

A new therocephalian (*Gorynychus masyutinae* gen. et sp. nov.) from the Permian Kotelnich locality, Kirov Region, Russia

Christian F Kammerer^{Corresp., 1}, Vladimir Masyutin²

¹ North Carolina Museum of Natural Sciences, Raleigh, North Carolina, United States of America

² Vyatka Paleontological Museum, Kirov, Russia

Corresponding Author: Christian F Kammerer
Email address: jonkeria@gmail.com

A new therocephalian taxon (*Gorynychus masyutinae* gen. et sp. nov.) is described based on a nearly complete skull and partial postcranium from the Permian Kotelnich locality of Russia. *Gorynychus* displays an unusual mixture of primitive (“pristerosaurian”) and derived (eutherocephalian) characters. Primitive features of *Gorynychus* include extensive dentition on the palatal boss and transverse process of the pterygoid, paired vomers, and a prominent dentary angle; derived features include the absence of the postfrontal. *Gorynychus* can be distinguished from all other therocephalians by its autapomorphic dental morphology, with roughly denticulated incisors and postcanines. Phylogenetic analysis recovers *Gorynychus* as a non-lycosuchid, non-scylacosaurid therocephalian situated as sister-taxon to Eutherocephalia. The identification of *Gorynychus* as the largest predator from Kotelnich indicates that therocephalians acted as apex predators in middle-late Permian transition ecosystems in Russia, corroborating a pattern observed in South African faunas. However, other aspects of the Kotelnich fauna, and Permian Russian tetrapod faunas in general, differ markedly from those of South Africa and suggest that Karoo faunas are not necessarily representative of global patterns.

1 **A new therocephalian (*Gorynychus masyutinae* gen. et sp. nov.) from the Permian**

2 **Kotelnich locality, Kirov Region, Russia**

3

4 Christian F. Kammerer¹ and Vladimir V. Masyutin²

5

6 ¹North Carolina Museum of Natural Sciences, 11 W. Jones Street, Raleigh, North Carolina, USA

7 ²Vyatka Paleontological Museum, Spasskaya ulitsa 22, Kirov, Russia 610000

8

9 *Correspondence to:* C. F. Kammerer (christian.kammerer@naturalsciences.org)

10

11 **Abstract.** A new therocephalian taxon (*Gorynychus masyutinae* gen. et sp. nov.) is described
12 based on a nearly complete skull and partial postcranium from the Permian Kotelnich locality of
13 Russia. *Gorynychus* displays an unusual mixture of primitive (“pristerosaurian”) and derived
14 (eutherocephalian) characters. Primitive features of *Gorynychus* include extensive dentition on
15 the palatal boss and transverse process of the pterygoid, paired vomers, and a prominent
16 dentary angle; derived features include the absence of the postfrontal. *Gorynychus* can be
17 distinguished from all other therocephalians by its autapomorphic dental morphology, with
18 roughly denticulated incisors and postcanines. Phylogenetic analysis recovers *Gorynychus* as a
19 non-lycosuchid, non-scylacosaurid therocephalian situated as sister-taxon to Eutherocephalia.
20 The identification of *Gorynychus* as the largest predator from Kotelnich indicates that
21 therocephalians acted as apex predators in middle-late Permian transition ecosystems in
22 Russia, corroborating a pattern observed in South African faunas. However, other aspects of
23 the Kotelnich fauna, and Permian Russian tetrapod faunas in general, differ markedly from
24 those of South Africa and suggest that Karoo faunas are not necessarily representative of global
25 patterns.

26

27 **Keywords:** Synapsida, Therapsida, Therocephalia, Permian, Russia, phylogeny

28

29 **INTRODUCTION**

30 Therocephalians had perhaps the most unusual evolutionary trajectory of the major clades of
31 non-mammalian therapsids. Whereas other therapsid groups remained relatively static in terms
32 of niche occupation through time, therocephalians ‘reinvented’ themselves several times in their
33 history, each time following mass extinctions. The earliest known therocephalians
34 (Lycosuchidae and Scylacosauridae, historically united in the paraphyletic group
35 “Pristerosauria”), which are best represented in middle Permian sediments of the Karoo Basin of
36 South Africa, were large-bodied (skull length up to 40 cm) predators (Boonstra, 1969; van den
37 Heever, 1980, 1994). Following the extinction of dinocephalians (including the gigantic,
38 carnivorous anteosaurs) at the end of the Capitanian, therocephalians briefly served as the
39 apex predators of the Karoo during the middle–late Permian transition represented by the
40 *Pristerognathus* Assemblage Zone (AZ) (Kammerer, 2011; Abdala et al., 2014; Day et al.,
41 2015). However, by the end of the *Pristerognathus* AZ lycosuchids and scylacosaurids were
42 extinct, and the saber-toothed gorgonopsians had taken over as the dominant large-bodied
43 therapsid predators (Smith et al., 2012; Kammerer et al., 2015). The surviving late Permian
44 therocephalians all belong to the subclade Eutherocephalia, which were predominantly small-
45 bodied animals (skull length ≤ 10 cm), many of which were likely insectivores (Mendrez, 1975;
46 Kemp, 1986; Huttenlocker, 2009). A few eutherocephalians re-evolved large size and inferred
47 macropredatory habits by the end of the Permian (e.g., the whaitsiid *Theriongnathus* and the
48 akidnognathid *Moschorhinus*), but these taxa died out as a result of the end-Permian mass
49 extinction (*Moschorhinus* survived the main extinction pulse, but disappears from the record
50 shortly thereafter, making it an example of a ‘dead clade walking’; Jablonski, 2002; Huttenlocker
51 & Botha-Brink, 2013; Huttenlocker, 2014). Remarkably, despite major losses in the end-Permian
52 mass extinction, therocephalians managed to reinvent themselves yet again, with a moderately
53 successful third act as small-bodied herbivores (the Bauriamorpha) in the Early–Middle Triassic
54 (Sigogneau-Russell & Sun, 1981; Abdala et al., 2014) before the clade was finally lost for good.

55 Basal theriocephalians (lycosuchids and scylacosaurids) are the most common tetrapod
56 predators in middle and earliest late Permian deposits in South Africa, with hundreds of known
57 specimens (Smith et al., 2012) and 55 named species (although this number is clearly oversplit;
58 van den Heever, 1987). By contrast, very few basal theriocephalian fossils have been found in
59 comparably-aged Laurasian rocks, despite extensive records of anomodonts, dinocephalians,
60 and parareptiles from the middle Permian of China and Russia (Li 2001; Ivakhnenko 2003). No
61 theriocephalians have ever been found in middle Permian Chinese rocks. Historically, only a
62 single species of theriocephalian (*Porosteognathus efremovi*, a possible scylacosaurid from the
63 Isheevo locality, Apastovskii District, Tatarstan) was known from the middle Permian of Russia
64 (Vjuschkov 1955; Ivakhnenko 2011). Furthermore, *Porosteognathus* seems to be a minor
65 component of the Isheevo assemblage, which is dominated by dinocephalians and venyukovioid
66 anomodonts (many known from complete skulls and skeletons, whereas *Porosteognathus* is
67 known only from isolated skull bones).

