# Physical, mechanical and energy characterization of wood

# pellets obtained from three common tropical species

- 3 Artemio Carrillo-Parra<sup>1</sup>, Maginot Ngangyo-Heya<sup>2,\*</sup>, Serafin Colín-Urieta<sup>3</sup>, Rahim
- Foroughbakhch-Pournavab<sup>2</sup>, José Guadalupe Rutiaga-Quiñones<sup>3</sup> and Fermín Correa-Méndez<sup>4</sup> 4
  - Universidad Juárez del Estado de Durango, Instituto de Silvicultura e Industria de la Madera, Boulevard del Guadiana #501. Ciudad Universitaria, Torre de Investigación, C.P. 34120, Durango, Dgo. México; acarrilloparra@gmail.com
    Universidad Autónoma de Nuevo León (UANL), Facultad de CienciasBiológicas, San Nicolás de los
  - Garza, C.P. 66451. Nuevo León, México; nheyamaginot@yahoo.fr, rahimforo@hotmail.com
- Universidad Michoacana de San Nicolás de Hidalgo. Facultad de IngenieríaenTecnología de la Madera. Apartado Postal 580, C.P. 58030 Morelia, Michoacán, México; scuserafin@yahoo.com.mx
- 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 universidad Intercultural Indígena de Michoacán. DesarrolloSustentable, TecnologíasAlternativas. Carretera San Juan Tumbio - Cherán, Km. 16.2 Pichátaro, Michoacán; correa.mendez.fermin@gmail.com
- 16 \* Corresponding author:

1

2

5

- 17 Maginot Ngangyo Heya<sup>2</sup>
- Email address: nheyamaginot@yahoo.fr
- 18 19 Tel.: +521-8120340601

Abstract

 **Background.** The need for energy sources with low greenhouse gas emissions and sustainable production encourage the search for alternative biomass sources. However, the use of biomass fuels faces the problem of storage, transport and lower energy densities. Use of pellets as alternative biomass source is a way to reduce the volume of biomass by densification, which improves their energy quality. They are produced by diverse biomass resources and mainly from wood materials. In all cases, their fuel characteristics must be evaluated, to determine their suitability on the heating system and handling properties.

**Methods.** The present study determines and compares data from proximate analysis, calorific values, physical and mechanical properties of wood pellets produced from the common tropical species *Acacia wrightii*, *Ebenopsis\_ebano* and *Havardia\_pallens*. Data were obtained from pellets produced from each species chips collected from an experimental plantation and analyzed through ANOVA and Kruskal-Wallis test at 0.05 significance level.

**Results.** The results of diameter, length and length/diameter ratio didn't show statistical differences (p > 0.05) among species. *Acacia\_wrightii* showed the highest density (1.2 g/cm<sup>3</sup>). Values on weight retained and compression test showed statistical differences (p = 0.05) among species. *Havardia pallens* was more resistant to compression strength than *A. wrightii* and *Ebenopsis\_ebano*. Statistical differences (p < 0.01) were also observed for the volatile matter and calorific value. *E. ebano* has the lowest volatile matter (72%), highest calorific value (19.6 MJ/kg) as well as the fixed carbon (21%).

**Discussion.** Low density values can negatively affect energy density, leading to an increase in transportation and storage costs. The pellets of the species studied have a high energy density, which makes them suitable for both commercial and industrial heating applications.

A pellet with low compression resistance tends to disintegrate easily, due to moisture adsorption. The percentages obtained for the resistance index were higher than 97.5%, showing that the pellets studied are high-quality biofuels. Proximate analysis values also indicate good combustion parameters.

Pellets of *Acacia wrightii* and *Ebenopsis\_ebano* are the more favorable raw material sources for energy purposes because of their high density, calorific value, low ash content and they also met majority of the international quality parameters.

Keywords. Bioenergy, physico-mechanical properties, proximate analysis, wood pellets, tropical species.

Satish 3/30/18 11:09 AM

Deleted: ratio

Satish 3/30/18 11:14 AM

Formatted: Font:Times New Roman, 12 pt

Satish 3/30/18 11:17 AM

Deleted: common

# Introduction

The present society development provides increasing levels of comfort to the people, inevitably leading to an increase in energy consumption in all its forms, requiring a constant and permanent supply (Van Duren et al., 2015; Song et al., 2015). It is estimated that 80% to 85% of the world's energy consumption is obtained directly from fossil fuels (BP, 2013), which cause greenhouse gas emissions, global warming, in addition they are limited in supply and they will be depleted one day. Therefore, it is important to develop new energy policies, aimed at the rate of energy consumption and the environmental impact associated with the use of fossil fuels.

