

Digestive enzyme discovery in carnivorous plants

Rishiesvari Ravee¹, Faris 'Imadi Mohd Salleh¹, Hoe-Han Goh^{Corresp. 1}

¹ Institute of Systems Biology (INBIOSIS), Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi, Selangor, Malaysia

Corresponding Author: Hoe-Han Goh
Email address: gohhh@ukm.edu.my

Background. Carnivorous plants have fascinated researchers with their unique characters and bioinspired applications. These include medicinal trait of some carnivorous plants with potentials for pharmaceutical industry.

Methods. This review will cover recent progress based on the latest literature in the study of digestive enzymes in different genera of carnivorous plants: *Drosera* (sundews), *Dionaea* (Venus flytrap), *Nepenthes* (tropical pitcher plants), *Sarracenia* (North American pitcher plants), *Cephalotus* (Australian pitcher plants), *Genlisea* (corkscrew plants), and *Utricularia* (bladderworts).

Results. Digestive enzymes from carnivorous plants have been the focus of studies for half a decade since the discovery of nepenthesin. Recent genomics approaches have accelerated digestive enzyme discovery. Furthermore, the advancement in recombinant technology and protein purification helped in the identification and characterisation of enzymes in carnivorous plants.

Discussion. These different aspects will be described and discussed in this review alongside the role of secreted plant proteases and their potential industrial applications.

1 **Digestive Enzyme Discovery in Carnivorous Plants**

2 Rishiesvari Ravee, Faris ‘Imadi Mohd Salleh, Hoe-Han Goh*

3 Institute of Systems Biology, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, UKM Bangi 43600 Selangor,
4 Malaysia.

5

6 *Corresponding Author: Hoe-Han Goh

7

8 Email address: gohhh@ukm.edu.my

9 **Abstract**

10 **Background.** Carnivorous plants have fascinated researchers with their unique characters and
11 bioinspired applications. These include medicinal trait of some carnivorous plants with potentials
12 for pharmaceutical industry.

13 **Methods.** This review will cover recent progress based on the latest literature in the study of
14 digestive enzymes in different genera of carnivorous plants: *Drosera* (sundews), *Dionaea* (Venus
15 flytrap), *Nepenthes* (tropical pitcher plants), *Sarracenia* (North American pitcher plants),
16 *Cephalotus* (Australian pitcher plants), *Genlisea* (corkscrew plants), and *Utricularia*
17 (bladderworts).

18 **Results.** Digestive enzymes from carnivorous plants have been the focus of studies for half a
19 decade since the discovery of nepenthesin. Recent genomics approaches have accelerated
20 digestive enzyme discovery. Furthermore, the advancement in recombinant technology and
21 protein purification helped in the identification and characterisation of enzymes in carnivorous
22 plants.

23 **Discussion.** These different aspects will be described and discussed in this review alongside the
24 role of secreted plant proteases and their potential industrial applications.

25

26 **Keywords:** Carnivorous plants; digestive enzyme discovery; industrial application; protein
27 characterisation; secreted protease

28 Introduction

29 Nitrogen is the most crucial mineral nutrient required by plants but its availability is largely
30 limited in many terrestrial ecosystems (Behie & Bidochka, 2013). In adaptation to such
31 unfavourable environment, carnivorous plants have developed the ability to attract, capture and
32 digest preys into simpler mineral compounds which are absorbed for plant growth and
33 reproduction (Ellison, 2006). The very first evidence on the ability of the plant to capture and
34 digest insects was provided over 140 years ago (Darwin, 1875). Since then, more than 700
35 carnivorous species from 20 genera of 12 families (Givnish, 2015) have been identified with
36 captivating physiological and anatomical traits linked to carnivory (Krol et al., 2012).

37 There have been a few reviews on the evolution of carnivorous plants and their
38 biotechnological applications (Król et al., 2012; Miguel, Hehn & Bourgaud, 2018) but a
39 systematic review with focus on the digestive enzyme discovery and characterisation from all
40 families of carnivorous plants is lacking. Furthermore, the pharmacological potentials of some of
41 these carnivorous plants have also been largely overlooked. With the advent of omics technology
42 which accelerated enzyme discovery in carnivorous plants for the past few years, there is a
43 pressing need for a timely review on current progress of studies in this field. This review will not
44 only be interest to researchers working on carnivorous plants but also those with interest in
45 commercially useful enzymes and natural products.

46

47 Survey Methodology

48 In this review, we provide perspectives on the latest research of different carnivorous plants,
49 namely *Cephalotus*, *Drosera*, *Dionaea*, *Genlisea*, *Nepenthes*, *Sarracenia* and *Utricularia*, on
50 their digestive enzyme discovery and characterisation. In earlier studies, the interest on
51 carnivorous plants has centred on their axenic culture, ultrastructure of the specialised trapping
52 organs, absorption of nutrients derived from preys through foliar and the enzymology involved in
53 the prey digestion (Adamec, 1997; Gorb et al., 2004; Farnsworth & Ellison, 2008). Thus, this
54 review summarises the previous findings with focus on the digestive enzymes discovered in
55 carnivorous plants, especially proteases and their industrial applications. Literature survey was

56 performed exhaustively online using Google search engine and SCOPUS. Discussion will be
57 mainly based on recent studies.

58

59 **Different families of carnivorous plants**

60 The emergence of carnivorous syndrome requires significant functional adaptation in plant
61 anatomy and physiology. The development of unique traps is one of the major indicators of
62 carnivorous syndrome. These traps originate from the leaves specialised in trapping, digesting
63 and absorbing nutrients from prey at the cost of reduced photosynthesis (Ellison & Gotelli,
64 2009). The modified leaves of carnivorous plants often form either an active or passive trap
65 (Bauer et al., 2015). An active trap involves movement mechanics to aid prey capture, whereas a
66 passive trap does not have any movement mechanics. Independent evolution in several families
67 of carnivorous plants resulted in five distinct trapping mechanisms (Król et al., 2012), including
68 flypaper trap, snap trap, pitfall trap, suction trap, and eel trap (Table 1).

69 *Drosera* with flypaper trapping mechanism is commonly known as sundews, which
70 belongs to the family of Droseraceae. Earlier studies have reported the application of sundew
71 plant as a remedy for pulmonary illnesses and coughs (Didry et al., 1998), in the form of tincture
72 (Caniato, Filippini & Cappelletti, 1989). Compounds of pharmaceutical interest in *Drosera*
73 include flavonoids, phenolic compounds, and anthocyanins. *Drosera* herbs have been
74 functioning as antispasmodic, diuretic and expectorant agent. Additionally, *in vitro* culture
75 extracts of *Drosera* have reported to contain antibacterial and anticancer properties (Banasiuk,
76 Kawiak & Krölicka, 2012). Interestingly, a crystal-like pigment from *D. peltata* has been used as
77 a dye in silk industry (Patel, 2014).

78 Apart from this, Venus flytrap (*Dionaea muscipula*) is another well-known member of
79 Droseraceae due to its unique snap-trapping mechanism to capture small preys, primarily insects
80 or spiders. The spectacular trait of *Dionaea* is that it has the fastest trapping signal in the plant
81 kingdom was reported in details over 140 years ago (Darwin, 1875). The secretion of digestive
82 fluid is highly induced by touch on the sticky surface of the trap. Naphthoquinones have been
83 discovered from *in vitro* culture extract of Venus flytrap which has been used as a traditional
84 medicine for cough (Banasiuk, Kawiak & Krölicka, 2012). Plumbagin is another promising

85 antitumor compound among the abundant beneficial secondary metabolites found in *D.*
86 *muscipula* (Gaascht, Dicato & Diederich, 2013).

87 *Cephalotaceae*, *Nepenthaceae*, and *Sarraceniaceae* are three families of carnivorous
88 plants which develop modified leaves shaped like a pitcher as a passive pitfall trap. The digestive
89 zone which located in the lowest part of the pitcher contains abundant digestive glands
90 responsible for the secretion of hydrolytic enzymes. Some of the pitchers of *Nepenthes* and
91 *Sarracenia* are so big that larger prey, such as frog and rodent are frequently found partially
92 digested inside the pitcher. This phenomenon caused the plants to be classified as carnivorous
93 instead of insectivorous.

