New records of the archaic dolphin *Agorophius* (Mammalia: Cetacea) from the upper Oligocene Chandler Bridge Formation of South Carolina, USA

Robert W Boessenecker Corresp., [1, 2], **Jonathan H Geisler** [3]

[1] Department of Geology and Environmental Geosciences, College of Charleston, Charleston, South Carolina, United States

[2] Museum of Paleontology, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, California, United States

[3] Department of Anatomy, College of Osteopathic Medicine, New York Institute of Technology, Old Westbury, New York, United States

Corresponding Author: Robert W Boessenecker
Email address: boesseneckerrw@cofc.edu

The stem odontocete *Agorophius pygmaeus* (Ashley Formation, lower Oligocene, South Carolina; 29.0-26.57 Ma) has been a critical point of comparison for studies of early neocete evolution owing to its early discovery as well as its transitional anatomy relative to archaeocete whales and modern odontocetes. Some time during the late nineteenth century the holotype skull went missing and has never been relocated; supplementary reference specimens have since been recently referred to the species from the Ashley Formation and the overlying Chandler Bridge Formation (upper Oligocene; 24.7-23.5). New crania referable to *Agorophius* sp. are identifiable to the genus based on several features of the intertemporal region. Furthermore, all published specimens from the Chandler Bridge Formation consistently share larger absolute size and a proportionally shorter exposure of the parietal in the skull roof than specimens from the Ashley Formation (including the holotype). Furthermore, these specimens include well-preserved ethmoid labyrinths and cribriform plates, indicating that *Agorophius* primitively retained a strong olfactory sense. These new crania suggest that at least two species of *Agorophius* are present in the Oligocene of South Carolina, revealing a somewhat more complicated taxonomic perspective.

New records of the archaic dolphin *Agorophius* (Mammalia: Cetacea) from the upper Oligocene Chandler Bridge Formation of South Carolina, USA

Robert W. Boessenecker[1,2] & Jonathan H. Geisler[3]

[1]Department of Geology and Environmental Geosciences, College of Charleston, 66 George Street, Charleston, SC, 29424, USA

[2]University of California Museum of Paleontology, University of California, 1101 Valley Life Sciences Building, Berkeley, CA, 94720, USA

[3]Department of Anatomy, College of Osteopathic Medicine, New York Institute of Technology, Old Westbury, NY, 11568, USA

Corresponding Author: Robert W. Boessenecker (boesseneckerrw@cofc.edu)

Abstract

The stem odontocete *Agorophius pygmaeus* (Ashley Formation, lower Oligocene, South Carolina; 29.0-26.57 Ma) has been a critical point of comparison for studies of early neocete evolution owing to its early discovery as well as its transitional anatomy relative to archaeocete whales and modern odontocetes. Some time during the late nineteenth century the holotype skull went missing and has never been relocated; supplementary reference specimens have since been recently referred to the species from the Ashley Formation and the overlying Chandler Bridge Formation (upper Oligocene; 24.7-23.5). New crania referable to *Agorophius* sp. are identifiable to the genus based on several features of the intertemporal region. Furthermore, all published specimens from the Chandler Bridge Formation consistently share larger absolute size and a proportionally shorter exposure of the parietal in the skull roof than specimens from the Ashley

Formation (including the holotype). Furthermore, these specimens include well-preserved ethmoid labyrinths and cribriform plates, indicating that *Agorophius* primitively retained a strong olfactory sense. These new crania suggest that at least two species of *Agorophius* are present in the Oligocene of South Carolina, revealing a somewhat more complicated taxonomic perspective.

Introduction

The holotype skull of *Agorophius pygmaeus* consists of a partial cranium and tooth (MCZ 8761) collected in January 1847 by F.S. Holmes (Curator, College of Charleston Museum) and L.R. Gibbes (Professor, College of Charleston) from exposures of the Ashley Formation at Greer's Landing near Middleton Place Plantation west of Charleston, South Carolina. Owing to its transitional morphology between Eocene archaeocetes and modern odontocetes, early studies often referred to *Agorophius* as a key comparative taxon (Whitmore and Sanders, 1977:308-310). Poor taxonomic practices led to the treatment of the Agorophiidae as a wastebasket taxon for many disparate early odontocete species now placed in other such families as the Xenorophidae, although that practice has now largely been abandoned (Whitmore and Sanders, 1977; Fordyce, 1981; Godfrey et al., 2016). The holotype originally belonged to F.S. Holmes, and after some initial study by Louis Agassiz in 1848-1850, the specimen was loaned to Joseph Leidy in 1869; by 1907, the specimen was realized to be lost (see Fordyce, 1981; Godfrey et al., 2016). In 1980, the holotype tooth was rediscovered and described by R.E. Fordyce (1981), though the skull is still missing. Fordyce (1981) published a supplementary description of the skull based on high quality illustrations.