68 The earliest Russian assemblage preserving a substantial number of theriocephalians is
69 the Kotelnich locality in Kirov Region. Although known since the 1930s as a source of
70 spectacularly-complete pareiasaur skeletons (Hartmann-Weinberg, 1937), theriocephalians were
71 not described from Kotelnich until the 1990s (Tatarinov, 1995a, 1995b, 1997, 1999). Now,
72 however, they are the most species-rich tetrapod clade known from the site, with eight named
73 species (*Chlynovia serridentatus*, *Karenites ornamentatus*, *Kotelcephalon viatkensis*, *Muchia*
74 *microdenta*, *Perplexisaurus foveatus*, *Scalopodon tenuisfrons*, *Scalopodontes kotelnichi*, and
75 *Viatkosuchus sumini*), although these may be somewhat oversplit (Ivakhnenko, 2011). The age
76 of the Kotelnich assemblage is somewhat uncertain, with both middle and late Permian ages
77 having been proposed (Tatarinov 2000; Benton et al. 2012). Currently, an early late Permian
78 age is considered most likely, equivalent with the South African *Tropidostoma* AZ based on
79 anomodont comparisons (Kurkin 2011). Benton et al. (2012) suggested equivalency between
80 the Kotelnich assemblage and the *Pristerognathus* AZ. However, the described theriocephalian

81 fauna of Kotelnich is composed entirely of euterocephalians (all of which other than
82 *Viatkosuchus* are very small, i.e. <10 cm skull length), not the large scylacosaurids or
83 lycosuchids characteristic of the *Pristerognathus* AZ in South Africa.

84 Here we describe a new taxon representing the first large, basal (i.e., non-
85 euterocephalian) therocephalian from the Kotelnich locality. This species is represented by two
86 specimens and is the largest known predatory therapsid from Kotelnich, indicating
87 therocephalian occupation of apex predator niches in the Northern as well as Southern
88 Hemisphere during the transition between middle and late Permian tetrapod faunas.

89

90 **Nomenclatural acts**

91 The electronic version of this article in portable document format (PDF) will represent a
92 published work according to the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature (ICZN),
93 and hence the new names contained in the electronic version are effectively published under
94 that Code from the electronic edition alone. This published work and the nomenclatural acts it
95 contains have been registered in ZooBank, the online registration system for the ICZN. The
96 ZooBank LSIDs (Life Science Identifiers) can be resolved and the associated information
97 viewed through any standard web browser by appending the LSID to the prefix
98 <http://zoobank.org/>. The LSID for this publication is: urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:CA4D73A1-8FA7-
99 40DD-A464-621AC01421B6. The online version of this work is archived and available from the
100 following digital repositories: PeerJ, PubMed Central, and CLOCKSS.

101

102 **Institutional abbreviation**

103 **KPM**, Vyatka Paleontological Museum, Kirov, Russia.

104

105 **GEOLOGICAL CONTEXT**

106 The Kotelnich locality is a rich, primarily tetrapod-bearing fossil assemblage in the Kirov region
107 of European Russia. The first fossils from this locality were collected by A. P. Hartmann-
108 Weinberg in the 1930s and consisted mainly of pareiasaurs, but more recent (1990s–present)
109 excavations have revealed a substantially more diverse fauna. Several fossiliferous layers are
110 present at the locality: the lowest red beds represent a more aquatic system which famously
111 preserves numerous complete, fully articulated skeletons of the mid-sized pareiasaur *Deltavjatia*
112 *rossica*. This level (the Vanyushonki Member of Coffa [1997]) has also produced the majority of
113 synapsid finds, including spectacular examples such as the complete, articulated specimen of
114 the small gorgonopsian *Viatkogorgon ivakhnenkoi* (Tatarinov, 1999) and the block of 15
115 skeletons of the arboreal anomodont *Suminia getmanovi* (Fröbisch and Reisz, 2011). Above this
116 level the most common fossils are skulls and disarticulated skeletal material of the
117 oudenodontid dicynodont *Australobarbarus*. In addition to these “red beds”, lenses of later
118 deposition, producing mainly plant and fish remains but also highly fragmentary tetrapods
119 (primarily isolated teeth) are present at the top of the section (Benton et al., 2012).

120 The specimens of the new therocephalian described herein were all found in the lower
121 red beds (Vanyushonki Member) of the Kotelnich locality. The holotype was discovered in 2008
122 by I. Shumov, 10.15 meters below the marker bed and 413 meters upstream from the village of
123 Nizhnaya Vodskaya. These specimens were mechanically prepared by O. Masyutina and are
124 housed in the collections of the Vyatka Paleontological Museum in Kirov.

125

126 **SYSTEMATIC PALEONTOLOGY**

127 **Synapsida** Osborn, 1903

128 **Therapsida** Broom, 1905

129 **Therocephalia** Broom, 1903

130

131 **Gorynychus** gen. nov.

132

133 **LSID:** urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:CD10EB7C-57C0-45BA-8467-75309411E0DD

134 **Type species:** *Gorynychus masyutinae* sp. nov.

135 **Etymology:** Named for the legendary Russian dragon Zmey Gorynych (Змей

136 Горыныч), in reference to the fearsome appearance of this taxon and its status as the largest

137 known predator in the Kotelnich assemblage. Also a play on the English word 'gory' (meaning

138 bloody) and the Ancient Greek ὄνυχος (Latinized 'onychus', meaning claw), in reference to this

139 taxon's inferred behavior being 'red in tooth and claw.'

140 **Diagnosis:** As for type and only species.

141

142 ***Gorynychus masyutinae*** sp. nov.

143 (Figs. 1–10)

144

145 **LSID:** urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:105CB020-2584-4AD1-BF98-2B555EE69644

146 **Holotype:** KPM 346–349 (Figs. 1–8), a single individual (skull and cervical vertebrae in

147 articulation, pectoral and rib elements disarticulated but directly associated with skull) broken

148 into four pieces: KPM 346, a nearly complete skull (with damaged intertemporal region, occiput,

149 and left temporal arcade) and lower jaws with the anterior 4 1/2 cervicals in articulation; KPM

150 347, postcranial elements including remaining half of fifth cervical (precise break, originally

151 articulated with anterior portion) and worn sixth and seventh cervicals, ribs, partial clavicle, and

152 left scapulocoracoid impression; KPM 348, isolated but associated incisor with intact crown; and

153 KPM 349, isolated but associated incisor with damaged crown.

154 **Paratype:** KPM 291 (Figs. 9, 10), a block of fragmentary, disarticulated elements

155 including the anterior portion of a partial right dentary, an isolated incisor, a jugal, at least four

156 vertebrae, several ribs, a fibula, and various indeterminate bone fragments.

157 **Etymology:** Named in honor of Olga Masyutina for her skillful preparation of the
158 holotype of this taxon, as well as numerous other important specimens from the Kotelnich
159 locality.

160 **Diagnosis:** Therocephalian distinguished from all other members of the group by its
161 autapomorphic dental morphology: all marginal teeth serrated, with serrations forming distinct
162 denticles that are especially prominent on the incisors and postcanines. Postcanines 'spade'-
163 shaped and reduced in number (three in the maxilla) relative to most therocephalians. Further
164 distinguished from the other known Russian basal therocephalian *Porosteognathus efremovi* by
165 a shorter tooth row on the pterygoid transverse process situated on a more discrete, raised boss
166 and an anterolaterally-curved and expanded pterygoid palatal boss with fewer (8–9) teeth
167 (transversely broad with ~14 teeth in *Porosteognathus*).

168

169 **DESCRIPTION**

170 The holotype is generally well preserved (Fig. 1), with good bone quality showing surface
171 ornamentation and sutural boundaries on most of the snout and palate (Figs. 2–6). However,
172 the skull is somewhat crushed, the left temporal arch is broken off, and the intertemporal region
173 is badly eroded. The anterior five cervicals are reasonably well preserved (although the atlas is
174 not exposed as prepared), but the subsequent members of the series are badly worn (Fig. 7).
175 Other postcranial elements are broken and worn, and the scapulocoracoid is preserved mainly
176 as an impression (Fig. 8). The paratype is a single block of disarticulated, fragmentary elements
177 (Fig. 9), although most of these elements show good bone preservation (Fig. 10). One of these
178 elements, an isolated incisor with intact crown, can confidently be referred to *Gorynychus*
179 *masyutinae* based on the presence of very large, curved denticles on its mediodistal edges. The
180 dentary fragment also has a tall, robust symphysis identical to that of the holotype; although it is
181 possible this could represent a gorgonopsian, the only gorgonopsians known from this locality
182 are much smaller and actually have weakly-developed dentary symphyses. Given the absence

183 of any other material that is not consistent with identification as *Gorynychus* and the lack of
184 overlapping elements, this set of fossils is interpreted to be the remains of a single *Gorynychus*
185 individual.