94 For *Sarracenia*, the pitcher acts as a rainwater storage and at the same time contains plant
95 secretions, such as hydrolytic enzymes and other proteins for prey digestion. The secretions
96 formed at the hood of the pitcher draw the attention of prey, which eventually fall and drown in
97 the pitcher fluid (Ellison & Gotelli, 2003). The prey is digested by the digestive enzymes, such
98 as phosphatases, proteases, and nucleases in the pitcher fluid (Chang & Gallie, 1997).
99 Interestingly, *Sarracenia* has been used as traditional remedy for childbirth and as diuretic agent
100 (Patel, 2014). Tea made from dried foliage can be used to treat fever and cold. Besides, the roots
101 are consumed as a remedy for lung, liver and smallpox diseases.

102 *Nepenthes* Tropical pitcher plants are from one of the most species-rich Nepenthaceae
103 family with fascinatingly diverse pitcher structures adapted to different ecological niches and
104 feeding habits. Despite the lack of a complete genome from this family, there are quite a few
105 reports on transcriptome sequences which will be discussed later. Recently, Mu'izzuddin et al.,
106 (2017) reported the first single molecule real time sequencing of full-length transcriptome
107 sequences for *N. ampullaria*, *N. rafflesiana* and *N. x hookeriana*. Ethnomedicinal properties of
108 *Nepenthes* are well documented with boiled roots act as a remedy for stomach ache. The pitcher
109 fluid can be consumed to cure urinary diseases and used as an eye drops to treat itchy eyes. The
110 root and stem can serve as building materials for housing construction in place of rattan due to its
111 elasticity and enduring property (Miguel, Hehn & Bourgaud, 2018). Besides that, *Nepenthes*
112 pitchers have a distinct use in traditional cooking of glutinous rice snacks which is practised by
113 Bidayuh and Kadazan-Dusun people in Malaysia using *N. ampullaria* and *N. mirabilis*
114 (Schwallier et al., 2015). Furthermore, *Nepenthes* also has a great potential as pest control agent

115 in agriculture due to their ability to capture and kill insects such as flies, ants, bees, beetles and
116 some even kill small animals such as frog and rats.

117 *Genlisea* and *Utricularia* are carnivorous plants under the family of Lentibulariaceae.
118 These plants feed on microscopic prey and digest in a closed trap under water. *Utricularia* spp.
119 have reported usage for dressing wounds and as remedy for urinary infections and cough (Patel,
120 2014). To date, *Genlisea aurea* (Leushkin et al., 2013) and *Utricularia gibba* (Ibarra-laclette et
121 al., 2013) are among the four carnivorous plants with genome sequences publicly available, apart
122 from *Drosera capensis* (Butts, Bierma & Martin, 2016) and *Cephalotus* (Fukushima et al., 2017).
123 The availability of genome sequences has contributed greatly to enzyme discoveries and
124 understanding of carnivory mechanisms and evolution in different carnivorous plant families.

125

126 **Digestive Enzyme Discovery, Identification and Characterisation**

127 Digestions of prey by carnivorous plants are determined in part by suites of enzymes that are
128 associated with morphologically and anatomically diverse trapping mechanisms. There are a few
129 studies which reported that the secretion of the digestive enzymes is strongly induced by prey
130 capture. However, there are also some digestive enzymes which are secreted in a closed pitcher.
131 This indicates plant regulation of enzyme secretion as the production and secretion of enzymes
132 incur energetic costs.

133 To date, there are numerous studies reported the discovery of distinct digestive enzymes
134 in carnivorous plants (Table 2). Different carnivorous families shared similar class of enzymes
135 which display various enzymatic properties (Takahashi et al., 2009; Adlassnig, Peroutka &
136 Lendl, 2011). Due to the genome sequencing of *Cephalotus follicularis*, various digestive
137 enzymes have been discovered, namely esterases, proteases, nucleases, phosphatases, glucanases
138 and peroxidases (Takahashi et al., 2009; Adlassnig, Peroutka & Lendl, 2011; Fukushima et al.,
139 2017). Similar classes of enzymes were also detected in other carnivorous families such as
140 Droseraceae (Scala et al., 1969; Amagase, 1972; Matušíková et al., 2005; Morohoshi et al., 2011;
141 Schulze et al., 2012; Michalko et al., 2013; Pavlovic et al., 2014; Butts et al., 2016; Krausko et
142 al., 2017), Lentibulariaceae (Sirova, Adamec & Vrba, 2003; Płachno et al., 2006), Sarraceniaceae
143 (Jaffe et al., 1992; Porembski & Barthlott, 2006; Srivastava et al., 2011; Adlassnig, Peroutka &

144 Lendl, 2011; Morohoshi et al., 2011; Luciano & Newell, 2017), and Nepenthaceae (Higashi et
145 al., 1993; Athauda et al., 2004; Stephenson & Jamie, 2006; Eilenberg et al., 2006; Kadek et al.,
146 2014b; Lee et al., 2016; Rey et al., 2016; Rottloff et al., 2016; Schrader et al., 2017). This
147 indicates the significant role of the common hydrolytic enzymes in prey digestion of various
148 carnivorous plants regardless of distinct trapping mechanisms. However, chitinases and lipases
149 reported in Nepenthaceae and Sarraceniaceae have not been reported for Cephalotaceae and
150 Lentibulariaceae. Recently, Yilamujiang et al., (2017) reported the presence of a novel digestive
151 enzyme urease in *N. hemsleyana* which has developed a symbiosis relationship with bat.

152 However, investigation related to identification of all the proteins found in the pitcher
153 fluid is highly challenged by the unusual amino acid composition of the proteins and limited
154 source of carnivorous plant genomic/protein database (Lee et al., 2016). Lately, the
155 transcriptome sequences for *N. ampullaria* and *N. x ventrata* were reported (Wan Zakaria et al.,
156 2016a; Wan Zakaria et al., 2016b), which can serve as reference for the identification of novel
157 digestive enzymes in *Nepenthes*. A combination of proteomics and transcriptomics approach
158 have been used by Schulze et al., (2012) to determine the proteins highly expressed in the
159 digestive fluid of Venus flytrap. They found that there was a synchronised act directed towards
160 the prey with the help of various enzymes such as chitinases, lipases, phosphatases, peroxidases,
161 glucanases and peptidases. Furthermore, Rey et al., (2016) applied a similar approach to address
162 the proteolytic efficiency of the protein secreted in the pitcher fluid of *Nepenthes* spp. In the past,
163 Amagase (1972) utilised zymography technique to determine the protease activity found in fluid
164 of *Nepenthes* spp. and *D. peltata*. He purified and characterised the acid protease and
165 demonstrated how the enzyme from two distinct families resemble to each other. Besides,
166 Hatano & Hamada, (2008) also conducted proteomic analysis on the digestive fluid from *N.*
167 *alata* where the proteins secreted (chitinase, glucanase and xylosidase) were detected using in-
168 gel trypsin digestion, followed by *de novo* peptide assembly and matched with homology in
169 public databases. According to Buch et al., (2015), fluorescent resonance energy transfer (FRET)
170 based technique was utilised as an efficient and rapid detection of proteolytic activities in the
171 pitcher fluid of various *Nepenthes* spp.

172 On the other hand, purification of the digestive enzymes from carnivorous fluid is
173 extremely challenging due to low quantity of the fluid and very poor concentration of the

174 enzymes secreted. Apart from that, pitcher fluids are often diluted by rainwater and even
175 contaminated by prey. Nevertheless, there are also studies which manage to partially or fully
176 purify and characterise few digestive enzymes from carnivorous plants. Based on the purification
177 and characterisation studies reported (Table 3), protease is one of the most abundant enzyme
178 found in the digestive fluid of carnivorous plant which has been purified and well characterised
179 (Amagase, Nakayama & Tsugita, 1969; Jentsch, 1972; Tokes, Woon & Chambers, 1974; An,
180 Fukusaki & Kobayashi, 2002; Athauda et al., 2004). All the secreted proteases that have been
181 purified to date are originated from the genus *Nepenthes*. The very first purification of protease
182 from *Nepenthes* spp. was performed by Steckelberg, Luttge & Weigl (1967) using Ecteola
183 column chromatography and the optimum activity was detected at pH 2.2 and stable at 50°C. To
184 date, the common purification strategies applied by various studies are column chromatography,
185 affinity chromatography, ultrafiltration and dialysis. Although there are numerous studies
186 identified the digestive enzymes from carnivorous plants, only few studies have purified and
187 characterised the enzymes. Therefore, more studies are needed to purify and characterise the
188 reported enzymes.

