MECHANICAL / THERMAL SENSITIVITY AND SUPERFICIAL TEMPERATURE IN THE STUMP OF LONG-TERM TAIL-DOCKED DAIRY COWS

Renata J. Troncoso*, Daniel E. Herzberg‡, Constanza S. Meneses‡, Heine Y. Müller‡, Marianne P. Werner†, Hedie A. Bustamante*[1]

*Veterinary Clinical Sciences Department, School of Veterinary Sciences, Universidad Austral de Chile, Campus Isla Teja, Valdivia, Chile

†Animal Science Department, School of Veterinary Sciences, Universidad Austral de Chile, Campus Isla Teja, Valdivia, Chile

‡Graduate School, School of Veterinary Sciences, Universidad Austral de Chile, Campus Isla Teja, Valdivia, Chile

[1] Hedie A. Bustamante, Casilla 567 Valdivia, +56632221077, hbustamante@uach.cl

Formatted: Centered, Line spacing: Double

Abstract

Background. Tail docking of dairy cows is a painful procedure that affects animal welfare level. The aims of this study were first to evaluate the response to mechanical and thermal stimulation, and second to determine the superficial temperature of the stump of tail-docked dairy cows.

Methods. One hundred and sixty-~~-~~four dairy cows were enrolled. From these, 133 cows were assigned to the tail-docked (TD) group ~~(TD)~~ and 31 cows were selected as control animals ~~(C)~~. The following sensory assessments to evaluate pain in tail-docked cows were performed. Sensitivity of the tail region in both groups of animals was evaluated using a portable algometer. Cold and heat sensitivity assessment was performed using a frozen pack (0ºC) and warm water (45ºC), respectively. Pinprick sensitivity was evaluated using a Wartenberg neurological pinwheel.

Superficial temperature was evaluated using a thermographic camera. All sensory assessments and superficial temperature were evaluated in the ventral surface of the tail stump (TD) and tail (C).

Results. ~~Analysis revealed a significant effect of condition on the~~ P~~p~~ressure pain threshold ~~with~~ was ~~significantly~~ lower ~~values necessary to obtain a withdrawal response~~ in TD cows (5.97±0.19 kg) compared to ~~C~~ control cows (11.75±0.43 kg). ~~Chi Square test revealed that there was a significant association between~~ H~~h~~eat sensitivity ~~and~~ was higher in the ~~condition~~ TD cows compared to control cows with 29.3% of TD cows responding positively. Nonetheless, no association was ~~found~~ present between ~~condition~~ tail docking and cold sensitivity. Similarly, after pinprick sensitivity test was performed, 93~~.2~~% of TD cows elicited a positive response to stimulation. ~~This sensory testing was significantly associated with the condition. There was a significant effect of condition on superficial temperature, where~~ Tail-docked~~D~~ cows had ~~significantly~~ lower superficial temperature (26.43±0.27 ºC) ~~when~~ compared to ~~C~~ control ~~animals~~ cows (29.86±0.62 ºC).

Discussion. Pressure pain threshold values in both groups of animals were higher than~~t~~ those previously reported for ~~tail-docked~~TD pigs, sows and cows. In contrast, pinprick stimulation evaluates the presence of punctate mechanical hyperalgesia/allodynia, usually related to traumatic nerve injury, and this association may reveal that it is possible that these animals developed a disorder associated to the development of a tail stump neuroma and concurrent neuropathic pain, previously reported in ~~tail-docked~~TD lambs, pigs and dogs. Thermal sensitivity showed that ~~tail-docked~~TD cows responded positively only to heat stimulation. These findings suggest that long-term ~~tail-docked~~TD cows could be suffering hyperalgesia/allodynia, which may be indicative of chronic pain. Lower superficial temperature in the stump may be associated to sympathetic fiber

Commented [HB1]: The word significant can typically be deleted. In M&M of the full text, one needs to indicate what the level of significance is that is used. When you mention that an association is present or something is different, the reader knows that it is significant

Formatted: English (United States)

sprouting in the distal stump, which can lead to vasoconstriction and lower surface temperatures. Further studies are needed in order to confirm neuroma development and adrenergic sprouting.

