

1 Cancellous bone and theropod dinosaur
2 locomotion. Part II – A new approach to inferring
3 posture and locomotor biomechanics in extinct
4 tetrapod vertebrates

5
6
7 P.J. Bishop^{1,2,3,*}, S.A. Hocknull^{1,2,3}, C.J. Clemente^{4,5}, J.R. Hutchinson⁶, R.S. Barrett^{2,3} and
8 D.G. Lloyd^{2,3}.

9
10
11 ¹Geosciences Program, Queensland Museum, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia.

12 ²School of Allied Health Sciences, Griffith University, Gold Coast, Queensland, Australia.

13 ³Gold Coast Orthopaedic Research, Engineering and Education Alliance, Menzies Health
14 Institute Queensland.

15 ⁴School of Science and Engineering, University of the Sunshine Coast, Maroochydore,
16 Queensland, Australia.

17 ⁵School of Biological Sciences, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Queensland,
18 Australia.

19 ⁶Structure and Motion Laboratory, Department of Comparative Biomedical Sciences, Royal
20 Veterinary College, Hatfield, Hertfordshire, UK.

21
22
23 *corresponding author at current address: Structure and Motion Laboratory, Department of
24 Comparative Biomedical Sciences, Royal Veterinary College, Hatfield, Hertfordshire, UK;
25 pbishop@rvc.ac.uk.

32 II.1 Abstract

33

34 This paper is the second of a three-part series that investigates the architecture of cancellous
35 bone in the main hindlimb bones of theropod dinosaurs, and uses cancellous bone
36 architectural patterns to infer locomotor biomechanics in extinct non-avian species.
37 Cancellous bone is widely known to be highly sensitive to its mechanical environment, and
38 therefore has the potential to provide insight into locomotor biomechanics in extinct tetrapod
39 vertebrates such as dinosaurs. Here in Part II, a new biomechanical modelling approach is
40 outlined, one which mechanistically links cancellous bone architectural patterns with three-
41 dimensional musculoskeletal and finite element modelling of the hindlimb. In particular, the
42 architecture of cancellous bone is used to derive a single ‘characteristic posture’ for a given
43 species – one in which bone continuum-level principal stresses best align with cancellous
44 bone fabric – and thereby clarify hindlimb locomotor biomechanics. The quasi-static
45 approach was validated for an extant theropod, the chicken, and is shown to provide a good
46 estimate of limb posture at around mid-stance. It also provides reasonable predictions of bone
47 loading mechanics, especially for the proximal hindlimb, and also provides a broadly accurate
48 assessment of muscle recruitment insofar as limb stabilization is concerned. In addition to
49 being useful for better understanding locomotor biomechanics in extant species, the approach
50 hence provides a new avenue by which to analyse, test and refine palaeobiomechanical
51 hypotheses, not just for extinct theropods, but potentially many other extinct tetrapod groups
52 as well.

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

II.2 Introduction

Cancellous bone is highly sensitive and able to adapt its three-dimensional (3-D) architecture to its prevailing mechanical environment, such that the overall architecture strongly reflects the loads experienced by whole bones. Cancellous bone architecture can also change when loading conditions change, and that the structural alteration takes place in a predictable fashion (Adachi et al. 2001; Barak et al. 2011; Biewener et al. 1996; Goldstein et al. 1991; Guldman et al. 1997; Huiskes et al. 2000; Mullender & Huiskes 1995; Polk et al. 2008; Pontzer et al. 2006; Radin et al. 1982; Richmond et al. 2005; Ruimerman et al. 2005; van der Meulen et al. 2006; van der Meulen et al. 2009; Volpato et al. 2008; Wang et al. 2012). Furthermore, comparative studies have shown that differences in loading conditions, resulting from differences in locomotor behaviour and biomechanics, are often reflected as differences in architectural patterns between species (Amson et al. 2017; Barak et al. 2013; Fajardo & Müller 2001; Griffin et al. 2010; Hébert et al. 2012; MacLachy & Müller 2002; Maga et al. 2006; Matarazzo 2015; Ryan & Ketcham 2002; Ryan & Ketcham 2005; Ryan & Shaw 2012; Su et al. 2013; Tsegai et al. 2013). Locomotor-dependent architectural differences have also been borne out in Part I of this series, which has highlighted a number of important differences in cancellous bone architecture between the hindlimb bones of humans and birds, the two kinds of obligate, striding bipeds alive today (Bishop et al. in review-b).

As outlined in Part I of this series, the overarching paradigm that relates cancellous bone architectural fabric to its mechanical environment is the ‘trajectorial theory’. First enounced by Wolff (1892), in its modern formulation the trajectorial theory states that the principal material directions of a given volume of cancellous bone are aligned with the principal stress trajectories generated from physiological loading, but only at spatial scales at which cancellous bone can be treated as a continuous material (Cowin 2001). The principal material directions describe the directions in which a volume of cancellous bone is most and least stiff, whereas (continuum-level) principal stress trajectories describe how compressive and tensile forces are distributed throughout a material under a particular loading regime. As also reviewed in Part I, the principal material directions of a given volume of cancellous bone are closely aligned with its principal fabric directions, that is, the directions of strongest and weakest alignment of trabeculae (Kabel et al. 1999; Odgaard et al. 1997; Turner et al. 1990; Ulrich et al. 1999). This effectively means that the architectural fabric of cancellous bone parallels the principal stress trajectories during the normal use of a bone. Such a

100 correspondence has been demonstrated to occur in a wide variety of instances, again by both
101 experimental (Biewener et al. 1996; Lanyon 1974; Su et al. 1999) and theoretical (Beaupré et
102 al. 1990; Carter et al. 1989; Currey 2002; Gefen & Seliktar 2004; Giddings et al. 2000; Hayes
103 & Snyder 1981; Jacobs 2000; Jacobs et al. 1997; Koch 1917; Miller et al. 2002; Pauwels
104 1980; Rudman et al. 2006; Sverdlova 2011; Vander Sloten & Van der Perre 1989) studies of
105 locomotion.

106

107 In the aforementioned theoretical studies, the general approach was the same. That is, given a
108 continuum-level model of the bone, apply a loading regime that reflects *in vivo* physiological
109 conditions (often derived from empirical measurements), calculate the resulting principal
110 stress trajectories and then compare them to observations of cancellous bone architecture. It
111 stands to reason that, if the trajectorial theory is true, the approach will also hold in reverse.

112 Here, it is hypothesized that if one constructs a continuum-level model of a whole bone and
113 seeks to determine the loading regime(s) in which principal stresses align with observed
114 cancellous bone architecture, the resulting loading regime(s) should be physiologically
115 realistic. It is also hypothesized that this ‘reverse trajectorial approach’, when framed in the
116 context of a whole musculoskeletal system (such as a limb), should result in a physiologically
117 realistic posture used during normal activity. If these predictions hold true, then this has the
118 potential to provide new insight into understanding posture and locomotor biomechanics in
119 extinct species, such as non-avian theropod dinosaurs, a group for which much interest
120 surrounds their manner of locomotion (Hutchinson & Allen 2009).

121

122 The present study aimed to test the above hypotheses, and thereby investigate the validity of
123 the reverse approach. It focused on an extant theropod species, the chicken (*Gallus gallus*), as
124 a generalized representative for all extant, ground-dwelling birds, for which much knowledge
125 about terrestrial locomotor biomechanics exists. By integrating musculoskeletal and finite
126 element modelling with observations of cancellous bone architecture, this study asked the
127 question: “in what posture of the hindlimb do principal stresses align with observed
128 cancellous bone architecture, and is this posture consistent with empirical observations?” In
129 testing the reverse approach with a modern theropod and assessing its validity, the approach
130 may then be applied to extinct, non-avian theropods, as will be done in Part III (Bishop et al.
131 in review-a). Additionally, the results of the present study can also demonstrate how
132 applicable this approach may be for understanding locomotor biomechanics in extinct
133 tetrapod vertebrates in general.

II.3 Materials and Methods

II.3.1 The overall approach

The concept of using cancellous bone architectural patterns to derive *in vivo* loading regimes is not new. However, **previously developed approaches** (Bona et al. 2006; Campoli et al. 2012; Christen et al. 2013a; Christen et al. 2015; Christen et al. 2013b; Christen et al. 2012; Fischer et al. 1995; Zadpoor et al. 2013) are so different from that of the present study, or indeed are likely not applicable to extinct species, that an examination of these approaches will be left to the Discussion. In the present study, the approach of identifying the loading regimes and hindlimb locomotor biomechanics that reflected **observed** cancellous bone architecture was **a repetitive** one, which may be summarized as follows. For a given test posture, the forces and moments involved were first calculated using a musculoskeletal model, assuming a quasi-static situation, which were then transferred to a set of finite element models to calculate principal stress trajectories in the femur, tibiotarsus and fibula. These stress trajectories were then compared to the observed cancellous bone fabric in each bone, as reported in Part I of this series. The amount of correspondence between stress trajectories and cancellous bone fabric, and where this occurred, was then used to guide the set-up of a new test posture. **Starting from a general avian mid-stance posture**, the process was repeated until no further improvement in overall correspondence was able to be gained; at this point the ‘solution posture’ was achieved. Hence, in this study, a single posture is sought that best reflects as much of the observed cancellous bone architecture as possible, across all three bones.

In seeking the single posture that best reflected the architecture of cancellous bone, this study therefore sought the posture that engendered the greatest amount of remodelling stimulus in cancellous bone, to which the bones responded and adapted their architecture. Since bone remodelling is more responsive to repetitive, dynamic loading that produces greater peak strains, as well as higher strain rates (Lanyon 1996; Turner 1998), the movements in dynamic locomotion will presumably exert a strong influence on cancellous bone architecture in limb bones. It was assumed here that the loading regime during mid-stance in normal locomotion would be important for the determination of the observed cancellous bone architecture. This is because the magnitude of the ground reaction force (GRF) is substantial at around mid-stance in a wide range of animals, even if this is not when the absolute highest forces are

168 experienced across the stance phase (Alexander 1977; Andrada et al. 2013; Bishop et al.
169 2018; Blob & Biewener 2001; Bryant et al. 1987; Butcher & Blob 2008; Gosnell et al. 2011;
170 Hutchinson 2004; Ren et al. 2010; Rubenson et al. 2011; Sheffield & Blob 2011; Witte et al.
171 2004). Measured *in vivo* joint reaction forces are also high at around this point in the stance
172 (Bergmann et al. 2001; Bergmann et al. 1999; Page et al. 1993; Taylor & Walker 2001), as are
173 the reaction forces when calculated using biomechanical models (Giarmatzis et al. 2015;
174 Goetz et al. 2008; Lerner et al. 2015; Modenese & Phillips 2012). Hence, a general avian mid-
175 stance posture was used as an initial starting point in the modelling process; this posture was
176 not based on any one species, but rather represented a qualitative ‘average’ of avian postures
177 that have been reported in the literature.

178

179 Several simplifications or assumptions were necessary throughout the modelling and
180 simulation process. These could have been avoided or refined if only extant theropods were
181 the ultimate focus of the study. However, as the approach outlined here needed to be
182 applicable to extinct, non-avian theropods as well, any limitations inherent to non-avian
183 theropods, such as absence of data concerning soft tissues (i.e., muscles, tendons, ligaments,
184 cartilage, menisci) also had to be observed in the chicken models and simulations. Thus, when
185 there is good evidence of a feature or constraint in both the extinct and extant species, the
186 attempt has been made to be as specific as possible; however, when faced with considerable
187 uncertainty or ambiguity, a more relaxed, generalized approach was taken. Not only does this
188 tend to invoke fewer assumptions (i.e., model simplicity), but it also enables greater
189 consistency across species for the sake of comparison.

190

191 All scripts, models and data used are held in the Geosciences Collection of the Queensland
192 Museum, and are available upon request to the Collections Manager.

193

194

195 *II.3.2 Skeletal geometry acquisition*

196

197 This study focused on a single extant theropod species, the chicken (*Gallus gallus*), to explore
198 the validity of the reverse approach. Chickens are a good generalized representative of extant,
199 ground-dwelling birds, and much knowledge exists about their terrestrial locomotor
200 biomechanics (Carrano & Biewener 1999; Grossi et al. 2014; Muir et al. 1996; Rose et al.
201 2016). Furthermore, as demonstrated in Part I of this series (Bishop et al. in review-b), the

202 cancellous bone fabric of chickens is quite typical of extant, ground-dwelling birds; in
203 quantitative comparisons, chickens fall well within the region of space occupied by birds on
204 streoplots of fabric directions. Thus, given the logistical constraints of time and resources, the
205 chicken was deemed a good choice of species upon which to base the present study.

206

207 The models developed here were based on a 1.56 kg adult female chicken (white leghorn
208 breed), which was studied previously by Bishop et al. (2018). This specimen was different to
209 the two specimens that were investigated in Part I, on account of logistical reasons. The intact
210 carcass was subject to X-ray computed tomographic (CT) scanning (Siemens Somatom
211 Definition AS+, 120 kV peak tube voltage, 255 mA tube current, 1000 ms exposure time,
212 0.367 mm pixel resolution, 0.2 mm slice thickness), and the resulting scans were segmented
213 in Mimics 17.0 (Materialize NV, Belgium) via a combination of manual and automatic
214 techniques. This produced an initial surface mesh for each bone, which was smoothed in 3-
215 matic 9.0 (Materialize NV, Belgium), and then refined to produce a more isoparametric mesh
216 in ReMESH 2.1 (Attene & Falcidieno 2006; <http://remesh.sourceforge.net/>). An isoparametric
217 mesh is one in which the comprising triangles are all approximately equilateral in shape, and
218 all of similar size. This is important for the generation of a volume mesh for use in finite
219 element analyses, because the quality of the volume mesh is dependent on the quality of the
220 surface mesh from which it is derived (Wroe et al. 2007).

221

222 Refined surface meshes were produced for the femur, tibiotarsus, fibula and tarsometatarsus
223 (including metatarsal I), as well as the pelvis, sacrum and caudal vertebrae. These meshes
224 were used in the creation of the musculoskeletal model and their derived volume meshes were
225 used in the finite element model, facilitating complete node-to-node correspondence between
226 the two modelling environments. Despite the patella and tarsal sesamoid being present in the
227 chicken, they were not included in the development of the models, both for the sake of
228 simplicity and also to maintain consistency with models developed for non-avian theropods
229 (in Part III), which lack these bones. They did, however, help inform the construction of lines
230 of action of muscles that crossed the knee and ankle joints in the musculoskeletal model. In
231 light of recent advances in understanding of patellar mechanics in extant birds (Allen et al.
232 2017; Regnault et al. 2017), future studies may be able to take the patella into account,
233 although this would reduce comparability between models of extant birds and non-avian
234 theropods, the latter of which lacked patellae.

235

236 *II.3.3 Musculoskeletal model development*

237

238 A musculoskeletal model of the right hindlimb of the chicken was constructed in NMSBuilder
239 (Martelli et al. 2011; Valente et al. 2014) for use in OpenSim 3.0.1 (Delp et al. 2007), and is
240 shown in Fig. 1. It comprised 12 degrees of freedom and 38 musculotendon actuators.

241

242

243 II.3.3.1 Definition of joints

244

245 The pelvis, sacrum and caudal vertebrae were fixed relative to each other and relative to the
246 global reference frame, forming a single ‘pelvis’ segment. They were oriented such that a line
247 through the neural canal of the anterior sacral vertebrae was horizontal and the postacetabular
248 pelvis sloped ventrally, comparable to the orientation of the pelvis of ground-dwelling birds
249 during stance and gait (Andrada et al. 2013; Gatesy 1999a; Rubenson et al. 2007). Although
250 the orientation of a bird’s pelvis can vary during the stride and across different speeds of
251 locomotion (Abourachid et al. 2011; Gatesy 1999a; Rubenson et al. 2007), as a modelling
252 simplification the position or orientation of the pelvis segment, defined by six of the 12 model
253 degrees of freedom (three translational, three rotational), was fixed in all simulations.