189 Most of the secreted enzymes characterised to date exhibit high versatility towards
190 various substrates. Besides, the activities of the same class of enzymes from different genus of
191 carnivorous plants are not very distinct in terms of the optimum pH, temperature and substrate.
192 For instance, most of the proteases that have been characterised from different families function
193 optimally at acidic condition (Table 3). Interestingly, there are few proteases reported to function
194 extremely well at high temperature ranging from 40-60°C. Additionally, the secreted plant
195 enzymes demonstrate high stability against various chemicals and denaturing agents compared to
196 enzymes from other sources. This is because plants require extremely active digestive enzymes
197 that allow digestion of prey for a longer time span under mild chemical condition (Butts, Bierma
198 & Martin, 2016). Subtle variations in enzymatic characteristics of digestive enzymes from
199 different carnivorous plants remain to be explored with future studies.

200 On the other hand, feeding or chitin induction facilitates the secretion of digestive
201 enzymes in the fluid. Clancy & Coffey, (1977) have reported the maximal secretion of digestive
202 enzyme specifically phosphatases and proteases in model plants *Venus flytrap* and *Drosera*
203 within 3 to 4 days after feeding. Thus, the plant secretes the digestive enzyme to the maximum

204 level to digest the prey completely and absorb the nutrients for the growth. Apart from that,
205 mechanical irritation also stimulates the increase in activity of phosphatases and
206 phosphodiesterases in *Drosera* (McNally et al., 1988). Moreover, the quantity of enzymes
207 secreted often associates to the size of the prey (Darwin, 1875; An, Fukusaki & Kobayashi,
208 2002). In other words, a signal transduction mechanism stimulates the expression of digestive
209 enzymes in the plant. Consequently, the plant responds toward the prey and counterbalances the
210 cost-benefit ratio efficiently (Chang & Gallie, 1997).

211 On the contrary, there been a continuous debate regarding the origin of the digestive
212 enzyme found in the pitcher fluid. The main question of interest arise was the enzymes secreted
213 by the plants or originated from the microbial community found in the digestive fluid. As a
214 perfect clarification, there was a study found the genes responsible for the digestive enzyme
215 which highly expressed in the lower part (digestive zone) of the pitcher trap. This study become
216 one of the significant proves which showed there is a symbiotic interaction between the
217 microorganism and plants in prey digestion (Koopman et al., 2010). Some plants even save the
218 investment cost and energy by not secreting the enzymes meanwhile utilising the microorganism
219 in the fluid to digest the prey. Looking from a different perspective, synthesis of digestive
220 enzymes by carnivorous plants which already contain external microbial power source for
221 digesting the prey seems to be an unnecessary cost for the plant. The mutualistic interaction
222 between the microbial community in the digestive fluid and the plant will boost the process of
223 digestion and nutrients absorption.

224 There are numerous results available on the properties of digestive fluid of carnivorous
225 plants but still fragmented for a complete understanding. Therefore, further extensive
226 biochemical and morphological studies on carnivorous plants will be needed to help in further
227 understanding regulation of hydrolytic enzyme secretion.

228

229 **Secreted Proteases in Different Families of Carnivorous Plants**

230 The capability of carnivorous plants to trap and digest their prey using specialised trapping
231 organs contains digestive fluid has been a matter of great interest for over a century. Carnivorous
232 plants attain substantial amount of nitrogen from their prey. They accumulate acidic fluid

233 containing proteases in their trapping organs, signifying that the plant utilises prey protein as a
234 nitrogen source. The earliest reports of digestive enzymes involved in carnivorous plants
235 initiated by Sir Joseph Hooker's studies of protease activity in the pitcher fluid of *Nepenthes*
236 plants. The occurrence of diverse protease classes linked with carnivorous traps and digestive
237 fluid may directs the synergistic roles of the enzyme in prey digestion, which might be triggered
238 by the differential expression patterns. Besides, the evolution of the trap mechanism of
239 carnivorous plant with extreme condition and limited nutrients may results in synthesis of
240 distinct proteins or enzymes with some novel traits in order to continue survive. For instance, the
241 novel prolyl endopeptidase (Npr1 & Npr2) that been discovered from *Nepenthes* possibly due to
242 the evolution of the plant. Thus, in future this would lead us towards discovery of various novel
243 proteases with extremely unique properties from carnivorous plant which can replace the existing
244 sources in the industries.

245 Aspartic protease (AP) is one of the most abundant enzymes found in the digestive fluid
246 and well characterised in previous reports (An, Fukusaki & Kobayashi, 2002; Rottloff et al.,
247 2016). Moreover, AP have been purified and characterised from sterile pitcher fluid of several
248 *Nepenthes* spp. (Jentsch, 1972; Tokes, Woon & Chambers, 1974). These studies strongly provide
249 evidence that APs are secreted into pitcher fluid. However, less information on the sequence and
250 expression of AP genes from *Nepenthes* that has been presented. It is very crucial to gather
251 information about the AP genes for a better understanding of the nitrogen-acquisition mechanism
252 of *Nepenthes* plants. Besides, An, Fukusakhi & Kobayashi, (2002) have cloned homologous APs
253 genes and examined their expression in *N. alata* as a model plant to detect the genes encoding for
254 APs secreted in pitcher fluid. The protease secreted in the pitcher fluid resembles a pepsin-like
255 characteristic where it digests proteins at acidic condition. Amagase, (1972) have investigated
256 the similar properties of aspartic protease found in digestive fluid of *Nepenthes* species (*N.*
257 *ampullaria*, *N. mixta*, *N. rafflesiana*, *N. maxima* and *N. dyeriana*) compared to the one from the
258 leaf extract of *Drosera peltata*. Surprisingly, they discovered that both the purified proteases
259 from *Nepenthes* and *Drosera* share the common characteristics. In a study conducted by
260 (Nakayama & Amagase, 1968) the protease from the mixture of pitcher fluid of *Nepenthes*
261 species mainly *N. mixta* and *N. maxima* was partially purified and characterised due to
262 insufficient amount of digestive fluid. Lately, acid protease from *Nepenthes* and *Drosera* genus
263 are partially purified and characterised by Takahashi, Tanji & Shibata, (2007); Tokes, Woon &

264 Chambers, (1974). Although they have been categorised as APs, (Rudenskaya et al., 1995) none
265 of the enzymes secreted in carnivorous plants have been purified to the homogeneity, mainly due
266 to the difficulty in obtaining sufficient amount of pitcher fluid. In a way to unravel this scenario,
267 Athauda et al., (2004) for the first time have purified and characterised two APs namely Nep1
268 and Nep2 from pitcher fluid *N. distillatoria*. They also have found the amino acid sequences of
269 the enzymes by cloning the cDNAs from pitcher tissue of *N. gracilis*. Besides, Rey et al., (2013)
270 have stated that Nep is secreted by specific cells located at the bottom part of the pitchers which
271 essentially used for the digestion of the prey trapped by the plant. So far they reported to be the
272 only APs found in the pitcher fluid and can be enhanced from crude fluid.

273 Apart from aspartic proteases, there is also presence of cysteine protease in carnivorous
274 plants. Lately, it also has been found that cysteine protease is the primary protease found in
275 digestive fluid of Venus flytrap. Prey proteins found in the digestive fluid of Venus flytrap are
276 degraded by cysteine endopeptidases in association with serine carboxypeptidases. This is highly
277 distinct to the digestive fluid found in *Nepenthes* and *Drosera* which strongly rely on aspartic
278 proteases (Athauda et al., 2004). However, there is also presence of both aspartic protease and
279 cysteine protease in *N. ventricosa* as reported by (Stephenson & Jamie, 2006). Besides,
280 Takahashi, Tanji & Shibata, (2007) have conducted comparative enzymatic characteristics
281 studies of acid proteases from crude digestive fluid of various carnivorous plants such as
282 *Nepenthes*, *Chepalotus*, *Drosera* (Sundew) and *Dionaea* (Venus flytrap) which have distinct
283 trapping mechanisms. The study proved that there are significant variances between them which
284 eventually reflecting the phylogenetic diversity of these carnivorous plants. Eventually, it might
285 be caused by the presence of different class of proteases in the families.