Key Words: dairy cow, pain, tail-dockinged

Introduction

Tail docking of dairy cows negatively impacts animal welfare (Stull et al., 2002). It comprises the removal of a part of the tail and is usually performed by applying a rubber or latex ring a few centimeters distal to the ventral aspect of the vulva (Petrie et al., 1996). Nowadays, tail docking is considered a controversial practice with few studies reporting improvements in udder and milk hygiene, cleaner cows by reducing the exposure to manure and mud and promoting personnel comfort during the milking process (Schreiner & Ruegg, 2002a; Stull et al., 2002; Aubry, 2005). In contrary, several reports have evaluated indirect measures of animal welfare and have found that docked cows have increased fly loads leading to alterations of eating patterns resulting in a decrease in milk production and increased fly avoidance behavior (Phipps, Matthews & Verkerk, 1995; Eicher et al., 2001) and restless behavior, including an increase in dorsal and lateral tail stump movements (Eicher & Dailey, 2002; Tom et al., 2002; Eicher et al., 2006). Similarly, other studies have not found differences in animal cleanliness, milk quality and somatic cell count between docked and non-docked animals (Tucker, Fraser & Weary, 2001; Schreiner & Ruegg, 2002a).

Tail docking is prohibited in countries like Denmark, Germany, Sweden, Scotland, England, Wales, and several states in the United States and Australia (Hepple & Clark, 2011; AVA, 2012; AVMA, 2013). Similarly, the American and Canadian Veterinary Medical Associations strongly oppose routine tail docking of cattle for management purposes (CVMA, 2016; AVMA, 2013). In Chile, although not currently forbidden, a marked decrease in its practice has been observed. Chilean legislation only indicates that painful procedures, such as tail docking should be performed in a manner that minimizes pain and suffering (Chile, 2013).

Several reports indicate that tail docking results in few behavioral and physiological signs of acute pain and distress in mature cows (Petrie et al., 1996; Eicher et al., 2000; Tom et al., 2002). Today, veterinarians and general public accept the notion that chronic pain is different from acute pain (Reichling & Levine, 2009); nonetheless, the uncertainty of whether acute pain can lead to the development of chronic pain still exists (Voscopoulos & Lema, 2010). According to Flecknell (2008), the inconsistence of pain relief in cattle is the inadequate ability to assess pain. Chronic pain assessment has not been investigated thoroughly in cattle, but castration and tail docking may be associated to the development of chronic pain (Molony & Kent, 1997; Eicher et al., 2006). According to Kroll et al. (2014) there is an increased risk for potential chronic pain development at the amputation site, which has not been evaluated thoroughly in cows from commercial dairy farms. Quantitative sensory testing (QST) is usually performed in order to diagnose chronic pain conditions (Cruz-Almeida et al., 2014). It includes different methods that allow a characterization of somatosensory function or dysfunction. The most common ~~modalities~~ methods include thermal (heat, cold) and mechanical (tactile, pressure) stimulation in order to elicit a painful or nonpainful response (Fillingim et al., 2016). In addition, skin temperature evaluation can help determine tissue metabolism and blood circulation; therefore, changes could reflect circulatory or inflammatory conditions associated to chronic pain (Sathiyabarathi et al., 2016).

The ~~aims~~ objectives of this study were first to evaluate the response to mechanical and thermal stimulation, and second to determine the superficial temperature of the stump of tail-docked (TD) dairy cows.

Materials & Methods

Animals and housing

This study was conducted between November and December 2015 on a commercial farm located in Los Rios Region, southern Chile. The study was approved by the Ethics and Bioethics Committee of Animal Research of the Universidad Austral de Chile (MV.21.2015). A total of 164 Holstein dairy cows with a mean age of 6.2~~1~~±1.9~~1~~ years (parity range: 3-4), mean body weight of 423±26 kg, mean milk yield of 27.3±5.4 L day^{-1} were enrolled. Only cows without clinical signs of systemic disease during the last 15 days were selected. All evaluated cows were housed individually in a tie-stall, fed a total mixed ration (TMR) and milked three times a day during the entire period of study. From these, 133 cows were assigned to the ~~tail-docked~~ TD group ~~(TD)~~ and 31 cows were selected as control (C) animals ~~(C)~~ and identified using the ear tag farm number. Individual register showed that cows in the TD group were tail-docked at a mean age of 11.9 month ~~of age~~ (range = 11.7-12.4) using a rubber band at a distance of approximately 10 cm below the vulva by the farm veterinarian.

