas.[5,6] About 70% of the

46 population lives in rural areas. The state has a high proportion of young population with children

47 aged 0-14 years accounting for 36.8% of the population. There is also a h

48 igh age dependency ratio of 66.5%. Over 59% of the population is estimated to live below the

49 poverty line of one US dollar a day.[6]

50 This article gives a descriptive analysis of the rubella cases detected through the Abia state

51 Integrated Disease Surveillance and Response between 2007 and 2011.

52 **Method**

53 Cases of rubella in Abia state South East Nigeria from January 1, 2007 to December 31, 2011

54 detected through the Abia state Integrated Disease Surveillance and Response were analyzed. The

55 data was collected from 130 disease surveillance focal sites in Abia state. These sites are evenly

56 distributed around the state and are involved in both active and passive surveillance of febrile

57 rash in communities and health facilities. The focal persons at these sites report febrile rash cases

58 to the local government area disease surveillance and notification officers (DSNO). The local

59 government areas DSNOs are expected to collect blood samples from the cases within 28 days of

60 the onset of rash. One short coming of the surveillance system was that a lot of health facilities

61 that were not part of the 130 focal sites do not routinely report the cases of febrile rash to the

62 local government area disease surveillance and notification officers. The samples are sent to the

63 measles national laboratory and tested for measles and rubella immunoglobulin G (IgM)

64 antibodies using enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). The Nigeria national measles

65 and rubella laboratory operates according to World Health Organization standards and protocols.

66 The samples are first tested for measles and rubella.

67 Recent infections of rubella are detected by positive IgM antibodies . However, there could be

68 false positive rubella IgM results in patients with parvovirus B19, infectious mononucleosis or a

69 positive rheumatoid factor.[7] New cases of rubella per 1,000,000 population was calculated by

70 dividing the number of reported rubella cases by the estimated population and multiplied by

71 1,000,000.

72 Data was analyzed using SAS 9.1

73 **Results**

74   757 febrile rash cases were reported between 2007 and 2011. The mean age of the subjects was

75   7.5 years (sd = 10.3), while their age ranged from 0 to 80 years.Of the 757 febrile rash cases

76   between 2007 and 2011, 81(10.7%) tested positive for rubella IgM. However, there were wide

77   variations in the proportion that tested positive in different years, ranging from a high of 20.2% in

78   2007 to a low of 4.5% in 2009 (table 1). The difference in the new rubella infection in the

79   different years is statistically significant (p = 0.0006).

80   The result also showed that new rubella infection decreased from 6.81/1,000,000 population in

81   2007 to 2.28/1,000,000 in 2009 and increased to 6.34/1,000,000 in 2011 (table 1).

82   Females (12.9%) were more likely to be infected with rubella compared to males (8.6%) with a

83   relative risk of 1.5 (CI 0.98 - 2.28). However, this higher risk is not statistically significant (p =

84   0.057)

85   Over the five years under review, there were more cases of rubella in people residing in rural

86   areas (11.7%) compared to the urban areas (7.2%). The relative risk of having rubella is 1.6 times

87   (CI 0.90 – 2.91) as high in rural areas compared to urban areas, although this increased risk is not

88   statistically significant (p = 0.1).

89   Furthermore, the proportion of people infected in the different age groups increased with

90   increasing age until 14 years and decreased thereafter.Eighty six percent of all the rubella cases

91   occurred in children between 0 – 14 years and 14% of the cases occurred in those 15 years and

92   older. The difference in the new cases of rubella among the different groups is statistically

93   significant (p = <0.0001) with most of the cases occurring between 5 and 14 years. However,

94   when the people are divided into two age groups of less that 15 years and 15 years and greater,

95   there is no statistical difference in the relative risk between the two age groups. (RR = 1.02; 95%

96   CI = 0.56 – 1.86)

97   **Discussion**

98   Rubella vaccination is not part of the routine vaccinations in Nigeria.[8] There has not been any

99   attention on rubella as it is not considered a childhood killer disease. The major clinical concern

