

Braincase and endocranial anatomy of two thalattosuchian crocodylomorphs and their relevance in understanding their adaptations to the marine environment (#28033)

1

First submission

Editor guidance

Please submit by **29 Jun 2018** for the benefit of the authors (and your \$200 publishing discount).



Structure and Criteria

Please read the 'Structure and Criteria' page for general guidance.



Author notes

Have you read the author notes on the [guidance page](#)?



Raw data check

Review the raw data. Download from the location [described by the author](#).



Image check

Check that figures and images have not been inappropriately manipulated.

Privacy reminder: If uploading an annotated PDF, remove identifiable information to remain anonymous.

Files

Download and review all files from the [materials page](#).


10 Figure file(s)



Structure your review

The review form is divided into 5 sections. Please consider these when composing your review:

1. BASIC REPORTING
2. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN
3. VALIDITY OF THE FINDINGS
4. General comments
5. Confidential notes to the editor






 You can also annotate this PDF and upload it as part of your review

When ready [submit online](#).





Editorial Criteria

Use these criteria points to structure your review. The full detailed editorial criteria is on your [guidance page](#).





BASIC REPORTING

-  Clear, unambiguous, professional English language used throughout.
-  Intro & background to show context. Literature well referenced & relevant.
-  Structure conforms to [PeerJ standards](#), discipline norm, or improved for clarity.
-  Figures are relevant, high quality, well labelled & described.
-  Raw data supplied (see [PeerJ policy](#)).

EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

-  Original primary research within [Scope of the journal](#).
-  Research question well defined, relevant & meaningful. It is stated how the research fills an identified knowledge gap.
-  Rigorous investigation performed to a high technical & ethical standard.
-  Methods described with sufficient detail & information to replicate.

VALIDITY OF THE FINDINGS

-  Impact and novelty not assessed. Negative/inconclusive results accepted. *Meaningful* replication encouraged where rationale & benefit to literature is clearly stated.
-  Data is robust, statistically sound, & controlled.
-  Speculation is welcome, but should be identified as such.
-  Conclusions are well stated, linked to original research question & limited to supporting results.

Standout reviewing tips

3



The best reviewers use these techniques

Tip

Support criticisms with evidence from the text or from other sources

Example

Smith et al (J of Methodology, 2005, V3, pp 123) have shown that the analysis you use in Lines 241-250 is not the most appropriate for this situation. Please explain why you used this method.

Give specific suggestions on how to improve the manuscript

Your introduction needs more detail. I suggest that you improve the description at lines 57- 86 to provide more justification for your study (specifically, you should expand upon the knowledge gap being filled).

Comment on language and grammar issues

The English language should be improved to ensure that an international audience can clearly understand your text. Some examples where the language could be improved include lines 23, 77, 121, 128 – the current phrasing makes comprehension difficult.

Organize by importance of the issues, and number your points

1. Your most important issue
2. The next most important item
3. ...
4. The least important points

Please provide constructive criticism, and avoid personal opinions

I thank you for providing the raw data, however your supplemental files need more descriptive metadata identifiers to be useful to future readers. Although your results are compelling, the data analysis should be improved in the following ways: AA, BB, CC

Comment on strengths (as well as weaknesses) of the manuscript

I commend the authors for their extensive data set, compiled over many years of detailed fieldwork. In addition, the manuscript is clearly written in professional, unambiguous language. If there is a weakness, it is in the statistical analysis (as I have noted above) which should be improved upon before Acceptance.

Braincase and endocranial anatomy of two thalattosuchian crocodylomorphs and their relevance in understanding their adaptations to the marine environment

Yanina Herrera ^{Corresp., 1}, Juan Martín Leardi ², Marta S Fernández ¹

¹ CONICET. División Paleontología Vertebrados, Unidades de Investigación Anexo Museo, Facultad de Ciencias Naturales y Museo, Universidad Nacional de La Plata, La Plata, Buenos Aires, Argentina

² CONICET. Instituto de Estudios Andinos "Don Pablo Groeber" (IDEAN), Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Departamento de Ciencias Geológicas, Universidad de Buenos Aires, CABA, Buenos Aires, Argentina

Corresponding Author: Yanina Herrera
Email address: yaninah@fcnym.unlp.edu.ar

Thalattosuchians are a group of Mesozoic crocodylomorphs known from aquatic deposits of the Early Jurassic–Early Cretaceous that comprises two main lineages of almost exclusively marine forms, Teleosauridae and Metriorhynchoidea. Teleosaurids were found in shallow marine, brackish and freshwater deposits, and have been characterized as semiaquatic near-shore forms, whereas metriorhynchids are a lineage of fully pelagic forms, supported by a large set of morphological characters of the skull and postcranial anatomy. Recent contributions on Thalattosuchia have been focused on the study of the endocranial anatomy. This newly available information provides novel evidence to suggest adaptations on the neuroanatomy, senses organs, vasculature, and behavioral evolution of these crocodylomorphs. However, is still not clear if the major morphological differences between teleosaurids and metriorhynchids were also mirrored by changes in the braincase and endocranial anatomy. Based on X-ray CT scanning and digital endocast reconstructions we describe the braincase and endocranial anatomy of two well-preserved specimens of Thalattosuchia, the semiaquatic teleosaurid *Steneosaurus bollensis* and the pelagic metriorhynchid *Cricosaurus araucanensis*. We propose that some morphological traits, such as: an enlarged foramen for the internal carotid artery, a carotid foramen ventral to the occipital condyle, a single CN XII foramen, absence of brain flexures, well-developed cephalic vascular system, lack of subtymppanic foramina and the reduction of the paratympanic sinus system, are distinctive features of Thalattosuchia. It has been previously suggested that the enlarged foramen for the internal carotid artery, the absence of brain flexures, and the hypertrophied cephalic vascular system were synapomorphies of Metriorhynchidae; however, new information revealed that all of these features were already established at the base of Thalattosuchia and might have been exapted later on their evolutionary history. Also, we recognized some differences within

Thalattosuchia that previously **have** not ~~been~~ received attention or even were overlooked (e.g. circular/bilobate trigeminal foramen, **single/double CN XII foramen**, separation of the cranioquadrate canal from the external otic aperture, absence/presence of lateral pharyngeal foramen). The functional significances of these traits are still unclear. Extending the sampling to other Thalattosuchia will help ~~to~~ test the timing of acquisition and distribution of these morphological modifications among the whole lineage. Also comparison with extant marine tetrapods (including physiological information) will be crucial to understand if some (and/or which) of the morphological peculiarities of thalattosuchian braincases are products of directional natural selection resulting in a fully adaptation to a nektonic life style.

Braincase and endocranial anatomy of two thalattosuchian crocodylomorphs and their relevance
in understanding their adaptations to the marine environment

Yanina Herrera¹, Juan Martin Leardi² and Marta S. Fernández¹

¹CONICET. División Paleontología Vertebrados, Unidades de Investigación Anexo Museo,
Facultad de Ciencias Naturales y Museo, Universidad Nacional de La Plata, La Plata, Argentina.

²CONICET, Instituto de Estudios Andinos "Don Pablo Groeber" (IDEAN), Facultad de Ciencias
Exactas y Naturales, Departamento de Ciencias Geológicas, Universidad de Buenos Aires,
Buenos Aires, Argentina.

Corresponding author: Yanina Herrera¹

Email address: yaninah@fcnym.unlp.edu.ar

Keywords. Metriorhynchidae, Teleosauridae, Thalattosuchia, Crocodylomorpha, Braincase,
Mesozoic, X-ray CT scanning, Neuroanatomy

24

25 Abstract

26 Thalattosuchians are a group of Mesozoic crocodylomorphs known from aquatic deposits of the
 27 Early Jurassic–Early Cretaceous that comprises two main lineages of almost exclusively marine
 28 forms, Teleosauridae and Metriorhynchoidea. Teleosaurids were found in shallow marine,
 29 brackish and freshwater deposits, and have been characterized as semiaquatic near-shore forms,
 30 whereas metriorhynchids are a lineage of fully pelagic forms, supported by a large set of
 31 morphological characters of the skull and postcranial anatomy. Recent contributions on
 32 Thalattosuchia have been focused on the study of the endocranial anatomy. This newly available
 33 information provides novel evidence to suggest adaptations on the neuroanatomy, senses organs,
 34 vasculature, and behavioral evolution of these crocodylomorphs. However, is still not clear if the
 35 major morphological differences between teleosaurids and metriorhynchids were also mirrored
 36 by changes in the braincase and endocranial anatomy. Based on X-ray CT scanning and digital
 37 endocast reconstructions we describe the braincase and endocranial anatomy of two well-
 38 preserved specimens of Thalattosuchia, the semiaquatic teleosaurid *Steneosaurus bollensis* and
 39 the pelagic metriorhynchid *Cricosaurus araucanensis*. We propose that some morphological
 40 traits, such as: an enlarged foramen for the internal carotid artery, a carotid foramen ventral to
 41 the occipital condyle, a single CN XII foramen, absence of brain flexures, well-developed
 42 cephalic vascular system, lack of subtympanic foramina and the reduction of the paratympanic
 43 sinus system, are distinctive features of Thalattosuchia. It has been previously suggested that the
 44 enlarged foramen for the internal carotid artery, the absence of brain flexures, and the
 45 hypertrophied cephalic vascular system were synapomorphies of Metriorhynchidae; however,
 46 new information revealed that all of these features were already established at the base of

Thalattosuchia and might have been exapted later on their evolutionary history. Also, we recognized some differences within Thalattosuchia that previously have not been received attention or even were overlooked (e.g. circular/bilobate trigeminal foramen, single/double CN XII foramen, separation of the cranioquadrate canal from the external otic aperture, absence/presence of lateral pharyngeal foramen). The functional significances of these traits are still unclear. Extending the sampling to other Thalattosuchia will help to test the timing of acquisition and distribution of these morphological modifications among the whole lineage. Also comparison with extant marine tetrapods (including physiological information) will be crucial to understand if some (and/or which) of the morphological peculiarities of thalattosuchian braincases are products of directional natural selection resulting in a fully adaptation to a nektonic life style.

Introduction

During the Mesozoic, several groups of reptiles displayed secondary adaptations to life in marine environments and some of them were especially successful and thrived as major predators in the sea (e.g. Massare, 1988; Mazin, 2001; Bardet et al., 2014). The most taxonomically diverse groups of Mesozoic marine reptiles are Sauropterygia, Ichthyosauria, Squamata, and Testudinata (Bardet et al., 2014). Remains of thalattosuchian crocodylomorphs are also abundant and taxonomically diverse in the fossil record; however, this group has received less attention in the scientific literature despite being an important component of the marine vertebrate fauna during the Mesozoic era.

Thalattosuchians are known from aquatic deposits of the Early Jurassic through the Early Cretaceous distributed mainly in the Tethys and Pacific oceans, that comprises two main lineages

of almost exclusively marine forms, Teleosauridae and Metriorhynchoidea (e.g. Fraas, 1902; Andrews, 1913; Jouve, 2009; Pol & Gasparini, 2009; Young et al., 2010; Wilberg, 2015a). Teleosaurids were recovered in shallow marine, brackish and even freshwater deposits and based on their morphology have been characterized as semi-aquatic and near-shore forms (e.g. Hua & Buffrenil, 1996; Martin et al., 2016; Johnson et al., 2017). On the other hand, Metriorhynchidae are a lineage of fully pelagic forms, with a large set of morphological traits related to a life in an open ocean environment (e.g. Fraas, 1902; Andrews, 1913; Young et al., 2010).

Among thalattosuchians, the body plan of teleosaurids (elongate and tubular snout, high tooth count, dorsally directed orbits) has been considered as analogous to modern gavials (Andrews, 1913; Westphal, 1962). On the other hand, the derived morphological features of Metriorhynchidae (i.e. laterally directed orbits, reduced and paddle-like forelimbs, hypocercal tail, strongly ventrally directed sacral ribs, loss of osteoderms, hypertrophied nasal glands for salt excretion, reduced olfactory bulbs and olfactory nasal region, and probably were bearing live young; e.g. Fraas, 1902; Andrews, 1913; Fernández & Gasparini, 2008; Young et al., 2010; Herrera, Fernández & Gasparini, 2013; Herrera et al., 2017) conform an unique and easily recognizable body plan that differs from the typical crocodylomorph body plan. These set of morphological and physiological modifications were key-features for the successful invasion of the marine realm.

Endocranial anatomy of thalattosuchians was poorly known and mainly based on artificial or natural brain endocasts (e.g. Wharton, 2000; Herrera, 2015; Herrera & Vennari, 2015). Recent contributions on Thalattosuchia have been focused on the study of the braincase and endocranial anatomy of three-dimensional preserved specimens, based on X-ray computed tomography scanning and 3D visualization techniques. This newly available information

provides novel evidence to suggest adaptations of the neuroanatomy, senses organs, vasculature, and behavioral evolution of these crocodylomorphs (see Fernández et al., 2011; Herrera, Fernández & Gasparini, 2013; Brusatte et al., 2016; Pierce, Williams & Benson, 2017).

However, **is** still not clear if the major morphological differences between teleosaurids and metriorhynchids were also mirrored by changes in the braincase and endocranial anatomy.

Herein, we describe the braincase and the brain endocast, vasculature, inner ear, and paratympanic pneumatic cavities of two thalattosuchians: the teleosaurid *Steneosaurus bollensis* (Jaeger, 1828), and of the metriorhynchid *Cricosaurus araucanensis* (Gasparini & Dellapé, 1976). We used these specimens as a tool to evaluate the disparity in the braincase and endocranial anatomy between teleosaurids and metriorhynchids, as these represent a member of the two distinct clades of Thalattosuchia. In this sense, we explore if peculiarities in the braincase and endocranial structures of metriorhynchids correspond to novel traits of this clade or if they were widespread among thalattosuchians. Finally, the significance of these structures for our understanding of the paleobiology of these marine crocodyliforms will be evaluated.

Materials & Methods

The BSPG 1984 I258, referred **to** *Steneosaurus bollensis*, was recovered from Toarcian outcrops located in the surroundings of Altdorf (Mittelfranken, Bayern, Germany) and comprises the **braincase three-dimensionally preserved** with no evidences of post-mortem deformation. It is almost complete except for the most anterior portion of the frontal and the supraoccipital (Figs. 1–2). It was X-ray micro-CT scanned in 2015 in a Nanotom Scan, located at the Zoologische Staatssammlung München (Bavaria State Collection of Zoology, Munich, Germany). **Dataset**

consisted of 1,798 slices ($2261 \times 2443 \times 1798$ voxel, 0.043 mm voxel size). Due to poor preservation of the external sutures, the bones were segmented separately (Fig. 2).

The holotype of *Cricosaurus araucanensis* (MLP 72-IV-7-1), recovered from Portada Covunco Member (middle Tithonian) of the Vaca Muerta Fm. exposed at Cerro Lotena (northwestern Patagonia, Argentina), consists of an almost complete three-dimensionally preserved skull. There is no conspicuous evidences of post-mortem deformation except for the slightly displacement of the palatines and pterygoids. For the purpose of this contribution we only provide the description of the braincase. The MLP 72-IV-7-1 was scanned in a X-ray medical CT-scanner in 2007. The skull was helically scanned at a slice thickness of 1 mm, 140 kV and 335 mA. Data consisted of 471 slices (512×512 pixels) and were output from the scanner in DICOM format using eFilm (v. 1.8.3).

The respective CT data files were imported as DICOM files into Materialise Mimics 10.01 (Materialise Inc., Leuven, Belgium) for image segmentation and digital reconstruction.

Institutional abbreviations. **AMNH**, American Museum of Natural History (Fossil Reptiles), New York, United States; **BSPG**, Bayerische Staatssammlung für Paläontologie und Geologie, Munich, Germany; **GPIT**, Paläontologische Sammlung der Eberhard Karls Universität Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany; **IVPP**, Institute of Vertebrate Paleontology and Paleoanthropology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China; **LPP**, Institut de paléoprimatologie, paléontologie, humaine; évolution et paléoenvironnements Université de Poitiers, Poitiers, France; **MACN**, Museo Argentino de Ciencias Naturales “Bernardino Rivadavia”, Buenos Aires, Argentina; **MB.R.**, Museum für Naturkunde Humboldt-Universität, Berlin, Germany; **MDA**, Museo del Desierto de Atacama, Antofagasta, Chile; **MGHF**, Museo Geológico H. Fuenzalida, Universidad Católica del Norte, Antofagasta, Chile; **MJCM**, Museo

de Ciencias Naturales y Antropológicas “Juan Cornelio Moyano”, Mendoza, Argentina; **MLP**, Museo de La Plata, La Plata, Argentina; **MOZ**, Museo Provincial de Ciencias Naturales “Prof. Dr. Juan A. Olsacher”, Zapala, Neuquén, Argentina; **MPZ**, Museo Paleontológico de la Universidad de Zaragoza, Zaragoza, Spain; **NHMUK**, Natural History Museum, London, U.K.; **SMNS**, Staatliches Museum für Naturkunde, Stuttgart, Germany; **UCMP**, University of California Museum of Paleontology, Berkeley, USA.

