

# Assessing the capability of Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy in tandem with chemometric analysis for predicting poultry meat spoilage

Ubaid ur Rahman<sup>1</sup>, Amna Sahar<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Imran Pasha<sup>1</sup>, Sajjad ur Rahman<sup>3</sup> and Anum Ishaq<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>National Institute of Food Science and Technology (NIFSAT), Faculty of Food, Nutrition and Home Sciences (FFNHS), University of Agriculture Faisalabad (UAF), Pakistan

<sup>2</sup>Department of Food Engineering, Faculty of Agricultural Engineering, UAF Pakistan

<sup>3</sup>Institute of Food Microbiology (IM), Faculty of Veterinary Sciences, UAF

\*Corresponding Author: Dr. Amna Sahar

Phone No. 00 92 33 26 95 96 11, Email: [amnasahar@gmail.com](mailto:amnasahar@gmail.com)

## ABSTRACT

**Background:** Use of traditional methods for determining meat spoilage is quite laborious and time consuming. Therefore, ~~it is needed to introduce some~~ alternative approaches are needed that can predict the spoilage of meat in a rapid, non-invasive and more elaborative way. In this regard, the spectroscopic techniques have shown their potential~~ity~~ for predicting the microbial spoilage of meat-based products. Consequently, the present work was aimed to ~~explicate~~ demonstrate the competence of Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) to detect spoilage in chicken fillets stored under aerobic refrigerated conditions.

**Methods:** This study was conducted under controlled randomized design (CRD). Chicken samples were stored for 8 days at 4±0.5°C and FTIR spectra were collected at regular intervals (after every 2 days) directly from the sample surface using attenuated total reflectance during the study period. Additionally, total plate count (TPC), *Enterobacteriaceae* count, pH, CTn (Color

transmittance number) color analysis, TVBN (total volatile basic nitrogen) contents, and shear force values were also measured through traditional approaches. FTIR spectral data were interpreted through principal component analysis (PCA) and partial least square (PLS) regression and compared with results of traditional methods for precise estimation of spoilage.

**Results:** Results of TPC (3.04-8.20 CFU/cm<sup>2</sup>), *Enterobacteriaceae* counts (2.39-6.33 CFU/cm<sup>2</sup>), pH (4.65-7.05), color (57.00-142.00 CTn), TVBN values (6.72-33.60 mg/100 g) and shear force values (8.99-39.23) were measured through traditional methods and compared with FTIR spectral data. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was applied on data obtained through microbial and quality analyses and results revealed significant changes ( $P < 0.05$ ) in the values of microbial load and quality parameters of chicken fillets during the storage. FTIR data ~~was were~~ subjected to acquisition and PCA was applied to illuminate the wavenumbers potentially correlated to the spoilage of meat. PLS regression analysis permitted the estimates of microbial spoilage and quality parameters from the spectra with a fit of  $R^2 = 0.66$  for TPC,  $R^2 = 0.52$  for *Enterobacteriaceae* numbers and  $R^2 = 0.56$  for TVBN analysis of stored broiler meat, and described positive correlation between onset of meat spoilage during storage and spectral data.

**Discussion:** PLS regression was applied for quantitative interpretation of spectra which allowed ~~accurate~~ estimates of microbial loads on chicken surfaces during the storage period. ~~PLS regression analysis described prediction analysis which strongly suggest that the~~ The results suggest that FTIR spectra retain information regarding the spoilage of poultry meat, ~~and that PLS regression is capable for extracting specific information about broiler meat spoilage during the storage.~~

**Conclusion:** The present work concluded that FTIR spectroscopy coupled with chemometric analysis can be successfully used for quantitative determination of poultry meat spoilage.

Commented [ms1]: Not clear

Commented [ms2]: Not clear. Can this be deleted?

Commented [ms3]:

Commented [ms4]: First time you use this term. Maybe introduce earlier or remove from abstract?

## INTRODUCTION

Meat is a very important part of human diet due to the presence of several valuable nutrients such as proteins, vitamins and minerals. However, meat is also known as a highly perishable commodity due to its nutritional composition which triggers biochemical changes responsible for spoilage. Several intrinsic and extrinsic factors are involved in the onset of meat spoilage such as physical damage due to improper handling and storage conditions, unfavorable chemical changes caused by protein degradation and microbial activities. Among these factors, microbial activity is considered as the major contributor of meat spoilage resulting in the development of off-flavors, bad odors and slime which makes meat unfit for human consumption (Rahman et al., 2017). Thus, meat spoilage is considered as a subjective judgement by customers that can be affected by economic and cultural reflections and sensorial acuity of consumers (Ammor, Argyri & Nychas, 2009).