254

255 The hip joint was modelled as a ball-and-socket joint with three degrees of freedom, namely
256 flexion-extension, adduction-abduction and long-axis rotation. The three axes of rotation were
257 initially parallel to the axes of the global coordinate system (+x is anterior, +y is medial, +z is
258 dorsal), and the order of rotation was flexion-extension, followed by adduction-abduction,
259 followed by long-axis rotation. The centre of the joint in the femur was determined by fitting
260 a sphere to the femoral head in 3-matic, and the centre of the joint in the acetabulum was
261 determined by fitting a sphere to the concave articular surface in 3-matic. The femur was then
262 positioned relative to the pelvis such that the joint centres of the femur and acetabulum were
263 coincident. The ‘neutral’ orientation of the femur with respect to the pelvis (i.e., where all hip
264 joint angles are zero) was such that the standard anatomical directions for the bone were set
265 parallel to the axes of the global coordinate system (+x is anterior, +y is medial, +z is
266 proximal). The neutral orientations for all bones distal to the femur were set by how they
267 articulated with their neighbouring proximal bone.

268

269 For simplicity, the knee joint was modelled with a single degree of freedom representing
270 flexion-extension, although it is acknowledged that in reality the avian knee is also capable of
271 significant abduction-adduction and long-axis rotation movement (Kambic et al. 2014;
272 Rubenson et al. 2007). No translation of the flexion-extension axis was permitted (i.e., it was
273 fixed relative to the femur), neither was any relative movement between the tibiotarsus and
274 fibula. The orientation and position of the flexion-extension axis relative to the femur,
275 tibiotarsus and fibula, and the orientation and position of the tibiotarsus and fibula relative to
276 the femur, was determined manually. This ensured that there was realistic alignment and
277 movement of the bones across the physiological range of flexion-extension. For example, the
278 tibiofibular crest of the lateral femoral condyle followed the space between the tibiotarsus and
279 fibula at high flexion angles; no bone interpenetration occurred at any orientation; and the
280 amount of space between the tibiotarsus and femur, and between the fibula and femur,
281 remained fairly constant across the range of motion (i.e., conservation of volume of the
282 intervening soft tissues). Additionally, the alignment of the bones was compared to their *in*
283 *situ* orientations in the left and right limbs of the intact carcass, as observed from the CT
284 scans. Asymmetry in the size and shape of the distal femoral condyles inherently meant that
285 when the femur was in the neutral orientation, the knee joint axis was angled slightly
286 mediolaterally in the coronal plane (Fig. 1E). Consequently, this also meant that in the neutral
287 orientation, the distal end of the tibiotarsus and fibula were angled in towards the body
288 midline (Fig. 1B,E).

289
290 Given the likely sizeable quantities of cartilage and menisci in the knee joint of extinct, non-
291 avian theropods (e.g., Bonnan et al. 2010; Bonnan et al. 2013), and the fact that the present
292 study needed to be wholly consistent with any modelling limitations inherent to non-avian
293 theropods, it was felt that this representation of the knee would be more reliable than a strictly
294 objective, geometry-based definition of the joint axis (Brassey et al. 2017; Hutchinson et al.
295 2005; Hutchinson et al. 2008; although these studies did include space for soft tissues). This is
296 because such a definition is only based on the available bony geometry, which may not fully
297 reflect the actual range of possible joint movement. Moreover, such a definition only uses half
298 of the contributing joint surfaces, for example using the femur whilst ignoring the tibiotarsus
299 and fibula. Differences in how the knee joint is defined would be expected to have an
300 influence on both the orientation of the knee joint axis relative to the bones and the neutral
301 orientation of the limb.

302

303 The ankle and metatarsophalangeal joints were both modelled with a single flexion-extension
304 degree of freedom, although as for the knee it is acknowledged that this is a simplification of
305 reality (Kambic et al. 2014). As for the knee joint, no translation of the flexion-extension axis
306 was permitted in either joint; the ankle axis was fixed relative to the tibiotarsus, and the
307 metatarsophalangeal axis was fixed relative to the tarsometatarsus. The flexion-extension axis
308 of the ankle joint was determined in 3-matic by fitting a cylinder to the outer margins of the
309 articular surfaces of the tibiotarsus, with the axis of the cylinder taken to be the axis of
310 movement. The flexion-extension axis of the metatarsophalangeal joint was taken to be
311 parallel to the y -axis when the limb was in a neutral orientation. Metatarsal I was fixed
312 relative to the tarsometatarsus, and digit I was not modelled. Care was taken to ensure that
313 bone interpenetration did not occur at these joints as well, over the range of joint motion
314 typically reported for avian terrestrial locomotion in the literature.

315
316 The pes (digits II–IV) was modelled as a rectangular prism, parallel to the axes of the global
317 reference frame in the neutral limb orientation, as done by Hutchinson et al. (2005, 2008).
318 This was not only for model simplicity, but also because of the uncertainty surrounding the
319 topology and degree of differentiation of pedal muscles in non-avian theropods (Carrano &
320 Hutchinson 2002; Hutchinson 2002). Hence, for consistency, these modelling limitations
321 inherent to non-avian theropods were also observed in the chicken model. The length of the
322 prism was set as the total length of digit III, and the width set as the mediolateral width of the
323 distal tarsometatarsus, across the condyles.

326 II.3.3.2 Definition of muscle and ligament anatomy

327
328 A total of 34 musculotendon actuators were used to represent muscles in the model; an
329 additional four actuators were used to represent the medial and lateral collateral ligaments of
330 the knee and ankle, thus allowing the possibility of ‘passive’ forces to be included. The
331 origins and insertions of the actuators in the model (Table 1) were derived from first-hand
332 observations made during dissections (from four individuals in total), as well as comparison
333 to the published literature (e.g., Hudson 1937; Hudson et al. 1959; Paxton et al. 2010), and
334 were placed as near as possible to the centroid of the area of attachment in each case. The 3-D
335 course of each actuator from origin to insertion was constrained to follow anatomically
336 realistic paths as observed during dissections and reported in the literature. This was achieved

337 through the placement of a number of intermediate ‘via points’ (Delp et al. 1990) along the
 338 course of the actuator. Only the minimum number of via points was used to achieve realistic
 339 paths, across the whole physiological range of motion.
 340

341 For the purposes of the current study, a number of simplifications were made regarding the
 342 representation of some of the muscles:

- 343 1. The popliteus was not included in the model, for it runs between the proximal tibiotarsus
 344 and fibula. Since relative movement between the two bones was not modelled here,
 345 inclusion of the popliteus is unnecessary.
- 346 2. The plantaris was not included in the model, because it runs from the proximal tibiotarsus
 347 to the medial aspect of the tibial cartilage surrounding the ankle; it was therefore
 348 considered unlikely to play a significant role in load bearing, and thus load transmission to
 349 the bones. For a similar reason, the secondary attachment of the fibularis longus (FL) to the
 350 tibial cartilage was also not modelled.
- 351 3. On account of its small size, similar line of action to, and common insertion with, the
 352 obturatorius medialis (OM), the obturatorius lateralis was not modelled: a single
 353 musculotendon actuator was deemed sufficient to represent the two muscles.
- 354 4. Both parts of the flexor cruris lateralis (pars pelvica, FCLP; pars accessoria, FCLA) were
 355 modelled with separate musculotendon actuators. At the point where the FCLA
 356 diverges from the FCLP, the actuators went their own separate way towards their
 357 respective insertions, but proximal to this, they took on the same line of action towards the
 358 origin on the pelvis. A similar approach was used for modelling the two heads of the
 359 tibialis cranialis (caput femorale, TCF; caput tibiale, TCT).
- 360 5. The flexor hallucis brevis and extensor hallucis longus were not modelled, because they
 361 run from the tarsometatarsus to the ungual of digit I; as there was no degree of freedom
 362 that these two muscles could influence in the model, they were unnecessary.
- 363 6. As noted above, there is considerable uncertainty surrounding the topology and degree of
 364 differentiation of many of the digital flexor and extensor muscles in non-avian theropods
 365 (Carrano & Hutchinson 2002; Hutchinson 2002). The representation of these muscles in
 366 the chicken model was consequently simplified, to maintain consistency with non-avian
 367 theropod models, but also because the pes was modelled as a single unit. The flexor
 368 digitorum longus (FDL) and flexor hallucis longus (FHL) were modelled separately, but
 369 the deep digital flexors were represented by a single musculotendon actuator (‘other digital
 370 flexors’, ODF), which grossly reflected the lines of action of the individual muscles.

Likewise for the extensors, the extensor digitorum longus (EDL) was modelled separately, but the deep digital extensors were represented by a single musculotendon actuator ('other digital extensors', ODE).

7. Owing to the simplified representation of the deep digital flexors, the main insertion of the FL was extended to the ventral aspect of the pes segment.

These modelling simplifications were not expected to have any significant influence on the loading conditions experienced by the femur, tibiotarsus or fibula.

The 38 musculotendon actuators so modelled here provided the forces necessary to counter collapse of the hindlimb during the simulation of a given test posture. Whilst the maximum force able to be produced by each muscle (or resisted by each ligament) could be estimated from empirical anatomical data (Calow & Alexander 1973; Hutchinson et al. 2015; Lamas et al. 2014), this is obviously not possible in the case of extinct, non-avian theropods. As such, for the sake of simplicity and consistency across extinct and extant species, all musculotendon actuators were assigned the same maximum force, 30.597 N, equal to two times body weight (BW). A value of 2 BW was chosen because some muscles would have undoubtedly been capable of exerting forces of that magnitude, or greater, as is the case in other animals (Anderson & Pandy 1999; Charles et al. 2016; Hutchinson et al. 2015; O'Neill et al. 2013; Smith et al. 2006). With all actuators having the capacity to exert forces of that magnitude, there was ample force for the actuation of each degree of freedom, obviating the need for reserve actuators (but see Section II.3.4.3 below).

II.3.3.3 Definition of segment mass properties

As a means to estimating the mass properties of each limb segment in the musculoskeletal model, the flesh surrounding each limb bone was segmented from the carcass CT scans in Mimics to produce a series of surface meshes. Using the computer-aided design software Rhinoceros 4.0 (McNeel, USA), each flesh mesh was then repositioned in space to align it with the underlying bone(s) in their neutral orientation. Additionally, the thigh segment flesh was retro-deformed to fit the pelvis and femur in the neutral pose, and care was taken to ensure that the net change in volume was negligible; this process was accomplished in Rhinoceros using the 'cage edit tool', a form of host mesh warping (Fernandez et al. 2004). The mass and centre of mass (COM) of each segment was then able to be calculated in

405 NMSbuilder, assuming a bulk density of 1000 kg/m^3 . The total mass of the right hindlimb in
406 the model was 0.159 kg, and therefore the mass of the remaining body was 1.401 kg; this was
407 designated as the mass of the pelvis segment in the model. Given the data reported by Allen et
408 al. (2013), the combined COM of the whole body, minus the right leg, in their geometric
409 model of a chicken was 0.076 m anterior to the hip joint. Scaling isometrically (via femur
410 length) to the chicken specimen modelled here, the COM is 0.068 m anterior to the hip; this
411 was taken to be the location of the COM of the pelvis segment in the musculoskeletal model.
412 Since the orientation of the pelvis segment was fixed in all simulations, and all simulations
413 were quasi-static, the only moment produced by the pelvis segment would be that by virtue of
414 its weight, and consequently the dorsoventral position of the pelvis segment COM would not
415 matter. As such, the dorsoventral position of the COM of the pelvis segment was assumed to
416 be level with the hip. Moments of inertia for each segment were not calculated, on account
417 that the simulations performed in this study were quasi-static only.

418

419

420 ***II.3.4 Musculoskeletal simulations***

421

422

423 II.3.4.1 Deriving a test posture

424

425 Based on the argument presented in Section II.3.1 above, a general mid-stance posture was
426 used as an initial starting posture, which was then modified in subsequent modelling attempts.
427 It was based on comparison to the kinematic data previously reported for ground-dwelling
428 birds (e.g., Abourachid & Renous 2000; Gatesy 1999a; Grossi et al. 2014; Reilly 2000;
429 Rubenson et al. 2007; Stoessel & Fischer 2012): hip extension of -30° below horizontal, hip
430 abducted 5° from midline, hip rotated 20° externally, knee flexed 93° from neutral position,
431 ankle flexed 46° from neutral position, metatarsophalangeal joint extended 16° from neutral
432 position. The modification of a given test posture to produce a new posture at the start of a
433 new modelling attempt followed hierarchical priorities: hip extension angle > knee angle >
434 ankle and metatarsophalangeal angles, with the metatarsophalangeal angle set so as to
435 position the pes segment flat on the ground (i.e., parallel to the x - y plane). Each posture was
436 also constrained by three basic criteria:
437 1. No interpenetration occurred between any bones, including those of the pelvis.

- 438 2. The centroid of the pes segment, taken to be the location of the centre of pressure (COP) of
439 the GRF (see below), was underneath the whole-body COM in the x - z plane. This
440 constraint predominantly affected the knee, ankle and metatarsophalangeal joint angles,
441 and was necessitated by the fact that the applied ground reaction force in the simulations
442 was vertically oriented (see below).
- 443 3. The mediolateral step width, defined as twice the distance from the centroid of the pes
444 segment to the body midline, was less than 15% of the posture's hip height, defined as the
445 vertical distance from the hip joint centre to the base of the pes segment. This constraint
446 predominantly affected the hip adduction-abduction and long-axis rotation angles, and was
447 based on the results of Bishop et al. (2017b).

448

449

450 II.3.4.2 External forces

451

452 In the present study, a given test posture was analysed as a quasi-static system. Dynamic
453 effects such as segment accelerations were not considered, as this requires additional
454 information and assumptions about movement, which are currently unknown for extinct, non-
455 avian theropods. (Furthermore, incorporating dynamic effects might not actually lead to a
456 marked change in model results, e.g., Anderson & Pandy 2001; Rankin et al. 2016.) Hence,
457 the only acceleration in the simulation was that due to gravity, of magnitude 1 BW. In order
458 for static equilibrium to be maintained, and also to refrain from using residual actuators of the
459 six degrees of freedom at the pelvis, this necessitated the applied GRF to be vertical and also
460 of magnitude 1 BW (Fig. 2). This in turn required one of the following three scenarios to also
461 be true:

- 462 1. The centroid of the pes segment (taken as the COP of the GRF) must be directly
463 underneath the whole-body COM, in both the x and y directions. However, the whole-body
464 COM is on (or almost on) the body midline, meaning that in such a scenario the pes is also
465 on the body midline. This is posturally inaccurate, because theropods employ non-zero step
466 widths across most speeds (Bishop et al. 2017b).
- 467 2. The centroid of the pes segment is not directly underneath the whole-body COM, instead
468 having a non-zero step width. This is more posturally accurate, but static equilibrium will
469 not be achieved unless:
- 470 (a) The COP is moved away from the centroid of the pes and retained directly under the
471 whole-body COM. This is more speculative however, because empirical data on the path

of the COP in modern bipeds shows that it remains close to the centre of the foot, not straying too far laterally or medially away from the foot midline (Schaller et al. 2011; Winter 2009).

(b) The COP is kept at the centroid of the pes, and an additional moment about the x -axis is applied to the pes. This moment is equal to the product of BW and the mediolateral distance between the COM and COP:

$$M_x = mg(\text{COP}_y - \text{COM}_y), \quad (1)$$

where m is body mass and g is the acceleration due to gravity, 9.81 m/s^2 . This is physiologically implausible however, as in reality the feet can only be capable of applying a moment about the vertical (z) axis, the so-called ‘free moment’.

Hence, regardless of which scenario is used, some amount of accuracy must be lost in order for static equilibrium to be achieved and the simulation to be solved. The present study followed scenario 2(b), in order to maintain postural accuracy (Fig. 2). An additional moment about the y -axis (M_y) was also applied to the pes to account for minute positional discrepancies between the x -coordinates of the COP and COM (i.e., when the COP was not exactly underneath the COM), but this never amounted to more than 0.011 Nm ($< 1\%$ of the product of body weight and COM height) in any of the simulations performed.

