Physical Activity and Pre-Diabetes-An Unacknowledged Mid-Life Crisis: Findings From NHANES 2003-2006.

The prevalence of pre-diabetes (PD) among US adults has increased substantially over the past two decades. By current estimates, over 34% of US adults fall in PD category, 84% of whom meet the American Diabetes Association's criteria for impaired fasting glucose (IFG). Low physical activity (PA) and/or sedentary behavior are key drivers of hyperglycemia. We examined the relationship between PD and objectively measured PA in NHANES 2003-2006 of 20,470 individuals, including 7,501 individuals between 20-65 yrs.We excluded all participants without IFG measures or adequate accelerometry data (final N = 1,317). Participants were identified as PD if FPG was 100-125 mg/dl (5.6-6.9 mmol/L). Moderate and vigorous PA in minutes/day individuals were summed to create the exposure variable "moderate-vigorous PA" (MVPA). Theanalysis sample included 884 normoglycemic persons and 433 with PD. There were significantly fewer PD subjects in the middle (30.3%) and highest (24.6%) tertiles of PA compared to the lowest tertile (35.5%). After adjusting for BMI, participants were 0.77 times as likely to be PD if they were in the highest tertile compared to the lowest PA tertile (p<0.001). However, these results were no longer significant when age and BMI were held constant. Univariate analysis revealed that physical activity was associated with decreased fasting glucose of 0.5mg/dL per minute of MVPA, but multivariate analysis adjusting for age and BMI was not significant. Overall, our data suggest a negative association between measures of PA and the prevalence of PD in middle-aged US adults independent of adiposity, but with significant confounding influence frommeasures of BMI and age.

Physical Activity and Pre-Diabetes - An Unacknowledged Mid-Life Crisis: Findings From NHANES 2003-2006

Farni K[1], Shoham D.A[2], Cao G[2], Luke A[2], Layden J[2], Cooper R.S[2], and Dugas L.R[2]

Department of Public Health Sciences

Stritch School of Medicine,

Loyola University Chicago

Corresponding author: Lara Dugas, PhD, MPH

Email: ldugas@lumc.edu; Phone: 708 327 9029; Fax 708 327 9009

10 **Abstract**

11 The prevalence of pre-diabetes (PD) among US adults has increased substantially over the past

12 two decades. By current estimates, over 34% of US adults fall in PD category, 84% of whom

13 meet the American Diabetes Association's criteria for impaired fasting glucose (IFG). Low

14 physical activity (PA) and/or sedentary behavior are key drivers of hyperglycemia. We examined

15 the relationship between PD and objectively measured PA in NHANES 2003-2006 of 20,470

16 individuals, including 7,501 individuals between 20-65 yrs. We excluded all participants without

17 IFG measures or adequate accelerometry data (final N = 1,317). Participants were identified as

18 PD if FPG was 100-125 mg/dl (5.6-6.9 mmol/L). Moderate and vigorous PA in minutes/day

19 individuals were summed to create the exposure variable "moderate-vigorous PA" (MVPA). The

20 analysis sample included 884 normoglycemic persons and 433 with PD. There were significantly

21 fewer PD subjects in the middle (30.3%) and highest (24.6%) tertiles of PA compared to the

22 lowest tertile (35.5%). After adjusting for BMI, participants were 0.77 times as likely to be PD if

23 they were in the highest tertile compared to the lowest PA tertile (p<0.001). However, these

24 results were no longer significant when age and BMI were held constant. Univariate analysis

25 revealed that physical activity was associated with decreased fasting glucose of 0.5mg/dL per

26 minute of MVPA, but multivariate analysis adjusting for age and BMI was not significant.

27 Overall, our data suggest a negative association between measures of PA and the prevalence of

28 PD in middle-aged US adults independent of adiposity, but with significant confounding

29 influence from measures of BMI and age.

Background

The prevalence of pre-diabetes among US adults has increased markedly over the past two decades (Cowie, Rust et al. 2006, Karve, Hayward 2010). By current estimates over 34% of nondiabetic US adults can be classified as pre-diabetic, 84% of whom meet the American Diabetes Association's criteria for impaired fasting glucose (IFG) (American Diabetes Association 2008). Individuals with IFG and/or impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) are at increased risk for developing diabetes and cardiovascular disease (CVD) compared to those with normal fasting plasma glucose levels. In addition, IFG has been shown to be an independent predictor of CVD mortality after adjustment for age, sex, and other traditional CVD risk factors (Deedwania, Fonseca 2005, Barr, Zimmet et al. 2007). Compared with pre-diabetes, type 2 diabetes is associated with even greater risk for adverse cardiovascular health outcomes. Therefore, preventing the progression from pre-diabetes to diabetes is a critical link in our efforts to improve cardiovascular outcomes regardless of impact on other cardiovascular risk factors (Knowler, Barrett-Connor et al. 2002).

