

**Physiological and Behavioral Response of the Asian Shore Crab, *Hemigrapsus sanguineus*,
to Salinity: Implications for Estuarine Distribution and Invasion**

David M. Hudson^{1,2*}, D. Joseph Sexton^{2,3,4}, Dinsdale Wint^{2,5}, Connor Capizzano⁶, and Joseph F.
Crivello²

¹ [Department of Research and Conservation, The Maritime Aquarium at Norwalk, Norwalk,
Connecticut, United States of America](#)~~The Maritime Aquarium at Norwalk, 10 N. Water Street,
Norwalk, CT 06854~~

² [Department of Physiology and Neurobiology, University of Connecticut, Storrs, Connecticut,
United States of America](#)~~University of Connecticut, Dept. of Physiology and Neurobiology, 75 N.
Eagleville Rd. U 3156, Storrs, CT 06269-3156 USA~~

³ Department of Biology, Georgia State University, Atlanta, [Georgia, United States of America](#)~~A
USA~~

⁴ [Division of Foodborne, Waterborne, and Environmental Diseases, Centers for Disease Control,
Mycotic Disease Branch, Atlanta, Georgia, United States of America](#) ~~Mycotic Disease Branch,
Division of Foodborne, Waterborne, and Environmental Diseases, Centers for Disease Control,
Atlanta, GA USA~~

⁵ Momenta Pharmaceuticals, Cambridge, [Massachusetts, United States of America](#)~~A USA~~

⁶ ~~University of Massachusetts Boston, School for the Environment, 100 William T. Morrissey
Blvd., Boston, MA 02125 USA~~[School for the Environment, University of Massachusetts at
Boston, Boston, Massachusetts, United States of America](#)

*Corresponding Author: dmhudson@gmail.com

Abstract

The invasive Asian shore crab, *Hemigrapsus sanguineus*, is ubiquitous in the rocky intertidal zone of the western ~~north~~-North Atlantic. A likely contributor to this colonization is that *H. sanguineus* is able to handle a wide range of salinities, and is thus more likely to spread through a greater geographic area of estuaries~~adequately defend shelter from competitors within the mid and upper intertidal zone. This study~~We investigated an effective approached the salinity effects on this animal~~question~~ by observing survival across a range of salinities, ~~and also running trials to determine~~ the maintenance of hemolymph osmolality under different salinities, and behavioral preference for and avoidance of salinities. ~~We find that~~ *H. sanguineus* showed high survival across a broad range of salinities, ~~has had~~ little change in hemolymph osmolality over a short-term salinity shock, and behaviorally ~~distinguishes~~ distinguished between salinities when presented with a choice, ~~regardless of~~ under both ~~acclimation salinities~~ of 5 PSU or 35 PSU. Such results suggest *H. sanguineus* has a hardiness for the rapid changes in salinity that happen in the intertidal zone, yet has a capability ~~willingness~~ to physically move to a more optimal salinity. This enhances their competitiveness as an invader, particularly in survival of lower salinities that present ~~PSU~~

1 ~~water strategies in ballast water~~challenges during high-precipitation events in rocky intertidal
2 ~~areas~~, and partially explains this species' dominance in this habitat type.

11 ~~The invasive Asian shore crab, *Hemigrapsus sanguineus*, is a~~ particularly successful
12 ~~invasive decapod crustacean species is the invasive Asian shore crab, *Hemigrapsus sanguineus*,~~
13 which is now found in estuaries and open coasts in areas along the western ~~N~~orth Atlantic ~~coast~~
14 ~~(Lohrer et al., 2000; Brousseau et al., 2002)~~ and western Europe, ~~displacing resident species~~
15 ~~(Lohrer et al., 2000; Brousseau et al., 2002;~~ van den Brink, Wijnhoven & McLay, 2012;
16 ~~Landschoff et al., 2013;~~ Gothland et al., 2013; Gothland et al., 2014). The species has become the
17 most abundant crab in the ~~rocky~~ intertidal in New England ~~(McDermott, 1998; Lohrer &~~
18 ~~Whitlatch, 2002; Kraemer et al., 2007)~~ since it was first found in New Jersey in 1988 ~~(McDermott,~~
19 ~~1998; Williams & McDermott, 1990; Lohrer & Whitlatch, 2002; Kraemer et al., 2007; O'Connor,~~
20 ~~2014)Williams & McDermott, 1990)~~. Previous work ~~in this lab and by others~~ investigated the
21 behavioral response of the intertidal and subtidal community to this species' presence ~~(Hudson et~~
22 ~~al., 2016) to test the effect of this new member of the community on its neighbors~~(Epifanio, 2013;
23 ~~Hudson et al., 2016)~~. Conspecific tolerance also enhances its success in overcoming resistance to

1 [invasion \(Hobbs et al., 2017\)](#). However, beyond community interactions, [this species'](#) broad
2 salinity tolerance ~~in this species~~ could be contributing to its success ~~(Tsai & Lin, 2007)~~ as an
3 invasive species [and for the invasiveness of the genus more broadly \(Tsai & Lin, 2007; Urzúa &](#)
4 [Urbina, 2017\)](#), so this work aimed to evaluate survival and behavior of this invasive species with
5 respect to salinity.

6 Salinity is of particular importance in the marine environment to delineate biotic zones in
7 estuaries. Stable zones of physicochemical parameters in estuaries, particularly salinity, do not
8 occur (Wolf et al., 2009), and organisms differ along a broad spectrum in their abilities to handle
9 salinity changes, proposed to inhabit six biotic salinity classes for macroinvertebrates in estuaries
10 (Wolf et al., 2009). Utilization of these six classes to classify community makeup as one moves
11 up an estuary, combined with abiotic factors, can better inform salinity regimes in marshland
12 streams and estuaries, and thus have use in determining invasibility (i.e., vulnerability to invasion)
13 of the system by a particular species by incorporating a community component. This is similar to
14 the biologically-based system with five overlapping zones defined for fishes and invertebrates by
15 Bulger et al. (1993), in which zones include: 1) freshwater to 4 PSU; 2) 2 PSU to 14 PSU; 3) 11
16 PSU to 18 PSU; 4) 16 PSU to 27 PSU; and 5) 24 PSU to fully marine. Of these, *H. sanguineus*
17 would likely reside in zones 4 and 5. This idea has been visited before (Kneib, 1984; Gunter, 1961;
18 Kneib, 1984), so this paradigm may benefit management of resources in the context of risk for
19 invasion by a particular species.

20 Invasive crab species from estuarine systems often have broader salinity tolerances to
21 withstand rapid changes in salinity common in their native locales that [are predictive for their](#)
22 ~~prime them for success~~ in new systems [\(McGaw & Naylor, 1992b; Colnar & Landis, 2007;](#)
23 [Fowler, Gerner & Sewell, 2011\)](#). [Salinity tolerance and preference is clearly important in](#)

Commented [KC1]: If not used/referred to later in the paper, could pare down or remove this information about different proposed "zones" of salinity tolerance

1 [determining invasiveness to intertidal areas, particularly in decapods, and sheds light on potential](#)
 2 [areas they can invade successfully.](#) Other notable worldwide invasive decapod crustacean species
 3 that draw attention to this particular salinity tolerance character include the Chinese mitten crab,
 4 *Eriocheir sinensis*, which spends much of its adult life in freshwater, but its larvae require full-
 5 strength seawater to survive (Rudnick et al., 2005) and the Harris mud crab, *Rhithropanopeus*
 6 *harrisii*, native to eastern North America and found in salinities down to 0.1 PSU [that is](#)
 7 [establishing itself in new areas](#) (Reisser & Forward, 1991; Roche et al., 2009; [Kotta & Ojaveer,](#)
 8 [2012; Fowler et al., 2013](#)). [A potent worldwide intertidal/estuarine invader, the European green](#)
 9 [crab, *Carcinus maenas*, is a useful comparison for understanding this salinity tolerance concept,](#)
 10 [particularly since](#) ~~In fact,~~ much of the work to determine osmoregulation in crabs was initially
 11 performed on ~~the invasive European green crab, *Carcinus maenas* that species~~ (Towle & Kays,
 12 1986; Cieluch et al., 2004). Crabs osmoregulate utilizing the posterior gill filaments (Koch, 1954;
 13 Burnett & Towle, 1990; Lucu & Towle, 2003), with far greater Na⁺/K⁺ ATPase transport proteins
 14 expressed in the posterior gill than in the anterior gill (Burnett & Towle, 1990; Koch, 1954). This
 15 transporter [is well-established as](#) implicated in osmoregulation [in crabs and](#) other crustacean
 16 species as well (~~Reviewed in *Callinectes sapidus*, Neufeld et al., 1980; *Uca pugilator*, D'Orazio~~
 17 ~~& Holliday, 1985; *Uca pugnax*, Holliday, 1985; *Pacifastacus leniusculus*, Henry & Wheatly, 1988;~~
 18 ~~*Artemia salina*, Holliday et al., 1990; *Callinectes sapidus* and *Callinectes similis*, Piller et al.,~~
 19 ~~1995; *Hemigrapsus nudus*, Corotto & Holliday, 1996; *Carcinus maenas*, Henry et al., 2002~~
 20 ~~[Reviewed in Lucu & Towle 2003]; *Scylla paramamosain*, *Macrophthalmus banzai*,~~
 21 ~~*Macrophthalmus abbreviatus*, *Uca lactea*, *Uca formosensis*, *Ocypode stimpsoni*, *Chasmagnathus*~~
 22 ~~*convexus*, *Helice formosensis*, *Eriocheir sinensis*, *Hemigrapsus sanguineus*, *Hemigrapsus*~~
 23 ~~*penicillatus*, *Perisesarma bidens*, *Chiromantes dehaani*, Tsai & Lin, 2007).~~ Utilizing the changes