Biomass is a clean source of energy whose use implies a reduction in the energy dependence of fossil fuels (Antolín, 2006). Thus, biomass energy is a promising alternative to such limited fossil fuel reserves as coal, oil and gas (Zhao et al., 2012), since the earth produces more than 230 billion tons of biomass each year, of which only a quarter (24%) is used to satisfy basic needs and industrial production. The remaining 76% of the total biomass can\_therefore, become a living "green" carbon source that can partially replace, the "black" fossil fuels currently supporting the industrial economies (ETC-group, 2010). However, one of the challenges facing the energy industry is how to store the large quantities of biomass fuel required for thermal power plants (Craven et al., 2015). Moreover, biomasses are scattered resources with lower energy densities, and to be practical in large-scale applications, they must be first pretreated by grinding, drying and compressing, so that they are dry and dense with a higher energy density (Chen et al., 2015; Hu et al., 2014).

Densification then appears as a way of producing solid biofuels, easily transportable, manageable and storable, with optimum commercial quality. Densified biomass fuels such as pellets are preferred as they provide better economic viability for transport, storage and handling than other fossil fuels and biofuels (Tauro et al., 2018). As wood is a primary energy source, it responds to available evidence and to a need for energy, especially relevant at the time of deep economic crisis, which has forced many to rethink future strategies (Brian et al., 2011). In this way, the use of wood pellets is a sustainable energy alternative (Mola-Yudegoet al., 2014; Sgarbossa et al., 2015), that represents a positive globalization of wealth and local employment generation. This has resulted in soaring demand for wood pellets in Europe and North America (Heinimo\_&\_Junginger, 2009), so that they are produced by diverse biomass resources, such as wood waste, energy forest and grape marc (Cespi et al., 2014; Dwivedi et al., 2014). Therefore, the pelletizing can be

Satish 3/30/18 11:21 AM

Deleted: between

Satish 3/30/18 11:21 AM

Deleted: and

Satish 3/30/18 11:32 AM

Deleted: creation

considered as an option to counteract the problem of excess waste normally generated in agro industrial and forestry activities (IRENA, 2013).

In tropical conditions, many agricultural and forestry crops are developed, generating a large amount of lignocellulosic waste (Ulloa et al., 2004), that could be used as fuel or energy source (Sekyere et al., 2004), through pelletization. However, before these woody pellets can be used, it is essential to first evaluate their fuel characteristics, taking as reference of some standards, to ensure their uniformity, reducing market barriers and creating a product flow in which these biofuels can be traded between producers and users regardless of countries or regions (Cabral et al., 2012). This is directly related to the physical, mechanical and chemical properties of the material that determine the quality of densified biomass during transportation and storage, as well as the their energy capacity. Thus, in this study, three common tropical species are tested and characterized, to compare and determine the suitability of their pellets according to the international standards and end-users requirements based on the heating system and handling properties.

### Materials & Methods

# Origin of raw materials and pellets production

Four trees from each of the species *Acacia wrightii*, *Ebenopsis ebano* and *Havardia pallens* were cut from an experimental plantation established in Northeast Mexico (Ngangyo-Heya et al., 2016). The material was chipped, and then milled into a particle length lower than 4 mm. The pellets were produced in a press with compression channel length of 8 mm and channel diameter of 6 mm,without adding binder-additives to obtain pellet production\_of 400 kg/h.The pellets were cooled\_and left in plastic bags at laboratory conditions for the physical and chemical tests.

# Physical properties

The pelletizing press and wood particles characteristics affect pellets' physical properties such as length, diameter and density. Pellet diameter is the result of the die dimension, and pellet length from the distance between plate and knife placed down the dish, however particle density is related to pelletizing conditions and wood particles characteristics. The pellets diameter and length was measured for 50 samples of each species with a caliper, and the particle density was determined by the ratio of mass to volume according to Equation 1. All values were the average of 50 samples of each species.

$$D = m/v \tag{1}$$

Satish 3/30/18 11:40 AM

Deleted: their

Satish 3/30/18 11:48 AM

Deleted: materials

Satish 3/30/18 11:48 AM

Deleted: of these

- Where, D = Particle density ( $g/cm^3$ ), m = Mass of pellet (g), V = Volume of pellet ( $cm^3$ )
- 127 Mechanical properties
- 128 Compression resistance at diametrical load was determined for 20 samples of each species,
- 129 using a Universal Testing Machine –Instron 300Dx, the pellet was placed between two flats
- and parallel platens, and an increasing load was applied at the constant velocity of 2
- mm/min until the pellet failed by cracking or braking according to the test established by
- 132 Nielsen et al.(2009).
- 133 Impact resistance also known as "drop resistance" or "shattering resistance" was used
- to determine the safe height of pellet production (Kaliyan & Morey, 2009; Pietsch, 2008).
- 135 The impact resistance index (IRI) was obtained from the total number of pellets pieces
- produced after dropping each of the 20 pellets per species four times from 1.8 m height,
- 137 The data was calculated according to Equation 2, developed by Richards (1990).