Investigations into the relationship between physical activity and insulin levels unequivocally demonstrate that high levels of sedentary time, low levels of daily movement, and little moderate to vigorous physical activity are associated with poor glycemic control (Helmerhorst, Wijndaele et al. 2009, Mayer-Davis, D'Agostino et al. 1998, Colberg 2012, Assah, Brage et al. 2008). Insulin resistance is a fundamental attribute of both IFG and IGT, and the inverse relationship between physical activity and insulin resistance is amply documented in both healthy individuals and those with pre-diabetes (Dela, Mikines et al. 1992, Dube, Amati et al. 2011, Hawley, Lessard 2008). Additionally, there is strong evidence that a dose-response relationship exists between insulin sensitivity and exercise "dose" (a combination of intensity, duration, and frequency) (Dube, Allison et al. 2012). Individuals with pre-diabetes have been shown to benefit significantly from intensive lifestyle intervention programs that include modified diets, increased physical activity, or a combination of the two (Gillies, Abrams et al. 2007, Gregg, Chen et al. 2012). In 2002, a randomized clinical trial (The Diabetes Prevention Program [DPP]) demonstrated that lifestyle intervention reduced the incidence of Type 2 diabetes by 58% among those at high risk for developing the disease, including participants deemed to be pre-diabetic (Knowler, Barrett-Connor et al. 2002). However, while intensive lifestyle intervention may

60   prevent the progression from pre-diabetes to diabetes, once a patient is diagnosed with type 2

61   diabetes, interventions such as diet, exercise, and weight loss do not appear to be effective in

62   reducing cardiovascular morbidity and mortality (Look AHEAD Research Group, Wing et al.

63   2013). Additionally, the most effective behavioral intervention techniques aimed at increasing

64   physical activity among Type 2 diabetics have yet to be elucidated (Kinmonth, Wareham et al.

65   2008). Nonetheless, early lifestyle intervention in those with pre-diabetes may represent a

66   window of opportunity for health improvement before the irreversible effects of diabetes set in.

67   Recently, the American Diabetes Association updated their pre-diabetes screening guidelines to

68   reflect the growing body of research that demonstrates early intervention, especially among those

69   with other underlying cardiovascular risks, may significantly decrease the morbidity and

70   mortality related to glucose intolerance (Ferrannini 2014; American Diabetes Association 2014).

71   All asymptomatic individuals with BMI ≥ 25 and at least one risk factor for the development of

72   diabetes (including physical inactivity) should undergo screening using A1C, FPG, or oral

73   glucose tolerance test, and those without risk factors should undergo screening beginning at age

74   45. The American Diabetes Association has long recommended that individuals with IFG and/or

75   IGT undergo lifestyle modifications that include a 5-10% weight loss and moderate intensity

76   physical activity for 30 minutes/day (Nathan, Davidson et al. 2007). To date, studies on the

77   prevalence of IFG among US adults have rarely included objective data on measures of physical

78   activity. Unfortunately, self-reporting of behaviors such as physical activity are subject to both

79   random and systematic reporting bias and are notoriously unreliable (Duncan, Sydeman et al.

80   2001). Devices that objectively measure movement intensity provide a reliable alternative to self-

81   reported activity. To better estimate the physical activity patterns in individuals with IFG, we

82   examined NHANES 2003-2006 to assess the relationship between IFG and physical activity

83   using accelerometers.

Methods

Data from NHANES 2003-2006 were used in this analysis. NHANES samples a representative portion of the US civilian non-institutionalized population via a complex, multistage probability design. Study participants underwent a two-hour interview at home, followed two weeks later by a clinic examination at a mobile examination center (MEC). All participants provided informed consent at at-home interviews and MEC examinations. NHANES annually samples fifteen geographic locations throughout the US, and the overall data collection process for each location takes several weeks. Individual clinical examinations last 3-4 hours and all data collection methods are standardized to minimize site-specific bias (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention). The NHANES sample from 2003-2006 included a total of 20,470 individuals, including 6,932 non-pregnant individuals between the ages of 20-65. We excluded all participants with a history of heart disease (n = 446), diabetes (n = 183), chronic bronchitis, emphysema, and renal disease (n = 183). Additionally, patients without valid BMI, fasting glucose, ethnic, and accelerometry data were excluded (n = 4,803) for a final sample size of n = 1,317.

