

# Speciation among sympatric lineages in the genus *Palythoa* (Cnidaria: Anthozoa: Zoantharia) revealed by morphological comparison, phylogenetic analyses and investigation of spawning period

Masaru Mizuyama<sup>1</sup>, Giovanni D Masucci<sup>1</sup>, James D Reimer<sup>Corresp. 2, 3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Molecular Invertebrate Systematics and Ecology Laboratory, Graduate School of Marine Science, University of the Ryukyus, Nishihara, Okinawa, Japan

<sup>2</sup> Molecular Invertebrate Systematics and Ecology Laboratory, Department of Marine Sciences, Chemistry and Biology, Faculty of Science, University of the Ryukyus, Nishihara, Okinawa, Japan

<sup>3</sup> Tropical Biosphere Research Center, University of the Ryukyus, Nishihara, Okinawa, Japan

Corresponding Author: James D Reimer  
Email address: jreimer@sci.u-ryukyu.ac.jp

Zoantharians are sessile marine invertebrates and colonial organisms possessing sexual and asexual reproductive ability. The zooxanthellate zoantharian genus *Palythoa* is widely distributed in coral reef ecosystems. In the Ryukyu Archipelago, Japan, sympatric *Palythoa tuberculosa* and *P. mutuki* are the dominant species of this genus in the intertidal zone. Previous phylogenetic analyses have shown that these two species are closely related, and additionally revealed a putative sympatric hybrid species (designated as *Palythoa* sp. yoron). In this study, we attempted to delineate *Palythoa* species boundaries and to clarify the relationships among these three groups plus another additional putative sympatric species (*P. aff. mutuki*) by multiple independent criteria. The morphology of these four lineages was clearly different; for example the number of tentacles was significantly different for each species group in all pairwise comparisons. From observations of gonadal development conducted in 2010 and 2011, *P. sp. yoron* and *P. aff. mutuki* appear to be reproductively isolated from *P. tuberculosa*. In the phylogenetic tree resulting from maximum likelihood analyses of the ITS-rDNA sequence alignment, *P. tuberculosa* and *P. sp. yoron* formed a very well supported monophyletic clade (NJ=100%, ML=95%, Bayes=0.99). This study demonstrates that despite clear morphological and/or reproductive differences, *P. tuberculosa* and *P. sp. yoron* are phylogenetically entangled and closely related to each other, as are *P. mutuki* and *P. aff. mutuki*. Additionally, no single molecular marker was able to divide these four lineages into monophyletic clades by themselves, and a marker that has enough resolution to solve this molecular phylogenetic species complex is required. In summary, the morphological and reproductive results suggest these lineages are four separate species, and that incomplete genetic lineage sorting may prevent the accurate phylogenetic detection of distinct species with the DNA

markers utilized in this study, demonstrating the value of morphological and reproductive data when examining closely related lineages.

**Speciation among sympatric lineages in the genus *Palythoa* (Cnidaria: Anthozoa: Zoantharia) revealed by morphological comparison, phylogenetic analyses and investigation of spawning period**

Authors: Masaru Mizuyama<sup>a\*</sup>, Giovanni Diego Masucci<sup>a</sup>, James Davis Reimer<sup>b,c</sup>

**Affiliation**

<sup>a</sup>Molecular Invertebrate Systematics and Ecology Laboratory, Graduate School of Marine Science, University of the Ryukyus, Nishihara, Okinawa, Japan; e-mail: [mizuyama58@live.jp](mailto:mizuyama58@live.jp), [giovannimasucci@me.com](mailto:giovannimasucci@me.com)

<sup>b</sup>Molecular Invertebrate Systematics and Ecology Laboratory, Department of Marine Sciences, Chemistry, and Biology, Faculty of Science, University of the Ryukyus, Nishihara, Okinawa, Japan; e-mail: [jreimer@sci.u-ryukyu.ac.jp](mailto:jreimer@sci.u-ryukyu.ac.jp)

<sup>c</sup>Tropical Biosphere Research Center, University of the Ryukyus, Nishihara, Okinawa, Japan

\*corresponding author; e-mail: [jreimer@sci.u-ryukyu.ac.jp](mailto:jreimer@sci.u-ryukyu.ac.jp)

# Abstract

Zoantharians are sessile marine invertebrates and colonial organisms possessing sexual and asexual reproductive ability. The zooxanthellate zoantharian genus *Palythoa* is widely distributed in coral reef ecosystems. In the Ryukyu Archipelago, Japan, sympatric *Palythoa tuberculosa* and *P. mutuki* are the dominant species of this genus in the intertidal zone. Previous phylogenetic analyses have shown that these two species are closely related, and additionally revealed a putative sympatric hybrid species (designated as *Palythoa* sp. yoron). In this study, we attempted to delineate *Palythoa* species boundaries and to clarify the relationships among these three groups plus another additional putative sympatric species (*P. aff. mutuki*) by multiple independent criteria. The morphology of these four lineages was clearly different; for example the number of tentacles was significantly different for each species group in all pairwise comparisons. From observations of gonadal development conducted in 2010 and 2011, *P. sp. yoron* and *P. aff. mutuki* appear to be reproductively isolated from *P. tuberculosa*. In the phylogenetic tree resulting from maximum likelihood analyses of the ITS-rDNA sequence alignment, *P. tuberculosa* and *P. sp. yoron* formed a very well supported monophyletic clade (NJ=100%, ML=95%, Bayes=0.99). This study demonstrates that despite clear morphological and/or reproductive differences, *P. tuberculosa* and *P. sp. yoron* are phylogenetically entangled and closely related to each other, as are *P. mutuki* and *P. aff. mutuki*. Additionally, no single molecular marker was able to divide these four lineages into monophyletic clades by themselves, and a marker that has enough resolution to solve this molecular phylogenetic species complex is required. In summary, the morphological and reproductive results suggest these lineages are four separate species, and that incomplete genetic lineage sorting may prevent the accurate phylogenetic detection of distinct species with the DNA markers utilized in this study,

demonstrating the value of morphological and reproductive data when examining closely related lineages.

## Introduction

Zoantharians are sessile marine invertebrates and colonial organisms possessing sexual and asexual reproductive ability (Ryland 1997). Zoantharians belong to subclass Hexacorallia (Cnidaria, Anthozoa) and they have the significant feature of embedding small particles (sand, detritus) into their body column. Zooxanthellate zoantharian species are found worldwide in tropical and subtropical shallow water areas (Trench 1974, Reimer *et al.* 2006).

Traditionally, zoantharian classification has been based on morphological characters such as the relative degree of coenenchyme development, number of tentacles per polyp, oral disk diameter, and position and features of the sphincter muscle (Ryland and Lancaster 2003). However, sand encrustation (Reimer *et al.* 2010) and large intraspecific variation have often made histological classification difficult (Muirhead and Ryland 1985, Mueller and Haywick 1995, Reimer *et al.* 2010). Recent phylogenetic work using mitochondrial 16S ribosomal DNA and cytochrome oxidase subunit I (mtCOI), and the nuclear internal transcribed spacer region of ribosomal DNA (ITS-rDNA) as molecular markers have begun to reveal evolutionary relationships in this group (Reimer *et al.* 2004, Sinniger *et al.* 2005, Reimer *et al.* 2007b, ~~etc.~~).

The zooxanthellate zoantharian genus *Palythoa* Lamouroux, 1816 is widely distributed in coral reef ecosystems as a common group of organisms. In the Ryukyu Archipelago of southern Japan (Fig. 1), *Palythoa tuberculosa* (Esper, 1805) and *P. mutuki* Haddon & Shackleton, 1891 are the dominant species of this genus in the intertidal zone (Irei *et al.* 2011). Reimer *et al.* (2007a) showed that these two species are closely related with phylogenetic analyses based on

ITS-rDNA and mtCOI. Furthermore, they revealed a putative hybrid species (designated as *Palythoa* sp. yoron), which was presumed to have originated via interspecies hybridization between *P. tuberculosa* and *P. mutuki*, based on shared additive patterns of nucleotide polymorphisms of ITS-rDNA sequences, and indicated a potential reticulate evolutionary history in these three species groups. A subsequent investigation conducted by Shiroma and Reimer (2010) revealed that *P. sp. yoron* was sympatric in the intertidal zone with these two other species in Okinawa, but also was present in a different microenvironment than *P. tuberculosa* and *P. mutuki*. As well, *P. sp. yoron* is intermediate in morphological form between *P. tuberculosa* and *P. mutuki*. (Fig. 2, Table 1), with all three species readily distinguishable from one another (Fig. 2, also Shiroma and Reimer 2010).

In this study, we attempted to determine the delimitation of *Palythoa* species boundaries and to clarify the relationships among species groups using multiple independent criteria. We first made primary hypotheses of species delimitation based on morphology and habitat preference. We then re-examined these hypotheses via genetic data and investigated ovary development through time as a proxy to clarify the timing of spawning and the possibility of cross-hybridization among putative species.

## Materials and Methods

### Specimen collection

Specimens of *Palythoa* species were collected in the intertidal zone from several sites in the Ryukyu Archipelago, including Okinawa-jima I., Yoron-to I., Okinoerabu-jima I., and Tokunoshima I. (Fig. 1, Table 2) between March 2010 to October 2012. All specimens were stored in 99.5% ethanol for DNA analyses or 5% formalin-SW solution for morphological and anatomical analyses.

Each specimen was identified according to morphological classification methodology (Pax 1910), supplemented with a key to field identification (Reimer 2010), and ecological and morphological aspects of *P. sp. yoron* (Shiroma and Reimer 2010). Characters employed for identification of *Palythoa* species were environment (habitat), coenenchyme development, polyp structure, number of polyps per colony, and numbers of tentacles per polyp. All specimens were identified preliminarily as “Pt” (= *Palythoa tuberculosa*) (Fig. 2 A), “Pm” (= *P. mutuki*) (Fig. 2 B) and “Py” (= *P. sp. yoron*) (Fig. 2 C). During ~~specimen~~ collection, it was noticed that certain specimens had a similar external appearance with *P. mutuki* but with less well developed marginal ridges and larger polyp sizes. Such specimens were found sympatrically with other specimens, and these ~~specimens~~ were designated as *P. aff. mutuki* (“Pam”) (Fig. 2 D). In addition, spawning timing investigations for all species groups were carried out between June 2010 to December 2010, and from June 2011 to February 2012 at Kaminomine, Tokunoshima, Kagoshima (27° 46′ 09″ N, 129° 02′ 16″ E) by monthly sampling. In particular, for collecting *P. tuberculosa*, investigation was conducted in a wide area from lagoon tide pools to the outer reef in 2010. However, in 2011-2012 investigations were conducted only in tide pools due to rough sea conditions. At least five different colonies of approximately ten polyps for each species were collected in whole or partially.

### Morphological analyses

#### External anatomy

Fixed specimens were cut horizontally at the oral disk height by surgical knife and tweezers under stereomicroscope (S8APO, Leica, Tokyo) and the number of tentacles, which is one of the characters for *Palythoa* species (e.g. Ryland and Lancaster 2003), were counted (Table 3). To eliminate pseudo-replication in comparison among species, a single polyp was

chosen with the table of random number from each colony. The mean numbers of tentacles per polyp for each species pair were compared using Mann-Whitney U test with Bonferroni correction.

# Cnidae

Cnidae analyses were conducted using undischarged nematocysts from the tentacles, column, pharynx, and mesenteriel filaments of polyps (n=3/species group) under a Nikon Eclipse80i stereomicroscope (Nikon, Tokyo). Cnidae sizes were measured using ImageJ v1.45s (Rasband 2012). Cnidae classification followed England (1991) and Ryland and Lancaster (2004; see also Table 4).