286 Moreover, there are also few attempts on the recombinant production and expression of
287 the enzymes from carnivorous plants (Morohoshi et al., 2011; Ishisaki et al., 2012; Kadek et al.,
288 2014b) in order to enhance the protein yield. Initially, Kadek et al., (2014b) reported an efficient
289 way to obtain huge amount of Nepenthesin I (Nep1) from *N. gracilis* through heterologous
290 production in *Escherichia coli*. The characteristics of the recombinant protein obtained similar to
291 the native protein isolated from the pitcher fluid. Later, the recombinantly produced Nep1 from
292 *N. gracilis* was successfully purified and crystallised (Fejfarová et al., 2016). Apart from that,
293 Schrader et al., (2017) also have discovered neprosin from the digestive fluid of carnivorous

294 pitcher plant and it was characterised to be proline cleaving enzyme. They also produced
295 neprosin through recombinant approach and demonstrated that it has the potential to be utilised
296 for whole proteomic profiling and histone mapping. This is supported by the facts that neprosin
297 is a legitimate low molecular weight prolyl endopeptidase (PEPs) and extremely active at low
298 concentration and low pH. Surprisingly, the combined actions of a novel prolyl endopeptidase
299 and an aspartic protease from the pitcher fluid of *Nepenthes* species have demonstrated effective
300 gluten detoxification potential. These discoveries broaden the prospects for treating celiac
301 disease through enzymes supplementation approach (Rey et al., 2016)

302 Although the proteolytic activity found in the digestive fluid of pitcher plant became the
303 interesting topic of study among researchers, the low yield of enzymes secreted by the plant
304 made it more challenging. Nevertheless, there is no adequate studies have been conducted
305 specifically on the enzymatic characteristic of the purified acid proteases. Hence, further
306 researches are crucial in order to fill the gap of knowledge on the essence of acid proteases
307 present in the digestive secretion of carnivorous plants. Additionally, as the prey digestion occurs
308 in the raw digestive fluid, it is vital to characterise the secreted protease activity in overall as well
309 as to purify and characterise the individual proteases.

310

311 **Applications of proteases from carnivorous plants**

312 Protease plays a major role in worldwide enzyme market with a long array of applications. They
313 contribute an invincible role in industrial biotechnology, primarily in detergent, food and
314 pharmaceutical arena (Rao et al., 1998; Lakshmi & Hemalatha, 2016). Microbes and animals are
315 the major source of protease in current industries followed by few commercialised plant
316 proteases. Interest has been growing in plant proteases which have significant commercial values
317 due to their high stability in extreme condition (Canay, Erguven & Yulug, 1991; Houde, Kademi
318 & Leblanc, 2004; Malone et al., 2005; Karnchanatat et al., 2011; Amri & Mamboya, 2012; Rey
319 et al., 2016; Mazorra-Manzano, Ramírez-Suarez & Yada, 2017). The plant sources would be the
320 possible alternatives for microbial and animal proteases (Chanalía et al., 2011; Akhtaruzzaman et
321 al., 2012; Zhou et al., 2012; Gurung et al., 2013; Khan & Sathya, 2017)

322 Proteases are one of the largest groups of hydrolytic enzymes that cleave the peptide
323 bonds in the polypeptide chains. Exopeptidase and endopeptidase are the two major groups of
324 proteases which are classified based on the ability to cleave the N or C terminal peptide bond.
325 The metabolic activity of almost all the organisms includes plants, animals, fungi, bacteria and
326 viruses are influenced by the proteolytic enzymes. Proteases become an ideal topic of research in
327 enzyme technology field due to their massive structural importance and wide contribution in
328 research and economical activities. Moreover, proteases are the dominant class industrial
329 enzymes that are used in diverse industrial applications such as pharmaceutical, leather products,
330 detergents, meat tenderizers, food products and also in waste processing industry (Table 4). The
331 four major classes of proteases enzyme that widely used in industries are aspartic proteases,
332 serine proteases, cysteine proteases and metalloproteases. Merely, 60% of the total worldwide
333 production of the enzymes are covered by proteases (Usharani & Muthuraj, 2010)

334 The broad substrate specificity, high activity in wide range of pH, temperature and high
335 stability in the presence of organic compounds are the major factors that attributed for special
336 attention towards proteolytic enzyme from plant sources. There are extensive studies have been
337 performed on aspartic proteinases that found in mammalian, microbial and viral cells. However,
338 the ethical, spiritual reasons or even regulatory limitations which restrict the application of non-
339 plant proteases (animal and recombinant sources) in certain countries offer great chances for the
340 use of novel plant proteases. In addition, aspartic proteases also widely distributed in the seed,
341 flowers, leaves of various plant species and as well as in the pitcher fluid of carnivorous plants.
342 Several plant aspartic proteases such as those from rice, barley and cardosins have been purified
343 and well characterized in previous studies. The most significant trademark of the aspartic
344 proteases found in the digestive fluid of carnivorous plant is they are the only extracellular
345 proteinase from plant origin. The rest known to be intracellular vacuolar enzyme. Athauda et al.,
346 (2004) have for the first time successfully purified and characterised plant aspartic proteases
347 (Nep1 and Nep2) from the pitcher fluid of *N. distillatoria*.

348 Protein hydrogen/deuterium exchange coupled to mass spectrometry (HXMS) is one of
349 the important analyses practised in biopharmaceutical industry which involves the enzymatic
350 digestion of proteins to track the information about the new exchanged patterns in protein
351 structure. Protease has the abilities to digest the protein into small peptides and overlapping

352 fragments and provide necessary coverage of protein sequences which are vital for focusing
353 region of interest. Kadek et al., (2014a) successfully immobilised the Nep1 from pitcher fluid of
354 genus *Nepenthes* and used as a tool for digestion in Hydrogen / Deuterium Exchange Mass
355 Spectrometry (HXMS). This is because Nep1 exhibits wide substrate cleavage specificities and
356 high stability towards denaturing reagents compared to pepsin. The hunt for the valuable
357 protease with unique specificity is always a continuous challenge for diverse industrial
358 application. In addition, the combined actions of a novel prolyl endopeptidase and an aspartic
359 protease from the pitcher fluid of *Nepenthes* species have demonstrated effective gluten
360 detoxification potential. These findings broaden the prospects for treating celiac disease through
361 enzymes supplementation approach (Rey et al., 2016).

362 Carnivorous plants signify a crucial and gifted source of proteases for various
363 biotechnological applications. The proteases discovered in the secretion of the trap are distinct
364 and provide huge range of temperature, stability and pH activity profiles. Furthermore, the high
365 substrate specificity among the proteases enhances their capability for multidisciplinary use.
366 Although, the pre-existing plant proteases such as bromelain and papain have been extensively
367 used in industries, yet they denote the small portion from the huge portion of plant proteases that
368 have not been discovered. Thus, more studies towards discovering novel plant proteases are
369 required.

370

371 **Conclusions**

372 The search for new industrially viable plant enzymes is a continuous effort in which carnivorous
373 plants serve as great resources for exploration. Thus, successful purification and characterisation
374 of the secreted enzymes will encourage their exploitation for industrial applications. Future
375 research efforts are still needed in studying the regulatory mechanisms of the digestive enzymes
376 or metabolites responsible for attracting prey. With the advent of omics technologies, more can
377 be discovered to provide a holistic understanding on the molecular mechanisms of carnivory in
378 various carnivorous plants. Comparative genomics approach will help in understanding the
379 evolutionary history of these fascinating plants.