Standard measuring procedures were used to record height (m), weight (kg), and body mass index (BMI, kg/m^2) (National Center for Health Statistics). Fasting plasma glucose (FPG) levels were determined by analyzing blood samples taken from individuals who had fasted for the previous 8-24 hours. Participants were identified as pre-diabetic if FPG was 100-125 mg/dl (5.6-6.9 mmol/L) (American Diabetes Association 2008).

To monitor physical activity, participants were asked to wear an Actigraph (Actigraph, LLC; Ft. Walton Beach, FL) model 7164 accelerometer over their right hip (National Center for Health Statistics). Accelerometers measure and record vertical acceleration "counts" as indicators of the wearer's physical activity (Welk, Schaben et al. 2004). Participants were asked to wear the device while they were awake in order to achieve a minimum of 10 hours of monitor wear time on four or more days. Wear time was determined by subtracting non-wear time from 24 hours, where non-wear time was defined as an interval of 60 or more consecutive minutes with zero activity intensity counts. The 2003-2006 NHANES survey participants wore the accelerometer for 7 consecutive days.

113 Physical activity as measured by the accelerometers was recorded according definitions of

114 moderate and vigorous physical activity put forth by Freedson (Freedson, Melanson et al. 1998)

115 and described in Troiano (Troiano Berrigan 2008). These definitions use weighted averages to

116 allow the measurements of vertical acceleration recorded by the accelerometers to be translated

117 into estimates of actual physical activity intensity. To meet the requirements for moderate

118 activity, the accelerometers must record 2020 counts/minute, while a classification of vigorous

119 activity requires the accelerometers to record at least 5999 counts/minute. These values were

120 used to estimate the total number of minutes per day the individual spent at each activity level.

121 Physical activity data is presented here as the number of 1 and 10 minute sustained "bouts" of

122 activity at a given level, where bouts are defined as 1 or ≥10 consecutive minutes above the

123 relevant threshold, allowing for interruptions of 1 or 2 minutes below threshold. The number of

124 bouts is then averaged over the total number of days during which the accelerometer was worn.

125 Following the guidelines described by Troiano, we allowed for up to 3 minutes of below

126 threshold count activity before considering the bout to be ended (Troiano, Berrigan et al. 2008).

127 Mean counts per minute were calculated by dividing the sum of activity counts for a day by the

128 number of minutes of wear-time in that day across all valid days.

129 All statistical analyses were completed using Stata (Stata Corp, College Station, TX).

130 **Results**

131   We analyzed 884 healthy control subjects and 433 subjects with pre-diabetes for measures of

132   moderate to vigorous activity in one- and ten-minute bouts as measured by accelerometer. To

133   ensure that data were representative of the US population, we applied mobile exam clinic (MEC)

134   survey weights to NHANES data using standard Stata survey commands. Each group was

135   analyzed for differences in age, BMI, and levels of moderate to vigorous physical activity. The

136   pre-diabetic group was significantly older (p<0.001) and had a larger BMI (p<0.001) than the

137   healthy controls. Male subjects had significantly higher FPG levels than female subjects

138   (p<0.001). Subjects were grouped into tertiles by their average number of bouts of moderate to

139   vigorous physical activity, with the first tertile accumulating the least amount of MVPA (Table

140   1). There were significantly lower proportions of pre-diabetic subjects within the second (30.3%)

141   and third (24.6%) tertiles as compared to the first (35.5%) (p<0.001), and at least 50% of the

142   prediabetics were found in the lowest tertile. Male subjects were disproportionately distributed

143   among the tertiles of physical activity, with the lowest, middle, and highest tertiles containing

144   33.5%, 53.2%, and 74.0% males, respectively (p<0.001). When controlling for BMI alone,

145   subjects within the third tertile were 0.77 times as likely to be pre-diabetic than those within the

146   first tertile (p < 0.01). However, when holding BMI, sex, race, poverty income ratio, and age

147   constant, the difference in odds between the first and third tertile was no longer significant (p >