Commented [KC2]: Since *C. maenas* is not referred to/used
 as a comparison later in the MS, I suggest changing or
 removing this wording

Commented [KC3]: Can this be re-worded for clarity?


1 in [hemolymph osmolality as a result of](#) this transporter's activity over time of exposure, along with
2 behavior, can therefore be a useful determinant of the implications of salinity change in the whole
3 animal.

4 ~~Salinity stress for *H. sanguineus* experiences salinity stress below~~ seems to begin at 15 PSU
5 ~~regardless of acclimation-seawater~~, indicated by increased heart rate and activity level (Depledge,
6 1984). ~~The congener *Hemigrapsus crenulatus* shows increased oxygen consumption as salinity~~
7 ~~stress increases (as salinity decreases), strong hyper regulation at low salinities, with increases in~~
8 ~~regulatory capacity as crab size increases (Urzúa & Urbina, 2017).~~ However, this species is easily
9 exposed to this level of salinity during a freshwater event (i.e., rain, snow) in the intertidal zone.

10 Tsai & Lin (2007) noticed little decrease in Na⁺/K⁺ ATPase activity in *H. sanguineus* between 5
11 PSU and 35 PSU treatments, while optima studies of congeners ~~*Hemigrapsus*~~ *H. crenulatus*
12 (Urbina et al., 2010) and *Hemigrapsus takanoi* (Shinji et al., 2009) determined a 21 PSU optimum
13 and 24.4 PSU optimum, respectively. Similarly, our previous initial gill work found no significant
14 change in Na⁺/K⁺ ATPase activity in posterior gill of *H. sanguineus* when exposed to 35 PSU, 15
15 PSU, or 5 PSU seawater for 7 days, but did see a short-term increase in activity at 2 and 4 hours
16 post-treatment for 15 PSU treatments (Hudson, 2011). Therefore, *H. sanguineus* has similar
17 osmoregulatory ability with this transporter regardless of treatment, but may be able to increase its
18 activity in the short term.

19 Species' responses to gradients are particularly important in determining where they will
20 fall within a physical range (Case & Taper, 2000), so a wider tolerance will mean a wider
21 geographic footprint is possible. Specifically, salinity tolerance levels can greatly alter distribution
22 of species along a coast (Teal, 1958; Barnes, 1967; Engel, 1977; Felder, 1978; Young, 1978;
23 Young, 1979; Rabalais & Cameron, 1985; Hulathduwa et al., 2007; Fowler et al., 2011; DMH

Commented [KC4]: Not clear what level of salinity this refers to (no particular levels are specified above)

1 pas.obs.) Freshwater events are common in spring and fall along the eastern coast of the United States, but saline areas and salinity can also change on an
2 hourly timescale with the tides, meaning that organisms living there must rapidly manage these
3 challenges behaviorally and/or physiologically. As change in salinity is one of the most common
4 forms of stress in the intertidal zone, and several invasive crab species are known to be euryhaline
5 (and therefore have the ability to more regulate well) (Reisser & Forward, 1991; Henry et al., 2006; Roche et al., 2009; Fowler et al., 2011), there is
6 value in a need to investigate the role of how broad salinity tolerances in understanding how physiological
7 capacity is related to behavioral choice or avoidance in contributing to ~~are implicated in~~ the invasiveness of a
8 species, “the degree to which a species is able to reproduce, spread from its place of introduction,
9 and establish in new locations” (as defined by Rejmánek, 2011 

Commented [KC5]: Can this sentence be broken into 2 sentences?

10 To investigate this interaction between physiology and behavior, the work reported here
11 includes physiological tolerance (i.e., maintenance of hemolymph ion concentration) and survival,
12 but also incorporates the behavioral preference ~~of the animal~~ as an indicator of ~~true risk of~~
13 ~~exposure~~ how well they can avoid risks, mainly utilizing three methods: survival, maintenance of
14 ~~internal ion concentration, and behavioral choice of salinity.~~ With the apparent advantage of *H.*
15 *sanguineus* in surviving stressful changes in salinity during ocean crossings, it may arrive with
16 ~~more founding members and be more likely to establish in new areas.~~ Since little change was
17 detectable in gill physiology in previous work, ~~we took~~ a behavioral approach for this work ~~to look~~
18 ~~at~~ investigated sublethal effects by quantifying behavioral avoidance and hemolymph osmolality
19 change, along with investigating differences in overall survivorship over time. This tests the idea
20 that *H. sanguineus* has an ability to tolerate wide salinity changes for a significant amount of time,
21 and can also behaviorally avoid ~~maladaptive-stressful~~ salinities at small spatial scales, as have
22 other species (Teal, 1958; Lagerspetz & Mattila, 1961; Jansson, 1962; Thomas et al., 1981;
23 Ameyaw-Akumfi & Naylor, 1987; McGaw & Naylor, 1992a; McGaw & Naylor, 1992b). ~~This~~

Commented [KC6]: Specify *H. sanguineus*

Commented [DH7]: Moved to conclusions and edited.

~~did not vary with increasing salinity, but the survival of *H. sanguineus* did vary with salinity. The~~

Methods

Crabs were collected by hand off Avery Point in Groton, Connecticut, USA under Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection Scientific Collector's Permits # SC-06040 and # SC-09015. Adult crabs with carapace widths between 15 mm and 34 mm were acclimated for at least 14 days in holding tanks at 35 PSU before use.

Commented [KC8]: "Adult crabs with carapace widths between 15mm-34mm..."

Survival

A lab-based holding study was performed to evaluate the survival of *H. sanguineus* to a broad range of salinity treatments typical for euryhaline species. Specifically, crabs were exposed to salinity treatments of 1 PSU, 5 PSU, 10 PSU, 15 PSU, and/or 35 PSU over for 14 days, given the observed ability of members of the genus *Hemigrapsus* to tolerate low salinities for extended periods of time (McGaw, 2001; Tsai & Lin, 2007). In addition to this typical range of salinities, a treatment of 1 PSU treatment, in particular, was included to simulate the use of nearly freshwater surface conditions during precipitation events in estuaries and tide pools ballast in transoceanic shipping as an invasive species control measure. Specimens were kept in tanks at room temperature (~20°C) that corresponded with spring and fall environmental conditions from the original capture location, Long Island Sound, including a 12-hour light/dark cycle. Crabs were held in groups, and cannibalism was accounted for as a cause of mortality if it occurred upon observation of mortality events, as were molt failures. Each salinity treatment consisted of 20 males and 20 females, which were fed with shrimp pellets every day to satiety. Crab survival was

Commented [KC9]: Immersed in/exposed to

1 monitored daily over the course of the 14-day experimental trial where dead specimens were
2 removed ~~upon confirmation~~.

3 *H. sanguineus* survivorship over time (i.e., the survival function) was evaluated using
4 methods traditionally used in the context of longitudinal survival analyses. ~~In contrast to “cross-~~
5 ~~sectional” data, which yield numbers of alive and dead specimens at a specific point in time, L~~
6 ~~“longitudinal” data provide information on the time animals either died or were last observed alive~~
7 due to ongoing monitoring of survival (Cox & Oakes, 1984; Benoit et al., 2015). Such longitudinal
8 data for *H. sanguineus* consist of records for each crab specimen, which include information about
9 the occurrence and timing of an event as well as salinity treatment values and sex that might affect
10 survival (i.e., covariates). Crabs that were still alive when last observed ~~or at the end of the~~
11 ~~experiment~~ were treated as “right-censored” observations, ~~for which where their~~ time of death ~~was~~
12 unknown either because ~~mortality did not occur or was not observed during the holding period the~~
13 ~~event was not achieved or data collection was too short~~ (Singer & Willett, 2003).