A vast range of methods has been used worldwide for detection of microbial spoilage and contamination of meat and meat products. Amongst these methods, the most extensively used approaches include organoleptic methods, physico-chemical analyses and cultural microbial techniques. These traditional methods used for detection of meat spoilage are quite time-consuming, labor intensive and need technical proficiency. Therefore, it is needed to introduce some rapid, cost effective, reagent-free and non-destructive methods to detect meat spoilage in an efficient way. In this regard, spectroscopic techniques have shown their potential for rapid and accurate prediction of microbial spoilage in meat and other food products. Accordingly, Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy can be used as a quick and non-invasive method for detecting meat spoilage. FTIR spectroscopy has shown its potential to predict biochemical

**Commented [ms5]:** Example of where you need references.

**Commented [ms6]:** Once again, this is the kind of place where you need citations.

**Commented [ms7]:** references

68 changes in meat substrates and can be successfully employed to extract useful information about  
69 the muscle decomposition and metabolite generation due to the onset of spoilage (Rahman et al.,  
70 2016).

71 Several studies have shown the effectiveness of FTIR spectroscopy to detect the microbial  
72 spoilage of meat. For instance, Ellis et al. (2002) applied FTIR spectroscopy for rapid and on-  
73 line detection of microbial count on chicken breast fillets and revealed that FTIR has potential to  
74 be used for the determination of microbial safety and quality of meat and other food products  
75 during the processing and storage. Similarly, Ammor and coworkers (2009) exploited FTIR  
76 spectroscopy along with chemometric analysis for non-destructive prediction of meat spoilage.  
77 They also analyzed microbial count, pH and sensorial attributes of beef subjected to various  
78 storage conditions and concluded that this technique can be successfully used for rapid and non-  
79 invasive monitoring of meat spoilage. Likewise, Sahar & Dufour (2014) used FTIR spectroscopy  
80 to predict bacterial spoilage of aerobically stored chicken breast fillets and concluded that this  
81 technique can be applied for on-line monitoring of microbial spoilage of meat. Additionally,  
82 Grewal and peers (2015) also used FTIR spectroscopy coupled with chemometric analysis for  
83 the detection of poultry meat specific bacteria and concluded that spectral windows in the  
84 regions of 4000-575  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , 3000-2500  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  and 1800-1200  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  have the potential to classify  
85 poultry meat based on the presence of different pathogenic bacteria and level of contamination.  
86 Moreover, Foca et al. (2016) applied different spectral (Fourier transform mid infrared  
87 spectroscopy (FTMIR) and Fourier transform near infrared spectroscopy) and hyperspectral  
88 techniques for detection of lactic acid bacteria in sliced cooked ham and revealed that FTMIR  
89 spectroscopy in the region of 4000-675  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  can be used in combination with multivariate  
90 analysis to get information regarding bacterial contamination in food samples. FTIR has also

been used for the determination of molds in different food products (Shapaval et al., 2017). Accordingly, the current investigation was envisioned to investigate if FTIR spectra can be used for predicting the microbial load on meat surfaces and to explore the competence of FTIR spectroscopy in tandem with chemometric modeling to detect meat spoilage by predicting variations in microbial load, pH, color, texture and TVBN values on the surface of aerobically stored broiler breast fillets under refrigerated conditions.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Procurement of materials

Broiler chicks (1.5-2.0 kg) were procured from the local market and slaughtered in the Meat Science and Technology Laboratory by following Halal Ethical Guidelines (Department of Standards, Malaysia, 2009). Breast samples were separately packed into sterilized stripper bags for storage. Meanwhile other chemicals were also purchased from Sigma Aldrich (Germany).

### Storage conditions

Meat samples were aerobically stored in polyethylene bags at  $4^{\circ}\text{C} \pm 0.5^{\circ}\text{C}$  (refrigeration temperature) and analyzed at regular intervals of 2 days (0, 2, 4, 6 and 8). Five separate samples were used at each storage day for quality and safety parameter. The experiment was repeated twice & average values are used for statistical analysis.