# Spawning period investigation

Ovary development of all preserved colonies was observed via cross sections made by cutting polyps vertically through the mouth located at the center of oral disk under a stereomicroscope. ~~In oogenesis in anthozoans,~~ oocytes form a single-layered germinal ribbon down the mesoglea of the central third of the septa. Subsequently, the germinal ribbon develops a sequence of swollen nodes where the septum folds locally in an S and the layers fuse (Ryland 1997, Ryland 2000). In this study, when a germinal ribbon was observed in an examined polyp, we counted the polyp as “possessing developing ovaries”, and total numbers of polyps that possessed developing ovaries were counted. To evaluate the spawning period of each species, the ratio of the number of polyps possessing developing and/or developed ovaries to the total number of polyps examined was calculated and examined over time. When the calculated proportion of developed/developing ovaries dropped dramatically, we designated this as the start



of the estimated spawning period. The end of the estimated spawning period was defined as the point where the number of developed/developing ovaries reached 0%.

# Molecular analyses

## DNA extraction, PCR amplification and direct sequencing

DNA from each specimen was extracted using a DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (QIAGEN, Tokyo, Japan) according to the manufacturer's instructions. A small amount of tissue from each specimen was removed by a surgical knife sterilized by open flame. Extracted DNA was subsequently stored at -20°C, and then we amplified target sequences by polymerase chain reaction (PCR).

Three molecular markers that have previously been used for differentiation of *Palythoa* were chosen; 1) the mitochondrial 16S of ribosomal DNA (mt 16S-rDNA), 2) the mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (mtCOI), and 3) the internal transcribed spacer region of nuclear ribosomal DNA (ITS-rDNA) (Reimer *et al.* 2004, Sinniger *et al.* 2005, Reimer *et al.* 2007a, etc.). Furthermore, a nuclear house-keeping gene, 4) asparagine-linked glycosylation 11 protein (ALG11) region, was also examined for the first time in zoantharians. This marker has been found to be more informative than mtCOI in examining sponge relationships and succeeded in solving previously debated nodes, and has also been considered to be utilizable for resolving cnidarian relationships (Belinky *et al.* 2012).

Thermal cycler programs were set to the following conditions: (1) mt 16S-rDNA; an initial denaturing step at 94°C for 2 minutes, followed by 40 cycles of 30 seconds' 94°C, 1 minute annealing at 52°C and 2 minutes' extension at 72°C, followed by 5 minute final elongation at 72°C with Zoantharia-specific primer set 16Sant1a (5'-GCC ATG AGT ATA GAC GCA CA-3') and 16SbmoH (5'-CGA ACA GCC AAC CCT TGG-3') (Sinniger *et al.*,

2005); (2) mtCOI; 1 min at 95°C, then 35 cycles: 1 min at 95°C, 1 min at 40°C and 90 sec at 72°C, followed by 7 min at 72°C with the universal primers HCO2198 (5'-TAA ACT TCA GGG TGA CCA AAA AAT CA-3') and LCO1490 (5'-TAA ACT TCA GGG TGA CCA AAA AAT CA-3') (Folmer *et al.* 1994); and (3) ITS-rDNA; 1 min at 95°C, then 35 cycles of 1 min at 94°C, 1 min at 50°C, and 2 min at 72°C, followed by 10 min at 72°C with Zoantharia-specific primers Zoan-f (5'-CTT GAT CAT TTA GAG GGA GT-3') and Zoan-r (5'-CGG AGA TTT CAA ATT TGA GCT-3') (Reimer *et al.* 2007a).

Amplification for the remaining coding region (ALG11) was performed by touch-down PCR and nested PCR because of low numbers of copies in the whole genome as **these are single-copy genes**. For ALG11, although we basically followed the original protocols (Sperling *et al.* 2007, Belinky *et al.* 2012), some modifications were required to fit the thermal cycler we used, and the conditions were as follows: (4) ALG11 first touchdown, 2 min at 95°C, then 13 cycles of 1 min at 95 °C, 1 min at 52-40°C (dropping one degree for each cycle), 1.5 min at 72°C; followed by 20 cycles of 1 min at 95°C, 1 min at 52°C, 1.5 min at 72°C; lastly 5 min at 72°C with primers ALG11-D1 (5'-TTY CAY CCN TAY TGY AAY GCN GGN GG-3') and ALG11-R1 (5'-ATN CCR AAR TGY TCR TTC CAC AT-3'), and (5) MAT-f (5'-GGN GAR GGN CAY CCN GAY AA-3'). In the second touchdown procedure an amplicon of the first touchdown was utilized as the template, followed by 2 min at 95°C, then 35 cycles of 1 min at 95 °C, 1 min at 52°C, and 1.5 min at 72°C. In the end, nested PCR was performed with 2 min at 95°C, and then 35 cycles of 1 min at 95 °C, 1 min at 52°C, and 1.5 min at 72°C with primers ALG11-D2 (5'-TGY AAY GCN GGN GGN GGN GGN GA-3') and ALG11-R2 (5'-CCR AAR TGY TCR TTC CAC ATN GTR TG-3'.



Amplicons were outsourced for sequencing to a private sequencing company (Fasmac Co., Ltd., Kanagawa, Japan) on an Applied Biosystems 3730xl DNA sequencer, using BigDye Terminator V3.1 and the same primer sets as for PCR as described above. Sequence data were edited using BioEdit v.7.2.0 (Hall, 1999).

# Sequence alignment

The total number of novel sequences obtained from specimen this study were (1) mt 16S-rDNA; 38; (2) mtCOI; 20; (3) ITS-rDNA; 33 and (4) ALG11; 65, respectively. Obtained sequences were aligned by BioEdit v7.2.0 (Hall 1999) with other sequences deposited in GenBank (Table 5).

As numerous indels (inserts and deletions) were confirmed in ITS-rDNA sequences, alignment was performed using ClustalW (Thompson *et al.* 1994) with gap penalties of 10 for open and 1 for extended, followed by manual fixing for obviously misaligned areas such as gap position. Sequences of the 5.8S rDNA region located between internal transcribed spacer 1 (ITS1) and internal transcribed spacer 2 (ITS2) were removed from analyses because the substitution rate is apparently lower than ITS1 and ITS2, and an admixture of different substitution rates could lead to a misleading choice of the appropriate substitution model. Additionally, in order to not overestimate for genetic distance in following phylogenetic analyses, sites were removed if they had a percentage of gaps and/or ambiguous sites higher than 95% (partial-deletion option).

Fifty-six out of sixty-five specimens had one or more degenerate codes in sequences of the ALG11 region. All degenerate codes were divided into two standard bases using PHASE v2.1.1, which implements a Bayesian statistical method for reconstructing haplotypes from population genotype data (Stephens *et al.* 2001, Stephens and Scheet 2005). Furthermore, first

and second codon positions were removed from the dataset by checking amino acid sequences after translation.

Thus, each dataset was modified as needed, with additional previously reported sequences added from GenBank, and we generated four alignments; (1) mtCOI; 451 bp of 47 sequences; (2) mt 16S-rDNA; 697 bp of 54 sequences; (3) ITS-rDNA; 317 bp of 60 sequences and (4) ALG11; 578 bp of 121 sequences. These were used for subsequent phylogenetic analyses.

### Substitution model selection

Substitution models for each gene were estimated by jModelTest v2.1.3 (Posada *et al.* 2012) through the following steps. Initially, likelihood calculations were carried out for all substitution models with configurations of 7 substitution schemes, equal or unequal base frequencies (+F), rate variation among sites with a number of rate categories (+G, nCat 5) and base tree topology (ML optimized). Subsequently, the most appropriate model for each marker was selected under (i) the corrected Akaike information criterion (AICc) for Maximum-Likelihood and neighbor-joining phylogenetic estimation, or (ii) Bayesian information criterion (BIC) for Bayes estimation. Thus, the (i)TrN/(ii)TrNef for mt 16S-rDNA, (i)F81/(ii)JC for mtCOI, (i,ii)K80+ $\Gamma$  for ITS-rDNA, and (i)K80+ $\Gamma$ /(ii)TPM1uf+ $\Gamma$  models for ALG11 were employed, respectively.

### Gene tree estimations

For four distinct datasets (mt 16S-rDNA, mtCOI, ITS-rDNA, ALG11), phylogenetic analyses were applied independently with the optimal substitution model under AICc estimated by jModelTest. Maximum-Likelihood (ML) analyses were performed using PhyML (Guindon and Gascuel 2003) and neighbor-joining (NJ) methods were performed using MEGA5.2.2

(Tamura *et al.* 2011). All other parameters besides substitution model and the discrete gamma distribution were implemented with the default value. Bootstrap analyses (Felsenstein 1985) of 1000 replicates were tested to evaluate the support of every branch.

Bayesian inference for gene trees was performed using BEAST v.1.8.0 (Heled and Drummond 2010) with the optimal substitution model under BIC. All parameters were used as default values except for the molecular clock, in which the rate was changed to the log-normal relaxed model, while only the substitution model for ALG11 was modified to TPM1uf after generating the initial setting file. Four Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) simulations were run for 10 million generations with sampling intervals of 1,000. Convergence of analyses and adequacy of the sample sizes, with ESS values above 200 (ESS = the number of effectively independent draws from the posterior distribution that the Markov chain is equivalent to) were confirmed in Tracer v.1.5. (Rambaut *et al.* 2013). Analyses were combined using LogCombiner v.1.8.0, which is included within BEAST, after excluding the first 10% as burn-in. Obtained trees were summarized in a maximum clade credibility tree using TreeAnnotator v.1.8.0 and visualized in FigTree v.1.4.0.

# Species tree estimations

\*BEAST estimates the species tree directly from the sequence data, nucleotide substitution model parameters and the coalescent process (Heled and Drummond 2010). The species trees were built by grouping all 235 sequences by putative species groups and simultaneously estimating each of three individual gene trees (mt 16S-rDNA, ITS-rDNA and ALG11), and the summary species trees using BEAST were drawn for two different species model; (1) a six species model including *P. tuberculosa*, *P. sp. yoron*, *P. mutuki*, *P. aff. mutuki*, *P. sp. sakurajimensis* sensu Reimer *et al.* (2007) and *P. heliodiscus*, and (2) a four species model

combining *P. sp. yoron* with *P. tuberculosa*, and *P. aff. mutuki* with *P. mutuki*, along with *P. sp. sakurajimensis* and *P. heliodiscus*.

All parameters were used as default except for; (1) the molecular clock rate, which was changed to the log-normal relaxed model (Drummond *et al.* 2006), (2) the substitution rate for mt 16S-rDNA, for which the range was calibrated to between 0.001-0.002/Mya based on the reported substitution rate for mtCOI (Shearer *et al.* 2002), and (3) the substitution model for ALG11 was modified to TPM1uf after generating the setting file. MCMC analyses were run for 100 million generations with sampling intervals of 10,000 and excluding the first 10% as burn-in. All the parameters in the output file were confirmed in Tracer v1.5. Obtained trees were summarized in a maximum clade credibility tree using TreeAnnotator v.1.8.0.