Results

Braincase anatomy of *Steneosaurus bollensis* (BSPG 1984 I258)

The frontal, squamosals and supraoccipital are not well preserved or are largely incomplete (Figs. 1–2), and thus we do not include the description of these bones.

Parietal. The parietal is a single element, as in derived crocodylomorphs (*Sphenosuchus* and more derived taxa) and crocodyliforms (Clark et al., 2004; Leardi, Pol & Clark, 2017). The parietal is partially preserved (Figs. 1A, 2A). It forms part of the dorsal and posterolateral walls of the braincase. Anteriorly, it contacts the frontal through its elongate anterior process; anteroventrally the parietal has a broad contact with the laterosphenoid and posteroventrally with the prootic (Figs. 1A, 1C–1D, 2A and 2C–2D). The parietal forms the posterior region of the intertemporal bar and the medial and posteromedial margins of the supratemporal fenestrae and fossae (Fig. 1A and 1C–1D). In dorsal view, the dorsalmost region of the intertemporal bar is narrow, forming a sagittal crest (Figs. 1A and 2A), as in all thalattosuchians. Posteriorly, the “parietal table” (*sensu* Brusatte et al., 2016), although slightly incomplete in its posterior region, is less anteroposteriorly developed than in *Steneosaurus* cf. *gracilirostris*. In BSPG 1984 I258 the anterior end of the “parietal table” is almost at the level of the posterior margin of the

supratemporal fossa and not at the same plane as the laterosphenoid-prootic suture as in *Steneosaurus* cf. *gracilirostris* (Brusatte et al., 2016).

Prootic. Both prootics are incompletely preserved. It is exposed on the posteromedial region of the supratemporal fossa (Figs. 1C–1D and 2A, 2C–2D), as in most thalattosuchians and non-crocodyliform crocodylomorphs (Clark, 1986; Leardi, Pol & Clark, 2017), and has a dorsal contact with the parietal; this suture could not be recognized externally but it is recognizable in the CT data (Fig. 2A, 2C–2D). Anteriorly, the prootic contacts the laterosphenoid, and posteriorly the quadrate (Figs. 1C and 2C). The prootic forms the dorsal, posterior and ventral margins of the circular trigeminal foramen, and the dorsal and posterior margins of the trigeminal fossa (Figs. 1C–1D and 2C–2D). A circular trigeminal foramen is also present in *Machimosaurus hugii* (SMNS 91415), and likely in *Teleosaurus cadomensis* (Jouve, 2009: Fig. 2). In the posterior margin of the trigeminal foramen the prootic is a slender rod that runs dorsally and separates the trigeminal foramen from the middle ear cavity (Figs. 1D and 2D). Ventrally to the trigeminal foramen the prootic contacts anteriorly the laterosphenoid and posteriorly the quadrate (Figs. 1C and 2C–2D).

Laterosphenoid. Both laterosphenoids are incompletely preserved (Figs. 1C–1D and 2B–2D). It forms most of the lateral wall of the braincase, and contacts posteriorly the prootic, and anteriorly delimits the exit for the olfactory tract. The laterosphenoid forms the anterior margin of the trigeminal fossa and foramen. The laterosphenoid-prootic suture is located at the level of the anterior margin of the trigeminal foramen, and the laterosphenoid does not participate on the dorsal and ventral margins of this foramen, as it only reaches the anterior border of the trigeminal foramen (Figs. 1C–1D and 2C–2D). The trigeminal fossa is not developed anteriorly, thus the laterosphenoid is not excavated (Figs. 1C and 2C). CT data shows that the

laterosphenoid contacts the basisphenoid on ~~the dorsal region of~~ the floor of the endocranial cavity. At level with the ventral margin of the trigeminal foramen a groove excavates the lateral surface of the laterosphenoid, which is dorsally delimited by a subtle ridge. This groove is interpreted as the osteological correlate of the ophthalmic branch of the trigeminal nerve (Fig. 1C). In thalattosuchians the presence of a ridge on the region where the laterosphenoid-prootic suture is located has been previously recognized as a **unique trait** (Holliday & Witmer, 2009; Fernández et al., 2011), however in this specimen the ridge is not conspicuous and not tightly in **contact** as in other thalattosuchians (Fig. 1D).

Quadrate. Both quadrates are incompletely preserved, with the right one more complete than the **left only missing** the distal ends of the condyles for the articular (Fig. 1). The ventral aspect of the left quadrate is eroded exposing a concave surface that corresponds to the middle ear cavity (Figs. 1D and **2D**). The quadrate contacts dorsally the squamosal, dorsomedially the prootic and ventromedially the basisphenoid and the pterygoid (Figs. 1B–1C and 2B–2C). The right orbital process of the quadrate is partially covered by sediment and the left one is not preserved (Fig. 1C–1D), however it appears to not be firmly sutured to the braincase, as in other thalattosuchians (*Machimosaurus hugii*, SMNS 91415; Jouve, 2009; Holliday & Witmer, 2009; Fernández et al., 2011; Herrera, Gasparini & Fernández, 2015; Wilberg, 2015a). The trigeminal fossa is developed posterior to the trigeminal foramen and excavates the anterolateral surface of the quadrate (Figs. 1C and 2C), like in *Steneosaurus pictaviensis* (LPP.M.37), *Machimosaurus hugii* (SMNS 91415), *Cricosaurus araucanensis* (MLP 72-IV-7-1), and "*Metriorhynchus*" cf. *westermanni* (Fernández et al., 2011). The extension of the fossa in BSPG 1984 I258, posterior to the trigeminal foramen, is probably exaggerated because ~~in this region the bone~~ is damaged. The quadrate does not participate in the margin of the trigeminal foramen (Figs. 1C–1D and 2C–2D).

In ventral view, the quadrate contacts the basisphenoid through a serrated suture (Fig. 1B). The quadrate does not reach the basal tuberosities of the basioccipital (Figs. 1B and 2B), contrary to *Steneosaurus* cf. *gracilirostris* (Brusatte et al., 2016), and *Pelagosaurus typus* (BSPG 1890 I5, NHMUK PV R.32599). In BSPG 1984 I258 the main body of the quadrate has a more lateral direction in comparison with other thalattosuchians and forms an angle of about 70° with the sagittal plane of the skull (Fig. 1B), similar to *Steneosaurus edwarsi* (NHMUK PV R.3701). In other thalattosuchians (e.g. *Steneosaurus* cf. *gracilirostris*, NHMUK PV R.33095; *Pelagosaurus typus*, BSPG 1890 I5, NHMUK PV R.32599; *Peipehsuchus teleorhinus*, IVPP V 10098; *Cricosaurus araucanensis*, MLP 72-IV-7-1) this angle is more acute and results in the quadrate's body more posterolaterally directed. On the ventral surface of the quadrate the "crest B" (Iordansky, 1973) marks the origin of the *M. adductor mandibulae posterior* as in most crocodyliforms (Figs. 1B and 2B). In BSPG 1984 I258 the "crest B" is sharp and has its medialmost branch posteriorly curved, and it determines a conspicuous fossa on the ventral surface of the quadrate within the adductor chamber, as in *Steneosaurus edwarsi* (NHMUK PV R.3701). A well-developed "crest B" has also been described in other thalattosuchians (Jouve, 2009; Holliday & Witmer, 2009; Fernández et al., 2011; Young et al., 2012; Herrera, Gasparini & Fernández, 2015; Brusatte et al., 2016). Due to preservation, the sutures with the otoccipital, and the region of the cranioquadrate foramen could not be described.

Otoccipitals. The exoccipitals and opisthotics are fused in a single element, the otoccipital (Clark, 1986). Both otoccipitals are incomplete, not well preserved and partially reconstructed (Fig. 1E). The otoccipital forms the lateral margins of the foramen magnum, but it is not possible to determine if it has some degree of participation in the dorsal margin (Figs. 1E and 2E). The foramen magnum is ovalshaped, with the major axis mediolaterally oriented (Fig. 1E). Also, the

230 otoccipital participates in the dorsolateral region of the occipital condyle contacting the
 231 basioccipital, as in most crocodylomorphs (Figs. 1E and 2E). The right paroccipital process is
 232 almost complete and is slightly dorsally directed (Figs. 1E and 2E). The ventrolateral flange of
 233 the otoccipital contacts the quadrate in the ventral margin of the occipital surface of the skull,
 234 lateral to the lateral pharyngeal foramen. The otoccipital forms approximately half of the
 235 posterior margin of the lateral pharyngeal foramen (Figs. 1B and 2B). Lateral to the foramen
 236 magnum and at the same level with its ventral margin, the single foramen for the passage of the
 237 cranial nerve XII (i.e. hypoglossal foramen) is present (Figs. 1E and 2E), like in *Cricosaurus*
 238 *araucanensis* (MLP 72-IV-7-1), *Teleosaurus cadomensis* (Jouve, 2009), "*Metriorhynchus*" cf.
 239 *westermanni* (Fernández et al., 2011: Fig. 1C) and *Steneosaurus* cf. *gracilirostris* (Brusatte et al.,
 240 2016), among others, and unlike *Pelagosaurus typus* (BSPG 1890 I5), the metriorhynchid
 241 specimen LPP.M 23 and likely *Metriorhynchus brachyrhynchus* (LPP.M.22), where the CN XII
 242 has two foramina on the occipital table. Approximately at the same level, on the ventrolateral
 243 region of the paroccipital process and lateral to the hypoglossal foramen, there is a large foramen
 244 (Figs. 1E and 2E). In BSPG 1984 I258 this foramen is interpreted as the common passage of the
 245 cranial nerves IX, X, XI and associated vessels (i.e. vagus foramen), as in *Purranisaurus potens*
 246 (MJCM PV 2060). It is smaller than the internal carotid artery foramen. In BSPG 1984 I258 the
 247 internal carotid artery foramen is conspicuous (Figs. 1E and 2E), as in other thalattosuchians. An
 248 enlarged foramen for the internal carotid artery was previously described in metriorhynchids
 249 (e.g. Pol & Gasparini, 2009; Fernández et al., 2011; Young et al., 2012; Foffa & Young, 2014;
 250 Herrera, Gasparini & Fernández, 2015) and this feature was proposed as a synapomorphy of
 251 Metriorhynchidae (Pol & Gasparini, 2009). However, a large or wide internal carotid foramen is
 252 also present in the metriorhynchoids *Pelagosaurus typus* (e.g. BSPG 1890 I5), and *Zoneait*

253 *nargorum* (Wilberg, 2015a), and in teleosaurid specimens (e.g. *S. pictaviensis*, LPP.M.37;
 254 ?*Steneosaurus* sp., SMNS 59558; Jouve, 2009), as ~~was recently~~ mentioned by Brusatte et al.
 255 (2016). The internal carotid artery foramen in BSPG 1984 I258 is situated ventrally in the
 256 occipital table, lateral to the basioccipital tuberosities and piercing the otoccipital with a
 257 posteroventral direction (Figs. 1E and 2E). A posteroventral or ventrolateral direction of the
 258 internal carotid artery foramen is also present in *S. bollensis* (SMNS 59558), *S. pictaviensis*
 259 (LPP.M.37), *S. leedsi* (NHMUK PV R.3320), *S. larteti* (GPIT 07283), *M. hugii* (SMNS 91415),
 260 *T. cadomensis* (Jouve, 2009), and differing from the condition in metriorhynchoids, on which the
 261 foramen has a strictly posterior direction being only visible in occipital view (see below). Indeed,
 262 in some teleosaurid specimens this foramen is only exposed in ventral view (e.g. *S. leedsi*,
 263 NHMUK PV R.3320; *Peipehsuchus teleorhinus*, IVPP V 10098; *M. hugii*, SMNS 91415).
 264 **Basioccipital.** It forms most of the occipital condyle because the otoccipital participates solely
 265 on the dorsolateral region of the condyle (Figs. 1E and 2E), as in *Pelagosaurus typus* (BSPG
 266 1890 I5), *T. cadomensis* (Jouve, 2009), *S. cf. gracilirostris* (Brusatte et al., 2016), and the
 267 metriorhynchid specimen from Mörsheim Formation (BSPG 1973 I195), among others. It
 268 forms part of the ventral margin of the foramen magnum. In occipital view, the basioccipital is
 269 sutured dorsolaterally and laterally to the otoccipital (Figs. 1E and 2E). In ventral view, the
 270 basioccipital contacts anteriorly the basisphenoid. The basioccipital forms most of the
 271 basioccipital tuberosities, with its posterolateral region located more dorsally than its medial
 272 region. On the anteromedial surface of the tuberosities, it is sutured to the basisphenoid. Between
 273 the tuberosities this bone forms the posterior margin of the medial pharyngeal foramen. Also, the
 274 basioccipital forms roughly half of the posterior margin of the lateral pharyngeal foramen (Figs.
 275 1B and 2B).

Basisphenoid. The basisphenoid is widely exposed in ventral view. Anteriorly it contacts the pterygoids through a “V”-shaped suture with the apex anteriorly directed, laterally the quadrates, and posteriorly the basioccipital, forming the anterior and lateral margins of the medial pharyngeal foramen (Figs. 1B and 2B). The anteroventral surface of the basisphenoid bears two anteroposteriorly directed crests separated by a concave surface. This anteroventral surface is wider than the medial pharyngeal foramen (Figs. 1B and 2B), a similar condition as the one present in *S. bollensis* (SMNS 15951b). The basisphenoid has two posterolateral processes that form the anterior margin of the lateral pharyngeal foramen; these processes contact laterally the quadrates through a serrated suture (Fig. 1B). The presence of the lateral pharyngeal foramina in *S. bollensis* is shared with other teleosaurids (e.g. *Peipehsuchus teleorhinus*, IVPP V 10098; *S. pictaviensis*, LPP.M.37; *T. cadomensis*, Jouve, 2009) and basal metriorhynchoids (*Pelagosaurus typus*, BSPG 1890 I5; Dufeu, 2011: Fig. 1–6C, D; Pierce, Williams & Benson, 2017). However, this contrast with the condition of most metriorhynchids (e.g. *C. araucanensis*; the metriorhynchid specimen from Mörsheim Formation, BSPG 1973 I195; *Purranisaurus potens*, MJCM PV 2060; *Metriorhynchus superciliosus*, SMNS 10116; "*Metriorhynchus*" *westermanni*, MDA 1) where the lateral pharyngeal (Eustachian) foramina are absent. CT data shows that anteriorly, the basisphenoid is sutured dorsally to the laterosphenoid, enclosing the pituitary fossa. On the floor of the endocranial cavity the internal foramina for the passage of the CN VI were recognized. The canals of these cranial nerves are directed anteroventrally, entering to the pituitary fossa dorsally to the internal carotid artery.

Endocranial anatomy of *Steneosaurus bollensis* (BSPG 1984 I258)

Morphology of the brain endocast. The endocast of the BSPG 1984 I258 comprises the posterior region of the forebrain to the medulla oblongata, lacking the anterior portion of the olfactory tract. Poor preservation of the bones surrounding the brain ventrally resulted in an incomplete reconstruction of the anterior region of the pituitary and of the anteroventral region of the brain (Fig. 3).

The brain shows a subtle anteroposterior differentiation, with the forebrain-midbrain and midbrain-hindbrain flexures not well marked (Fig. 3A–3B). This feature is also present in other thalattosuchians (Fernández et al., 2011; Herrera, 2015; Herrera & Vennari, 2015; Brusatte et al., 2016; Pierce, Williams & Benson, 2017). The lateral projection of the bulbous cerebral hemispheres (at the level of the maximum width of cerebrum) is less extended (Fig. 3C–3D) in comparison with other thalattosuchians (see below) and extant crocodiles (Witmer et al., 2008: Fig. 6.3B; Bona & Paulina Carabajal, 2013: Fig. 6E; Bona, Paulina Carabajal & Gasparini, 2017: Fig. 7A). Although preservation precludes the full reconstruction of the pituitary, its general shape and orientation are discernible. The pituitary is anteroposteriorly elongated, as in other thalattosuchians (Brusatte et al., 2016; Pierce, Williams & Benson, 2017) while it contrasts with the rather anteroposteriory shorter pituitary of other crocodyliforms (e.g. *Gavialis gangeticus*, Pierce, Williams & Benson, 2017; *Simosuchus clarki*, Kley et al., 2010; *Sebecus icaeorhinus*, Colbert, 1946a). As in most crocodyliforms, the pituitary in BSPG 1984 I258 is posteroventrally projected, having its anterior region more dorsally positioned than its posterior one. Posteriorly, the extension of the pituitary reaches the level of the posterior margin of the trigeminal foramen (Fig. 3A–3B and 3E–3F).