Recently reported specimens of *Agorophius* have provided new reference specimens for the taxon as well as preserve aspects of the anatomy not represented in the now lost holotype cranium. These include ChM PV 4256, a partial skull and mandible with isolated teeth and associated postcrania from the Chandler Bridge Formation, and SC 2015.51.1, a partial skull from the Ashley Formation. The former specimen was identified and coded as *Agorophius pygmaeus* by Geisler and Sanders (2003) and figured by Godfrey et al. (2016); it remains undescribed. SC 2015.51.1 was described and referred to *Agorophius pygmaeus* by Godfrey et al. (2016). Godfrey et al. (2016) conducted a specimen-level cladistic analysis of *Agorophius*, and recovered a monophyletic *Agorophius* including these specimens and the holotype.

This study reports newly recovered specimens of *Agorophius* from the Chandler Bridge Formation include two incomplete skulls. These skulls raise questions about the identification of previously referred specimens of *Agorophius* and provide new information on ontogenetic variation and sensory anatomy in *Agorophius*.

Materials and Methods

Anatomical terminology follows Mead and Fordyce (2009) and Godfrey et al. (2013). All photographs were taken with a Canon EOS Rebel XS and 80 mm zoom lens.

Institutional Abbreviations: CCNHM, Mace Brown Museum of Natural History, College of Charleston, Charleston, South Carolina, USA; **ChM**, Charleston Museum, Charleston, South Carolina, USA; **MCZ**, Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA; **SC**, South Carolina State Museum, Columbia, South Carolina, USA.

Geologic Background

The new crania reported in this study (CCNHM 1921, 1922) were collected by Steven Hildenbrandt in May 2017 from a construction site (now developed) in the Coosaw Preserve subdivision of North Charleston, Charleston County, South Carolina (Fig. 1A-B). Specimens were collected *in situ* from the Chandler Bridge Formation exposed in an excavated pond. Other specimens collected from the same pond include a possible squalodelphinid dolphin skull and skeleton (CCNHM 2115) and a partial shell of the sea turtle *Carolinachelys wilsoni* (CCNHM 1903). Sediment associated with these skeletons consists of lightly consolidated, tan, silty, fine-very fine friable sandstone, consistent with bed 2 of the Chandler Bridge Formation (Fig. 1C). Based on the lithology of this bed, its relationships to other facies, and micro- and macrofossils, Katuna et al. (1997) interpreted this bed forming in a bay or estuarine environment. At the Coosaw Preserve this bed was below the water level of the pond, thus observations of thickness, geometry, sedimentary structures, and ichnology were not possible.

The Chandler Bridge Formation is 24.7-23.5 Ma in age based on $^{87}Sr/^{86}Sr$ ratios from the same unit and from the overlying Edisto Formation reported by Weems et al. (2016; see also Boessenecker and Fordyce, 2016:456-458). The shark assemblage reported from the Chandler Bridge Formation, most likely from the basal marine facies, is suggestive of inner to middle shelf depths and temperatures ranging from 20-25°C (Cicimurri and Knight, 2009). The billfish *Aglyptorhynhcus* similarly indicates middle shelf depths and temperatures of 20-24°C (Purdy et al., 2001; Fierstine and Weems, 2009). Overall deposition of the Chandler Bridge Formation reflects a regressive parasequence deposited over the Ashley Formation without a transgressive unit (Katuna et al., 1997), similar to other sequences further north on the Atlantic Coastal Plain

with thin, entirely regressive parasequences are deposited under conditions of low subsidence rate (Kidwell, 1993). The unconformity between the Ashley Formation and the Chandler Bridge Formation represents approximately 2-3 million years (Weems et al., 2016). A rich assemblage of marine vertebrates is now known from the Chandler Bridge Formation including sharks, bony fish, an estuarine crocodile, freshwater and sea turtles, marine birds, cetaceans, and sirenians (Whitmore and Sanders, 1977; Sanders et al., 1982; Erickson and Sawyer, 1996; Sanders and Barnes, 2002; Cicimurri and Knight, 2009; Fierstine and Weems, 2009; Geisler et al., 2014; Weems and Knight, 2013; Weems and Sanders, 2014; Ksepka, 2014; Churchill et al., 2016; Godfrey et al., 2016; Weems and Brown, 2017).

Systematic Paleontology

Mammalia Linnaeus, 1758

Cetacea Brisson, 1762

Odontoceti Flower, 1867

Agorophiidae Abel, 1914

Agorophius Cope, 1895

Agorophius sp.

Figures 2-4

Material: CCNHM 1921, partial skull including dorsal parts of parietal, supraoccipital, posterior part of frontal and incomplete right supraorbital process, partial ascending process of right maxilla, posterior tip of right premaxilla, and ethmoid; CCNHM 1922, partial skull including dorsal parts of parietal, supraoccipital, frontal, ethmoid, and a fragment of the

ascending process of the right maxilla. Both specimens collected on June 19, 2017 by Steven Hildenbrandt.

Locality: Coosaw Preserve, exposure of Chandler Bridge Formation in excavated pond (now developed) on construction site, North Charleston, South Carolina, USA (Fig. 1). Detailed locality information on file at CCNHM, available on request to qualified researchers.