## Results

### Morphological analyses

The numbers of tentacles were measured for single randomly selected polyps from eleven colonies of *P. tuberculosa*, eight colonies of *P. sp. yoron*, seven colonies of *P. mutuki*, and eight colonies of *P. aff. mutuki*. The mean number of tentacles  $\pm$  standard deviation per polyp was  $31.6 \pm 3.4$  for *P. tuberculosa*,  $40.5 \pm 2.6$  for *P. sp. yoron*,  $54.4 \pm 7.4$  for *P. mutuki*, and  $71.0 \pm 4.1$  for *P. aff. mutuki*. Each respective mean number of tentacles was significantly different ( $p < 0.01$ ) from all others in all pair tests (Table 3).

For cnidae, many subtle differences in sizes of the various types of cnidae present in different tissues were present (Table 4; Fig. 3). However, the most obvious differences were in small holotrichs, which were rarely observed in the tentacles of column of both *P. aff. mutuki* and *P. mutuki*, and additionally observed in the tentacles and pharynx of *P. aff. mutuki*, but were never observed in tissues of *P. sp. yoron* or *P. tuberculosa* (Table 4). However, these small

holotrichs were only observed in one out of three specimens each of *P. aff. mutuki* and *P. mutuki*, and thus no diagnostic differences were observed in the cnidae of all four species-groups examined (Table 4).

In summary, we could clearly distinguish all four *Palythoa* species groups based on tentacle numbers (Table 3), as well as gross external morphology (Fig. 2), but not via cnidae analyses (Table 4).

#### Estimated spawning period

During the initial investigation of June to December in 2010, developed ovaries were observed in *P. tuberculosa* from the middle of June to the middle of September with decreasing numbers of polyps possessing ova (Fig. 4A, Table 6). Additionally, matured eggs were also observed multiple times (on 28 July and 20 September). In contrast, developed ovaries and matured eggs were observed (Fig. 5A, B) only one time (on 26 October) in *P. sp. yoron*. As well, developing ovaries were observed in *P. mutuki* from the end of July to the middle of September, however, no matured eggs were observed during this investigation.

In 2011, developed ovaries were observed in *P. aff. mutuki* on 15 June (Fig. 5E, F, Fig. 4B), and subsequently developed ovaries were observed in *P. sp. yoron* in early October and early November (Fig. 5C, D), for the second consecutive year. On the other hand, no fully developed ovaries were observed in *P. tuberculosa* and *P. mutuki* despite developing ovaries being observed continuously during the summer season (on 23 July, 22 August and 5 October), similar as observed in 2010.

#### Phylogenetic analyses

##### Molecular phylogenetic trees

##### *mtCOI*

The phylogenetic tree resulting from maximum likelihood analyses of the mtCOI sequence alignment is shown in Fig. 6A. *Palythoa tuberculosa*, *P. sp. yoron*, *P. mutuki* and *P. aff. mutuki* formed one mixed clade with low bootstrap support (Maximum-Likelihood [ML]=<50%, Neighbor-joining [NJ]=64%, Bayes [B]=0.99). Three sequences of *P. mutuki* used in previous research (Reimer *et al.* 2007; Reimer *et al.* 2011) formed one group with sequences from *P. sp. sakurajimensis*.

#### *mt 16S-rDNA*

The phylogenetic tree resulting from maximum likelihood analyses of the mt 16S-rDNA sequence alignment is shown in Fig. 6B. *Palythoa tuberculosa*, *P. sp. yoron*, *P. mutuki* and *P. aff. mutuki* formed one mixed clade with low bootstrap support (ML=65%, NJ=64%, B<0.50). Within this mixed clade, *P. mutuki* and *P. aff. mutuki* formed a mixed subclade with low bootstrap support in ML and NJ analyses, however, this monophyletic clade was strongly supported in Bayesian analyses (ML=64%, NJ=64%, B=1.0). Additionally, two sequences of *P. mutuki* from GenBank that were distinguished from other sequences of *P. mutuki* in previous research (Reimer *et al.*, 2006) formed a monophyletic subclade with two novel sequences from this study (sequences PMAT1 (GenBank Accession Number AB219220), PMAT2 (AB219221), and new sequences 42PmYoUk and 95PmToYo; ML=64%, NJ=63%, B=1.0).

#### *ITS-rDNA*

The phylogenetic tree resulting from maximum likelihood analyses of the ITS-rDNA sequence alignment is shown in Fig. 6C. *Palythoa tuberculosa* and *P. sp. yoron* formed a very well supported monophyletic clade (ML=95%, NJ=99%, B=0.96). Within this clade were two comparatively well supported sub-clades, one made by sequences obtained only from *P. sp. yoron* sequences (=43PyYoUk, 44PyYoUk and PyYoSIDQ997921; ML=90%, NJ=99%, B=1.0),



313 and the other including three *P. tuberculosa* sequences (PtIrHo16DQ997909,  
314 PtIsO11DQ997929 and PtIsODQ997919; ML=70%, NJ=83%, B=0.97). *Palythoa mutuki* was  
315 paraphyletic and two well supported clades that included sequences from both *P. mutuki* and *P.*  
316 aff. *mutuki* were present (77PmErYa, 155PamErYa, 229PamErYa, 231PamErYa and  
317 233PamErYa; ML=93%, NJ=99%, B=1.0; and PmYS2DQ997892, 218PmOkOd, 237PamErYa  
318 and 316PmOkKo; ML=72%, NJ=77%, B=1.0).

# 319 *ALG11*

320 The phylogenetic tree resulting from maximum likelihood analyses of the ALG11  
321 sequence alignment is shown in Fig. 6D. Compared to the above phylogenetic trees, this tree  
322 was the most admixed, regardless of morphospecies. For example, sequences from *P. sp.*  
323 *sakurajimensis* (used as outgroup here) appeared throughout the tree. Only three terminal clades  
324 showed high bootstrap values (2PtOkOd1, 4PtOkOd1 and 49PtYoUk1; ML=80%, NJ=86%,  
325 B=1.0; and 42PmYoUk and 362PmOkOk; ML=90%, NJ=95%, B=1.0; and 240PmErSu,  
326 320PmOkMi and 362PmOkOk2; ML=78%, NJ=78%, B=1.0).

# 327 *Topology comparison between trees*

328 Examining the two outgroups used in this study, *Palythoa sp. sakurajimensis* was  
329 phylogenetically much closer to *P. tuberculosa*, *P. sp. yoron*, *P. mutuki* and *P. aff. mutuki*  
330 compared to *P. heliodiscus* in every gene tree. There were few differences in sequences from the  
331 other four species groups, with only one base pair difference in the mtCOI tree, resulting in *P. sp.*  
332 *sakurajimensis*' sequences forming one group with some *P. mutuki* specimens, and only one to  
333 two base pairs' difference in the mt 16S-rDNA tree for all four species groups. In particular, in  
334 the ALG11 tree, *P. sp. sakurajimensis*' sequences were admixed with the other four species  
335 groups.

*Palythoa tuberculosa* and *P. sp. yoron* (designated as the “*Palythoa tuberculosa* group” here), and *P. mutuki* and *P. aff. mutuki* (designated as “*Palythoa mutuki* group” here) did not separate into four species groups in each DNA marker’s tree. The *P. tuberculosa* group formed a monophyletic clade in the ITS-rDNA tree and one grouping in the mt 16S-rDNA gene tree with one base difference from the *P. mutuki* group. On the other hand, the *P. mutuki* group did not show any common pattern, *i.e.* admixed with all other species groups except for *P. heliodiscus* in the ALG11 gene tree, most sequences forming one monophyletic clade with the *P. tuberculosa* group due to no differences in sequences with some sequences forming one group with *P. sp. sakurajimensis* due to a one base pair difference from other specimens in the mtCOI tree, forming a monophyletic clade with one subclade in the mt 16S-rDNA tree, and forming a paraphyletic clade with a monophyletic subclade of *P. tuberculosa* in the ITS-rDNA tree.

#### *Species trees*

All hypothetical species were fully supported with posterior probability under both the four and six species models (Fig. 7A, B). The divergence time from the most recent common ancestor of *P. tuberculosa*, *P. sp. yoron*, *P. mutuki* and *P. aff. mutuki*, (divergence of *P. sp. sakurajimensis* in both cases), was calculated as 147,000 years before present with 95% credible interval [lower 30,900 – upper 292,000] under the six species model and as 113,000 years under the four species model with 95% credible interval [lower 25,500 – upper 231,000].

## **Discussion**

The purpose of this study was to re-evaluate the systematics of some *Palythoa* species using an integrative approach. Primary hypotheses of species delimitation were based on external morphology (phenetic criterion) and habitat preferences (ecological criterion). These hypotheses

were then examined in the light of additional characters, namely the number of tentacles, spawning periods and genetic data.

### Morphology and plasticity

The mean numbers of tentacles were significantly different among specimens of the four putative species; *P. tuberculosa*, *P. sp. yoron*, *P. mutuki* and *P. aff. mutuki* (Table 3). However, in previous research, the tentacle number of *P. tuberculosa* has been reported as various ranges, *i.e.* 30 to 40 (Klunzinger 1877), up to 50 (Walsh and Bowers 1971), 38 to 52 (Reimer and Todd 2009), 30 to 37 (Shiroma and Reimer 2010), or 30 to 50 (Hibino *et al.* 2013). A wider range of variations has been reported in *P. mutuki*, with 88 to 144 (Ryland and Lancaster 2003), 60 to 74, approximately 80 for *P. mutuki*-related (Reimer and Todd 2009), or 42 to 66 (Shiroma and Reimer 2010) reported. Thus, the ranges of tentacle numbers can be assumed to be 30 to 52 for *P. tuberculosa* and 42 to 144 for *P. mutuki*, and therefore tentacle numbers of *P. sp. yoron* and *P. aff. mutuki* observed in this study are within ranges of previously reported intraspecific variation. These differences between tentacle numbers reported in the literature and our data may be partly explained by the fact that previous authors did not consider *P. sp. yoron* and *P. aff. mutuki* as different species.

However, Ong *et al.* (2013) also demonstrated phenotypic plasticity in *P. tuberculosa* with high ability to acclimate against changes in light-induced environments. From *in situ* observations, *P. sp. yoron* seems to prefer locations exposed to strong current such as extensive reef flats where the back reef moat is widely developed. Correspondingly, *P. sp. yoron* is also often found in back reef moats, as Shiroma and Reimer (2010) mentioned, covered with sand or other loose detritus. High numbers of tentacles enable them to acquire nutritious detritus and feed on planktonic organisms, but strong-current environments repeatedly cover colonies with

sand. From the viewpoint of its small, tetrapod colony shape, *P. sp. yoron* seems have adapted to such an environment. Therefore, to ensure whether differences in tentacle numbers and colony form between *P. tuberculosa* and *P. sp. yoron* are caused by species differentiation, the observation of reaction norms of each species with transplantation experiments is needed.

Regarding cnidae, although detailed statistical analyses may reveal finer-scale differences among the *Palythoa* groups in this study, similar to as seen in Ryland and Lancaster (2004), for the purposes of field or rapid identification of species groups, we did not observe any useful diagnostic differences.



### Spawning periods and reproductive isolation

Over the two years analyzed, *P. sp. yoron* consistently developed ovaries later than the three other putative species. If we assume a sharp drop in the proportion of developed ovaries as the consequence of the release of eggs, the annual spawning period estimated for *P. sp. yoron* was early to mid-November and that of *P. aff. mutuki* mid- to late June. The spawning period of *P. tuberculosa* in Okinawa-jima I. has been reported in early August (Yamazato *et al.* 1973), from the end of July to middle August (Shiroma and Reimer 2010), and on 19 and 20 August in 2009 (Hirose *et al.* 2011). In our study, spawning was estimated to have occurred in August in 2010 and possibly from early July in 2011. The reproductive season of *P. mutuki* was presumed that be synchronized with *P. tuberculosa* in 2010, although developed eggs were not confirmed. Little is known about the sexual reproductive ability of this species, and according to Ryland and Lancaster (2003) the only previous records of *P. mutuki* possessing developed oocytes are from Fiji and Tuvalu. To overcome this lack of knowledge, closer examinations via staging of histological sections for gonadal development (such as done by Polak *et al.* 2011) are required.