148   0.01). Using regression analysis and controlling for sex, we explored the associations between

149   fasting glucose, age, and BMI, all as continuous variables. Univariate analysis revealed that

150   physical activity was associated with decreased fasting glucose such that for every minute of

151   additional MVPA, fasting blood glucose levels fell by 0.15mg/dL (p<0.001). Additionally,

152   multivariate analysis of physical activity and fasting blood glucose adjusting for age, sex, and

153   BMI revealed that physical activity was associated with a decreased fasting blood glucose of

154   0.04mg/dL for every minute of additional MVPA (p = 0.001). Lastly, we identified those

155   individuals who met the United States Surgeon General's recommended daily physical activity

156   (>30 minutes of moderate to vigorous physical activity per day). Before adjusting for age and

157   BMI, fasting blood glucose was 2.1mg/dL lower among those who met the surgeon general's

158   physical activity guidelines when compared to those who do not meet the guidelines (p = 0.001).

159   However, when controlling for age and BMI, these between group differences were no longer

160   statistically significant.

Discussion

Our study was the first of its kind to use a large national database to examine the relationship between objectively measured physical activity and pre-diabetes. A significant strength of the current study was the use of objective measures of physical activity. However, variations in participant compliance with accelerometry wear time remain a potential source of sampling bias. Nonetheless, the close association between pre-diabetes and physical activity confirms that across the limited range of physical activity engaged in by US adults diabetes can at least be postponed by relatively little extra activity. However, age, sex, and BMI remained significant confounding factors. We found that NHANES subjects who were the most physically active were 0.77 times as likely to be pre-diabetic as their BMI matched controls who were not as physically active, but these effects were erased when controlling for age. Even among those participants who achieved the recommended 30 minutes of daily moderate to vigorous physical activity, a decrease in IFG could not be attributed to physical activity alone.

Previous studies have described high rates of physical function limitations among type 2 diabetics (Kalyani, Saudek et al. 2010) (Gregg, Beckles et al. 2000), but the relationship between physical disability and prediabetes has not been studied as intensively. In one of the only investigations of physical function in pre-diabetic adults, Lee et al. found that a high prevalence of physical function limitations among those with pre-diabetes (Lee, Cigolle et al. 2013). Taken together, these results suggest that counseling and other interventions focused on increasing physical activity among those at risk for development of type 2 diabetes should be targeted on young adults when musculo-skeletal impairments are less likely to make physical activity more of a challenge.

In NHANES, mean IFG crosses 100 – the threshold for pre-diabetes – at age 40. This mid-life period of asymptomatic mild hyperglycemia therefore represents a crucial window of opportunity for intervention to forestall diabetes and its associated cardiovascular and metabolic morbidities. Additionally, the inseparable relationship between age and physical inactivity necessitates early intervention not only on the grounds of improving blood glucose profiles, but is also in preventing or delaying the onset of physical disability. Although a causative relationship cannot be established from this cross-sectional data, our findings are consistent with the intervention literature regarding physical activity and glucose control. While routine screening for diabetes remains controversial, the gradual age-related climb in IFG is an important personal

192   health parameter. Persons in this age range should receive more attention from primary care

193   physicians and be encouraged to value their good health take seriously the opportunities available

194   to them to prevent or postpone the onset of frank diabetes.

195 **References**

196 AMERICAN DIABETES ASSOCIATION, 2014. Standards of medical care in diabetes--2014.
197 *Diabetes care,* **37 Suppl 1**, pp. S14-80.

198 AMERICAN DIABETES ASSOCIATION, 2008. Diagnosis and classification of diabetes
199 mellitus. *Diabetes care,* **31 Suppl 1**, pp. S55-60.

200 ASSAH, F.K., BRAGE, S., EKELUND, U. and WAREHAM, N.J., 2008. The association of
201 intensity and overall level of physical activity energy expenditure with a marker of insulin
202 resistance. *Diabetologia,* **51**(8), pp. 1399-1407.

203 BARR, E.L., ZIMMET, P.Z., WELBORN, T.A., JOLLEY, D., MAGLIANO, D.J., DUNSTAN,
204 D.W., CAMERON, A.J., DWYER, T., TAYLOR, H.R., TONKIN, A.M., WONG, T.Y.,
205 MCNEIL, J. and SHAW, J.E., 2007. Risk of cardiovascular and all-cause mortality in individuals
206 with diabetes mellitus, impaired fasting glucose, and impaired glucose tolerance: the Australian
207 Diabetes, Obesity, and Lifestyle Study (AusDiab). *Circulation,* **116**(2), pp. 151-157.