Age: early Late Oligocene (Chattian), dated to 24.7-23.5 based on $^{87}Sr/^{86}Sr$ ratios reported from mollusks by Weems et al. (2016).

Identification: CCNHM 1921 and 1922 preserve two features unique to *Agorophius* amongst all odontocetes with an intertemporal constriction (see diagnosis in Godfrey et al., 2016:157): 1) parietals exposed dorsally at the intertemporal constriction without a sagittal crest, and 2) region around the vertex including the frontal, parietal, ascending maxilla, and anterior occipital shield with a flat, planar margin in lateral view. A third feature is preserved only in CCNHM 1922: a deeply incised premaxillary cleft in the posterior nasal process of the premaxilla. Owing to their occurrence in a geochronologically younger stratum and an anteroposteriorly shorter exposure of parietal at the intertemporal constriction than the holotype and referred specimen SC 2015.51.1 from the Ashley Formation, these specimens are identified only to the genus level (see Discussion).

Description

Premaxilla

In CCNHM 1922, the nasal process of the premaxilla (Fig. 2A-B) is present is and divided into a posterolateral plate and a posteromedial splint. Although the posterolateral plate is not preserved,

138 its existence can be inferred from the sutural surface on the maxilla. The posteromedial splint is a
139 narrow, vertical, and posteriorly tapering wedge between the frontal (medially) and the
140 ascending process of the maxilla (laterally). A deeply incised premaxillary cleft is vertical,
141 parasagittally oriented, and presumably separated the posteromedial splint (preserved) from the
142 posterolateral plate (inferred). Part of the right nasal may be present but owing to damage it is
143 unclear if it what is observed is actually the medial edge of the premaxilla. Though broken, the
144 premaxilla transversely widens anteriorly based on the shape of the articular "buttress" for the
145 premaxilla on the maxilla.
146
147 **Maxilla**
148 The ascending process of the maxilla is transversely expanded, dorsoventrally thin and sheet-
149 like, and extends posteriorly to the level of the occipital shield apex (Fig. 2A-B). Medially, the
150 ascending process of the maxilla forms a vertical plate that abuts the posteromedial splint of the
151 premaxilla. This plate transitions anteriorly into a slightly raised platform that underlies the
152 premaxilla adjacent to the nares. A single, large and posteriorly directed dorsal infraorbital
153 foramina is present lateral to the posterior termination of the nasal, about 1 cm lateral to the
154 premaxilla. A vertical fissure separates the maxilla from the premaxilla.
155
156 **Frontal**
157 The median frontal suture is unfused in CCNHM 1922 and obliterated in CCNHM 1921 (Fig.
158 2A-B). The frontoparietal suture is transverse and W-shaped with a median point, bilateral
159 anterior embayments, and an anterolaterally extending portion that contributes a small part to the
160 supraorbital process. The latter part of the parietal resembles the morphology in *Ashleycetus*

planicapitis, although in that taxon this part of the parietal expands further anterolaterally (Sanders and Geisler, 2016). Dorsally the frontal has a median, rectangular plateau outlined laterally by the premaxilla, the parietal posteriorly, and the nasals anteriorly. The frontal is anteriorly pointed with an anterolaterally facing articular surface for the nasal. In CCNHM 1921, the frontal is more acutely pointed and the rectangular median plateau is proportionally narrower. Given the higher degree of suture closure and inferred older ontogenetic stage of CCNHM 1921, this suggests that the premaxilla and maxilla grow dorsomedially, at the expense of the frontal, with age. Obviously this suggestion will be speculative until larger sample sizes are available. Dorsally, the frontal is very porous in comparison to the occipital shield and the ascending process of the maxilla.

A thin band of frontal was probably exposed posterior to the ascending process of the maxilla in CCNHM 1921, but damage to the maxilla obscures the extent. The dorsal surface of the supraorbital process of the frontal bears numerous radial, anteroposteriorly directed ridges and grooves for the ascending process of the maxilla.

The frontal forms most of the ventral surface of the compound supraorbital process (Fig. 2E-F). The posterior edge is formed by the anterolateral wing of the parietal. Posterior to the frontal groove the frontal is smooth and shallowly concave. This part bears a series of faint, radially oriented (i.e. lateral to posterolaterally directed) vascular sulci that emanate from the medial end of the orbit. The frontal groove is partially preserved in CCNHM 1921, widens from 3 mm to about 9-10 mm laterally, is deeply concave in cross-section, and oriented 35-40° from the sagittal plane.