$$IRI = 100 \text{ x N/n} \tag{2}$$

- Where: IRI = Impact resistance index, N = Number of drops, n = Total number of pieces
- 139 after the four drops.
- The retained weight percentage was determined from the weight of the total number of
- pellets pieces produced from the four drops divided by the initial weight of the pellet
- multiplied by 100 according to Equation 3.

$$RW = Wnp/WN \tag{3}$$

- Where: RW= Retained weight (%), Wnp = Weight of the total pieces produced after four
- drops, WN = Weight of the initial piece of pellet.

# 145 Proximate analysis and energy production

- Moisture content (%), volatile matter (%), and ash content (%) were determined according
- 147 to the standards UNE-EN-14774-1 (2010), UNE-EN-15148 (2010), UNE-EN-14775
- 148 (2009), respectively. Fixed carbon content was calculated by subtracting from the sum of
- the volatile matter, moisture and ash content from 100. Gross calorific value of pellets was
- calculated according to Equation 4 established by Parikh\_et al. (2005).

$$GCV = 0.3536 FC + 0.1559 VM - 0.0078 A$$
 (4)

- 151 Where, GCV = Gross calorific value (KJ/kg), FC = Fixed carbon (%), VM = Volatile matter
- 152 (%), A = Ash(%).

Satish 3/30/18 3:41 PM

Deleted: high

Satish 3/30/18 3:43 PM

Deleted: four times

atish 3/30/18 3:46 PM

Deleted: y

# Statistical analysis

The data means and standard error values for the properties of the pellets produced from the three species studied were determined, normality for all variables was corroborated by Shapiro-Wilk. Data in percentage were transformed using the arc sine square root function to develop comparison tests. Variables showing normal distribution were analyzed using one-way analysis of variance with a random arrangement. Comparisons with statistical differences (p<0.05) between species, Tukey's honestly significant difference (HSD) tests were developed, this test consider statistically significant at p < 0.05 for all pair-wise comparisons (Steel & Torrie, 1960). For variables non-normally distributed, the Kurskal-Walliss test was applied. All statistical analyses were performed using the free R software, version 3.2.2 R (Bolker, 2012).

### Results

# Physical properties

The average and standard error of length, diameter and density of pellets produced with the three tropical species studied are shown in Figure 1. Pellets density values showed statistical differences (p < 0.05) among species (Table 1). The density showed two statistical groups: (a) with pellets of *Acacia wrightii*, which were the more dense pellets (1.18 g/cm³), and (b) constituted pellets obtained from *Ebenopsis\_ebano* and *Havardia pallens* were statistically similar, with values of 1.10 and 1.12 g/cm³, respectively.

As for the dimensions, the pellets of the three species have similar diameters: A.  $wrightii\_(6.05\pm0.01 \text{ mm})$ ,  $E\_ebano\_(6.03\pm0.01 \text{ mm})$  and  $H\_pallens\_(6.07\pm0.01 \text{ mm})$ , while for the length, the  $E\_ebano$  pellets (17.37 $\pm$ 1.61 mm) were longer than those of  $A\_wrightii$  (13.17 $\pm$ 0.82 mm) and  $H\_pallens$  (13.44 $\pm$ 0.99 mm). The ratio length/diameter was 2.89 $\pm$ 0.27, 2.22 $\pm$ 0.17 and 2.17 $\pm$ 0.14 for pellets of  $E\_ebano$ ,  $H\_pallens$  and  $A\_wrightii$ , respectively.

# Mechanical properties

Compression resistance values showed statistical differences (p<0.05) among species (Table 2). The bonds between\_pellet particles produced from *Acacia wrightii* wood chips were stronger than those from *Ebenopsis\_ebano.\_Havardia\_pallens* has the weakest particle bond (Figure 2).

The drop resistance index values for the three species ranges between 117 to 160. *A. wrightii* produced the most resistant pellets, while *H. pallens* produced less resistant pellets. The registered values did not show statistical differences (p > 0.05) among species (Table

Satish 3/30/18 4:06 PM

Deleted: which

2). Weight retained values showed statistical differences (p<0.05) among species (Table 2). Pellets of *Acacia wrightii* and *Ebenopsis\_ebano* were in the same statistical group "a" with values of 66.27 and 61.74, respectively, different from those of *Havardia\_pallens* in the statistical group "b", with the value of 49.49 (Figure 2).