Commented [DH10]: Comments from CC, other marked-up document.

14 A set of non- and semi-parametric longitudinal analyses were first employed to ~~select and~~
15 evaluate ~~the effect of salinity treatment and sex on a parsimonious set of covariates that best~~
16 ~~describe~~ the *H. sanguineus* survival function. The semi-parametric Cox proportional-hazards
17 regression model was initially used given its ability to simultaneously evaluate the additive effect
18 of multiple covariates (Cox, 1972). Preliminary regression model results suggested that the
19 survival function was only dependent upon salinity (Table S1). Consequently, the non-parametric
20 Kaplan-Meier estimator of survival was used to ~~preliminarily~~ identify if each salinity treatment
21 produced distinct survival functions (Kaplan & Meier, 1958; Cox & Oakes, 1984; ~~Fig. S1~~). The
22 Kaplan-Meier estimator follows the proportion of individuals alive as a function of time in the

Commented [DH11]: Comments from CC, other marked-up document.

1 absence of censored observations and is well-suited for univariate analyses with multiple factor
2 levels.

3 The Peto & Peto modification of the Gehan-Wilcoxon was then used to accept or reject the
4 null hypothesis that there was no statistical difference between survival functions (Harrington &
5 Fleming, 1982). Multiple pairwise comparisons using the Peto & Peto test with Benjamini-
6 Hochberg corrections to adjust for significance value inflation were subsequently applied to
7 determine if and which salinity-dependent survival functions were statistically distinct [from one](#)
8 [another](#). Salinity-dependent survival functions that failed to reject the null hypothesis were
9 subsequently combined. [Preliminary results indicated survival was only significantly different](#)
10 [between the 1 PSU and the 10 PSU, 15 PSU, and 35 PSU treatments \(\$p < 0.01\$ \), and also between](#)
11 [5 PSU and 35 PSU \(\$p < 0.05\$ \) \(Table S2\).](#) However, [due to inconsistent significance values between](#)
12 [salinity treatments \(Table S2, Figure S1\),](#) no objective procedure could be performed to combine
13 the survival functions with confidence [given inconsistencies between pairwise comparison](#)
14 [significance values \(Table S2\).](#) For instance, while survival was not statistically different between
15 [the 1 PSU and 5 PSU as well as the 5 PSU and 10 PSU groups \(\$p > 0.05\$ \), they could not be](#)
16 [combined since survival between the 1 PSU and 10 PSU groups was statistically significant \(\$p <\$](#)
17 [0.01\).](#) Coarser salinity categories were therefore examined and presented for easier interpretation
18 of results, specifically fresh (1 PSU), estuarine (5 – 15 PSU), and seawater (35 PSU) salinity
19 groups.

20 All survival-related analyses were performed using the statistical computing software R
21 (version 3.4.2; R Core Team, 2017) with added functionality from the associated package
22 “survival” (version 2.38; Therneau, 2015) and “survminer” (version 0.4.0; Kassambara &
23 Kosinski, 2017). Statistical significance was accepted at a level of $p < 0.05$.

Commented [DH12]: Comments from CC, other marked-up document.

Salinity Preference

The behavioral preference of *H. sanguineus* to varying salinities was evaluated through a separate lab-based experimental trial with new specimens. ~~This study utilized~~ Since past literature primarily offered choice between only two salinities, (Teal, 1958; Lagerspetz & Mattila, 1961; Jansson, 1962; Thomas et al., 1981; Ameyaw-Akumfi & Naylor, 1987; McGaw & Naylor, 1992a, McGaw & Naylor, 1992b), we designed an arena that contained two 10 cm x 10 cm chambers, each with a different salinity and bubbled with an airstone, connected by an above-water bridge to offer a binary choice, consistent with past studies (Teal, 1958; Lagerspetz & Mattila, 1961; Jansson, 1962; Thomas et al., 1981; Ameyaw-Akumfi & Naylor, 1987; McGaw & Naylor, 1992a, McGaw & Naylor, 1992b). *H. sanguineus* is a highly mobile crab that in initial trials actively ran back and forth between the chambers over the bridge, meaning that it was able to effectively sample the conditions of both chambers. Therefore, individual crabs could chose to either 1) stay in the initial chamber, 2) relocate to the second chamber by using the connecting bridge, or 3) remain on the bridge since these are intertidal crabs. Because this species exists in estuaries in the field and therefore along a broad salinity gradient, individual *H. sanguineus* were acclimated to either 5 PSU or 35 PSU for a period of ~~at least~~ 14 days prior to the experiment to test the effects of acclimation. ~~Since these are poikilothermic animals, activity increases with temperature. As such, t~~Temperature effects on preference were quantified by acclimating specimens at either 10°C or 20°C ~~at those same salinities~~ to simulate seasonal water temperature differences ~~and gauge the general capacity of the animals to behaviorally regulate during different seasons. An e~~Extended acclimation times ~~up to of two weeks-14 days~~ ~~wasere~~ used to account for longer exposure to lower salinities further up an estuary- ~~and during freshwater influx events~~ ~~or in a ballast tank~~, unlike the

Commented [KC13]: Should probably say "preference for salinities" rather than "to" salinities.

Also in this sentence - the salinities of the chambers are specific/various but not "varying"

1 rapid changes (i.e., ~6 hours) that occur in the littoral zone. Given acclimation conditions have
2 been shown to modify preference behavior in other crustacean species (Hernández, 2006; Gross,
3 1957), we investigated the level of impact this original acclimation period had upon salinity
4 preference. Subsequent tests were performed to determine whether specimens had any preference
5 for a lower or higher salinity based upon the salinity and temperature during their acclimation
6 period. Salinity comparisons were varied between pairwise choices between 5, 15, ~~and~~ 35 PSU
7 for a period of 12 hours, with final location at 12 hours data recorded analyzed, for 25 replicates for
8 each sex and acclimation at two acclimation temperatures (total of ~100 per salinity comparison).
9 Due to the initial high activity of this crab species, final location at 12 hours was considered the
10 “chosen” condition.

11 Behavioral choice of salinity data were analyzed for binary choice by Chi-Square test, and
12 then the probability of leaving starting salinity was analyzed by one-way ANOVA for each of
13 starting salinity, sex, acclimation salinity, and temperature. In order to test interactive effects
14 interaction between those four factors, ~~multiple two-way was tested by a multi-way~~ ANOVAs
15 were completed with the addition of multiple pairwise comparisons, in the statistical computing
16 software R (version 3.4.2; R Core Team, 2017).

19 Hemolymph Response to Salinity Change:

20 To quantify hemolymph osmolality response to salinity shock, crabs were acclimated to
21 full-strength seawater salinity (32 PSU) for two weeks to normalize gene expression (Tsai & Lin,
22 2007), then 40 specimens were exposed for seven days (168 hours) to each of the following salinity
23 treatments: 32 PSU (control), 17.5 PSU, 10 PSU, and 5 PSU. Five animals were collected after the

Commented [KC14]: Thank you for clarifying methods above.

This highlighted sentence suggests that the behavioral trials will assay different acclimation periods, or that there will be different levels of behavior -

Can this be reworded to more simply state “we investigated whether acclimation conditions (temperature and salinity) affected salinity preference” or perhaps removed? The next sentence could be modified to just state “We determined whether specimens had any preference...”

Commented [KC15]: “Individual crabs were presented with...”

Commented [KC16]: 14 days (to be consistent with other subsections of the methods reporting

1 ~~14-day acclimation period~~ ~~was used for the full-strength seawater treatment and salinity of 17.5 PSU~~ ~~for the middle salinity~~ ~~treatment, along with low salinity exposures at 10 PSU and 5 PSU.~~ ~~Due to high mortality in the~~
2 ~~survival study for some of the lowest salinities (Figs. 1, S1), the experiment was only run for 7~~
3 ~~days. Crab hemolymph was sampled from 5 new animals at each post-exposure time point~~ ~~of 1,~~
4 ~~2, 4, 8, 24, 48~~ ~~and~~ ~~72, and 168 hours, and at~~ ~~(7 days (168 hours))~~ ~~and frozen at -80°C. The early~~
5 ~~time points were chosen to compare with results for other crabs, as work in another euryhaline~~
6 ~~crab, *Callinectes sapidus*, supports little change in observed hemolymph osmolality values within~~
7 ~~12 hours (Sommer & Mantel, 1988; Towle, 1997; Henry et al., 2002) of salinity shock.~~
8 ~~Hemolymph samples were taken with the use of a 21 gauge syringe inserted into the crab's~~
9 ~~branchial cavity, and stored at -80°C in 1.5 mL centrifuge tubes. Samples were quantified for~~
10 ~~hemolymph osmolality was measured after removal from thaw, centrifuged for 1 minute at 1000~~
11 ~~rpm, and run in duplicate on a Wescor 5100C vapor pressure osmometer. Samples were run in~~
12 ~~duplicate, with the average of the two taken as the value for that sample. These results were then~~
13 ~~analyzed by two-way ANOVA for effects of exposure time and treatment, along with interactive~~
14 ~~effects between the two. A repeated measures ANOVA would be inappropriate to analyze~~
15 ~~hemolymph data, as the individuals were sacrificed at each time point for a separate study of the~~
16 ~~upregulation of proteins in posterior gill tissue.~~ Each time point was analyzed for differences
17 between the four salinity treatments by a one-way ANOVA with Tukey post-hoc analysis. All
18 statistics were completed in **in** R statistical computing software (version 3.4.2; R Core Team,
19 2017).