208 CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVENTION, , National Health and Nutrition
209 Examination Survey. Available: http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes.htm.

210 COLBERG, S.R., 2012. Physical activity: the forgotten tool for type 2 diabetes management.
211 *Frontiers in endocrinology,* **3**, pp. 70.

212 COWIE, C.C., RUST, K.F., BYRD-HOLT, D.D., EBERHARDT, M.S., FLEGAL, K.M.,
213 ENGELGAU, M.M., SAYDAH, S.H., WILLIAMS, D.E., GEISS, L.S. and GREGG, E.W., 2006.
214 Prevalence of diabetes and impaired fasting glucose in adults in the U.S. population: National
215 Health And Nutrition Examination Survey 1999-2002. *Diabetes care,* **29**(6), pp. 1263-1268.

216 DEEDWANIA, P.C. and FONSECA, V.A., 2005. Diabetes, prediabetes, and cardiovascular risk:
217 shifting the paradigm. *The American Journal of Medicine,* **118**(9), pp. 939-947.

218 DELA, F., MIKINES, K.J., VON LINSTOW, M., SECHER, N.H. and GALBO, H., 1992. Effect
219 of training on insulin-mediated glucose uptake in human muscle. *The American Journal of*
220 *Physiology,* **263**(6 Pt 1), pp. E1134-43.

221 DUBE, J.J., ALLISON, K.F., ROUSSON, V., GOODPASTER, B.H. and AMATI, F., 2012.
222 Exercise dose and insulin sensitivity: relevance for diabetes prevention. *Medicine and science in*
223 *sports and exercise,* **44**(5), pp. 793-799.

224 DUBE, J.J., AMATI, F., TOLEDO, F.G., STEFANOVIC-RACIC, M., ROSSI, A., COEN, P. and
225 GOODPASTER, B.H., 2011. Effects of weight loss and exercise on insulin resistance, and
226 intramyocellular triacylglycerol, diacylglycerol and ceramide. *Diabetologia,* **54**(5), pp. 1147-
227 1156.

228 DUNCAN, G.E., SYDEMAN, S.J., PERRI, M.G., LIMACHER, M.C. and MARTIN, A.D.,
229 2001. Can sedentary adults accurately recall the intensity of their physical activity? *Preventive*
230 *medicine,* **33**(1), pp. 18-26.

231 FERRANNINI, E., Definition of intervention points in prediabetes, 2014. *The Lancet Diabetes &*
232 *Endocrinology* (Early Online Publication).

233 FREEDSON, P.S., MELANSON, E. and SIRARD, J., 1998. Calibration of the Computer Science
234 and Applications, Inc. accelerometer. *Medicine and science in sports and exercise,* **30**(5), pp.
235 777-781.

236 GILLIES, C.L., ABRAMS, K.R., LAMBERT, P.C., COOPER, N.J., SUTTON, A.J., HSU, R.T.
237 and KHUNTI, K., 2007. Pharmacological and lifestyle interventions to prevent or delay type 2
238 diabetes in people with impaired glucose tolerance: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ*
239 *(Clinical research ed.),* **334**(7588), pp. 299.

240 GREGG, E.W., BECKLES, G.L., WILLIAMSON, D.F., LEVEILLE, S.G., LANGLOIS, J.A.,
241 ENGELGAU, M.M. and NARAYAN, K.M., 2000. Diabetes and physical disability among older
242 U.S. adults. *Diabetes care,* **23**(9), pp. 1272-1277.

243 GREGG, E.W., CHEN, H., WAGENKNECHT, L.E., CLARK, J.M., DELAHANTY, L.M.,
244 BANTLE, J., POWNALL, H.J., JOHNSON, K.C., SAFFORD, M.M., KITABCHI, A.E., PI-
245 SUNYER, F.X., WING, R.R., BERTONI, A.G. and LOOK AHEAD RESEARCH GROUP,
246 2012. Association of an intensive lifestyle intervention with remission of type 2 diabetes. *JAMA :*
247 *the journal of the American Medical Association,* **308**(23), pp. 2489-2496.

248 HAWLEY, J.A. and LESSARD, S.J., 2008. Exercise training-induced improvements in insulin
249 action. *Acta physiologica (Oxford, England),* **192**(1), pp. 127-135.