100   for rubella is the risk of congenital rubella syndrome. Unfortunately, there is no data on the

101   incidence of congenital rubella syndrome in Nigeria. Even the few studies on congenital rubella

102   syndrome in Nigeria are only based on clinical diagnosis of rubella without any laboratory

103   confirmation of such cases. A review of 16,394 births in southern Nigeria showed a congenital

104   rubella syndrome rate of 1.16 per 1,000 live births.[9] This is compared to an estimated rate of 0.8

105   per 1,000 live births in Kumasi Ghana.[10]

106   On average, 10.7% of the reported cases of febrile rash tested positive for rubella IgM. This is

107   similar to 12% of reported cases of rash in Ethiopia and Akwa Ibom state in Nigeria that tested

108   positive for rubella IgM respectively,[11] The rate of rubella IgM positivity in children between 0 –

109   9 years in this study is 9.8% which is lower than the IgM positive rate of 45.2% among children

110   between 0 – 10 years in Jos, North Central Nigeria.[12] Furthermore, 3.9 – 5.2% of pregnant

111   women in Nigeria were rubella IgM positive,[8,13] while 3.4% of pregnant women in Western

112   Sudan, were IgM positive.[14] Apart from rubella, 10 percent (75) of the 757 reported cases of

113   febrile rash was due to measles.

114   The result showed a low incidence of new rubella infection of 6.81/1,000,000 population in 2007

115   which decreased to 2.28/1,000,000 in 2009. However, it increased to 6.34/1,000,000 in 2011. The

116   reason for the decrease in 2009 is not clear. There is no routine rubella vaccination in Nigeria and

117   so this decrease could not have been due to increased rubella vaccination. This low incidence of

118   rubella is incongruent with results of studies among women of child bearing age in Nigeria which

119   showed that between 53% and 77% have had rubella (presence of rubella IgG antibodies in

120 unimmunized women).[8,15,16,17] Thus the rubella surveillance system appears not to be robust

121 enough to detect a lot of the cases of rubella. As much as 20 - 50% of rubella cases occur without

122 a rash.[1,2] The proportion of new cases picked up by the surveillance system is still low compared

123 to the prevalence of rubella IgG in the adult population. The assumption of a weak rubella

124 surveillance system might also explain the reason for the huge difference in the rubella IgM

125 positivity rate in children 0 – 9 years in this study (9.8%) and a population based study carried

126 out in children 0 – 10 years in Jos, North Central Nigeria (45.2%).[12]

127 Cumulatively, between 2007 and 2011, 41% of the new cases of rubella were male and 59% were

128 female. Among IgM positive children in Jos, North Central Nigeria, 33% were male and 67%

129 were females.[12] In Ethiopia, 54% of IgM positive cases were females.[11]

130 Furthermore, the higher rate of rubella in rural areas compared to urban areas is consistent with

131 findings in a similar study in southern Nigeria.[18] This might be due to the fact that children who

132 live in the rural areas are generally poorer, more malnourished and more susceptible to

133 infections.[19]

134 Eighty six percent of the cases occurred in children less than 15 years. This is comparable to

135 94.7% of IgM positive cases occurring in children less than 15 years in Ethiopia.[11] This is also in

136 agreement to earlier observations that rubella is a disease that mainly affects children.[2] The low

137 incidence of new cases of rubella after 15 years might be due to the fact that the susceptible

138 population decreases with increasing age because a large proportion of the population would have

139 been infected and developed immunity before adulthood. This is further buttressed by findings in

140 Nigeria and Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso where rubella IgG antibodies in unvaccinated pregnant

141 women and other women of child bearing age ranged from 53 – 77% in Nigeria while it is 77% in

142 Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso. [8,15,16,17,20] Bearing in mind that rubella vaccination is not part of the

143 routine vaccination in Nigeria and Burkina Faso,[4,21] this means that these women had been

144 infected at an earlier age and thereafter developed immunity.