### Proximate analysis and energy production

Moisture content, volatile matter, fixed carbon and gross calorific value showed statistical differences (p<0.005) among species, while ash showed similar values among species (Table 3). The moisture content of all the pellets produced from the common tropical species tested was lower than 7%, with *E. ebano* (4.62±0.23%), *H. pallens* (6.18±0.03%) and *A. wrightii* (6.74±0.15%)\_as presented in Figure 3. Volatile matters oscillated from 72.25 to 79.38%, values corresponding to pellets of *E. ebano* and *H. pallens*, respectively. The ash content ranged\_between\_2.41 to 3.22%,\_being the smallest value\_obtained for pellets of *H. pallens* and the highest value for pellets of *A. wrightii*. Fixed carbon varied significantly, with values ranging between 12 to 21%,\_thereby forming three statistical groups: "a"\_*H. pallens*\_(12.03±0.11%), "b" *A. wrightii* (13.44±0.51%) and "c"\_*E. ebano*\_(20.61±1.01%). Calorific values found in this research were higher than 17.8 MJ/kg, and is the highest value obtained from pellets of *E. ebano* (19.64 MJ/kg).

#### Discussion

# Physical properties

The values of pellets density obtained in this work are similar to 1.12 - 1.3 g/cm<sup>3</sup> reported in The Pellets Handbook by Thek and Obernberger (2012). The bulk density of the input material is an important factor in pelleting as the mills are fed by volume rather than weight (Filbakk et al., 2011a). The consideration for this property is a good estimator of pellet quality for fuel applications, as it equates to more energy per unit volume, and means greater economy in fuel use, transportation and storage space (Rollinson\_& Williams, 2016). Low density values can\_negatively affect the energy density causing an increase in transportation and storage costs. According to Obernberger and Thek\_(2006), the pellets of high energy density (18 - 20 MJ kg<sup>-1</sup>) make it suitable for both commercial and industrial heating applications.

Comparisons between physical properties of pellets studied\_against values stated by standards CEN/TS 14961 (CEN/TS EN 14961-2, 2012), SS 18 71 20 and CTI R04/05 showed that they met the standards (Duca et al., 2014). According to the CEN-EN 14961-1, pellets from the three species were "D 06" with a diameter of 6 mm and length between

#### Satish 3/30/18 4:25 PM

Deleted: tropical

### Satish 3/30/18 4:34 PM

**Deleted:** pellets high energy density (18 – 20 MJ kg<sup>-1</sup>) makes

3.15 to 40 mm (CEN/TS 14961, 2005). All pellets of the studied species are suitable for combustion <u>in</u> boilers with pneumatic feeding systems\_because their lengths were small enough to prevent a blockage in the mechanism. Also, the ratio <u>of length and diameter was lower than maximum of five stated by Obernberger and Thek (2006) and ÖNORM (2000).</u>

# **Mechanical properties**

The compression resistance values of *Acacia\_wrightii* were within the range (295 to 692 N) reported by Tenorio et al. (2014) and Pampuro et al. (2017), while\_pellets of *Ebenopsis\_ebano* and *Havardia\_pallens* were higher than the values reported. For wooden pellets, the resistance to change from its original appearance is very important, since it indicates how well they can resist external forces after a sustained period of use. This property is important in the wood pellet industry and trade (Oveisi-Fordiie, 2011). A pellet with low compression resistance is usually associated with problems such as difficulty in storage and shipping as well as health and environmental issues. This is because such pellet has the tendency to disintegrate easily due to moisture adsorption, fall or friction as reported by Temmerman et al. (2006). Thus, measuring this parameter for pellets indicates their market values.

The values of the impact resistance index were higher than the ratio of 33 to 50 % reported by Forero-Nuñez et al. (2015). Pellets with a percentage higher than 97.5% as defined by ASABE Standard S269.4 (2006), are considered a high quality biofuel because the particles have good adhesion forces that allow pellets to withstand transportation stress before reaching to the end users.

# Proximate analysis and energy production

The moisture content of about 7% for all the studied\_species is in conformity with Koppejan and Van Loo (2012), who stipulated that moisture content of quality pellets should be lower than 15%. Moisture content values place the studied pellets as super premium (≤8%), according to U.S. standard which has other three lower grades, i.e., premium (≤8%), standard (≤10%) and utility (≤10%) (Tumuluru et al., 2010). Moisture content is a property that should be considered with caution, since water has a crucial role in the pelletizing process (Samuelsson et al., 2009). A number of studies on wooden pellets showed a positive correlation between MC and pellet durability (Whittaker\_&\_Shield, 2017), being this, one of the most important physical characteristic of pellets. Higher MCs can reduce friction by lubricating the biomass (Nielsen et al., 2009), and increase the extent at which pellets 'relax' after formation thereby leading to a decrease in durability (Adapa et