Study design

A clinical quantitative sensory assessment protocol was developed in order to evaluate the presence of pain in ~~tail-docked~~TD cows. Prior to sensory testing, cows were habituated to the presence of the evaluator and experimental testing was performed during three consecutive days.

Commented [HB2]: Don't use too many decimals. With the number of animals included, more tan one decimal suggests a higher precisión than the study has

Formatted: English (United States)

Commented [HB3]: So, cows with clinical mastitis or lameness were included?

Commented [HB4]: I have moved these abbreviations because that is how you use these acronyms further in the text.
Also, I introduced the acronyms the first time they were used

Formatted: English (United States)

After the morning milking, cows were allowed to return to their tie-stall individual cubicles and were restrained using a headlock self-locking system for sensory assessment. The same evaluator (RT) performed all the sensory assessments with the assistance of another researcher in charge of identifying and recording positive reactions to the sensory stimuli (Eicher et at., 2006). In order to avoid stress in the animals, both researchers approached the animals in a calm and quiet manner.

Sensory assessments

None of the animals received analgesic treatment prior to the sensory evaluation. The following tests were performed.~~:~~

Pressure pain sensitivity: Sensitivity of the tail region in both groups of animals was evaluated using a portable algometer (Wagner FDX 25 Compact Digital Force Gauge, Wagner Instruments, Riverside, CT, USA) with a 1 cm^2 rubber probe. For each evaluation, the probe was constantly applied in the same topographical location and placed perpendicular to the skin. The amount of pressure applied during each evaluation was constantly increased at 500 g~~rams~~ of force *per second* in the ventral surface of the tail stump (TD), and ventral surface of the tail (C), respectively, until a positive response was obtained. Each area was assessed five times at 60-second intervals. Lateral and ventral movement and/or withdrawal of the tail were considered positive responses, in which the pressure elicited by the algometer was immediately discontinued and pressure registered. The mean of 5 measurements per site was considered as a single value per tested cow.

Thermal sensitivity: Cold and heat sensitivity assessment was performed using a frozen pack (0ºC) and warm water (45ºC), respectively. Both stimuli were applied for 5 seconds in the ventral surface of the tail stump (TD) and ventral surface of the tail (C), respectively, or until a positive response was obtained. Lateral and ventral movement and/or withdrawal of the tail were considered positive responses.

Formatted: Not Superscript/ Subscript

Pinprick sensitivity: The pinprick sensitivity was evaluated using a Wartenberg neurological pinwheel applied in the ventral surface of the tail stump (TD) and ventral surface of the tail (C), respectively. Lateral and ventral movement and/or withdrawal of the tail were considered positive responses.

Superficial temperature

Superficial temperature was evaluated using a thermographic camera (FLIR® i5, Wilsonville OR, USA) calibrated with an emissivity (ε) of 0.95 according to the manufacturer. Images from the ventral surface of the tail stump (TD) and ventral surface of the tail (C) were obtained at a distance of 10 cm. All ~~the~~ images were obtained before sensory stimuli were applied. Thermogram analysis was performed using the FLIR® Tools 5.4 software, and atmospheric temperature and relative humidity were included in the analysis. To come to a single representative value, the mean temperature obtained from 5 longitudinal lines along the ventral surface of the tail, was considered.

Commented [HB5]: Please include website or a reference

Statistical analysis

For each continuous variable, probability plots were generated to verify that data followed a normal distribution. Pressure pain threshold and superficial temperature were analyzed using analysis of covariance. ~~-~~The adjusted linear model included condition (TD versus C) as fixed effect and age as covariate. Body weight,~~;~~ ~~number of~~ parity and milk yield ~~did not have a significant effect on~~were not associated with ~~-~~pressure pain threshold and superficial temperature, and thus were removed from the model ~~from the model~~ using a backward selection process. For pinprick and thermal sensitivity, Pearson's Chi-~~-s~~Square with Yates' continuity correction tests were conducted to analyze for possible association between condition and sensitive stimulation. For all statistical procedures, the overall alpha was set to 0.05. The statistical analysis was performed using R Statistical Software (R Core Team, Vienna, Austria).