380 **References**

- 381 Adamec L. 1997. Mineral Nutrition of Carnivorous Plants: A Review. *Botanical Review* 63:273–
382 299. DOI: 10.1007/BF02857953.
- 383 Adlassnig W., Peroutka M., Lendl T. 2011. Traps of carnivorous pitcher plants as a habitat:
384 composition of the fluid, biodiversity and mutualistic activities. *Annals of botany* 107:181–
385 194. DOI: 10.1093/aob/mcq238.
- 386 Akhtaruzzaman M., Mozumder NHMR., Jamal R., Rahman A., Rahman T. 2012. Isolation and
387 characterization protease enzyme from leguminous seeds. *Agricultural Science Research*
388 *Journal* 2:434–440.
- 389 Amagase S. 1972. Digestive enzymes in insectivorous plants: Acid Proteases in the Genus
390 *Nepenthes* and *Drosera peltata*. *Journal of Biochemistry* 72:73–81.
- 391 Amagase S., Nakayama S., Tsugita A. 1969. Acid protease in *Nepenthes*: Study on the
392 Specificity of Nepenthesin. *Journal of Biochemistry* 66:431–439.
- 393 Amri E., Mamboya F. 2012. Papain, a Plant Enzyme of Biological Importance : A Review.
394 *American Journal of Biochemistry and Biotechnology* 8:99–104. DOI:
395 10.3844/ajbbsp.2012.99.104.
- 396 An C II., Fukusaki EI., Kobayashi A. 2002. Aspartic proteinases are expressed in pitchers of the
397 carnivorous plant *Nepenthes alata* Blanco. *Planta* 214:661–667. DOI:
398 10.1007/s004250100665.
- 399 Athauda SBP., Matsumoto K., Rajapakshe S., Kuribayashi M., Kojima M., Kubomura-Yoshida
400 N., Iwamatsu A., Shibata C., Inoue H., Takahashi K. 2004. Enzymic and structural
401 characterization of nepenthesin, a unique member of a novel subfamily of aspartic
402 proteinases. *The Biochemical journal* 381:295–306. DOI: 10.1042/BJ20031575.
- 403 Banasiuk R., Kawiak A., Krölicka A. 2012. In vitro cultures of carnivorous plants from the
404 *Drosera* and *Dionaea* genus for the production of biologically active secondary metabolites.
405 *Biotechnology* 93:87–96. DOI: 10.5114/bta.2012.46572.
- 406 Bauer U., Paulin M., Robert D., Sutton GP. 2015. Mechanism for rapid passive-dynamic prey
407 capture in a pitcher plant. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 112:13384–
408 13389. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1510060112.
- 409 Behie SW., Bidochka MJ. 2013. Insects as a nitrogen source for plants. *Insects* 4:413–424. DOI:
410 10.3390/insects4030413.
- 411 Buch F., Kaman WE., Bikker FJ., Yilamujiang A., Mithöfer A. 2015. Nepenthesin protease
412 activity indicates digestive fluid dynamics in carnivorous *Nepenthes* plants. *PLoS ONE*
413 10:e0118853. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0118853.
- 414 Butts CT., Bierma JC., Martin RW. 2016. Novel proteases from the genome of the carnivorous
415 plant *Drosera capensis*: Structural prediction and comparative analysis. *Proteins* 84:1517–
416 1533. DOI: 10.1002/prot.25095.
- 417 Butts CT., Zhang X., Kelly JE., Roskamp KW., Unhelkar MH., Freites JA., Tahir S., Martin
418 RW. 2016. Sequence comparison, molecular modeling, and network analysis predict
419 structural diversity in cysteine proteases from the Cape sundew, *Drosera capensis*.
420 *Computational and Structural Biotechnology Journal* 14:271–282. DOI:
421 10.1016/j.csbj.2016.05.003.
- 422 Canay S., Erguven S., Yulug N. 1991. The Function of Enzyme in Removing Candida
423 Accumulated On Denture Plaque. *Journal of Islamic Academy of Sciences* 4:87–89.
- 424 Caniato R., Filippini R., Cappelletti EM. 1989. Naphthoquinone Contents of Cultivated *Drosera*
425 Species. *International Journal of Crude Drug Research* 27:129–136.

- 426 Chanalia P., Gandhi D., Jodha D., Singh J. 2011. Applications of microbial proteases in
427 pharmaceutical industry: an overview. *Reviews in Medical Microbiology* 22:96–101. DOI:
428 10.1097/MRM.0b013e3283494749.
- 429 Chang SC., Gallie DR. 1997. RNase Activity Decreases following a Heat Shock in Wheat
430 Leaves and Correlates with Its Posttranslational Modification. *Plant physiology* 113:1253–
431 1263. DOI: 10.1104/pp.113.4.1253.
- 432 Clancy FG., Coffey MD. 1977. Acid phosphatase and protease release by the insectivorous plant
433 *Drosera rotundifolia*. *Canadian Journal of Botany* 55:480–488.
- 434 Darwin C. 1875. *Insectivorous Plants*. John Murray.
- 435 Didry N., Dubreuil L., Trotin F., Pinkas M. 1998. Antimicrobial activity of aerial parts of
436 *Drosera peltata* Smith on oral bacteria. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 60:91–96. DOI:
437 10.1016/S0378-8741(97)00129-3.
- 438 Eilenberg H., Pnini-Cohen S., Schuster S., Movtchan A., Zilberstein A. 2006. Isolation and
439 characterization of chitinase genes from pitchers of the carnivorous plant *Nepenthes*
440 *khasiana*. *Journal of experimental botany* 57:2775–84. DOI: 10.1093/jxb/erl048.
- 441 Ellison AM. 2006. Nutrient limitation and stoichiometry of carnivorous plants. *Plant Biology*
442 8:740–747. DOI: 10.1055/s-2006-923956.
- 443 Ellison AM., Gotelli NJ. 2003. Evolutionary ecology of carnivorous plants. *Trends in Ecology*
444 *and Evolution* 16:623–629. DOI: 10.1016/S0169-5347(01)02269-8.
- 445 Ellison AM., Gotelli NJ. 2009. Energetics and the evolution of carnivorous plants - Darwin's
446 "most wonderful plants in the world." *Journal of Experimental Botany* 60:19–42. DOI:
447 10.1093/jxb/ern179.
- 448 Farnsworth EJ., Ellison AM. 2008. Prey availability directly affects physiology, growth, nutrient
449 allocation and scaling relationships among leaf traits in 10 carnivorous plant species.
450 *Journal of Ecology* 96:213–221. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2745.2007.01313.x.
- 451 Fejfarová K., Kádek A., Mrázek H., Hausner J., Tretyachenko V., Koval' T., Man P., Hašek J.,
452 Dohnálek J. 2016. Crystallization of nepenthesin I using a low-pH crystallization screen.
453 *Acta Crystallographica Section F Structural Biology Communications* 72:24–28. DOI:
454 10.1107/S2053230X15022323.
- 455 Fukushima K., Fang X., Alvarez-Ponce D., Cai H., Carretero-Paulet L., Chen C., Chang TH.,
456 Farr KM., Fujita T., Hiwatashi Y., Hoshi Y., Imai T., Kasahara M., Librado P., Mao L.,
457 Mori H., Nishiyama T., Nozawa M., Pálfalvi G., Pollard ST., Rozas J., Sánchez-Gracia A.,
458 Sankoff D., Shibata TF., Shigenobu S., Sumikawa N., Uzawa T., Xie M., Zheng C., Pollock
459 DD., Albert VA., Li S., Hasebe M. 2017. Genome of the pitcher plant *Cephalotus* reveals
460 genetic changes associated with carnivory. *Nature Ecology and Evolution* 1:59. DOI:
461 10.1038/s41559-016-0059.
- 462 Gaascht F., Dicato M., Diederich M. 2013. Venus Flytrap (*Dionaea muscipula* Solander ex Ellis)
463 Contains Powerful Compounds that Prevent and Cure Cancer. *Frontiers in Oncology* 3:30–
464 34. DOI: 10.3389/fonc.2013.00202.
- 465 Givnish TJ. 2015. New evidence on the origin of carnivorous plants. *Proceedings of the National*
466 *Academy of Sciences* 112:10–11. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1422278112.
- 467 Gorb E., Kastner V., Peressadko A., Arzt E., Gaume L., Rowe N., Gorb S. 2004. Structure and
468 properties of the glandular surface in the digestive zone of the pitcher in the carnivorous
469 plant *Nepenthes ventrata* and its role in insect trapping and retention. *The Journal of*
470 *experimental biology* 207:2947–63. DOI: 10.1242/jeb.01128.
- 471 Gurung N., Ray S., Bose S., Rai V., K WF. 2013. A Broader View : Microbial Enzymes and