Commented [KC17]: Were these newly collected from the field to assess the background/field osmolality? How is this value included in analyses? If it is not analyzed, this can probably be omitted since all comparisons are made between treatments..

Commented [KC18]: These treatment groups are described already, so this sentence can be omitted

Results

Survival

The semi-parametric Cox proportional hazards regression model previously indicated that the *H. sanguineus* survival function was only dependent on salinity treatment with no effect from the sex covariate (Table S1). When survival data were grouped into broader salinity designations for ease of interpretation and applicability to representative scenarios, the non-parametric Kaplan-Meier estimator suggested indicated that *H. sanguineus* survival functions for the differed between the fresh, estuarine, and seawater salinity groups were distinct (Fig. 1), which was reaffirmed by the Peto & Peto test against all three survival functions ($\chi^2 = 26.8$, d.f. = 2, $p < 0.001$). No effect of sex was seen on survival as previously mentioned (Table S1). Moreover, multiple pairwise comparisons between all broader salinity survival functions were statistically different, thereby confirming survival was distinct between groupings (Table S3). For instance, All multiple pairwise comparisons between broad salinity survival functions failed to reject the null hypothesis and consequently remained separate from one another (Table S3). here were significant survival differences between the 35 PSU and pooled 5 PSU/10 PSU/ 15 PSU treatments ($p < 0.05$), between 35 PSU and 1 PSU treatments ($p < 0.001$), and between 1 PSU and the pooled 5 PSU/10 PSU/ 15 PSU treatments ($p < 0.001$) (Table S3). So, it seems that while there is clearly a difference between the highest and lowest salinity treatments, the middle three salinity treatments have a moderate survival rate that is significantly different from both the upper and lower salinity treatments. Interestingly, survival did not differ significantly among the three salinity groups over the first 7 days of observation ($p = 0.421$).

Commented [CC19]: Dave; not entirely sure this is necessary since it was mentioned as preliminary information in the methods.

Commented [KC20]: Remove extra "the"

Commented [KC21]: This is stated above, so can be excluded here

Commented [KC22]: It would be appropriate (and helpful) to add here the directionality of these differences (i.e. that individuals in the highest salinity had the highest survival, and individuals in near freshwater had the lowest)

Salinity Preference

Behavioral preference experiments indicated a significant preference ($\chi^2 = 5.88$, d.f. = 1, $p < 0.05$, $n = 75$) of *H. sanguineus* for 35 PSU over 5 PSU seawater at 20°C regardless of acclimation (Fig. 2D), but no significant preference was exhibited when individuals were given a choice between 35 PSU and 15 PSU ($\chi^2 = 0.653$, d.f. = 1, $p > 0.05$, $n = 75$), nor for 5 PSU and 15 PSU PSU ($\chi^2 = 1.174$, d.f. = 1, $p > 0.05$, $n = 69$). This significance appears to come from two sources. Males at 20°C (Fig. 2B) showed a significant preference for 35 PSU over 5 PSU ($\chi^2 = 9.52$, d.f. = 1, $p < 0.01$, $n = 42$), and also have a significant difference in preference towards 35 PSU when first acclimated to 35 PSU ($\chi^2 = 7.2$, d.f. = 1, $p < 0.01$, $n = 20$). Crabs that were acclimated to 5 PSU prior to the experiment significantly chose 35 PSU over 5 PSU ($\chi^2 = 4.8$, d.f. = 1, $p < 0.05$, $n = 30$). Aside from these, there are no other ~~no~~ significant effects of acclimation on final salinity choice in this instance.

These data were also analyzed by whether the crab left the starting salinity in any experiments (5 PSU, 15 PSU, or 35 PSU). There was a significant effect of starting salinity on whether crabs were more or less likely to leave (one-way ANOVA, $F = 32.55$, d.f. = 2, $p < 0.001$, $n = 635$). Interactions between factors were not significant in the two-way ANOVAs used to determine interactive effects between acclimation salinity and starting salinity nor between acclimation salinity and sex (Tables S4 and S5, respectively), but the interaction between starting salinity and temperature was significant (New Table S6), and a trend exists for an interaction between sex and temperature (New Table S7). Crabs that started in 5 PSU (at both temperatures), whether for 5 PSU x 35 PSU or 5 PSU x 15 PSU experiments, were more likely to leave (move to the other salinity, escape, or move onto the ramp) than salinity (Tukey's post-hoc test, $\alpha = 0.05$, $p < 0.001$) than those which started in 15 PSU or 35 PSU (Fig. 3A), and crabs that started in 15 PSU

1 were more likely to leave than those in 35 PSU (Tukey's post-hoc test, $\alpha = 0.05$, $p < 0.01$). As the
2 experiment was completed in both 10°C and 20°C (Fig. 3B), animals were 37.68% likely to leave
3 a salinity at 20°C, whereas at 10°C it was 27.49% (one-way ANOVA, $F = 7.475$, d.f. = 1, $p < 0.01$,
4 $n = 635$). Acclimation had a significant effect (Fig. 3C), with animals more likely to leave the
5 starting salinity if they were acclimated to 35 PSU (one-way ANOVA, $F = 7.585$, d.f. = 1, $p <$
6 0.01 , $n = 635$), but no interaction effect was found between acclimation salinity and starting
7 salinity nor between acclimation salinity and the sex of the animal. There was an effect of sex of
8 the animal on its own on the likelihood that an animal would leave the starting salinity (Fig. 3D),
9 with males more likely to leave at 39.88% and females leaving 25.42% of the time (one-way
10 ANOVA, $F = 15.26$, d.f. = 1, $p < 0.001$, $n = 635$).


Commented [KC23]: If I am following the results correctly, I believe this result was already stated at the beginning of this paragraph, so should be removed here.

11 12 Hemolymph Response to Salinity Change

13 There was a significant effect of salinity exposure to 32 PSU, 17.5 PSU, 10 PSU and 5
14 PSU seawater ($n = 160$ total) on hemolymph osmolality for *H. sanguineus* (Fig. 4) over the course
15 of seven days, by two-way ANOVA, for time of exposure ($F = 4.6371$, d.f. = 7, $p < 0.001$), salinity
16 treatment ($F = 12.0486$, d.f. = 3, $p < 0.001$), and interaction between time of exposure and
17 treatment ($F = 2.9242$, d.f. = 21, $p < 0.001$). Time zero was left out of analysis since it was the
18 same for all four treatments. Salinity treatments were quite variable in hemolymph osmolality
19 under 8 hours of exposure, but did not significantly vary from one another for the 8-hour, 24-hour,
20 and 48-hour treatments, as analyzed by Tukey multiple pairwise comparisons after a one-way
21 ANOVA for each time point. At 72 hours, hemolymph osmolality was significantly higher (one-
22 way ANOVA, $F = 7.055$, d.f. = 3, $p < 0.01$, $n = 20$) in the 32 PSU treatment than both the 5 PSU
23 (Tukey's post-hoc test, $\alpha = 0.05$, $p < 0.01$) and the 17.5 PSU (Tukey's post-hoc test, $\alpha = 0.05$, $p <$

0.05) treatments. At 168 hours (7 days), hemolymph osmolality was significantly different across the four treatments (one-way ANOVA, $F = 9.383$, d.f. = 3, $p < 0.001$, $n = 20$) and the 5 PSU treatment was significantly lower in osmolality than all others (Tukey's post-hoc test, $\alpha = 0.05$, vs. 32 PSU $p < 0.01$, vs. 17.5 PSU $p < 0.01$, vs. 10 PSU $p < 0.01$).