Interpreting these results in terms of putative reproductive isolation is not straightforward. Even assuming that a sharp drop in the proportion of developed ovaries translates into a major spawning event, which seems to be a reasonable hypothesis, this does not exclude the possibility of eggs being released much later than the initial peak. For example, while we estimated the spawning period of *P. tuberculosa* to have occurred in August in 2010, nearly 20% of individuals still had developing or developed ovaries on September 20<sup>th</sup>, which may have been released as mature eggs at any time from then until October 26<sup>th</sup> (Fig. 4A), and enabled potential cross-fertilization with *P. sp. yoron*. On the other hand, data thus far indicate spawning on one or two nights per year for brachynerminic zoantharians (Ryland 1997), and reabsorption of oocytes (Ono et al. 2005) that did not spawn. More work is needed to determine exact spawning patterns of *Palythoa tuberculosa* and closely *Palythoa* related species, but the asynchrony of both ovary development (*P. sp. yoron*) and spawning peaks for *P. tuberculosa* and *P. aff. mutuki* suggest that at least partial pre-zygotic reproductive isolation is possible among *P. sp. yoron*, *P. tuberculosa* and *P. aff. mutuki* at Tokunoshima I.

#### Species boundaries in phylogenetic trees

The four genetic markers analyzed in this study displayed contrasting patterns. The two mitochondrial genes were relatively conservative, as has been reported for other anthozoans (Shearer et al. 2002; Huang et al. 2008), but 16S rDNA allowed the recovery of *P. heliodiscus*, *P. sp. sakurajimensis*, the *P. mutuki* group and the *P. tuberculosa* group as four genetically homogeneous groups (phenetic criterion), and all species or species groups were reciprocally monophyletic with the exception of *P. tuberculosa*. ITS rDNA showed a similar pattern with the *P. mutuki* group and the *P. tuberculosa* group represented in distinct clades, although the *P. mutuki* group was paraphyletic. This consistency across mitochondrial and nuclear markers also

suggests that there is no genetic exchange (biologic criterion) between these four groups, and thus provides a first level of species delimitation. In contrast, all *Palythoa* spp. besides *P. heliodiscus* were largely mixed in the tree recovered from the ALG11 marker, which strongly suggests incomplete lineage sorting for this gene.

Despite obvious differences in morphology and reproductive season between *P. tuberculosa* and *P. sp. yoron*, as well between *P. mutuki* and *P. aff. mutuki*, no molecular marker was successful in dividing these species pairs into their own monophyletic clades. *Palythoa* sp. yoron formed a subclade from two specimens in Reimer *et al.* (2007a), however, in this study reconstructing phylogenetic trees based on the same genomic region with more specimens of *P. sp. yoron*, one mixed monophyletic clade was supported well with all the other *P. tuberculosa* specimens. The same pattern was observed with *P. mutuki* and *P. aff. mutuki*. These results imply either gene flow between each pair of nominal species or incomplete lineage sorting (ILS). Although these two alternative hypotheses are not mutually exclusive, the absence of intermediate morphotypes and the presence of distinct spawning periods lead us to favor ILS over extensive gene flow. Sequences from other single-copy nuclear markers like ALG11 are required to more thoroughly resolve these two species pairs.

#### Sympatric speciation timing

Recently, sympatric speciation has come to be understood as a major generator of marine biodiversity (reviewed in Bowen *et al.* 2013). Under such situations, ecological (e.g. behavior or microhabitat) boundaries lead to isolation. However, the hierarchy of timing of sympatric speciation processes (e.g. the order that separation occurs in for phylogenetic, reproductive, and morphological criteria) as lineages diverge remains not well understood, with no clear consensus (Norris and Hull 2012; Pabijan *et al.* 2017). For example, in tropical bivalves, phylogenetic

differences (=cryptic species) have been observed without any clear evidence of morphological differences (e.g. Lemer *et al.* 2014). On the other hand, in many marine taxa, it has been proposed that during sympatric speciation, reproductive isolation is one driving force behind lineage divergence (Palumbi 1994).

In this study, morphology and reproductive data sets showed four *Palythoa* lineages, while DNA markers ~~instead~~ showed either two lineages (ITS-rDNA, mtCOI, mt 16S-rDNA) or one admixed lineage (ALG11). Combined molecular analyses suggested either two or four lineages were equally possible (Fig. 7). Such varied results along a speciation continuum between different datasets reflect the patterns to be expected during ongoing or incomplete speciation events (Nosil *et al.* 2009). As all four *Palythoa* lineages can be found in sympatry at Tokushima I., our results suggest that reproductive isolation, perhaps caused by past hybridization and back-crossing events (Reimer *et al.* 2007a; MacLeod *et al.* 2015), led to the generation of these different lineages and morphological differentiation. Phylogenetic differentiation currently remains incomplete due to the evolutionary recentness of these events, estimated as less than 200,000 years before present. Such confounding data, with reproductive isolation but incomplete genetic lineage sorting, can be expected due to the extended duration of speciation events (Norris and Hull 2012). In situ observation of spawning events combined with genomic level examinations of these four lineages will help further clarify the hierarchy of timing in speciation events, and these four sympatric *Palythoa* lineages present a potential model system for such studies.

## Conclusions

Overall, the data imply that *Palythoa* species have a much more complex evolutionary history at the species level than previously expected (e.g. in Reimer *et al.* 2007a). However,

472 natural hybridization between *P. tuberculosa*, *P. sp. yoron* and *P. aff. mutuki* seems to not be  
 473 currently occurring, at least for populations at Tokunoshima I. observed in this study. In spite of  
 474 ambiguous phylogenetic differentiation between *P. tuberculosa* and *P. sp. yoron*, and between *P.*  
 475 *mutuki* and *P. aff. mutuki*, we consider these four lineages are all distinct species based on their  
 476 morphological differentiation and distinct spawning periods. *In situ* observation of spawning  
 477 events combined with genomic level examinations will help further clarify the hierarchy of  
 478 timing in speciation events, and these four sympatric *Palythoa* lineages present a potential model  
 479 system for such studies.



# 480 Acknowledgements

481       The authors sincerely thank Dr. D. Albinsky (University of the Ryukyus, UR) for technical  
 482 help during molecular experiments. The people of Tokunoshima I. are thanked for help during  
 483 field surveys. As well, thanks to Dr. M. Obuchi and Dr. A. Iguchi (both UR), who both spared  
 484 much time for discussion of statistical analyses. Dr. M. Maronna (U. Sao Paolo) is thanked for  
 485 comments on alignments. Finally, MISE Laboratory members are thanked for their support.  
 486 Comments from three reviewers greatly improved an earlier version of this manuscript.

# References

- Belinky F, Szitenberg A, Goldfarb I, Feldstein T, Wörheide G, Ilan M, Huchon D. 2012. ALG11 – A new variable DNA marker for sponge phylogeny: comparison of phylogenetic performances with the 18S rDNA and the COI gene. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 63: 702–713.
- Bowen BW, Rocha LA, Toonen RJ, Karl SA, ToBo Laboratory. 2013. The origins of tropical marine biodiversity. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 28: 359-366.
- Darriba D, Taboada GL, Doallo R, Posada D. 2012. jModelTest 2: more models, new heuristics and parallel computing. *Nature Methods* 9:8, 772.
- Drummond AJ, Suchard MA, Xie D, Rambaut A. 2010. Bayesian phylogenetics with BEAUti and the BEAST 1.7. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*. 29: 1969-1973. DOI: 10.1093/molbev/mss075.
- Drummond AJ, Ho SY-W, Phillips MJ, Rambaut A. 2006 Relaxed phylogenetics and dating with confidence. *PLOS Biology* 4: 699-710. DOI: 10.1371/journal.bio.0040088.
- England KW. 1991. Nematocysts of sea anemones (Actiniaria, Ceriantharia and Corallimorpharia: Cnidaria): nomenclature. *Hydrobiologia* 216/217: 691–697. DOI: 10.1007/BF00026532
- Felsenstein J. 1985. Confidence limits on phylogenies: an approach using the bootstrap. *Evolution* 39: 783-791.
- Folmer O, Black M, Hoeh W, Lutz R, Vrijenhoek R. 1994. DNA primers for amplification of mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I from diverse metazoan invertebrates. *Molecular Marine Biology and Biotechnology* 3: 294–299.

Guindon S, Gascuel O. 2003. A simple, fast, and accurate algorithm to estimate large phylogenies by maximum likelihood. *Systematic Biology* 52: 696-704.

Hall TA. 1999. BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. *Nucleic Acids Symposium Series* 41: 95-98.

Hatta M, Fukami H, Wang W, Omori M, Shimoike K, Hayashibara T, Ina Y, Sugiyama T. 1999. Reproductive and genetic evidence for a reticulate evolutionary history of mass-spawning corals. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 16: 1607–1613.

Heled J, Drummond AJ. 2010. Bayesian inference of species trees from multilocus data. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 27: 570-580.

Hibino Y, Todd PD, Yang S, Benayahu Y, Reimer JD. 2013. Molecular and morphological evidence for conspecificity of two common Indo-Pacific species of *Palythoa* (Cnidaria: Anthozoa). *Hydrobiologia* 733: 31-43. DOI: 10.1007/s10750-013-1587-5

Hill MS, Hill AL, Lopez J, Peterson KJ, Pomponi S. 2013 Reconstruction of family-level phylogenetic relationships within Demospongiae (Porifera) using nuclear encoded housekeeping genes. *PLoS ONE* 8: e50437. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0050437.

Hirose M, Obuchi M, Hirose E, Reimer JD. 2011. Timing of spawning and early development of *Palythoa tuberculosa* (Anthozoa, Zoantharia, Sphenopidae) in Okinawa, Japan. *Biological Bulletin* 220: 23–31.

Huang D, Meier R, Todd PA, Chou LM. 2008. Slow mitochondrial COI sequence evolution at the base of the metazoan tree and its implications for DNA barcoding. *Journal of Molecular Evolution* 66: 167-174.

Isomura N, Iwao K, Fukami H. 2013. Possible natural hybridization of two morphologically distinct species of *Acropora* (Cnidaria, Scleractinia) in the Pacific: fertilization and larval survival rates. PLoS ONE 8: e56701. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0056701.

Irei Y, Nozawa Y, Reimer JD. 2011. Distribution patterns of five zoanthid species at Okinawa Island, Japan. Zoological Studies 50: 426-433.

Kimura S, Hashimoto Y. 1972. Toxicity of the zoanthid *Palythoa tuberculosa*. Toxicon 10: 611-617.

Klunzinger KB. 1877. Die Korallthiere des Rothen Meeres. 1: Die Alcyonarien und Malacodermen. Verlag der Gutmann'schen Buchhandlung (Otto Enslin), Berlin (in German and Latin).

Leache AD, Fujita MK. 2010. Bayesian species delimitation in West African forest geckos (*Hemidactylus fasciatus*). Proceeding of the Royal Society B 277: 3071-3077. DOI: 10.1098/rspb.2010.0662.

Lemer S, Buge B, Bemis A, Giribet G. 2014. First molecular phylogeny of the circumtropical bivalve family Pinnidae (Mollusca, Bivalvia): Evidence for high levels of cryptic species diversity. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 75: 11-23.