- 472 Their Relevance in Industries, Medicine, and Beyond. *BioMed Research International*:18.
473 Hatano N., Hamada T. 2008. Proteome Analysis of Pitcher Fluid of the Carnivorous Plant
474 *Nepenthes alata*. *Journal of Proteome Research* 7:809–816.
- 475 Higashi S., Nakashima A., Ozaki H., Abe M., Uchiumi T. 1993. Analysis of Feeding Mechanism
476 in a Pitcher of *Nepenthes hybrida*. *Journal of Plant Research* 106:47–54.
- 477 Houde A., Kademi A., Leblanc D. 2004. Lipases and Their Industrial Applications: An
478 Overview. *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology* 118:155–170. DOI:
479 10.1385/ABAB:118:1-3:155.
- 480 Ibarra-laclette E., Farr KM., Cervantes-pérez SA., Zheng C., Tang H., Purbojati RW., Putra A.,
481 Drautz-moses DI., Schuster SC., Herrera-estrella L., Lan T., Renner T., Ibarra-laclette E.,
482 Farr KM., Chang T., Cervantes-pérez SA. 2013. Correction for Lan et al., Long-read
483 sequencing uncovers the adaptive topography of a carnivorous plant genome. *Nature*
484 114:E5483–E5483. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1709197114.
- 485 Ishisaki K., Arai S., Hamada T., Honda Y. 2012. Biochemical characterization of a recombinant
486 plant class III chitinase from the pitcher of the carnivorous plant *Nepenthes alata*.
487 *Carbohydrate Research* 361:170–174. DOI: 10.1016/j.carres.2012.09.001.
- 488 Jaffe K., Michelangeli F., Gonzalez JM., Miras B., Ruiz MC. 1992. Carnivory in pitcher plants
489 of the genus *Heliamphora* (Sarraceniaceae). *New Phytologist* 122:733–744. DOI:
490 10.1111/j.1469-8137.1992.tb00102.x.
- 491 Jentsch J. 1972. Enzymes from carnivorous plants (*nepenthes*). Isolation of protease nepenthacin.
492 *FEBS Letters* 21:273–276.
- 493 Kadek A., Mrazek H., Halada P., Rey M., Schriemer DC., Man P. 2014a. Aspartic protease
494 nepenthesin-1 as a tool for digestion in hydrogen/deuterium exchange mass spectrometry.
495 *Analytical chemistry* 86:4287–94. DOI: 10.1021/ac404076j.
- 496 Kadek A., Tretyachenko V., Mrazek H., Ivanova L., Halada P., Rey M., Schriemer DC., Man P.
497 2014b. Expression and characterization of plant aspartic protease nepenthesin-1 from
498 *Nepenthes gracilis*. *Protein expression and purification* 95:121–8. DOI:
499 10.1016/j.pep.2013.12.005.
- 500 Karnchanatat A., Tiengburanatham N., Boonmee A., Puthong S., Sangvanich P. 2011. Zingipain,
501 a cysteine protease from *Zingiber ottensii* valetton rhizomes with antiproliferative activities
502 against fungi and human malignant cell lines. *Preparative Biochemistry and Biotechnology*
503 41:138–153. DOI: 10.1080/10826068.2011.547347.
- 504 Khan M., Sathya TA. 2017. Extremozymes from metagenome: Potential applications in food
505 processing. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*. DOI:
506 10.1080/10408398.2017.1296408.
- 507 Koopman MM., Fuselier DM., Hird S., Carstens BC. 2010. The carnivorous pale pitcher plant
508 harbors diverse, distinct, and time-dependent bacterial communities. *Applied and*
509 *Environmental Microbiology* 76:1851–1860. DOI: 10.1128/AEM.02440-09.
- 510 Krausko M., Perutka Z., Šebela M., Šamajová O., Šamaj J., Novák O., Pavlovič A. 2017. The
511 role of electrical and jasmonate signalling in the recognition of captured prey in the
512 carnivorous sundew plant *Drosera capensis*. *New Phytologist* 213:1818–1835. DOI:
513 10.1111/nph.14352.
- 514 Król E., Płachno BJ., Adamec L., Stolarz M., Dziubińska H., Trebacz K. 2012. Quite a few
515 reasons for calling carnivores “the most wonderful plants in the world.” *Annals of Botany*
516 109:47–64. DOI: 10.1093/aob/mcr249.
- 517 Lakshmi BKM., Hemalatha KPJ. 2016. Eco Friendly Recovery of Silver from Used X-ray Films

- 518 by Alkaline Protease of *Bacillus Cereus* Strain S8. *Frontiers in Environmental*
519 *Microbiology* 2:45–48. DOI: 10.11648/j.fem.20160206.14.
- 520 Lee L., Zhang Y., Ozar B., Sensen CW., Schriemer DC. 2016. Carnivorous Nutrition in Pitcher
521 Plants (*Nepenthes* spp.) via an Unusual Complement of Endogenous Enzymes. DOI:
522 10.1021/acs.jproteome.6b00224.
- 523 Leushkin E V., Sutormin RA., Nabieva ER., Penin AA., Kondrashov AS., Logacheva MD. 2013.
524 The miniature genome of a carnivorous plant *Genlisea aurea* contains a low number of
525 genes and short non-coding sequences. *BMC Genomics* 14:476. DOI: 10.1186/1471-2164-
526 14-476.
- 527 Luciano CS., Newell SJ. 2017. Effects of prey, pitcher age, and microbes on acid phosphatase
528 activity in fluid from pitchers of *Sarracenia purpurea* (Sarraceniaceae). *PLoS ONE*
529 12:e0181252.
- 530 Malone LA., Todd JH., Burgess EPJ., Philip BA., Christeller JT. 2005. Effects of kiwifruit
531 (*Actinidia deliciosa*) cysteine protease on growth and survival of *Spodoptera litura* larvae
532 (Lepidoptera: *Noctuidae*) fed with control or transgenic avidin-expressing tobacco. *New*
533 *Zealand Journal of Crop and Horticultural Science* 33:99–105. DOI:
534 10.1080/01140671.2005.9514337.
- 535 Matušiková I., Salaj J., Moravčíková J., Mlynárová L., Nap JP., Libantová J. 2005. Tentacles of
536 in vitro-grown round-leaf sundew (*Drosera rotundifolia* L.) show induction of chitinase
537 activity upon mimicking the presence of prey. *Planta* 222:1020–1027. DOI:
538 10.1007/s00425-005-0047-5.
- 539 Mazorra-Manzano MA., Ramírez-Suarez JC., Yada RY. 2017. Plant proteases for bioactive
540 peptides release: A review. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition* 8398:1–17.
541 DOI: 10.1080/10408398.2017.1308312.
- 542 McNally SF., Stewart A., Wilson UE. 1988. The stimulation of acid phosphatase activity in the
543 stalked gland of *Drosera-rotundifolia*. *Ann. Bot.* 61:289–292.
- 544 Michalko J., Socha P., Mészáros P., Blehová A., Libantová J., Moravčíková J., Matušiková I.
545 2013. Glucan-rich diet is digested and taken up by the carnivorous sundew (*Drosera*
546 *rotundifolia* L.): Implication for a novel role of plant β -1,3-glucanases. *Planta* 238:715–
547 725. DOI: 10.1007/s00425-013-1925-x.
- 548 Miguel S., Hehn A., Bourgaud F. 2018. *Nepenthes* : State of the art of an inspiring plant for
549 biotechnologists. *Journal of Biotechnology* 265:109–115. DOI:
550 10.1016/j.jbiotec.2017.11.014.
- 551 Morohoshi T., Oikawa M., Sato S., Kikuchi N., Kato N., Ikeda T. 2011. Isolation and
552 characterization of novel lipases from a metagenomic library of the microbial community in
553 the pitcher fluid of the carnivorous plant *Nepenthes hybrida*. *Journal of Bioscience and*
554 *Bioengineering* 112:315–320. DOI: 10.1016/j.jbiosc.2011.06.010.
- 555 Mu'izzuddin M., Aqil M., Rosli F., Imadi F., Salleh M., Noor NM., Aizat WM., Goh H. 2017.
556 Iso-Seq analysis of *Nepenthes ampullaria*, *Nepenthes rafflesiana* and *Nepenthes* \times
557 *hookeriana* for hybridisation study in pitcher plants. *Genomics Data* 12:130–131. DOI:
558 10.1016/j.gdata.2017.05.003.
- 559 Nakayama S., Amagase S. 1968. Acid Protease in *Nepenthes*. *Proceedings of the Japan Academy*
560 44:358–362.
- 561 Patel NR. 2014. Carnivory in pitcher plants : An enigmatic meat eating plant. *Research &*
562 *Review in BioScience* 8:94–106.
- 563 Pavlovic A., Krausko M., Libiaková M., Adamec L. 2014. Feeding on prey increases