That the applied GRF in the simulations was vertical is appropriate in the context of the current study for two reasons. Firstly, in a wide range of animals including theropods the GRF is approximately vertical, in the sagittal plane, at around mid-stance (e.g., Bishop et al. 2018; Hutchinson 2004). Secondly, in a wide range of animals including theropods the GRF is largely vertical at the instance of peak net GRF (especially in more ‘running-like’ gaits), and this instance also occurs at around mid-stance (e.g., Bishop et al. 2018). However, when the GRF is at its most vertical in the sagittal plane, or when it is at maximum magnitude, it is almost always never 1 BW in magnitude; it is sometimes a little lower, but most often it is higher, and sometimes much higher, than 1 BW. This is not a problem for the current study, because principal stress trajectories do not reflect the absolute magnitudes of applied forces, only their relative magnitudes and directions, provided that deformation remains within the elastic range (Beer et al. 2012). Moreover, in having the GRF as 1 BW in magnitude, this also facilitates size-independent comparisons across postures and across species following simulation.

II.3.4.3 Simulation and calculation of internal forces and moments

Once a test posture was established and the GRF (and associated moments) was applied, the forces developed by the musculotendon actuators to resist limb collapse were calculated in OpenSim. Although 34 muscles were represented in the musculoskeletal model, not all of them would be active and exerting force at around the mid-stance of a stride. Thus, some muscles were set to be inactive in the simulations (Fig. 2, Table 2). Which muscles were set to be inactive was determined through reference to published electromyography data for birds (Gatesy 1990; Gatesy 1994; Gatesy 1999b; Jacobson & Hollyday 1982; Marsh et al. 2004; Roberts et al. 1998). Muscles that are active only in the swing phase, or active in the stance phase but only at the very beginning or end, were considered inactive. If no data existed for a particular muscle, the following line of reasoning was employed. If the muscle belonged to the same functional group as another muscle that had been investigated (e.g., femorotibialis externus, FMTE; puboischiofemoralis lateralis, PIFL), its activity was assigned based on the recorded muscle. Failing that, if the muscle was considered unlikely to be involved in limb support at around mid-stance (e.g., ankle flexors, digital extensors, OM, IFI), it was considered inactive. If its activity still remained equivocal after that, then it was included in the model and deemed to be active, to be conservative. All four collateral ligament actuators were also included, to allow for passive forces to occur. These were modelled simply as linear ‘reserve’ actuators without incorporation of slack length or elasticity.

On account of the unknowable properties of muscle and ligament in extinct theropods, intrinsic force-length-velocity relationships were ignored for all musculotendon actuators in the simulations. That is, the actuators simply modelled the application of a force along a line of action set by the actuator geometry, defined in Section II.3.3.2 above. Hence, the moment M_i a given actuator exerted about a given degree of freedom i was equal to

$$M_i = a \cdot F_{\max} \cdot r_i, \quad (2)$$

where $F_{\max}$ is the maximum force capable of being produced (set at 2 BW), r_i is the moment arm of the actuator and a is the activation of the actuator, which can vary between 0 and 1. The forces developed in each musculotendon actuator were calculated using the static optimization routine of OpenSim, which solved the statically indeterminate problem of force distribution by minimizing the sum of squared activations across the actuators (Pedotti et al. 1978; Rankin et al. 2016). It was found that in no simulation did the activation of any musculotendon actuator ever approach 1; indeed, activations rarely exceeded 0.5. Coupled

540 with the omission of intrinsic force-length-velocity relationships, this prevented nonlinearities
541 from occurring in the static optimization routine, further facilitating size-independent
542 comparisons across postures and across species post analysis. Due to the simplified
543 representation of the pes segment and the muscles that cross the metatarsophalangeal joint, a
544 reserve actuator was also applied to the metatarsophalangeal joint in the static optimization,
545 with a maximum output set at 1,000 Nm (Fig. 2). This high value provided ample control of
546 the metatarsophalangeal joint, and helped reduce excessively high and unrealistic recruitment
547 of the few modelled musculotendon actuators that crossed the joint (FDL, ODF and FL).
548 Across the range of postures tested, the reserve actuator never provided a moment greater than
549 0.41 Nm (< 12% of the product of body weight and COM height). In addition to the actual
550 calculated forces, the line of action of all musculotendon actuators was also extracted from the
551 posture, using the MuscleForceDirection plugin for OpenSim (van Arkel et al. 2013).
552 Following the calculation of muscle and ligament forces, joint forces and moments were
553 extracted using the JointReaction tool in OpenSim (Steele et al. 2012). All forces were
554 extracted and expressed in the global coordinate system.

555
556

557 *II.3.5 Finite element simulations*

558

559 Two finite element simulations were performed for each test posture in ANSYS 17.0 (Ansys,
560 Inc., USA), one of the femur and one of the tibiotarsus + fibula. The loads applied in these
561 simulations were exactly the same as those calculated in the musculoskeletal simulations.
562 Furthermore, the nodes on each bone to which muscle or ligament forces were applied in the
563 finite element simulations were the exact same nodes to which the musculotendon actuators
564 attached in the musculoskeletal simulations. This ensures complete correspondence between
565 the two sets of simulations.

566
567

568 II.3.5.1 Geometry

569

570 The relative positions and orientations of each bone in the musculoskeletal simulations were
571 maintained exactly in the finite element simulations. In addition to the modelling the focal
572 bone (or bones) of interest, two extrinsic structures were created to represent the adjacent
573 articulating bones, to more realistically model the distribution of joint forces (Fig. 3A,B). For

574 the femur simulation, an acetabulum structure (derived from the pelvis surface mesh) and
575 proximal crus structure (derived from the tibiotarsus and fibula surface meshes) were created.
576 For the tibiotarsus + fibula simulation, a distal femur structure (derived from the femur
577 surface mesh) and proximal tarsometatarsus structure (derived from the tarsometatarsus
578 surface mesh) were created. These structures were generated simply by trimming their parent
579 surface meshes down to the immediate area involved in the joint articulation, using a
580 combination of Rhinoceros and 3-matic. Additionally, in the proximal crus structure, the
581 geometry was modified distally, well away from the articular areas, to fuse the tibiotarsus and
582 fibula together, limiting movement between the two during the simulations.

583

584 In order to model the distribution of joint forces more realistically and evenly across opposing
585 joint surfaces, the intervening soft tissues that occur between a focal bone and its
586 neighbouring bone in life were modelled as a single volume (Fig. 3). A single, homogenous
587 entity was chosen to represent these joint soft tissues (e.g., cartilage, menisci) in the current
588 study, as the anatomy of such tissues is unknown for extinct, non-avian theropods. Moreover,
589 this modelling simplification makes the analyses more tractable for the current study, instead
590 of involving more complex, non-linear behaviours and contact formulations. The volume of
591 soft tissues for each of the hip, knee and ankle joints was produced by connecting up the
592 closest parts of the articular surfaces of the bones involved, using the 'loft' tool in Rhinoceros
593 to create an initial mesh, which was then smoothed and remeshed in 3-matic. In addition to
594 more realistically modelling joint load distribution, the approach used here also allowed for
595 boundary conditions (restraints) to be moved away from the bone (or bones) of interest,
596 reducing the incidence of artifacts in the model results (Saint-Venant's principle; Dumont et
597 al. 2005; Gilbert et al. 2016; McHenry et al. 2007). It is conceptually similar to the approach
598 employed by Phillips and co-workers in their finite element modelling of human limb bones
599 (Geraldes et al. 2016; Geraldes & Phillips 2014; Phillips et al. 2015), although the actual
600 formulations involved are markedly different.

601

602 Volume meshes for finite element analysis were generated from the surface meshes of each
603 bone and soft tissue entity in 3-matic. All volume meshes were composed exclusively of low-
604 order (4-node) tetrahedral elements. Meshes composed of high-order (10-node) elements may
605 produce more accurate results than those composed of low-order elements, but this
606 discrepancy decreases with a greater number of elements used (Bright & Rayfield 2011;
607 Dumont et al. 2005). Furthermore, considering the relatively simple geometry of the

Deleted: ;

609 structures being modelled here, any such discrepancy was considered to be minimal. In
 610 producing the volume meshes, the maximum tetrahedral edge length was constrained, so as to
 611 avoid the generation of tetrahedral elements of undesirably high aspect ratios, which can lead
 612 to inaccurate results. The maximum edge length for each entity was defined as being no more
 613 than double the mean edge length of the triangles in the parent surface mesh. The mean edge
 614 length of the surface mesh triangles was calculated as

$$L = \sqrt{\frac{4A}{\sqrt{3}n}}, \quad (3)$$

616 where A is the total area of the surface mesh and n is the number of comprising triangles in
 617 the surface mesh. This assumes that the average triangle in the surface mesh is equilateral in
 618 shape¹. The total number of elements used across the various postures tested ranged from
 619 803,508 to 822,322 in the femur simulation and from 986,280 to 1,005,550 in the tibiotarsus +
 620 fibula simulation. Although a convergence analysis was not conducted, it was considered that
 621 this was a sufficient number of elements for the current study, given the relatively simple
 622 geometry of the modelled bones (Bright & Rayfield 2011; Gilbert et al. 2016).

Comment [ES1]: Just to avoid redundancy with earlier in the paragraph.

Deleted: structures being modelled

624 In the finite element simulations, the interfaces of adjacent contacting entities (e.g., hip soft
 625 tissues and femur) were fixed relative to each other using a bonded contact formulation
 626 ANSYS, such that they did not move or separate relative to each other. This facilitates
 627 seamless load transmission from one entity to another. Bonded contact was also used to model
 628 the connection between the fibula and fibular crest of the tibiotarsus, even though their
 629 respective interfaces were not in actual direct contact.

632 II.3.5.2 Material properties

634 All entities were modelled as solid, isotropic, linearly elastic materials. Three different
 635 materials were defined for the entities being modelled (Table 3): bone, cartilage (for the hip
 636 and ankle soft tissue entities) and a composite of the material properties of cartilage and
 637 menisci (for the knee soft tissue entity). Extinct, non-avian theropods are inferred to have had
 638 menisci in their knee joints, based on their widespread occurrence in extant tetrapods,

¹ In an equilateral triangle of edge length L , its area is given by $\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} \cdot L^2$; setting this equal to average triangle area $\frac{A}{n}$ and solving for L gives equation 3.

640 including birds and crocodilians (Chadwick et al. 2014; Haines 1942; Wink et al. 1989;
641 Zinoviev 2010), but the actual morphology of these menisci remains speculative. This is one
642 of the reasons for modelling all soft tissues in the knee joint as a single, homogenous entity, in
643 addition to being a computational simplification. The material properties assigned for bone
644 were conservatively estimated from the most common values reported for cortical bone in the
645 literature (e.g., Currey 2002, and references cited therein; Erickson et al. 2002; Reed & Brown
646 2001). The material properties for cartilage and menisci were also conservative estimates,
647 derived from the literature (e.g., Currey 2002, and references cited therein; Kazemi et al.
648 2013; Stops et al. 2012).

649
650 In previous finite element studies, cartilage and menisci have been represented with a variety
651 of material behaviours, including isotropic and transversely isotropic linear elasticity,
652 hyperelasticity, viscoelasticity and poroelasticity (Kazemi et al. 2013; Stops et al. 2012). The
653 use of isotropic, linearly elastic material behaviour in the present study is justified on the
654 following grounds. Firstly, as the analyses of the present study were quasi-static, the time
655 (strain rate) dependency of nonlinear material properties can be ignored with minimal error
656 (Carey et al. 2014). Secondly, the precise kind of material behaviour, or material properties, is
657 virtually unknown for any archosaur (extinct or extant). Thirdly, assuming an isotropic,
658 linearly elastic material behaviour kept the model simple and minimally speculative, and also
659 reduced the computational cost of solving the finite element models.

660
661 A solid, isotropic, linearly elastic continuum representation was also necessitated for the bone
662 entities in the simulations. Not only is this because material properties (and any anisotropy
663 thereof) are unable to be determined for extinct theropods, but moreover anything other than
664 this representation could compromise the objectives of the current study. Specifically, the
665 introduction of any sort of structural or material heterogeneity, discontinuity or directionality
666 will influence the resulting principal stress trajectories. Since a key objective of this study was
667 to examine the **spatial variation** of the calculated principal stress trajectories in relation to
668 cancellous bone architecture, directionality needed to be a model output only, not a model
669 input.

670
671
672
673

674 II.3.5.3 Loads and restraints

675

676 For each simulation, four sets of loads were applied: muscle and ligament forces, joint forces,
677 joint moments and segment weight. As noted above, muscle and ligament forces were applied
678 to the same nodes as were involved in the musculoskeletal simulations. Additionally, a given
679 muscle or ligament force was evenly spread out over a number of nodes (generally around
680 20), centred about the focal node, in order to reduce the incidence of artifacts in the model
681 results.

682

683 Joint forces were applied to a focal bone via its neighbouring bones. Here, one neighbouring
684 bone was restrained in translation in all three axes, whilst the other was used to apply a joint
685 force; the joint force at the restrained end of the bone was provided by the reaction at the
686 restraints, transmitted back through the bone of interest. In both the femur and tibiotarsus +
687 fibula simulations, the knee joint force was applied directly via the appropriate neighbouring
688 bone (proximal tibiotarsus + fibula and distal femur, respectively), with the other
689 neighbouring bone being restrained (acetabulum and proximal tarsometatarsus, respectively).
690 In ANSYS, this approach was implemented by using a 'remote force' (Fig. 3D). This is where
691 a force is applied to a specific entity, but via a remote point in space that is topologically
692 attached ('scoped') to the entity; when a force is applied to the remote point, the target entity
693 gets pulled or pushed along with it, along the line of action of the applied force. In ANSYS,
694 this is accomplished by a set of constraint equations that relate the degrees of freedom of an
695 entity's nodes to the remote point; one constraint equation exists for each node in the entity
696 experiencing the remote force. The location of the remote point in both the femur and
697 tibiotarsus + fibula simulations was specified as the location of the knee joint centre in the
698 musculoskeletal model. This meant that the joint force was applied properly, without
699 introducing any moments into the system, because the net force vector passed through the
700 correct location in space, again ensuring complete correspondence between the finite element
701 and musculoskeletal simulations.

702

703 The knee joint moment was applied directly to the appropriate bone or bones, by applying it
704 to the surface or surfaces in contact with the knee soft tissues; for example, by applying it to
705 the distal femur in the femur simulation. This direct application was chosen, as opposed to the
706 moment being applied via a neighbouring bone, because the greater compliance of the knee
707 soft tissues would not allow full transmission of the moment to the bone or bones of interest.

No hip joint moment was involved, since the hip joint was modelled as a ball-and-socket joint, and thus unable to resist moments. Whilst an ankle joint moment was calculated in the musculoskeletal simulations, it was not able to be applied in the tibia + fibula finite element simulations. This is because of the close proximity of the ankle end of the tibia to the restraint, and thus the restraint would greatly alter the transmission of any applied moment; this modelling deficiency will be returned to in the Discussion (Section II.5.1).

The weight of the appropriate segment (e.g., thigh segment weight in the femur simulation) was applied via a remote point that was scoped to the entire bone of interest. The location of the remote point was set as the COM of the limb segment.