Ethmoid

Internally, the frontal articulates with the ethmoid and forms part of the ethmoid labyrinth (Fig. 2E-F). The ethmoid labyrinth is best seen on the left side of CCNHM 1922 (Fig. 2E, 4A). There are two longitudinal ridges that separate 3 fossae; from dorsal to ventral they are: a larger smooth-floored fossa for the dorsal nasal meatus, a middle and undulatory fossa with various foramina, and a smooth but small fossa. Although not preserved, it is likely that these ridges became more prominent anteriorly, thus forming more distinct ethmoturbinals and more discreet nasal meatuses. The ethmofrontal suture is evident dorsally, and fused internally within the olfactory peduncle canal. The canal is transversely narrow, anteroposteriorly long, and widens anteriorly. Anteriorly the roof of the canal bears a few foramina with associated longitudinal sulci. There are no apparent exits for these foramina on the dorsal side of the skull, and we suspect that they drained the diploe of the frontal bone, as is seen in a protocetid and the basal mysticete *Coronodon havensteini* (Godfrey et al., 2013; Geisler et al., 2017). Posteriorly the olfactory peduncle canal narrows and has a deeply incised dorsal fissure (Fig. 2e, 4b).

The ethmoid also has a prominent median portion. In anterior view it present a broken, dorsoventral partition that separates the right and left ethmoidal labyrinths. In CCNHM 1921, only a median break remains to indicate where this median projection of ethmoid connected to the rest of the skull (Fig. 2E-F); however, the dorsal part of this median projection is preserved in CCNHM 1922 (Fig. 4b). On the right side, this median portion of ethmoid bears a transverse, broken perforate shelf that forms part of the cribriform plate (Fig. 4A). Interestingly, it does not appear to completely bridge the canal, unlike the morphology seen in archaeocetes, extant mysticetes (Godfrey et al., 2013) and *Squalodon* (Godfrey, 2013). The plate bears a median, posteriorly directed conical projection (=crista galli).

The olfactory peduncle canal is anteroposteriorly longer and transversely narrower in CCNHM 1921, where it is developed as a narrow fissure (Fig. 2F, 4). Longitudinal sulci are present in the anterior half of the canal. The ethmoturbinal recess has some poorly preserved turbinates, but the labyrinth and recess are small and separated by a low ridge immediately adjacent to the dorsal meatus. The preserved part of the meatus is a dorsally situated, lozenge-shaped, smooth cavity.

Ventrally, the frontal descends to terminate at large, articular surfaces for the alisphenoid; these facets are rectangular, face anteroventrally, and exhibit anteroposteriorly directed ridges and furrows forming a mortised frontal-sphenoid suture. Posterior to this in CCNHM 1921, the frontals form the anterodorsal margin of the endocranial surface with a median fissure-like opening for the olfactory nerve canal.

Parietal

Dorsally each parietal forms a triangular exposure, and medially they are connected by an anteriorly bowed, narrow band wrapping around the apex of the occipital shield (Fig. 2A-B). A distinct crease occurs between the supraoccipital and the parietal. The frontoparietal suture in CCNHM 1921 is open and anastomosing; the suture is more highly mortised and mostly closed in CCNHM 1922 (Fig. 2A-B). The parietal at the midline is pinched between the supraoccipital and frontal, and this exposure is reduced in CCNHM 1922, which we attribute to ontogenetic development of cranial telescoping.

The lateral edge of the parietal is concave in dorsal view, forming the medial margin of the temporal fossa; the anterolateral wing of the parietal extends along the posteromedial part of the compound supraorbital process of the frontal and buttresses it ventrally. The intertemporal

region of the skull is dorsally flat with a nearly contiguous surface with the frontal (Fig. 3A-B). The intertemporal portions of the frontal and parietal are very cancellous in CCNHM 1922 (Fig. 2A). In CCNHM 1921, three small foramina are present on either side of the parietal (Fig. 2B), though less clearly developed in CCNHM 1922. The lateral side of the braincase is broadly concave and formed by the parietal, which posterodorsally forms the lateral part of the nuchal crest and overhangs the temporal fossa. The frontoparietal suture is sigmoidal and anterodorsally oriented in lateral view.

Supraoccipital

The occipital shield bears a triangular apex; the apex is somewhat more truncated in CCNHM 1921 than in CCNHM1922. A nuchal tuberosity is present and developed as a flat, diamond-shaped plateau at the apex. The occipital shield is transversely concave, and at the midline is inclined posteroventrally from the plane formed by the frontoparietal 'table' approximately 48° in CCNHM 1922 and 59° in CCNHM 1921.

Discussion

Olfactory anatomy

Several recent studies have investigated the anatomy of the ethmoid labyrinth and cribriform plate in extinct and extant cetaceans, and surprisingly found that modern mysticetes maintain a well-developed olfactory system complete with cribriform plate that differs little from archaeocete ancestors (Thewissen et al., 2011; Godfrey et al., 2013). Modern odontocetes lack such structures and as a result have probably lost their olfactory sense (Edinger, 1955; Berta et

al., 2014). These anatomical changes are mirrored by molecular changes; cetaceans have a much higher proportion of olfactory receptors that are pseudogenes than many other mammals (Kishida et al., 2007; McGowen et al., 2008), and inactivation of individual genes is much more prevalent among odontocetes than in mysticetes (Springer and Gatesy, 2017). Unlike extant odontocetes, archaic Miocene odontocetes like *Squalodon* retain a cribriform plate and labyrinth (Godfrey, 2013). Obfuscating these matters somewhat is the apparent lack of a cribriform plate in xenorophids such as *Albertocetus meffordorum* and *Inermorostrum xenops* (Boessenecker et al., 2017a, 2017b). It remains unclear whether the condition in xenorophids detected thus far reflects true anatomy or postmortem taphonomic damage.