### Microbial analysis

Nutrient agar medium was prepared for TPC by dissolving Nutrient Agar (2.8g) in sterilized distilled water (100 mL) and autoclaved at  $121^{\circ}\text{C}$  and 15 psi for 45 minutes. Likewise, MacConkey agar medium was prepared for *Enterobacteriaceae* members by dissolving MacConkey Agar (5.2g) in sterilized distilled water (100 mL) and autoclaved at  $121^{\circ}\text{C}$  and 15

Commented [ms9]: Not clear what this means.

113 psi for 45 minutes. Media were put into petri dishes in Laminar Culture Hood and plates were  
114 left to solidify and then placed in an incubator at 37°C for 24 hours before use, to confirm that  
115 the media were properly sterilized. Only those Petri dishes ~~were selected~~ which did not observe  
116 any growth were selected. Surface microflora were collected from the chicken samples by using  
117 a sterile culture swab and transferred to the growth media for incubation (37°C for 24 to 48  
118 hours). TPC and *Enterobacteriaceae* counts were counted using colony counter followed by  
119 visual analysis to observe morphology (pink colored spherical to oval shaped colonies for *E. coli*,  
120 colorless round-shaped colonies for *Salmonella*) and typical colony types. Actual microbial  
121 colony counts (CFU/cm<sup>2</sup>) were then converted to logarithmic values (Leblanc & Dufour, 2002;  
122 Sahar & Dufour, 2014).

### 123 **Quality parameters**

124 The pH (Diaz et al., 2011), CTn-color values (Color transmittance number) (Rahman et al.,  
125 2017), texture (Piga et al., 2005) and total volatile basic nitrogen contents (Luo et al., 2011) were  
126 measured by using their respective protocols. Afterwards, the obtained results were compared  
127 with spectral data through multi-variant analysis for evaluating the potentiality of FTIR  
128 spectroscopy to predict meat spoilage.

### 129 **Statistical analysis**

130 The experiment was conducted under Controlled Randomized Design (CRD) and analysis of  
131 variance (ANOVA) was applied for statistical interpretation of microbial and quality parameters  
132 using STATISTICS 8.1 software. Level of significance ( $P < 0.05$ ) was measured by applying  
133 Fisher test.

### 134 **Analysis on FTIR spectrophotometer**

Commented [ms10]: Variate?

Commented [ms11]: This is not entirely clear. Is this to say that that they did posthoc tests with Fisher's?

135 Mid Infrared (MIR) spectra were taken ~~by~~ using ZnSe ATR (attenuated total reflectance) crystal  
136 in the range of 3000 to 800  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  (resolution = 4  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ) on FTIR (BRUKER TENSOR 27)  
137 equipped with OPUS software ~~by~~ using the method of Sahar & Dufour (2014) with slight  
138 modifications.

Commented [ms12]: A Bruker Tensor FTIR spectrometer?

139 An average of 16 scans ~~was~~ taken each time ~~from FTIR spectrophotometer~~, so 16 (No. of  
140 spectra on same sample) X 5 (total number of independent samples on each storage day) X 2  
141 (Experiment was repeated twice on each sample) = 160 spectra for each storage time. 160 X 5  
142 (storage interval) = 800 spectra in total ~~are were~~ taken for ~~complete research work~~ this study.  
143 Additionally, reference spectra were also collected from clean crystal prior to run each sample.  
144 The cCrystal was cleaned after running each sample with ethanol and dried before running the  
145 next sample.

Commented [ms13]: Were these independent or just average for each sample?

## 146 Data acquisition

### 147 Pre-treatment of FTIR spectra

148 For pre-treatment of FTIR spectra, baseline correction was applied by using The Unscrambler  
149 software.

Commented [ms14]: This is very vague. There is at least one option withing that menu so please be more specific. Also, did you try a second derivative or something? You might get better results. For my NIR work baseline correction alone does very little.

### 150 Principle component analysis (PCA)

151 PCA was applied on each offset of the standardized spectral data for drawing similarity maps to  
152 observe the similarities or differences among spectra and getting spectral patterns showing the  
153 most discriminant wavelengths. PCA is used to convert the large number of potentially  
154 correlated factors into small number of uncorrelated factors which are called principal  
155 components.