MacLeod A, Rodríguez A, Vences M, Orozco-terWengel P, García C, Trillmich F, Gentile G, Caccone A, Quezada G, Steinfartz S. 2015. Hybridization masks speciation in the evolutionary history of the Galápagos marine iguana. Proceedings of the Royal Society B 282: 20150425. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2015.0425>

Mayr E, 1957. Species concepts and definitions. in E. Mayr (ed.), The Species Problem, American Association for the Advancement of Science Publication, 50.

Mueller E, Haywick DW. 1995. Sediment assimilation and calcification by the western Atlantic reef zoanthid *Palythoa caribaeorum*. Bulletin De L'institut Oceanographique (Monaco) 14: 89100.

Muirhead A, Ryland JS. 1985. A review of the genus *Isaurus* Gray 1828 (Zoanthidea), including new records from Fiji. Journal of Natural History 19: 323-335.

Nosil P, Harmon LJ, Seehausen O. 2009. Ecological explanations for (incomplete) speciation. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 24: 145-156.

Norris RD, Hull PM. 2011. The temporal dimension of marine speciation. Evolutionary Ecology 26: 393-415.

Ohki S, Kowalski RK, Kitanobo S, Morita M. 2015. Changes in spawning time led to the speciation of the broadcast spawning corals *Acropora digitifera* and the cryptic species *Acropora* sp. 1 with similar gamete recognition systems. Coral Reefs 34: 1189–1198. DOI 10.1007/s00338-015-1337-4

Ong CW, Reimer JD, Todd PA. 2013. Morphologically plastic responses to shading in the zoanthids *Zoanthus sansibaricus* and *Palythoa tuberculosa*. Marine Biology 160: 1053-1064 DOI: 10.1007/s00227-012-2158-4.

Pabijan M, Zieliński P, Dudek K, Stuglik M, Babik W. 2017. Isolation and gene flow in a speciation continuum in newts. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 116: 1-12.

Palumbi SR. 1994. Genetic divergence, reproductive isolation, and marine speciation. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics 25: 547-572

Polak O, Loya Y, Brickner I, Kramarski-Winter E, Benayahu Y. 2011. The widely-distributed Indo-Pacific zoanthid *Palythoa tuberculosa*: a sexually conservative strategist. Bulletin of Marine Science 87: 605-621.

575       Posada D 2008. jModelTest: phylogenetic model averaging. *Molecular Biology and*  
576 *Evolution* 25: 1253-1256.

577       Putron SJ, Ryland JS. 2009. Effect of seawater temperature on reproductive seasonality  
578 and fecundity of *Pseudoplexaura porosa* (Cnidaria: Octocorallia): latitudinal variation in  
579 Caribbean gorgonian reproduction. *Invertebrate Biology* 128: 213-222.

580       Rambaut A, Suchard MA, Xie D, Drummond AJ. 2013, Tracer v1.5. Available from  
581 <http://beast.bio.ed.ac.uk/Tracer>.

582       Rasband WS. 2012. ImageJ: Image processing and analysis in Java. *Astrophysics Source*  
583 *Code Library* 1: 6013.

584       Reimer JD. 2010. Key to field identification of shallow water brachynermic zoanthids  
585 (Order Zoantharia: Suborder Brachynermina) present in Okinawa. *Galaxea, Journal of Coral*  
586 *Reef Studies* 12: 23-29.

587       Reimer JD, Nakachi S, Hirose S, Hirose E, Hashiguchi S. 2010. Using hydrofluoric acid  
588 for morphological investigations of zoanthids (Cnidaria: Anthozoa): A critical assessment of  
589 methodology and necessity. *Marine Biotechnology* 12: 605–617. DOI 10.1007/s10126-0099249-  
590 3

591       Reimer JD, Ono S, Fujiwara Y, Takishita K, Tsukahara J. 2004. Reconsidering *Zoanthus*  
592 spp. diversity: Molecular evidence of conspecificity within four previously presumed species.  
593 *Zoological Science* 21: 517-525.

594       Reimer JD, Takishita K, Maruyama T. 2006. Molecular identification of symbiotic  
595 dinoflagellates (*Symbiodinium* spp.) from *Palythoa* spp. (Anthozoa: Hexacorallia) in Japan.  
596 *Coral Reefs* 25: 521-527.

Reimer JD, Takishita K, Ono S, Maruyama T. 2007a. Diversity and evolution in the  
zoanthid genus *Palythoa* (Cnidaria: Hexacorallia) based on nuclear ITS-rDNA. Coral Reefs 26:  
399–410. DOI 10.1007/s00338-007-0210-5.

Reimer JD, Takishita K, Ono S, Tsukahara J, Maruyama T. 2007b. "Molecular evidence  
suggesting interspecific hybridization in *Zoanthus* spp. (Anthozoa: Hexacorallia). Zoological  
Science 24: 346–359.

Reimer JD, Todd PA. 2009. Preliminary molecular examination of zooxanthellate zoanthid  
(Hexacorallia, Zoantharia) and associated zooxanthellae (*Symbiodinium* spp.) diversity in  
Singapore. Raffles Bulletin of Zoology 22: 103–120.

Reimer JD, Irei Y, Fuji T, Yang S-Y. 2013. Molecular analyses of shallow-water  
zooxanthellate zoanthids (Cnidaria: Hexacorallia) from Taiwan and their *Symbiodinium* spp.  
Zoological Studies 52: 38.

Ryland JS. 1997. Reproduction in Zoanthidea (Anthozoa: Hexacorallia). Invertebrate  
Reproduction and Development 31: 177-188.

Ryland JS. 2000. Reproduction in British zoanthids, and an unusual process in  
*Parazoanthus anguicomus*. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom  
80: 943-944.

Ryland JS, Lancaster JE. 2003. Revision of methods of separating species of  
*Protopalythoa* (Hexacorallia: Zoanthidea) in the tropical West Pacific. Invertebrate Systematics  
17: 407-428.

Ryland JS, Lancaster JE. 2004. A review of zoanthid nematocyst types and their  
population structure. Hydrobiologia 530(1/3): 179–187. DOI: 10.1007/s10750-004-2685-1

Shearer TL, Oppen MJH, Romano SL, Worheide GW. 2002. Slow mitochondrial DNA sequence evolution in the Anthozoa (Cnidaria). *Molecular Ecology* 11: 2475-2487.

Shiroma E, Reimer JD. 2010. Investigations into the reproductive patterns, ecology, and morphology in the zoanthid genus *Palythoa* (Cnidaria: Anthozoa: Hexacorallia) in Okinawa, Japan. *Zoological Studies* 49: 182-194.

Sinniger F, Montoya-Burgos JI, Chevaldonné P, Pawlowski J. 2005. Phylogeny of the order Zoantharia (Anthozoa, Hexacorallia) based on the mitochondrial ribosomal genes. *Marine Biology* 147: 1121–1128.

Sperling EA, Pisani D, Peterson KJ. 2007. Poriferan paraphyly and its implications for Precambrian palaeobiology. *Geological Society London Special Publications* 286: 355–368.

Stephens M, Scheet P. 2005. Accounting for decay of linkage disequilibrium in haplotype inference and missing-data imputation. *American Journal of Human Genetics* 76:449-462.

Stephens M, Smith N, Donnelly P. 2001. A new statistical method for haplotype reconstruction from population data. *American Journal of Human Genetics* 68: 978-989.

Swain TD. 2010. Evolutionary transitions in symbioses: dramatic reductions in bathymetric and geographic ranges of Zoanthidea coincide with loss of symbioses with invertebrates. *Molecular Ecology* 19: 2587-2598.

Tamura K, Peterson D, Peterson N, Stecher G, Nei M, Kumar S. 2011. MEGA5: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 28: 2731-2739.

Thompson JD, Higgins GD, Gibson TJ. 1994. CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. *Nucleic Acids Research* 22: 4673–4680.



Trench RK. 1974. Nutritional potentials in *Zoanthus sociatus* (Coelenterata, Anthozoa).  
 Helgoländer wissenschaftliche Meeresuntersuchungen 26: 174-216.

Vollmer S, Palumbi S. 2002. Hybridization and the evolution of reef coral diversity.  
 Science 296: 5575, 2023-2025. DOI: 10.1126/science.1069524.

Yamazato K, Yoshimoto F, Yoshihara N. 1973. Reproductive cycle in a zoanthid *Palythoa*  
*tuberculosa* Esper. Publications of the Seto Marine Biological Laboratory 20:275-283.

Walsh GE, Bowers RL. 1971. A review of Hawaiian zoanthids with descriptions of three  
 new species. Zoological Journal of the Linnaean 50: 161-180. DOI:  
 10.1111/j.10963642.1971.tb00757.x

# Figure legends

Fig. 1. Map of *Palythoa* species specimen locations in the Ryukyu Archipelago, including  
 Okinawa-jima I., Zama-jima I., Yoron-to I., Okinoerabu-jima I., and Tokunoshima I.  
 Locations for specimens collected in this study represented by closed symbols, location for  
 spawning timing investigations represented by open symbol.

Fig. 2 In situ images of **A** *Palythoa tuberculosa*, **B** *P. mutuki*, **C** *P. sp. yoron*, **D** *P. aff. mutuki*, **E**  
*P. tuberculosa* (left; “Pt”) and *P. sp. yoron* (right, “Py”), and **F** *P. mutuki* (left, “Pm”) and *P. aff.*  
*mutuki* (right, “Pam”). Scale bars in **A**, **C**, **E** are 2 cm, in **B**, **D**, **F** 1 cm. All images taken by M.  
 Mizuyama.

Fig. 3 – Cnidae in tentacles, column, pharynx, and filaments of *Palythoa* aff. *mutuki*, *Palythoa* *mutuki*, *Palythoa* sp. yoron, and *Palythoa tuberculosa*. S = spirocysts, B = basitrichs, HS = holotrichs small, HL= holotrichs large, P = microbasic p-mastigophores.

Fig. 4A. Monthly change of ratio of number of polyps possessing developing and/or developed ovaries (N) on total number of examined polyps (Nt) in 2010. Red, *P. tuberculosa*; blue, *P. mutuki*; yellow, *P. sp. yoron*. B. Monthly change of ratio of number of polyps possessing developing and/or developed ovaries (N) on total number of examined polyps (%) in 2011. Red, *P. tuberculosa*; blue, *P. mutuki*; yellow, *P. sp. yoron*; green, *P. aff. mutuki*.

Fig. 5 Cross section of polyp of **A** *Palythoa* sp. yoron (26 October 2010) and **B** matured eggs; *P. sp. yoron* (9 November 2011) and **D** germinal ribbon inside a mesentery; **E** *P. aff. mutuki* (21 June 2011), and **F** developed ovaries. Abbreviations: te, tentacles; od, oral disk; co, coenenchyme; mo, mouth; ph, pharynx; eg, eggs; mf, mesenterial filament; gr, germinal ribbon; ov, ovary. Scale bars: 2 mm in **A** and **E**; 500  $\mu$ m in **B**; 1 mm in **C**, **D** and **F**. All images taken by M. Mizuyama.

Fig. 6A. Maximum likelihood (ML) tree of cytochrome oxidase subunit I (COI) sequences. **B**. ML tree of mitochondrial 16S ribosomal DNA (mt16S rDNA) sequences. **C**. Maximum likelihood tree of internal transcribed spacer of ribosomal DNA (ITS-rDNA) sequences. **D**. Maximum likelihood tree of asparagine-linked glycosylation 11 protein (ALG11) region. Values at branches represent ML and NJ bootstrap probabilities, respectively (>50%). Bayesian posterior probabilities of >0.95 are represented by thick branches.