186

187 *Cranium*

188 The cranium of KPM 346 is 173 mm in standard basal length (from anteroventral edge of
189 premaxilla to posteroventral edge of occipital condyle) and 208 mm in total dorsal length (from
190 tip of snout to edge of temporal fenestra).

191 The palatal portion of the premaxilla is not exposed in the holotype because of occlusion
192 of the lower jaw. The only information available concerning the ventral surface of the premaxilla
193 comes from the edges of the incisor alveoli. Based on this, *Gorynychus* appears to have had an
194 upper incisor count of five. Although no incisors are preserved in place, two isolated teeth (KPM
195 348 and 349) preserved in association with the skull have root proportions identical to the empty
196 alveoli and are here interpreted as the upper incisors. Only one of these teeth (KPM 348)
197 preserves an intact crown (Fig. 2C). The crown of KPM 348 is elongate, triangular, and weakly
198 recurved with prominent mesiodistal serrations forming distinct denticles. The morphology of
199 these denticles is unique among therocephalians: they are extremely prominent, extend from
200 the tip of the crown right to the root, and each individual denticle is curved in the apical direction.
201 This only comparable morphology among therocephalians occurs in the postcanines of this
202 same specimen. The facial surface of the premaxilla is overlain by the maxilla posteriorly, near
203 the point between the alveoli for I4 and I5 (Figs. 2, 4, 5). The bone surface of the premaxilla is
204 rugose, with a series of well-developed foramina located 3–4 mm above the alveolar margin.
205 **Anteriorly, three foramina are situated in a distinct channel that originates vertically beneath the**
206 **internarial bar then curves posterolaterally** (Fig. 2B). The first and third foramina in this channel
207 are small (<1 mm diameter), but the second is large (1 mm diameter) and situated deep inside
208 the groove. Posterior to this channel, several additional foramina are present on the lateral face

209 of the premaxilla. The internarial bar is angled somewhat anteriorly, such that the snout comes
210 to a distinct 'point' in lateral view (Fig. 4). The ascending ramus of the premaxilla frames the
211 anterodorsal border of the external naris and terminates near the posterodorsal narial border.

212 The septomaxilla consists of a broad ventral plate making up the base of the external
213 naris, a constricted intranarial portion, and a facial process extending between the maxilla and
214 nasal (Figs. 2, 4, 5). The ventral plate is situated immediately dorsal to the premaxillary-
215 maxillary suture. **Immediately ventral to this plate is a large, ovoid foramen (1.5 mm diameter)**
216 **that spans the premaxillary-maxillary suture.** A weak groove extends anterior to this foramen for
217 the length of the ventral plate of the septomaxilla. The constricted intranarial portion of the
218 septomaxilla separates the main portion of the external naris from the maxillo-septomaxillary
219 foramen. It has a pointed, anteromedially-directed anterior process as is typical of
220 therocephalians, but not an expanded transverse lamina as in gorgonopsians. Posterodorsally,
221 the septomaxilla makes a broad, irregular contribution to the facial portion of the snout. This
222 facial process notably does not make up the entire posterior margin of the external naris—the
223 nasal occupies the posterodorsal narial corner (Fig. 2B).

224 The maxilla is a tall, gently curved bone making up the main lateral surface of the snout
225 (Figs. 4, 5). The facial surface of the maxilla is intensely sculptured with shallow, crater-like
226 structures and small foramina, similar to that of some other basal therocephalians (see, e.g.,
227 Abdala et al., 2008). A labial emargination is absent, unlike in *Lycosuchus*. The posterior
228 terminus of the maxilla is a process under the jugal that reaches the midpoint of the orbit. The
229 tip of this process is notably expanded and rugose, but the majority of this process is notable for
230 lacking the dermal sculpturing so prominent on the rest of the maxilla and bearing a weak lateral
231 groove. The maxilla houses four teeth: the enlarged, blade-like canine and three small
232 postcanines. The canine is recurved and has well-developed serrations fore and aft, as is usual
233 in basal therocephalians (van den Heever, 1994; Abdala et al., 2014). Only a single canine is
234 erupted on each side; unlike the usual condition in lycosuchids and a frequent condition in

235 scylacosaurids, there are not simultaneously-occupied anterior and posterior canine alveoli. The
236 tip of a replacement canine is erupting anterior to the right canine, however. The postcanine
237 morphology of *Gorynychus* is unique among therocephalians—these teeth are labiolingually
238 compressed and ‘leaf’ or ‘spade-shaped’, with proportionally large denticles (serrations) along
239 both mesial and distal edges. Although these denticles are not as large or exaggeratedly curved
240 as those on the incisors, they still show distinct curvature (unlike the finer, straight serrations on
241 the canine). As for the premaxilla, the palatal surface of the maxilla is for the most part not
242 visible in this specimen.

243 The nasal is a long, flat bone (Figs. 2–5). It also bears dermal sculpturing, albeit
244 developed to a lesser degree than in the facial portion of the maxilla. Dermal sculpturing to
245 some degree is present on all the bones of the snout, including (albeit very weakly) on the facial
246 portion of the jugal. The naso-frontal suture is gently bowed posteriorly and terminates near the
247 anterior margin of the orbit.

248 The prefrontal is a roughly trapezoidal bone making up part of the snout and the
249 anterodorsal margin of the orbit (Figs. 3–5). Its contribution to the orbital rim is unusually
250 pronounced and rugose. This is also true for the lacrimal, jugal, and frontal, such that that the
251 circumorbital rim is very prominent everywhere but on the postorbital bar. Anteriorly, the
252 prefrontal terminates in a short, blunt process extending between the maxilla and nasal. The
253 prefrontal makes up a large portion (roughly half) of the anterior face of the orbital wall, ~~and~~
254 ~~appears to exclude the frontal from contacting the lacrimal.~~

255 The lacrimal is a large facial bone, nearly equivalent in height to the prefrontal albeit not
256 as anteroposteriorly long (Figs. 4, 5). A similarly-sized lacrimal is also present in *Lycosuchus*,
257 and although this bone is less dorsoventrally expanded in scylacosaurids it is of similar
258 anteroposterior dimensions in that group as well (van den Heever, 1994). A large (1.5 mm
259 diameter) lacrimal foramen is present on its contribution to the anterior orbital wall; this foramen

260 does not exit onto the lateral surface. A second, smaller lacrimal foramen may be present
261 ventral to the first, but this is uncertain because of damage.

262 The jugal makes up the majority of the zygomatic arch (Fig. 4). Its facial portion is an
263 attenuate process terminating below the lacrimal, posterior to the anterior lacrimal margin. Its
264 facial portion is remarkably small for an early theriodont. In gorgonopsians, the jugal typically
265 terminates in a broad, plate-like contribution to the snout equalling (or exceeding) the lacrimal in
266 anterior extent (see, e.g., Kammerer, 2015; Kammerer et al., 2015). In *Lycosuchus* and other
267 early therocephalians, the facial portion of the jugal terminates well posterior to the anterior
268 edge of the lacrimal, but still forms a tall plate on the snout and occupies almost the entire
269 ventral margin of the orbit (van den Heever, 1994). The jugal is constricted beneath the
270 posterior margin of the orbit, before expanding to form a major part of the postorbital bar. In the
271 postorbital bar, an ascending process of the jugal extends dorsally between the postfrontal
272 anteriorly and a narrow lamina of the postorbital posteriorly. Posterior to the postorbital bar the
273 jugal makes a tall contribution to the subtemporal bar, narrowing posteriorly and curving
274 downwards. In the posterior half of the subtemporal bar, the jugal is bifurcated by an anterior
275 process of the squamosal. The ventral portion of the jugal extends nearly to the back of the
276 skull, and has a weakly bulbous terminus. In addition to being preserved in the holotype, an
277 isolated jugal is present on the paratype block (Fig. 9), identifiable by the characteristic
278 subtemporal curvature and well-developed process contributing to the postorbital bar.