### 156 PLS (Partial least square) regression analysis

PLS regression (Vigneau et al., 2006) was applied for cross-validation on random mode to observe fundamental relationship between two matrices i.e. FTIR spectral data and traditional microbial (TPC and *Enterobacteriaceae*) and quality evaluation (pH, color, shear force, TVBN) from broiler meat samples stored at refrigeration temperature. N-PLS regression was used to predict bacterial counts from the FTIR spectra because the variables to be predicted are characterized by a matrix (TPC and *Enterobacteriaceae* at the different storage times) instead of a vector (Bro, 1996). Root mean square error of calibration (RMSEC), root mean square of prediction (RMSEP) and coefficients of determination ( $R^2$ ) of calibration and validation were also determined.

## RESULTS

### Microbial analysis through cultural method

A significant increase in the development of surface microflora was observed on meat samples during storage. Figure 1 depicted the results of TPC (log CFU/cm<sup>2</sup>) which described that a considerable increase in TPC values was observed as storage time progressed. Lowest mean TPC value ( $3.04 \pm 0.05$  log CFU/cm<sup>2</sup>) was chronicled on the first day of storage and was increased in a significant manner ( $8.20 \pm 0.01$  log CFU/cm<sup>2</sup>) up to day 8 which evidently presented the onset of poultry meat spoilage during storage. Figure 1 also illustrated that the recorded logarithmic value of *Enterobacteriaceae* family was  $2.39 \pm 0.01$  log CFU/cm<sup>2</sup> on Day 0 which was increased up to  $6.33 \pm 0.01$  log CFU/cm<sup>2</sup> at the termination of trial (Day 8).

(Insert Figure 1 here)

### Determining meat quality parameters through traditional methods



178 Different meat quality parameters viz. pH, color (CTn value), shear force and TVBN values were  
179 determined by using traditional methods to measure the spoilage level of aerobically stored  
180 chicken fillets during the storage time at constant interval of two days (Figure 2). Results  
181 regarding TVBN analysis depicted a momentous increase in TVBN values during the storage  
182 period (11.20 to 34.72 mg/100 g) which clearly indicated the spoilage of meat. Similarly, shear  
183 force values were also increased in a significant way from 22.55 to 34.72 during the storage  
184 period. However, non-significant variations were observed in pH values of aerobically stored  
185 chicken breast fillets. The change in color values from 102.67 (CTn) to 67.67 (CTn) was  
186 indicative of meat spoilage during the storage.

187 (Insert Figure 2 here)

### 188 FTIR spectral interpretation

189 Meat samples were also analyzed through FTIR spectrophotometer in the mid infrared range and  
190 peaks were obtained ranging from 3000 to 800  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ . The following graph (Figure 3) presents  
191 variations in the peak absorbance analyzed through FTIR at various stages of storage (day 0 and  
192 day 8). A significant increase in the absorbance of different bands was also reported in the  
193 prescribed region observed when between spectra of meat samples were compared withfrom  
194 different storage intervals. Deviations in the spectral results have been illustrated in Figure 3.

195 (Insert Figure 3 here)

### 196 Principle component analysis (PCA)

197 The similarity maps developed by applying PCA on FTIR spectra collected from stored meat  
198 samples at different days (0, 2, 4, 6, 8) revealed that PC1 and PC2 accounted for 62% of the total

199 variance (Fig. 4). Additionally, the classification of meat spoilage during refrigeration storage  
200 presented that PC1 and PC2 predicted 36% and 26% of the total variance respectively.  
201 Moreover, PCA for describing the relationship for variations among various quality attributes  
202 due to microbial spoilage of meat showed that first two components completely described the  
203 total variance (PC1 = 88%, PC2 = 12%) as shown in Figure 5. The findings revealed that these  
204 PCs explained the variance of spectral data and were considered as potential wavenumbers  
205 (1750-1200 cm<sup>-1</sup>) describing different biochemical changes occurred in the meat samples during  
206 the spoilage process. These wavenumbers were mainly corresponded to the absorption of amide I  
207 and amide II bands due to C-N bond stretching, fatty acids (CH<sub>2</sub> bond scissoring) and amines (C-  
208 N stretching) but the major variance of spectral data set was explained by amide I & II bands and  
209 amine groups.