Vascular elements. The rostral and caudal middle cerebral veins and the internal carotid artery were identified. The rostral middle cerebral vein forms a swelling on the dorsal region of the

endocast, posteriorly to the cerebral hemispheres and dorsally to the cranial nerve V (Fig. 3B). The rostral middle cerebral vein exits the braincase through the trigeminal foramen (Fig. 3B–3D), as in other thalattosuchians. The dorsal longitudinal sinus, that overlays the brain (Fig. 3C–3D), is not ridge-like as in *C. araucanensis* (Fig. 6C–6D), because the dorsal portion of the endocast is flat (Fig. 3A–3B). Posterodorsally on the endocast, the roots of the caudal middle cerebral vein were reconstructed. These veins are dorsolaterally projected and then turn laterally, within the temporal canal, towards the cranioquadrate canal (Fig. 3A–3D). These structures were previously recognized in other thalattosuchians and identified as related to vascular elements under different names: portion of the dorsal venous sinus system (Wharton, 2000), “unnominated cavity 1” (Fernández et al., 2011), posterior portion of the transverse sinus/posterior middle cerebral vein (Brusatte et al., 2016); or branches of the dorsal longitudinal sinus (Pierce, Williams & Benson, 2017). Due to poor preservation the distal part of this vascular canal (temporal canal) and its relationship with the cranioquadrate canal cannot be traced with confidence. However, the preserved morphology is consistent with those of other thalattosuchians, and the lateral projection of the preserved temporal canal suggests that both structures are confluent (Fig. 3G–3H).

The carotid canals run from the ventral region of the occipital surface of the skull to the pituitary (Fig. 3B). The most posterior portion runs parallel to the midline of the skull ~~through~~ a short way (this portion is shorter than in *C. araucanensis*, see Fig. 6F), to turn obliquely afterwards (Fig. 3F). In BSPG 1984 I258 the longest part of the carotid canal is directed from posterolaterally to anteromedially (Fig. 3F). The carotid canals enter the pituitary fossa piercing its posterior wall through two separate foramina. The carotid canals are not completely ossified, thus there is a section of the oblique part of the canal ~~that~~ could not be segmented separately

from the pharyngotympanic sinus (Fig. 3B, 3D, and 3F). A similar condition has been recognized in *S. cf. gracilirostris* (Brusatte et al., 2016) and **others** extinct crocodyliforms and extant crocodilians (e.g. Sedlmayr, 2002; Bona, Degrange & Fernández, 2013; Dufeu & Witmer, 2015).

Nerves. Canals of the III, V, VI, VII, IX-XI, and XII cranial nerves were recognized and reconstructed. As we mentioned above, the anteroventral region of the braincase of BSPG 1984 I258 is damaged in a way that some of the nerves that originate from the ventrolateral region of the midbrain could not be identified.

On the lateral wall of the braincase, ventrolaterally to the cerebral hemispheres, the foramen that pierces the laterosphenoid is here interpreted as the foramen for the **oculomotor** nerve (CN III) (Fig. 3B, 3F). The large **trigeminal** foramen is identified as it is ventrolaterally projected from the endocast (Fig. 3A–3F).

Cranial nerve VI (abducens) exits the endocranial cavity through the basisphenoid via individual foramina, posteroventrally to CN V (Fig. 3B, 3F). Two passages for the branches of CN VI project slightly anteroventrally from the ventral side of the hindbrain and pass laterally to the pituitary fossa (Fig. 3B). A small canal posterior to the trigeminal nerve foramen is identified as the facial nerve canal (CN VII) which exits the endocranial cavity through a foramen in the prootic (Fig. 3B). Cranial nerves IX, X, XI, and XII originate from the lateral region of the hindbrain. Cranial nerves IX, X, XI exit the endocranial cavity through a dorsoventrally elongated **metotic foramen** (Fig. 3B, 3H). Posteriorly, cranial nerve XII (hypoglossal) has a single root on the endocast and only one external opening in the posterior surface (Fig. 3B, 3D, 3F, 3H).

Paratympanic sinus system. In BSPG 1984 I258 several interconnected diverticular expansions

from both the pharyngotympanic sinus and median pharyngeal sinus (*sensu* Dufeu & Witmer, 2015) have been identified (Fig. 3A, 3C, 3E, 3G). As the condition present in most crocodyliforms (e.g. *Protosuchus richardsoni*, *Notosuchus terrestris*, *Caiman latirrostris*), the paratympanic sinus system of *S. bollensis* communicates with the pharynx via a single medial foramen (medial pharyngeal foramen), and two lateral foramina (lateral pharyngeal foramina) (Figs. 1B and 2B).

The medial pharyngeal tube (= medial Eustachian tube) bifurcates in two paired system of pneumatic canals, an anterior pair and a posterior pair (anterior and posterior communicating canals, *sensu* Miall, 1878). The posterior communicating canals diverge almost at 90 degrees from the medial canal, to be directed laterally and dorsally to connect with the middle ear cavity. The posterior communicating canals bear some expansions on their path to contribute to the pneumatization of the posterior part of the basioccipital (basioccipital diverticulum *sensu* Dufeu & Witmer, 2015) (Fig. 3E–G). The anterior communicating canals are connected with the medial pharyngeal tube through a wide anteroposteriorly directed tube that runs through the ventral surface of the basisphenoid (anterodorsal branch of the basisphenoid diverticulum) (Fig. 3A, 3E). The anterior most part of the ventral canal is expanded, in the same region where the two dorsolaterally directed anterior communicating canals are born. At this point a slight ventral projection of the anterior communicating canals is seen, however it is difficult to evaluate if this pneumatization continues into the pterygoids, forming a pterygoid diverticulum (Fig. 3A, 3E, 3G). The anterior communicating canals are much broader than the posterior ones (Fig. 3E), and after a short dorsoventral extension, enter the middle ear cavity on its anteroventral region.

The middle ear cavity is elongated and tubular (Fig. 3A, 3C, 3E, 3G), due to the particular thalattosuchian condition where the quadrate extends its limit well laterally when

390 compared to other crocodyliforms. Besides the middle ear **cavity** the quadrate lacks any well-
 391 developed **additional** pneumatization (Fig. 3A, 3C, 3E, 3G). That **is** BSPG 1984 I258 lacks any
 392 infundibular diverticulum, like *Pelagosaurus typus* (Dufeu, 2011), *S. cf. gracilirostris* (contra
 393 Brusatte et al., 2016; see below), and *C. araucanensis* (see below). In derived crocodylomorphs
 394 (i.e. *Macelognathus*) and most crocodyliforms (e.g. *Protosuchus richardsoni*, *Notosuchus*
 395 *terrestris*, *Caiman latirostris*) the quadrate is heavily pneumatized, both **anteriorly** to the otic
 396 aperture (infundibular diverticulum) and on the distal body of the quadrate (quadrate
 397 diverticulum). These pneumatizations ~~are~~ **communicated** with the middle ear cavity and also
 398 have an independent external opening through one subtympenic foramen (or quadrate **fenestrae**)
 399 (e.g. *Macelognathus*, *C. latirostris*) or more (e.g. *Junggarsuchus*, *P. richardsoni*, *Notosuchus*).
 400 Thus, the subtympenic foramina are the external osteological **correlate** of these quadrate
 401 pneumatizations. Furthermore, no thalattosuchian with the presence **of** subtympenic foramen has
 402 been reported (e.g. *C. araucanensis*, *S. bollensis*, *Dakosaurus*, *Pelagosaurus*, *Teleosaurus*),
 403 reinforcing the interpretation of the lack of quadrate pneumatization in thalattosuchians. In other
 404 recent contributions, the infundibular diverticulum has been identified in the teleosaurid *S. cf.*
 405 *gracilirostris* (Brusatte et al., 2016), however this specimen does not have any individualized
 406 pneumatization that invades the quadrate anteriorly to the otic aperture, and what was identified
 407 as the pneumatic inflations of the suspensorium are not separated from the middle ear cavity. The
 408 posterior part of the middle ear cavity of BSPG 1984 I258 bears a posterior sheet-like expansion,
 409 just at the level where the posterior communicating canal enters the middle ear (Fig. **3G**). This
 410 posterior laminar expansion slightly pneumatizes the pterygoid process of the quadrate, but it
 411 never reaches the distal body of the quadrate, thus not forming a proper quadrate diverticulum
 412 (*sensu* Dufeu & Witmer, 2015). This condition is shared with other thalattosuchians, as the

absence of a quadrate diverticulum was described previously for *P. typus* (Dufeu, 2011) and *S. cf. gracilirostris* (Brusatte et al., 2016).

Anterodorsally the paratympanic cavity of BSPG 1984 I258 is convex and slightly projected, and as a result the posteroventral surface of the prootic is concave. A similar morphology has been identified for *S. cf. gracilirostris* and *P. typus*, which lead to the identification of this dorsal projection of the paratympanic cavity as the prootic diverticulum (Brusatte et al., 2016; Pierce, Williams & Benson, 2017). However, in modern crocodylians the prootic diverticulum is positioned at the level of the semicircular canals, just **anteriorly** to them, and **dorsally** to the trigeminal ganglion, forming an isolated pneumatic recess (Dufeu & Witmer, 2015). None of the features mentioned before can be observed in the CT data of any of the thalattosuchians examined up to the date. Thus, a well-developed prootic diverticulum seems to be absent in thalattosuchians.

On the other hand, the middle ear cavity has a convex profile in lateral view and, unlike derived non-crocodyliiform crocodylomorphs (*Kayentasuchus*, *Dibothrosuchus*, *Junggarsuchus*, *Macelognathus*) and most crocodyliiforms (e.g. *Protosuchus richardsoni*, *Caiman latirostris*), it does not invade the prootic. In derived crocodylomorphs a pneumatic cavity has been described in the posterodorsal region of the prootic, usually referred as the mastoid antrum. In crocodyliiforms this sinus (intertympanic sinus, *sensu* Dufeu & Witmer, 2015) penetrates into the supraoccipital and passes through it, connecting the middle ear and paratympanic pneumatizations from both sides (Clark, 1986). **This feature is not present in *S. bollensis* neither** in other thalattosuchians **were** CT data has been made available (Brusatte et al., 2016; Pierce, Williams & Benson, 2017) **or** natural breakage of the supraoccipital has allowed observing this feature (Wilberg, 2015b).

Finally, in BSPG 1984 I258 the pharyngotympanic sinus is expanded posteriorly partially pneumatizing the otoccipital. The posterior sheet-like expansion of the middle ear cavity mentioned above, before entering the quadrate, expands entering the ventral part of the otoccipital (Fig. 3A, 3C, 3E, 3G). The dorsal region of the otoccipital is also pneumatized by a posterior rounded evagination of the pharyngotympanic sinus. However, this pneumatization is restricted to the ventral part of the otoccipital. A similar condition has been reported in other thalattosuchians (Dufeu, 2011: Fig. 1-6C, 1-6D; Brusatte et al., 2016; Pierce, Williams & Benson, 2017).

Endosseous labyrinth of the inner ear. The general aspect of the endosseous labyrinth in BSPG 1984 I258 is similar in shape to that of extant and extinct crocodilians (Fig. 4), i.e. a triangular vestibular apparatus dorsally and an elongated cochlea ventrally (e.g. Witmer et al., 2008; Bona, Degrange & Fernández, 2013; Pierce, Williams & Benson, 2017). The anterior semicircular canal is slightly longer than the posterior one, which is similar to the lateral canal (Fig. 4B, 4D). The cochlear ducts extend largely ventrally, with only a slight medial component (Fig. 4A, 4C). In BSPG 1984 I258, the complete inner ear is approximately 20.5 mm tall and has a maximum width of 16 mm at the level of the semicircular canals.

Braincase anatomy of *Cricosaurus araucanensis* (MLP 72-IV-7-1)

Frontal. The frontal is completely fused. The postorbital processes form an acute angle of about 45° angle with the midline of the skull. The anteromedial process of the frontal wedges anteriorly between the posteromedial processes of the nasal and extends further anteriorly than the level of the posterior margin of the preorbital fossa. The frontal has a reduced participation in the dorsal margin of the orbit (Fig. 5A). Posterolaterally, the frontal contacts the postorbital trough a V-

shaped suture with the apex pointed posteriorly. The postorbital process of the frontal forms the posterodorsal margin of the orbit, and the anteromedial margin of the supratemporal fossa. The frontal extends posteroventrally and forms most of the anterior floor of the supratemporal fossa.

In ventral view the frontal contacts anteriorly with the prefrontal, and posteroventrally, with the laterosphenoid forming the exit for the olfactory tract. The groove on the skull roof is the osteological correlate of the olfactory tract and is **limited** laterally by two low **cranii crests**. The anterior portion of the groove is mediolaterally wider than the posterior one.

Parietal. The parietal is a "T"-shaped element with an anterior (frontal) process and two lateral (squamosal) processes (Fig. 5A). The anterior process forms the posterior region of the intertemporal bar and contacts the frontal; the lateral process is sutured to the squamosal, both via serrated sutures (Figs. 5A and 6A). Posterodorsally, the parietal bears a large posterior notch between the squamosal processes, forming a semicircular or "U"-shaped structure in dorsal view (Figs. 5A, 5C and 6A). This posterior parietal notch is also present in other metriorhynchids such as: *Cricosaurus lithographicus* (MOZ-PV 5787), *Cricosaurus elegans* (BSPG AS I 504), *Metriorhynchus brachyrhynchus* (LPP.B.1), and the metriorhynchid specimen from Mörsheim Formation (BSPG 1973 I195). The parietal is well-extended posteriorly, having participation in the central region of the occipital table. Given this condition, the supraoccipital is excluded of the dorsal aspect of the skull (Fig. 5C) as in most non-eusuchian and non-notosuchian crocodyliforms (Clark, 1986).

In occipital view the parietal is ventrally sutured to the supraoccipital and ventrolaterally has a reduced contact with the otoccipital near the midline. In the place where the parietal contacts the otoccipital and the supraoccipital, there is a reduced and obliterated fossa, which corresponds topographically to where the **posttemporal** fenestra is located in other

crocodylomorphs. The lateral processes of the parietal develop a rim over the occipital table, which continues in the squamosal (nuchal crest) (Fig. 5C).

Within the supratemporal fossa, the parietal is projected ventrally, forming part of the posterolateral wall of the braincase as well the medial margin of the supratemporal fenestra (Figs. 5A and 6A). Within the fossa, the parietal is anteriorly sutured to the frontal through a transverse and interdigitated suture, anteroventrally to the laterosphenoid, and posteroventrally to the prootic. The parietal forms the dorsomedial margin of the temporo-orbital foramen (Fig. 6A).

Squamosal. The squamosal contributes to the posterior and posterolateral margins of the supratemporal fossa and fenestra. The squamosal participation in the supratemporal zygomatic arcade is reduced, with the postorbital contributing around ~~the~~ 75% of the ~~zygomatic~~ arc. Anterolaterally the squamosal contacts the postorbital, while posteromedially it contacts the parietal (Fig. 5A). Squamosal-postorbital suture is serrated on the lateral aspect of the skull, and within the supratemporal fossa the suture is straight and "V"-shaped with the apex oriented anteriorly. In dorsal view the squamosal is narrow and slightly concave (Fig. 5A). The squamosal-parietal suture is between the dorsomedial process of the squamosal, which meets the lateral (squamosal) process of the parietal (Figs. 5A, 5C and 6A). Within the supratemporal fossa the squamosal extends ventrally contacting the quadrate, and the prootic (Fig. 6A). The suture with the latter is given by the ventral branch of the dorsomedial process of the squamosal, which is broader than the dorsal one. The squamosal forms the dorsolateral margin of the reduced temporo-orbital foramen (Fig. 6A).

In occipital view the squamosal is sutured to the dorsolateral margin of the paroccipital process via a rounded posterior process (Fig. 5C). Visible on lateral and posterior views is the smooth and slightly concave subcircular structure of the squamosal present in other

thalattosuchians (e.g. *S. leedsi*, NHMUK PV R.3320; *D. andiniensis*, MOZ-PV 6146; *C. lithographicus*, MOZ-PV 5787; *Maledictosuchus riclaensis*, MPZ 2001/130a; *Torvoneustes coryphaeus*, Young et al. 2013; *Tyrannoneustes lythrodectikos*, Foffa & Young, 2014).