Results

Lower p~~Analysis revealed a significant effect of condition (TD versus C) on the p~~ressure pain threshold values were ~~(P<0.001, η_p2=0.46) with significantly lower values~~ necessary to obtain a withdrawal response in TD cows (5.97±0.19 kg) compared to C cows (11.75±0.43 kg) (P<0.001, η_p2=0.46) (Fig. 1). Condition (TD versus C) ~~Chi Square test revealed that there~~ was ~~a significant~~ associated~~ion~~ ~~between~~ with heat sensitivity ~~and condition~~ (χ^2 =10.36, df=1, P=0.001~~3~~) with 29.3% of TD cows responding positively (Table 1). ~~Nonetheless~~In contrast, no association was ~~found~~ present between condition and cold sensitivity (χ^2 =3.46, df=1, P=0.06~~2~~) (Table 1). Similarly, after pinprick sensitivity test was performed, 93.2% of TD cows elicited a positive response to stimulation. This sensory testing was ~~significantly~~ associated with the condition (χ^2 =7.87, df=1, P=0.005). ~~There was a significant effect of condition on superficial temperature (P<0.001000283, η_p2=0.13), where~~ TD cows had ~~significantly (P<0.001)~~ lower superficial temperature (26.43±0.27 ºC) ~~when~~ compared to C ~~animals~~ cows (29.86±0.62 ºC) (P<0.001, η_p2=0.13) (Fig. 2).

Discussion

Painful procedures are performed in the dairy industry and they are often associated with the development of fear, distress and chronic pain of animals (Grandin, 2015). Tail docking is a painful procedure that induces both acute and chronic pain, and leads to behavioral modifications and discomfort (Tucker, Fraser & Weary 2001). Different studies have confirmed the presence of acute

Commented [HB6]: What is this? Does it need to be included?

Commented [HB7]: This is a tendency and should be reported as such. Change this into something like "Tail-docked cows tended to …"

Commented [HB8]: Same here

pain and augmented animal activity, characterized by a marked increase in foot stomp behavior~~s~~ following tail docking (Eicher & Dailey 2002; Schreiner & Ruegg 2002b; Tom et al., 2002).

Tail-docked cows ~~showed significantly~~had lower pressure pain threshold compared to controls. Th~~ei~~se results are similar to those reported in pigs, in which mechanical sensitization of the tail stump lasted for up to 16 weeks (Di Giminiani et al., 2017). Pressure pain threshold values in both groups of animals were higher tha~~n~~t those previously reported for ~~tail-docked~~TD pigs (Di Giminiani et al., 2016), sows (Nalon et al., 2016) and cows stimulated using an algometer with a metal probe in the third metatarsal bone (Raundal et al., 2014). The higher overall pressure values described in this study could be related to the use of a rubber probe. According to Di Giminiani et al.~~,~~ (2016), the use of different probes could be associated to an increased degree of response variability. Similarly, Taylor and Dixon (2012) mention that an increase in probe diameter results in higher variability. Other factors that may influence the higher values of pressure threshold presented here may include skin thickness (Di Giminiani et al., 2016), individual variation (Nalon et al., 2016), and stress-induced hypoalgesia (Herskin, Munksgaard & Ladewig, 2004).

The ~~significant~~ association between condition and heat sensitivity is similar to that reported by Eicher et al.~~,~~ (2006), in which ~~tail-docked~~TD cows manifested less~~er~~ ~~number of~~ foot stomps, foot shifts and tail swings. Nonetheless, in this study we did not find an ~~significant~~ association ~~(P = 0.0629)~~ between condition and cold sensitivity. Moreover, here we report a significant association between condition and pinprick sensitivity. Impaired sensitivity to pinprick has been previously reported in amputated human patients (Kosasih & Silver-Thorn, 1998). Pinprick stimulation evaluates the presence of punctate mechanical hyperalgesia/allodynia, usually related to traumatic nerve injury (Jensen & Finnerup, 2014). This association suggests that animals may have developed tail stump neuroma as reported previously in other species. Petrie et al., (1996) indicate

Commented [HB9]: A P-value of 0.06 is a tendency a should be reported as such. Please change that in the Results section
Also, in the Discussion no new results should be presented.