Satish 3/30/18 4:36 PM

Deleted: on

Satish 3/30/18 4:39 PM

Deleted: /

al., 2011). Water is not compressible, however, limiting the final density of the pellet (Carone et al., 2011). When moisture content is at the level of 8.62%, the maximum durability of 96.7% can be reached (Colley et al., 2006). With MC of 8–15%, there is an increase in durability in Norway spruce and Scots pine (Lehtikangas, 2001). Filbakk et al. (2011b) also found a positive correlation in durability (r2 =0.62) with MC of 7–12% in Scots pine. Tulip wood pellets showed the highest durability at a moisture content of 13% (Lee et al., 2013). Across a range of biomass types including wood and straw, the optimum MC for pellet durability was between 6.5 and 10.8% (Miranda et al., 2015).

The volatile matters range (72 to 79%) is in agreement with the results of Arranz et al. (2015), Koppejan and Van Loo (2012), Tenorio et al. (2014), and are lower than 82.8% reported by Chen et al. (2015) for commercial pellets. The amount of volatile matters influences the behavior during the combustion of solid fuels (Tauro et al., 2018) such that when volatile matters are high, the biomass is considered as a suitable fuel for thermal conversion (Olsson & Kjällstrand, 2004; Holt et al., 2006). Kataki and Konwer (2002) additionally indicated that high levels of volatile matters produce a fast burning, a disadvantage to fuels.

Fixed carbon varied from 12 to 21%, similar <u>results were reported by Chen et al.</u> (2015)\_\_and\_Arranz et al. (2015)\_\_fixed carbon has been reported to influence the gross calorific value (Tenorio et al., 2014). Also, in relation to the potential of energy production, this property is the most valuable parameter, since raw materials with high fixed carbon have higher heating values (Forero-Nuñez et al., 2015).

The ash content ranged between 2.41 to 3.22%, which is promising for the species studied. Pellets with low ash contents are suitable for thermal conversion because they cause low ash accumulation, slagging or corrosion in the boilers (Obernberger&Thek, 2006; Rhén et al., 2007). Ashes reduce the quality of pellets, increase the emission of particles to the environment and reduce the heat value of biomass (Tumuluru et al., 2010). According to Uribe (1986), the higher the ash content in a solid fuel, the lower will be the heat obtained and as a result, causing problems with the handling and management of large quantities of ash produced. High ash content feedstock may also result into increasein maintenance cost for both household and industries users. The relatively small amount of ash indicates small ash forming elements, allowing the pellets to be used for industrial heating requirements, where problems associated with slagging, fouling and sintering formation are major concerns.

#### Satish 3/30/18 4:58 PM

Deleted: scots

#### Satish 3/30/18 5:13 PM

Deleted: to the

### Satish 3/30/18 5:16 PM

Deleted: observed

Gross calorific values found in this research were higher than 17.8 MJ/kg, that are similar to the values reported by Telmo and Lousada (2011), indicating that pellet of the studied species are suitable to be used as feedstock (Laxamana, 1984; San Luis et al., 1984). Also, these values are within the minimum requirements of DIN 51731 (1996) for solid fuel, for industrial heating processes. High gross calorific values allow the biofuel to produce a high amount of energy within low fuel volume (energy density) (Atuesta-Boada & Sierra-Vargas, 2015).

#### **Conclusions**

297

298

299

300

301 302

303

304

317

321

305 The wooden chips of the common tropical species Acacia wrightii, Ebenopsis\_ebano and Havardia pallens from experimental plantations are suitable to produce pellets that meet 306 307 the international quality parameters. Pellets' physical parameters values such as 308 length/diameter ratio and density indicate a well bonding mechanism. Resistance, 309 compression and weight retained values of the three species guarantee that the pellets will produce low levels of fines during transportation. Proximate analysis values indicate good 310 combustion parameters for the species Pellets of these species are classified as M10 311 312 (moisture content lower than 10%) and A0.5 (ash content lower than 0.5%). Gross calorific values from all the three species were higher than 17.8 MJ/kg. From the values of the wood 313 314 pellets studied, Acacia wrightii and Ebenopsis ebano are the more favorable raw materials 315 sources for energy purposes, because of their high density, gross calorific value, low ash content, that met the majority international quality parameters. 316

## Acknowledgment

- 318 The authors thank the Energy Sustainability Fund for the support to carry out the present
- 319 investigation through the project SENER CONACYT 2014 246911 Cluster of Solid
- Biofuels for Thermal and Electric Generation. 320

# References

322 Adapa P, Tabil L, Schoenau G. 2011. Grinding performance and physical properties of 323 non-treated and steam exploded barley, canola, oat and wheat straw. Biomass and

324 Bioenergy, 35 (1): 549-561.

Antolín G. 2006. La gestión y el aprovechamiento de los residuosen la industria de la 325 326 madera, Maderas. Inst. Nac. Tecnol. Ind. Buenos Aires, Argentina. Cuad. Tecnológico. 29.