Discussion

That survival declines for *H. sanguineus* over time for ~~the 1 PSU treatment (Fig. 1)~~ ~~5 PSU and 1 PSU treatments (Fig. 1, Fig. S1)~~ is noteworthy, but even prolonged periods of changeover of ballast water to purely freshwater influx may not be effective in keeping ~~out~~ *H. sanguineus* from surviving to establish a population, since the lowest survival rate after two weeks ~~(ocean-crossing time)~~ for these animals is still 65% at 1 PSU. Maintenance of internal hemolymph osmolality over 7 days (Fig. 4) by this species is consistent with its ability to survive during the survival trials. The point at which deaths began to occur more often, about day 5, is consistent with the significantly lower internal hemolymph osmolality for the 5 PSU treatment of the hemolymph data only after 7 days of exposure. Work with other euryhaline crabs, like *Callinectes sapidus*, supports that this ability to maintain hemolymph osmolality values within 12 hours (Sommer & Mantel, 1988; Towle, 1997; Henry et al., 2002) helps the animal deal with estuarine osmotic stress. Since the work with *H. sanguineus* here sees no change in hemolymph osmolality for 48 hours ~~72 hours~~ ~~(three days)~~, this underscores the survival ability of this crab and therefore its ability to invade new continents. This finding adds to earlier work ~~that~~  merely indicated that stress is induced at 15 PSU seawater for *H. sanguineus* (Depledge, 1984).

Commented [CC24]: Dave; attempting to remove confusion by removing the 5 PSU group. At this point, we should be remain consistent with the three groups we used in the main results. This may conflict with some data you have a few sentences later in this paragraph.

If you disagree, feel free to remove.

Commented [KC25]: So that the reader is reminded that the survival trials and osmolality trials have slightly different salinities, you might consider inserting "in the 1 PSU treatment" here

1 However, survival ~~of~~in a particular salinity is likely different from avoidance of suboptimal
2 salinities. It maintains a functional amount of Na⁺/K⁺ ATPase (Tsai & Lin, 2007; Hudson, 2011)
3 to help it navigate this constantly changing environment, and likely uses behavioral strategies to
4 avoid suboptimal salinities. There could be a major difference with one of these physiological
5 characters and the physiological characters of the previously dominant intertidal crab, *Carcinus*
6 *maenas*, which still has a depressed hemolymph osmolality at 7 days (Siebers et al., 1982; Henry
7 et al., 2006), that may have impacted its competitive interaction with *H. sanguineus* and facilitated
8 the latter's introduction. As *H. sanguineus* maintains internal osmolality regardless of salinity
9 treatment over short exposures, it may be more suited than competitors to the varying conditions
10 of the intertidal zone. Therefore, it ~~and~~ may be able to behaviorally maintain its shelter against
11 competitors that leave under suboptimal salinity conditions, much like its congener *Hemigrapsus*
12 *nudus* (McGaw, 2001). In the littoral zone, a change in salinity can occur during each tidal cycle
13 and during a period of prolonged precipitation or spring melting, allowing these species to maintain
14 territory if they are not behaviorally affected.

15 In the behavioral salinity choice data, a true choice of salinity was a far lesser signal (Fig.
16 2), and less informative, than the analysis of crabs leaving the starting level of salinity (Fig. 3).
17 The decrease in likelihood of leaving as salinity increased is expected for optimal behavioral
18 moderation of osmotic stress, but even the level of 51.3% of crabs leaving 5 PSU after 12 hours
19 still is far less than the tidal cycle. This means that a large portion of crabs would remain in
20 intertidal areas affected by regular salinity changes. This is an important finding with respect to
21 metabolic stressors, as crustaceans have to switch to other physiological mechanisms, notably
22 ammonia excretion (Shinji et al., 2009; Weihrauch et al., 2004), in order to maintain hemolymph
23 osmolality at low salinities. The implication of the fact that males are more likely to leave the

Commented [KC26]: Probably "invasion" or spread should be used here since talking about interactions that are occurring after introduction and establishment.

original salinity than females, likely due to differences in overall activity level between the sexes (Fig. 3), is that males are more likely to behaviorally modify their location when salinity changes into areas that are more suitable, whereas females will experience greater osmotic stress. Additionally, increased frequency of crabs leaving the starting salinity with increases in temperature means that *H. sanguineus* will be more likely to behaviorally respond to stressful salinities at higher temperatures than at those present during winter months, perhaps resulting in some seasonal differences in osmotic stress and mortality. Although the species can strongly osmoregulate at other salinities, those individuals physically moving themselves closer to full-strength salinity are likely to have an energetic advantage since there is less of a need for the excretion of ammonia (Weihrauch, Morris & Towle, 2004). When those animals that are currently at this salinity optimum already, as is the case in animals acclimated to 35 PSU (Fig. 3C), are placed in one of the other salinities, they are more likely to attempt to maintain this optimum by leaving that stressful salinity. This is also evident by the lower frequency of crabs leaving the starting salinity if they are starting in 35 PSU. This energetics argument is clear from recent work done in the congener *H. crenulatus*, which showed decreasing oxygen consumption and decreasing ammonia excretion as salinity increased (Urzúa & Urbina, 2017).

It is likely that the *H. sanguineus* still stays in stressful starting salinities of 5 PSU (48.7% of the time did not leave) and 15 PSU (69.1% of the time did not leave), indicating that behavioral tolerance qualities of staying put the same amount at both 15 PSU and 35 PSU, allow *H. sanguineus* should maintain territory by ~~to~~ withstanding fluctuations in salinity that happen with rain events that other species cannot, which merits further study (Lucu & Towle, 2003; Tsai & Lin, 2007). This ~~unlikely~~ low likelihood of moving under stressful salinities may mean that this is a common trait to the genus, like their congener *H. nudus* (McGaw, 2001), and could result

1 in faster geographic expansion and increased invasiveness of multiple members of the
2 ~~highly invasive~~ *H. sanguineus* (Behrens Yamada & Gillespie, 2008; Amaral et al., 2009). ~~It~~
3 ~~behavioral differences is~~ may be part of what is responsible for the more subtidal ~~than intertidal~~ distribution of *C.*
4 *maenas* ~~we~~ observed in ~~other previous~~ work (Hudson et al., 2016), ~~not seen in the intertidal zone in areas~~
5 ~~where it does not overlap with *H. sanguineus* (Behrens Yamada & Gillespie, 2008; Amaral et al.,~~
6 ~~2009).~~ ~~Additionally, decreased desiccation of~~ the smaller ~~size of *H. sanguineus*~~ ~~may make it~~
7 ~~able to use~~ ~~ing~~ ~~of~~ microhabitats in ~~intertidal~~ cobble fields ~~that cause it to experience lesser~~
8 ~~desiccation when compared to than~~ the larger *C. maenas* (Altieri et al., 2010) ~~may also have~~
9 ~~contributed to this intertidal dominance. This is also, as is~~ true for the mud crab *Eurypanopeus*
10 *depressus* in intertidal oyster reefs (Grant & McDonald, 1979). ~~This is particularly evident in the~~
11 ~~large quantity of *C. maenas* found in the intertidal zone in areas where it does not overlap with *H.*~~
12 ~~*sanguineus* (Behrens Yamada & Gillespie, 2008; Amaral et al., 2009), whereas *H. sanguineus* does~~
13 ~~not seem to have high densities in the subtidal (Hudson et al., 2016).~~

14 Physiological responses will continue to be useful in models to predict future invasions and
15 the likely finer-scale distributions and competitive interactions in a new environment (Kneib,
16 1984; Zacherl et al., 2003; Kimball et al., 2004; Rudnick et al., 2005; Herborg et al., 2007).
17 Biological invasions continue worldwide with increasing human commerce (Pimentel et al., 2005)
18 so predicting a species' probable impact by utilizing behavioral along with physiological
19 characters synthesized with ecological and biogeographical theory will help facilitate our
20 understanding of these processes. Behavior is becoming more prevalent as an explanation for
21 invasives' success (Weis, 2010), and this study adds to our understanding of how this invader's
22 distribution and use pattern arises from its physiology and behavior. Combination of large-scale
23 physical models as done for *H. sanguineus* in the Gulf of Maine (Delaney et al., 2012) with small-

scale estuarine behavior will offer a far higher resolution to spatial prediction. As invasions often gain a foothold on a small scale, the overall picture must include how the species in question interacts with these parameters on that scale, in order to more accurately predict invasion success.