145 **Conclusion:**

146 Rubella infection in Abia state Nigeria is predominantly in those less than 15 years. It is also

147 more in females and in those living in rural areas of the state. Unfortunately, there is no

148 surveillance of CRS in Nigeria and so the public health impact of rubella infection in the state is

149 not known. Rubella surveillance should be enlarged to include surveillance for CRS. This can be

150 done by making hospitals report suspected cases of CRS so that samples can be drawn from such

151 babies for laboratory test. Furthermore, it is obvious that rubella is prevalent in the state with

152 associated risk of CRS. Efforts should be made to include rubella as a routine childhood vaccine

153 in Nigeria. This should be followed by concomitant vaccination of non-pregnant women of child

154 bearing age to avoid risk of CRS.

155 **References**

156 [1]World health organization. Rubella factsheet. Accessed May 14, 2013 from

157 http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs367/en/

158 [2]WHO. Weekly epidemiological record. Rubella vaccine. WHO position paper. No. 29, 2011, 86,

159 301–316. Accessed May 14, 2013 http://www.who.int/wer/2011/wer8629.pdf

160 [3]World health organization. Immunization, Vaccines and Biologicals. Accessed May 14, 2013

161 from http://www.who.int/immunization/topics/rubella/en/index.html

162 [4]Abia state government. NPI immunization schedule. Accessed May 22, 2013 from

163 http://www.abiastate.gov.ng/projects/welfare/npi-immunization-schedule/

164 [5]Abia State government. Abia state. Accessed May 22, 2013 from

165 http://www.abiastate.gov.ng/2011/08/history-of-abia-state/

166 [6]UNFPA. Nigeria. Accessed September 15, 2012 from http://nigeria.unfpa.org/abia.html

167 [7] WHO. Manual for the laboratory diagnosis of measles and rubella virus infection.

168 2007. http://www.who.int/ihr/elibrary/manual_diagn_lab_mea_rub_en.pdf

169 [8] Onakewhor JU, Chiwuzie J. Seroprevalence survey of rubella infection in pregnancy

170 at the University of Benin Teaching Hospital, Benin City, Nigeria. Niger J Clin Pract.

171 2011 Apr-Jun;14(2):140-5. doi: 10.4103/1119-3077.84002.

172 [9] George IO, Frank-Briggs AI, Oruamabo RS. Congenital rubella syndrome: pattern

173 and presentation in a southern Nigerian tertiary hospital. World J Pediatr. 2009

174 Nov;5(4):287-91. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19911144

175 [10] Lawn JE, Reef S, Baffoe-Bonnie B, Adadevoh S, Caul EO, Griffin GE. Unseen

176 blindness, unheard deafness, and unrecorded death and disability: congenital

177 rubella in Kumasi, Ghana. Am J Public Health. 2000 Oct;90(10):1555-61.

178 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11029988

179 [11] Mitiku K, Bedada T, Masresha B, Kegne W, Nafo-Traoré F, Tesfaye N, Beyene B. The

180 epidemiology of rubella disease in Ethiopia: data from the measles case-based

181 surveillance system. J Infect Dis. 2011 Jul;204 Suppl 1:S239-42. doi:

182 10.1093/infdis/jir120.

183   [12] Junaid SA, Akpan KJ, Olabode AO. Sero-survey of rubella IgM antibodies among

184   children in Jos, Nigeria. Virol J. 2011 May 19;8:244. doi: 10.1186/1743-422X-8-244.

185   [13] Pennap G, Amauche G, Ajoge H, Gabadi S, Agwale S, Forbi J. Serologic survey of

186   specific rubella virus IgM in the sera of pregnant women in Makurdi, Benue State,

187   Nigeria. Afr J Reprod Health. 2009 Jun;13(2):69-73.

188   [14] Hamdan HZ, Abdelbagi IE, Nasser NM, Adam I. Seroprevalence of cytomegalovirus

189   and rubella among pregnant women in western Sudan. Virol J. 2011 May 11;8:217.