279 The squamosal is preserved mainly in its zygomatic ramus (Fig. 4), as the occiput and
280 intertemporal region are poorly preserved in this specimen (Fig. 1). It has a deep and fairly
281 restricted squamosal sulcus, giving it a 'forked' appearance in lateral view. Medially, it forms the
282 posterior portion of the lateral margin of the temporal fenestra (anteriorly, this margin is formed
283 by the jugal).

284 The frontal is a mostly-flat bone making up the interorbital skull roof (Fig. 3). The medial
285 portion of the frontal is damaged in this specimen, but its contribution to the orbit is well

286 preserved and shows that it was only weakly ornamented dorsally, until the very edge of the
287 orbit (in which it is expanded and rugose, like most of the circumorbital rim). The suture between
288 the frontal and ~~postfrontal~~ is poorly preserved and difficult to interpret, but it appears that the
289 frontal makes only a narrow contribution to the dorsal orbital wall (Fig. 4), as in *Lycosuchus* (van
290 den Heever, 1994).

291 A distinct postfrontal is absent in *Gorynychus*; this element may be fused with the
292 postorbital. The postorbital makes up a broad portion of the skull roof at the posterodorsal
293 margin of the orbit and continues as a posterior ramus making up the medial face of the
294 temporal fenestra (Figs. 3, 4). The latter ramus is badly damaged in this specimen, like most of
295 the posterior skull roof, and its posterior terminus and surface texture is indeterminable. The
296 intertemporal bar is narrow, as its typical of therocephalians. However, this region is too
297 damaged to determine its exact proportions or presence/absence of the pineal foramen or
298 sagittal crest. The occiput is even more badly damaged, being worn off entirely dorsal to the
299 occipital condyle (Fig. 5).

300 The vomer is not exposed anteriorly, but its posterior interchoanal portion is a tall,
301 narrow blade, like that of scylacosaurids and unlike the broad, vaulted morphology of
302 *Lycosuchus* (Fig. 6). The vomer is paired—a distinct mid-vomerine suture is visible in the
303 interchoanal portion. Posteriorly, the vomer forms a broad, triangular contribution to the palate,
304 extending between the palatines to contact the anterior margin of the pterygoids posteriorly. An
305 elongate palatal depression or fossa is present medially, originating near the anterior edge of
306 the plate-like palatal portion of the vomer and extending posteriorly until it reaches the
307 transverse processes of the pterygoids. Although the depth of this structure is likely
308 exaggerated by lateral compression in this specimen, its presence is natural.

309 The palatine is the largest bone of the palate (Fig. 6). It is a topologically complex
310 element composed of a laminar anterior process the forms much of the lateral margin of the
311 choana and a broad main portion with a prominent central ridge bounded by medial and lateral

312 depressions. This central ridge extends anterolaterally to posteromedially and is confluent
313 posteriorly with a ridge on the pterygoid, terminating with the palatal boss. A suborbital fenestra,
314 characteristic of therocephalians, is present at its posterolateral margin, bounded posteriorly by
315 the pterygoid. Presumably it is also bounded laterally by the ectopterygoid, but this element is
316 indistinct if present, probably due to poor preservation of the lateral margins of the palate.

317 As is typical of early therapsids, the pterygoid consists of three distinct processes:
318 palatal, transverse, and quadrate (Fig. 6). The palatal portion of the pterygoid has an
319 anteromedial-to-posteromedially-angled, strongly interdigitated suture with the palatine.
320 Anteriorly it forms a short portion of the prominent palatal ridges (central ridge of palatine)
321 before expanding into the dentigerous palatal bosses posteriorly. Dentigerous palatal bosses
322 are ancestral for therapsids; although they are present in scylacosaurids and even various
323 eutheriocephalians (van den Heever, 1994; Huttenlocker & Smith, 2017), they are absent in
324 lycosuchids (*Lycosuchus* and *Simorhinella*) (Abdala et al., 2014). The palatal boss is 'teardrop'-
325 shaped, with a narrow posterior tip and broad, rounded anterior. It is curved anterolaterally. The
326 boss bears two tooth rows. On the left palatal boss (the more completely preserved of the two),
327 the posterior tooth row follows the curve of the boss and is made up of five teeth. The anterior
328 tooth row is transversely oriented and is made up of four teeth, for a total of nine (six are
329 present on the right boss, but as mentioned this boss is damaged and this is probably not the
330 complete complement of teeth). The transverse process of the pterygoid extends ventrolaterally:
331 it has a broad base medially, constricts in ventral view towards its midpoint, and then expands
332 into a rounded lateral tip where it braces the mandible. The anterior face of the transverse
333 process bears a broad, shallow depression. Unlike most therocephalians, but similar to
334 lycosuchids, the transverse process is dentigerous in *Gorynychus*. Unlike *Lycosuchus* and
335 *Simorhinella*, however, where the tooth row is relatively long (five teeth) and the teeth erupt
336 directly from the main ramus of the transverse process, in *Gorynychus* there are only 2–3 teeth
337 situated on discrete, ovoid bosses raised above the medial bases of the transverse processes.

338 On the right transverse process there are only two teeth, a large lateral and small medial one;
339 on the left there are three teeth of equal size, similar to the small one of the right. No
340 interpterygoid vacuity is present; although there is a weak depression between the transverse
341 processes medially, it is entirely bounded by bone dorsally. The quadrate process of the
342 pterygoid is situated dorsal to the transverse process. It extends posterolaterally from a position
343 near the medial base of the transverse process towards the quadrate, weakly curving along its
344 length. The anterolateral margin of the quadrate process forms a tall, narrow ridge;
345 posteromedially it forms a broad, concave plate bounding the lateral edge of the parasphenoid
346 rostrum.

347 The parasphenoid-basisphenoid complex forms a narrow median rostrum originating
348 behind the transverse processes of the pterygoids and extending posteriorly to the basal tubera
349 (Fig. 6). Although tall and blade-like posteriorly, the anterior two-thirds of this rostrum are
350 divided by a narrow median groove. The posterior terminus of the rostrum is abrupt, with a
351 sharp drop to the base of the basal tubera (typical of early theriocephalians) instead of a gradual
352 decrease in height. Dorsally, the parasphenoid forms a narrow median lamina above the
353 pterygoid, forming part of the mid-orbital plate (Fig. 5). Dorsal to this anteriorly is a tall, laminar
354 bone interpreted as the orbitosphenoid, which extends dorsally to contact the frontal-postfrontal
355 wall of the orbit. Dorsal to it posteriorly is the epipterygoid, which has an anteroposteriorly broad
356 footplate ventrally that sits atop the parabasisphenoid. The ascending process of the
357 epipterygoid narrows dorsally before expanding again at its contact with the ventral face of the
358 parietal. The basal tubera are broadly separated by a median depression (Fig. 6). They are
359 relatively slender and angled medially at their posterior end instead of forming distinct 'rings' (as
360 in dicynodonts). The left stapes is preserved in place, extending from the basal tuber to near the
361 quadrate. The stapes is dorsoventrally narrow but anteroposteriorly broad, although narrowing
362 along its length laterally (similar to *Lycosuchus*). No foramen or dorsal process is visible, but

363 these could be obscured by matrix. The basioccipital forms a plate posterior to the basal tubera
364 and terminates in the occipital condyle, which is similar to that of other early therocephalians.