250 HELMERHORST, H.J., WIJNDAELE, K., BRAGE, S., WAREHAM, N.J. and EKELUND, U.,
251 2009. Objectively measured sedentary time may predict insulin resistance independent of
252 moderate- and vigorous-intensity physical activity. *Diabetes,* **58**(8), pp. 1776-1779.

253 KALYANI, R.R., SAUDEK, C.D., BRANCATI, F.L. and SELVIN, E., 2010. Association of
254 diabetes, comorbidities, and A1C with functional disability in older adults: results from the
255 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES), 1999-2006. *Diabetes care,*
256 **33**(5), pp. 1055-1060.

257 KARVE, A. and HAYWARD, R.A., 2010. Prevalence, diagnosis, and treatment of impaired
258 fasting glucose and impaired glucose tolerance in nondiabetic U.S. adults. *Diabetes care,* **33**(11),
259 pp. 2355-2359.

260 KINMONTH, A.L., WAREHAM, N.J., HARDEMAN, W., SUTTON, S., PREVOST, A.T.,
261 FANSHAWE, T., WILLIAMS, K.M., EKELUND, U., SPIEGELHALTER, D. and GRIFFIN,
262 S.J., 2008. Efficacy of a theory-based behavioural intervention to increase physical activity in an
263 at-risk group in primary care (ProActive UK): a randomised trial. *Lancet,* **371**(9606), pp. 41-48.

264 KNOWLER, W.C., BARRETT-CONNOR, E., FOWLER, S.E., HAMMAN, R.F., LACHIN,
265 J.M., WALKER, E.A., NATHAN, D.M. and DIABETES PREVENTION PROGRAM
266 RESEARCH GROUP, 2002. Reduction in the incidence of type 2 diabetes with lifestyle
267 intervention or metformin. *The New England journal of medicine,* **346**(6), pp. 393-403.

268 LEE, P.G., CIGOLLE, C.T., HA, J., MIN, L., MURPHY, S.L., BLAUM, C.S. and HERMAN,
269 W.H., 2013. Physical Function Limitations Among Middle-Aged and Older Adults With
270 Prediabetes: One exercise prescription may not fit all. *Diabetes care,* **36**(10), pp. 3076-3083.

271 LOOK AHEAD RESEARCH GROUP, WING, R.R., BOLIN, P., BRANCATI, F.L., BRAY,
272 G.A., CLARK, J.M., CODAY, M., CROW, R.S., CURTIS, J.M., EGAN, C.M., ESPELAND,
273 M.A., EVANS, M., FOREYT, J.P., GHAZARIAN, S., GREGG, E.W., HARRISON, B.,
274 HAZUDA, H.P., HILL, J.O., HORTON, E.S., HUBBARD, V.S., JAKICIC, J.M., JEFFERY,
275 R.W., JOHNSON, K.C., KAHN, S.E., KITABCHI, A.E., KNOWLER, W.C., LEWIS, C.E.,
276 MASCHAK-CAREY, B.J., MONTEZ, M.G., MURILLO, A., NATHAN, D.M., PATRICIO, J.,
277 PETERS, A., PI-SUNYER, X., POWNALL, H., REBOUSSIN, D., REGENSTEINER, J.G.,
278 RICKMAN, A.D., RYAN, D.H., SAFFORD, M., WADDEN, T.A., WAGENKNECHT, L.E.,
279 WEST, D.S., WILLIAMSON, D.F. and YANOVSKI, S.Z., 2013. Cardiovascular effects of
280 intensive lifestyle intervention in type 2 diabetes. *The New England journal of medicine,* **369**(2),
281 pp. 145-154.

282 MAYER-DAVIS, E.J., D'AGOSTINO, R.,Jr, KARTER, A.J., HAFFNER, S.M., REWERS, M.J.,
283 SAAD, M. and BERGMAN, R.N., 1998. Intensity and amount of physical activity in relation to
284 insulin sensitivity: the Insulin Resistance Atherosclerosis Study. *JAMA : the journal of the*
285 *American Medical Association,* **279**(9), pp. 669-674.

286 NATHAN, D.M., DAVIDSON, M.B., DEFRONZO, R.A., HEINE, R.J., HENRY, R.R.,
287 PRATLEY, R., ZINMAN, B. and AMERICAN DIABETES ASSOCIATION, 2007. Impaired
288 fasting glucose and impaired glucose tolerance: implications for care. *Diabetes care,* **30**(3), pp.
289 753-759.