II.3.5.4 Model solution

All finite element simulations were solved as linear static systems in the Static Structural module of ANSYS. Additionally, all simulations used inertia relief, which is a technique that is used to counter unbalanced forces, so as to produce no net acceleration of the model (Liao 2011). This is achieved through the application of an inertial force and moment to the model's centre. Although the musculoskeletal simulations described above were analysed under the assumption of static equilibrium, this does not exactly occur in finite element simulations due to non-rigid behaviour of the various entities. In particular, the soft tissue structures are highly compliant relative to the bone structures, and deformation of these soft tissue structures during simulation will lead to an imbalance of the applied forces. This has the potential to produce a positive-feedback loop where force imbalance leads to model acceleration, which leads to further deformation, which in turn leads to greater force imbalance, and so on. Ultimately, very large and unrealistic deformations occur, and calculated model results are unreliable. Thus, inertia relief was used to counter the initially very small imbalance in forces that results upon deformation of the model; for instance, in the femur simulation of the solution posture, the applied inertial force was

$$(F_x, F_y, F_z) = (7.1725 \times 10^{-8}, 6.7934 \times 10^{-8}, -1.1303 \times 10^{-6}) \text{ N},$$

and the applied inertial moment was

$$(M_x, M_y, M_z) = (1.7224 \times 10^{-6}, 3.3496 \times 10^{-6}, -5.3604 \times 10^{-7}) \text{ Nm}.$$

The very small magnitude of these adjustments justifies the use of this technique in the current study.

742 *II.3.6 Results analysis*

743

744 Upon performing the finite element simulations for a given test posture, the calculated stress
745 tensor at each node in each bone entity was exported from ANSYS. A custom script in
746 MATLAB 8.0 (MathWorks, USA) was then used to perform an eigenanalysis of the stress
747 tensor data, producing the vector orientations of the principal stresses. Their 3-D trajectories
748 were then visualized using this MATLAB script, as well as Rhinoceros. These trajectories,
749 particularly of the maximum principal stress (σ_1 , usually signifying tension) and minimum
750 principal stress (σ_3 , signifying compression) were visually (qualitatively) compared to the
751 architectural patterns of cancellous bone fabric reported for birds in Part I (Bishop et al. in
752 review-b). As a further aid to assessing the degree of correspondence between principal
753 stresses and cancellous bone fabric, the direction of σ_3 in the femoral head and medial femoral
754 condyle was quantitatively compared to the mean directions of the primary fabric direction
755 (u_1) for those parts of the femur in birds, also as reported in Part I. As σ_3 is compressive, it
756 stands to reason that this will show the greatest correspondence with the architecture in the
757 femoral head and medial femoral condyle, both of which would be expected to be exposed
758 predominantly to compressive joint loading. The direction of σ_3 in the femoral head was taken
759 to be the mean direction of vectors in the region of a sphere of radius one-half of that fit to the
760 entire femoral head (performed in 3-matic), and positioned just under the surface of the bone,
761 underneath where the hip force was received in the finite element simulations. The direction
762 of σ_3 in the medial femoral condyle was taken to be the mean direction of vectors in the
763 region of a sphere of radius one-third of that fit to the condyle (performed in 3-matic), and
764 positioned in the anatomical centre of the condyle. Higher priority was given towards
765 improving correspondence in the femoral head over the medial condyle, since hip angles are
766 presumed to be more important for determining overall posture in bipeds; as the most
767 proximal joint (and with three degrees of freedom), the hip will have the greatest influence on
768 overall limb positioning (cf. Hutchinson & Gatesy 2000), as well as the disposition of more
769 distal joints in the limb. Additionally, strict comparison between the mean directions of σ_3 and
770 u_1 in the medial femoral condyle ignores the ‘fanning’ of u_1 that occurs in this region of the
771 bone (see Part I), and hence is less legitimate.

772

773 Comparisons were made from the chicken finite element stress results to the architectural
774 patterns observed in ground-dwelling birds as a whole for two main reasons:

1. It has been shown that birds as a whole appear to demonstrate a largely consistent pattern of cancellous bone architecture in the femur, tibiotarsus and fibula (Part I). That is, the architectural patterns thus far observed can be described by a single 'archetype', about which there was specimen-specific (perhaps species-specific, pending greater sampling) variation.
2. Cancellous bone architecture could not be extensively quantified in smaller birds such as chickens, owing to continuum level restrictions (relatively few trabeculae; see Part I). Nevertheless, where cancellous bone fabric was quantifiable in chickens, the results were close to the mean 'archetypal' value for birds overall (Part I).
- As this series of studies is exploratory with a small sample size for each examined avian species (Part I), it is prudent (and conservative) to make comparisons to ground-dwelling birds as a whole, until such a time that significant interspecific differences can be demonstrated, in terms of both locomotor behaviour and cancellous bone architecture.

II.3.7 Caveats

Two points are worth noting about the overarching philosophy of the approach of the current study. Firstly, this study sought to determine a single *characteristic posture*, whose principal stress trajectories showed the greatest correspondence to observed cancellous bone architecture in the femur, tibiotarsus and fibula. Cancellous bone, however, experiences many different loading regimes throughout the course of normal activity, each of which engenders a remodelling stimulus, and to which cancellous bone responds and adapts its architecture (Kivell 2016). This has been demonstrated in many previous computational theoretical studies, whereby no one loading regime will lead to replication of all of the observed architectural features in a bone; only when multiple loading regimes are considered can all of a bone's cancellous architecture be explained (Beaupré et al. 1990; Bona et al. 2006; Boyle & Kim 2011; Carter & Beaupré 2001; Carter et al. 1989; Coelho et al. 2009; Jacobs et al. 1997; Jang & Kim 2008; Jang & Kim 2010a; Jang & Kim 2010b; Phillips et al. 2015; Sverdlova 2011; Tsubota et al. 2002; Tsubota et al. 2009; Turner et al. 1997). Therefore, in seeking a single posture that best reflects the observed cancellous bone architecture, the current study in fact searched for a 'characteristic posture', which is a time- and load-averaged posture across all loading regimes. This characteristic posture may or may not be an actual posture used at a particular instance in a particular behaviour. As argued above, however, the posture at around

Comment [ES2]: Best to introduce this here. Consider "averaged".

Deleted: the

Comment [ES3]:

Deleted: -

Deleted: of which

Deleted: degree of

813 the mid-stance of a stride will probably be important, and the characteristic posture so derived
814 may therefore bear considerable resemblance to it.

815

816 Secondly, many assumptions and modelling simplifications were necessarily made in this
817 study. Many of these were necessitated by the lack of empirical data for extinct, non-avian
818 theropods, such as soft tissue anatomy or material properties, which in all likelihood will
819 never be obtained. Other simplifications pertained to making the system more tractable for
820 analysis and interpretation, such as representing the knee and ankle joints with a single degree
821 of freedom each, when it is known that these joints are capable of more complex motions
822 during locomotion in birds (Kambic et al. 2014; Rubenson et al. 2007). All of the assumptions
823 and simplifications involved in the present study could in principle be investigated via
824 sensitivity analysis, but no such analysis was performed here, save for effects of maximal
825 muscle force (see next section below). Instead, all assumptions were kept at their 'best guess'
826 manifestation throughout the study. By keeping every aspect of every stage of the modelling
827 process constant, and only varying posture, this allowed for the direct comparison of
828 simulation results to postural differences: differences in model results were entirely due to
829 differences in limb posture. When these assumptions are also held constant in a comparative
830 context across species (Bright 2014), this also allows for a more direct assessment of the
831 effects of posture on limb bone loading and muscular recruitment (Part III).

832

833

834 *II.3.8 Sensitivity to muscle forces*

835

836 In the musculoskeletal simulations, all musculotendon actuators were assigned the same
837 maximum force (2 BW) for the sake of simplicity and also to facilitate consistency across
838 extinct and extant theropod species. In reality, the varying sizes and architectures of the
839 different muscles mean that they can have greatly different maximal force capabilities, which
840 may have an important effect on the end results. To examine how sensitive the results were to
841 using more realistic muscle force capabilities, the solution posture identified above was re-
842 analysed with muscle-specific maximum force capacities stipulated. The original chicken
843 carcass used to build the model was not able to be dissected for measurement of muscle
844 architecture, and so the data collected by Paxton et al. (2010) for an adult (2.08 kg) junglefowl
845 were used instead, scaled to the chicken model in proportion to mass. Maximum muscle force
846 for each of the active muscles was then calculated following standard formulae, assuming a

Deleted: one aspect

Comment [ES4]: You can be specific here (and come up with better wording than the suggestion).

848 constant isometric stress of $3 \times 10^5 \text{ N/m}^2$ (Medler 2002); values are reported in Table 4. The
849 maximum force for the four collateral ligaments modelled was left unaltered from the original
850 values.

851

852 The results for both the musculoskeletal and finite element simulations of this sensitivity test
853 are reported below alongside those for the main simulations.

854

855

856 **II.4 Results**

857

858 A total of eight different postures were tested before no closer correspondence between
859 principal stress trajectories and cancellous bone architectural patterns was achieved. Going
860 from the worst to best postures tested, the angular deviation between the minimum principal
861 stress (σ_3) and the primary fabric orientation (u_1) in the femoral head decreased from 23.3° to
862 7.9° , a 66% reduction; likewise, the angular deviation between σ_3 and u_1 in the medial
863 femoral condyle decreased from 29.2° to 17.3° , a 41% reduction. The final solution posture is
864 illustrated in the centre of Fig. 4. The ‘degree of crouch’ (Bishop et al. 2018) of this posture is
865 0.160; the degree of crouch in a standing posture, as empirically predicted from the total leg
866 length of the chicken individual modelled (275 mm), would be 0.166 (Bishop et al. 2018). It
867 is worth remembering that despite this close similarity, the solution posture should not be
868 equated literally with any single real posture used (be it of standing, slow walking, fast
869 running, etc.), for it is a characteristic weighted average of all postures used.

870

871

872 ***II.4.1 Principal stress trajectories***

873

874 In the solution posture, the principal stress trajectories in the femur, in particular those of σ_3
875 (compressive), showed a high degree of correspondence with the observed cancellous bone
876 architectural directions, in the femoral head, under the facies antitrochanterica, in the
877 trochanteric crest and in both femoral condyles (Fig. 5A–D). The mean direction of σ_3 in the
878 femoral head showed strong correspondence to the mean direction of u_1 measured for birds
879 (Fig. 5Q). Fair correspondence between σ_3 and u_1 also occurred in the medial femoral
880 condyle, although the direction of σ_3 was notably more posteriorly inclined than the mean

direction of $\mathbf{u}_1$ across all birds (Fig. 5R); a more posteriorly inclined orientation of σ_3 occurred in all postures tested.

Much correspondence between principal stress trajectories and cancellous bone architecture was also observed in the tibiotarsus, particularly in the proximal end (Fig. 6). In the anterior cnemial crest, the trajectory of the maximum principal stress (σ_1 , tensile) largely paralleled the margins of the crest, as observed for cancellous bone fabric. In much of the lateral cnemial crest, the observed cancellous bone fabric reported for birds was reflected by the trajectory of σ_3 . Under the articular facies, the trajectory of σ_3 corresponded closely with the observed architectural patterns there, showing a posterior inclination largely parallel to the sagittal plane. Additionally, in the sagittal plane through the middle of the proximal end, σ_1 and σ_3 formed a double-arcuate pattern, closely resembling a similar pattern in $\mathbf{u}_1$ observed in some of the large bird individuals studied in Part I (Fig. 6S, T). In contrast to the proximal tibiotarsus, only minimal correspondence between principal stress trajectories and cancellous bone architecture could be attained in the distal tibiotarsus, in any posture tested. In the solution posture, there was some correspondence between σ_3 and observed architecture in the immediate vicinity of the articular condyles, where σ_3 was largely parallel to the sagittal plane (Fig. 6U, V), but this was not observed throughout the entire distal end of the bone, unlike the architecture.

The principal stress trajectories in the fibular head showed strong correspondence to the gentle inclination observed in the cancellous bone architecture (Fig. 7). Medially, σ_1 showed this pattern, whereas laterally, it was σ_3 that showed this pattern.

II.4.2 Mid-shaft stresses

In the solution posture, the most axis-parallel orientation of both σ_1 and σ_3 at the femoral mid-shaft was at a high angle to the long-axis of the bone, by at least 30° , indicating considerable torsion (Fig. 8A). Moreover, the sense of torsion as indicated by the stress trajectories was positive; when the right femur is viewed proximally, the proximal end was rotated counterclockwise relative to the distal end (Fig. 8B). The neutral axis of bending was oriented 36° from the mediolateral axis, indicating that bending of the femur was predominantly in an anteroposterior direction.

915

916 In the tibial mid-shaft, the most axis-parallel orientation of σ_1 and σ_3 was almost parallel to
917 the long-axis of the bone, indicating only a minimal torsion (Fig. 8C). The sense of torsion
918 (what very little there is) as indicated by the stress trajectories was also positive. The neutral
919 axis of bending was oriented 19° from the mediolateral axis, indicating that bending of the
920 tibiotarsus was also in a predominantly anteroposterior direction.

921

922

923 ***II.4.3 Muscle and ligament activations***

924

925 In the solution posture, the activations of the four collateral ligament actuators were very low
926 (0.012 or less), indicating that the vast majority of limb stabilization, excluding the
927 metatarsophalangeal joint, was conferred by muscle actuators. However, as the knee and
928 ankle were represented as hinge joints in this study, joint stabilization would also have been
929 achieved in part through resistance offered by these single degree-of-freedom joints to off-
930 axis moments and forces. (Indeed, this resistance could well be responsible for the minimal
931 recruitment of ligaments in the first place.) This resistance was nevertheless transmitted to the
932 bones as joint moments and forces (calculated in the musculoskeletal simulations). Therefore,
933 as far as the bones are concerned, all experienced loads are accounted for and incorporated
934 into the finite element simulations. However, the calculated forces in the collateral ligaments
935 may be appreciably less than what they would be *in vivo*.

936

937 The activations of all muscle actuators are presented in Fig. 8D. Most muscles were recruited
938 with activations above 0.1 (i.e., clearly active); no muscle was recruited beyond half of its
939 maximal capacity. The iliotrochanterici medius (ITM) et caudalis (ITC), gastrocnemius
940 medialis (GM) and fibularis longus (FL) were the most recruited muscles, each with an
941 activation above 0.4. The iliofibularis (ILFB), ischiofemoralis (ISF) and caudofemorales
942 partes caudalis (CFC) et pelvica (CFP) were the least recruited muscles, all with negligible
943 activations.

944

945

946

947

948

Comment [ES5]: Why?

949 ***II.4.4 Muscle force sensitivity test***

950

951 The activations of muscle actuators in the sensitivity test were sometimes markedly different
952 from those of the original simulation (Fig. 8D). However, there was also agreement between
953 the two simulations, where several key hindlimb muscles were predicted to be important in
954 both cases (e.g., ITC, FMTI, GM, GL and FL). Despite the differences in muscle maximum
955 force capabilities between the original and sensitivity test simulations (Table 4), as well as the
956 differences in calculated muscle activations, the stress results differed little from those of the
957 original simulation. The qualitative patterns of stress trajectories were highly similar to those
958 of the original simulation in most regions of the femur, tibiotarsus and fibula. The only region
959 where there was a marked difference was in part of the cranial cnemial crest, in terms of σ_1
960 (Fig. 6E, F, cf. Fig. 6A, C). In terms of quantitative results, there was again little deviation in
961 the mean direction of σ_3 from that calculated for the original simulation (Fig. 5Q, R, orange
962 dots). The difference in mean σ_3 directions between the original and sensitivity test
963 simulations was very small in both the femoral head (1.3°) and medial femoral condyle (5.6°).
964 These results suggest that the approach of assigning a single constant value of 2BW for
965 muscle maximum force capacity does not introduce a significant degree of error, at least as far
966 as the objectives of the present study are concerned.

967

968

969 **II.5 Discussion**

970

971 The aim of this study was to verify the ‘reverse’ application of the trajectorial theory, to go
972 from observed cancellous bone architectural patterns to bone loading regimes and limb
973 postures, as applied to theropod locomotor biomechanics. This was achieved through the
974 development of a novel approach that integrated musculoskeletal and finite element
975 simulations of a modern theropod, the chicken. By focusing on a modern theropod here, the
976 validity of the reverse approach was able to be examined for each major bone in the hindlimb.