Commented [ms15]: The language here is a bit vague

Commented [ms16]: references

(Insert Figures 4 and 5 here)

### 211 PLS regression for predicting bacterial load and quality of chicken fillets

212 Graphical representations of regression plots between predicted and reference values of total  
213 plate count, *Enterobacteriaceae* count and TVBN values are shown in Figure 6 (a and b) and  
214 Figure 7. In these graphs, the predicted values are illustrated by red dots while reference points  
215 are shown in blue color. A good distribution of samples around the lines of equity can be shown  
216 in these graphs. The dots which are placed away from the central line show the spoilage of  
217 samples due to increase in the microbial load during the storage. Additionally, slopes of the  
218 graphs also describe quite satisfactory correlation between the predicted and reference values.

Commented [ms17]: not clear here how you go from slope to correlation

(Insert Figures 6 and 7 here)

220 Mean values, coefficients of determination (R<sup>2</sup>), standard deviations, root mean square errors of  
221 prediction (RMSEP), ratio performance deviations (RPD), standard errors for calibration (SEC)

and coefficients of determination of cross-validation (1-VR) for TPC, *Enterobacteriaceae* count, color (CTn values), pH and TVBN values of meat samples are depicted in Table 1. The statistical description of TPC and *Enterobacteriaceae* counts reported the mean values of 4.02 CFU/cm<sup>2</sup> and 3.47 CFU/cm<sup>2</sup> correspondingly. Additionally, the mean values for color, pH, TVBN and shear force were 92.10 CTn, 5.81, 14.46 and 23.36 mg/100 g- ~~respectively~~ ~~congruently~~. Prediction models designed from selected equations to predict microbial load and other spoilage indicators in chicken breast fillets determined moderate accuracy for predictions of TPC ( $R^2C = 0.77$ ,  $R^2V = 0.66$ , RMSEP = 0.75, RPD = 1.24, SEC = 0.59), *Enterobacteriaceae* members ( $R^2C = 0.70$ ,  $R^2V = 0.52$ , RMSEP = 0.75, RPD = 1.13, SEC = 0.58), color ( $R^2C = 0.65$ ,  $R^2V = 0.33$ , RMSEP = 21.02, RPD = 0.97, SEC = 15.17), pH ( $R^2 = 0.21$ , RMSEP = 0.79, RPD = 1.00, SEC = 0.69), TVBN ( $R^2C = 0.74$ ,  $R^2V = 0.56$  RMSEP = 3.19, RPD = 1.27, SEC = 2.34) and shear force ( $R^2C = 0.50$ ,  $R^2V = 0.34$ , RMSEP = 5.72, RPD = 1.19, SEC = 4.75).

(Insert Table 1 here)

## DISCUSSION

### Determining of microbial load through traditional method

Results of cultural techniques to identify pathogenic microorganisms on the surface of aerobically stored broiler fillets ~~reported~~ ~~showed~~ that initial *Enterobacteriaceae* load was increased on meat surface with the progression of storage time. The onset of meat spoilage ~~is~~ generally occur~~red~~ due to the metabolic activity of different microbial species that are introduced after slaughtering. Several factors are involved in defining the presence of microbes on meat surface. The major contributors include environmental conditions, packaging type, initial microbial load and propagation ability of microorganisms. Findings of the present work have revealed that TPC and *Enterobacteriaceae* count of raw chicken fillets ~~were~~ significantly

**Commented [ms18]:** did you describe the methods of fit in methods etc? Would make it easier for non-specialists.

affected in a direct manner by storage interval. ~~The r~~Results also ~~proposed-suggested~~ that samples had low initial microbial load which indicated good quality of chicken meat samples at the time of slaughtering but a considerable increase was observed with the progression of storage time which confirmed the microbial spoilage of meat (Balamatsia et al., 2006).