290 NATIONAL CENTER FOR HEALTH STATISTICS, *Anthropomorphetry and physical activity*
291 *monitor procedures manual.* Hyattsville, MD: US Department of Health and Human Services,
292 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

293 TROIANO, R.P., BERRIGAN, D., DODD, K.W., MASSE, L.C., TILERT, T. and
294 MCDOWELL, M., 2008. Physical activity in the United States measured by accelerometer.
295 *Medicine and science in sports and exercise,* **40**(1), pp. 181-188.

296 WELK, G.J., SCHABEN, J.A. and MORROW, J.R.,Jr, 2004. Reliability of accelerometry-based
297 activity monitors: a generalizability study. *Medicine and science in sports and exercise,* **36**(9),
298 pp. 1637-1645.

[p] Table 1. Subjectcharacteristics (mean ± SD) of reweighted analyzed sample – NHANES 2003-2006, ages 20-65 y for all subjects (N = 1,317) by tertile ofmoderate to vigorous physical activity (tertile 1 N = 463, tertile 2 N = 422,tertile 3 N = 432).

Table 1. Subject characteristics (mean ± SD) of reweighted analyzed sample – NHANES 2003-2006, ages 20-65 y for all subjects (N = 1,317) by tertile of moderate to vigorous physical activity (tertile 1 N = 463, tertile 2 N = 422, tertile 3 N = 432). Thresholds for tertile 1 = 0-16 one minute bouts, 0-12.7 ten minute bouts; Tertile 2 = 16.4-33.9 one minute bouts, 0-27 ten minute bouts; Tertile 3 = >33.9 one minute bouts, 0-125 ten minute bouts.

Variable	Tertile 1 (N = 463)	Tertile 2 (N = 422)	Tertile 3 (N = 432)	All subjects (N = 1317)
Weight (kg)	85.3 ± 1.1	82.7 ± 1.1	79.8 ± 0.8	82.6 ± 0.6
Age (yr)	45.0 ± 0.6	42.0 ± 0.7	39.1 ± 0.6	42.1 ± 0.4
Percent male	33.5%	53.2%	74.0%	52.7%
BMI	30.1 ± 0.3	27.7 ± 0.3	26.5 ± 0.2	28.1 ± 0.2
SBP (mmHg)	122.1 ± 0.8	118.6 ± 0.9	116.9 ± 0.6	119.2 ± 0.5
DBP (mmHg)	72.4 ± 0.8	72.2 ± 0.7	70.9 ± 0.6	71.9 ± 0.4
Insulin (uu/mL)	11.8 ± 0.4	9.6 ± 0.4	7.9 ± 0.3	9.8 ± 0.2
Glucose (mg/dL)	96.7 ± 0.6	95.7 ± 0.6	94.3 ± 0.6	95.6 ± 0.4
Mean counts per minute	244.9 ± 3.8	343.3 ± 4.7	501.4 ± 7.0	361.4 ± 5.5
Mean wear time (hr/day)	14.1 ± 0.1	14.5 ± 0.1	14.7 ± 0.1	14.4 ± 0.0
Moderate activity (min. in 1 min. bouts)	8.7 ± 0.2	24.2 ± 0.3	51.9 ± 1.2	28.0 ± 0.8
Vigorous activity (min. in 1 min. bouts)	0.1 ± 0.0	0.7 ± 0.1	3.1 ± 0.3	1.3 ± 0.1
Moderate and vigorous activity (min. in 1 min. bouts)	8.8 ± 0.2	24.9 ± 0.3	55.0 ± 1.4	29.3 ± 0.8
Moderate activity (min. in 10 min. bouts)	0.7 ± 0.1	5.2 ± 0.4	14.5 ± 0.8	6.7 ± 0.4
Vigorous activity (min. in 10 min. bouts)	0.0 ± 0.0	0.5 ± 0.1	2.0 ± 0.2	0.8 ± 0.1
Moderate and vigorous activity (min. in 10 min. bouts)	0.8 ± 0.1	6.0 ± 0.4	18.2 ± 1.1	8.2 ± 0.5
Pre-diabetic (%)	35.5 ± 3.1	30.3 ± 3.2	24.6 ± 2.6	30.2 ± 2.3