Prootic. The prootic is broadly exposed on the lateral wall of the braincase and it is exposed on the posteromedial margin of the supratemporal fossa (Fig. 5A, 5C), as in non-crocodyliform crocodylomorphs and other thalattosuchians (Leardi, Pol & Clark, 2017). This bone has a subpentagonal shape and contacts anteriorly the laterosphenoid, dorsally the parietal, posteriorly the squamosal, and ventrally the quadrate (Figs. 5C and 6A–6C). The prootic forms the ventral margin of the temporo-orbital foramen (Fig. 6A–6B), as in *Pelagosaurus typus* (NHMUK PV R.32599), *Teleosaurus cadomensis* (Jouve, 2009) and *Purranisaurus potens* (MJCM PV 2060). In MLP 72-IV-7-1, the prootic has a reduced contribution to the dorsal margin of the trigeminal fossa (however this feature is variable among the *C. araucanensis* specimens). The prootic forms the posterior half of the bilobate trigeminal foramen (Fig. 6B–6C).

Laterosphenoid. It forms most of the lateral and anteroventral walls of the endocranial cavity, surrounding the cerebral hemispheres. The laterosphenoid forms the anterior margin of the supratemporal fossa. Anterior and dorsally it contacts the frontal and postorbital (Fig. 5A). Within the supratemporal fossa, the laterosphenoid has a broad dorsal contact with the parietal and briefly contacts the frontal. The laterosphenoid is posteriorly sutured to the prootic (Fig. 6A) through a suture that forms a pronounced ridge (Fig. 6A), as in other thalattosuchians (e.g. *Purranisaurus potens*, MJCM PV 2060; *Metriorhynchus superciliosus*, SMNS 10116; *Pelagosaurus typus*, BSPG 1890 I5; *Steneosaurus bollensis*, SMNS 15951b; Holliday & Witmer, 2009; Fernández et al., 2011; Brusatte et al., 2016). In ventral view, the anterodorsal region

contacts its counterpart and together with the frontal delimits the exit for the olfactory tract.

Anteroventrally to the trigeminal fossa, the laterosphenoid contacts the pterygoid (Fig. 6B).

The laterosphenoid forms the anterior and anteroventral margins of the trigeminal foramen (Fig. 6B–6C). In MLP 72-IV-7-1 the trigeminal foramen is bilobate-shaped, with a posterodorsal lobule much smaller than the anteroventral one (Fig. 6B–6C), as in "*Metriorhynchus*" cf. *westermanni* (MDA 2), *Dakosaurus* cf. *andiniensis* (MOZ-PV 089), the metriorhynchid specimen LPP.M.23, and *Pelagosaurus typus* (NHMUK PV R.32599). The same morphology is observed in the natural casts of the brain of *Cricosaurus araucanensis* (e.g. MLP 73-II-27-3, MLP 76-II-19-1, MOZ-PV 7261; Herrera, 2015; Herrera & Vennari, 2015) as two lobules were identified: a small lobule was interpreted as the middle cerebral vein while a large one was assumed to correspond to the trigeminal nerve. Anteriorly to the trigeminal foramen the laterosphenoid is slightly excavated, forming a shallower triangular anterior trigeminal fossa (Fig. 6C). This fossa is dorsally delimited by a crest which is interpreted as the osteological correlate of the ophthalmic branch of the trigeminal nerve (CN V₁), as was identified in "*M.*" cf. *westermanni* (Fernández et al., 2011) and *S.* cf. *gracilirostris* (Brusatte et al., 2016). Dorsal to this crest, there is an anterodorsally directed groove that we interpreted as the correlate of the maxillary branch of the trigeminal nerve (CN V₂) (Fig. 6C).

Anterodorsally to the trigeminal fossa the left laterosphenoid is eroded leaving exposed the natural cast of the brain, specifically the dorsal region of the cerebral hemisphere. Here, there are two small blood vessels fillings with bone tissue preserved between them (Fig. 6A), as in the dorsal region of the cerebral hemispheres of the metriorhynchids from Vaca Muerta Fm. MOZ-PV 089, MOZ-PV 7201, MOZ-PV 7261 (Herrera, 2015; Herrera & Vennari, 2015).

Quadrate. In MLP 72-IV-7-1 the quadrates are almost completely preserved, lacking only part of the condylar region (Figs. 5B and 6A–6C). Within the supratemporal fossa, the quadrate contacts dorsally the squamosal and medially the prootic (Fig. 6A). In MLP 72-IV-7-1 the quadrate does not contact the laterosphenoid, as in most thalattosuchians (Clark, 1986), because the prootic is exposed in the supratemporal fossa, thus precluding the contact between these two bones (Leardi, Pol & Clark, 2017) (Fig. 6A). The trigeminal fossa is broadly developed **posteriorly** to the trigeminal foramen (Fig. 6B–6C), as in most metriorhynchids (e.g. *M. brachyrhynchus*, LPP.M.22; "*M.*" *westermanni* and "*M.*" cf. *westermanni*; Fernández et al., 2011; *Plesiosuchus manselli*, Young et al., 2012) and some teleosaurids (e.g. *Machimosaurus hugii*, SMNS 91415; *S. pictaviensis*, LPP.M.37). **In MLP 72-IV-7-1 the fossa excavates the quadrate, thus this bone forms the posterior and ventral margins of the trigeminal fossa (Fig. 6B–6C).** The quadrate does not participate on the temporo-orbital foramen (Fig. 6A–6B), as in *Pelagosaurus typus* (BSPG 1890 I5, NHMUK PV R.32599), and unlike the condition present in *Teleosaurus cadomensis* (Jouve, 2009) and *Machimosaurus hugii* (SMNS 91415; Martin & Vincent, 2013) where the quadrate participates very slightly to the ventrolateral margin. The orbital process of the quadrate remains free of bony attachment (Fig. 6B–6C), as in other thalattosuchians.-

In ventral view, the quadrate contacts the basioccipital and the basisphenoid medially, ~~unfortunately and~~ due to damage on the holotype specimen (MLP 72-IV-7-1), we cannot describe in detail this region as well as the contact with the pterygoids. The "crest B" of the quadrate is low and wide and it is developed on the anterior border of the quadrate (Fig. 5B). **Anteriorly** to the "crest B", the quadrate is not exposed (in ventral view), as in "*M.*" cf. *westermanni* (MDA 2; Fernández et al., 2011: Fig. 1B), *Purranisaurus potens* (MJCM PV 2060),

and the metriorhynchid specimen (LPP.M.23). The pterygoid process of the quadrate is broad and it widens anterodorsally, forming an expanded distal end ~~of such process~~ (Fig. 5B).

In occipital view, the quadrate contacts the ventrolateral flange of the otoccipital. The quadrate forms the lateral margin of the cranioquadrate canal (Fig. 5C). In MLP 72-IV-7-1, the cranioquadrate foramen and canal are separated from the external otic aperture by a bony lamina (Fig. 5C), as in other metriorhynchids (e.g. *Purranisaurus potens*, MJCM PV 2060; *Maledictosuchus riclaensis*, MPZ 2001/130a, Parrilla-Bel et al., 2013; *Torvoneustes coryphaeus*, Young et al., 2013) and in the teleosaurids *Steneosaurus* sp. (SMNS 59558) and *Machimosaurus hugii* (SMNS 91415). This differs from the condition present in *Pelagosaurus typus* (BSPG 1890 I5), *Steneosaurus pictaviensis* (LPP.M.37), and *Teleosaurus cadomensis* (Jouve, 2009) where these structures are **incompletely** separated. In *C. araucanensis* the external otic aperture is located **posteriorly** to the infratemporal fenestra and ~~it~~ opens ventrolaterally. In lateral view the otic aperture is triangular shaped with rounded corners and is completely **included** within the quadrate. The dorsal margin is overhung by the squamosal, but it does not form part of this margin. The quadrate encloses most of the middle ear cavity.

Otoccipital. The otoccipital contacts the supraoccipital dorsally, the parietal and squamosal dorsolaterally, and the quadrate ventrolaterally, and forms the dorsal and lateral margins of the foramen magnum (Fig. 5C). The foramen magnum is ovalshaped, with the major axis mediolaterally oriented. We cannot determine if the otoccipital participates **on** the dorsal region of the condyle because the sutures are not **preserved** (Fig. 5C). However, in MLP 72-IV-7-4 ~~this trait can be observed, and~~ the otoccipitals participate in the dorsolateral part of the occipital condyle as in most crocodylomorphs. In ventral view it is sutured laterally to the quadrate and medially to the basioccipital (Fig. 5B).

The paroccipital processes are orientated dorsally (Fig. 5C), as in other metriorhynchids (e.g. "*M.*" *casamiquelai*, MGHF 1-08573; "*M.*" cf. *westermanni*, MDA 2; *Plesiosuchus manselii*, Young et al., 2012; *Maledictosuchus riclaensis*, Parrilla-Bel et al., 2013; *Torvoneustes coryphaeus*, Young et al., 2013). Unlike many crocodylomorphs (e.g. *Protosuchus richardsoni*, UCMP 131827; *Notosuchus terrestris*, MACN-RN 1037; *Steneosaurus bollensis*, see above; *Caiman yacare*, MACN 15145; *Almadasuchus figarii*, Pol et al., 2013) the paroccipital processes are strongly convex on their medial two thirds, while the lateral third is straight. Laterally, the otoccipital contacts the squamosal through the distal ends of the paroccipital processes. The paroccipital process forms the medial and dorsal borders of the cranioquadrate passage (Fig. 5C).

The ventrolateral flange of the otoccipital is sutured ventrolaterally to the quadrate and ventromedially to the basioccipital. Lateral and ventrally to the foramen magnum this region is pierced by several foramina of different diameter. Lateral to the foramen magnum and at level with its ventral margin, a small foramen for the passage of the CN XII is identified (Fig. 5C). As it was mentioned above, a single foramen for the exit of CN XII is present in most thalattosuchians. There are three foramina located ventrolaterally to the occipital condyle (Fig. 5C). The two lateralmost foramina are identified as the exit of cranial nerves IX, X, XI and associated vessels (e.g. jugular vein). The ventralmost foramen is not far from the ventral margin of the basioccipital tuberosities and is identified as the enlarged foramen for the internal carotid artery. This foramen is oriented posteriorly in the occipital table, a condition observed in other metriorhynchoids (e.g. *Pelagosaurus typus*, BSPG 1890 I5; *Purranisaurus potens*, MJCM PV 2060; *Dakosaurus andiniensis*, MOZ-PV 6146; "*M.*" cf. *westermanni*, MDA 2; *Plesiosuchus manselii*, NHMUK PV R.1089; *Torvoneustes coryphaeus*, Young et al. 2013; *Maledictosuchus riclaensis*, MPZ 2001/130a; *Tyrannoneustes lythrodectikos*, Foffa & Young, 2014; *Zoneait*

nargorum, Wilberg, 2015a). In some metriorhynchoids specimens a groove or canal associated with the foramen is ventrally directed (e.g. *Pelagosaurus typus*, BSPG 1890 I5; "*M.*" cf. *westermanni*, MDA 2; *Dakosaurus andiniensis*, MOZ-PV 6146; *Purranisaurus potens*, MJCM PV 2060; and the metriorhynchid specimen LPP.M.23).

Supraoccipital. This bone exposed solely in occipital view, is flat and subrhomboidally shaped. The supraoccipital is wider (lateromedially) than tall (dorsoventrally) in posterior view (Fig. 5C), as in *Almadasuchus* and crocodyliforms (Leardi, Pol & Clark, 2017). Dorsally it contacts the parietal and ventrolaterally the otoccipital. In MLP 72-IV-7-1 the supraoccipital does not contribute to the dorsal margin of the foramen magnum because the participation of the otoccipitals (Fig. 5C). However, this feature is variable among *C. araucanensis* specimens, as in MLP 72-IV-7-2 and MLP 86-XI-5-7 the supraoccipital reaches the border of the foramen magnum. There is a raised rim in the dorsal region of the supraoccipital-otoccipital suture that is interpreted as the occipital tuberosities described by Brusatte et al. (2016) for teleosaurids, however these are less pronounced than previously reported. Medially to these tuberosities there are also subtle raised rims, aligned with the vertical walls of the "U"-shaped structure of the parietal (Fig. 5C).

Basioccipital. The basioccipital forms the occipital condyle and, as it was mentioned before, we cannot distinguish if the otoccipital participates in the occipital condyle (Fig. 5C). Ventrally to the condyle, and in occipital view, the surface exposed is dorsoventrally short and anteroventrally oriented (Fig. 5C). The basioccipital tuberosities are incompletely preserved because the external surface is eroded; however they are exposed in posterior and ventral views (Fig. 5B–5C). In ventral view the basioccipital tuberosities form a wide "U" with the lateral region in contact with the quadrate. ~~In ventral view the basioccipital is~~ triangular shaped and

between the **tuberosities** forms the posterior and lateral borders of the medial pharyngeal foramen (Fig. 5B).

Basisphenoid. In MLP 72-IV-7-1 the basisphenoid is broken and poorly preserved. It is exposed in ventral and lateral view. In ventral **view** it contacts the basioccipital posteriorly, laterally the quadrate and anteriorly the pterygoid. The basisphenoid forms the anterior margin of the medial pharyngeal foramen (Fig. 5B).

Endocranial anatomy of *Cricosaurus araucanensis* (MLP 72-IV-7-1)

Morphology of the brain endocast. The cranial endocast of MLP 72-IV-7-1 is complete, from olfactory bulbs to the medulla oblongata and represents approximately **30 %** of the skull length.

It is approximately 141 mm long, from the foramen magnum to the olfactory bulbs, and has a maximum width of 26 mm across the cerebral hemispheres. **The brain is elongated, narrow, and**

relatively straight in lateral view (the dorsal border of the medulla oblongata is almost in the

same horizontal plane with the olfactory tract in lateral view), as the midbrain-hindbrain and

within the hindbrain flexures are not marked (Fig. 7A–7B). This particular trait **has** been noted in

previous contributions based on the natural brain endocasts (e.g. MLP 76-II-19-1, MOZ-PV 7201; Herrera, 2015).

The olfactory tract is long and forms approximately ~~the~~ half of the total length of the brain endocast, as in most longirostrine crocodylomorphs (Pierce, Williams & Benson, 2017: Figs. 1, 3–4). The olfactory tract widens rostrally, slightly caudal to the prefrontal pillar, forming the reduced olfactory bulbs. It is worthy to remark that the pair of large obloid concavities on the ventral surfaces of the frontal that traditionally were interpreted as the olfactory bulbs, actually

correspond to the olfactory region of the nasal cavity (see Herrera, Fernández & Gasparini, 2013).

In MLP 72-IV-7-1 the cerebral hemispheres are laterally projected and they are noticeably wider (approximately 30% ~~wider~~) than the medulla oblongata (Fig. 7C–7D). The same condition was previously observed in the natural endocasts of the same taxon (e.g. MOZ-PV 7201, MOZ-PV 7208, MOZ-PV 7261; Herrera, 2015: Fig. 2.2, 2.4), and also noticed in *P. typus* (Pierce, Williams & Benson, 2017: Fig 5A), unlike *S. bollensis* (Fig. 3C–3D) and *S. cf. gracilirostris* (Brusatte et al., 2016: Fig. 6A–6B).

The anteroposteriorly elongated pituitary body is located ventrally to the midbrain (Fig. 7B, 7F). In lateral view, the pituitary extends from the posterior half of the cerebral hemispheres ~~and its posterior end exceeds~~ the posterior margin of the trigeminal foramen (Fig. 7B), as in *S. cf. gracilirostris* (Brusatte et al., 2016: Fig. 6D). The carotid canals ~~enter~~ at the distal end ~~of the~~ ~~pituitary fossa~~ through two separate foramina and two parallel canals exit ~~anteriorly from the~~ ~~pituitary fossa~~ (Fig. 7A–7B, 7E–7F).

The cranioquadrate passage runs from the middle ear cavity to the cranioquadrate foramen and it conveys the stapedial vein into the middle ear cavity (Fig. 7G), as in "*M.*" *cf. westermanni* (Fernández et al., 2011) and extant crocodylians (Porter, Sedlmayr & Witmer, 2016).

Vascular elements. The rostral and caudal middle cerebral veins, the internal carotid artery, and the orbital artery were reconstructed (Fig. 7). The blood vessel fillings distributed throughout the dorsal region of the cerebral hemispheres and associated to the rostral middle cerebral vein identified on the natural casts of *C. araucanensis* and *D. cf. andiniensis* (Herrera, 2015; Herrera & Vennari, 2015) could not be traced in the digital casts based on CT data of MLP 72-IV-7-1.