**Commented [ms19]:** Does this say anything that the previous statement did not?

### **Determining meat quality parameters through traditional methods**

Results obtained from TVBN analysis of aerobically stored broiler meat fillets revealed a significant increase in TVBN values with the progression of time which clearly depicted the spoilage due to the loss of volatile nitrogen during the storage period resulting from putrefaction of proteins because of microbial and/or enzymatic activities. Similarly, ~~the~~ increase in shear-force values was due to the ~~hardness~~ of muscle fibers during the storage. Additionally, inferences about color (CTn value) analysis depicted expressive variations in the color values during ~~the~~ storage. Decreased CTn values demonstrated darker ~~color-of-meat~~ ~~color because-of~~ due to microbial spoilage. The findings of the current investigation are in accordance with the work of Rahman et al. (2017) who investigated the impact of various antimicrobial agents on different quality parameters of poultry meat and reported similar variations in the above-mentioned parameters.

**Commented [ms20]:** Hardening?

### **Explanation of FTIR spectra**

The spectral inferences described that maximum decrease was recorded in the absorbance of peaks at  $1650.5852\text{ cm}^{-1}$  during the refrigerated storage of chicken breast fillets. Variations in the absorbance and position of peaks collected from broiler meat samples during the storage are prescribed by the changes in the band stretching of C-H, O-H, N-N and N-H functional groups.

**Commented [ms21]:** This is not quite readable as it is

## Principle component analysis (PCA)

PCA ~~was~~ applied on the large dataset to reduce its multidimensionality. ~~and convert the larger data into its prominent components while maintaining the variations exist between different data points.~~ The ~~is~~ technique is also useful in identifying natural clusters in the data set in which the first principal component (PC1) shows the largest level of variation followed by the second component (PC2) which is useful in describing the second most important factor of remaining analysis and so on. In this study, the score plots obtained from PCA are useful for interpreting the similarities and differences between the growth rate of bacteria and storage time. The closeness of the analyzed samples in the score plot determines the similarity of samples with respect to the evaluated principal component score. The outcomes of PCA applied on different data sets provided information concerning the discernment of various samples. The results obtained from PCA also highlighted the effectiveness of FTIR as a reliable and comprehensive approach for grouping of microbes on broiler meat as a function of storage time. Additionally, the classification of meat spoilage during refrigeration storage presented that PC2 which is responsible for 26% of the variance separated the day 0 samples from the rest of the stored meat samples. Similarly, the second PCA graph clearly showed that TPC and *Enterobacteriaceae* count are mainly responsible for this grouping. Microbial load is minimum in day 0 samples, so these are grouped separately by PCA plot. The findings of the current investigation have revealed that FTIR spectroscopy has the potential to identify the spoilage of chicken fillets due to microbial activities. Moreover, PCA results also provided useful information about various biochemical changes in meat composition because of microbial spoilage.

## PLS regression models

**Commented [ms22]:** Once again, in general there are very few references.

288 Better prediction inferences were observed for all the parameters of broiler meat samples during  
289 refrigeration storage. The pronouncements of the present work declared that even though the  
290 current model showed less accuracy than model developed by Sahar & Dufour (2014) but  
291 provided satisfying results to reconnoiter the potentiality of FTIR spectroscopy for predicting  
292 meat spoilage. Additionally, the problem of developing average models can be overcome by  
293 broadening the dataset and by predicting the spoilage at different storage temperatures.

## 294 CONCLUSION

295 The present investigation concluded that FTIR spectroscopy can be used to extract useful  
296 information regarding the meat spoilage during storage. Results of PLS regression revealed that  
297 ~~quite~~-satisfactory prediction of meat spoilage is possible even with small number of PLS factors.  
298 Subsequently, more research work is needed with a large sample size for ~~promoting the~~  
299 ~~aptitude~~establishing the utility of FTIR spectroscopy ~~for more accurate~~for prediction of meat  
300 spoilage. Additionally, the authors are also doing work on exploring the role of FTIR and other  
301 spectroscopic techniques for identifying the individual bacterial species in complex food  
302 matrices and detecting other meat quality, safety and authenticity parameters.