Skulls of *Agorophius* sp. reported herein shed new light on these matters, as *Agorophius* is typically recovered on the odontocete stem in an intermediate position between the basal position of xenorophids and later diverging *Squalodon* (Godfrey et al., 2016; Boessenecker et al., 2017b). Both specimens (CCNHM 1921, 1922) preserve an ethmoid labyrinth and CCNHM 1922 preserves an incomplete cribriform plate (Fig. 2E, 4). It is unclear whether or not the cribriform plate completely or incompletely bridged the junction between the ethmoid labyrinth and olfactory canal, owing to damage. Regardless, some degree of a cribriform plate is present in *Agorophius* as well as later diverging stem odontocetes like *Squalodon* (Godfrey, 2013). Clarification regarding the condition in xenorophids is needed, as the apparent absence would imply two distinct losses of the cribriform plate, one due to lack of ossification (i.e. xenorophids) and the other due to closure of the olfactory foramina (crown Odontoceti).

Cranial variation and stratigraphic origin of *Agorophius* specimens

These newly described partial crania are incomplete but represent the first formally described specimens of *Agorophius* from the Chandler Bridge Formation. CCNHM 1921 and 1922 are identifiable as *Agorophius* but notably differ from the holotype of *Agorophius pygmaeus* and SC 2015.51.1 in exhibiting an anteroposteriorly shorter exposure of the parietal in dorsal view. In fact, in all specimens of *Agorophius* from the Chandler Bridge Formation (ChM PV4256, CCNHM 1921, CCNHM 1922), the length of the parietal at the midline is only 11.9-14.7 % of the minimum parietal breadth at the intertemporal constriction. In crania from the Ashley Formation (holotype, SC 2015.51.1), the parietal is somewhat anteroposteriorly longer and constitutes 22-46.4% of the intertemporal width (Table 1). Another feature differentiating ChM PV4256 from *Agorophius pygmaeus* is the presence of a triangular rather than parabolic or convex apex of the occipital shield (Godfrey et al., 2016: 165). A triangular apex is also present in CCNHM 1921 and 1922. Given that the holotype is from the late early Oligocene Ashley Formation (Whitmore and Sanders, 1977; Godfrey et al., 2016), these consistent differences raise the possibility that specimens from the late Oligocene Chandler Bridge Formation (including ChM PV 4256) represent a geochronologically younger and as-yet undescribed species of *Agorophius*. For the time being, CCNHM 1921, CCNHM 1922, and ChM PV4256 are considered conspecific and identified as *Agorophius* sp., pending further study.

Ontogenetic trends are apparent within Chandler Bridge *Agorophius* sp. CCNHM 1922 and ChM PV4256 both possess open median frontal sutures and clear frontoparietal sutures; both are mostly or totally closed in CCNHM 1921. CCNHM 1922 and ChM PV 4256 likely represent similar ontogenetic stages, though neither are juveniles owing to their large size (~84-87% intertemporal width of CCNHM 1921; Fig. 3D, 4). Thus, we tentatively identify these specimens as subadults and CCNHM 1921 as an adult. If correct, then ontogenetic trends within this species

include a steeper occipital shield, an anteroposteriorly longer median dorsal exposure of the frontal, an anteroposteriorly longer olfactory nerve canal, and blunted apex of an otherwise triangular occipital shield apex in CCNHM 1921. Curiously, the ratio of the anteroposterior length to transverse width of the parietal appears stable (anteroposterior length equals 6.25-8.34% of transverse width) throughout this crude growth series (CCNHM 1921, 1922, ChM PV 4256), suggesting minimal change in parietal exposure during postnatal ontogeny.

Further questions arise regarding the size of reported *Agorophius* crania. A smaller cranium from the Ashley Formation, ChM PV 5852, was tentatively considered to belong to *Agorophius* by Geisler and Sanders (2003) and identified as *Agorophius* sp. by Deméré et al. (2005). In fact, Geisler and Sanders (2016) recovered a sister-group relationship between ChM PV4256, which all studies agree can be referred to *Agorophius* (Geisler and Sanders, 2003; Godfrey et al., 2016), and ChM PV5852 in some of their phylogenetic analyses. Godfrey et al. (2016) considered this specimen as Odontoceti indet. because they recovered it as the sister taxon of *Simocetus rayi*. Although those authors did not report support values, the same relationship was also recovered by Lambert et al. (2017), and the branch support for this relationship was low. Thus we consider the generic attribution of ChM PV5852 a question that requires further investigation.