In lateral view, the rostral middle cerebral vein, ~~that~~ exits the braincase through the dorsal lobule of the trigeminal foramen, **forms** a subtle swelling in the endocast of MLP 72-IV-7-1 (Fig. 7B). This can be probably related to a low CT resolution, as it is markedly different from the condition of some natural endocasts of *C. araucanensis* where this vein is clearly identifiable (MOZ-PV 7201, MOZ-PV 7261, MLP 73-II-27-3; Herrera, 2015: Fig. 2). In dorsal view, and in the hindbrain region, approximately to the level of the endosseous labyrinth, the two branches of the caudal middle cerebral vein exit from the dorsal region of the brain (Fig. 7B, 7D, 7H). These branches are dorsolaterally directed and run dorsally and parallel to the middle ear cavity (Fig. 7D, 7H). The temporo-orbital/stapedial vein passes ventrally to the temporo-orbital foramen suggesting that this vein diverges from the main branch and exits through the temporo-orbital foramen. The same feature is also present in "*M.*" cf. *westermanni* (MDA 2), *S.* cf. *gracilirostris* and *Pelagosaurus typus* (Brusatte et al. 2016). The temporo-orbital/stapedial vein reaches the middle ear region through the cranioquadrate passage and exits through the cranioquadrate foramen (Fig. 7H), as it was described for "*M.*" cf. *westermanni* (Fernández et al., 2011) and *P. typus* (Pierce, Williams & Benson, 2017). **Anteriorly** to the root of the caudal middle cerebral veins, the dorsal longitudinal sinus in MLP 72-IV-7-1 is continuous anteriorly as a ridge that overlays the dorsal region of the hind-, mid- and forebrain (Fig. 7B).

In MLP 72-IV-7-1 the carotid canals were completely reconstructed as these are fully ossified, isolating the internal carotid arteries from the pharyngotympanic sinus (Fig. 7F). This pattern has not been described in any other crocodylomorph. More CT data of metriorhynchids are necessary to confirm if this trait is widespread among Metriorhynchidae or **it** represents an autapomorphy of *C. araucanensis*. In BSPG 1984 I258, *S.* cf. *gracilirostris* (Brusatte et al., 2016), and *P. typus* (Pierce, Williams & Benson, 2017) the carotid canals are **temporarily lost** as

they pass through the pharyngotympanic sinus. This could be **caused** in these thalattosuchians, as in extant crocodilians, ~~due to~~ these portions of the carotid canal remain cartilaginous (Sedlmayr, 2002). In MLP 72-IV-7-1 the longest portion of the carotid canals runs parallel to the midline of the cranium, from the occipital opening to **the** cochlear duct. On this region, the carotid canals turn abruptly medially towards the midline of the cranium. The carotid canals then become parallel to the main axis of the skull again before entering at the posterior end of the pituitary fossa through two separate foramina (Fig. 7F). The diameter of the canals at the level of the pituitary fossa is about 5 mm, ~~just~~ slightly **smaller** than the diameter of the internal carotid foramen (*ca.* 6 mm).

Clearly separated paired canals exit anteriorly from the pituitary fossa, **ventrally** to the optic nerve (CN II) (Fig. 7B, 7F). The same feature was previously identified in non-metriorhynchid thalattosuchians such as *S. cf. gracilirostris* (Brusatte et al., 2016), and *Pelagosaurus typus* (Pierce, Williams & Benson, 2017). In MLP 72-IV-7-1 these canals are short (likely because of preservation) with a diameter **of 5 mm approximately**, comparable to the diameter of the internal carotid canal. In two natural endocasts (MOZ-PV 7205 and MOZ-PV 7261) these paired canals can also be observed in the same region. In recent contributions centered on **thalattosuchians** these canals were interpreted as **the canals of** the orbital artery (Brusatte et al., 2016; Pierce, Williams & Benson, 2017).

Nerves. Only a few cranial nerves were identifiable and reconstructed in MLP 72-IV-7-1. The optic nerve (CN II) originates from the midline, **anterodorsally** to the pituitary, and exits the braincase through a broad aperture (Fig. 7B, 7F). Cranial nerve IV originates **dorsally** to the orbital artery (Fig. 7B, 7F). The large trigeminal foramen, located on the lateral wall of the braincase, is a prominent structure that allowed reconstructing the trigeminal **ganglion** which

originates from the lateral surface of the midbrain region (Fig. 7).

Paratympanic sinus system. The paratympanic sinus system of *C. araucanensis* resembles that of *S. bollensis*. One of the major differences is the absence of the lateral pharyngeal foramina, a feature shared with most metriorhynchids (e.g. *Purranisaurus potens*, *Metriorhynchus superciliosus*). As in most crocodyliforms (e.g. *S. bollensis*, *Protosuchus richardsoni*, *Simosuchus shushanensis*, *Notosuchus terrestris*, *Caiman latirostris*), the medial pharyngeal foramen is located along the sagittal plane at the suture between the basioccipital and the basisphenoid (Fig. 5B).

The pharyngotympanic sinus system is not expanded posteriorly, thus not forming a basioccipital diverticulum. The absence of this feature is unique among crocodyliforms, even when compared with other thalattosuchians (e.g. *S. bollensis*, see above; *S. cf. gracilirostris*, Brusatte et al., 2016; *Pelagosaurus typus*, Pierce, Williams & Benson, 2017). The medial pharyngeal foramen continues anteriorly as a narrow tube, which expands slightly at midlength, slightly pneumatizing the posteroventral region of the basisphenoid (Fig. 6E). From the anterolateral regions of the basisphenoid diverticulum two posterodorsally directed ramifications, which connect with the main pharyngotympanic sinus are present. These ramifications are identified as the anterior communicating canals (*sensu* Miall, 1878; or recessus epitubaricus *sensu* Dufeu & Witmer, 2015) and, unlike the morphology present in non-metriorhynchid thalattosuchians, these have little dorsoventral development and are very wide (Fig. 6E). The ventral pneumatization of the floor of the palate is reduced in *C. araucanensis* as it does not invade the pterygoids.

The structure of the main pharyngotympanic sinus of *C. araucanensis* is very similar to that of *S. bollensis*: the middle ear cavity forms a mediolaterally directed tube limited anteriorly

by the quadrates and posteriorly by the otoccipitals; accessory pneumatizations **to** this cavity are very poorly developed (lacking quadrate and infundibular diverticula); and the prootic diverticulum is represented by an anterodorsal swelling on the ~~middle ear~~ cavity (Fig. 7A, 7C, 7E, 7G). The later, as in other thalattosuchians reported to the date (see above), lacks an isolated diverticulum just **anteriorly** to the anterior ~~the~~ semicircular canals. The main difference identified among *C. araucanensis* and *S. bollensis* is on the relative development of the otoccipital diverticulum, as in the former this diverticulum does not form a laminar ventral expansion (Fig. 7G) as it is observed in *S. bollensis* (Fig. 3G). These laminar ventral expansions of the middle ear cavities (i.e. otoccipital diverticula) are only visible towards the midline, in the posteromedial region of the pharyngotympanic sinus. Another notable difference between the taxa studied in this contribution is the dorsal projection of the otoccipital diverticulum, ~~as in~~ **in** *C. araucanensis* this diverticulum is restricted **ventrally** to the foramen magnum. *Cricosaurus araucanensis* does not bear any dorsal enlargement or connected cavity (i.e. intertympanic diverticulum) on the posterodorsal region of the paratympanic system, as it has been observed in other thalattosuchians (Brusatte et al., 2016; Pierce, Williams & Benson, 2017).

Endosseous labyrinth of the inner ear. The anterior, posterior, and lateral semicircular canals, crus communis, and cochlear duct were reconstructed (Fig. 8). The general morphology of the inner ear is similar to that described in other crocodilians. The semicircular canals are aligned in approximately orthogonal planes in three-dimensional space. The anterior semicircular canal is the longest. In MLP 72-IV-7-1, the complete inner ear is approximately 19.5 mm tall and has a maximum width of 18 mm at the level of the semicircular canals (Fig. 8).

Discussion

Comparative braincase and endocranial anatomy

In the sections above we described the braincase and the 3D models of the endocast and other associated structures of two well-preserved thalattosuchians: *Steneosaurus bollensis* and *Cricosaurus araucanensis*. Despite that recent phylogenetical studies do not use the same taxon sampling (e.g. Pol & Gasparini, 2009; Young et al., 2017), there is a general consensus that the specimens analyzed in this contribution represent members from the two main lineages among thalattosuchians: Teleosauridae and Metriorhynchoidea. This, along with recent contributions centered on the braincase and other associated structures of thalattosuchians (Fernández et al., 2011; Brusatte et al., 2016; Pierce, Williams & Benson, 2017), provided the framework to analyze the main changes on this region in Thalattosuchia. In the following lines we will tackle this issue and try to evaluate the different structures individually.

Internal carotid foramen and canal. An enlarged foramen for the internal carotid artery was previously proposed as a synapomorphy of Metriorhynchidae (Pol & Gasparini, 2009). However, as mentioned above, this feature is also present in non-metriorhynchid thalattosuchians such as *Teleosaurus cadomensis*, *S. cf. gracilirostris* and *Pelagosaurus typus* (Jouve, 2009; Brusatte et al., 2016; Pierce, Williams & Benson, 2017), and *S. pictaviensis* (LPP.M.37) and ?*Steneosaurus* sp. (SMNS 59558) indicating that an enlarged foramen for the internal carotid is a feature widely distributed in Thalattosuchia. An exception are the teleosaurids *Machimosaurus hugii* (SMNS 91415; Martin & Vincent, 2013) and *Peipehsuchus teleorhinus* (IVPP V 10098) where this foramen has the same diameter (or is even smaller) than the one for the exit of the hypoglossal cranial nerve (CN XII).

In metriorhynchids, an enlarged foramen for the internal carotid artery was linked with an enlargement of the carotid canal (Fernández et al., 2011). An increased artery diameter implies that there is less blood contacting the vessel wall, thus lowering the friction and the resistance, subsequently increasing the flow. Herrera, Fernández & Gasparini (2013) suggested, based on the known case of extant birds (Gerstberger, 1991), that in metriorhynchids a high amount of the blood flow through the carotid arteries can be diverted to the glands at maximal salt gland secretion. In this sense, the enlargement of the carotid foramen and canal indicates an increase in blood flow that could be coupled with an increase in blood flow to salt glands at maximal secretion. Recently, Brusatte et al. (2016) have used the presence of an enlarged foramen for the internal carotid artery (and also a conspicuous canal) to suggest that large salt glands were also present in the non-metriorhynchid thalattosuchian *S. cf. gracilirostris*. Posteriorly, Pierce, Williams & Benson (2017) described an expansion of the nasal cavity anterior to the orbits in *P. typus* and referred this expansion as the osteological correlate of an enlarged salt gland. Again, this claim is coupled with the presence of an enlarged foramen/canal for the internal carotid artery in *P. typus* (Pierce, Williams & Benson, 2017). Considering the proposed links mentioned above between the diameter of the carotid foramen and the size of the salt gland, taxa like *Machimosaurus hugii* and *Peipehsuchus teleorhinus* would not have enlarged salt glands if present. Additionally to the absence of an enlarged carotid foramen, some specimens of these taxa have been also reported from brackish or continental deposits (Martin & Vincent, 2013; Martin et al., 2016).

Fernández & Gasparini (2008), following the four stage evolutionary model of osmoregulation strategies of Dunson & Mazzotti (1989), suggested that marine adaptation in thalattosuchians was transitional, that teleosaurids represent the third state, and metriorhynchids

the more extreme fourth stage. That is, teleosaurid lived probably in brackish environments and, occasionally in open sea; and prevent lethal dehydration (as the extant *Crocodylus porosus*) by means of small salt-secreting glands of low secretory capacity used in conjunction with selective drinking of only hypo-osmotic fresh water. If so, no conspicuous osteological correlates of salt glands must be expected in teleosaurids. On the contrary, pelagic life-style of metriorhynchids required well-developed salt-secreting glands such preserved as natural casts in *Cricosaurus araucanensis* (Fernández & Gasparini, 2008).

If enlarged carotid arteries can be used as a-correlates of an increased blood flow, coupled with the blood demand of enlarged salt glands (as proposed by Brusatte et al., 2016) then most thalattosuchians would have had enlarged salt glands with a high secretory capacity, and salt glands of low secreting capabilities were restricted to some teleosaurids such as *M. hugii* and *P. teleorhinus*. It is worth mentioning that osteological correlates, even in the case of enlarged glands, are not easy to identify. In the case of *Cricosaurus araucanensis* the identification of these structures was possible as they were preserved as natural cast (see e.g. Fernández & Gasparini, 2008). It must be noted that the hypothesis about the presence of enlarged salt glands outside Metriorhynchidae is based solely on this indirect evidence (i.e. enlarged carotid foramina) and such correlation needs to be validated with fossil specimens displaying enlarged salt glands.

Concerning the position of the foramina for the entrance of the internal carotids, in most thalattosuchians these foramina are placed ventral to the occipital condyle, and lateral to the basioccipital tuberosities, while in most crocodyliforms these foramina are placed at the level of the occipital condyle (e.g. *Junggarsuchus sloani*, Clark et al., 2004: Fig. 2; *Mourasuchus nativus*, Bona, Degrange & Fernández, 2013: Fig. 3B; *Caipirasuchus*, Pol et al., 2014: Fig. 20B;

Notosuchus terrestris, Barrios et al., 2018: Fig. 22F). Among thalattosuchians there is a modification regarding the general orientation of the carotid canal, which affects in which view the **foramen** ~~for the entrance of these arteries is~~ **visible** on the skull. In **metriorhynchoids** the ~~foramen for the entrance of the internal carotid~~ **pierces** the otoccipital **with** a posterior direction, and as a result **it is** only visible in occipital view (e.g. *Pelagosaurus typus*, *Zoneait nargorum*, *Purranisaurus potens*, *Plesiosuchus manselii*, *Maledictosuchus riclaensis*, *Cricosaurus araucanensis*). This condition is the most widespread among **crocodylomorphs** as in most of them (e.g. *Junggarsuchus sloani*, *Mourasuchus nativus*, *Notosuchus terrestris*, *Protosuchus richardsoni*, *Gryposuchus neogaeus*, cf. *Rhabdognathus*, *Dyrosaurus phosphaticus*, *Caiman latirostris*), the foramen for the entrance of the internal carotids in the skull is visible in occipital view. On the other hand, in the teleosaurids *Steneosaurus bollensis*, *S. pictaviensis*, *S. leedsi*, *Machimosaurus hugii*, and *Teleosaurus cadomensis* the foramen ~~for the entrance of the carotid artery~~ pierces the otoccipital **with** a posteroventral, ventrolateral, or even ventral direction. It is worth mentioning that the change in orientation of the internal carotid foramen is only present in the teleosaurids mentioned above, while most of **them** exhibit the generalized crocodylomorph condition. However, it should be considered that in some specimens this feature can be exaggerated by post-mortem deformation.

Trigeminal foramen. Two different morphologies for the trigeminal foramen have been reported in thalattosuchians: circular (e.g. *S. bollensis*, *M. hugii*, and likely in *T. cadomensis*) and bilobate, constricted or hour-glass shaped (e.g. *P. typus*, *M. brachyrhynchus*, "*M.*" cf. *westermanni*, *C. araucanensis* and *D. cf. andiniensis*). A bilobate trigeminal foramen can be recognized in other non-thalattosuchian crocodylomorphs, for example *Sphenosuchus* (Walker, 1990), *Dibothrosuchus elaphros* (Wu & Chatterjee, 1993: Fig. 3A), and *Notosuchus terrestris*

(Barrios et al., 2018). However, most crocodyliforms have a circular trigeminal foramen (e.g. *Goniopholis stoali*, AMNH 5782; *Caiman latirostris*, MACN 30531; *Protosuchus haughtoni*, Busbey & Gow, 1984; cf. *Rhabdognathus*, Brochu et al., 2002; *Simosuchus clarki*, Kley et al., 2010; *Almadasuchus figarii*, Pol et al., 2013).

In sum, a bilobate trigeminal foramen appears to be restricted for metriorhynchoids within Thalattosuchia, whereas teleosaurids retain the plesiomorphic condition of a circular trigeminal foramen (Figs. 9 and 10). Although preliminary, as this feature needs to be tested thoroughly in a phylogenetic context, occurrences of bilobate trigeminal foramina seem to be isolated outside Metriorhynchoidea, contrasting with the widespread condition of a circular one. This particular morphology has been associated to the separation of the maxillary (V_2) and mandibular (V_3) branches of the trigeminal nerve (Barrios et al., 2018).

Cranial nerves IX-XI and XII. The presence of a vagus foramen (common exit of CN IX-XI) with a unique or double opening has been recognized within Thalattosuchia. We interpreted that *Steneosaurus bollensis*, *Pelagosaurus typus*, *Purranisaurus potens*, and *Dakosaurus* cf. *andiniensis* have a single opening. In the holotype of "*Metriorhynchus*" *casamiquelai* (MGHF 1-08573) there is also one opening for this cranial nerve, contrasting with the observations of Soto-Acuña, Otero & Rubilar-Rogers (2012: Fig. 2) where two foramina for the exit of the CN IX-XI were identified (in other specimen referred to this taxa). In contrast, in *C. araucanensis*, the metriorhynchid specimen from Mörsheim Formation (BSPG 1973 I195), "*M.*" *westermanni*, "*M.*" cf. *westermanni*, *M. brachyrhynchus* (LPP.M 22), and *Teleosaurus cadomensis* there is a double opening for the exit of cranial nerves IX, X and XI.