Fig. 7 Species trees for *Palythoa* under A. six species model, and B. four species model. Values at branches represent upper and lower limits of demographic time under the coalescent model.

# Tables

Table 1. Characters employed for identification of *Palythoa* species.

Table 2. Examined *Palythoa* specimens in this study from the Ryukyu Archipelago.

Table 3. The mean number of tentacles  $\pm$  standard deviation and results of Mann-Whitney U test with Bonferroni correction between each *Palythoa* species pairs. N = total number of examined polyps for each species (one per colony).

Table 4. Cnidae types and sizes of *Palythoa* aff. *mutuki*, *Palythoa mutuki*, *Palythoa* sp. yoron and *Palythoa tuberculosa*. Frequency: relative abundance of cnidae type in decreasing order; numerous, common, occasional, rare, very rare (N = number of specimens found/total specimens examined).

Table 5. GenBank accession numbers of genus *Palythoa* sequences used in this study.

Table 6. Number of polyps possessing developing and/or developed ovaries (N), total number of examined polyps (Nt) and ratio of N to Nt for collected specimens of *P. tuberculosa*, *P. mutuki*, *P. sp. yoron* and *P. aff. mutuki* on each sampling date. \* indicates observation of developed ovaries in specimens.

711

**Table 1**(on next page)

Characters employed for identification of *Palythoa* species.

1 **Table 1.** Characters employed for identification of *Palythoa* species.

Species	<i>P. tuberculosa</i>	<i>P. sp. yoron</i>	<i>P. mutuki</i>	<i>P. aff. mutuki</i>
Typical environment	Backreef moat - out reef	Reef flat, tide pool	Reef flat, reef edge, surge channel	Reef flat, reef edge,
Coenenchyme development	Well-developed	Moderately developed	Not well developed; or stoloniferous	Not well developed; or stoloniferous
Polyp structure	immersae (= “embedded”)	intermediae (= “moderate”)	liberae (= “free-standing”)	liberae (= “free-standing”)
Surface structure of capitular ridges	Smooth	Smooth	Jagged	Smooth
Number of polyps/colony	>10	<10	>10	>10

2

**Table 2**(on next page)

Examined *Palythoa* specimens in this study from the Ryukyu Archipelago.

**Table 2.** Examined *Palythoa* specimens in this study from the Ryukyu Archipelago.

Specimen code	Location/Region	GPS code	Species ID	Date (m/d/y)	Collected by	Fixed by	mtCOI	mt16S-rDNA	ITS-rDNA	ALG11
2PtOkOd	Odo/Okinawa	1	<i>P. tuberculosa</i>	Aug 18. 09	MM* <sub>1</sub>	99.5%EtOH	NA	NA	NA	KX389373
4PtOkOd	Odo/Okinawa	1	<i>P. tuberculosa</i>	Aug 23. 09	MM	99.5%EtOH	NA	KX389335	NA	KX389374
5PtOkOd	Odo/Okinawa	1	<i>P. tuberculosa</i>	Aug 23. 09	MM	99.5%EtOH	NA	NA	NA	KX389375
37PtYoMa	Maehama/Yoron	2	<i>P. tuberculosa</i>	Mar 03. 10	JDR* <sub>2</sub>	99.5%EtOH	NA	KX389336	NA	KX389376
39PtYoUk	Ukachi/Yoron	3	<i>P. tuberculosa</i>	Mar 04. 10	MM	99.5%EtOH	NA	KX389337	KX389459	KX389377
40PtYoUk	Ukachi/Yoron	3	<i>P. tuberculosa</i>	Mar 04. 10	MM	99.5%EtOH	NA	NA	NA	KX389378
49PtYoUk	Ukachi(West)/Yoron	4	<i>P. tuberculosa</i>	Mar 04. 10	MM	99.5%EtOH	NA	NA	NA	KX389379
63PtErYa	Yakomo/Okinoerabu	5	<i>P. tuberculosa</i>	Mar 05. 10	MM	99.5%EtOH	NA	KX389338	NA	KX389380
65PtErYa	Yakomo/Okinoerabu	5	<i>P. tuberculosa</i>	Mar 05. 10	MM	99.5%EtOH	NA	NA	NA	KX389381
91PtToYo	Yonama/Tokunoshima	6	<i>P. tuberculosa</i>	Mar 08. 10	MM	99.5%EtOH	NA	NA	NA	KX389382
98PtToKa	Kaminomine/Tokunoshima	7	<i>P. tuberculosa</i>	Mar 09. 10	MM	99.5%EtOH	NA	NA	NA	KX389383
100PtToKa	Kaminomine/Tokunoshima	7	<i>P. tuberculosa</i>	Mar 09. 10	MM	99.5%EtOH	NA	KX389339	NA	KX389384
358PtOkAk	Akazaki/Okinawa	8	<i>P. tuberculosa</i>	Jun 24. 12	MM	99.5%EtOH	NA	KX389340	NA	KX389385
361PtOkOk	Oku/Okinawa	9	<i>P. tuberculosa</i>	Jun 25. 12	MM	99.5%EtOH	NA	NA	NA	KX389386
371PtZaAm	Ama/Zamami	10	<i>P. tuberculosa</i>	Jul 16. 12	YM* <sub>3</sub>	99.5%EtOH	NA	KX389341	NA	KX389387
3PyOkOd	Odo/Okinawa	1	<i>P. sp. yoron</i>	Aug 18. 09	MM	99.5%EtOH	KX389439	KX389342	KX389460	KX389388



14PyOkOd	Odo/Okinawa	1	<i>P. sp. yoron</i>	Aug 23. 09	MM	99.5%EtOH	KX389440	KX389343	KX389472	KX389389
15PyOkOd	Odo/Okinawa	1	<i>P. sp. yoron</i>	Sep 05. 09	MM	99.5%EtOH	KX389441	KX389344	KX389461	KX389390
16PyOkOd	Odo/Okinawa	1	<i>P. sp. yoron</i>	Sep 05. 09	MM	99.5%EtOH	NA	KX389345	KX389462	KX389391
43PyYoUk	Ukachi/Yoron	3	<i>P. sp. yoron</i>	Mar 04. 10	MM	99.5%EtOH	KX389442	KX389346	KX389470	KX389392
44PyYoUk	Ukachi/Yoron	3	<i>P. sp. yoron</i>	Mar 04. 10	MM	99.5%EtOH	NA	KX389347	KX389471	KX389393
51PyYoUk	Ukachi(West)/Yoron	4	<i>P. sp. yoron</i>	Mar 04. 10	MM	99.5%EtOH	KX389443	KX389348	KX389466	KX389394
53PyYoUk	Ukachi(West)/Yoron	4	<i>P. sp. yoron</i>	Mar 04. 10	MM	99.5%EtOH	NA	KX389349	NA	KX389395
81PyErYa	Yakomo/Okinoerabu	5	<i>P. sp. yoron</i>	Mar 05. 10	MM	99.5%EtOH	KX389444	KX389350	KX389463	KX389396
83PyErYa	Yakomo/Okinoerabu	5	<i>P. sp. yoron</i>	Mar 05. 10	MM	99.5%EtOH	NA	KX389351	KX389464	KX389397
85PyErYa	Yakomo/Okinoerabu	5	<i>P. sp. yoron</i>	Mar 05. 10	MM	99.5%EtOH	KX389445	KX389352	KX389465	KX389398
87PyErYa	Yakomo/Okinoerabu	5	<i>P. sp. yoron</i>	Mar 05. 10	MM	99.5%EtOH	NA	KX389353	NA	KX389399
105PyToKa	Kaminomine/Tokunoshima	7	<i>P. sp. yoron</i>	Mar 09. 10	MM	99.5%EtOH	KX389446	KX389354	KX389467	KX389400
107PyToKa	Kaminomine/Tokunoshima	7	<i>P. sp. yoron</i>	Mar 09. 10	MM	99.5%EtOH	KX389447	KX389355	KX389468	KX389401
109PyToKa	Kaminomine/Tokunoshima	7	<i>P. sp. yoron</i>	Mar 09. 10	MM	99.5%EtOH	NA	KX389356	KX389469	NA
359PyOkAk	Akazaki/Okinawa	8	<i>P. sp. yoron</i>	Jun 24. 12	MM	99.5%EtOH	KX389448	KX389357	NA	KX389402
42PmYoUk	Ukachi/Yoron	3	<i>P. mutuki</i>	Mar 04. 10	MM	99.5%EtOH	NA	KX389366	KX389488	KX389403
61PmYoUk	Ukachi/Yoron	3	<i>P. mutuki</i>	Mar 04. 10	JDR	99.5%EtOH	NA	NA	NA	KX389404
73PmErYa	Yakomo/Okinoerabu	5	<i>P. mutuki</i>	Mar 05. 10	MM	99.5%EtOH	NA	NA	KX389484	KX389405
75PmErYa	Yakomo/Okinoerabu	5	<i>P. mutuki</i>	Mar 05. 10	MM	99.5%EtOH	NA	KX389367	KX389482	KX389406
77PmErYa	Yakomo/Okinoerabu	5	<i>P. mutuki</i>	Mar 05. 10	MM	99.5%EtOH	NA	NA	KX389481	KX389407
93PmToYo	Yonama/Tokunoshima	6	<i>P. mutuki</i>	Mar 08. 10	MM	99.5%EtOH	NA	NA	NA	KX389408

94PmToYo	Yonama/Tokunoshima	6	<i>P. mutuki</i>	Mar 08. 10	MM	99.5%EtOH	NA	NA	NA	KX389409
95PmToYo	Yonama/Tokunoshima	6	<i>P. mutuki</i>	Mar 08. 10	MM	99.5%EtOH	NA	KX389368	KX389487	NA
216PmOkOd	Odo/Okinawa	1	<i>P. mutuki</i>	May 04. 11	MM	99.5%EtOH	NA	KX389369	NA	KX389410
218PmOkOd	Odo/Okinawa	1	<i>P. mutuki</i>	May 04. 11	MM	99.5%EtOH	NA	NA	KX389483	KX389411
220PmOkOd	Odo/Okinawa	1	<i>P. mutuki</i>	May 04. 11	MM	99.5%EtOH	NA	NA	KX389489	KX389412
222PmOkOd	Odo/Okinawa	1	<i>P. mutuki</i>	May 04. 11	MM	99.5%EtOH	NA	NA	KX389485	KX389413
240PmErSu	Sumiyoshi/Okinoerabu	11	<i>P. mutuki</i>	Jun 18. 11	MM	99.5%EtOH	NA	NA	NA	KX389414
280PmToKa	Kaminomine/Tokunoshima	7	<i>P. mutuki</i>	Oct 05. 11	MM	99.5%EtOH	NA	NA	NA	KX389415
316PmOkKo	Komesu/Okinawa	12	<i>P. mutuki</i> ?	Feb 25. 12	MM	99.5%EtOH	NA	KX389370	KX389480	KX389416
319PmOkMi	Mizugama/Okinawa	13	<i>P. mutuki</i> ?	Mar 29. 12	MM	99.5%EtOH	NA	KX389371	KX389486	KX389417
320PmOkMi	Mizugama/Okinawa	13	<i>P. mutuki</i>	Mar 29. 12	MM	99.5%EtOH	NA	NA	NA	KX389418
323PmOkTe	Teniyu/Okinawa	14	<i>P. mutuki</i>	Apr 05. 12	MM	99.5%EtOH	NA	NA	NA	KX389419
324PmOkTe	Teniyu/Okinawa	14	<i>P. mutuki</i>	Apr 05. 12	MM	99.5%EtOH	NA	NA	NA	KX389420
349PmOkSh	Shioya Bay/Okinawa	15	<i>P. mutuki</i>	Jun 17. 12	MM	99.5%EtOH	NA	NA	NA	KX389421
362PmOkOk	Oku/Okinawa	9	<i>P. mutuki</i>	Jun 25. 12	MM	99.5%EtOH	NA	NA	NA	KX389422
155PamErYa	Yakomo/Okinoerabu	5	<i>P. aff. mutuki</i>	July 25. 10	MM	70% EtOH	KX389449	KX389358	KX389473	KX389423
159PamToKa	Kaminomine/Tokunoshima	7	<i>P. aff. mutuki</i>	July 28. 10	MM	70% EtOH	NA	NA	NA	KX389424
229PamErYa	Yakomo/Okinoerabu	5	<i>P. aff. mutuki</i>	Jun 17. 11	MM	99.5%EtOH	KX389450	KX389359	KX389474	NA
231PamErYa	Yakomo/Okinoerabu	5	<i>P. aff. mutuki</i>	Jun 17. 11	MM	99.5%EtOH	KX389451	KX389360	KX389475	KX389425
233PamErYa	Yakomo/Okinoerabu	5	<i>P. aff. mutuki</i>	Jun 17. 11	MM	99.5%EtOH	KX389452	KX389361	KX389476	KX389426
237PamErSu	Sumiyoshi/Okinoerabu	11	<i>P. aff. mutuki</i>	Jun 18. 11	MM	99.5%EtOH	KX389453	KX389362	KX389479	NA