This cranium of ChM PV5852 measures 186.8 mm in bizygomatic width, slightly smaller than SC 2015.51.1 (206 mm) and the holotype of *Agorophius pygmaeus* (approximately 190 mm). ChM PV 5852, SC 2015.51.1, and the holotype cranium are all much smaller than ChM PV 4256 (248 mm). Newly referred crania CCNHM 1921 and 1922 lack squamosals but are similar to ChM PV4256 in intertemporal width. Whereas the holotype specimen exhibits a number of open and incipiently fused cranial sutures indicating immaturity, ChM PV 5852 does

not and has a greater degree of suture closure than one of the skulls reported here (CCNHM 1921), suggesting the presence of a large and small morphotype of *Agorophius* (if ChM PV5852 is a species of *Agorophius*) If correct, then SC 2015.51.1 and ChM PV 5852 represent a small morph and ChM PV 4256, CCNHM 1921, and CCNHM 1922 all represent a much larger morph. However, owing to fact that the holotype is immature and smaller than adults of each morphotype, it is unclear which, if any, of these morphotypes represents *Agorophius pygmaeus*. Formal description of ChM PV 5852 and recently discovered *Agorophius*-like crania from the Ashley Formation is required to resolve these questions.

Conclusions

New specimens of *Agorophius* include two fragmentary crania preserving the intertemporal region and 'vertex' and constitute the first formally described remains of this odontocete from the Chandler Bridge Formation. These specimens share with *Agorophius pygmaeus* an intertemporal constriction, pointed occipital shield, and a flattened frontal-occipital 'table' in lateral view. The broken nature of these specimens reveals that *Agorophius* had a cribriform plate and large ethmoturbinal recess, suggesting a well-developed olfactory sense. The larger size and proportionally shorter exposure of parietal at the midline suggests that there are in fact two species of *Agorophius*, which differ in size. A better understanding of cranial ontogeny is needed to determine how these two species relate to the holotype of the genus, which is almost certainly an immature individual.

Acknowledgements

First and foremost we thank S. Hildenbrandt for collecting the cetacean specimens reported within, and M. Brown for donating the specimens to CCNHM. This study benefited from discussions with B.L. Beatty, S.J. Boessenecker, M. Churchill, M. Gibson, and A.E. Sanders. Thanks to S. Boessenecker (CCNHM) and M. Gibson and J. Peragine (ChM) for access to specimens under their care. Thanks to Stephen J. Godfrey, an anonymous reviewer, and the editor J.G.M. Thewissen for critical comments which improved the quality of this paper.

References Cited

Berta A., Ekdale EG, Cranford TW. 2014. Review of the cetacean nose: form, function, and evolution. The Anatomical Record 297(11): 2205-2215.

Boessenecker RW, Ahmed E, Geisler JH. 2017a. New records of the dolphin *Albertocetus meffordorum* (Odontoceti: Xenorophidae) from the lower Oligocene of South Carolina: encephalization, sensory anatomy, postcranial morphology, and ontogeny of early odontocetes. PLoS ONE. 12(11):e0186476.

Boessenecker RW, Fraser D, Churchill M, Geisler JH. 2017b. A toothless dwarf dolphin (Odontoceti: Xenorophidae) points to explosive feeding diversification of modern whales (Neoceti). Proceedings of the Royal Society B. 284(1861):20170531.

Churchill M, Martinez-Caceres M, Muizon Cd, Mnieckowski J, Geisler JH. 2016. The origin of high-frequency hearing in whales. Current Biology. 26(16):2144-2149.

Cicimurri DJ, Knight JL. 2009. Late Oligocene sharks and rays from the Chandler Bridge Formation, Dorchester County, South Carolina, USA. Acta Palaeontologica Polonica. 54:627-647.

363 Deméré TA, Berta A, McGowen MR. 2005. The taxonomic and evolutionary history of modern
364 balaenopteroid mysticetes. Journal of Mammalian Evolution. 12(1/2):99-143.
365 Domning DP. 1997. Fossil Sirenia of the West Atlantic and Caribbean Region. IV. *Crenatosiren*
366 *olseni* (Reinhardt, 1976). Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology. 17(2):397-412.
367 Edinger T. 1955. Hearing and smell in cetacean history. Monatsschrift fur Psychiatrie und
368 Neurologie. 129:37-58.
369 Erickson BR, Sawyer GT. 1996. The estuarine crocodile *Gavialosuchus carolinensis* n. sp.
370 (Crocodylia: Eusuchia) from the late Oligocene of South Carolina. Monograph of the
371 Science Museum of Minnesota. 3:1-47.
372 Fierstine HL, Weems RE. 2009. Paleontology of the Oligocene Ashley and Chandler Bridge
373 Formations of South Carolina, 4: analysis and new records of billfishes (Perciformes:
374 Ziphiodei). Palaeo Ichthyologica. 11:43-88.
375 Fordyce RE. 1981. Systematics of the odontocete whale *Agorophius pygmaeus* and the family
376 Agorophiidae (Mammalia: Cetacea). Journal of Paleontology. 55(5):1028-1045.
377 Geisler JH, A.E. S. 2003. Morphological evidence for the phylogeny of Cetacea. Journal of
378 Mammalian Evolution. 10(1/2):23-129.
379 Geisler JH, Colbert MW, Carew JL. 2014. A new fossil species supports an early origin for
380 toothed whale echolocation. Nature. 508:383-386.
381 Godfrey SJ. 2013. On the olfactory apparatus in the Miocene odontocete *Squalodon* sp.
382 (Squalodontidae). Comptes Rendus Palevol. 12:519-530.
383 Godfrey SJ, Geisler JH, Fitzgerald EMG. 2013. On the olfactory anatomy in an archaic whale
384 (Protocetidae, Cetacea) and the minke whale *Balaenoptera acutorostrata*
385 (Balaenopteridae, Cetacea). The Anatomical Record. 296:257-272.