Related to the exit for the CN XII, most of thalattosuchians have only one exit, a different condition in comparison with most crocodylomorphs (e.g. *Protosuchus*, *Sphenosuchus*,

891 *Almadasuchus*, *Notosuchus terrestris*, *Dibothrosuchus elaphros*) that have two. However, there
 892 is variation within Thalattosuchia as in *Pelagosaurus typus*, *M. brachyrhynchus* (LPP.M 22), and
 893 likely "*M. casamiquelai* (at least in the right side of the skull of the holotype there are two
 894 foramina near to the occipital condyle), there is a double exit for the CN XII.

895 ~~It must be considered the possibility that in some cases where the vagus foramen has a~~
 896 ~~double opening one of them could corresponds to a second opening for the CN XII.~~ This
 897 uncertainty can be resolved with complete reconstructions of the passage of the nerves, based on
 898 CT data, but unfortunately in the specimens that we are describing this is not possible because
 899 the resolution of CT data **do** not allow tracing this passages. Within the studied thalattosuchians,
 900 there is variability in the number, relative size, or location of the cranial nerve foramina on the
 901 posterior aspect of the skull (see also Brusatte et al., 2016). However, if this variability impacts
 902 on phylogenetic analyses or has functional implications requires **further research**.

903 **Separation of the cranioquadrate canal from the external otic aperture.** The cranioquadrate
 904 canal is a structure present in hallopodid crocodylomorphs, mesoeucrocodylians and derived
 905 crocodyliforms (i.e. *Fruitachampsia*, *Gobiosuchus*, *Hsiosuchus*) (Clark, 1994; Leardi, Pol &
 906 Clark, 2017). The cranioquadrate canal connects the middle ear space with the posterior aspect of
 907 the skull, which additionally serves to transmit the stapedia artery and vein (Iordansky, 1973;
 908 Porter, Sedlmayr & Witmer, 2016). In thalattosuchians the cranioquadrate foramen is placed
 909 more laterally than in most crocodyliforms, a condition reported as synapomorphy for the group
 910 (Clark, 1994).

911 In this contribution we report a further derived condition for the cranioquadrate passage
 912 present in all metriorhynchids and some telesaurids, in which the cranioquadrate foramen is
 913 completely separated from the external otic aperture by a thin bony lamina. This condition is

present in the metriorhynchids *Cricosaurus araucanensis* (Fig. 5C), *Maledictosuchus riclaensis*, *Torvoneustes coryphaeus*, *Purranisaurus potens*, "*Metriorhynchus*" *casamiquelai*, and in the teleosaurids *Machimosaurus hugii* and ?*Steneosaurus* sp. (SMNS 59558). Additionally, Jouve (2009) mentioned that these structures are also completely separated in *Mystriosaurus* cf. *bollensis*, *Teleidosaurus*, and *Enaliosuchus*. In contrast, based on first hand examinations of the specimens we found that the cranioquadrate canal is incompletely separated from the external otic recess in *Pelagosaurus typus* (BSPG 1890 I5, NHMUK PV R.32599) and *Steneosaurus pictaviensis* (LPP.M.37). According to Jouve (2009) the same feature occurs in *Teleosaurus cadomensis*, *Steneosaurus larteti*, *Pelagosaurus typus*, and *Steneosaurus bollensis*. The distribution of this character within non-metriorhynchid thalattosuchians appears to be a highly homoplastic trait. However it should be considered that the complete separation of the cranioquadrate canal and the external otic recess in some non-metriorhynchid thalattosuchians could be cartilaginous. This is based on our observations of the specimen *Pelagosaurus typus* (BSPG 1890 I5) where the separation of both structures is incomplete, but the bony laminae almost contact each other. Yet, the separation it is very difficult to evaluate in internal structures in fossil taxa, as the osteological marks left by the cartilage can be very subtle (e.g. Holliday & Witmer, 2008).

Absence of flexures. Most extant and extinct crocodyliforms have, at least, a well-marked flexure between the mid and hindbrain, giving the encephalon a curved profile in lateral view (e.g. *Almadasuchus* JML unpublished data; *Pholidosaurus meyeri*, MB.R.2027; *Macelognathus*, Leardi, Pol & Clark, 2017; *Sebecus icaeorhinus*, Colbert, 1946a: pl. 14A; *Crocodylus johnstoni*, Witmer et al., 2008: Fig. 6.3; *Simosuchus clarki*, Kley et al., 2010: Fig. 32C; *Gavialis gangeticus*, Bona, Paulina Carabajal & Gasparini, 2017: Fig. 7). In this sense, the presence of a

straight brain (i.e. absence of flexures between fore- midbrain, and mid-hindbrain), appears to be characteristic for Thalattosuchia (e.g. Herrera, 2015; Herrera & Vennari, 2015; Brusatte et al., 2016; Pierce, Williams & Benson, 2017).

The tubular brain of thalattosuchians can be coupled with two morphological traits present in their skulls. Most thalattosuchians have a very long and tubular snout which does not have an abrupt transition between its dorsal edge and the anterior end of the skull roof (i.e. the anterior end of the frontal). This feature is particularly marked in *C. araucanensis* (Gasparini & Dellapé, 1976: Lam, 1–2), where the anterodorsal part of the snout is almost straight with the skull roof. This particular transition between the skull roof and the snout has been previously noted in other non-thalattosuchian crocodyliforms like *Sebecus icaeorhinus* and baurusuchids (Colbert, 1946a; Carvalho, Campos & Nobre, 2005). Also, *Sebecus* has a fore-midbrain flexure with an angle comparable to the one observed in thalattosuchians (see Pierce, Williams & Benson, 2017). On the other hand, the mid-hindbrain flexure could be hidden by the development of the large dorsal venous sinus on the posterodorsal region of the **encephalon** that **overlying** this region and **obscure** the real nature of the flexure. —However, these interpretations need to be thoroughly tested as other taxa like *Simosuchus* have **high** angles between parts of **it** encephalon attaining values similar to the ones present in thalattosuchians (Pierce, Williams & Benson, 2017). It is important to note that, to the present day, CT data and 3D endocast models for crocodylomorphs are very few and more data could easily change the interpretations presented in here.

Cephalic vascularization. The cephalic vascular system in Thalattosuchia is characterized by a well-developed caudal middle cerebral vein/stapedial vein/temporo-orbital vein, internal carotid artery, and orbital artery (see also Fernández et al., 2011; Herrera, Fernández, Gasparini, 2013;

Herrera, 2015; Herrera & Vennari, 2015; Herrera, 2016a; Brusatte et al., 2016; Pierce, Williams & Benson, 2017). Additionally, descriptions of natural brain endocasts of metriorhynchids (Herrera, 2015; Herrera & Vennari, 2015) showed that several blood vessels cover the dorsal region of the cerebral hemispheres, and are associated to the rostral middle cerebral vein. This enlarged vascular system indicates an important blood supply to the head of thalattosuchians.

A functional hypothesis about the enlarged internal carotid artery in metriorhynchids was given by Herrera, Fernández & Gasparini (2013) (see also Internal carotid foramen and canal section). The orbital artery supplies the caudal aspect of the orbit, whereas the venous drainage of the orbit is mainly via the temporo-orbital veins (Porter, Sedlmayr & Witmer, 2016). In *C. araucanensis* and *S. cf. gracilirostris*, the orbital artery is approximately the same diameter as the internal carotid artery. In both taxa and also in "*Metriorhynchus*" cf. *westermanni*, *Dakosaurus* cf. *andiniensis*, and *Pelagosaurus typus* the temporo-orbital vein is also well developed. Extant crocodilians have the orbital region well vascularized and arteries and veins form a plexus within the orbit, potentially allowing heat exchange (Porter, Sedlmayr & Witmer, 2016). In thalattosuchians, the well-developed orbital arteries and temporo-orbital veins suggests that these blood vessels were capable of transmitting a large volume of blood to the orbit. On the other hand, blood shunts or countercurrent heat exchangers, responsible for regional temperature differences have been described for several species of reptiles (Heath, 1966; Crawford et al., 1977). This could be the case of the blood vessels that cover the cerebral hemispheres and derive from the rostral middle cerebral vein described in metriorhynchids.

The enlarged vascular system was interpreted as a mechanism to cover the blood demand of enlarged salt glands. It also likely played a role in the cephalic physiological thermoregulation (to regulate the temperature of neurosensory tissues). However, as was mentioned by Porter,

Sedlmayr & Witmer (2016), the anatomical and physiological roles that blood vessels play in extant crocodilian thermoregulation need further investigation.

Lateral pharyngeal foramen (pharyngotympanic tube). The pharyngotympanic tubes communicate the middle ear cavity with the pharynx and are part of the mechanism used in several amniotes to equalize pressures of the middle ear and the external environment (Dufeu & Witmer, 2015).

In metriorhynchids the lateral pharyngeal foramina are closed as the basisphenoid contacts the otoccipital and the basioccipital along its posterolateral edge. On the other hand, teleosaurids (e.g. *S. bollensis*, BSPG 1984 I258; *S. pictaviensis*, LPP.M.37; *Lemmingsuchus obtusidens*, LPP.M.21; *Teleosaurus cadomensis*, Jouve, 2009) and the basal metriorhynchoid *Pelagosaurus typus* (BSPG 1890 I5) bear lateral Eustachian foramina, as most crocodyliforms (e.g. *Protosuchus haughtoni*, *Notosuchus terrestris*, cf. *Rhabdognathus*, *Caiman latirostris*).

Within Thalattosuchia the closure of the lateral pharyngeal foramina appears to be restricted for metriorhynchids, while non-metriorhynchid thalattosuchians retain the plesiomorphic condition of bear lateral pharyngeal foramina. Besides the closure of the lateral pharyngeal foramina, additional variation can be reported in thalattosuchians. In teleosaurid taxa, restricted to the genus *Steneosaurus* (e.g. *S. bollensis* BSPG 1984 I258, *S. pictaviensis*, LPP.M.37) the medial pharyngeal foramen is smaller lateral ones, while *Peipehsuchus teleorhinus* (IVPP V 10098) retains the typical crocodyliform condition where the medial foramen is the largest of the pharyngeal foramina.

The absence of the lateral pharyngeal foramina in metriorhynchids implies that the communication between the middle ear cavity with the pharynx is reduced only through the

median pharyngeal foramen. Further studies are needed to understand if this modification has a physiological/adaptive significance.

Reduction of the paratympanic sinus system. According to Dufeu (2011) and Dufeu & Witmer (2015) in most mesoeucrocodylian crocodyliforms (*Sebecus icaeorhinus*; *Hamadasuchus rebouli*; and extant crocodiles like *Alligator mississippiensis*), diverticular expansions are extensive whereas in longirostrine taxa such as *Gavialis*, *Tomistoma*, cf. *Rhabdognathus* and *Pelagosaurus* there is a constraint on diverticular pneumatization of the rostral portion of the braincase.

The constraint on diverticular pneumatization is more evident in Thalattosuchia, in comparison to extant and extinct crocodiles, given by the absence of the infundibular, quadrate, intertympanic diverticula, likely the prootic diverticulum and also a restricted pneumatization of the otoccipital (see also Brusatte et al., 2016; Pierce, Williams & Benson, 2017). This reduction is more developed in *Cricosaurus araucanensis* which also lacks of basioccipital diverticulum and the otoccipital diverticulum has not a dorsal projection (in comparison to *S. bollensis*). Future work should confirm if this condition is restricted to *C. araucanensis* or if it is more distributed among metriorhynchids.

The development of pneumatizations anterior to the tympanic crest is not so well documented in non-crocodyliiform crocodylomorphs, although pneumatic anterior foramina have been reported in several taxa (e.g. *Terrestrisuchus*, *Dibothrosuchus*, *Junggarsuchus*, *Almadasuchus*, *Macelognathus*) and in some of them the connection with the middle ear cavity was confirmed (Leardi, Pol & Clark, 2017). As it was observed with the particular shape of the otic aperture, the lack of a subtympenic foramen (*sensu* Montefeltro, Andrade & Larsson, 2016)

and an associated infundibular diverticulum is distributed among most thalattosuchians (e.g. *S. bollensis*, *T. cadomensis*, *P. typus*, *C. araucanensis*).

The lack of pneumatic features on the supraoccipital (intertympanic sinus) has been proposed as evidence for a non-crocodylomorph position for Thalattosuchia in previous contributions (Wilberg, 2015b). However, the lack of a prootic pneumatization (i.e. mastoid antrum) in thalattosuchians, a feature widely distributed among derived crocodylomorphs (see above), has gone unnoticed in recent analysis of the paratympanic pneumaticity of thalattosuchians (Wilberg, 2015b; Brusatte et al., 2016; Pierce, Williams & Benson, 2017).

The posterior pneumatization of the otic capsule is present and well-developed in derived non-crocodyliiform crocodylomorphs and crocodyliiforms (Pol et al., 2013; Leardi, Pol & Clark, 2017), where the otoccipital diverticulum is dorsally extended exceeding the dorsal border of the foramen magnum, contrasting with the thalattosuchian condition in which it is mostly restricted to the ventral part of the otoccipital.

Pneumatic diverticula associated to the middle ear cavity of crocodylomorphs have been described and analyzed several times (e.g. Colbert, 1946b; Tarsitano, 1985; Dufeu & Witmer, 2015). However, the functional interpretations related to this particular crocodylomorph specialization remain elusive. Given that interaural time differences have been reported for crocodylians (Carr et al., 2009), Bierman et al. (2014) hypothesized that the pneumatic diverticula allowed the internal coupling of both ears, allowing the crocodylian ear to act as a pressure difference receiver organ and, thus, permitting directional hearing. A second hypothesis was erected by Dufeu & Witmer (2015), in which the development of the paratympanic pneumatic diverticula increases the auditory sensitivity. In particular, Dufeu & Witmer (2015) found that the resonant frequencies calculated on the subtympenic foramen coincided with the

greatest intensity of juvenile vocalizations of *Alligator mississippiensis*, while these distress calls where among the lowest threshold of cochlear sensitivity in adults. Thus, it was associated to have a function in increasing the auditory sensitivity from adults towards their offspring. Due to the recent increasing amount of available CT data of thalattosuchians we can conclude that the group as a whole lacks the intertympanic diverticulum, and has a reduced dorsal pneumatization associated with the otic capsule (see above). However, internal connections between both middle ears are still retained through the ventral part of the pharyngotympanic and median pharyngeal systems, thus allowing internal ear coupling and the associated sound localization. As a result, we can infer a reduced response in directional hearing in thalattosuchians and a decrease in low frequency sensitivity (Bierman et al., 2014), due to the loss of the dorsal paratympanic pneumatization. On the other hand, the increased sensitivity hypothesis through the subtympenic foramen can be discarded for thalattosuchians, as it was discussed in this contribution thalattosuchians lack pneumatic foramina and associated pneumatization in the quadrate.

Braincase and endocranial anatomy evolution of thalattosuchians

In order to trace morphological transformations and major changes in the braincase and endocranial anatomy along crocodylomorph evolution, we mapped in two phylogenetic hypotheses the anatomical features that were discussed above (Figs. 9–10). This approach is due to the unresolved phylogenetic affinities of Thalattosuchia. Thus, we choose to use the two most widely accepted phylogenetic hypotheses for the clade: Thalattosuchia as derived neosuchians, nested with pholidosaurs/dyrosaurids forming a "longirostrine clade" (Fig. 9; Clark, 1994; Pol & Gasparini, 2009; Leardi, Pol & Clark, 2017); or Thalattosuchia as basal mesoeucrocodylans (Fig. 10; Sereno & Larsson, 2009; Young et al., 2010; Young et al., 2017). Recently, Wilberg

(2015b) has highlighted the issues about the insufficient amount of outgroups to test the thalattosuchian phylogenetic placement. In this study, he recovered Thalattosuchia as ~~non-crocodyliform-crocodylomorph~~, as the sister group of Crocodyliformes. However, these results have not been replicated using other datasets (e.g. Young et al., 2017) even including a large amount of “sphenosuchians” (e.g. Leardi, Pol & Clark, 2017). So, until this hypothesis is thoroughly tested it will not be considered in this discussion.