365 The dentary is a massive, robust bone with a tall, well-developed symphysis more
366 similar to that of gorgonopsians than other early therocephalians (Figs. 2, 4, 5, 10A). The
367 anterior face of the symphysis is densely foraminated. The roots of at least three incisors are
368 exposed due to damage to the right dentary (Fig. 4), but it is probable that more were present,
369 given that these three do not occupy the entirety of the symphyseal length. The crowns of the
370 lower incisors are not exposed. The lower canine is not exposed in the holotype but is well-
371 preserved in the disarticulated dentary fragment in the paratype (Figs. 9, 10A). The lower canine
372 is a tall, recurved tooth with well-developed fore and aft serrations. It is proportionally large,
373 taking up much of the alveolar margin of the symphysis. Based on this position, it would have
374 been situated anterior to the upper canine when in occlusion with the cranium. The lower
375 postcanines are mostly obscured in both known specimens of *Gorynychus*, only a single small
376 lower postcanine can be seen on the right mandibular ramus anterior to the upper PC1 in the
377 holotype. This postcanine is smaller than any of the upper postcanines (2 mm apicobasal
378 length, versus 4 mm in the uppers) but is otherwise identical in morphology, being 'spade'-
379 shaped with well-developed denticulation. The dentary is constricted behind the symphysis,
380 then expands posteriorly, with a well-developed angular process (Fig. 4). The dorsal and ventral
381 margins have raised edges, and a distinct lateral fossa is present between them, extending
382 anteriorly almost to the level of the symphysis. Although superficially similar to the masseteric
383 fossa of cynodonts, this fossa is likely non-homologous; there is no evidence that the superficial
384 masseter was present in therocephalians. The raised ventral margin of the dentary terminates
385 posteriorly in a broad, flattened rugose region serving as the attachment site for adductor
386 musculature. The coronoid process extends freely above the postdentary bones and has a
387 broad posterodorsal terminus, as in the other basal therocephalians (van den Heever, 1994).

388 Unlike *Lycosuchus*, however, in which the posterior edge of the coronoid process is broadly
389 rounded, in *Gorynychus* this edge is concave.

390 The postdentary bones are damaged on both sides of the skull: the surangular and
391 articular are more complete on the right side but the reflected lamina of the angular is broken off
392 ventrally; the surangular and posterior portion of the angular are broken off on the left side but
393 the reflected lamina is more complete (Figs. 4, 5). In general, the postdentary elements are very
394 similar to those of lycosuchids and scylacosaurids. The reflected lamina is large and occupies
395 the entire lateral surface of the angular (typical of early therapsids, but distinct from
396 gorgonopsians in which it is widely separated from the articular). The surface structure of the
397 reflected lamina is typical of early therocephalians: an anterodorsal depression becoming a
398 single broad ridge posteroventrally that then ramifies into ventral and posterior ridges. The
399 surangular is exposed laterally as a narrow, curved element atop the angular, contacting the
400 articular posteroventrally. A short angle is present at the posterior base of the coronoid process
401 of the dentary where it overlies the surangular, and the dentary is weakly raised laterally anterior
402 to this point, accommodating the internal anterior process of the surangular. The splenial is a
403 tall, 'ribbon'-like bone occupying the medial face of the anterior portion of the jaw ramus (Fig. 6).
404 It lacks any lateral exposure, being restricted to the internal surface of the jaw. It covers almost
405 all of the medial surface of the dentary anteriorly, but decreased in height posteriorly before
406 terminating at the level of the transverse process of the pterygoid. Dorsal to the splenial is a
407 narrow, laminar bone: the prearticular. This element broadens posteriorly and becomes more
408 raised and rod-like posterior to the dentary, terminating in an indistinct contact with the articular.
409 Dorsal to the prearticular at roughly the mid-length of the jaw ramus is a short, narrow, laminar
410 element interpreted as being the coronoid. The articular is poorly preserved on both sides in this
411 specimen, but a bulbous, cup-like terminus articulating with the (equally poorly preserved)
412 quadrate can be discerned in medial view on the left side (Figs. 4, 6). Although mostly worn off,
413 the base of a large retroarticular process is present.

414

415 *Postcranium*

416 Little of the postcranium is preserved in the holotype of *Gorynychus masyutinae*. The majority of
417 the cervical series is preserved in articulation with the skull and some ribs and pectoral
418 elements are preserved posterior to this (Fig. 1). The atlas-axis complex is somewhat damaged
419 and obscured by the skull and surrounding matrix and C5-7 are broken and badly worn, but C3
420 and 4 are well-preserved and exposed on both sides (Fig. 7). The cervical vertebrae are
421 amphicoelous (except, presumably, the atlas) and are separated ventrally by small, wedge-
422 shaped intercentra. The transverse processes are short and blunt. At least one cervical rib is
423 preserved on the right side of the specimen in association with but disarticulated from vertebra
424 C3. This rib has a broadly falcate head and mediolaterally narrow main body. Well-developed,
425 stout prezygapophyses (12 mm anteroposterior length) extending anterior to the centra are
426 present in C3 and 4, contacting the associated postzygapophyses of the anterior vertebrae
427 ventrally (and slightly laterally, as the prezygapophysis bulges out somewhat at its anterodorsal
428 edge). No anapophyses are present. The neural spines of C3 (17 mm tall) and 4 are constricted
429 immediately above their point of origin but expand dorsally into broad, rounded tips (15 mm
430 wide in C3). The axial neural spine is definitely anteroposteriorly broader than that of the
431 subsequent vertebrae (Fig. 7), but its exact dimensions (including height relative to subsequent
432 neural spines) are uncertain due to crushing. An isolated vertebra interpreted as the axis in the
433 paratype KPM 291 preserves a tall, broad neural spine (Fig. 9), suggesting that the axial spine
434 was substantially taller and broader than subsequent neural spines, as is typical for theriodonts
435 (Jenkins, 1971). The other vertebrae preserved in KPM 291 have relatively lower, longer centra
436 and shorter neural spines (Fig. 10B) than those of C3 and 4 in the holotype. They likely
437 represent dorsals or even anterior caudals, although most are too poorly preserved to identify
438 with any confidence.

439 The non-vertebral postcranial elements in the holotype are mostly damaged (Fig. 8) The
440 remains of at least eight ribs are present, but little of their morphology is exposed other than
441 simple, curved shafts. A robust, curved elongate bone exposed in worn cross-section probably
442 represents the clavicle, but nothing more about its morphology can be said. The left
443 scapulocoracoid is preserved almost entirely as impression (there is a small chunk of actual
444 bone from the anterior margin of the procoracoid). This impression shows that the coracoid-
445 procoracoid base of the structure was very anteroposteriorly broad. The scapula is broad
446 ventrally but narrows markedly dorsally, where it curves anteriorly at tip. A prominent ridge on
447 the scapula originates at the posterior margin of this element before curving anteriorly along the
448 length of the scapular spine. An elongate bone preserved in the paratype (Fig. 9) is here
449 identified as a fibula. This element lacks the curvature seen in the cervical-thoracic ribs on this
450 block and in KPM 347, and is too long to be a lumbar rib. Additionally, it is expanded at both
451 ends, unlike a rib. The morphology of this element is identical to the fibula in other
452 therocephalians, in which it is usually a narrow, simple bone (Fourie & Rubidge, 2009).

453

454 **PHYLOGENETIC ANALYSIS**

455 *Gorynychus masyutinae* was coded into the most recent analysis of therocephalian
456 interrelationships, that of Huttenlocker & Smith (2017). This analysis includes 57 taxa (including
457 the newly-added *Gorynychus*) and 136 discrete-state characters (available as Supplemental
458 Data). Analysis was undertaken using heuristic searching in PAUP* (Swofford, 2002) v.4.0a
459 (build 159), treating *Biarmosuchus tener* as the outgroup. All characters were treated as
460 unordered following Huttenlocker & Smith (2017). Bootstrap analysis was done using “fast”
461 stepwise addition for 1000 replicates.