327

Arranz JI, Miranda MT, Montero I, Sepúlveda FJ, Rojas CV. 2015. Characterization and 328 329 combustion behaviour of commercial and experimental wood pellets in South West

330 Europe. Fuel, 142: 199-207. Satish 3/30/18 5:43 PM

Deleted: values

Satish 3/30/18 5:43 PM

Deleted: the

Satish 3/30/18 6:10 PM

Deleted: s

Satish 3/30/18 6:10 PM

Deleted:;

Satish 3/30/18 6:10 PM

Deleted: p

- 336 ASABE Standards. 2006. S269.4: Cubes, pellets and crumbles—definitions and methods
- for determining density, durability and moisture content. American Society of
- 338 Agricultural Engineers, St. Joseph, MI. ASAE
- 339 Atuesta-Boada LE, Sierra-Vargas FE. 2015. Caracterización físico-química de pellets
- 340 producidos a partir de mezclas 50/50 carbónbituminoso/madera residual.
- 341 InformadorTécnico, [S.l.], v. 79, n. 1. p. 18-25. ISSN 2256-5035. Available on:
- http://revistas.sena.edu.co/index.php/inf\_tec/article/view/133/366 at: 01 nov. 2017. Doi:
- 343 <u>https://doi.org/10.23850/22565035.133</u>.
- Bolker B. 2012. R Development Core Team, bbmle: Tools for general maximum likelihood estimation. R package version, vol. 1, n° 5.2.
- 346 BP. 2013. Statistical Review of World Energy. London, UK.
- Brian Vad M, Lund H,Karlsson K. 2011. "100% Renewable energy systems, climate mitigation and economic growth." *Applied Energy* 88.2: 488–501.
- Cabral J, Tatiane D, Braga M, Mitiko M, Behling C, Dias P, Dilicio J. 2012. Produção de briquetes e péletes a partir de resíduosagrícolas, agroindustrais e florestais. Brasil.
- Carone MT, Pantaleo A, Pellerano A. 2011. Influence of process parameters and biomass characteristics on the durability of pellets from the pruning residues of *Olea europaea* L.
- 353 Biomass and Bioenergy, 35 (1): 402-410
- 354 CEN/TS14961. 2005. Solid biofuels, Fuel Specif. Classes.CEN/TS.
- 355 Cespi D, Passarini F, Ciacci L, Vassura I, Castellani V, Collina E, Piazzalunga A, Morselli L.
- 2014. Heating systems LCA: comparison of biomass-based appliances. *The International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment* 19(1):89-99.
- 358 Chen WH, Peng J, Bi XT. 2015. A state-of-the-art review of biomass torrefaction,
- densification and applications. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 44: 847–866.
- Colley Z,Fasina OO, Bransby D, Lee YY. 2006. Moisture effect on the physical characteristics of switchgrass pellets. American Society of Agricultural and Biological
- 363 Engineers 49(6): 1845–1851.
- 364 Craven JM, Swithenbank J, Sharifi VN, Peralta-Solorio D, Kelsall G, Sage P. 2015.
- Hydrophobic coatings for moisture stable wood pellets, *Biomass and Bioenergy* 80: 278–285.
- DIN 51731. 1996. Testing of Solid Fuels—Compressed Untreated Wood, Requirements
   and Testing. DeutschesInstitut fur Normung, Berlin.
- Duca D, Riva G, Pedretti EF, Toscano G. 2014. Wood pellet quality with respect to EN 14961-2 standard and certifications. *Fuel* 135: 9-14.
- Dwivedi P, Khanna M, Bailis R, Ghilardi A. 2014. Potential greenhouse gas benefits of transatlantic wood pellet trade. *Environmental Research Letters*9(2).
- ETC-group. 2010. Los nuevosamos de la biomasa. Biologíasintética y el próximoasalto a la biodiversidad. Canadá: ETC-group.
- 375 Filbakk T,Høibø OA, Dibdiakova J, Nurmi J. 2011a. Modelling moisture content and dry
- matter loss during storage of logging residues for energy. *Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research*, 26 (3): 267-277.
- 378 Filbakk T, Skjevrak G, Høibø O, Dibdiakova J, Jirjis R. 2011b. The influence of storage and
- drying methods for Scots pine raw material on mechanical pellet properties and
- production parameters. Fuel Processing Technology, 92 (5): 871-878