977

978

979 ***II.5.1 Successes and pitfalls***

980

981 Despite the many modelling simplifications made in the current study, and that only a single
982 static posture was modelled for any one test, much of the observed cancellous bone

983 architectural patterns in the avian hindlimb was able to be replicated in the principal stress
984 trajectories. This was particularly true of the femur. The 'solution posture' that produced the
985 greatest correspondence between principal stresses and cancellous bone architecture is
986 qualitatively comparable to the hindlimb posture of medium-sized birds such as chickens and
987 guineafowl (Carrano & Biewener 1999; Gatesy 1999a; Grossi et al. 2014), and less
988 comparable to the postures of larger birds with more extended joints (Abourachid & Renous
989 2000; Rubenson et al. 2007) or smaller birds with more flexed joints (Reilly 2000; Stoessel &
990 Fischer 2012). Furthermore, the degree of crouch in the chicken model was almost identical to
991 what would be empirically predicted based on limb bone lengths, for a quiet standing posture
992 (Bishop et al. 2018). Other aspects of the solution posture also showed correspondence with
993 empirical data for avian terrestrial locomotion. The femur was predicted to be loaded in
994 considerable torsion, with a positive sense, as well as bending that was predominantly
995 anteroposterior. This is consistent with the loading regimes recorded by *in vivo* strain gauge
996 studies of chickens and emus (Carrano 1998; Carrano & Biewener 1999; Main & Biewener
997 2007). Additionally, the two most strongly recruited muscles in the musculoskeletal
998 simulations, the gastrocnemius medialis and fibularis longus, are also the two largest muscles
999 in the distal hindlimb of birds (Lamas et al. 2014; Paxton et al. 2010; Smith et al. 2006), and
1000 would therefore be expected to be capable of producing large amounts of force, all other
1001 factors being equal.

1002

1003 There were also a few aspects in which the solution posture did not accord well with
1004 empirical observations. Most pertinently, the principal stress trajectories in the distal
1005 tibiotarsus did not show much correspondence with the cancellous bone architecture observed
1006 in this region of the bone of birds (Part I). This may suggest that the manner in which that part
1007 of the bone was modelled in the current study was inadequate, that is, too non-physiological.
1008 For instance, the ankle joint moment calculated in the musculoskeletal simulations was not
1009 possible to apply in the finite element simulations in their current formulation. The
1010 discrepancy may also be due to the cancellous bone architecture of the distal tibiotarsus
1011 reflecting many different loading regimes, any single one of which cannot capture the
1012 architecture. Some of those loading regimes may be very different to that occurring around
1013 the mid-stance of locomotion, such as those associated with the swing phase of locomotion, or
1014 even standing and sitting. Alternatively, the poor correspondence may indicate that the
1015 trajectorial theory may not actually hold true here for some reason, potentially related to the
1016 ontogenetic fusion of the proximal tarsals and distal tibia of birds (see Lovejoy 2004; Lovejoy

Deleted: of a

Deleted: nature

Deleted: was unable to be applied

et al. 2002). A second aspect in which the solution posture did not concur with empirical observations concerned the stresses at the tibial mid-shaft. Here, the bone was predicted to be loaded in only minimal torsion, the sense of which was positive; this does not accord with *in vivo* strain gauge studies, which have shown that the avian tibiotarsus experiences a large amount of torsional loading during locomotion, which furthermore is of a negative sense (Biewener et al. 1986; Main & Biewener 2007; Verner et al. 2016). It is possible that if additional degrees of freedom were assigned to the model (e.g., long-axis rotation in the knee; Kambic et al. 2014), more accurate results may have been achieved here.

A final incongruence between the solution posture and empirical observations was the negligible recruitment of some muscles in the static optimization routine of the musculoskeletal simulations. There were four such muscles (iliofibularis, ischiofemoralis and caudofemorales partes caudalis et pelvica), yet electromyography data indicates that at least three of these (iliofibularis, ischiofemoralis and caudofemoralis pars caudalis) are active during a significant part of the stance phase (Gatesy 1990; Gatesy 1999b; Jacobson & Hollyday 1982; Marsh et al. 2004). The negligible recruitment of the ischiofemoralis and caudofemorales is consonant with the generally small size of these muscles in birds, but this does not hold for the iliofibularis, which is a quite large (Hudson et al. 1959; Lamas et al. 2014; McGowan 1979; Patak & Baldwin 1998; Paxton et al. 2010; Smith et al. 2006). It is probable that all four muscles were minimally recruited in the static optimization routine on account of (i) all muscle actuators were assigned the same maximum capable force output, and (ii) these four particular muscles have smaller moment arms of hip extension compared to other muscles, such as the flexores crures medialis, lateralis pars pelvica et lateralis pars accessoria (Fig. 8E). That is, the static optimization preferentially recruited muscles with larger moment arms, such that lower forces, and therefore activations, were required to provide the necessary stabilizing joint moments.

The last two aspects of discrepancy between the solution posture and empirical data may also in part reflect the fact that the musculoskeletal models were analysed as quasi-static systems. Dynamic aspects of locomotion, such as inertial forces or relative movement between bones, may lead to increased levels of torsion in the tibiotarsus. The same dynamic effects can also influence the net joint moments required to be stabilized by muscle forces; for instance, active retraction of the hip and flexion of the knee may lead to greater activation of the iliofibularis. Therefore, the activations calculated in the current study are probably most informative for

1054 muscles that predominantly confer postural stability, rather than active limb movement (i.e.,
1055 those that act as brakes or motors; Rankin et al. 2016).

1056

1057

1058 ***II.5.2 Limitations and future work***

Comment [ES6]: A good addition.

1059

1060 Numerous assumptions and modelling simplifications were made in the course of this study,
1061 and these have already been discussed in detail above. However, this study had other
1062 attendant limitations, which are noted here and which provide the basis for future work.
1063 Owing to the constraints of time and resources, it was only feasible to model a single avian
1064 species to test the validity of the reverse application of the trajectorial theory. In the context of
1065 the present study's objectives, this was deemed sufficient; yet, the modelling of additional
1066 species in the future (across the size spectrum of extant birds) could help to further clarify the
1067 strengths and weaknesses of the approach. A further limitation of this study concerns the use
1068 of quantitative comparisons of principal stresses and cancellous bone fabric, which was
1069 restricted to two regions of the femur. This was in part due to time constraints, but it also
1070 stemmed from similarly restricted quantification of cancellous bone fabric in Part I of this
1071 series. It ~~will be~~ **informative** in future work to expand the number of regions of the femur
1072 subjected to quantitative comparisons, and expand this to include the tibiotarsus and fibula as
1073 well. Such an expanded quantification will likely have to negotiate region-specific obstacles
1074 to consistent calculation, such as the anteroposterior 'fanning' of fabric vectors in the distal
1075 femoral condyles (Part I). Not only will expanded quantification improve the rigour of
1076 analyses and comparisons, but it may also lend itself to the implementation of a more formal
1077 (and automated) optimization approach to deriving a solution posture for a given species.
1078 Furthermore, the use of a more automated approach may allow additional degrees of freedom
1079 to be tractably incorporated into the models (e.g., in the knee; see above).

Comment [ES7]: "Would be preferable" implies you'd consider restricting future comparisons to the same two regions of the femur.

Deleted: would be preferable

1080

1081

1082 ***II.5.3 Applicability of the reverse trajectorial approach to extinct species***

1083

1084 Notwithstanding the aforementioned discrepancies **and limitations**, the concept of applying
1085 the trajectorial theory in reverse is, overall, well-supported by the results of the present study.
1086 The reverse approach therefore has the potential to provide insight into the loading regimes

Comment [ES8]: Well-said.

1088 experienced by extinct, non-avian theropods during locomotion, and more broadly the
1089 postures used during locomotion.
1090
1091 Previous studies have sought to use the architecture of cancellous bone to derive loading
1092 conditions experienced *in vivo*, although this has largely been confined to theoretical studies
1093 of modern animals. Some of these studies have focused on utilizing the spatial distribution of
1094 the bulk density of cancellous bone, to which remodelling algorithms (Bona et al. 2006;
1095 Fischer et al. 1995) or artificial neural networks (Campoli et al. 2012; Zadpoor et al. 2013) are
1096 applied to retrieve one or more loading regimes. Presently, these studies have only been
1097 implemented in two dimensions, and so their efficacy in analysing complex, 3-D geometries
1098 or loading regimes (such as torsion) remains to be determined. More importantly for the study
1099 of extinct species, however, the process of fossilization will greatly hamper any attempt
1100 founded upon the bulk density of cancellous bone. Geological chemical alteration (diagenesis)
1101 has the potential to greatly alter the physical density of a fossil bone, and moreover this
1102 alteration may not be uniform across a bone, such that it may be impossible to reconstruct the
1103 original patterns of bulk density in the living bone.
1104
1105 Diagenesis does not, however, normally alter the actual *structure* of bone; indeed, fine,
1106 cellular-level structures are frequently preserved in the fossil bones of dinosaurs and other
1107 vertebrates (Chinsamy-Turan 2005; Houssaye 2014). It is the structural characteristics of
1108 cancellous bone architecture that are utilized in the present study, namely, fabric directions.
1109 Regardless of alterations to bulk density, so long as the actual structure of cancellous bone is
1110 preserved in a given fossil, and can be imaged appropriately, then the approach of the present
1111 study is feasible. (Of course, bones or regions thereof that have suffered taphonomic
1112 deformation should be avoided; Bishop et al. 2017a.) The structural characteristics of
1113 cancellous bone have also been used previously in the deduction of *in vivo* loads, in a series of
1114 studies by Christen et al. (2012, 2013a,b, 2015). These studies developed voxel-based micro-
1115 finite element models that modelled each individual trabecula of a bone, and sought to
1116 determine the loading regime, or combination of loading regimes, that achieved the most
1117 uniform distribution of strain energy density across the model. The great geometric
1118 complexity of the models used in these studies necessitated immense computational
1119 capability; only small bones or parts of larger ones were able to be modelled. The
1120 computational requirements would quickly become prohibitively large for the modelling of
1121 whole bones of even a modest size. Moreover, such geometric complexity would be

Deleted: A number of
Deleted: p

Comment [ES9]: Addresses a comment about deformation in the original manuscript.

1124 impossible to produce for medium-sized or large bones, for which high-resolution CT
1125 imagery is currently unattainable. An additional problem faced by these computational studies
1126 is that currently only very basic loads are able to be examined, and these are only applied at
1127 the joints; muscle forces were not considered.

1128
1129 In light of the above discussion, the advantages of the reverse trajectorial approach developed
1130 in the current study are clear. Firstly, it is based on the actual structure of cancellous bone,
1131 which is usually resistant to alteration by diagenetic processes. Moreover, the structural
1132 information required (i.e., fabric directionality) can be ascertained for specimens of a wide
1133 range of sizes; each individual trabecula need not be imaged in micron-level resolution,
1134 although how the image data is acquired and analysed may vary with the size of the specimen,
1135 as demonstrated in Part I. The reverse approach is also easily implemented as a fully 3-D
1136 analysis that is relatively computationally inexpensive to perform; using a computer with 32
1137 Gb of memory and a 2.4 GHz processor, no single simulation of the present study took more
1138 than 10 minutes to solve. However, the main advantage of the reverse approach is that it
1139 explicitly links whole-bone cancellous architecture to whole-limb musculoskeletal mechanics.
1140 Thus, cancellous bone architectural patterns can be used to directly test hypotheses of limb
1141 posture, muscle control and bone loading mechanics, as will be done in Part III.

1142
1143
1144 **II.6 Conclusion**

1145
1146 In this study a new, mechanistic approach to reconstructing locomotor biomechanics in
1147 theropods was developed and tested. Its underlying concept of applying the trajectorial theory
1148 in reverse was overall well-supported by the results of the present study: cancellous bone
1149 architecture can be used to derive bone loading regimes, and in turn limb postures. This is
1150 achieved through the integration of 3-D musculoskeletal and finite element models with
1151 observations of cancellous bone fabric direction.

1152
1153 With just a single, quasi-static posture of a chicken hindlimb, modelled with a number of
1154 relatively simple assumptions, a large portion of the observed patterns in cancellous bone
1155 architecture in birds was able to be replicated by principal stress trajectories. This posture
1156 correlated to those actually used during locomotion in birds, in particular the postures used at
1157 around mid-stance of normal terrestrial locomotion. Additionally, other biomechanical aspects

Deleted: excruciating
Deleted: detail

1160 of the posture, including loading mechanics of the femur and the activations of certain
1161 muscles, corresponded well to empirical data recorded for birds. Less agreement between
1162 principal stresses and cancellous bone fabric was achievable in the distal tibiotarsus, which
1163 requires further investigation, possibly involving more complex modelling approaches (e.g.,
1164 more degrees of freedom, incorporating dynamic effects).

Comment [ES10]: Good work addressing a comment. Degrees of freedom ties back to the earlier discussion. "Dynamic effects" is concise, but does it mean acceleration across more postures accounting for muscle force-length and force-velocity characteristics, ligament viscoelasticity, or other factors? Try to be more specific yet similarly concise.

1166 The reverse approach therefore holds great promise for better understanding whole-bone and
1167 whole-limb musculoskeletal biomechanics in the hindlimbs of non-avian theropods during
1168 terrestrial locomotion. The generality of this approach also means that it could also be used to
1169 improve understanding of locomotor biomechanics in other extinct tetrapod vertebrate groups
1170 as well. As correspondence between principal stresses and cancellous bone architecture was
1171 greatest in the femur in the present study, this suggests that the reverse approach will yield the
1172 most insight for more proximal limb segments.

1175 **II.7 Acknowledgements**

1177 The staff of the Geosciences Program of the Queensland Museum is thanked for the provision
1178 of workspace and access to literature: A. Rozefelds, K. Spring, R. Lawrence, P. Tierney, J.
1179 Wilkinson and D. Lewis. Much appreciation is extended to N. Newman (Queensland X-ray,
1180 Brisbane) and R. Lawrence (Queensland Museum, Brisbane) for assistance with CT scanning.

1181 The thorough and constructive comments on earlier versions of the manuscript, provided by
1182 S. Gatesy, T. Ryan, D. Henderson, E. Snively and an anonymous reviewer, are all greatly
1183 appreciated, and substantially improved the clarity and content of the research presented here.

1184 All scripts and data used are held in the Geosciences Collection of the Queensland Museum,
1185 and will be made available upon request to the Collections Manager.

Comment [ES11]: Mention that the CT data are in a locked safe. Great practice!

1188 **II.8 References**

1190 Abourachid A, Hackert R, Herbin M, Libourel PA, Lambert F, Gioanni H, Provini P,
1191 Blazevic P, and Hugel V. 2011. Bird terrestrial locomotion as revealed by 3-D
1192 kinematics. *Zoology* 114:360–368.
1193 Abourachid A, and Renous S. 2000. Bipedal locomotion in ratites (Paleognathiform):
1194 examples of cursorial birds. *Ibis* 142:538–549.

1195 Adachi T, Tsubota K, Tomita Y, and Hollister SJ. 2001. Trabecular Surface Remodeling
1196 Simulation for Cancellous Bone Using Microstructural Voxel Finite Element Models.
1197 *Journal of Biomechanical Engineering* 123:403-409.

1198 Alexander RM. 1977. Terrestrial locomotion. In: Alexander RM, and Goldspink G, eds.
1199 *Mechanics and Energetics of Animal Locomotion*. London: Chapman and Hall, 168–
1200 203.

1201 Allen V, Bates KT, Li Z, and Hutchinson JR. 2013. Linking the evolution of body shape and
1202 locomotor biomechanics in bird-line archosaurs. *Nature* 497:104–107.

1203 Allen V, Kambic RE, Gatesy SM, and Hutchinson JR. 2017. Gearing effects of the patella
1204 (knee extensor muscle sesamoid) of the helmeted guineafowl during terrestrial
1205 locomotion. *Journal of Zoology* 303:178-187.