462 1260 most parsimonious trees of length 389 were recovered (consistency index=0.429,
463 retention index=0.785). The strict consensus tree recovers *Gorynychus masyutinae* as the
464 sister-taxon of Eutherocephalia (i.e., Akidnognathidae+Whaitsioidea+Baurioidea) (Fig. 11). The

465 addition of *Gorynychus* adds substantial instability to the analysis of Huttenlocker & Smith
466 (2017), notably with the complete collapse of Whaitsioidea (although a core Hofmeyriidae
467 composed of *Hofmeyria*, *Ictidostoma*, and *Mirotenthes* is retained). Unusually, *Perplexisaurus*
468 falls outside of Eutherocephalia in the current analysis, occupying the node between
469 Scylacosauridae and (*Gorynychus*+Eutherocephalia). This is probably attributable to the
470 extremely fragmentary nature of *Perplexisaurus*, which otherwise is very similar to *Karenites*
471 and probably closely related.

472 The breakdown of one of the major eutherocephalian clades (Whaitsioidea) as the sole
473 result of adding *Gorynychus* to the dataset indicates remarkably poor support for a group that,
474 on a strictly gestalt basis, seems to be very well-characterized. This problem can likely be
475 blamed on extensive homoplasy in Eutherocephalia, as well as the need for additional sources
476 of phylogenetic data. Only 19/136 characters in Huttenlocker & Smith's (2017) analysis are
477 postcranial, for instance. Although cranial-focused analyses are standard for Therapsida (an
478 artifact in part of preferential collecting of skulls by Karoo paleontologists during most of the 20th
479 century), recent analyses of synapsid relationships (e.g., Benson, 2012) have highlighted the
480 importance of bringing more robust sets of postcranial data to bear on phylogenetic problems in
481 this clade. More basic descriptive work on therocephalian postcrania is needed; although
482 skeletons are now known for a wide array of taxa, anatomical descriptions are currently
483 available for only a select few (e.g., Kemp, 1986; Fourie & Rubidge, 2009; Botha-Brink &
484 Modesto, 2011; Fourie, 2013).

485

486 **DISCUSSION**

487 At roughly 20 cm in skull length, *Gorynychus* is the largest predatory component of the
488 Kotelnich tetrapod assemblage. Co-occurring gorgonopsians are substantially smaller, with skull
489 lengths less than 10 cm (Kammerer & Masyutin, in review). The only (inferred) predators
490 approaching *Gorynychus* in size are the burnetiamorph *Proburnetia* (known only from the

491 holotypic mould, of uncertain stratigraphic origin within the Kotelnich series) and the
492 euterocephalian *Viatkosuchus* (Ivakhnenko, 2011). This situations parallels that of middle–
493 earliest late Permian faunas in South Africa (the *Tapinocephalus* and *Pristerognathus* AZs),
494 wherein the only gorgonopsians are small animals and therocephalians are the larger-bodied
495 predators (Kammerer, 2014). The Kotelnich predatory fauna is particularly comparable to that of
496 the *Pristerognathus* AZ; in the middle Permian *Tapinocephalus* AZ, although large-bodied
497 therocephalians were abundant, the apex predators were gigantic anteosaurian dinocephalians.
498 The extinction of anteosaurs at the end of the Capitanian left a depauperate fauna with
499 therocephalians momentarily atop the food chain (Day et al., 2015). It was only following the
500 later extinction of these basal therocephalians that gorgonopsians began to diversify and
501 cemented their position as the dominant large-bodied therapsid predators (Kammerer et al.,
502 2015).

503 Although the presence of a therocephalian apex predator and relatively small
504 gorgonopsians in Kotelnich indicates that the earliest South African records may accurately
505 reflect ancestral body size in these clades (rather than regional peculiarities), in other regards
506 the Kotelnich fauna differs markedly from that of the Karoo. The dominant herbivorous taxon in
507 the Kotelnich fauna, and most abundant tetrapod of any kind, is the pareiasaur *Deltavjatia*
508 (Tsuji, 2013). This pattern is in stark contrast to that of South Africa, where dicynodont
509 therapsids are numerically dominant in all middle–late Permian faunas (Smith et al., 2012).
510 Furthermore, this does not seem to be an isolated oddity, as the best-sampled later Permian
511 Russian tetrapod fauna (North Dvina, a.k.a. Sokolki) also has pareiasaurs (*Scutosaurus*) as the
512 dominant component (although there the top predator is the giant gorgonopsian *Inostrancevia*;
513 this inferred predator-prey pair represents the ‘oligobiomorph community’ of Ivakhnenko [2008]).

514 The therocephalian fauna in Kotelnich is also unusual even by comparison to the
515 *Pristerognathus* AZ. Although euterocephalian fossils have been found in the *Pristerognathus*
516 AZ, they are rarer than scylacosaurids/lycosuchids and are relatively poorly-known

517 (Huttenlocker & Smith, 2017). By contrast, eutherocephalians are the most abundant and
518 species-rich theriodonts at Kotelnich. As such, the Kotelnich fauna seems to capture a phase in
519 therocephalian evolution not well-represented in the South African record: the initial
520 diversification of Eutherocephalia. The recovery of *Gorynychus* as a taxon just outside of
521 Eutherocephalia, rather than a lycosuchid or scylacosaurid, adds another wrinkle to this
522 interpretation. The few eutherocephalians known from the *Pristerognathus* AZ belong to well-
523 known groups (the whaitsioid family Hofmeyriidae and baurioid family Ictidosuchidae) deeply
524 nested within Eutherocephalia and appear in the record seemingly without precedent. In
525 Kotelnich, however, there is a combination of taxa at the base of Eutherocephalia (*Gorynychus*,
526 possible *Perplexisaurus*) and potential early representatives of known eutherocephalian families
527 (e.g., *Viatkosuchus*). This indicates substantial therocephalian diversification occurring in
528 Laurasia at the time, and suggest that eutherocephalians originated outside of the Karoo and
529 only later migrated to the basin.

530

531 CONCLUSIONS

532 Based on the remains of two individuals, a new therocephalian taxon, *Gorynychus masyutinae*,
533 is described from the (probably) earliest late Permian Kotelnich locality of Russia. *Gorynychus* is
534 the largest known predatory tetrapod in the Kotelnich assemblage, and demonstrates that
535 therocephalians acted as top predators in Russian as well as South African assemblages during
536 the transition between typical middle and late Permian terrestrial communities. Although falling
537 outside of Eutherocephalia, *Gorynychus* is more closely related to eutherocephalians than to the
538 large-bodied therocephalian predators of southern Africa (and possibly earlier Permian
539 assemblages in Russia, if *Porosteognathus* from the middle Permian Ishevo fauna truly is a
540 scylacosaurid). The Kotelnich therocephalian fauna shows greater diversity of
541 eutherocephalians than probable coeval faunas in South Africa, and suggests that initial
542 diversification in this clade probably was not occurring in the Karoo Basin.

543

544 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

545 We thank director A. Toporov, curator T. Berestova, and the staff members at the Vyatka
546 Paleontological Museum for all of their help. CFK's research was supported by a grant from the
547 Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (KA 4133/1-1).

548

549 **REFERENCES**

550 **Abdala F, Rubidge BS, van den Heever JA. 2008.** The oldest therocephalians (Therapsida,
551 Eutheriodontia) and the early diversification of Therapsida. *Palaeontology* **51**:1011–1024.

552

553 **Abdala F, Kammerer CF, Day MO, Jirah S, Rubidge BS. 2014.** Adult morphology of the
554 therocephalian *Simorhinella baini* from the middle Permian of South Africa and the taxonomy,
555 paleobiogeography, and temporal distribution of the Lycosuchidae. *Journal of Paleontology*
556 **88**:1139–1153.

