```
1An improved primer set and amplification protocol with increased specificity and 2sensitivity targeting the Symbiodinium ITS2 region
```

```
3Benjamin CC Hume<sup>1</sup>†, Maren Ziegler<sup>1</sup>, Julie Poulain<sup>2,3,4</sup>, Xavier Pochon<sup>35,6</sup>, Sarah Romac<sup>47</sup>, 4Emilie Boissin<sup>58</sup>, Colomban de Vargas<sup>47</sup>, Serge Planes<sup>58</sup>, Patrick Wincker<sup>2,3,4</sup>, Christian R 5Voolstra<sup>1</sup>†
```

6¹Red Sea Research Center, Division of Biological Sciences and Engineering, KAUSTKing

7Abdullah University of Science and Technology, Thuwal, 23955-6900, Saudi Arabia

8^{2CEA -} Institut de Biologie François Jacob, Genoscope, 2 rue Gaston Crémieux, 91057 Evry, France: Institut de Génomique, Génoscope,

9Evry, France

10³ CNRS, UMR 8030, CP5706, Evry, France.

11⁴ Université d'Evry, UMR 8030, CP5706, Evry, France.

12^{3 5 Coastal and Freshwater Group,} Cawthron Institute, Private Bag 2, Nelson, 7042, New Zealand.

136 Institute of Marine Science, University of Auckland, Private Bag 349, Warkworth 0941, New Zealand.

14⁴⁷ CNRS, UMR 7144, EPEP & Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Université Paris 06; Station 15Biologique de Roscoff, 29680 Roscoff, France.

16⁵⁸ PSL Research University: EPHE-UPVD-CNRS, USR 3278 CRIOBE, Université de Perpignan, 1752 Avenue Paul Alduy, 66860 Perpignan Cedex, France

18

19†Corresponding authors:

20Christian R Voolstra

21Bldg 2, Rm 2226

22Red Sea Research Center, KAUST

23Phone: +966-12-808 2377

24Email: christian.voolstra@kaust.edu.sa

25

26Benjamin C C Hume

27Bldg 2, Rm 2227 WS01

28Red Sea Research Center, KAUST

29Phone: +966-544-701-291

30Email: benjamin.hume@kaust.edu.sa

31

32Running title: Assessment of *Symbiodinium* ITS2 primers pairs ets

33Keywords: Coral Reef, Metabarcoding, Metagenomics, Next-generation sequencing, Microbial-

34Biology, Symbiodinium

35Abstract

36The Internal Transcribed Spacer 2 (ITS2) rRNA gene is a commonly targeted genetic marker to 37assess diversity of *Symbiodinium*, a dinoflagellate genus of algal endosymbionts that is 38pervasively associated with marine invertebrates, and notably reef-building corals. Here we tested 39three commonly used ITS2 primer pairs (SYM_VAR_5.8S2/SYM_VAR_REV, 40ITSintfor2/ITSReverse, and ITS-DINO/ITS2Rev2) with regard to amplification specificity and 41sensitivity towards *Symbiodinium*, as well as sub-genera taxonomic bias. We tested these primers 42over a range of sample types including three coral species, coral surrounding water, reef surface 43water, and open ocean water to assess their suitability for use in large-scale next generation 44sequencing projects and to develop a standardized PCR protocol. We found the 45SYM_VAR_5.8S2/SYM_VAR_REV primers to perform superior to the other tested ITS2 46primers. We therefore used this primer pair to develop a standardised PCR protocol. To do this, 47we tested the effect of PCR-to-PCR variation, annealing temperature, cycle number, and different 48polymerase systems on the PCR efficacy. The *Symbiodinium* ITS2 PCR protocol developed here 49delivers improved specificity and sensitivity towards *Symbiodinium* with apparent minimal sub-50genera taxonomic bias across all sample types. In particular, the protocol's ability to amplify 51Symbiodinium from a range of environmental sources will facilitate the study of Symbiodinium 52populations across biomes.

53Introduction

54Coral reefs sustain some of the highest levels of biodiversity on Earth and provide a range of 55services to communities totalling millions of people (Moberg & Folke 1999; Plaisance et al. 562011). However, these ecosystems are being lost at an alarming rate (Hughes et al. 2017; 57Norstrom et al. 2016). This loss is primarily due to anthropogenic stressors degrading the 58scleractinian corals that build and support these reefs. Interestingly, susceptibility to 59these stressors amongst reef-building corals is often not homogenous (Kemp et al. 2014; Pandolfi 60et al. 2011; Rowan et al. 1997).