- 381 Forero-Nuñez CA, Jochum J, Sierra FE. 2015. Effect of particle size and addition of cocoa
- pod husk on the properties of sawdust and coal pellets. IngenieriaEInvestigación. 35:
- 383 17-23.
- Heinimo J,Junginger M. 2009. Production and trading of biomass for energy an overview of the global status. *Biomass and Bioenergy* 33:1310–20.
- Holt GA, Blodgett TL, Nakayama FS. 2006. Physical and combustion characteristics of pellet fuel from cotton gin by-products produced by select processing treatments. Industrial Crops and Products. 24: 204–213.
- Hu J, Lei T, Wang Z, Yan X, Shi X, Li Z, He X, Zhang Q. 2014. Economic, environmental
   and social assessment of briquette fuel from agricultural residues in China a study on flat
   die briquetting using corn stalk. *Energy* 64:557-66.
- 392 IRENA. 2013. Renewable Power Generation Costs in 2012: An Overview. Bonn, 393 Germany.
- Kaliyan N, Morey RV. 2009. Factors affecting strength and durability of densified biomass products. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 33: 337–359.
- KatakiR,Konwer D. 2002. Fuelwood characteristics of indigenous tree species of north-east
   India. Biomass and Bioenergy 22: 433–437.
   doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0961-9534(02)00026-0.
- Koppejan J, Van Loo S. 2012. The handbook of biomass combustion and co-firing, Routledge.
- 401 Laxamana NB. 1984. Heating value of some Philippine woods, non-woods and barks. 402 FPRDI Journal 8: 6–12.
- LeeSm, AhnBJ, ChoiDH, HanGS, JeongHS, AhnSH, Yang I. 2013. Effects of densification
   variables on the durability of wood pellets fabricated with Larixkaempferi C. and
   Liriodendron tulipifera L. sawdust. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 48, pp. 1-9
- Lehtikangas P. 2001. Quality properties of pelletised sawdust, logging residues and bark.
   *Biomass and Bioenergy*. 20: 351–360.
- Miranda T, Montero I, Sepúlveda F, Arranz J, Rojas C, Nogales S. 2015. A review of pellets
   from different sources. *Materials* 8 (4), p. 1413
- Mola-Yudego B, Selkimaki M, Gonzalez-Olabarria JR. 2014. Spatial analysis of the wood pellet production for energy in Europe, Renew. *Energy* 63: 76-83.
- 412 Ngangyo-Heya M,Foroughbahchk-Pournavab R, Carrillo-Parra A, Rutiaga-Quiñones JG,
- Zelinski V, Pintor-Ibarra LF. 2016. Calorific value and chemical composition of five semi-arid Mexican tree species, *Forests*, 7(3), 58.doi:10.3390/f7030058.
- 415 Nielsen NPK, Holm JK, Felby C. 2009. Effect of Fiber Orientation on Compression and
- Frictional Properties of Sawdust Particles in Fuel Pellet Production, *Energy& Fuels*, 23: 3211–3216.
- Obernberger I,Thek G. 2006. Recent Developments concerning pellet combustion Technologies-A Review of Austrian Developments.
- OlssonM,Kjällstrand J. 2004. Emissions from burning of softwood pellets. *Biomass and Bioenergy*. 27: 607–611.
- 422 ÖNORM M. 2000. 7135: Compressed Wood or Compressed Bark in Natural State, Pellets
- 423 and Briquettes, Requirements and Test Specifications,
- 424 Österreichisches Normungsinstitut, Vienna, Austria.