386 Godfrey SJ, Uhen MD, Osborne JE, Edwards LE. 2016. A new specimen of *Agorophius*
387 *pygmaeus* (Agorophiidae, Odontoceti, Cetacea) from the early Oligocene Ashley
388 Formation of South Carolina, USA. Journal of Paleontology. 90:154-169.
389 Katuna MP, Geisler JH, Colquhoun DJ. 1997. Stratigraphic correlation of Oligocene marginal
390 marine and fluvial deposits across the middle and lower coastal plain, South Carolina.
391 Sedimentary Geology. 108:181-194.
392 Kidwell SM. 1993. Influence of subsidence on the anatomy of marine siliciclastic sequences and
393 on the distribution of shell and bone beds. Journal of the Geological Society, London.
394 150:165-167.
395 Kishida T, Kubota S, Shirayama Y, Fukami H. 2007. The olfactory receptor gene repertoires in
396 secondary-adapted marine vertebrates: evidence for reduction of the functional
397 proportions in cetaceans. Biology Letters. 3(4): 428-430.
398 Ksepka DT. 2014. Flight performance of the largest volant bird. Proceedings of the National
399 Academy of Sciences. 111(29):10624-10629.
400 McGowen MR, Clark C, Gatesy J. 2008. The vestigial olfactory receptor subgenome of
401 odontocete whales: phylogenetic congruence between gene-tree reconciliation and
402 supermatrix methods. Systematic Biology 57(4): 574-590.
403 Mead JG, Fordyce RE. 2009. The therian skull: a lexicon with emphasis on the odontocetes.
404 Smithsonian Contributions to Zoology. 627:1-248.
405 Purdy RW, Schneider VP, Applegate SP, McLellan JH, Meyer RL, Slaughter BH. 2001. The
406 Neogene sharks, rays, and bony fishes from Lee Creek Mine, Aurora, North Carolina.
407 Smithsonian Contributions to Paleobiology. 90:71-202.

Sanders AE, Barnes LG. 2002. Paleontology of the Late Oligocene Ashley and Chandler Bridge Formations of South Carolina, 3: Eomysticetidae, a new family of primitive mysticetes (Mammalia: Cetacea). Smithsonian Contributions to Paleobiology. 93:313-356.

Sanders AE, Geisler JH. 2015. A new basal odontocete from the upper Rupelian of South Carolina, USA, with contributions to the systematics of *Xenorophus* and *Mirocetus* (Mammalia, Cetacea). Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology. 35(1): e890107.

Sanders AE, Weems RE, Lemon EMj. 1982. Chandler Bridge Formation - a new Oligocene stratigraphic unit in the lower coastal plain of South Carolina. US Geological Survey Bulletin. 1529-H:H105-H124.

Springer MS, Gatesy J. 2017. Inactivation of the olfactory marker protein (OMP) gene in river dolphins and other odontocete cetaceans. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 109: 375-387.

Thewissen JGM, George J, Rosa C, Kishida T. 2011. Olfaction and brain size in the bowhead whale (*Balaena mysticetus*). Marine Mammal Science 27(2): 282-294.

Velez-Juarbe J, Domning DP. 2014a. Fossil Sirenia of the West Atlantic and Caribbean Region. IX. *Metaxytherium albifontanum*, sp. nov. Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology. 34(2):444-464.

Velez-Juarbe J, Domning DP. 2014b. Fossil sirenia of the West Atlantic and Caribbean region. X. *Priscosiren atlantica*, gen et sp. nov. Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology. 34(4):951-964.

Weems RE, Brown KM. 2017. More-complete remains of *Procolpochelys charlestonensis* (Oligocene, South Carolina) an occurrence of *Euclastes* (upper Eocene, South Carolina),

and their bearing on Cenozoic pancheloniid sea turtle distribution and phylogeny. Journal of Paleontology. 91(6):1228-1243.

Weems RE, Bybell LM, Edwards LE, Lewis WC, Self-Trail JM, Albright LB, III,, Cicimurri DJ, Harris WB, Osborne JE, Sanders AE. 2016. Stratigraphic revision of the Cooper Group and Chandler Bridge and Edisto Formations in the coastal plain of South Carolina. South Carolina Geology. 49:1-24.

Weems RE, Knight JL. 2013. A new species of *Bairdemys* (Pelomedusoides: Podocnemididae) from the Oligocene (early Chattian) Chandler Bridge Formation of South Carolina, USA, and its paleobiogeographic implications for the genus. In: Brinkman DB, Holroyd PA, Gardner JD, editors. Morphology and Evolution of Turtles. Dordrecht: Springer; p. 289-303.