248PamToKa	Kaminomine/Tokunoshima	7	<i>P. aff. mutuki</i>	Jun 21. 11	MM	99.5%EtOH	KX389454	KX389363	KX389478	KX389427
250PamToKa	Kaminomine/Tokunoshima	7	<i>P. aff. mutuki</i>	Jun 21. 11	MM	99.5%EtOH	KX389455	KX389364	KX389477	KX389428
328PamOkTe	Teniyu/Okinawa	14	<i>P. aff. mutuki</i>	Apr 05. 12	MM	99.5%EtOH	KX389456	NA	NA	KX389429
364PamOkOk	Oku/Okinawa	9	<i>P. aff. mutuki</i>	Jun 25. 12	MM	99.5%EtOH	KX389457	KX389365	NA	KX389430
215PsOkIk	Ikei E/Okinawa	16	<i>Palythoa</i> sp.	Apr 29. 11	MM	99.5%EtOH	NA	KX389372	KX389491	KX389431
			sakurajimensis							
321PhOkMi	Mizugama/Okinawa	13	<i>P. heliodiscus</i>	Mar 29. 12	MM	99.5%EtOH	KX389458	NA	NA	KX389435
TN116	Mizugama/Okinawa	13	<i>P. heliodiscus</i>	Aug 19. 10	TN*4	99.5%EtOH	NA	NA	NA	KX389436
TN119	Mizugama/Okinawa	13	<i>P. heliodiscus</i>	Jul 4. 12	TN	99.5%EtOH	NA	NA	NA	KX389437
TN121	Mizugama/Okinawa	13	<i>P. heliodiscus</i>	Jul 4. 12	TN	99.5%EtOH	NA	NA	NA	KX389438

MM\*1: Masaru Mizuyama, JDR\*2: James Davis Reimer, YM\*3: Yu Miyazaki, TN\*4: Tohru Nishimura

GPS code: **1**, N 26° 05' 15", E 127° 42' 30"; **2**, N 27° 01' 16", E 128° 26' 28"; **3**, N 27° 04' 00", E 128° 25' 24",  
**4**, N 27° 03' 54", E 128° 25' 11"; **5**, N 27° 20' 05", E 128° 32' 49"; **6**, N 27° 52' 17", E 128° 53' 23";  
**7**, N 27° 46' 13", E 129° 02' 18"; **8**, N 26° 49' 17", E 128° 18' 50"; **9**, N 26° 50' 49", E 128° 17' 12";  
**10**, N 26° 13' 35", E 127° 17' 33"; **11**, N 27° 21' 21", E 128° 31' 44"; **12**, N 26° 05' 17", E 127° 42' 06";  
**13**, N 26° 21' 35", E 127° 44' 20"; **14**, N 26° 34' 07", E 128° 08' 48"; **15**, N 26° 39' 50", E 128° 06' 31";  
**16**, N 26° 23' 40", E 128° 00' 22".

# **Table 3**(on next page)

The mean number of tentacles  $\pm$  standard deviation and results of Mann-Whitney U test with Bonferroni correction between each *Palythoa* species pairs. N = total number of examined polyps for each species (one per colony).

**Table 3.** The mean number of tentacles  $\pm$  standard deviation and results of Mann-Whitney U test with Bonferroni correction between each *Palythoa* species pairs. N = total number of examined polyps for each species (one per colony).

Species	<i>P. tuberculosa</i>	<i>P. sp. yoron</i>	<i>P. mutuki</i>	<i>P. aff. mutuki</i>
Mann-Whitney U test				
<i>P. tuberculosa</i>	31.6 $\pm$ 3.4 (N=11)	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
<i>P. sp. yoron</i>		40.5 $\pm$ 2.56 (N=8)	<0.001	<0.001
<i>P. mutuki</i>			54.4 $\pm$ 7.43 (N=7)	<0.001
<i>P. aff. mutuki</i>				71 $\pm$ 4.14 (N=8)

# Table 4(on next page)

Cnidae types and sizes of *Palythoa* aff. *mutuki*, *Palythoa mutuki*, *Palythoa* sp. yoron and *Palythoa tuberculosa*.

Frequency: relative abundance of cnidae type in decreasing order; numerous, common, occasional, rare, very rare (N = number of specimens found/total specimens examined).

1 Table 4. Cnidae types and sizes of *Palythoa* aff. *mutuki*, *Palythoa mutuki*, *Palythoa* sp. yoron and *Palythoa tuberculosa*. Frequency:  
2 relative abundance of cnidae type in decreasing order; numerous, common, occasional, rare, very rare (N = number of specimens  
3 found/total specimens examined).

	<i>Palythoa</i> aff. <i>mutuki</i>		<i>Palythoa mutuki</i>		<i>Palythoa</i> sp. yoron		<i>Palythoa tuberculosa</i>	
	Length x width (µm)	Frequency	Length x width (µm)	Frequency	Length x width (µm)	Frequency	Length x width (µm)	Frequency
<b>Tentacles</b>	□	□	□	□	□	□	□	□
Spirocysts	12-36 x 3-8	Numerous (3/3)	13-41 x 2-8	Numerous (3/3)	11-36 x 2-6	Common (3/3)	17-37 x 3-7	Numerous (3/3)
Basitrichs	16-55 x 4-7	Common (3/3)	14-63 x 3-8	Numerous (3/3)	25-73 x 2-9	Numerous (3/3)	25-37 x 4-6	Common (3/3)
Holotrichs small	15-20 x 5-9	Rare (1/3)	-	0	-	0	-	0
Holotrichs large	35-77 x 19-31	Occasional (2/3)	39-78 x 18-32	Numerous (3/3)	47-82 x 21-34	Numerous (3/3)	28-85 x 17-37	Occasional (2/3)
P-mastigophores	25-50 x 5-10	Common (3/3)	15 x 4	Very rare (single specimen)	26-29 x 5-6	Occasional (2/3)	46-51 x 6-8	Rare (1/3)
<b>Column</b>	□	□	□	□	□	□	□	□
Spirocysts	-	0	-	0	-	0	16-34 x 3-6	Rare (1/3)
Basitrichs	21-53 x 5-7	Occasional (2/3)	25-83 x 5-9	Common (3/3)	-	0	25-69 x 4-10	Common (3/3)
Holotrichs small	21 x 7	Very rare (single)	19-24 x 8	Rare (1/3)	-	0	-	0

		specimen)						
Holotrichs large	32-69 x 15-30	Numerous (3/3)	24-85 x 17-31	Numerous (3/3)	39-88 x 18-36	Numerous (3/3)	34-81 x 14-38	Numerous (3/3)
P-mastigophores	21-46 x 6-8	Rare (1/3)	-	0	-	0	52-54 x 7-8	Occasional (2/3)
<b>Actinopharynx</b>	□	□	□	□	□	□	□	□
Spirocysts	-	0	18-32 x 4-6	Occasional (2/3)	16-65 x 3-8	Occasional (2/3)	19-36 x 4-7	Rare (1/3)
Basitrichs	19-55 x 4-10	Numerous (3/3)	16-72 x 3-8	Numerous (3/3)	17-69 x 3-9	Numerous (3/3)	22-62 x 3-10	Numerous (3/3)
Holotrichs small	19-20 x 7-8	Rare (1/3)	-	0	-	0	-	0
Holotrichs large	34-93 x 18-33	Numerous (3/3)	34-72 x 4-31	Numerous (3/3)	38-77 x 10-33	Common (3/3)	40-85 x 18-38	Numerous (3/3)
P-mastigophores	29-40 x 7-11	Rare (1/3)	-	0	21-29 x 6-7	Occasional (2/3)	28-52 x 5-8	Rare (1/3)
<b>Mesenteries filaments</b>	□	□	□	□	□	□	□	□
Spirocysts	15 x 24	Very rare (single specimen)	-	0	-	0	28 x 8	Very rare (single specimen)
Basitrichs	25-69 x 4-10	Numerous (3/3)	41-80 x 5-10	Numerous (3/3)	33-66 x 4-9	Numerous (3/3)	24-74 x 5-9	Numerous (3/3)
Holotrichs small	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0



Holotrichs large	37-64 x 22-35	Numerous (3/3)	44-83 x 21-32	Numerous (3/3)	51-90 x 21-35	Numerous (3/3)	45-85 x 22-42	Numerous (3/3)
P-mastigophores	27-39 x 5-10	Occasional 2/3	21 x 6	Very rare (single specimen)	21-29 x 4-8	Common (3/3)	21-57 x 5-11	Occasional (2/3)

---

**Table 5**(on next page)

GenBank accession numbers of genus *Palythoa* sequences used in this study.