- Oveisi-Fordiie E. 2011. Durability of wood pellets. The University of British Columbia,
  Master of Science Thesis. 134 p.
- Pampuro N,Bagagiolo G, Priarone PC, Cavallo E. 2017. Effects of pelletizing pressure and
- the addition of woody bulking agents on the physical and mechanical properties of pellets made from composted pig solid fraction. *Powder Technology*. 311: 112–119.
- ParikhJ, Channiwala SA, Ghosal GK. 2005. A correlation for calculating HHV from proximate analysis of solid fuels. *Fuel*, 84: 487–494.
- Pietsch WB. 2008. Agglomeration processes: phenomena, technologies, equipment, John Wiley & Sons.
- Rhén C,Öhman M,Gref R,Wästerlund I. 2007. Effect of raw material composition in woody biomass pellets on combustion characteristics. *Biomass and Bioenergy* 31: 66–72.
- Richards SR. 1990. Physical testing of fuel briquettes. *Fuel Processing Technology*, 25: 89–100.
- 438 Rollinson AN, Williams O. 2016. Experiments on torrefied wood pellet: study by gasification and characterization for waste biomass to energy applications. *Royal Society Open Science*. 3(5): 150578. doi:10.1098/rsos.150578.
- Samuelsson R, Thyrel M, Sjöström M, Lestander TA. 2009. Effect of biomaterial characteristics on pelletizing properties and biofuel pellet quality. *Fuel Processing Technology*, 90 (9): 1129-1134.
- San Luis JM, Briones LP, Estudillo CP. 1984. An evaluation of giant Ipil-ipil for charcoal production and briquetting. FPRDI Journal 8: 31–40.
- SekyereD, Okyere PY, Darkwah NA,Nketiah KS. 2004. Availability and Characteristics of
   Different Types of Wood Residue for Energy Production. Development of Energy
   Alternatives for the Efficient Utilization of Wood Processing Residue: Co-generation
   and Briquette Production, ITTO PROJECT PPD 53/02/ Rev.1 (I). Final Technical
- and Briquette Production, 111O PROJECT PPD 53/02/ Rev.1 (1). Final Technica Report.
- Sgarbossa A, Costa C,Menesatti P,Antonucci F,Pallottino F, Zanetti M,Grigolato S,Cavalli R. 2015. A multivariate SIMCA index as discriminant in wood pellet quality assessment, Renew. *Energy* 76: 258-263.
- Song J, Song SJ, Oh SD,Yoo Y. 2015. Evaluation of potential fossil fuel conservation by the renewable heat obligation in Korea, Renew. *Energy*. 79: 140–149.
- 456 Steel RGD, Torrie JH. 1960. Principles and procedures of statistics. *Princ. Proced. Stat.*
- Tauro R,García CA,Skutsche M,Masera O. 2018. The potential for sustainable biomass pellets in Mexico: An analysis of energy potential, logistic costs and market demand.
- 459 *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 82: 380- 389 460 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2017.09.036.
- Telmo C,Lousada J. 2011. The explained variation by lignin and extractive contents on higher heating value of wood. *Biomass and Bioenergy* 35: 1663–1667.
- Temmerman M, Rabier F, Jensen PD,Hartmann H, Böhm T. 2006. Comparative study of durability test methods for pellets and briquettes. Biomass and Bioenergy 30: 964-972
- Tenorio C, Moya R, Tomazello-Filho M, Valaert J. 2014. Quality of pellets made from agricultural and forestry crops in Costa Rican tropical climates, BioResources. 10: 482–498.
- Thek G, Obernberger I. 2012. The pellet handbook: the production and thermal utilization of biomass pellets, Routledge.

- 470 Tumuluru JS, Sokhansanj S, Lim CJ, Bi T, Lau A, Melin S, Oveisi E. 2010. Quality of
- wood pellets produced in British Columbia for export. In Annual Meeting as Paper No 9,
- 472 7265. Paris, France
- 473 Ulloa JB, WeerdJH, Huisman EA, Verreth JA. 2004. Tropical agricultural residues and
- their potential uses in fish feeds: the Costa Rica situation. Waste Management, 24 (1):
- 475 87-97.
- 476 UNE-EN 15148. 2010.Biocombustiblessólidos. Determinación del
- 477 contenidoenmateriasvolátiles.
- 478 UNE-EN-14774. 2010.Biocombustiblessólidos. Determinación del contenido de humedad.
- 479 Método de secadoenestufa., Madrid, EspañaAsoc. Española Norm. Y Certificación.
- 480 Parte 3. H.
- 481 UNE-EN-14775. 2009.Biocombustiblessólidos. Método para la
- 482 determinación del contenido encenizas.
- 483 Uribe BCA. 1986.Introducción a la TecnologíadelCarbón: Origen,Composición,
- 484 Propiedades, Clasificación. Informe No 1980. Ingeominas, Bogotá
- Van Duren I, Voinov A, Arodudu O, Firrisa MT. 2015. Where to produce rapeseed biodiesel
- and why? Mapping European rapeseed energy efficiency. *Renewable Energy*. 74: 49–59.
- 487 Whittaker C, Shield I. 2017. Factors affecting wood, energy grass and straw pellet
- durability. A review. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews. Vol. 71: 1-11.
- 489 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2016.12.119
- 490 Zhao X, Wang J, Liu X, Liu P. 2012. China's wind, biomass and solar power generation:
- 491 what the situation tells us? Renewable & Sustainable Energy Reviews. 16(8), 6173-82.

Physical property	Shapiro-Wilk test		Kruskal-Wallis test	
	Statistic	p-value	chi-squared	p-value
Diameter	0.79371	2.90E-13	2.5197	0.2837
Length	0.88039	1.19E-09	1.4084	0.4945
Length/Diameter Ratio	0.90761	3.64E-08	1.4516	0.4839
Density	0.94534	1.37E-05	9.1343	0.0104

# Satish 3/30/18 3:59 PM

Comment [1]: Shift "Ratio" from intial to last and write the title of table-1.