Under both phylogenetic hypotheses the enlarged foramen for the internal carotid, the carotid foramen ventral to the occipital condyle, an orbital process of the quadrate free of bony attachment, a laterosphenoid-prootic suture forming a pronounced ridge, a unique foramen for the exit of CN XII, the absence of a subtymppanic foramen, the absence of brain flexures, the hypertrophied cephalic vascular system (i.e. the enlargement of the carotids and orbital arteries as well as temporo-orbital veins), and the reduction of the paratympanic sinus system are putative synapomorphic features of Thalattosuchia (see above; Figs. 9–10). Thalattosuchians are very diverse and some of these features exhibit reversions, showing instances of homoplasies (e.g. double foramen for the exit of CN XII in some thalattosuchians and the absence of an enlarged carotid foramen in *Machimosaurus* and *Peipehsuchus*). However, some of the traits discussed are unknown in many crocodylomorphs, either **due** the lack of well-preserved braincases, incomplete descriptions in the literature of this area, or the lack of internal anatomy data (e.g. CT data).

Although ~~a~~ general pattern of braincase configuration is evidenced in Thalattosuchia, other morphological traits characterize **smaller** clades ~~within it~~. Such is the case of the shape of the trigeminal foramen. In the topology of Pol et al. (2014) (Fig. 9) the bilobate trigeminal foramen characterizes Metriorhynchidae, although a bilobate trigeminal foramen is also present

in the non-metriorhynchid thalattosuchian *Pelagosaurus typus*. On the other hand, based on the topology of Young et al. (2017) the bilobate trigeminal foramen is a putative synapomorphy of Metriorhynchoidea. ~~On the other hand~~, in both phylogenetic hypotheses the loss of the lateral Eustachian foramina is a putative synapomorphy of Metriorhynchidae (Figs. 9–10). This feature could be coupled with the **extreme** reduction evidenced in the paratympanic sinus system (absence of basioccipital and pterygoid diverticula) of *Cricosaurus araucanensis*. However, in order to evaluate if this condition is extended among other members of **Metriorhynchidae** further descriptions of the metriorhynchid paratympanic sinus system are required.

Conclusions

The braincase and endocranial morphology of the teleosaurid *Steneosaurus bollensis* and the metriorhynchid *Cricosaurus araucanensis* are described in this contribution. The descriptions of two members of different clades of Thalattosuchia allowed us to evaluate and contrast the main features of the braincase and endocranial anatomy with other crocodylomorphs. The main traits that characterize Thalattosuchia from other crocodylomorphs are: enlarged foramen for the internal carotid artery, the carotid foramen ventral to the occipital condyle, a single CN XII foramen, the absence of brain flexures, the well-developed cephalic vascular system, the absence of a subtympenic foramen, and the reduction of the paratympanic sinus system. Some of these features (enlarged foramen for the internal carotid artery, the absence of brain flexures, the hypertrophied cephalic vascular system), were previously suggested as exclusively present in Metriorhynchidae, and associated to the pelagic lifestyle of this lineage; however our **study** revealed that they were already established at the base of Thalattosuchia.

1118 From the paleobiological perspective, ~~these changes indubitably had consequences on the~~
 1119 ~~biology of these animals.~~ We suggest that the well-developed vascular system was not only
 1120 related to the secretory function of salt **glands** but also it played a role in the cephalic
 1121 physiological thermoregulation. **On the other hand**, the reduction of the paratympanic
 1122 pneumatization is related to a reduced response in directional hearing in thalattosuchians and a
 1123 decrease in low frequency sensitivity. However these interpretations should be tested in the light
 1124 of new information about extant and extinct archosaurs.

1125 As it was mentioned above, the main modifications on the braincase and endocranial
 1126 anatomy appear to be present even in the basalmost members of the clade. These findings do not
 1127 support an adaptive gap between fully pelagic forms (metriorhynchids) and semiaquatic ones
 1128 (teleosaurids), implying that these features were already present in the lineage and might have
 1129 been exapted later **on** their evolutionary history.

1130 We recognized differences within Thalattosuchia that previously have not ~~been~~ received
 1131 attention or even were overlooked (e.g. circular/bilobate trigeminal foramen, single/double CN
 1132 XII foramen, separation of the cranioquadrate canal from the external otic aperture,
 1133 absence/presence of lateral pharyngeal foramen). The new information on the braincase and
 1134 endocranial morphology of both thalattosuchians adds anatomical information that has potential
 1135 use in taxonomy, phylogeny, and paleobiology.

1136 The functional significances of these traits are still unclear. Extending the sampling to
 1137 other thalattosuchian taxa will help ~~to~~ test the **timing of acquisition** and distribution of these
 1138 morphological modifications among the whole lineage. Also comparison with extant marine
 1139 tetrapods (including physiological information) will be crucial to understand if some (and/or

which) of the morphological peculiarities of thalattosuchian braincases are products of directional natural selection resulting in a fully adaptation to a nektonic life style.

Acknowledgements

We thank C. Mehling and M. Norell (AMNH), O. Rauhut (BSPG), W. Joyce, P. Havrlik and M. Aiglstorfer (GPIT), F. Zheng and X. Xu (IVPP), P. Vignaud and G. Garcia (LPP), A. Kramarz (MACN), T. Schossleitner and D. Schwarz (MB.R.), A. Garrido and B. Bollini (MOZ), J.I. Canudo (MPZ), L. Steel (NHMUK), R. Schoch (SMNS), and P. Holroyd (UCMP) for provided access to specimens under their care and valuable help during collection visits. We thank G. Rößner (BSPG) for providing access to CT facilities and assistance with CT scanning. We would like thank F. Degrange (CICTERRA) and M. Bronzati (FFCLRP-USP) for their technical support, and Manuela Schellenberger (BSPG) for the photographs of BSPG 1984 I258. YH deeply thanks O. Rauhut (BSPG) for his support during her stay in Munich.

References

- Andrews CW. 1913.** *A descriptive catalogue of the marine reptiles of the Oxford Clay, Part Two*. London: British Museum (Natural History), 285.
- Bardet N, Falconnet J, Fischer V, Houssaye A, Jouve S, Pereda Suberbiola X, Pérez-García A, Rage J-C, Vincent P. 2014.** Mesozoic marine reptile palaeobiogeography in response to drifting plates. *Gondwana Research* **26**:869–887.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gr.2014.05.005>
- Barrios F, Bona P, Paulina Carabajal A, Gasparini Z. 2018.** Re-description of the cranio-mandibular anatomy of *Notosuchus terrestris* (Crocodyliformes, Mesoeucrocodylia) from

the Upper Cretaceous of Patagonia. *Cretaceous Research* **83**:3–39.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cretres.2017.08.016>

Bierman HS, Thornton JL, Jones HG, Koka K, Young BA, Brandt C, Chistensen-

Dalsgaard J, Carr CE, Tollin DJ. 2014. Biophysics of directional hearing in the

American alligator (*Alligator mississippiensis*). *The Journal of Experimental Biology*

217:1094–1107.

Bona P, Degrange FJ, Fernández MS. 2013. Skull anatomy of the bizarre crocodilian

Mourasuchus nativus (Alligatoridae, Caimaninae). *The Anatomical Record* **296**:227–239.

DOI 10.1002/ar.22625.

Bona P, Paulina Carabajal A. 2013. *Caiman gasparinae* sp. nov., a huge alligatorid

(Caimaninae) from the late Miocene of Paraná, Argentina. *Alcheringa* **37**:1–12.

Bona P, Paulina Carabajal A, Gasparini Z. 2017. Neuroanatomy of *Gryposuchus neogaeus*

(Crocodylia, Gavialoidea): a first integral description of the braincase and endocranial

morphological variation in extinct and extant gavialoids. *Earth and Environmental*

Science Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh **106**:235–246.

doi:10.1017/S1755691016000189

Brochu CA, Bouare ML, Sissoko F, Roberts EM, O’Leary MA. 2002. A dyrosaurid

crocodyliform braincase from Mali. *Journal of Paleontology* **76**:1060–1071.

Brusatte SL, Muir A, Young MT, Walsh S, Steel L, Witmer LM. 2016. The braincase and

neurosensory anatomy of an Early Jurassic marine crocodylomorph: implications for

crocodilian sinus evolution and sensory transitions. *The Anatomical Record* **299**:1551–

1530.

- 1185 **Busbey AB, Gow C. 1984.** A new protosuchian crocodile from the Upper Triassic Elliot
1186 Formation of South Africa. *Palaeontologia Africana* **25**:127–149.
- 1187 **Carr CE, Soares D, Smolders J, Simon JZ. 2009.** Detection of Interaural Time Differences in
1188 the Alligator. *The Journal of Neuroscience* **29**:7978–7982.
- 1189 **Carvalho IS, Campos ACA, Nobre PH. 2005.** *Baurusuchus salgadoensis*, a new
1190 Crocodylomorpha from the Bauru Basin (Cretaceous), Brazil. *Gondwana Research* **8**:11–
1191 30.
- 1192 **Clark JM. 1986.** Phylogenetic relationships of the crocodylomorphs archosaurs. D. Phill.
1193 Thesis, University of Chicago.
- 1194 **Clark JM. 1994.** Patterns of evolution in Mesozoic Crocodyliformes. In: Fraser NC, Sues H-D,
1195 eds. *In the shadow of the dinosaurs*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 84–97.
- 1196 **Clark JM, Xu X, Forster CA, Wang Y. 2004.** A Middle Jurassic “spheosuchian” from China
1197 and the origin of the crocodilian skull. *Nature* **430**:1021–1024. DOI
1198 10.1038/nature02802.
- 1199 **Colbert EH. 1946a.** *Sebecus*, representative of a peculiar suborder of fossil Crocodylia from
1200 Patagonia. *Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History* **87**:2017–2270.
- 1201 **Colbert EH. 1946b.** The eustachian tubes in crocodiles. *Copeia* **1946**:12–14.
- 1202 **Crawford EC, Palomeque J, Barber BJ. 1977.** A physiological basis for head-body
1203 temperature differences in a panting lizard. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology*
1204 *Part A: Physiology* **56**:161–163.
- 1205 **Dufeu DL. 2011.** The evolution of cranial pneumaticity in Archosauria: patterns of
1206 paratympanic sinus development. D. Phil. Thesis, Ohio University.

- 1207 **Dufeu DL, Witmer LM. 2015.** Ontogeny of the middle-ear air-sinus system in *Alligator*
1208 *mississippiensis* (Archosauria: Crocodylia). *PLOS ONE* **10(9)**:e013706.DOI
1209 10.1371/journal.pone.0137060.
- 1210 **Dunson WA, Mazzoti FJ. 1989.** Salinity as a limiting factor in the distribution of reptiles in
1211 Florida bay: a theory for the estuarine origin of marine snakes and turtles. *Bulletin of*
1212 *Marine Science* **44**:229–244.
- 1213 **Fernández MS, Gasparini Z. 2008.** Salt glands in the Jurassic metriorhynchid *Geosaurus*:
1214 implications for the evolution of osmoregulation in Mesozoic crocodyliforms.
1215 *Naturwissenschaften* **95**:79–84. DOI 10.1007/s00114-007-0296-1.
- 1216 **Fernández MS, Paulina Carabajal A, Gasparini Z, Chong Diaz G. 2011.** A metriorhynchid
1217 crocodyliform braincase from northern Chile. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology*
1218 **31**:369–377. DOI 10.1080/02724634.2011.550361.
- 1219 **Foffa D, Young MT. 2014.** The cranial osteology of *Tyrannoneustes lythrodectikos*
1220 (Crocodylomorpha: Metriorhynchidae) from the Middle Jurassic of Europe. *PeerJ*
1221 **2**:e608DOI 10.7717/peerj.608
- 1222 **Fraas E. 1902.** Die Meer-Krocodilier (Thalattosuchia) des oberen Jura unter specieller
1223 berucksichtigung von *Dacosaurus* und *Geosaurus*. *Paleontographica* **49**:1–72.
- 1224 **Gasparini Z, Dellapé D. 1976.** Un nuevo cocodrilo marino (Thalattosuchia, Metriorhynchidae)
1225 de la Formación Vaca Muerta (Jurásico, Tithoniano) de la Provincia del Neuquén
1226 (Argentina). [1° Congreso Geológico Chileno (Santiago), Actas]:1–21.
- 1227 **Gerstberger R. 1991.** Partial uncoupling of salt gland blood flow and secretion in the pekin
1228 duck (*Anas platyrhynchos*). *Journal of Physiology* **435**:175–186.

- 1229 **Heath JE. 1966.** Venous shunts in the cephalic sinuses of horned lizards. *Physiological Zoology*
1230 **39:**30–35.
- 1231 **Herrera Y. 2015.** Metriorhynchidae (Crocodylomorpha: Thalattosuchia) from Upper Jurassic-
1232 Lower Cretaceous of Neuquén Basin (Argentina), with comments on the natural cats of
1233 the brain. In: Fernández MS, Herrera Y, eds. *Reptiles Extintos– Volumen en Homenaje a*
1234 *Zulma Gasparini*. 15. Publicación Electrónica de la Asociación Paleontológica Argentina,
1235 159–171.
- 1236 **Herrera Y. 2016a.** Endocranial anatomy of a marine Crocodylomorpha (Thalattosuchia): a
1237 preliminary study. *Zitteliana* **88:**26.
- 1238 **Herrera Y, Vennari VV. 2015.** Cranial anatomy and neuroanatomical features of a new
1239 specimen of Geosaurini (Crocodylomorpha: Metriorhynchinae) from west-central
1240 Argentina. *Historical Biology* **27:**33–41. DOI 10.1080/08912963.2013.861831.
- 1241 **Herrera Y, Fernández MS, Gasparini Z. 2013.** The snout of *Cricosaurus araucanensis*: a case
1242 study in novel anatomy of the nasal region of metriorhynchids. *Lethaia* **46:**331–340. DOI
1243 10.1111/let.12011.
- 1244 **Herrera Y, Fernández MS, Lamas GS, Campos L, Talevi M, Gasparini Z. 2017.**
1245 Morphology of the sacral region and reproductive strategies of Metriorhynchidae: a
1246 counter-inductive approach. *Earth and Environmental Science Transactions of the Royal*
1247 *Society of Edinburgh* **106:**247–255. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S1755691016000165>
- 1248 **Herrera Y, Gasparini Z, Fernández MS. 2013.** A new Patagonian species of *Cricosaurus*
1249 (Crocodyliformes, Thalattosuchia): first evidence of *Cricosaurus* in Middle–Upper
1250 Tithonian lithographic limestone from Gondwana. *Palaeontology* **56:**663–678. DOI
1251 10.1111/pala.12010

- 1252 **Herrera Y, Gasparini Z, Fernández MS. 2015.** *Purranisaurus potens* Rusconi, an enigmatic
- 1253 metriorhynchid from the Late Jurassic–Early Cretaceous of the Neuquén Basin. *Journal*
- 1254 *of Vertebrate Paleontology* **35**:e904790. DOI: 10.1080/02724634.2014.904790
- 1255 **Holliday CM, Witmer LM. 2008.** Cranial kinesis in dinosaurs: intracranial joints, protractor
- 1256 muscles, and their significance for cranial evolution and function in diapsids. *Journal of*
- 1257 *Vertebrate Paleontology* **28**:1073–1088.
- 1258 **Holliday CM, Witmer LM. 2009.** The epipterygoid of crocodyliforms and its significance for
- 1259 the evolution of the orbitotemporal region of eusuchians. *Journal of Vertebrate*
- 1260 *Paleontology* **29**:715–733.
- 1261 **Hua S, De Buffrenil V. 1996.** Bone histology as a clue to the interpretation of functional
- 1262 adaptations in the Thalattosuchia. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* **16**:703–717. DOI
- 1263 10.1080/02724634.1996.10011359.
- 1264 **Iordansky NN. 1973.** The skull of Crocodylia. In: Gans C, Parsons TS, eds. *Biology of reptilia.*
- 1265 Vol. 4. New York: Academic Press, 201–264.
- 1266 **Jaeger GF. 1828.** *Über die Fossile Reptilien, welche in Württemberg aufgefunden worden sind.*
- 1267 Stuttgart: Metzler, 48.
- 1268 **Johnson MM, Young MT, Steel L, Foffa D, Smith AS, Hua S, Havlik P, Howlett EA, Dyke**
- 1269 **G. 2017.** Re-description of ‘*Steneosaurus*’ *obtusidens* Andrews, 1909, an unusual
- 1270 macrophagous teleosaurid crocodylomorph from the Middle Jurassic of England.
- 1271 *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* **182**:385–418.
- 1272 <https://doi.org/10.1093/zoolinnean/zlx035>

- 1273 **Jouve S. 2009.** The skull of *Teleosaurus cadomensis* (Crocodylomorpha; Thalattosuchia), and
1274 phylogenetic analysis of Thalattosuchia. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* **29**:88–102.
1275 DOI 10.1080/02724634.2009.10010364.
- 1276 **Kley NJ, Sertich JW, Turner AH, Kause DW, O'Connor PM, Georgi JA. 2010.** Craniofacial
1277 morphology of *Simosuchus clarki* (Crocodyliformes: Notosuchia) from the Late
1278 Cretaceous of Madagascar. *Society of Vertebrate Paleontology Memoir* **10**:13–98. DOI
1279 10.1080/02724634.2010.532674.
- 1280 **Leardi JM, Pol D, Clark JM. 2017.** Detailed anatomy of the braincase of *Macelognathus*
1281 *vagans* Marsh, 1884 (Archosauria, Crocodylomorpha) using high resolution tomography
1282 and new insights on basal crocodylomorph phylogeny. *PeerJ* **5**:e2801. DOI
1283 10.7717/peerj.2801
- 1284 **Martin JE, Deesri U, Liard R, Wattanapituksakul A, Suteethorn S, Lauprasert K, Tong H,**
1285 **Buffetaut E, Suteethorn V, Suan G, Telouk P, Balter V. 2016.** Strontium isotopes and
1286 the long-term residency of thalattosuchians in the freshwater environment. *Paleobiology*
1287 **42**:143–156. doi:10.1017/pab.2015.42
- 1288 **Martin JE, Vincent P. 2013.** New remains of *Machimosaurus hugii* von Meyer, 1837
1289 (Crocodylia, Thalattosuchia) from the Kimmeridgian of Germany. *Fossil Record* **16**:179–
1290 196. DOI 10.1002/mmng.201300009.
- 1291 **Massare JA. 1988.** Swimming capabilities of Mesozoic marine reptiles: implications for method
1292 of predation. *Paleobiology* **14**:187–205. doi.org/10.1017/S009483730001191
- 1293 **Mazin J-M. 2001.** Mesozoic marine reptiles: an overview. In: Mazin J-M, de Buffrénil V, eds.
1294 *Secondary Adaptation of Tetrapods to Life in Water*. München: Verlag Dr. Friedrich
1295 Pfeil, 95–117.