**Table 5.** GenBank accession numbers of genus *Palythoa* sequences used in this study.

Sequence code	Species	mtCOI accession number	mt 16S-rDNA accession number	ITS-rDNA accession number	Reference
PtEW3	<i>P. tuberculosa</i>	NA	NA	DQ997902	Reimer <i>et al.</i> 2007a
PtAT1	<i>P. tuberculosa</i>	AB219195	NA	NA	Reimer <i>et al.</i> 2006
PtAT2	<i>P. tuberculosa</i>	AB219196	NA	DQ997897	Reimer <i>et al.</i> 2006
PtBA1	<i>P. tuberculosa</i>	AB219197	NA	NA	Reimer <i>et al.</i> 2006
PtWK1	<i>P. tuberculosa</i>	AB219198	NA	NA	Reimer <i>et al.</i> 2006
PtYS1	<i>P. tuberculosa</i>	AB219200	NA	NA	Reimer <i>et al.</i> 2006
PtMil1	<i>P. tuberculosa</i>	AB219199	AB219218	NA	Reimer <i>et al.</i> 2006
PtIsK3	<i>P. tuberculosa</i>	AB219203	NA	NA	Reimer <i>et al.</i> 2006
PtEO1	<i>P. tuberculosa</i>	AB219205	NA	NA	Reimer <i>et al.</i> 2006
PtKK1	<i>P. tuberculosa</i>	AB219206	NA	NA	Reimer <i>et al.</i> 2006
PtIsK2	<i>P. tuberculosa</i>	AB219207	NA	NA	Reimer <i>et al.</i> 2006
PtYS4	<i>P. tuberculosa</i>	NA	NA	DQ997903	Reimer <i>et al.</i> 2006
PtIrHo16	<i>P. tuberculosa</i>	NA	NA	DQ997909	Reimer <i>et al.</i> 2006
PtCN1	<i>P. tuberculosa</i>	NA	NA	DQ997896	Reimer <i>et al.</i> 2006
PtCN14	<i>P. tuberculosa</i>	NA	NA	DQ997933	Reimer <i>et al.</i> 2006
PtIsO1	<i>P. tuberculosa</i>	AB219202	NA	NA	Reimer <i>et al.</i> 2006
PtIsO13	<i>P. tuberculosa</i>	NA	NA	DQ997919	Reimer <i>et al.</i> 2006
PtIsO11	<i>P. tuberculosa</i>	NA	NA	DQ997929	Reimer <i>et al.</i> 2006
PtIsrael13	<i>P. tuberculosa</i>	NA	NA	DQ997931	Reimer <i>et al.</i> 2006
PtOtsFu11	<i>P. tuberculosa</i>	NA	NA	DQ997945	Reimer <i>et al.</i> 2007a
PtIrHo11	<i>P. tuberculosa</i>	NA	NA	DQ997914	Reimer <i>et al.</i> 2007a
PtOtsNi3	<i>P. tuberculosa</i>	NA	NA	DQ997939	Reimer <i>et al.</i> 2006
PtIrHo13	<i>P. tuberculosa</i>	NA	NA	DQ997911	Reimer <i>et al.</i> 2007a
PtL1	<i>P. tuberculosa</i>	NA	EU333661	NA	Reimer & Todd 2009
PtK2	<i>P. tuberculosa</i>	NA	EU333654	NA	Reimer & Todd 2009

PtL3	<i>P. tuberculosis</i>	NA	EU333662	NA	Reimer & Todd 2009
PtK7	<i>P. tuberculosis</i>	NA	EU333657	NA	Reimer & Todd 2009
PtYoS1	<i>P. sp. yoron</i>	AB219204	AB219219	DQ997921	Reimer <i>et al.</i> 2007a
PmAT	<i>P. mutuki</i>	AB219209	NA	NA	Reimer <i>et al.</i> 2006
PmPM2	<i>P. mutuki</i>	AB219210	NA	NA	Reimer <i>et al.</i> 2006
Pm1162	<i>P. mutuki</i>	JF419796	NA	NA	Reimer <i>et al.</i> 2006
Pm1163	<i>P. mutuki</i>	JF419788	NA	NA	Reimer <i>et al.</i> 2006
PmBA1	<i>P. mutuki</i>	AB219215	NA	NA	Reimer <i>et al.</i> 2006
PmYS1	<i>P. mutuki</i>	AB219213	NA	NA	Reimer <i>et al.</i> 2006
PmIrHo1	<i>P. mutuki</i>	NA	NA	DQ997888	Reimer <i>et al.</i> 2007a
PmYS2	<i>P. mutuki</i>	NA	NA	DQ997892	Reimer <i>et al.</i> 2007a
PpAT1	<i>P. mutuki</i>	AB219211	AB219220	DQ997891	Reimer <i>et al.</i> 2007a
PmMil1	<i>P. mutuki</i>	AB219217	AB219225	DQ997889	Reimer <i>et al.</i> 2007a
PmEs1	<i>P. mutuki</i>	NA	NA	DQ997894	Reimer <i>et al.</i> 2007a
PpAT2	<i>P. mutuki</i>	AB219212	AB219221	NA	Reimer <i>et al.</i> 2006
PpYS1	<i>P. mutuki</i>	NA	AB219222	NA	Reimer <i>et al.</i> 2006
PamTOB51	<i>P. aff. mutuki</i>	NA	GQ464873	GQ464902	Swain 2010
PsPSH1	<i>P. sp. sakurajimensis</i>	NA	DQ997842	DQ997886	Reimer <i>et al.</i> 2007a
PsPWS1	<i>P. sp. sakurajimensis</i>	NA	DQ997863	DQ997887	Reimer <i>et al.</i> 2007a
PsPEWn1	<i>P. sp. sakurajimensis</i>	NA	DQ997862	NA	Reimer <i>et al.</i> 2007a
PsGYi	<i>P. sp. sakurajimensis</i>	KF499720	NA	NA	Reimer <i>et al.</i> 2013
Ps1595	<i>P. sp. sakurajimensis</i>	KF499697	NA	KX389490	Reimer <i>et al.</i> 2013
Ps1597	<i>P. sp. sakurajimensis</i>	KF499696	NA	KF499778	Reimer <i>et al.</i> 2013
Ps1635	<i>P. sp. sakurajimensis</i>	KF499735	NA	KF499776	Reimer <i>et al.</i> 2013
PhIsK2	<i>P. heliodiscus</i>	NA	NA	DQ997885	Reimer <i>et al.</i> 2007a
PhIsK11	<i>P. heliodiscus</i>	NA	NA	DQ997880	Reimer <i>et al.</i> 2007a
PhEK1	<i>P. heliodiscus</i>	NA	NA	DQ997882	Reimer <i>et al.</i> 2007a
PhSaiLL1	<i>P. heliodiscus</i>	AB219214	AB219223	NA	Reimer <i>et al.</i> 2006
PhEK1	<i>P. heliodiscus</i>	NA	AB219224	NA	Reimer <i>et al.</i> 2006
PhPpM1	<i>P. heliodiscus</i>	AB219216	NA	NA	Reimer <i>et al.</i> 2006

3

4

# **Table 6**(on next page)

Ovary development in polyps of four species of *Palythoa*.

Number of polyps possessing developing and/or developed ovaries (N), total number of examined polyps (Nt) and ratio of N to Nt for collected specimens of *P. tuberculosa*, *P. mutuki*, *P. sp. yoron* and *P. aff. mutuki* on each sampling date. \* indicates observation of developed ovaries in specimens.

**Table 6.** Number of polyps possessing developing and/or developed ovaries (N), total number of examined polyps (Nt) and ratio of N to Nt for collected specimens of *P. tuberculosa*, *P. mutuki*, *P. sp. yoron* and *P. aff. mutuki* on each sampling date. \* indicates observation of developed ovaries in specimens.


Species	<i>P. tuberculosa</i>			<i>P. mutuki</i>			<i>P. sp. yoron</i>			<i>P. aff. mutuki</i>		
Date	N	Nt	N/Nt (%)	N	Nt	N/Nt (%)	N	Nt	N/Nt (%)	N	Nt	N/Nt (%)
2010.06.15	2	60	3	0	13	0	0	18	0	-	-	-
07.28	36	61	*59	12	22	55	0	49	0	-	-	-
08.30	42	80	53	5	20	25	0	52	0	-	-	-
09.20	27	118	*23	7	25	28	0	51	0	-	-	-
10.26	0	198	0	NA	NA	NA	16	52	*31	-	-	-
12.08	0	89	0	0	54	0	0	53	0	-	-	-
2011.06.21	40	78	51	1	36	3	0	54	0	4	4	*100
07.23	9	63	14	14	30	47	0	53	0	4	5	80
08.22	10	63	16	14	40	35	1	43	2	1	7	14
10.05	10	65	15	15	37	41	18	46	*41	0	6	0
11.09	0	72	0	2	34	6	15	40	*40	0	6	0
11.28	5	52	10	0	31	0	0	44	0	NA	NA	NA
12.16	0	63	0	0	36	0	0	40	0	0	9	0
2012.02.12	0	82	0	0	52	0	0	47	0	0	8	0

# Figure 1

Map of *Palythoa* species specimen locations in the Ryukyu Archipelago in this study.

Map of *Palythoa* species specimen locations in the Ryukyu Archipelago, including Okinawa-jima I., Zamami-jima I., Yoron-to I., Okinoerabu-jima I., and Tokunoshima I. Locations for specimens collected in this study represented by closed symbols, location for spawning timing investigations represented by open symbol.






# Figure 2

In situ images of *Palythoa* species examined in this study.

In situ images of **A** *Palythoa tuberculosa*, **B** *P. mutuki*, **C** *P. sp. yoron*, **D** *P. aff. mutuki*, **E** *P. tuberculosa* (left; “Pt”) and *P. sp. yoron* (right, “Py”), and **F** *P. mutuki* (left, “Pm”) and *P. aff. mutuki* (right, “Pam”). Scale bars in **A**, **C**, **E** are 2 cm, in **B**, **D**, **F** 1 cm. All images taken by M. Mizuyama.



# Figure 3

Cnidae of *Palythoa* species examined in this study.

Cnidae in tentacles, column, pharynx, and filaments of *Palythoa* aff. *mutuki*, *Palythoa mutuki*, *Palythoa* sp. *yoron*, and *Palythoa tuberculosa*. S = spirocysts, B = basitrichs, HS = holotrichs small, HL= holotrichs large, P = microbasic p-mastigophores.

*Palythoa aff. mutuki*




*Palythoa mutuki*



*Palythoa sp. yoron*





*Palythoa tuberculosa*



# Figure 4(on next page)

Monthly change of ratio of number of polyps possessing developing and/or developed ovaries (N) on total number of examined polyps (Nt).

A. Monthly change of ratio of number of polyps possessing developing and/or developed ovaries (N) on total number of examined polyps (Nt) in 2010. Red, *P. tuberculosa*; blue, *P. mutuki*; yellow, *P. sp. yoron*. B. Monthly change of ratio of number of polyps possessing developing and/or developed ovaries (N) on total number of examined polyps (%) in 2011. Red, *P. tuberculosa*; blue, *P. mutuki*; yellow, *P. sp. yoron*; green, *P. aff. mutuki*.




# Figure 5

Cross sections of *Palythoa* sp. *yoron* and *P. aff. mutuki* showing ovary development.

Cross section of polyp of **A** *Palythoa* sp. *yoron* (26 October 2010) and **B** matured eggs; **C** *P. sp. yoron* (9 November 2011) and **D** germinal ribbon inside a mesentery; **E** *P. aff. mutuki* (21 June 2011), and **F** developed ovaries. Abbreviations: te, tentacles; od, oral disk; co, coenenchyme; mo, mouth; ph, pharynx; eg, eggs; mf, mesenterial filament; gr, germinal ribbon; ov, ovary. Scale bars: 2 mm in **A** and **E**; 500  $\mu$ m in **B**; 1 mm in **C**, **D** and **F**. All images taken by M. Mizuyama.






# Figure 6

Phylogenetic trees of four DNA markers for *Palythoa* species examined in this study.

**A.** Maximum likelihood (ML) tree of cytochrome oxidase subunit I (COI) sequences. **B.** ML tree of mitochondrial 16S ribosomal DNA (mt16S rDNA) sequences. **C.** Maximum likelihood tree of internal transcribed spacer of ribosomal DNA (ITS-rDNA) sequences. **D.** Maximum likelihood tree of asparagine-linked glycosylation 11 protein (ALG11) region. Values at branches represent ML and NJ bootstrap probabilities, respectively (>50%). Bayesian posterior probabilities of >0.95 are represented by thick branches.



# **Figure 7**(on next page)

Species trees for *Palythoa* under A six species model, and B four species model. Values at branches represent posterior probability.