that tail docking would induce tissue damage that leads to neuromata development and concurrent neuropathic pain. Moreover, neuroma development has been previously reported in tail-docked lambs (French & Morgan, 1992; Fisher & Gregory, 2007), pigs (Herskin, Thodberg & Jensen, 2015; Kells et al., 2017) and dogs (Gross & Carr, 1990). Peripheral neuromas occur in 10-25% of human amputees, and are generally formed after injury or surgical procedures, resulting in neuropathic pain, residual limb pain, functional impairment and psychological distress (Rajput, Reddy & Shankar, 2012), increasing sensitivity to mechanical and thermal stimulation (Toia et al., 2015; O´Reilly et al., 2016; Yao et al., 2017). Histopathological analysis confirmed the presence of neuroma in the tail stump of docked pigs one month after tail docking, characterized by marked nerve sheath and axonal proliferation (Sandercock et al., 2016). Moreover, another study in pigs identified age at time of the procedure as a factor that may influence the development of neuropathic pain (Di Giminiani et al., 2017). Nonetheless, cows in the present study were, on average tail docked 48 months before sensory evaluation. According to this, we believe that pain experienced by docked cows is similar to human phantom limb pain (Nikolajsen, 2012). In this condition, the amputation and trauma that nerves and surrounding tissue suffer, disrupts normal afferent and efferent signals, leads to neuroma development and neurons become hyper-excitable (Hanyu-Deutmeyer and Dulebohn, 2018). Phantom limb pain has been vastly studied in humans (Schley et al., 2008; Andoh et al., 2017; Yin et al., 2017). In cases of phantom limb pain, characteristic chronic neuropathic pain occurs in the amputation stump; and although this pain may decrease or eventually disappear over time, if it continues for more than 6 months, the prognosis for pain decrease is poor (Kuffler, 2017).

Surface temperature was significantly lower in the TD group compared to controls. Similar results were reported by Eicher et al.~~,~~ (2006), where the stump of docked heifers ~~were~~ was approximately

2ºC ~~less~~ colder than the underside of the tails of intact heifers. Similar results have been described in amputated humans, in which the stump of amputated limbs ~~reflects~~ had lower superficial temperatures than the contralateral side using a temperature probe (Hunter, Kats & Davis, 2005) and thermographic analysis (Harden et al., 2008). This decrease in temperature may be associated ~~to~~ with sympathetic fiber sprouting in the distal stump, which can lead to vasoconstriction and lower surface temperatures (Harden et al., 2004). Similarly, Nascimento et al.~~,~~ (2015), after traumatic nerve injury confirms the presence of sympathetic sprouting in the skin that contributes to pain.

In this study, we showed evidence that may confirm the development of chronic pain states (hyperalgesia/allodynia) in long term ~~tail-docked~~TD dairy cows. Some limitations of the study include the ~~despair~~ unequal number of animals in ~~each~~ the two experimental groups, selection of ~~tail-docked~~TD cows ~~and other factors such as breed, method, and intensive verses pasture system, climate, and nutrition that may influence painful condition in cows~~and ~~under commercial conditions~~weather conditions that may affect thermography. Future research in ~~tail-docked~~TD cows must include the evaluation of other indicators of welfare such as milk production, motor activity and plasma biomarkers of pain and stress. Moreover, ~~As is other species, it~~in order to confirm neuropathic pain, neuroma formation in cows must be demonstrated using a thorough histopathological examination~~would be interesting to evaluate and confirm the presence of neuromas in cows, especially considering results from our study were they showed sensitivity~~.

Nonetheless, our results confirm that tail docking of dairy cows is a practice that affects animal welfare (Stull et al., 2002).

Conclusions

Commented [HB10]: Be specific. What was a limitation in the selection of TD cows?

Commented [HB11]: Milk production is not a welfare indicator

~~Results of the present study indicate that~~ T~~t~~ail-docked cows had an increased response to mechanical stimulation characterized by lower pain pressure thresholds and a positive association to pinprick sensitivity. Thermal sensitivity showed that ~~tail-docked~~TD cows responded positively only to heat stimulation. These findings suggest that in the long-term ~~tail-docked~~TD cows could ~~be~~ suffer from~~ing~~ hyperalgesia/allodynia, which may be indicative of chronic pain. Lower superficial temperature in the stump~~,~~ could be associated ~~to~~ with adrenergic tissue sprouting inducing peripheral vasoconstriction. Further studies are needed in order to confirm neuroma development and adrenergic sprouting.