- 564 photosynthetic efficiency in the carnivorous sundew *Drosera capensis*. *Annals of Botany*
565 113:69–78. DOI: 10.1093/aob/mct254.
- 566 Płachno BJ., Adamec L., Lichtscheidl IK., Peroutka M., Adlassnig W., Vrba J. 2006.
567 Fluorescence labelling of phosphatase activity in digestive glands of carnivorous plants.
568 *Plant Biology* 8:813–820. DOI: 10.1055/s-2006-924177.
- 569 Porembski S., Barthlott W. 2006. Advances in carnivorous plants research. *Plant Biology* 8:737–
570 739. DOI: 10.1055/s-2006-924669.
- 571 Rao MB., Tanksale AM., Ghatge MS., Deshpande V V. 1998. Molecular and Biotechnological
572 Aspects of Microbial Proteases. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews* 62:597–635.
573 DOI: papers2://publication/uuid/E58ABF6D-8C97-4209-810D-A452EE30B2CD.
- 574 Rey M., Yang M., Burns KM., Yu Y., Lees-Miller SP., Schriemer DC. 2013. Nepenthesin from
575 Monkey Cups for Hydrogen/Deuterium Exchange Mass Spectrometry. *Molecular &*
576 *Cellular Proteomics* 12:464–472. DOI: 10.1074/mcp.M112.025221.
- 577 Rey M., Yang M., Lee L., Zhang Y., Sheff JG., Sensen CW., Mrazek H., Halada P., Man P.,
578 Mccarville JL., Verdu EF., Schriemer DC. 2016. Addressing proteolytic efficiency in
579 enzymatic degradation therapy for celiac disease. *Scientific Reports* 6:30980. DOI:
580 10.1038/srep30980.
- 581 Rottloff S., Miguel S., Biteau F., Nisse E., Hammann P., Kuhn L., Chicher J., Bazile V., Gaume
582 L., Mignard B., Hehn A., Bourgaud F. 2016. Proteome analysis of digestive fluids in
583 *Nepenthes* pitchers. *Annals of botany* 117:479–495. DOI: 10.1093/aob/mcw001.
- 584 Rudenskaya GN., Bogdanova EA., Revina LP., Golovkin BN., Stepanov VM. 1995.
585 Macluralisin - a serine proteinase from fruits of *Maclura pomifera* (Raf.) Schneid. *Planta*
586 196:174–179. DOI: 10.1007/BF00193231.
- 587 Scala J., Iott K., Schwab DW., Semersky FE. 1969. Digestive Secretion of *Dionaea muscipula*
588 (Venus's Flytrap). *Plant physiology* 44:367–371. DOI: 10.1104/pp.44.3.367.
- 589 Schrader CU., Lee L., Rey M., Sarpe V., Man P., Sharma S., Zabrouskov V., Larsen B.,
590 Schriemer DC. 2017. Neprosin, a Selective Prolyl Endoprotease for Bottom-up Proteomics
591 and Histone Mapping. *Molecular & Cellular Proteomics* 16:1162–1171. DOI:
592 10.1074/mcp.M116.066803.
- 593 Schulze WX., Sanggaard KW., Kreuzer I., Knudsen AD., Bemm F., Thøgersen IB., Bräutigam
594 A., Thomsen LR., Schliesky S., Dyrland TF., Escalante-Perez M., Becker D., Schultz J.,
595 Karring H., Weber A., Højrup P., Hedrich R., Engchild JJ. 2012. The Protein Composition of
596 the Digestive Fluid from the Venus Flytrap Sheds Light on Prey Digestion Mechanisms.
597 *Molecular & Cellular Proteomics* 11:1306–1319. DOI: 10.1074/mcp.M112.021006.
- 598 Schwallier R., Boer HJ De., Visser N., Vugt RR Van., Gravendeel B. 2015. Traps as treats : a
599 traditional sticky rice snack persisting in rapidly changing Asian kitchens. *Journal of*
600 *Ethnobiology and Ethnomedicine* 11:24. DOI: 10.1186/s13002-015-0010-x.
- 601 Sirova D., Adamec L., Vrba J. 2003. Enzymatic activities in traps of four aquatic species of the
602 carnivorous genus *Utricularia*. *New Phytologist* 159:669–675. DOI: 10.1046/j.1469-
603 8137.2003.00834.x.
- 604 Srivastava A., Rogers WL., Breton CM., Cai L., Malmberg RL. 2011. Transcriptome analysis of
605 *Sarracenia*, an insectivorous plant. *DNA Research* 18:253–261. DOI:
606 10.1093/dnares/dsr014.
- 607 Steckelberg R., Lüttge U., J. Weigl. 1967. Nepenthes.Kannensaft. *Planta* 76:238–241.
- 608 Stephenson P., Jamie H. 2006. Cloning and Characterization of a Ribonuclease, a Cysteine
609 Proteinase, and an Aspartic Proteinase from Pitchers of the Carnivorous Plant *Nepenthes*

- 610 *ventricosa* Blanco. *International Journal of Plant Sciences* 167:239–248.
- 611 Takahashi K., Matsumoto K., Nishii W., Muramatsu M., Kubota K. 2009. Comparative studies
612 on the acid proteinase activities in the digestive fluids of *Nepenthes*, *Cephalotus*, *Dionaea*,
613 and *Drosera*. *Carnivorous Plant Newsletter* 38:75–82.
- 614 Takahashi K., Tanji M., Shibata C. 2007. Variations in the content and isozymic composition of
615 Nepenthesin in the pitcher fluids among *Nepenthes* species. *Carnivorous Plant Newsletter*
616 36:73–76.
- 617 Tokes ZA., Woon WC., Chambers SM. 1974. Digestive enzymes secreted by the carnivorous
618 plant *Nepenthes macfarlanei* L. *Planta* 119:39–46. DOI: 10.1007/BF00390820.
- 619 Usharani B., Muthuraj M. 2010. Production and Characterization of Protease Enzyme from
620 *Bacillus laterosporus*. *Journal of Microbiology Research* 4:1057–1063.
- 621 Wan Zakaria WNA., Loke K-K., Goh H-H., Mohd Noor N. 2016a. RNA-seq analysis for plant
622 carnivory gene discovery in *Nepenthes*×*ventrata*. *Genomics Data*. DOI:
623 10.1016/j.gdata.2015.11.007.
- 624 Wan Zakaria W-N-A., Loke K., Zulkapli M., Mohd Salleh F-'Imadi., Goh H., Mohd Noor N.
625 2016b. RNA-seq Analysis of *Nepenthes ampullaria*. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 6:1229.
626 DOI: 10.1086/375422.
- 627 Yilamujiang A., Zhu A., Ligabue-Braun R., Bartram S., Witte CP., Hedrich R., Hasabe M.,
628 Schöner CR., Schöner MG., Kerth G., Carlini CR., Mithöfer A. 2017. Coprophagous
629 features in carnivorous *Nepenthes* plants: A task for ureases. *Scientific Reports* 7:11647.
630 DOI: 10.1038/s41598-017-11999-z.
- 631 Zhou L., Budge SM., Ghaly AE., Brooks MS., Dave D. 2012. Extraction and Purification of
632 Chymotrypsin from the Intestine of Red Perch (*Sebastes marinus*) Using Reverse
633 Micelles :Optimization of the Forward Extraction Step. *Journal of Food Processing &*
634 *Technology* 3:157. DOI: 10.4172/2157-7110.1000157.
- 635

Table 1 (on next page)

Different carnivorous plant families grouped according to different trapping mechanisms.

1 **Table 1.** Different carnivorous plant families grouped according to different trapping mechanisms.

Trapping mechanism	Family	Genus	Reference
Flypaper	Byblidaceae	<i>Byblis</i>	Hatano et al., 2008
	Dioncophyllaceae	<i>Triphyophyllum</i>	Ellison et al., 2009
	Drosophyllaceae	<i>Drosophyllum</i>	
	Droseraceae	<i>Drosera</i>	
	Roridulaceae	<i>Roridula</i>	
	Lentibulariaceae	<i>Pinguicula</i>	
Snap	Droseraceae	<i>Aldrovanda</i>	Bauer et al., 2015
		<i>Dionaea</i>	
Pitfall	Cephalotaceae	<i>Cephalotus</i>	Krol et al., 2011
	Nepenthaceae	<i>Nepenthes</i>	
	Sarraceniaceae	<i>Darlingtonia</i>	
		<i>Heliamphora</i>	
		<i>Sarracenia</i>	
Suction/ Bladder	Lentibulariaceae	<i>Utricularia</i>	Bauer et al., 2015
Eel/ Lobster-pot	Lentibulariaceae	<i>Genlisea</i>	Adamec et al., 2007
	Sarraceniaceae	<i>Sarracenia</i>	

2

Table 2 (on next page)

Digestive enzyme discovery from different carnivorous plant families.