Conclusions

As survival is high in this crab under low salinity conditions, ~~the effectiveness of ballast water exchange at mid-ocean or with freshwater would likely be ineffective. Additionally, seasonal freshwater input into an estuary, unless it causes particularly low salinity for a long period of time,~~ will probably not greatly affect survival of populations of this species. The findings here indicate an advantage of *H. sanguineus* in surviving stressful changes in salinity during those periods, so more founding members should survive and therefore be more likely to establish in areas where it is introduced. The level at which *H. sanguineus* maintains its internal hemolymph osmolality, along with its high survival rate in a broad salinity range in this study, highlight some of its particularly adept osmotic regulation characters. However, as the energetic demands of this animal ~~do vary,~~ as temperature increases (Jungblut, 2017), it is important to investigate the interactive effect of seasonal salinity change on likely distribution.

The genus *Hemigrapsus* includes two prominent invaders in Europe and North America, as *H. takanoi* demonstrates a wide salinity tolerance (Shinji et al., 2009) and invaded Europe (originally misidentified as *H. penicillatus*) (Gollasch, 1999; Asakura & Watanabe, 2005) shortly followed by *H. sanguineus* (d'Udekem d'Acoz & Fasse, 2002). The combination of the effects of the behavioral dominance of *H. sanguineus* for shelter (Hudson et al., 2016) with its ability to withstand salinity changes give it a unique ability to maintain valuable intertidal shelter from predators and competitors during changes in tides and freshwater events. In the end, *H. sanguineus*

1 is a hardy, effective invasive species with broad salinity tolerances and preferences, which provide
2 it the opportunity to outlast competitors for shelter and food when exposed to maladaptive
3 salinities, ~~and allows it to survive ballast water changes~~.

6 **Acknowledgements**

7 The authors thank Stephen McCormick, Mike O'Dea, Amy Bataille and Dr. Larry Renfro
8 for advice and equipment, Igor Gurevich and the Aneskievich lab for use of imaging equipment,
9 and to Adriana Hudson, Kurt Schwenk, Courtney McGinnis, ~~and~~ Mike Gilman, ~~and~~ Barrett
10 Christie. Additional thanks to Hugues Benoît for his input and programming assistance with
11 survival modeling.

14 **References**

17 Altieri AH, van Wesenbeeck BK, Bertness MD, Silliman BR. 2010. Facilitation cascade drives
18 positive relationship between native biodiversity and invasion success. *Ecology* 91:1269–1275.
19 DOI: 10.1890/09-1301.1.
20
21 Amaral V, Cabral HN, Jenkins S, Hawkins S, Paula J. 2009. Comparing quality of estuarine and
22 nearshore intertidal habitats for *Carcinus maenas*. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 83:219–
23 226. DOI: 10.1016/j.ecss.2009.03.029.

1
2 Ameyaw-Akumfi C, Naylor E. 1987. Spontaneous and induced components of salinity preference
3 behaviour in *Carcinus maenas*. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 37:153–158.
4
5 Asakura A, Watanabe S. 2005. *Hemigrapsus takanoi*, new species, a sibling species of the common
6 Japanese intertidal crab *H. penicillatus* (Decapoda: Brachyura: Grapsoidea). *Journal of*
7 *Crustacean Biology* 25:279–292. DOI: 10.1651/C-2514.
8
9 Barnes R. 1967. The osmotic behaviour of a number of grapsoid crabs with respect to their
10 differential penetration of an estuarine system. *The Journal of Experimental Biology* 47:535–551.
11
12 Behrens Yamada S, Gillespie G. 2008. Will the European green crab (*Carcinus maenas*) persist in
13 the Pacific Northwest? *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 65:725–729. DOI:
14 10.1093/icesjms/fsm191.
15
16 Benoît HP, Capizzano CW, Knotek RJ, Rudders DB, Sulikowski JA, Dean MJ, Hoffman W,
17 Zemeckis DR, Mandelman JW. 2015. A generalized model for longitudinal short- and long-term
18 mortality data for commercial fishery discards and recreational fishery catch-and-releases. *ICES*
19 *Journal of Marine Science: Journal du Conseil* 72:1834–1847. DOI: 10.1093/icesjms/fsv039.
20
21 van den Brink AM, Wijnhoven S, McLay CL. 2012. Competition and niche segregation following
22 the arrival of *Hemigrapsus takanoi* in the formerly *Carcinus maenas* dominated Dutch delta.
23 *Journal of Sea Research* 73:126–136. DOI: 10.1016/j.seares.2012.07.006.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

Brousseau DJ, Baglivo JA, Filipowicz A, Sego L, Alt C. 2002. An experimental field study of site fidelity and mobility in the Asian shore crab, *Hemigrapsus sanguineus*. *Northeastern Naturalist* 9:381–390. DOI: 10.1656/1092-6194(2002)009[0381:AEFSOS]2.0.CO;2.

Bulger AJ, Hayden BP, Monaco ME, Nelson DM, McCormick-Ray MG. 1993. Biologically-based estuarine salinity zones derived from a multivariate analysis. *Estuaries* 16:311. DOI: 10.2307/1352504.

Burnett LE, Towle DW. 1990. Sodium ion uptake by perfused gills of the blue crab *Callinectes sapidus*: effects of ouabain and amiloride. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 149:293.

Case TJ, Taper ML. 2000. Interspecific competition, environmental gradients, gene flow, and the coevolution of species' borders. *The American Naturalist* 155:583–605. DOI: 10.1086/303351.

Cieluch U. 2004. Ontogeny of osmoregulatory structures and functions in the green crab *Carcinus maenas* (Crustacea, Decapoda). *Journal of Experimental Biology* 207:325–336. DOI: 10.1242/jeb.00759.

[Colnar AM, Landis WG. 2007. Conceptual Model Development for Invasive Species and a Regional Risk Assessment Case Study: The European Green Crab, *Carcinus maenas*, at Cherry Point, Washington, USA. *Human and Ecological Risk Assessment: An International Journal* 13:120–155. DOI: 10.1080/10807030601105076.](#)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

Corotto FS, Holliday CW. 1996. Branchial Na, K-ATPase and osmoregulation in the purple shore crab, *Hemigrapsus nudus* (Dana). *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Physiology* 113:361–368. DOI: 10.1016/0300-9629(95)02076-4.

Cox DR. 1972. Regression models and life-tables. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B (Methodological)* 34:187–220.

Cox DR, Oakes D. 1984. *Analysis of survival data*. London ; New York: Chapman and Hall.

Delaney DG., Edwards PK., Leung B. 2012. Predicting regional spread of non-native species using oceanographic models: validation and identification of gaps. *Marine Biology* 159:269–282. DOI: 10.1007/s00227-011-1805-5.

Depledge MH. 1984. Cardiac activity in the intertidal crab *Hemigrapsus sanguineus* (De Haan). *Asian Marine Biology* 1:115–123.

D’Orazio SE, Holliday CW. 1985. Gill Na,K-ATPase and osmoregulation in the sand fiddler crab, *Uca pugilator*. *Physiological Zoology* 58:364–373. DOI: 10.1086/physzool.58.4.30156011.

Engel DW. 1977. Comparison of the osmoregulatory capabilities of two portunid crabs, *Callinectes sapidus* and *C. similis*. *Marine Biology* 41:275–279. DOI: 10.1007/BF00394915.

1 [Epifanio CE. 2013. Invasion biology of the Asian shore crab *Hemigrapsus sanguineus*: A review.](#)
2 [Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology](#) 441:33–49. DOI:
3 [10.1016/j.jembe.2013.01.010.](#)

4
5 Felder DL. 1978. Osmotic and ionic regulation in several Western Atlantic Callinassidae
6 (Crustacea, Decapoda, Thalassinidea). *The Biological Bulletin* 154:409–429. DOI:
7 10.2307/1541068.

8
9 Fowler AE, Gerner NV, Sewell MA. 2011. Temperature and salinity tolerances of Stage 1 zoeae
10 predict possible range expansion of an introduced portunid crab, *Charybdis japonica*, in New
11 Zealand. *Biological Invasions* 13:691–699. DOI: 10.1007/s10530-010-9860-2.

12
13 [Fowler A, Forsström T, von Numers M, Vesakoski O. 2013. The North American mud crab](#)
14 [Rhithropanopeus harrisii \(Gould, 1841\) in newly colonized Northern Baltic Sea: distribution and](#)
15 [ecology. Aquatic Invasions](#) 8:89–96. DOI: 10.3391/ai.2013.8.1.10.

Formatted: Font: Italic

16
17 Gollasch S. 1998. The Asian decapod *Hemigrapsus penicillatus* (de Haan, 1835) (Grapsidae,
18 Decapoda) introduced in European waters: status quo and future perspective. *Helgoländer*
19 *Meeresuntersuchungen* 52:359–366. DOI: 10.1007/BF02908909.