Commented [HB12]: Again, there was a tendency for difference to cold stimulation

References

Andoh J, Milde C, Tsao JW, Flor H. 2017. Cortical plasticity as a basis of phantom limb pain: Fact or fiction? *Neuroscience* DOI: 10.1016/j.neuroscience. 2017.11.015.

Aubry P. 2005. Routine surgical procedures in dairy cattle under field conditions: abomasal surgery, dehorning, and tail docking. *Veterinary Clinics of North America, Food Animal Practice~~s~~* 21:55-72.

AVA. 2012. Tail docking of cattle. Australian Veterinary Association. *Available at* *http://www.ava.com.au/policy/82-tail-docking-cattle* (accessed 23 May 2018).

AVMA. 2013. Tail docking of cattle. American Veterinary Medical Association. *Available at* *https://www.avma.org/KB/Policies/Pages/Tail-Docking-of-Cattle.aspx* (accessed 22 May 2018).

Barnett JL, Coleman GJ, Hemsworth PH, Newman EA, Fewings-Hall S, Ziini C. 1999. Tail docking and beliefs about the practice in the Victorian dairy industry. *Australian Veterinary Journal* 77:742-747.

Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed

Chile. 2013. Ley Nº 20.380 Decreto 29 Sobre protección de los animals durante su producción industrial, su comercialización y en otros recintos de mantención de animales. Biblioteca del Congreso Nacional de Chile.

Cruz-Almedia Y, Fillingim RB. 2014. Can quantitative sensory testing move us closer to mechanism-based pain management? In: Pain medicine. Vol. 15th. Malden, MA, USA. p. 61-72.

CVMA. 2016. Tail docking of dairy cattle. Position Statement. Canadian Veterinary Medical Association. *Available at* *https://www.canadianveterinarians.net/documents/tail-docking-of-dairy-cattle* (accessed 20 May 2018).

Di Giminiani P, Sandercock DA, Malcolm EM, Leach MC, Herskin MS, Edwards SA. 2016. Application of a handheld pressure application measurement device for the characterization of mechanical nociceptive threshold in intact pig tails. *Physiology & Behavior* 165:119-126.

Di Giminiani P, Nasirahmadi A, Malcolm EM, Leach MC, Edwards SA. 2017. Docking piglet tails: how much does it hurt and for how long? *Physiology Behavior* 182:69-76.

Eicher SD, Morrow-Tesch JL, Albright JL, Dailey JW, Young CR, Stanker LH. 2000. Tail-docking influences on behavioral, immunological, and endocrine responses in dairy heifers. *Journal of Dairy Science* 83:1456-1462.

Eicher SD, Morrow-Tesch JL, Albright JL, Williams RE. 2001. Tail-docking alters fly numbers, fly-avoidance behaviors, and cleanliness, but not physiological measures. *Journal of Dairy Science* 84:1822-1828.

Eicher SD, Dailey JW. 2002. Indicators of acute pain and fly avoidance behaviors in Holstein calves following tail-docking. *Journal of Dairy Science* 85:2850-2858.

Eicher SD, Cheng HW, Sorrells AD, Schutz MM. 2006. Behavioral and physiological indicators of sensitivity or chronic pain following tail docking. *Journal of Dairy Science* 89:3047-3051.

Field Code Changed

Elliott REW. 1969. The effect of tail amputation on the milk yield of cows. Letter to the Editor. *New Zealand Veterinary Journal* 17: 89.

Fillingim RB, Loeser JD, Baron R, Edwards RR. 2016. Assessment of chronic pain: domain, methods and mechanisms. Journal of Pain 17(9): t10-t20.

Fischer MW, Gregory NG. 2007. Reconciling the difference between the length at which lambs´ tail are commonly docked and animal welfare recommendations. *Proceedings of the New Zealand Society of Animal Production* 67: 32-38.

Fitzgerald M, McKelvey R. 2016. Nerve injury and neuropathic pain – A question of age. *Experimental Neurology* 275: 296-302.

Flecknell P. 2008. Analgesia from a veterinary perspective. *British Journal of Anaesthesia* 101: 121-124.

French NP, Morgan KL. 1992. Neuromata in docked lambs´ tails. *Research in Veterinary Science* 52: 389-390.