## 303 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

304 The authors are gratified to NIFSAT & IM, UAF, for allowing working in their laboratories.

305

306

## REFERENCES

- Rahman UU, Sahar A, Pasha I, Rahman SU, Ishaq A, Sohaib M, Chughtai MFJ, Zafar H. 2017.** Augmenting quality and microbial safety of broiler meat at refrigeration storage by applying chemical interventions. *Journal of Food Processing and Preservation* **41(4):** e313030.
- Ammor MS, Argyri A, Nychas GE. 2009.** Rapid monitoring of the spoilage of minced beef stored under conventionally and active packaging conditions using Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy in tandem with chemometrics. *Meat Science* **81:** 507-514.
- Rahman UU, Shahzad T, Sahar A, Ishaq A, Khan MI, Zahoor T, Aslam S. 2016.** Recapitulating the competence of novel & rapid monitoring tools for microbial documentation in food systems. *LWT-Food Science and Technology* **67:** 62-66.
- Ellis DI, Broadhurst D, Kell DB, Rowland JJ, Goodacre R. 2002.** Rapid and quantitative detection of the microbial spoilage of meat by Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy and machine learning. *Applied Environmental Microbiology* **68:** 2822-2828.
- Sahar A, Dufour E. 2014.** Use of Fourier transform-infrared spectroscopy to predict spoilage bacteria on aerobically stored chicken breast fillets. *LWT-Food Science and Technology* **56:** 315-320.
- Grewal MK, Jaiswal P, Jha SN. 2015.** Detection of poultry meat specific bacteria using FTIR spectroscopy and chemometrics. *Journal of Food Science and Technology* **52:** 3859-3869.
- Foca G, Ferrari C, Ulrici A, Sciutto G, Prati S, Morandi S, Brasca M, Lavermicocca P, Lanteri S, Oliveri P. 2016.** The potential of spectral and hyperspectral-imaging techniques for bacterial detection in foods: A case study on lactic acid bacteria. *Talanta* **153:** 111-119.

329 **Shapaval, V, Møretrø, T, Wold Åsli, A, Suso, HP, Schmitt, J, Lillehaug, D, Kohler, A. 2017.**  
330 **A novel library-independent approach based on high-throughput cultivation in Bioscreen**  
331 **and fingerprinting by FTIR spectroscopy for microbial source tracking in food industry.**  
332 ***Letters in Applied Microbiology*, **64(5)**:.335-342.**

333 **Department of Standards, Malaysia. 2009.** MS1500:2009 (1st revision). Halal food  
334 **production, preparation, handling and storage-General Guideline. (pp 1-13).**

335 **Leblanc L, Dufour E. 2002.** Monitoring the identity of bacteria using their intrinsic  
336 **fluorescence. *FEMS Microbiology Letters* **211**: 147-153.**

337 **Diaz O, Turienzo LR, Cobos A, Caride A, Vieites JM. 2011.** Whey protein based coatings on  
338 **frozen Atlantic Salmon (*Salmo salar*): Influence of the plasticizers and the moment of**  
339 **coating on quality preservation. *Food Chemistry* **91**: 751-756.**

340 **Rahman UU, Sahar A, Pasha I, Rahman SU, Ishaq A, Sohaib M, Chughtai MFJ, Zafar H.**  
341 **2017.** Augmenting quality and microbial safety of broiler meat at refrigeration storage by  
342 **applying chemical interventions. *Journal of Food Processing and Preservation* **41**: 313030**  
343 **DOI:10.1111/jfpp.13030.**

344 **Piga A, Catzeddu P, Farris S, Roggio T, Sanguinetti A, Scano E. 2005.** Texture evolution of  
345 **“Amaretti” cookies during storage. *European Food Research and Technology* **221**: 387-391.**

346 **Luo Y, Song Y, Liu L, Shen H, You J. 2011.** Effect of sodium alginate based edible coating  
347 **containing different anti-oxidants on quality and shelf life of refrigerated bream. *Food***  
348 ***Control* **22**: 608-615.**

349 **Vigneau E, Qannari EM, Jaillais B, Mazerolles G, Bertrand D. 2006.** Méthodes Prédictives.  
350 **In: Bertrand D, Dufour E eds. *La Spectroscopie Infrarouge et Ses Applications Analytiques*.**  
351 **Paris, France: Lavoisier, Tec & Doc., 347-397.**



352 **Bro R. 1996.** Multi-way calibration, multi linear PLS. *Journal of Chemometrics* **10**: 47-62.

353 **Balamatsia CC, Paleologos EK, Kontominas MG, Savvaidis I. 2006.** Correlation between

354 microbial flora, sensory changes and biogenic amines formation in fresh chicken meat

355 stored aerobically or under modified atmosphere packaging at 4°C: Possible role of biogenic

356 amines as spoilage indicators. *International Journal of General Molecular Microbiology* **89**:

357 9-17.