20
21 Gothland M, Dauvin JC, Denis L, Dufossé F, Jobert S, Ovaert J, Pezy JP, Tous Rius A, Spilmont
22 N. 2014. Biological traits explain the distribution and colonisation ability of the invasive shore

1 crab *Hemigrapsus takanoi*. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 142:41–49. DOI:
2 10.1016/j.ecss.2014.03.012.
3
4 Gothland M, Dauvin J-C, Denis L, Jobert S, Ovaert J, Pezy J-P, Spilmont N. 2013. Additional
5 records and distribution (2011-2012) of *Hemigrapsus sanguineus* (De Haan, 1835) along the
6 French coast of the English Channel. *Management of Biological Invasions* 4:305–315. DOI:
7 10.3391/mbi.2013.4.4.05.
8
9 Grant J, McDonald J. 1979. Desiccation tolerance of *Eurypanopeus depressus* (Smith) (Decapoda:
10 Xanthidae) and the exploitation of microhabitat. *Estuaries* 2:172. DOI: 10.2307/1351731.
11
12 Gross WJ. 1957. A behavioral mechanism for osmotic regulation in a semi-terrestrial crab. *The*
13 *Biological Bulletin* 113:268–274. DOI: 10.2307/1539084.
14
15 Gunter G. 1961. Some relations of estuarine organisms to salinity. *Limnology and Oceanography*
16 6:182–190. DOI: 10.4319/lo.1961.6.2.0182.
17
18 Harrington DP, Fleming TR. 1982. A class of rank test procedures for censored survival data.
19 *Biometrika* 69:553–566. DOI: 10.1093/biomet/69.3.553.
20
21 Henry RP, Garrelts EE, McCarty MM, Towle DW. 2002. Differential induction of branchial
22 carbonic anhydrase and Na^+/K^+ ATPase activity in the euryhaline crab, *Carcinus maenas*, in

1 response to low salinity exposure. *Journal of Experimental Zoology* 292:595–603. DOI:
2 10.1002/jez.10075.

3
4 Henry RP, Thomason KL, Towle DW. 2006. Quantitative changes in branchial carbonic anhydrase
5 activity and expression in the euryhaline green crab, *Carcinus maenas*, in response to low salinity
6 exposure. *Journal of Experimental Zoology Part A: Comparative Experimental Biology*
7 305A:842–850. DOI: 10.1002/jez.a.298.

8
9 Henry RP, Wheatly MG. 1988. Dynamics of salinity adaptations in the euryhaline crayfish
10 *Pacifastacus leniusculus*. *Physiological Zoology* 61:260–271. DOI:
11 10.1086/physzool.61.3.30161239.

12
13 Herborg L-M, Rudnick DA, Siliang Y, Lodge DM, MacIsaac HJ. 2007. Predicting the range of
14 Chinese mitten crabs in Europe. *Conservation Biology* 21:1316–1323. DOI: 10.1111/j.1523-
15 1739.2007.00778.x.

16
17 Hernández RM, Bückle R. LF, Palacios E, Barón S. B. 2006. Preferential behavior of white shrimp
18 *Litopenaeus vannamei* (Boone 1931) by progressive temperature–salinity simultaneous
19 interaction. *Journal of Thermal Biology* 31:565–572. DOI: 10.1016/j.jtherbio.2006.05.008.

20
21 [Hobbs N-VS, Cobb JS, Thornber CS. 2017. Conspecific tolerance and heterospecific competition](#)
22 [as mechanisms for overcoming resistance to invasion by an intertidal crab. *Biological Invasions*](#)
23 [19:765–772. DOI: 10.1007/s10530-016-1290-3.](#)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

Holliday CW. 1985. Salinity-induced changes in gill Na, K-ATPase activity in the mud fiddler crab, *Uca pugnax*. *Journal of Experimental Zoology* 233:199–208. DOI: 10.1002/jez.1402330206.

Holliday CW, Roye DB, Roer RD. 1990. Salinity-induced changes in branchial Na⁺/K⁺ - ATPase activity and transepithelial potential difference in the brine shrimp *Artemia salina*. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 151:279.

Hudson DM. 2011. Characteristics contributing to invasiveness of the Asian Shore Crab, *Hemigrapsus sanguineus*. D. Phil. Thesis. Storrs: University of Connecticut.

Hudson DM, Reagan D, Crivello JF. 2016. Community shelter use in response to two benthic decapod predators in the Long Island Sound. *PeerJ* 4:e2265. DOI: 10.7717/peerj.2265.

Hulathduwa YD, Stickle WB, Brown KM. 2007. The effect of salinity on survival, bioenergetics and predation risk in the mud crabs *Panopeus simpsoni* and *Eurypanopeus depressus*. *Marine Biology* 152:363–370. DOI: 10.1007/s00227-007-0687-z.

~~Hulme PE. 2009. Trade, transport and trouble: managing invasive species pathways in an era of globalization. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 46:10–18. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2664.2008.01600.x.~~

1 Jones PL., Shulman MJ. 2008. Subtidal-intertidal trophic links: American lobsters [*Homarus*
2 *americanus* (Milne-Edwards)] forage in the intertidal zone on nocturnal high tides. *Journal of*
3 *Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 361:98–103. DOI: 10.1016/j.jembe.2008.05.004.
4
5 Jungblut S. 2017. Ecology and ecophysiology of invasive and native decapod crabs in the southern
6 North Sea. D. Phil. Thesis. Bremen: University of Bremen, Germany.
7
8 Kaplan EL, Meier P. 1958. Nonparametric estimation from incomplete observations. *Journal of*
9 *the American Statistical Association* 53:457–481. DOI: 10.1080/01621459.1958.10501452.
10
11 Kassambara A, Kosinski M. 2017. survminer: drawing survival curves using “ggplot2”. R package
12 version 0.4.0.
13
14 Kimball ME, Miller JM, Whitfield PE, Hare JA. 2004. Thermal tolerance and potential distribution
15 of invasive lionfish (*Pterois volitans/miles* complex) on the east coast of the United States. *Marine*
16 *Ecology Progress Series* 283:269–278. DOI: 10.3354/meps283269.
17
18 Kneib RT. 1984. Patterns of invertebrate distribution and abundance in the intertidal salt marsh:
19 causes and questions. *Estuaries* 7:392. DOI: 10.2307/1351621.
20
21 Koch HJ. 1954. Cholinesterase and active transport of sodium chloride through the isolated gills
22 of the crab *Eriocheir sinensis* (M.Edw.). In: Kitching JA ed. *Recent Developments in Cell Biology*.
23 New York: Academic Press Inc., Publishers, 15–27.

1
2 Kraemer GP, Sellberg M, Gordon A, Main J. 2007. Eight-year Record of *Hemigrapsus sanguineus*
3 (Asian Shore Crab) Invasion in Western Long Island Sound Estuary. *Northeastern Naturalist*
4 14:207–224. DOI: 10.1656/1092-6194(2007)14[207:EROHSA]2.0.CO;2.
5
6 Lagerspetz K, Mattila M. 1961. Salinity reactions of some fresh- and brackish-water crustaceans.
7 *The Biological Bulletin* 120:44–53. DOI: 10.2307/1539336.
8
9 [Landschoff J, Lackschewitz D, Keszy K, Reise K. 2013. Globalization pressure and habitat change:](#)
10 [Pacific rocky shore crabs invade armored shorelines in the Atlantic Wadden Sea. *Aquatic*](#)
11 [Invasions](#) 8:77–87. DOI: 10.3391/ai.2013.8.1.09.
12
13 Lohrer AM, Whitlatch RB. 2002. Interactions among aliens: apparent replacement of one exotic
14 species by another. *Ecology* 83:719–732. DOI: 10.1890/0012-
15 9658(2002)083[0719:IAAARO]2.0.CO;2.
16
17 Lohrer AM, Whitlatch RB, Wada K, Fukui Y. 2000. Home and away: comparisons of resource
18 utilization by a marine species in native and invaded habitats. *Biological Invasions* 2:41–57. DOI:
19 10.1023/A:1010069327402.
20
21 Lucu Č, Towle DW. 2003. Na⁺+K⁺-ATPase in gills of aquatic crustacea. *Comparative*
22 *Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Molecular & Integrative Physiology* 135:195–214. DOI:
23 10.1016/S1095-6433(03)00064-3.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

McDermott J. 1998. The western Pacific brachyuran (*Hemigrapsus sanguineus*: Grapsidae), in its new habitat along the Atlantic coast of the United States: geographic distribution and ecology. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 55:289–298. DOI: 10.1006/jmsc.1997.0273.

McGaw IJ. 2001. Impacts of habitat complexity on physiology: purple shore crabs tolerate osmotic stress for shelter. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 53:865–876. DOI: 10.1006/ecss.2001.0826.