Fulwider WK, Grandin T, Rollin BE, Engle TE, Dalsted NL, Lamm WD. 2008. Survey of dairy management practices on one hundred thirteen North Central and Northeastern United States dairies. *Journal of Dairy Science* 91: 1686-1692.

Grandin T. 2015. The importance of measurement to improve the welfare of livestock, poultry, and fish. In: Grandin T, ed. *Improving Animal Welfare* 2nd Ed., Oxfordshire, UK: CAB International, p. 15-34.

Gross TL, Carr SH. 1990. Amputation neuroma of docked tails in dogs. *Veterinary Pathology* 27: 61-62.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Guatteo R, Levionnois O, Fournier D, Guémené D, Latouche K, Leterrier C, Mormède P, Prunier A, Servière J, Terlouw C, Le Neindre P. 2012. Minimizing pain in farm animals: the 3S approach - ¨Suppress, Substitute, Soothe¨. *Animal* 6:1261-1274.

Hanyu-Deutmeyer AA, Dulebohn SC. 2018. Pain, Phantom Limb. In: StatPearls (Internet). Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2018 Jan-. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK448188/

Harden RN, Rudin N, Bruehl S, Parikh D, Kooch J, Gracely R. 2004. Elevated systemic catecholamines in complex regional pain syndrome and relationship to psychological factors: a pilot study. *Anesthesia and Analgesia* 99:1478-1485.

Harden RN, Gagnon CM, Gallizzi M, Khan AS, Newman D. 2008. Residual limbs of amputees are significantly cooler than contralateral intact limbs. *Pain Practice* 8:342-347.

Hepple S, Clark J. 2011. Tail docking of dairy cattle in the UK. Letters. *Veterinary Record* 168:518. DOI: 10.1136/vr.d2960.

Herskin MS, Munksgaard L, Ladewig J. 2004. Effects of acute stressors on nociception, adrenocortical responses and behavior of dairy cows. *Physiology and Behavior* 83:411-420.

Herskin MS, Thodberg K, Jensen HE. 2015. Effects of tail docking and docking length on neuroanatomical changes in healed tail tips of pigs. *Animal* 9:677-681.

Hunter JP, Katz J, Davis KD. 2005. Dissociation of phantom limb phenomena from stump tactile spatial acuity and sensory threshold. *Brain* 128:308-320.

Jensen TS, Finnerup NB. 2014. Allodynia and hyperalgesia in neuropathic pain: clinical manifestation and mechanisms. *The Lancet. Neurology* 13:924-935.

Kells NJ, Beausoleil NJ, Johnson CB, Sutherland MA, Morrison RS, Roe W. 2017. Comparison of neural histomorphology in tail tips from pigs docked using clippers or cautery iron. *Animal* 11: 1222-1227.

Kosasih JB, Silver-Thorn MB. 1998. Sensory changes in adults with unilateral transtibial amputation. *Journal of Rehabilitation Research and Development* 35: 85-90.

Kroll LK, Grooms DL, Siegford JM, Schweihofer JP, Daigle CL, Metz K, Ladoni M. 2014. Effects of tail docking in behavior of confined feedlot cattle. *Journal of Animal Science* 92: 4701-4710.

Kuffler DP. 2017. Origins of phantom limb pain. *Molecular Neurobiology* 55: 60-69.

Molony V, Kent JE. 1997. Assessment of acute pain in farm animals using behavioral and physiological measurements. *Journal of Animal Science* 75: 266-272.

Nalon E, Maes D, Piepers S, Taylor P, van Riet MJM, Janssens GPJ, Millet S, Tuyttens FAM. 2016. Factors affecting mechanical nociceptive thresholds in healthy sows. *Veterinary Anaesthesia and Analgesia* 43: 343-355.

Nascimento FP, Magnussen C, Yousefpour N, Ribeiro-da-Silva A. 2015. Sympathetic fibre sprouting in the skin contributes to pain-related behaviour in spared nerve injury and cuff models of neuropathic pain. *Molecular Pain* 11:59. DOI: 10.1186/s12990-015-0062-x.

Nikolajsen L. 2012. Postamputation pain: studies on mechanisms. *Danish Medical Journal* 59: B4527.