557

558 **Benson RBJ. 2012.** Interrelationships of basal synapsids: cranial and postcranial morphological
559 parititons suggest different topologies. *Journal of Systematic Palaeontology* **10**:601–624.

560

561 **Benton MJ, Newell AJ, Khlyupin AY, Shumov IS, Price GD, Kurkin AA. 2012.** Preservation
562 of exceptional vertebrate assemblages in Middle Permian fluviolacustrine mudstones of
563 Kotel'nich, Russia: stratigraphy, sedimentology, and taphonomy. *Palaeogeography,*
564 *Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* **319–320**:58–83.

565

566 **Boonstra LD. 1969.** The fauna of the *Tapinocephalus* Zone (Beaufort beds of the Karoo).
567 *Annals of the South African Museum* **56**:1–73.

568

- 569 **Botha-Brink J, Modesto SP. 2011.** A new skeleton of the therocephalian synapsid
570 *Olivierosuchus parringtoni* from the Lower Triassic South African Karoo Basin. *Palaeontology*
571 **54**:591–606.
572
- 573 **Broom R. 1903.** On the classification of the theriodonts and their allies. *Report of the South*
574 *African Association for the Advancement of Science* **1**:286–294.
575
- 576 **Broom R. 1905.** On the use of the term Anomodontia. *Records of the Albany Museum* **1**:266–
577 269.
578
- 579 **Coffa AA. 1997.** Geology and stratigraphy of the Upper Permian Kotel'nich locality, Vyatka
580 River, Russia. Unpublished PhD thesis, Monash University.
581
- 582 **Day MO, Ramezani J, Bowring SA, Sadler PM, Erwin DH, Abdala F, Rubidge BS. 2015.**
583 When and how did the terrestrial mid-Permian mass extinction occur? Evidence from the
584 tetrapod record of the Karoo Basin, South Africa. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*
585 **282**:20150834 DOI 10.1098/rspb.2015.0834.
586
- 587 **Fourie H. 2013.** The postcranial description of *Ictidosuchoidea* (Therapsida: Therocephalia:
588 Baurioidea). *Annals of the Ditsong National Museum of Natural History* **3**:1–10.
589
- 590 **Fourie H, Rubidge BS. 2009.** The postcranial skeleton of the basal therocephalian
591 *Glanosuchus macrops* (Scylacosauridae) and comparisons of morphological and phylogenetic
592 trends amongst the Theriodontia. *Palaeontologia africana* **44**:27–39.
593

- 594 **Hartmann-Weinberg AP. 1937.** Pareiasauriden als Leitfossilien. *Problemy Paleontologii*
595 **2/3**:649–712.
- 596
- 597 **Huttenlocker AK. 2009.** An investigation into the cladistic relationships and monophyly of
598 therocephalian therapsids. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* **157**:865–891.
- 599
- 600 **Huttenlocker AK. 2014.** Body size reductions in nonmammalian eutheriodont therapsids
601 (Synapsida) during the end-Permian mass extinction. *PLoS ONE* **9(2)**:e87553 DOI
602 10.1371/journal.pone.0087553.
- 603
- 604 **Huttenlocker AK, Botha-Brink J. 2013.** Body size and growth patterns in the therocephalian
605 *Moschorhinus kitchingi* (Therapsida: Eutheriodontia) before and after the end-Permian
606 extinction in South Africa. *Paleobiology* **39**:253–277.
- 607
- 608 **Huttenlocker AK, Smith RMS. 2017.** New whaitsioids (Therapsida: Therocephalia) from the
609 Teekloof Formation of South Africa and therocephalian diversity during the end-Guadalupian
610 extinction. *PeerJ* **5**:e3868 DOI 10.7717/peerj.3868.
- 611
- 612 **Ivakhnenko MF. 2003.** Eotherapsids from the East European placket. *Paleontological Journal*
613 **37**:S339–2465.
- 614
- 615 **Ivakhnenko MF. 2008.** Cranial morphology and evolution of Permian Dinomorpha
616 (Eotherapsida) of Eastern Europe. *Paleontological Journal* **42**:859–995.
- 617
- 618 **Ivakhnenko MF. 2011.** Permian and Triassic therocephals (Eutherapsida) of Eastern Europe.
619 *Paleontological Journal* **45**:981–1144.

620

621 **Jablonski D. 2002.** Survival without recovery after mass extinctions. *Proceedings of the*

622 *National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **99**:8139–8144 DOI

623 10.1073/pnas.102163299

624

625 **Jenkins FA, Jr. 1971.** The postcranial skeleton of African cynodonts. *Peabody Museum Bulletin*

626 **36**:1–216.

627

628 **Kammerer CF. 2011.** Systematics of the Anteosauria (Therapsida: Dinocephalia). *Journal of*

629 *Systematic Palaeontology* **9**:261–304.

630

631 **Kammerer CF. 2014.** A redescription of *Eriphostoma microdon* Broom, 1911 (Therapsida,

632 Gorgonopsia) from the *Tapinocephalus* Assemblage Zone of South Africa and a review of

633 Middle Permian gorgonopsians. In: Kammerer CF, Angielczyk KD, Fröbisch J, eds. *Early*

634 *Evolutionary History of the Synapsida*. Dordrecht: Springer, 171–184, 337 pp. DOI 10.1007/978-

635 94-007-6841-3_11.

636

637 **Kammerer CF. 2015.** Cranial osteology of *Arctognathus curvimola*, a short-snouted

638 gorgonopsian from the Late Permian of South Africa. *Papers in Paleontology* **1**:41–58.

639

640 **Kammerer CF, Smith RMH, Day MO, Rubidge BS. 2015.** New information on the morphology

641 and stratigraphic range of the mid-Permian gorgonopsian *Eriphostoma microdon* Broom, 1911.

642 *Papers in Palaeontology* **1**:201–221.

643

644 **Kemp TS. 1986.** The skeleton of a baurioid therocephalian from the Lower Triassic

645 (*Lystrosaurus* Zone) of South Africa. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* **6**:215–232.

646

647 **Kurkin, AA. 2011.** Permian anomodonts: paleobiogeography and distribution of the group.
648 *Paleontological Journal* **45**:432–444.

649

650 **Li J. 2001.** The most primitive lower tetrapod fauna in China. *Science in China (Series D)*
651 **44**:47–51.

652

653 **Mendrez CH. 1975.** Principales variations du palais chez les thérocéphales sud-africains
654 (Pristerosauria et Scaloposauria) au cours du Permien supérieur et du Trias inférieur.
655 *Problèmes Actuels de Paléontologie—Évolution des Vertébrés. Colloques internationaux du*
656 *Centre national de la recherche scientifique* **218**:379–408.

657

658 **Osborn HF. 1903.** On the primary division of the Reptilia into two sub-classes, Synapsida and
659 Diapsida. *Science* **17**:275–276.

660

661 **Sigogneau-Russell D, Sun A-L. 1981.** A brief review of Chinese synapsids. *Geobios* **14**:275–
662 279.

663

664 **Smith RMH, Rubidge BS, van der Walt M. 2012.** Therapsid biodiversity patterns and
665 environments of the Karoo Basin, South Africa. In: Chinsamy A, ed. *Forerunners of mammals:*
666 *radiation, histology, biology.* Bloomington and Indianapolis: Indiana University Press, 223–246,
667 330 pp.

668

669 **Swofford DL. 2002.** PAUP*. Phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (*and other methods).
670 Version 4. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, Massachusetts.

671

672 **Tatarinov LP. 1995a.** *Viatkosuchus sumini*, a new Late Permian therocephalian from the Kirov
673 Region. *Paleontological Journal* **29**:111–128.