McGaw IJ, Naylor E. 1992a. Salinity preference of the shore crab *Carcinus maenas* in relation to coloration during intermoult and to prior acclimation. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 155:145–159. DOI: 10.1016/0022-0981(92)90059-J.

McGaw IJ, Naylor E. 1992b. The effect of shelter on salinity preference behaviour of the shore crab *Carcinus maenas*. *Marine Behaviour and Physiology* 21:145–152. DOI: 10.1080/10236249209378823.

Neufeld GJ, Holliday CW, Pritchard JB. 1980. Salinity adaption of gill Na, K-ATPase in the blue crab, *Callinectes sapidus*. *Journal of Experimental Zoology* 211:215–224. DOI: 10.1002/jez.1402110210.

1 [O'Connor NJ. 2014. Invasion dynamics on a temperate rocky shore: from early invasion to](#)
2 [establishment of a marine invader. *Biological Invasions* 16:73–87. DOI: 10.1007/s10530-013-](#)
3 [0504-1.](#)

4
5 Piller SC, Henry RP, Doeller JE, Kraus DW. 1995. A comparison of the gill physiology of two
6 euryhaline crab species, *Callinectes sapidus* and *Callinectes similis*: energy production, transport-
7 related enzymes and osmoregulation as a function of acclimation salinity. *The Journal of*
8 *Experimental Biology* 198:349–358.

9
10 Rabalais NN, Cameron JN. 1985. Physiological and morphological adaptations of adult *Uca*
11 *subcylindrica* to semi-arid environments. *The Biological Bulletin* 168:135–146. DOI:
12 10.2307/1541179.

13
14 R Core Team. 2017. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing.

15
16 Reisser CE, Forward RB. 1991. Effect of salinity on osmoregulation and survival of a
17 rhizocephalan parasite, *Loxothylacus panopaei*, and its crab host, *Rhithropanopeus harrisi*.
18 *Estuaries* 14:102–106. DOI: 10.2307/1351987.

19
20 Rejmánek M. 2011. Invasiveness. In: Simberloff D, Rejmánek M eds. *Encyclopedia of Biological*
21 *Invasions*. Berkeley: University of California Press, 379–385.

1 [Kotta J., Ojaveer H. 2012. Rapid establishment of the alien crab *Rhithropanopeus harrisii* \(Gould\)](#)
2 [in the Gulf of Riga. *Estonian Journal of Ecology* 61:293. DOI: 10.3176/eco.2012.4.04.](#)

4 Roche DG, Torchin ME, Leung B, Binning SA. 2009. Localized invasion of the North American
5 Harris mud crab, *Rhithropanopeus harrisii*, in the Panama Canal: implications for eradication and
6 spread. *Biological Invasions* 11:983–993. DOI: 10.1007/s10530-008-9310-6.

8 Rudnick D, Veldhuizen T, Tullis R, Culver C, Hieb K, Tsukimura B. 2005. A life history model
9 for the San Francisco Estuary population of the Chinese mitten crab, *Eriocheir sinensis* (Decapoda:
10 Grapsoidea). *Biological Invasions* 7:333–350. DOI: 10.1007/s10530-004-2286-y.

12 Shinji J, Strüßmann CA, Wilder MN, Watanabe S. 2009. Short-term responses of the adults of the
13 common Japanese intertidal crab, *Hemigrapsus takanoi* (Decapoda: Brachyura: Grapsoidea) at
14 different salinities: osmoregulation, oxygen consumption, and ammonia excretion. *Journal of*
15 *Crustacean Biology* 29:269–272. DOI: 10.1651/08-2998R.1.

17 Siebers D, Leweck K, Markus H, Winkler A. 1982. Sodium regulation in the shore crab *Carcinus*
18 *maenas* as related to ambient salinity. *Marine Biology* 69:37–43. DOI: 10.1007/BF00396958.

20 Singer JD, Willett JB. 2003. *Applied longitudinal data analysis: modeling change and event*
21 *occurrence*. Oxford ; New York: Oxford University Press.

1 Sommer MJ, Mantel LH. 1988. Effect of dopamine, cyclic AMP, and pericardial organs on sodium
2 uptake and Na/K-ATPase activity in gills of the green crab *Carcinus maenas* (L). *Journal of*
3 *Experimental Zoology* 248:272–277. DOI: 10.1002/jez.1402480305.
4
5 Teal JM. 1958. Distribution of fiddler crabs in Georgia salt marshes. *Ecology* 39:185–193. DOI:
6 10.2307/1931862.
7
8 Therneau T. 2015. Survival: a package for survival analysis in S. R package version 2.38. Available
9 at <http://cran.r-project.org/package=survival>
10
11 Thomas NJ, Lasiak TA, Naylor E. 1981. Salinity preference behaviour in *Carcinus*. *Marine*
12 *Behaviour and Physiology* 7:277–283. DOI: 10.1080/10236248109386988.
13
14 Towle DW. 1997. Molecular approaches to understanding salinity adaptation of estuarine animals.
15 *American Zoologist* 37:575–584. DOI: 10.1093/icb/37.6.575.
16
17 Towle DW, Kays WT. 1986. Basolateral localization of Na⁺ + K⁺-ATPase in gill epithelium of
18 two osmoregulating crabs, *Callinectes sapidus* and *Carcinus maenas*. *Journal of Experimental*
19 *Zoology* 239:311–318. DOI: 10.1002/jez.1402390302.
20
21 Tsai J-R, Lin H-C. 2007. V-type H⁺-ATPase and Na⁺,K⁺-ATPase in the gills of 13 euryhaline crabs
22 during salinity acclimation. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 210:620–627. DOI:
23 10.1242/jeb.02684.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

d'Udekem d'Acoz C, Faasse M. 2002. De huidige status van *Hemigrapsus sanguineus* (de Haan, 1835) en *H. penicillatus* (de Haan, 1835) in de noordelijke Atlantische Oceaan, in het bijzonder in Nederland, met opmerkingen over hun biologie (Crustacea, Decapoda, Brachyura). *Het Zeepaard* 62:101–115.

Urbina M, Paschke K, Gebauer P, Chaparro OR. 2010. Physiological energetics of the estuarine crab *Hemigrapsus crenulatus* (Crustacea: Decapoda: Varunidae): responses to different salinity levels. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom* 90:267–273. DOI: 10.1017/S0025315409990889.

[Urzúa Á, Urbina MA. 2017. Ecophysiological adaptations to variable salinity environments in the crab *Hemigrapsus crenulatus* from the Southeastern Pacific coast: sodium regulation, respiration and excretion. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Molecular & Integrative Physiology* 210:35–43. DOI: 10.1016/j.cbpa.2017.05.010.](#)

Weihrauch D, Morris S, Towle DW. 2004. Ammonia excretion in aquatic and terrestrial crabs. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 207:4491–4504. DOI: 10.1242/jeb.01308.

Weis JS. 2010. The role of behavior in the success of invasive crustaceans. *Marine and Freshwater Behaviour and Physiology* 43:83–98. DOI: 10.1080/10236244.2010.480838.

1 Williams AB, McDermott JJ. 1990. An eastern United States record for the western Indo-Pacific
2 crab, *Hemigrapsus sanguineus* (Crustacea: Decapoda: Grapsidae). *Proceedings of the Biological*
3 *Society of Washington* 103:108–109.
4
5 Wolf B, Kiel E, Hagge A, Krieg H-J, Feld CK. 2009. Using the salinity preferences of benthic
6 macroinvertebrates to classify running waters in brackish marshes in Germany. *Ecological*
7 *Indicators* 9:837–847. DOI: 10.1016/j.ecolind.2008.10.005.
8
9 Young AM. 1978. Desiccation tolerances for three hermit crab species *Clibanarius vittatus* (Bosc),
10 *Pagurus pollicaris* Say and *P. longicarpus* Say (Decapoda, Anomura) in the North Inlet Estuary,
11 South Carolina, U.S.A. *Estuarine and Coastal Marine Science* 6:117–122. DOI: 10.1016/0302-
12 3524(78)90047-6.
13
14 Young AM. 1979. Osmoregulation in three hermit crab species, *Clibanarius vittatus* (Bosc),
15 *Pagurus longicarpus* Say and *P. pollicaris* Say (Crustacea: Decapoda; Anomura). *Comparative*
16 *Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Physiology* 63:377–382. DOI: 10.1016/0300-
17 9629(79)90606-6.
18
19 Zacherl D, Gaines SD, Lonhart SI. 2003. The limits to biogeographical distributions: insights from
20 the northward range extension of the marine snail, *Kelletia kelletii* (Forbes, 1852). *Journal of*
21 *Biogeography* 30:913–924. DOI: 10.1046/j.1365-2699.2003.00899.x.
22