O´Reilly MAR, O´Reilly PMR, Sheahan JN, Sullivan J, O´Reilly HM, O´Reilly MJ. 2016. Neuromas as the cause of pain in the residual limbs of amputees. An ultrasound study. *Clinical Radiology* 71: 1068.e1-1068.e6.

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Petrie NJ, Mellor DJ, Stafford KJ, Bruce RA, Ward RN. 1996. Cortisol response of calves to two methods of tail docking used with or without local anaesthetic. *New Zealand Veterinary Journal* 44: 4-8.

Phipps AM, Matthews LR, Verkerk GA. 1995. Tail docking dairy cattle: fly induced behaviour and adrenal responsiveness to ACTH. *Proceedings of the New Zealand Society of Animal Production* 55: 61-63.

Rajput K, Reddy S, Shankar H. 2012. Painful neuromas. *The Clinical Journal of Pain* 28: 639-645.

Raundal PM, Andersen PH, Toft N, Forkman B, Munksgaard L, Herskin MS. 2014. Handheld mechanical nociceptive threshold testing in dairy cows-intra-individual variation, inter-observer agreement and variation over time. *Veterinary Anaesthesia and Analgesia* 41: 660-669.

Reichling DB, Levine JD. 2009. Critical role of nociceptor plasticity in chronic pain. *Trends in Neuroscience* 32:611-618.

Sandercock DA, Smith SH, Di Giminiani P, Edwards SA. 2016. Histopathological characterization of tail injury and traumatic neuroma development after tail docking in piglets. *Journal of Comparative Pathology* 155: 40-49.

Sathiyabarathi M, Jeyakumar S, Manimaran A, Pushpadass HA, Sivaram M, Ramesha KP, Das DN, Kataktalware MA, Jayaprakash G, Patbandha TK. 2016. Investigation of body and udder skin surface temperature differentials as an early indicator of mastitis in Holstein Friesian crossbred cows using digital infrared thermography technique. *Veterinary World* 9: 1386-1391.

Schley MT, Wilms P, Toepfner S, Schaller HP, Schmelz M, Konrad CJ, Birbaumer N. 2008. Painful and nonpainful phantom and stump sensations in acute traumatic amputees. *The Journal of Trauma* 65: 858-864.

Schreiner DA, Ruegg PL. 2002a. Effects of tail docking on milk quality and cow cleanliness. *Journal of Dairy Science* 85:2503-2511.

Schreiner DA, Ruegg PL. 2002b. Responses to tail docking in calves and heifers. *Journal of Dairy Science* 85:3287-3296.

Stull CL, Payne MA, Berry SL, Hullinger PJ. 2002. Evaluation of the scientific justification for tail docking in dairy cattle. *Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association* 220:1298-1303.

Sutherland MA, Tucker CB. 2011. The long and short of it: A review of tail docking in farm animals. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 135:179-191.

Taylor PM, Dixon MJ. 2012. The relationship between tip diameter and mechanical nociceptive threshold. *Proceedings of the 14th World Congress on Pain, Non-human species-special interest group*. Milan, Italy, p. 3.

Toia F, Giesen T, Giovanoli P, Calcagni M. 2015. A systematic review of animal models for experimental neuroma. *Journal of Plastic, Reconstructive & Aesthetic Surgery* 68:1447-1463.

Tom EM, Duncan IJH, Widowski TM, Bateman KG, Leslie KE. 2002. Effects of tail docking using a rubber ring with or without anesthetic on behavior and production of lactating cows. *Journal of Dairy Science* 85:2257-2265.

Tucker CB, Fraser D, Weary DM. 2001. Tail docking dairy cattle: Effects on cow cleanliness and udder health. *Journal of Dairy Science* 84:84-87.

Voscopoulos C, Lema M. 2010. When does acute pain become chronic? *British Journal of Anaesthesia* 105:i69-i85.

Yao C, Zhou X, Zhao B, Sun C, Poonit K, Yan H. 2017. Treatments of traumatic neuropathic pain: a systematic review. *Oncotarget* 8:57670-57679.

Yin Y, Zhang L, Xiao H, Wen CB, Dai YE, Yang G, Zuo YX, Liu J. 2017. The pre-amputation pain and the postoperative deafferentation are the risk factors of phantom limp pain: a clinical survey in a sample of Chinese population. *BMC Anesthesiology* 17:69. DOI: 10.1186/s12871-017-0359-6.