674

675 **Tatarinov LP. 1995b.** A new ictidosuchid *Karenites ornamentatus* (Theriodontia) from the
676 Upper Permian of the Kotel'nich Locality in the Kirov Region. *Russian Journal of Herpetology*
677 **2**:18–33.

678

679 **Tatarinov LP. 1997.** A new scaloposaur (Reptilia, Theriodontia) with an unusual sensory
680 system, from the Upper Permian of the Kirov Region. *Paleontological Journal* **31**:655–661.

681

682 **Tatarinov LP. 1999.** New theriodonts (Reptilia) from the Late Permian fauna of the Kotelnich
683 Locality, Kirov Region. *Paleontological Journal* **33**:550–556.

684

685 **Tatarinov LP. 2000.** New material of scaloposaurians (Reptilia, Theriodontia) from the Upper
686 Permian of the Kotelnich Locality, Kirov Region. *Paleontological Journal* **34**:S187–S202.

687

688 **Tsuji LA. 2013.** Anatomy, cranial ontogeny and phylogenetic relationships of the pareiasaur
689 *Deltavjatia rossicus* from the Late Permian of central Russia. *Earth and Environmental Science*
690 *Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh* **104**:81–122.

691

692 **Van den Heever JA. 1980.** On the validity of the therocephalian family Lycosuchidae (Reptilia:
693 Therapsida). *South African Journal of Science* **81**:111–125.

694

695 **Van den Heever JA. 1987.** *The comparative and functional cranial morphology of the early*
696 *Therocephalia (Amniota: Therapsida)*. Unpublished PhD Thesis, University of Stellenbosch, 659
697 pp.

698

699 **Van den Heever JA. 1994.** The cranial anatomy of the early Therocephalia (Amniota:

700 Therapsida). *Universiteit van Stellenbosch Annale* **1994**:1–59.

701

702 **Vjuschkov BP. 1955.** [Theriodonts of the Soviet Union]. *Trudy Paleontologicheskogo Instituta,*

703 *Akademiya Nauk SSSR* **49**:128–175. [in Russian]

704 FIGURE CAPTIONS

705

706 **Figure 1.** Holotype of *Gorynychus masyutinae*. The two blocks (KPM 346 and 347) making up
707 the majority of the holotype shown in articulation. Holotype also includes two incisor teeth (KPM
708 348 and 349) disarticulated from the skull but found in association (see Figs. 2C and 10D).

709 [planned for page width]

710

711 **Figure 2.** Anterior snout and dentition of *Gorynychus masyutinae*. (A) Photograph and (B)
712 interpretive drawing of the skull (KPM 346) in anterior view. (C) Disarticulated incisor (KPM 348)
713 associated with skull in presumed anterior or anterolateral view. Abbreviations: apc, anterior
714 premaxillary channel; mx, maxilla; na, nasal; nr, naris; pmx, premaxilla; smx, septomaxilla. Gray
715 coloration indicates matrix. Scale bar equals 5 cm. [planned for page width]

716

717 **Figure 3.** Holotype of *Gorynychus masyutinae* in dorsal view. (A) Photograph and (B)
718 interpretive drawing of skull (KPM 346). Abbreviations: d, dentary; fr, frontal; j, jugal; la, lacrimal;
719 mx, maxilla; na, nasal; pmx, premaxilla; po, postorbital; prf, prefrontal; qpt, quadrate ramus of
720 pterygoid; smx, septomaxilla; sq, squamosal. Gray coloration indicates matrix, patterning
721 indicates eroded or broken bone surface. Scale bar equals 1 cm. [planned for page width]

722

723 **Figure 4.** Holotype of *Gorynychus masyutinae* in right lateral view. (A) Photograph and (B)
724 interpretive drawing of skull (KPM 346). Abbreviations: ar, articular; C, upper canine; co,
725 coronoid process of dentary; d, dentary; fr, frontal; i, lower incisor; j, jugal; la, lacrimal; mx,
726 maxilla; na, nasal; pmx, premaxilla; prf, prefrontal; PC, upper postcanine; po, postorbital; q-qj,
727 quadrate-quadratojugal complex; rla, reflected lamina of angular; sa, surangular; smx,
728 septomaxilla; sq, squamosal; ss, squamosal sulcus. Gray coloration indicates matrix, patterning
729 indicates eroded or broken bone surface. Scale bar equals 1 cm. [planned for page width]

730

731 **Figure 5.** Holotype of *Gorynychus masyutinae* in left lateral view. (A) Photograph and (B)
732 interpretive drawing of skull (KPM 346). Abbreviations: C, upper canine; co, coronoid process of
733 dentary; d, dentary; ept, epipterygoid; fr, frontal; j, jugal; la, lacrimal; mx, maxilla; na, nasal; os,
734 orbitosphenoid; pa, parietal; pmx, premaxilla; prf, prefrontal; PC, upper postcanine; po,
735 postorbital; qpt, quadrate ramus of pterygoid; rla, reflected lamina of angular; sa, surangular;
736 smx, septomaxilla. Gray coloration indicates matrix, patterning indicates eroded or broken bone
737 surface. Scale bar equals 1 cm. [planned for page width]

738

739 **Figure 6.** Holotype of *Gorynychus masyutinae* in ventral view. (A) Photograph and (B)
740 interpretive drawing of skull (KPM 346). Abbreviations: an, angular; ar, articular; bt, basal tuber;
741 C, upper canine; d, dentary; j, jugal; mx, maxilla; oc, occipital condyle; pl, palatine; pra,
742 prearticular; ps, parabasisphenoid; pt, palatal portion of pterygoid; q, quadrate; qpt, quadrate
743 ramus of pterygoid; ri, rib; rla, reflected lamina of angular; sf, suborbital fenestra; sp, splenial;
744 sq, squamosal; st, stapes; tpt, transverse process of pterygoid; v, vomer. Gray coloration
745 indicates matrix. Scale bar equals 1 cm. [planned for page width]

746

747 **Figure 7.** Cervical vertebrae of *Gorynychus masyutinae* (KPM 346–347). (A) Photograph and
748 (B) interpretive drawing. Abbreviations: as, axial neural spine; c, cervical vertebra; cr, cervical
749 rib; ic, intercentrum; ns, neural spine; poz, postzygapophysis; prz, prezygapophysis; sf?,
750 possible skull fragment; tp, transverse process. Gray coloration indicates matrix. Scale bar
751 equals 5 cm. [planned for page width]

752

753 **Figure 8.** Postcranial elements of *Gorynychus masyutinae* (KPM 347). (A) Photograph and (B)
754 interpretive drawing. Abbreviations: ?, unknown bone; c, cervical vertebra; cl?, possible clavicle;

755 ri, rib; sc, scapulocoracoid; ve, vertebra. Gray coloration indicates matrix. Scale bar equals 5
756 cm. [planned for page width]

757

758 **Figure 9.** KPM 291, a block containing disarticulated elements referred to *Gorynychus*
759 *masyutinae* gen. et sp. nov. (A) Photograph and (B) interpretive drawing. Abbreviations: ax, axis
760 vertebra; d, dentary; i, incisor; po?, postorbital?; r, rib; ve, vertebra. Elements marked with
761 asterisks are shown in greater detail in Figure 10. Scale bar equals 5 cm. [planned for page
762 width]

763

764 **Figure 10.** Disarticulated elements from the specimen KPM 291. (A) Anterior portion of right
765 dentary preserving lower canine; (B) ?dorsal vertebra; (C) incisor tooth compared with (D)
766 incisor from the holotype (KPM 349). Scale bars equal 1 cm. [planned for page width]

767

768 **Figure 11.** Cladogram showing phylogenetic position of *Gorynychus masyutinae*. Strict
769 consensus of 1260 most parsimonious trees. Numbers at nodes represent bootstrap values,
770 major clades labeled at nodes. [planned for page width]