1 **Table 2.** Digestive enzyme discovery from different carnivorous plant families.

Family	Species	Enzyme	Reference
Lentibulariaceae	<i>G. aurea</i>	Phosphatase	Plachno et al 2006
Droseraceae	<i>D. capensis</i>	Protease, Phosphatase	Pavlovic et al.,2013; Butts et al., 2016
	<i>D. muscipula</i>	Chitinase, Nuclease, Protease	Schulze et al., 2012; Palovic et al., 2017
	<i>D. rotundifolia</i>	Chitinase, Glucanase, Protease	Matusikova et al., 2005; Michalko et al., 2012; Martin et al., 2016
	<i>D. villosa</i>	Lipase	Morohoshi et al., 2010
Cephalotaceae	<i>C. follicularis</i>	Esterase, Glucanase, Nuclease, Peroxidase, Phosphatase, Protease	Barthlott et al., 2004; Takahashi et al., 2009, Adlassnig et al.,2010, Fukushima et al., 2017
Sarraceniaceae	<i>D. californica</i>	Protease	Adlassnig et al.,2010
	<i>H. tatei</i>	Protease	Jaffe et al 1992
	<i>Sarracenia</i> spp.	Amylase, Esterase	Barthlott et al., 2007
	<i>S. psittacina</i>	Nuclease	Srivastava et al., 2011
	<i>S. purpurea</i>	Lipase, Protease, Phosphatase	Adlassnig et al.,2010; Morohoshi et al., 2011; Newell et al., 2017
Nepenthaceae	<i>N. alata</i>	Chitinase, Esterase, Glucanase, Peroxidase, Phosphatase, Protease	Hatano & Hamada., 2008; Thornhill et al., 2008; Morohoshi et al., 2011; Buch et al., 2015; Rottloff et al., 2016
	<i>N. albomarginata</i>	Chitinase, Glucanase	Rottloff et al., 2016
	<i>N. bicalcarata</i>	Glucanase, Peroxidase, Protease	Rottloff et al., 2016
	<i>N. distillatoria</i>	Protease	Athauda et al.,2004
	<i>N. gracilis</i>	Protease	Kadek et al., 2014
	<i>N. hemsleyana</i>	Urease	Yilamujiang et al., 2017
	<i>N. hybrida</i>	Esterase, Nuclease	Higashi et al., 1993; Morohoshi et al., 2011
	<i>N. khasiana</i>	Chitinase	Eilenberg et al., 2006
	<i>N. macfarlanei</i>	Lipase	Hatano & Hamada., 2008
	<i>N. mirabilis</i>	Chitinase, Glucanase, Peroxidase,	Buch et al., 2015; Rottloff et al., 2016
	<i>N. sanguinea</i>	Protease	
	<i>N. tobaica</i>	Phosphatase	Thornhill et al., 2008
	<i>N. ventricosa</i>	Protease	Stephenson et al., 2006
	<i>N. ventrata</i>	Chitinase, Glucanase, Nuclease, Peroxidase, Phosphatase, Protease	Lee et al., 2016; Schra et al., 2017

Table 3 (on next page)

Enzyme activity characterisation of secreted proteins from carnivorous plants.

1 **Table 3.** Enzyme activity characterisation of secreted proteins from carnivorous plants.

Enzyme	Species	Purification method/ Column	Substrate	Condition		Reference
				pH	T (°C)	
Protease	<i>N. distillatoria</i>	Mono Q column (FPLC), Pepstatin-Sepaharose	Casein	2.9	40	Jentsch, 1972; Athauda et al., 2004
	<i>N. alata</i>	Sephacryl S-200, DEAE cellulose column	Bovine serum albumin	3.0	37	Fukusaki et al., 2002
		Dialysis, Pressure ultrafiltration	Acid denatured haemoglobin	3.0	37	
	<i>N. mirabilis</i>	His Trap HP column, Dialysis		2.2	37	Athauda et al., 2004
	<i>N. macfarlanei</i>	Sephadex G-75	Bovine serum albumin	NA	37	Tokes et al., 1974
	<i>N. mixta</i> , <i>N. dormanniana</i> , <i>N. neuvilleana</i>	Ecteola cellulose column chromatography	Casein	2.2	50	Steckelberg et al., 1967
	<i>Nepenthes</i> sp.	DEAE-Sephadex A-50	Casein	NA	60	Nakayama & Amagase, 1968
	<i>N. alata</i> , <i>C. follicularis</i> ,	Not purified	Haemoglobin	2.5	47-57	Takahashi et al., 2007
	<i>D. muscipula</i>		Haemoglobin	3.0	60	
	<i>D. capensis</i>		Oxidised insulin B chain	3.5	47	
<i>N. gracilis</i>	Dialysis, Pressure ultrafiltration	Haemoglobin	2.5	37	Kadek et al., 2014	
Chitinase	<i>N. khasiana</i>	Not purified	<i>N</i> -acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc)	3.0	37	Eilenberg et al., 2006
			glycol-chitin	8.3	37	
	<i>N. alata</i>	TALON metal affinity resin	2-acetamido- 2-deoxy-D- glucose)	5.5	37	Ishisaki et al., 2011
Lipase	<i>N. macfarlanei</i>	Not purified	glycerol trioleate	6.0	37	Tokes et al., 1974
			glycerol tripalmitate	2.6		

	<i>N. hybrida</i>	MBPTrap affinity chromatography column	lecithin p-nitrophenyl (pNP) palmitate pNP-butyrate Tributyryn Triorein	2.2 7.0 7.0 5.0 5.0	37	Morohoshi et al., 2011
Phosphatase	<i>Utricularia foliosa</i> <i>Utricularia australis</i> <i>Genlisea lobata</i> , <i>U. multifida</i> <i>D. muscipula</i> , <i>C. follicularis</i> <i>D. binata</i> , <i>N. tobaica</i>	Not purified	4-methylumbelliferyl (MUF) phosphate ELF 97 phosphatase substrate	5.5 NA	NA	Sirova et al., 2013 Plachno et a., 2006

Table 4 (on next page)

Applications of proteases from different sources.

1 **Table 4.** Applications of proteases from different sources.

Source	Protease	Application	Reference
Plant	Neprosin	Proteomic analysis / Histone mapping	Rey et al., 2016
		Gluten digestion	Linda et al., 2017
	Papain	Meat tenderizer	Amri et al., 2012
		Denture cleaners	Ogunbiyi et al., 1986
		Detergent	Chaudhuri et al., 2017
		Cosmetics industry	
		Healing burn wound	
	Textiles		
	Bromelain	Anti-inflammatory agent	Chanalia et al., 2011
		Anti-cancerous agent	
Ficin	Pharmaceutical industry	Mazorra et al., 2017	
Actinidin	Dietary supplement	Malone et al., 2010	
Caricain	Gluten-free food processing	Buddrick et al., 2015	
Zingipain	Anti-proliferative agent	Karnchanatat et al., 2011	
Animal	Chymotrypsin	Food industry, Leather industry	Zhou et al., 2012
	Pepsin	Cheese making in dairy industry	Chaudhuri et al., 2017
		Dehairing in leather industry	Gurung et al., 2013
	Rennin	Cheese making in dairy industry	Khan et al., 2017
	Trypsin	Dehairing and bating in leather industry	Chaudhuri et al., 2017
Microbial	Carboxypeptidase	Debittering of protein hydrolysates	Mala et al., 1998
	Aminopeptidase		
	Collagenase / subtilisin	Treatment of burns and wounds	Chanalia et al., 2011
	Serine protease	Laundry detergent	Mala et al., 1998
	Alkaline protease	Recovery of silver from waste X-ray	Lakshmi et al., 2016
		Replace trypsin in animal cell cultures	
		Laundry detergent	Mala et al., 1998
	Thermolysin	Synthesis of aspartame	
Matrix metalloprotease	Therapeutic agent for cancer and arthritis		

2