1206 Allmendinger RW, Cardozo NC, and Fisher D. 2013. *Structural Geology Algorithms:
1207 Vectors and Tensors*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

1208 Amson E, Arnold P, van Heteren AH, Canoville A, and Nyakatura JA. 2017. Trabecular
1209 architecture in the forelimb epiphyses of extant xenarthrans (Mammalia). *Frontiers in
1210 Zoology* 14:52.

1211 Anderson FC, and Pandy MG. 1999. A Dynamic Optimization Solution for Vertical Jumping
1212 in Three Dimensions. *Computer Methods in Biomechanics and Biomedical
1213 Engineering* 2:201-231.

1214 Anderson FC, and Pandy MG. 2001. Static and dynamic optimization solutions for gait are
1215 practically equivalent. *Journal of Biomechanics* 34:153-161.

1216 Andrada E, Nyakatura JA, Bergmann F, and Blickhan R. 2013. Adjustments of global and
1217 local hindlimb properties during terrestrial locomotion of the common quail (*Coturnix
1218 coturnix*). *Journal of Experimental Biology* 216:3906-3916.

1219 Attene M, and Falcidieno B. 2006. ReMESH: An Interactive Environment to Edit and Repair
1220 Triangle Meshes. Proceedings of the Eighth International Conference on Shape
1221 Modeling and Applications. Matushima. p 271-276.

1222 Barak MM, Lieberman DE, and Hublin J-J. 2011. A Wolff in sheep's clothing: Trabecular
1223 bone adaptation in response to changes in joint loading orientation. *Bone* 49:1141-
1224 1151.

1225 Barak MM, Lieberman DE, Raichlen DA, Pontzer H, Warrener AG, and Hublin J-J. 2013.
1226 Trabecular Evidence for a Human-Like Gait in *Australopithecus africanus*. *PLoS
1227 ONE* 8:e77687.

1228 Beaupré GS, Orr TE, and Carter DR. 1990. An Approach for Time-Dependent Bone
1229 Modeling and Remodeling – Application: A Preliminary Remodeling Simulation.
1230 *Journal of Orthopaedic Research* 8:662-670.

1231 Beer FP, Johnston ER, Jr, DeWolf JT, and Mazurek DF. 2012. *Mechanics of Materials*. New
1232 York: McGraw-Hill.

1233 Bergmann G, Deuretzbacher G, Heller M, Graichen F, Rohlmann A, Strauss J, and Duda GN.
1234 2001. Hip contact forces and gait patterns from routine activities. *Journal of
1235 Biomechanics* 34:859-871.

1236 Bergmann G, Graichen F, and Rohlmann A. 1999. Hip joint forces in sheep. *Journal of
1237 Biomechanics* 32:769-777.

1238 Biewener AA, Fazzalari NL, Konieczynski DD, and Baudinette RV. 1996. Adaptive Changes
1239 in Trabecular Architecture in Relation to Functional Strain Patterns and Disuse. *Bone*
1240 19:1-8.

1241 Biewener AA, Swartz SM, and Bertram JEA. 1986. Bone Modelling During Growth:
1242 Dynamics Strain Equilibrium in the Chick Tibiotarsus. *Calcified Tissue International*
1243 39:390-395.

1244 Bishop PJ, Clemente CJ, Graham DF, Lamas LP, Hutchinson JR, Rubenson J, Hancock JA,
1245 Wilson RS, Hocknull SA, Barrett RS, and Lloyd DG. 2018. The Influence of Speed

and Size on Avian Terrestrial Locomotor Biomechanics: Predicting Locomotion in Extinct Theropod Dinosaurs. *PLoS ONE* 13:e0192172.

Bishop PJ, Clemente CJ, Hocknull SA, Barrett RS, and Lloyd DG. 2017a. The effects of cracks on the quantification of the cancellous bone fabric tensor in fossil and archaeological specimens: a simulation study. *Journal of Anatomy* 230:461-470.

Bishop PJ, Clemente CJ, Weems RE, Graham DF, Lamas LP, Hutchinson JR, Rubenson J, Wilson RS, Hocknull SA, Barrett RS, and Lloyd DG. 2017b. Using step width to compare locomotor biomechanics between extinct, non-avian theropod dinosaurs and modern obligate bipeds. *Journal of the Royal Society Interface* 14:20170276.

Bishop PJ, Hocknull SA, Clemente CJ, Hutchinson JR, Farke AA, Barrett RS, and Lloyd DG. in review-a. Cancellous bone architecture and theropod dinosaur locomotion. Part III – Inferring posture and locomotor biomechanics in extinct theropods, and its evolution on the line to birds. *PeerJ*.

Bishop PJ, Hocknull SA, Clemente CJ, Hutchinson JR, Farke AA, Beck BR, Barrett RS, and Lloyd DG. in review-b. Cancellous bone architecture and theropod dinosaur locomotion. Part I – An examination of cancellous bone architecture in the hindlimb bones of theropods. *PeerJ*.

Blob RW, and Biewener AA. 2001. Mechanics of limb bone loading during terrestrial locomotion in the green iguana (*Iguana iguana*) and American alligator (*Alligator mississippiensis*). *Journal of Experimental Biology* 204:1099-1122.

Bona MA, Martin LD, and Fischer KJ. 2006. A contact algorithm for density-based load estimation. *Journal of Biomechanics* 39:636-644.

Bonnan MF, Sandrik JL, Nishiwaki T, Wilhite DR, Elsey RM, and Vittore C. 2010. Calcified Cartilage Shape in Archosaur Long Bones Reflects Overlying Joint Shape in Stress-Bearing Elements: Implications for Nonavian Dinosaur Locomotion. *The Anatomical Record* 293:2044-2055.

Bonnan MF, Wilhite DR, Masters SL, Yates AM, Gardner CK, and Aguiar A. 2013. What Lies Beneath: Sub-Articular Long Bone Shape Scaling in Eutherian Mammals and Saurischian Dinosaurs Suggests Different Locomotor Adaptations for Gigantism. *PLoS ONE* 8:e75216.

Boyle C, and Kim IY. 2011. Three-dimensional micro-level computational study of Wolff's law via trabecular bone remodeling in the human proximal femur using design space topology optimization. *Journal of Biomechanics* 44:935-942.

Brassey CA, Maidment SCR, and Barrett PM. 2017. Muscle moment arm analyses applied to vertebrate paleontology: a case study using *Stegosaurus stenops* Marsh, 1887. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* 37:e1361432.

Bright JA. 2014. A review of paleontological finite element models and their validity. *Journal of Paleontology* 88:760-769.

Bright JA, and Rayfield EJ. 2011. The Response of Cranial Biomechanical Finite Element Models to Variations in Mesh Density. *The Anatomical Record* 294:610-620.

Bryant JD, Bennett MB, Brust J, and Alexander RM. 1987. Forces exerted on the ground by galloping dogs (*Canis familiaris*). *Journal of Zoology* 213:193-203.

Butcher MT, and Blob RW. 2008. Mechanics of limb bone loading during terrestrial locomotion in river cooter turtles (*Pseudemys concinna*). *Journal of Experimental Biology* 211:1187-1202.

Calow LJ, and Alexander RM. 1973. A mechanical analysis of a hind leg of a frog (*Rana temporaria*). *Journal of Zoology* 171:293-321.

Campoli G, Weinans H, and Zadpoor AA. 2012. Computational load estimation of the femur. *Journal of the Mechanical Behaviour of Biomedical Materials* 10:108-119.

Cardozo NC, and Allmendinger RW. 2013. Spherical projections with OSXStereonet. *Computers & Geosciences* 51:193-205.

Carey RE, Zheng L, Aiyangar AK, Harner CD, and Zhang X. 2014. Subject-Specific Finite Element Modeling of the Tibiofemoral Joint Based on CT, Magnetic Resonance Imaging and Dynamic Stereo-Radiography Data in Vivo. *Journal of Biomechanical Engineering* 136:041004-041001.

Carrano MT. 1998. Locomotion in non-avian dinosaurs: integrating data from hindlimb kinematics, in vivo strains, and bone morphology. *Paleobiology* 24:450-469.

Carrano MT, and Biewener AA. 1999. Experimental Alteration of Limb Posture in the Chicken (*Gallus gallus*) and its Bearing on the Use of Birds as Analogs for Dinosaur Locomotion. *Journal of Morphology* 240:237-249.

Carrano MT, and Hutchinson JR. 2002. Pelvic and hindlimb musculature of *Tyrannosaurus rex* (Dinosauria: Theropoda). *Journal of Morphology* 253:207-228.

Carter DR, and Beaupré GS. 2001. *Skeletal Function and Form: Mechanobiology of Skeletal Development, Aging, and Regeneration*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Carter DR, Orr TE, and Fyhrie DP. 1989. Relationships between loading history and femoral cancellous bone architecture. *Journal of Biomechanics* 22:231-244.

Chadwick KP, Regnault S, Allen V, and Hutchinson JR. 2014. Three-dimensional anatomy of the ostrich (*Struthio camelus*) knee joint. *PeerJ* 2:e706.

Charles JP, Cappellari O, Spence AJ, Hutchinson JR, and Wells DJ. 2016. Musculoskeletal Geometry, Muscle Architecture and Functional Specialisations of the Mouse Hindlimb. *PLoS ONE* 11:e147669.

Chinsamy-Turan A. 2005. *The Microstructure of Dinosaur Bone*. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press.

Christen P, Ito K, dos Santos AA, Müller R, and van Rietbergen B. 2013a. Validation of a bone loading estimation algorithm for patient-specific bone remodelling simulations. *Journal of Biomechanics* 46:941-948.

Christen P, Ito K, Galis F, and van Rietbergen B. 2015. Determination of hip-joint loading patterns of living and extinct mammals using an inverse Wolff's law approach. *Biomechanics and Modeling in Mechanobiology* 14:427-432.

Christen P, Ito K, Knippels I, Müller R, van Lenthe GH, and van Rietbergen B. 2013b. Subject-specific bone loading estimation in the human distal radius. *Journal of Biomechanics* 46:759-766.

Christen P, van Rietbergen B, Lambers FM, Müller R, and Ito K. 2012. Bone morphology allows estimation of loading history in a murine model of bone adaptation. *Biomechanics and Modeling in Mechanobiology* 11:483-492.

Coelho PG, Fernandes PR, Rodrigues HC, Cardoso JB, and Guedes JM. 2009. Numerical modeling of bone tissue adaptation—A hierarchical approach for bone apparent density and trabecular structure. *Journal of Biomechanics* 42:830-837.

Cowin SC. 2001. The false premise in Wolff's Law. In: Cowin SC, ed. *Bone Biomechanics Handbook*. 2 ed. Boca Raton: CRC Press, 30-31–30-15.

Currey JD. 2002. *Bones: Structure and Mechanics*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Delp SL, Anderson FC, Arnold AS, Loan P, Habib A, John CT, Guendelman E, and Thelen DG. 2007. OpenSim: Open-Source Software to Create and Analyze Dynamic Simulations of Movement. *IEEE Transactions of Biomedical Engineering* 54:1940-1950.

Delp SL, Loan P, Hoy MG, Zajac FE, Topp EL, and Rosen JM. 1990. An Interactive Graphics-Based Model of the Lower Extremity to Study Orthopaedic Surgical Procedures. *IEEE Transactions of Biomedical Engineering* 37:757-767.

Dumont ER, Piccirillo J, and Grosse IR. 2005. Finite-Element Analysis of Biting Behaviour and Bone Stress in the Facial Skeletons of Bats. *The Anatomical Record* 283A:319-330.

1347 Erickson GM, Catanese J, III, and Keaveny TM. 2002. Evolution of the Biomechanical
1348 Properties of the Femur. *The Anatomical Record* 268:115-124.

1349 Fajardo RJ, and Müller R. 2001. Three-Dimensional Analysis of Nonhuman Primate
1350 Trabecular Architecture Using Micro-Computed Tomography. *American Journal of*
1351 *Physical Anthropology* 115:327-336.

1352 Fernandez JW, Mithraratne P, Thrupp SF, Tawhai MH, and Hunter PJ. 2004. Anatomically
1353 based geometric modelling of the musculo-skeletal system and other organs.
1354 *Biomechanics and Modeling in Mechanobiology* 2:139-155.

1355 Fischer KJ, Jacobs CR, and Carter DR. 1995. Computational method for determination of
1356 bone and joint loads using bone density distributions. *Journal of Biomechanics*
1357 28:1127-1135.

1358 Gatesy SM. 1990. Caudofemoral musculature and the evolution of theropod locomotion.
1359 *Paleobiology* 16:170-186.

1360 Gatesy SM. 1994. Neuromuscular Diversity in Archosaur Deep Dorsal Thigh Muscles. *Brain,*
1361 *Behavior and Evolution* 43:1-14.

1362 Gatesy SM. 1999a. Guineafowl Hindlimb Function I: Cineradiographic Analysis and Speed
1363 Effects. *Journal of Morphology* 240:115-125.

1364 Gatesy SM. 1999b. Guineafowl Hindlimb Function II: Electromyographic Analysis and
1365 Motor Pattern Evolution. *Journal of Morphology* 240:127-142.

1366 Gefen A, and Seliktar R. 2004. Comparison of the trabecular architecture and the isostatic
1367 stress flow in the human calcaneus. *Medical Engineering & Physics* 26:119-129.

1368 Geraldes DM, Modenese L, and Phillips ATM. 2016. Consideration of multiple load cases is
1369 critical in modelling orthotropic bone adaptation in the femur. *Biomechanics and*
1370 *Modeling in Mechanobiology* 15:1029-1042.

1371 Geraldes DM, and Phillips ATM. 2014. A comparative study of orthotropic and isotropic
1372 bone adaptation in the femur. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in*
1373 *Biomedical Engineering* 30:873-889.

1374 Giarmatzis G, Jonkers I, Wesseling M, Van Rossom S, and Verschueren S. 2015. Loading of
1375 Hip Measured by Hip Contact Forces at Different Speeds of Walking and Running.
1376 *Journal of Bone and Mineral Research* 30:1431-1440.

1377 Giddings VL, Beaupré GS, Whalen RT, and Carter DR. 2000. Calcaneal loading during
1378 walking and running. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise* 32:627-634.

1379 Gilbert MM, Snively E, and Cotton J. 2016. The Tarsometatarsus of the Ostrich *Struthio*
1380 *camelus*: Anatomy, Bone Densities, and Structural Mechanics. *PLoS ONE*
1381 11:e0149708.

1382 Goetz JA, Derrick TR, Pedersen DR, Robinson DA, Conzemius MG, Baer TE, and Brown
1383 TD. 2008. Hip joint contact force in the emu (*Dromaius novaehollandiae*) during
1384 normal level walking. *Journal of Biomechanics* 41:770-778.

1385 Goldstein SA, Matthews LS, Kuhn JL, and Hollister SJ. 1991. Trabecular bone remodelling:
1386 an experimental model. *Journal of Biomechanics* 24 (suppl. 1):135-150.

1387 Gosnell WC, Butcher MT, Maie T, and Blob RW. 2011. Femoral loading mechanics in the
1388 Virginia opossum, *Didelphis virginiana*: torsion and mediolateral bending in
1389 mammalian locomotion. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 214:3455-3466.

1390 Griffin NL, D'Août K, Ryan TM, Richmond BG, Ketcham RA, and Postnov A. 2010.
1391 Comparative forefoot trabecular bone architecture in extant hominids. *Journal of*
1392 *Human Evolution* 59:202-213.

1393 Grossi B, Iriarte-Díaz J, Larach O, Canals M, and Vásquez RA. 2014. Walking Like
1394 Dinosaurs: Chickens with Artificial Tails Provide Clues about Non-Avian Theropod
1395 Locomotion. *PLoS ONE* 9:e88458.