- 1296 **Miall LC. 1878.** *The Skull of the Crocodile*. London: Macmillan and Co, 50.
- 1297 **Montefeltro FC, Andrade DV, Larsson HCE. 2016.** The evolution of the meatal chamber in
1298 crocodyliforms. *Journal of Anatomy* **228**:838–863. DOI: 10.1111/joa.12439.
- 1299 **Mueller-Töwe IJ. 2006.** Anatomy, phylogeny, and palaeoecology of the basal thalattosuchians
1300 (Mesoeucrocodylia) from the Liassic of Central Europe. PhD Thesis, Universität Mainz.
- 1301 **Parrilla-Bel J, Young MT, Moreno-Azanza M, Canudo JI. 2013.** The first metriorhynchid
1302 crocodylomorph from the Middle Jurassic of Spain, with implications for evolution of the
1303 subclade Rhacheosaurini. *PLoS ONE* **8**:e54275. DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0054275.
- 1304 **Pierce SE, Williams M, Benson RBJ. 2017.** Virtual reconstruction of the endocranial anatomy
1305 of the early Jurassic marine crocodylomorph *Pelagosaurus typus* (Thalattosuchia). *PeerJ*
1306 **5**:e3225. DOI 10.7717/peerj.3225.
- 1307 **Pol D, Gasparini Z. 2009.** Skull anatomy of *Dakosaurus andiniensis* (Thalattosuchia:
1308 Crocodylomorpha) and the phylogenetic position of Thalattosuchia. *Journal of*
1309 *Systematic Palaeontology* **7**:163–197. DOI 10.1017/S1477201908002605.
- 1310 **Pol D, Nascimento PM, Carvalho AB, Riccomini C, Pires-Domingues RA, Zaher H. 2014.** A
1311 new notosuchian from the Late Cretaceous of Brazil and the phylogeny of advanced
1312 notosuchians. *PLOS ONE* **9**:e93105. DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0093105
- 1313 **Pol D, Rauhut OWM, Lecuona A, Leardi JM, Xu X, Clark JM. 2013.** A new fossil from the
1314 Jurassic of Patagonia reveals the early basicranial evolution and the origins of
1315 Crocodyliformes. *Biological Reviews* **88**:862–872. DOI: 10.1111/brv.12030.
- 1316 **Porter WR, Sedlmayr JC, Witmer LM. 2016.** Vascular patterns in the heads of crocodilians:
1317 blood vessels and sites of thermal exchange. *Journal of Anatomy* **229**:800–824. DOI
1318 10.1111/joa.12539.

- 1319 **Sedlmayr JC. 2002.** Anatomy, evolution, and functional significance of cephalic vasculature in
- 1320 Archosauria. PhD Thesis, Ohio University.
- 1321 **Sereno PC, Larsson HCE. 2009.** Cretaceous Crocodyliforms from the Sahara. *ZooKeys* **28**:1–
- 1322 143. doi: 10.3897/zookeys.28.325
- 1323 **Soto-Acuña S, Otero RA, Rubilar-Rogers D. 2012.** Un nuevo ejemplar de *Metriorhynchus*
- 1324 *casamiquelai* Gasparini y Chong, 1977 (Crocodylomorpha: Thalattosuchia) del
- 1325 Caloviano de Sierra de Moreno, Región de Antofagasta. [XIII Congreso Geológico
- 1326 Chileno, Antofagasta]:755–757.
- 1327 **Tarsitano SF. 1985.** Cranial metamorphosis and the origin of the Eusuchia. *Neues Jahrbuch für*
- 1328 *Geologie und Paläontologie* **170**:27–44.
- 1329 **Walker AD. 1990.** A revision of *Sphenosuchus acutus* Haughton, a crocodylomorph reptile from
- 1330 the Elliot Formation (late Triassic or early Jurassic) of South Africa. *Philosophical*
- 1331 *Transactions of the Royal Society of London B* **330**:1–120.
- 1332 **Westphal F. 1962.** Die Krokodilier des deutschen und englischen oberen Lias.
- 1333 *Palaeontographica A* **118**:23–118.
- 1334 **Wharton DS. 2000.** An enlarged endocranial venous system in *Steneosaurus pictaviensis*
- 1335 (Crocodylia: Thalattosuchia) from the Upper Jurassic of Les Lourdines. France. *Comptes*
- 1336 *Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences-Series IIA-Earth and Planetary Science* **331**:221–
- 1337 226.
- 1338 **Wilberg E. 2015a.** A new metriorhynchoid (Crocodylomorpha, Thalattosuchia) from the Middle
- 1339 Jurassic of Oregon and the evolutionary timing of marine adaptations in thalattosuchian
- 1340 crocodylomorphs. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* **35**:e902846. DOI:
- 1341 10.1080/02724634.2014.902846

- 1342 **Wilberg E. 2015b.** What's in an Outgroup? The impact of outgroup choice on the phylogenetic
- 1343 position of Thalattosuchia (Crocodylomorpha) and the origin of crocodyliformes.
- 1344 *Systematic Biology* **64**:621–637. DOI 10.1093/sysbio/syv020.
- 1345 **Witmer LM, Ridgely RC, Dufeu DL, Semones MC. 2008.** Using CT to peer into the past: 3D
- 1346 visualization of the brain and ear regions of birds, crocodiles and nonavian dinosaurs. In:
- 1347 Endo H, Frey R, eds. *Anatomical imaging: towards a new morphology*. Berlin: Springer,
- 1348 67–87.
- 1349 **Wu X-C, Chatterjee S. 1993.** *Dibothrosuchus elaphros*, a crocodylomorph from the Lower
- 1350 Jurassic of China and the phylogeny of the Sphenosuchia. *Journal of Vertebrate*
- 1351 *Paleontology* **13**:58–89. DOI 10.1080/02724634.1993.10011488.
- 1352 **Young MT, Andrade MB, Etches S, Beatty BL. 2013.** A new metriorhynchid crocodylomorph
- 1353 from the Lower Kimmeridge Clay Formation (Late Jurassic) of England, with
- 1354 implications for the evolution of dermatocranium ornamentation in Geosaurini.
- 1355 *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* **169**:820–848.
- 1356 **Young MT, Brusatte SL, Andrade MB, Desojo JB, Beatty BL, Steel L, Fernández MS,**
- 1357 **Sakamoto M, Ruiz-Omenaca JJ, Schoch RR. 2012.** The cranial osteology and feeding
- 1358 ecology of the metriorhynchid crocodylomorph genera *Dakosaurus* and *Plesiosuchus*
- 1359 from the Late Jurassic of Europe. *PLoS ONE* **7**:e44985. DOI
- 1360 10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0044985.
- 1361 **Young MT, Brusatte SL, Ruta M, Andrade MB. 2010.** The evolution of Metriorhynchoidea
- 1362 (Mesoeucrocodylia, Thalattosuchia): an integrated approach using geometrics
- 1363 morphometrics, analysis of disparity and biomechanics. *Zoological Journal of the*
- 1364 *Linnean Society* **158**:801–859. DOI 10.1111/j.1096-3642.2009.00571.x.

1365 **Young MT, Hastings AK, Allain R, Smith TJ. 2017.** Revision of the enigmatic crocodyliform
 1366 *Elosuchus felixi* de Lapparent de Broin, 2002 from the Lower–Upper Cretaceous
 1367 boundary of Niger: potential evidence for an early origin of the clade Dyrosauridae.
 1368 *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* **179**:377–403.
 1369 <https://doi.org/10.1111/zoj.12452>

Figure 1

Braincase of *Steneosaurus bollensis* (BSPG 1984 I258).

In (A) dorsal; (B) ventral; (C) right anterolateral; (D) left anterolateral; and (E) posterior views.

Abbreviations: bo, basioccipital; bs, basisphenoid; cf, carotid foramen; cr.B, crest B; fm, foramen magnum; fr, frontal; fv, vagus foramen; fVg, foramen for the trigeminal ganglion; lpf, lateral pharyngeal foramen; lt, laterosphenoid; mec, middle ear cavity; mpf, medial pharyngeal foramen; ot, otoccipital; pa, parietal; pro, prootic; pt, pterygoid; q, quadrate; XII, hypoglossal foramen. Dotted white line in (C) shows laterosphenoid-prootic suture, continuous lines show the trigeminal foramen and fossa. Scale bars equal 5 cm.




Figure 2

Reconstruction of the braincase of *Steneosaurus bollensis* (BSPG 1984 I258).

In (A) dorsal; (B) ventral; (C) right anterolateral; (D) left anterolateral; and (E) posterior views.

Abbreviations: bo, basioccipital; bs, basisphenoid; cf, carotid foramen; fm, foramen magnum; fr, frontal; fVg, foramen for the trigeminal ganglion; lpf, lateral pharyngeal foramen; lt, laterosphenoid; mec, middle ear cavity; mpf, medial pharyngeal foramen; ot, otoccipital; pa, parietal; pro, prootic; pt, pterygoid; q, quadrate; III, oculomotor foramen; XII, hypoglossal foramen. Scale bar equals 5 cm.




Figure 3

Endocranial anatomy of *Steneosaurus bollensis* (BSPG 1984 I258).

In (A–B) lateral; (C–D) dorsal; (E–F) ventral; and (G–H) posterior views. Abbreviations: BOd, basioccipital diverticulum; BSd, basisphenoid diverticulum; cmcv, caudal middle cerebral vein; dvs, dorsal venous sinus; el, endosseous labyrinth of the inner ear; en, endocranial cast; ic, internal carotid artery; olt, olfactory tract; OTd, otoccipital diverticulum; pf, pituitary; PTd, pterygoid diverticulum; PTt, pharyngotympanic tube; rmcv, rostral middle cerebral vein; TO/ST, temporo-orbital/stapedial vein; Vg, trigeminal ganglion; III, VI, VII, IX–X–XI, XII, cranial nerves. Scale bars equal 5 cm.




Figure 4

Left endosseous labyrinth of *Steneosaurus bollensis* (BSPG 1984 I258).

In (A) anterior; (B) lateral; (C) posterior; (D) posterior; and (E) dorsal views. Abbreviations: asc, anterior semicircular canal; cc, common crus; cd, cochlear duct; lsc, lateral semicircular canal; psc, posterior semicircular canal. Scale bar equals 1 cm.




Figure 5

Braincase of *Cricosaurus araucanensis* (MLP 72-IV-7-1).

In (A) dorsal; (B) ventral; (C) posterior views. Abbreviations: bo, basioccipital; bs, basisphenoid; cf, carotid foramen; cq, cranioquadrate foramen; cr.B, crest B; eoa, external otic aperture; fm, foramen magnum; fr, frontal; lt, laterosphenoid; mpf, medial pharyngeal foramen; ot, otoccipital; pa, parietal; pfr, prefrontal; pl, palatine; po, postorbital; pro, prootic; pt, pterygoid; q, quadrate; so, supraoccipital; sq, squamosal; IX-XI, XII, foramina for cranial nerves. Scale bars equal 5 cm.




Figure 6

Braincase of *Cricosaurus araucanensis* (MLP 72-IV-7-1).

In (A) left dorsolateral; (B) left ventrolateral; and (C) left ventrolateral views. Abbreviations: fr, frontal; fVg, foramen for the trigeminal ganglion; gV₁, groove for the ophthalmic branch of the trigeminal nerve; gV₂, groove for the maxillary branch of the trigeminal nerve; lt, laterosphenoid; pa, parietal; po, postorbital; pro, prootic; q, quadrate; sq, squamosal; tof, temporo-orbital foramen. The white circle on (A) marks the blood vessel infillings that cover the cerebral hemispheres. The dotted white line on (C) marks the ophthalmic groove. Scale bars equal 2 cm.




Figure 7

Endocranial anatomy of *Cricosaurus araucanensis* (MLP 72-IV-7-1).

In (A–B) lateral; (C–D), dorsal; (E–F), ventral; and (G–H), posterior views. Abbreviations: BSd, basisphenoid diverticulum; cmcv, caudal middle cerebral vein; cqp, cranioquadrate passage; dvs, dorsal venous sinus; el, endosseous labyrinth of the inner ear; en, endocranial cast; ETr, recessus epitubaricum; ic, internal carotid artery; oa, orbital artery; olt, olfactory tract; OTd, otoccipital diverticulum; pf, pituitary; rmcv, rostral middle cerebral vein; TO/ST, temporo-orbital/stapedial vein; Vg, trigeminal ganglion; II, IV, cranial nerves. Scale bar equals 10 cm.




Figure 8

Left endosseous labyrinth of *Cricosaurus araucanensis* (MLP 72-IV-7-1).

In (A) anterior; (B) lateral; (C) posterior; and (D) dorsal views. Abbreviations: asc, anterior semicircular canal; cc, common crus; cd, cochlear duct; lsc, lateral semicircular canal; psc, posterior semicircular canal. Scale bar equals 1 cm.




Figure 9

Braincase and endocranial features of some crocodylomorphs displayed on a phylogenetic framework (based on Pol et al., 2014).

Endocasts were redrawn from the following sources (from top): *Pholidosaurus mereyi* (MB.R.2027), *Steneosaurus bollensis* (BSPG 1984 I258), *Pelagosaurus typus* (Dufeu, 2011), *Cricosaurus araucanensis* (MLP 72-IV-7-1); *Gavialis gangeticus* (Bona, Paulina Carabajal & Gasparini, 2017), *Crocodylus johnstoni* (Witmer et al., 2008), *Simosuchus clarki* (Kley et al., 2010), *Sebecus icaeorhinus* (Colbert, 1946a). Synapomorphies and putative synapomorphies are plotted on the cladogram (see reference chart). An x on the symbol represents a reversion on that character. Not to scale.





Figure 10

Braincase and endocranial features of some crocodylomorphs displayed on a phylogenetic framework (based on Young et al., 2017).

Endocasts were redrawn from the following sources (from top): *Sebecus icaeorhinus* (Colbert, 1946a); *Gavialis gangeticus* (Bona, Paulina Carabajal & Gasparini, 2017), *Crocodylus johnstoni* (Witmer et al., 2008), *Pholidosaurus mereyi* (MB.R.2027), *Steneosaurus bollensis* (BSPG 1984 I258), *Pelagosaurus typus* (Dufeu, 2011), *Cricosaurus araucanensis* (MLP 72-IV-7-1); “*Metriorhynchus*” cf. *westermanni* (MDA 2). Synapomorphies and putative synapomorphies are plotted on the cladogram (see reference chart). An x on the symbol represents a reversion on that character. Not to scale.



- | | | |
|--|--|--|
| ● enlarged carotid foramen | ◆ single CN XII foramen | ☆ absence of brain flexures
hypertrophied cephalic vascular system
reduction of the paratympanic sinus system
absence of subtymppanic foramen |
| ● carotid foramen ventral to the occipital condyle | ● cranioquadrate passage confluent with the external otic aperture | |
| ▲ bilobate trigeminal foramen | ▲ absence of lateral pharyngeal foramina | |