Weems RE, Lewis WC. 2002. Structural and tectonic setting of the Charleston, South Carolina region: evidence from the Tertiary stratigraphic record. Geological Society of America Bulletin. 114:24-42.

Weems RE, Sanders AE. 2014. Oligocene pancheloniid sea turtles from the vicinity of Charleston, South Carolina, U.S.A. Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology. 34(1):80-99.

Whitmore FC, Sanders AE. 1977. A review of the Oligocene Cetacea. Systematic Zoology. 25:304-320.

Figures

Figure 1. Geographic and geologic context of *Agorophius* sp. specimens in South Carolina (A). Generalized geologic map of Oligocene strata in the Charleston area with star indicating the locality that produced CCNHM 1921 and 1922 (B), map modified from Weems and Lewis (2002) and Boessenecker et al. (2017a); (C) idealized stratigraphic section of the

Chandler Bridge Formation, with silhouette indicating bed that produced the new specimens, modified from Katuna et al. (1997).

Figure 2. Skulls of *Agorophius* sp. (CCNHM 1921, 1922) from the Chandler Bridge Formation (A-E); CCNHM 1922 in dorsal view (A); CCNHM 1921 in dorsal view (B); line drawings of CCNHM 1922 and 1921 in dorsal view (C, D); CCNHM 1922 in ventral view (E); CCNHM 1921 in ventral view (F). Abbreviations: fr, frontal; m, maxilla; n, nasal; p, parietal; px, premaxilla; so, supraoccipital.

Figure 3. Skulls of *Agorophius* sp. in lateral view; CCNHM 1922 (A, left lateral), and CCNHM 1921 (B, right lateral); size comparison of *Agorophius* spp. Crania in dorsal view (C); scatterplot of parietal width and parietal length at the midline, in millimeters (D). Closed circles denote specimens from the Ashley Formation and open circles denote specimens from the Chandler Bridge Formation.

Figure 4. Skull of *Agorophius* sp. (CCNHM 1922) in anterior view (A) and a view of the olfactory peduncle canal and cribriform plate in posteroventral view (B).

Table 1(on next page)

Measurements of the intertemporal constriction of *Agorophius*.

1 Table 1. Measurements of the intertemporal constriction of *Agorophius*.

Specimen	Minimum Parietal width (mm)	Anteroposterior length of Parietal at midline (mm)	Parietal width/parietal length
MCZ 8761	49.5	23	46.46%
SC 2015.51.1	54	11.9	22.04%
ChM PV 4256	72	4.5	6.25%
ChM PV 5852	66.1	10.5	15.89%
CCNHM 1921	74.5	6.2	8.32%
CCNHM 1922	85.1	7.1	8.34%

2

Figure 1

Geographic and geologic context of *Agorophius* sp. specimens in South Carolina (A)

Generalized geologic map of Oligocene strata in the Charleston area with star indicating the locality that produced CCNHM 1921 and 1922 (B), map modified from Weems and Lewis (2002) and Boessenecker et al. (2017a); (C) idealized stratigraphic section of the Chandler Bridge Formation, with silhouette indicating bed that produced the new specimens, modified from Katuna et al. (1997).



Figure 2

Skulls of *Agorophius* sp. (CCNHM 1921, 1922) from the Chandler Bridge Formation (A-E;

CCNHM 1922 in dorsal view (A); CCNHM 1921 in dorsal view (B); line drawings of CCNHM 1922 and 1921 in dorsal view (C, D); CCNHM 1922 in ventral view (E); CCNHM 1921 in ventral view. Abbreviations: fr, frontal; m, maxilla; n, nasal; p, parietal; px, premaxilla; so, supraoccipital.


A
median frontal suture
frontal
ascending maxilla
ridges for ascending maxilla
frontoparietal suture
parietal
nuchal crest
occipital shield
C
px
n
fr
m
m
p
so
D
fr
m
p
so
B
premaxilla
dorsal infraorbital foramen
premaxillary cleft
nasal?
ascending process of maxilla
maxillofrontal suture
frontal
frontoparietal suture
maxilla-premaxilla suture
parietal
nuchal crest
occipital shield
E
dorsal nasal meatus
mesethmoid
cribriform plate
olfactory bulb cavity
articulation for alisphenoid
olfactory peduncle canal
impressions of cerebral hemispheres
F
frontal groove
articulation for alisphenoid
frontal
frontoparietal suture
parietal
olfactory peduncle canal
10 cm

Figure 3

Skulls of *Agorophius* sp. in lateral view

CCNHM 1922 (A), and CCNHM 1921 (B); size comparison of *Agorophius* spp. Crania in dorsal view (C); scatterplot of parietal width and parietal length at the midline, in millimeters (D). Closed circles denote specimens from the Ashley Formation and open circles denote specimens from the Chandler Bridge Formation.



Figure 4

Skull of *Agorophius* sp. (CCNHM 1922) in anterior view.