1396 Guldman RE, Richards M, Caldwell NJ, Kuelske CL, and Goldstein SA. 1997. Trabecular
 1397 bone adaptation to variations in porous-coated implant topology. *Journal of*
 1398 *Biomechanics* 30:147-153.
 1399 Haines RW. 1942. The tetrapod knee joint. *Journal of Anatomy* 76:270-301.
 1400 Hayes WC, and Snyder B. 1981. Toward a quantitative formulation of Wolff's Law in
 1401 trabecular bone. In: Cowin SC, ed. *Mechanical Properties of Bone*. New York:
 1402 American Society of Mechanical Engineers, 43-68.
 1403 Hébert D, Lebrun R, and Marivaux L. 2012. Comparative Three-Dimensional Structure of the
 1404 Trabecular Bone in the Talus of Primates and Its Relationship to Ankle Joint Loads
 1405 Generated During Locomotion. *The Anatomical Record* 295:2069-2088.
 1406 Houssaye A. 2014. Advances in vertebrate palaeohistology: recent progress, discoveries, and
 1407 new approaches. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* 112:645-648.
 1408 Hudson GE. 1937. Studies on the Muscles of the Pelvic Appendage in Birds. *American*
 1409 *Midland Naturalist* 18:1-108.
 1410 Hudson GE, Lanzillotti PJ, and Edwards GD. 1959. Muscles of the Pelvic Limb in Galliform
 1411 Birds. *American Midland Naturalist* 61:1-67.
 1412 Huiskes R, Ruimerman R, van Lenthe GH, and Janssen JD. 2000. Effects of mechanical
 1413 forces on maintenance and adaptation of form in trabecular bone. *Nature* 405:704-
 1414 706.
 1415 Hutchinson JR. 2002. The evolution of hindlimb tendons and muscles on the line to crown-
 1416 group birds. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology, Part A* 133:1051-1086.
 1417 Hutchinson JR. 2004. Biomechanical Modeling and Sensitivity Analysis of Bipedal Running
 1418 Ability. I. Extant Taxa. *Journal of Morphology* 262:421-440.
 1419 Hutchinson JR, and Allen V. 2009. The evolutionary continuum of limb function from early
 1420 theropods to birds. *Naturwissenschaften* 96:423-448.
 1421 Hutchinson JR, Anderson FC, Blemker SS, and Delp SL. 2005. Analysis of hindlimb muscle
 1422 moment arms in *Tyrannosaurus rex* using a three-dimensional musculoskeletal
 1423 computer model: implications for stance, gait, and speed. *Paleobiology* 31:676-701.
 1424 Hutchinson JR, and Gatesy SM. 2000. Adductors, abductors, and the evolution of archosaur
 1425 locomotion. *Paleobiology* 26:734-751.
 1426 Hutchinson JR, Miller CE, Fritsch G, and Hildebrandt T. 2008. The Anatomical Foundation
 1427 for Multidisciplinary Studies of Animal Limb Function: Examples from Dinosaur and
 1428 Elephant Limb Imaging Studies. In: Endo H, and Frey R, eds. *Anatomical Imaging:*
 1429 *Towards a New Morphology*. Tokyo: Springer, 23-38.
 1430 Hutchinson JR, Rankin JW, Rubenson J, Rosenbluth KH, Siston RA, and Delp SL. 2015.
 1431 Musculoskeletal Modelling of an ostrich (*Struthio camelus*) pelvic limb: influence of
 1432 limb orientation of muscular capacity during locomotion. *PeerJ* 3:e1001.
 1433 Jacobs CR. 2000. The mechanobiology of cancellous bone structural adaptation. *Journal of*
 1434 *Rehabilitation Research and Development* 37:209-216.
 1435 Jacobs CR, Simo JC, Beaupré GS, and Carter DR. 1997. Adaptive bone remodeling
 1436 incorporating simultaneous density and anisotropy considerations. *Journal of*
 1437 *Biomechanics* 30:603-613.
 1438 Jacobson RD, and Hollyday M. 1982. A Behavioural and Electromyographic Study of
 1439 Walking in the Chick. *Journal of Neurophysiology* 48:238-256.
 1440 Jang IG, and Kim IY. 2008. Computational study of Wolff's law with trabecular architecture
 1441 in the human proximal femur using topology optimization. *Journal of Biomechanics*
 1442 41:2353-2361.
 1443 Jang IG, and Kim IY. 2010a. Application of design space optimization to bone remodeling
 1444 simulation of trabecular architecture in human proximal femur for higher
 1445 computational efficiency. *Finite Elements in Analysis and Design* 46:311-319.

1446 Jang IG, and Kim IY. 2010b. Computational simulation of cortical and trabecular bone
 1447 change in human proximal femur during bone remodelling. *Journal of Biomechanics*
 1448 43:294-301.

1449 Kabel J, van Rietbergen B, Odgaard A, and Huiskes R. 1999. Constitutive Relationships of
 1450 Fabric, Density, and Elastic Properties in Cancellous Bone Architecture. *Bone*
 1451 25:481-486.

1452 Kambic RE, Roberts TJ, and Gatesy SM. 2014. Long-axis rotation: a missing degree of
 1453 freedom in avian bipedal locomotion. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 217:2770-
 1454 2782.

1455 Kazemi M, Dabiri Y, and Li LP. 2013. Recent Advances in Computational Mechanics of the
 1456 Human Knee Joint. *Computational and Mathematical Methods in Medicine*
 1457 2013:718423.

1458 Kivell TL. 2016. A review of trabecular bone functional adaptation: what have we learned
 1459 from trabecular analyses in extant hominoids and what can we apply to fossils?
 1460 *Journal of Anatomy* 228:569-594.

1461 Koch JC. 1917. The laws of bone architecture. *American Journal of Anatomy* 21:177-298.

1462 Lamas LP, Main RP, and Hutchinson JR. 2014. Ontogenetic scaling patterns and functional
 1463 anatomy of the pelvic limb musculature in emus (*Dromaius novaehollandiae*). *PeerJ*
 1464 2:e716.

1465 Lanyon LE. 1974. Experimental support for the trajectorial theory of bone structure. *Journal*
 1466 *of Bone and Joint Surgery* 56B:160-166.

1467 Lanyon LE. 1996. Locomotor Loading and Functional Adaptation in Limb Bones.
 1468 *Symposium of the Zoological Society of London* 48:305-329.

1469 Lerner ZF, Gadowski BC, Ipson AK, Haussler KK, Puttlitz CM, and Browning RC. 2015.
 1470 Modulating Tibiofemoral Contact Force in the Sheep Hind Limb via Treadmill
 1471 Walking: Predictions From an Opensim Musculoskeletal Model. *Journal of*
 1472 *Orthopaedic Research* 33:1128-1133.

1473 Liao L. 2011. A Study of Inertia Relief Analysis. 52nd AIAA/ASME/ASCE/AHS/ASC
 1474 Structures, Structural Dynamics and Materials Conference. Denver. p AIAA 2011-
 1475 2002.

1476 Lovejoy CO. 2004. The natural history of human gait and posture. Part 2. Hip and thigh. *Gait*
 1477 *& Posture* 21:113-124.

1478 Lovejoy CO, Meindl RS, Ohman JC, Heiple KG, and White TD. 2002. The Maka Femur and
 1479 Its Bearing on the Antiquity of Human Walking: Applying Contemporary Concepts of
 1480 Morphogenesis to the Human Fossil Record. *American Journal of Physical*
 1481 *Anthropology* 119:97-133.

1482 MacLatchy L, and Müller R. 2002. A comparison of the femoral head and neck trabecular
 1483 architecture of *Galago* and *Perodicticus* using micro-computed tomography (μ CT).
 1484 *Journal of Human Evolution* 43:89-105.

1485 Maga M, Kappelman J, Ryan TM, and Ketcham RA. 2006. Preliminary Observations on the
 1486 Calcaneal Trabecular Microarchitecture of Extant Large-Bodied Hominoids.
 1487 *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 129:410-417.

1488 Main RP, and Biewener AA. 2007. Skeletal strain patterns and growth in the emu hindlimb
 1489 during ontogeny. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 210:2676-2690.

1490 Marsh RL, Ellerby DJ, Carr JA, Henry HT, and Buchanan CI. 2004. Partitioning the
 1491 Energetics of Walking and Running: Swinging the Limbs is Expensive. *Science*
 1492 303:80-83.

1493 Martelli S, Taddei F, Testi D, Delp SL, and Viceconti M. 2011. NMSBuilder: an application
 1494 to personalize NMS models. Proceedings of the 23rd Congress of the International
 1495 Society of Biomechanics. Brussels.

1496 Matarazzo SA. 2015. Trabecular Architecture of the Manual Elements Reflects Locomotor
1497 Patterns in Primates. *PLoS ONE* 10:e0120436.

1498 McGowan C. 1979. The Hind Limb Musculature of the brown Kiwi, *Apteryx australis*
1499 *mantelli*. *Journal of Morphology* 160:33-74.

1500 McHenry CR, Wroe S, Clausen PD, Moreno K, and Cunningham E. 2007. Supermodeled
1501 sabercat, predatory behaviour in *Smilodon fatalis* revealed by high-resolution 3D
1502 computer simulation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 104:16010-
1503 16015.

1504 Medler S. 2002. Comparative trends in shortening velocity and force production in skeletal
1505 muscle. *American Journal of Physiology* 283:R368-R378.

1506 Miller Z, Fuchs MB, and Arcan M. 2002. Trabecular bone adaptation with an orthotropic
1507 material model. *Journal of Biomechanics* 35:247-256.

1508 Modenese L, and Phillips ATM. 2012. Prediction of hip contact forces and muscle activations
1509 during walking at different speeds. *Multibody System Dynamics* 28:157-168.

1510 Muir GD, Gosline JM, and Steeves JD. 1996. Ontogeny of bipedal locomotion: walking and
1511 running in the chick. *Journal of Physiology* 493:589-601.

1512 Mullender MG, and Huiskes R. 1995. Proposal for the Regulatory Mechanism of Wolff's
1513 Law. *Journal of Orthopaedic Research* 13:503-512.

1514 O'Neill MC, Lee L-F, Larson SG, Demes B, Stern JT, Jr, and Umberger BR. 2013. A three-
1515 dimensional musculoskeletal model of the chimpanzee (*Pan troglodytes*) pelvis and
1516 hindlimb. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 216:3709-3723.

1517 Odgaard A, Kabel J, van Rietbergen B, Dalstra M, and Huiskes R. 1997. Fabric and elastic
1518 principal directions of cancellous bone are closely related. *Journal of Biomechanics*
1519 30:487-495.

1520 Page AE, Allan C, Jasty M, Harrigan TP, Bragdon CR, and Harris WH. 1993. Determination
1521 of loading parameters in the canine hip *in vivo*. *Journal of Biomechanics* 26.

1522 Patak AE, and Baldwin J. 1998. Pelvis Limb Musculature in the Emu *Dromaius*
1523 *novaehollandiae* (Aves: Struthioniformes: Dromaiidae): Adaptations to High-Speed
1524 Running. *Journal of Morphology* 238:23-37.

1525 Pauwels F. 1980. *Biomechanics of the Locomotor Apparatus*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag.

1526 Paxton H, Anthony NB, Corr SA, and Hutchinson JR. 2010. The effects of selective breeding
1527 on the architectural properties of the pelvic limb in broiler chickens: a comparative
1528 study across modern and ancestral populations. *Journal of Anatomy* 217:153-166.

1529 Pedotti A, Krishnan VV, and Stark L. 1978. Optimization of Muscle-Force Sequencing in
1530 Human Locomotion. *Mathematical Biosciences* 38:57-76.

1531 Phillips ATM, Villet CC, and Modenese L. 2015. Femoral bone mesoscale structural
1532 architecture prediction using musculoskeletal and finite element modelling.
1533 *International Biomechanics* 2:43-61.

1534 Polk JD, Blumenfeld J, and Ahluwalia D. 2008. Knee Posture Predicted From Subchondral
1535 Apparent Density in the Distal Femur: An Experimental Validation. *The Anatomical*
1536 *Record* 16:323-329.

1537 Pontzer H, Lieberman DE, Momin E, Devlin MJ, Polk JD, Hallgrímsson B, and Cooper
1538 DML. 2006. Trabecular bone in the bird knee responds with high sensitivity to
1539 changes in load orientation. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 209:57-65.

1540 Radin EL, Orr RB, Kelman JL, Paul IL, and Rose RM. 1982. Effect of prolonged walking on
1541 concrete on the knees of sheep. *Journal of Biomechanics* 15:487-492.

1542 Rankin JW, Rubenson J, and Hutchinson JR. 2016. Inferring muscle functional roles of the
1543 ostrich pelvic limb during walking and running using computer optimization. *Journal*
1544 *of the Royal Society Interface* 13:20160035.

1545 Reed KL, and Brown TD. 2001. Elastic modulus and strength of emu cortical bone. *The Iowa*
1546 *Orthopaedic Journal* 21:53-57.

1547 Regnault S, Allen VR, Chadwick KP, and Hutchinson JR. 2017. Analysis of the moment arms
1548 and kinematics of ostrich (*Struthio camelus*) double patellar sesamoids. *Journal of*
1549 *Experimental Zoology* 327:163-171.

1550 Reilly SM. 2000. Locomotion in the Quail (*Coturnix japonica*): The Kinematics of Walking
1551 and Increasing Speed. *Journal of Morphology* 243:173-185.

1552 Ren L, Miller CE, Lair R, and Hutchinson JR. 2010. Integration of biomechanical
1553 compliance, leverage, and power in elephant limbs. *Proceedings of the National*
1554 *Academy of Sciences* 107:7078-7082.

1555 Richmond BG, Nakatsukasa M, Griffin NL, Ogihara N, and Ketcham RA. 2005. Trabecular
1556 bone structure in a bipedally trained macaque. Seventy-Fourth Annual Meeting of the
1557 American Association of Physical Anthropologists, April 6–9, 2005. Milwaukee,
1558 United States of America. p 175-176.

1559 Roberts TJ, Chen MS, and Taylor CR. 1998. Energetics of bipedal running. II. Limb design
1560 and running mechanics. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 205:2753-2762.

1561 Rose KA, Bates KT, Nudds RL, and Codd JR. 2016. Ontogeny of sex differences in the
1562 energetics and kinematics of terrestrial locomotion in leghorn chickens (*Gallus gallus*
1563 *domesticus*). *Scientific Reports* 6:24292.

1564 Rubenson J, Lloyd DG, Besier TF, Heliam DB, and Fournier PA. 2007. Running in
1565 ostriches (*Struthio camelus*): three-dimensional joint axes alignment and joint
1566 kinematics. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 210:2548-2562.

1567 Rubenson J, Lloyd DG, Heliam DB, Besier TF, and Fournier PA. 2011. Adaptations for
1568 economical bipedal running: the effect of limb structure on three-dimensional joint
1569 mechanics. *Journal of the Royal Society Interface* 8:740-755.

1570 Rudman KE, Aspden RM, and Meakin JR. 2006. Compression or tension? The stress
1571 distribution in the proximal femur. *BioMedical Engineering OnLine* 5:12.

1572 Ruimerman R, Hilbers P, van Rietbergen B, and Huiskes R. 2005. A theoretical framework
1573 for strain-related trabecular bone maintenance and adaptation. *Journal of*
1574 *Biomechanics* 38:931-941.

1575 Ryan TM, and Ketcham RA. 2002. The three-dimensional structure of trabecular bone in the
1576 femoral head of strepsirrhine primates. *Journal of Human Evolution* 43:1-26.

1577 Ryan TM, and Ketcham RA. 2005. Angular Orientation of Trabecular Bone in the Femoral
1578 Head and Its Relationship to Hip Joint Loads in Leaping Primates. *Journal of*
1579 *Morphology* 265:249-263.

1580 Ryan TM, and Shaw CN. 2012. Unique Suites of Trabecular Bone Features Characterize
1581 Locomotor Behavior in Human and Non-Human Anthropoid Primates. *PLoS ONE*
1582 7:e41037.

1583 Schaller NU, D'Août K, Villa R, Herkner B, and Aerts P. 2011. Toe function and dynamic
1584 pressure distribution in ostrich locomotion. *Journal of Experimental Biology*
1585 214:1123-1130.