190   doi: 10.1186/1743-422X-8-217.

191   [15] Bamgboye AE, Afolabi KA, Esumeh FI, Enweani IB. Prevalence of rubella antibody in

192   pregnant women in Ibadan, Nigeria. West Afr J Med. 2004 Jul-Sep;23(3):245-8.

193   [16] Bukbuk DN, el Nafaty AU, Obed JY. Prevalence of rubella-specific IgG antibody in

194   non-immunized pregnant women in Maiduguri, north eastern Nigeria. Cent Eur J

195   Public Health. 2002 Jun;10(1-2):21-3.

196   [17] Onyenekwe CC, Kehinde-Agbeyangi TA, Ofor US, Arinola OG. Prevalence of rubella-

197   IgG antibody in women of childbearing age in Lagos, Nigeria. West Afr J Med. 2000

198   Jan-Mar;19(1):23-6.

199   [18] Enya B , Effiong M , Moffat U, Emem B. Incidence of rubella IgM antibodies in

200   individuals with febrile rash illness attending clinics in Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria,

201   2006-2009. Health. 2011; 3, 362-365. Accessed December 30, 2013 from

202   http://www.scirp.org/journal/PaperInformation.aspx?paperID=5296#.UsIOdBDAE94

203   [19] WHO/UNICEF. Reducing measles mortality in emergencies 2004. Assessed

204   November 8, 2012 from http://whqlibdoc.who.int/hq/2004/WHO_V&B_04.03.pdf

205   [20] Linguissi LS, Nagalo BM, Bisseye C, Kagoné TS, Sanou M, Tao I, Benao V, Simporé J,

206   Koné B. Seroprevalence of toxoplasmosis and rubella in pregnant women attending

207   antenatal private clinic at Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso. Asian Pac J Trop Med. 2012

208   Oct;5(10):810-3.

209   [21] Tahita MC, Hübschen JM, Tarnagda Z, Ernest D, Charpentier E, Kremer JR, et al.

210   Rubella seroprevalence among pregnant women in Burkina Faso. BMC Infectious

211   Diseases 2013, 13:164 doi:10.1186/1471-2334-13-164. Accessed May 22, 2013 from

212   http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2334/13/164

213

214

Table 1_(on next page)

Table 1.Number of specimens that tested positive for Rubella Immunoglobulin (Ig) M
Antibodies out of all the specimens tested, Abia state Nigeria, 2007–2011

Table 1.Number of specimens that tested positive for Rubella Immunoglobulin (Ig) M Antibodies out of all the specimens tested, Abia state Nigeria, 2007–2011

Year of onset	Total number of febrile rash cases reported	Number rubella IgM positive	Percentage IgM positive	Rubella Igm positive per 1 million population
2007	99	20	20.2	6.8
2008	99	16	16.2	5.3
2009	157	7	4.5	2.3
2010	174	18	10.3	5.7
2011	228	20	8.8	6.3
Total (2007–2011)	757	81	10.7	

Table 2: Demographics of rubella cases in Abia state Nigeria 2007 - 2011

Table 2: Demographics of rubella cases in Abia state Nigeria 2007 - 2011

	Total number of febrile rash cases reported	Number Rubella IgM positive	Percentage IgM positive	P-value	Relative risk (95% confidence interval)
Sex					
Female	384	48	12.9%	0.0571	1.50 (0.98-2.28)
Male	373	33	8.6%		
Residence					
Rural	591	69	11.7%	0.1015	1.62 (0.90-2.91)
Urban	166	12	7.2%		
Age					
<1 year	117	3	2.6%	<0.0001	1.02* (0.56-1.86)
1-4 years	317	26	8.2%		
5-9 years	150	28	18.7%		
10-14 years	61	12	19.7%		
≥15 years	101	11	10.9%		