1586 Sheffield KM, and Blob RW. 2011. Loading mechanics of the femur in tiger salamanders
1587 (*Ambystoma tigrinum*) during terrestrial locomotion. *Journal of Experimental Biology*
1588 214:2603-2615.

1589 Smith NC, Wilson AM, Jespers KJ, and Payne RC. 2006. Muscle architecture and functional
1590 anatomy of the pelvic limb of the ostrich (*Struthio camelus*). *Journal of Anatomy*
1591 209:765-779.

1592 Steele KM, DeMers MS, Schwartz MH, and Delp SL. 2012. Compressive tibiofemoral force
1593 during crouch gait. *Gait & Posture* 35:556-560.

1594 Stoessel A, and Fischer MS. 2012. Comparative intralimb coordination in avian bipedal
1595 locomotion. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 215:4055-4069.

1596 Stops A, Wilcox R, and Jin Z. 2012. Computational modelling of the natural hip: a review of
1597 finite element and multibody simulations. *Computer Methods in Biomechanics and*
1598 *Biomedical Engineering* 15:963-979.

1599 Su A, Wallace IJ, and Nakatsukasa M. 2013. Trabecular bone anisotropy and orientation in
1600 an Early Pleistocene hominin talus from East Turkana, Kenya. *Journal of Human*
1601 *Evolution* 64:667-677.

1602 Su SC, Skedros JG, Bachus KN, and Bloebaum RD. 1999. Loading conditions and cortical
1603 bone construction of an artiodactyl calcaneus. *Journal of Experimental Biology*
1604 202:3239-3254.

1605 Sverdlova N. 2011. Tensile trabeculae - Myth or Reality? *Journal of Musculoskeletal and*
1606 *Neuronal Interactions* 11:1-7.

1607 Taylor SJG, and Walker PS. 2001. Forces and moments telemetered from two distal femoral
1608 replacements during various activities. *Journal of Biomechanics* 34:839-848.

1609 Tsegai ZJ, Kivell TL, Gross T, Nguyen NH, Pahr DH, Smaers JB, and Skinner MM. 2013.
1610 Trabecular Bone Structure Correlates with Hand Posture and Use in Hominoids. *PLoS*
1611 *ONE* 8:e78781.

1612 Tsubota K, Adachi T, and Tomita Y. 2002. Functional adaptation of cancellous bone in
1613 human proximal femur predicted by trabecular surface remodeling simulation toward
1614 uniform stress state. *Journal of Biomechanics* 35:1541-1551.

1615 Tsubota K, Suzuki Y, Yamada T, Hojo M, Makinouchi A, and Adachi T. 2009. Computer
1616 simulation of trabecular remodelling in human proximal femur using large-scale voxel
1617 FE models: Approach to understanding Wolff's law. *Journal of Biomechanics*
1618 42:1088-1094.

1619 Turner CH. 1998. Three Rules for Bone Adaptation to Mechanical Stimuli. *Bone* 23:399-407.

1620 Turner CH, Anne V, and Pidaparti RMV. 1997. A uniform strain criterion for trabecular bone
1621 adaptation: do continuum-level strain gradients drive adaptation? *Journal of*
1622 *Biomechanics* 30:555-563.

1623 Turner CH, Cowin SC, Rho JY, Ashman RB, and Rice JC. 1990. The fabric dependence of
1624 the orthotropic elastic constants of cancellous bone. *Journal of Biomechanics* 23:549-
1625 561.

1626 Ulrich D, van Rietbergen B, Laib A, and Ruegsegger P. 1999. The Ability of Three-
1627 Dimensional Structural Indices to Reflect Mechanical Aspects of Trabecular Bone.
1628 *Bone* 25:55-60.

1629 Valente G, Pitto L, Testi D, Seth A, Delp SL, Stagni R, Viceconti M, and Taddei F. 2014.
1630 Are Subject-Specific Musculoskeletal Models Robust to the Uncertainties in
1631 Parameter Identification? *PLoS ONE* 9:e112625.

1632 van Arkel RJ, Modenese L, Phillips ATM, and Jeffers JRT. 2013. Hip Abduction Can
1633 Prevent Posterior Edge Loading of Hip Replacements. *Journal of Orthopaedic*
1634 *Research* 31:1172-1179.

1635 van der Meulen MCH, Morgan TG, Yang X, Baldini TH, Myers ER, Wright TM, and
1636 Bostrom MPG. 2006. Cancellous bone adaptation to in vivo loading in a rabbit model.
1637 *Bone* 38:871-877.

1638 van der Meulen MCH, Yang X, Morgan TG, and Bostrom MPG. 2009. The Effects of
1639 Loading on Cancellous Bone in the Rabbit. *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related*
1640 *Research* 467:2000-2006.

1641 Vander Sloten J, and Van der Perre G. 1989. Trabecular structure compared to stress
1642 trajectories in the proximal femur and calcaneus. *Journal of Biomedical Engineering*
1643 11:203-208.

1644 Verner KA, Lehner M, Lamas LP, and Main RP. 2016. Experimental tests of planar strain
1645 theory for predicting cross-sectional longitudinal and shear strains. *Journal of*
1646 *Experimental Biology* 219:3082-3090.

Volpato V, Viola TB, Nakatsusaka M, Bondioli L, and Macchiarelli R. 2008. Textural characteristics of the iliac-femoral trabecular pattern in a bipedally trained Japanese macaque. *Primates* 49:16-25.

Wang H, Ji B, Liu XS, Guo XE, Huang Y, and Hwang K-C. 2012. Analysis of microstructural and mechanical alterations of trabecular bone in a simulated three-dimensional remodelling process. *Journal of Biomechanics* 45:2417-2425.

Wink CS, Elsey RM, St Onge M, and Zimny MI. 1989. Neural Element in the Cruciate Ligaments and Menisci of the Knee Joint of the American Alligator, *Alligator mississippiensis*. *Journal of Morphology* 202:165-172.

Winter DA. 2009. *Biomechanics and Motor Control of Human Movement*. Hoboken: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

Witte TH, Knill K, and Wilson AM. 2004. Determination of peak vertical ground reaction force from duty factor in the horse (*Equus caballus*). *Journal of Experimental Biology* 207:3639-3648.

Wolff J. 1892. *Das Gesetz der Transformation der Knochen*. Berlin: August Hirschwald.

Wroe S, Moreno K, Clausen PD, McHenry CR, and Curnoe D. 2007. High-Resolution Three-Dimensional Computer Simulation of Hominid Cranial Mechanics. *The Anatomical Record* 290:1248-1255.

Zadpoor AA, Campoli G, and Weinans H. 2013. Neural network prediction of load from the morphology of trabecular bone. *Applied Mathematical Modelling* 37:5260-5276.

Zinoviev AV. 2010. *Comparative anatomy, structural modifications and adaptive evolution of avian apparatus of bipedal locomotion*. Moscow: KMK Scientific Press Ltd.

II.9 Figure captions

Figure 1. The musculoskeletal model of the chicken hindlimb developed in this study. This is shown in the ‘neutral posture’ for all joints, that is, when all joint angles are zero. (A–C) Geometries of the musculotendon actuators in relation to the bones, in lateral (A), anterior (B) and oblique anterolateral (C) views. (D–E) Location and orientation of joint coordinate systems (red, green and blue axes), the centres of mass for each segment (grey and white balls) and the soft tissue volumes, derived from CT scans and used to calculate mass properties; these are shown in the same views as A–C. Also reported in F are the masses for each segment. In D–F, the flexion-extension axis of each joint is the blue axis. For scale, the length of each arrow in the triad of the global coordinate system is 40 mm.

Figure 2. Musculoskeletal simulation of a given test posture. Muscles that are active are red, whilst those set to be inactive during simulation are blue. External loads applied to the pes segment are the vertical ground reaction force (GRF) and moments about the *x* and *y* axes (*M_x*

Comment [ES12]: Figures 1 and 2 are great. I suggest (but do not insist on) labelling muscles in a separate figure. Is the pelvis really 1.4 kg, while the segments are <1% to 6% of that mass?

1687 and M_y , respectively). A reserve actuator is also applied to the metatarsophalangeal joint
 1688 (purple). Loads are not shown to scale.
 1689
 1690
 1691 **Figure 3. Geometry, forces, and constraints for finite element analysis** of a given test posture.
 1692 A, B, for each posture, two simulations were performed, one for the femur (A) and one for the
 1693 tibiotarsus + fibula (B). Muscle and ligament forces are red, segment weights are blue, joint
 1694 forces are green and joint moments are orange. The focal bones in each simulation were
 1695 ‘bookended’ between their adjacent articulating bones, to which restraints or joint forces were
 1696 applied. (C) The intervening soft tissues between focal bones and their neighbouring bones
 1697 were modelled as a single homogenous volume (turquoise). (D) Knee joint forces were
 1698 applied as a remote force: the force was applied to a remote point (knee joint centre, red dot),
 1699 which was topologically attached to a neighbouring bone via constraint equations (red lines,
 1700 schematic illustration only). Loads are not shown to scale.

Comment [ES14]: You're not really depicting a simulation; more the inputs in the suggested wording.
Deleted: F
Deleted: simulation

1703 **Figure 4. The postures tested for in the chicken. Around the periphery are the different**
 1704 **postures tested, shown in lateral view, with the final solution posture in the centre, shown in**
 1705 **lateral, dorsal and anterior views; the whole-body COM location is also shown for the**
 1706 **solution posture in lateral view. Joint angles for each posture are given in blue font; hip joint**
 1707 **angles are given in the order of flexion-extension, abduction-adduction and long-axis rotation.**
 1708 **Hip extension angle is expressed relative to the horizontal, whereas knee and ankle angles are**
 1709 **expressed relative to the femur and tibiotarsus (respectively). For the other hip angles,**
 1710 **positive values indicate abduction and external rotation, whereas negative values indicate**
 1711 **adduction and internal rotation. The metatarsophalangeal joint angle is expressed relative to**
 1712 **the neutral posture. The angular deviation between σ_3 and u_1 for each posture is also given in**
 1713 **red font (reported as femoral head, then medial femoral condyle). The solution posture**
 1714 **resulted in the greatest degree of overall correspondence between principal stress trajectories**
 1715 **and observed cancellous bone architectural patterns in birds, as assessed by qualitative**
 1716 **comparisons across the femur, tibiotarsus and fibula, as well as quantitative results for the**
 1717 **femoral head and medial femoral condyle.**

Comment [ES15]: At first glance the circular array of tested angles distracts from the solution posture. Help the reader out with labelling. I suggest labelling the postures as "Solution posture" and "Test 1"- "Test 7". A legend explaining the order of angles and the colors would be useful. What is the flange in the dorsal view of the solution posture?

1722 **Figure 5.** Principal stress trajectories for the femur in the solution posture compared to
 1723 cancellous bone fabric. (A, C, E, G, I, K, M, O) Stress vector fields (σ_3 in all cases) compared
 1724 to exemplar fabric vector fields for birds (B, D, F, H, J, L, N, P, u_1 in all cases; cf. Figs 16 and
 1725 24 of Part I), plotted on translucent renderings of the bone; not to scale. For easier visual
 1726 comparison, the stress trajectories were ‘downsampled’ in a custom MATLAB script, by
 1727 interpolating the raw stress results at each finite element node to a regular grid. (A – D) In the
 1728 femoral head, in anterior (A, B) and medial (C, D) views. (E – H) Under the facies
 1729 antitrochanterica, in anterior (E, F) and lateral (G, H) views. (I – L) In the trochanteric crest,
 1730 in anterior (I, J) and lateral (K, L) views. (M, N) Medial femoral condyle, parallel to the
 1731 sagittal plane and in medial view. (O, P) Lateral femoral condyle, parallel to the sagittal plane
 1732 and in lateral view. (Q) Comparison of the mean direction of σ_3 (blue) in the femoral head and
 1733 the mean direction of u_1 (red) for birds, plotted on an equal-angle stereoplot, with northern
 1734 hemisphere projection (using StereoNet 9.5; Allmendinger et al. 2013; Cardozo &
 1735 Allmendinger 2013). (R) Comparison of the mean direction of σ_3 in the medial femoral
 1736 condyle and the mean direction of u_1 for birds, plotted on an equal-angle stereoplot, with
 1737 southern hemisphere projection. Insets in Q and R show locations of regions for which the
 1738 mean direction of σ_3 was calculated. The orange dots in Q and R indicate the mean direction
 1739 of σ_3 for the muscle force sensitivity test; note how close these are to the original results for
 1740 the solution posture.

Comment [ES16]: Putting related images in to boxes would assist the reader's focus for the top part of the figure. The caption has nice detail for Q and R.

1743 **Figure 6.** Principal stress trajectories for the tibiotarsus in the solution posture compared to
 1744 cancellous bone fabric. (A, C, G, I, K, M, O, Q, S) Stress vector fields (σ_1 in red, σ_3 in blue)
 1745 compared to exemplar fabric vector fields for birds (B, D, H, J, L, N, P, R, T, u_1 in all cases;
 1746 cf. Figs 31 and 36 of Part I), plotted on translucent renderings of the bone; not to scale. (A –
 1747 D) Anterior cnemial crest, in anterior (A, B) and medial (C, D) views. (E, F) Vector field of
 1748 σ_1 in the anterior cnemial crest in the muscle force sensitivity test, shown in the same views as
 1749 A and C, respectively. (G – J) Lateral cnemial crest, in anterior (G, H) and lateral (I, J) views.
 1750 (K – N) Under the medial articular facies, parallel to the coronal plane (K, L, posterior view)
 1751 and sagittal plane (M, N, medial view). (O – R) Under the lateral articular facies, parallel to
 1752 the coronal plane (O, P, posterior view) and sagittal plane (Q, R, lateral view). (S, T) A 3-D
 1753 slice through the middle of the proximal metaphysis, parallel to the sagittal plane; schematic
 1754 insets show the double-arcuate pattern present in both the stress trajectories and fabric
 1755 vectors. (U, V) Vector field of σ_3 in the articular condyles (purple = lateral condyle, pink =

Comment [ES17]: This figure is informative, but is a lot to take in. Consider spreading the figure out slightly, with boxes around related images (A-D, G-J and so on). A collective legend for colors would be useful. Ideally it would be broken into separate figures, although I understand the impulse to fit results concisely into a pdf's tall aspect ratio. The double arcuate schematics in S and T are great.

1756 medial condyle) of the distal tibiotarsus, shown for 3-D slices through the middle of the
1757 condyles, in oblique anterolateral (U) and anteromedial (V) views.
1758
1759
1760 **Figure 7.** Principal stress trajectories for the fibula in the solution posture compared to
1761 cancellous bone fabric. (A) Vector field of σ_1 in the medial side of the fibular head plotted on
1762 a translucent rendering of the bone, in medial view (reversed). (B) Vector field of σ_3 in lateral
1763 side of the fibular head, in lateral view. (C, D) Exemplar fabric vector fields (u_1) for birds, in
1764 lateral view (cf. Figs 40G–K of Part I); not to scale.

Comment [ES18]: Note the correspondences (or lack thereof) between the stress and fabric vector fields.

1766
1767 **Figure 8.** Additional aspects of the solution posture. (A) The trajectories of principal stresses
1768 σ_1 (red) and σ_3 (blue) at the femoral mid-shaft, in anterior view. (B) Oblique principal stresses
1769 in the femoral mid-shaft indicate strong torsional loading (arrows), with a positive sense. (C)
1770 The trajectories of σ_1 and σ_3 at the tibial mid-shaft, in anterior view. (D) Activations for each
1771 muscle actuator in the musculoskeletal simulation. (E) Flexion-extension muscle moment
1772 arms for the hip, knee and ankle joints; positive values indicate extension, negative values
1773 indicate flexion. For keys to abbreviations in D and E, see Table 1.

Deleted: The o

Deleted: nature of the

Deleted: are indicative of

Comment [ES19]: Anything noteworthy in these trajectories?

Comment [ES20]: Explain briefly the different levels in the original and sensitivity simulations.