61Coral resilience is determined not only by the animal genotype but also by the diversity of 62microbes that associate with the animal host (Bourne et al. 2016; Levin et al. 2017; Peixoto et al. 632017; Pogoreutz et al. 2017; Radecker et al. 2015; Torda et al. 2017; Ziegler et al. 2017c). Of the 64microbial components that make up the coral holobiont - the consideration of the animal host and 65its associating microbes as a functional ecological unit – the algal symbionts of the genus 66Symbiodinium have received the most attention for their role in affording resilience to the coral 67host (Hume et al. 2016; Thornhill et al. 2017). The coral-Symbiodinium association is generally 68obligate with the algal symbiont providing towards the nutritional needs of the animal host, in 69exchange for a stable, beneficial environment (Muscatine 1990). The efficacy and character of 70this association is; determined by the genotype of alga (Kemp et al. 2014; Rowan et al. 1997; 71Silverstein et al. 2015; Thornhill et al. 2017). Accordingly, the ability to resolve taxa within the 72genus Symbiodinium is essential to better understanding resilience of the coral holobiont and reef 73ecosystems as a whole.

74Taxonomic resolution within *Symbiodinium* is primarily achieved genetically (Wham et al. 2017). 75To this end, a range of genetic markers and associated analytical approaches exist (Pochon et al. 762014; Thornhill et al. 2017). Initially, genetic characterisations resolved *Symbiodinium* into broad 77taxonomic groupings referred to as clades A-I (Pochon & Gates 2010). However, due to the 78significant genetic and phenotypic diversity found within these clades, contemporary genetic 79resolutions are conducted at a sub-cladal level. For such analyses, the most commonly used 80marker is the ITS2 region of the nrDNA (LaJeunesse 2001). This marker is multi-copy in nature 81meaning that a single Symbiodinium cell may contain 100s-1000s of copies of the ITS2 region 82(Arif et al. 2014; LaJeunesse 2002). As such, 100s of distinct ITS2 sequences may be generated 83from a single genotype, referred to as intragenomic diversity. Given that corals may associate 84with multiple *Symbiodinium* genotypes, intergenomic diversity may also exist in samples. 85Disentangling these two diversities can complicate analyses, but ultimately, the intragenomic 86diversity of the ITS2 region has proven to be a rich source of information that has been 87effectively leveraged to improve resolutions within *Symbiodinium* (Smith et al. 2017; Wham et 88al. 2017).

89*Symbiodinium* ITS2 intragenomic diversity was first employed taxonomically using denaturing 90gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) methodologies, enabling the separation of PCR amplicon 91sequence fragments according to melting temperature, a proxy for sequence (LaJeunesse 2002). 92Using such techniques, *Symbiodinium* taxa that shared the same most abundant ITS2 sequence in 93common, yet displayed significantly different functional phenotypes, were able to be resolved 94specifically according to differences in rarer sequences in their intragenomic diversity. 95Importantly, *Symbiodinium* taxa began to be resolved in part bypartby specific sets of these

96intragenomic ITS2 sequences referred to as ITS2 types or profiles. For example, *S. trenchii* and 97*S. glynnii* have the D1 ITS2 sequence in common but can be differentiated according to different 98presence-absence combinations of the D4, and D6 sequences (Wham et al. 2017). Similarly, 99*S. thermophilum*, a symbiont prevalent in corals living in the world's warmest reefs, can be 100differentiated from other C3 dominated symbionts, that have a global distribution, through the 101identification of a specific intragenomic sequence, *S. thermo.*-indel (Hume et al. 2015). 102More recently, studies have been taking advantage of the sequencing benefits afforded by next 103generation sequencing (NGS) of PCR amplicons to elucidate *Symbiodinium* diversity using the 104ITS2 region. Multiple approaches to dealing with the sequence diversity have been taken, 105including, but not limited to, OTU clustering (Arif et al. 2014; Cunning et al. 2017; Ziegler et al. 1062017a; Ziegler et al. 2017b), searching for specific taxa-defining ITS2 sequences (Boulotte et al. 1072016; Hume et al. 2016; Ziegler et al. 2017a) or looking for ITS2 profiles found in common 108between samples (Smith et al. 2017).

109Given the ongoing surge in *Symbiodinium* diversity studies employing NGS approaches using the 110ITS2 marker, and the large-scale projects that rely on them, such as The Global Coral 111Microbiome Project (http://vegathurberlab.oregonstate.edu/global-coral-microbiome-project) and 112the *TaraTARA* Pacific expedition (http://oceans.taraexpeditions.org/en/m/environment/ocean-113climate/new-expedition-tara-pacific/), we sought to establish, and future proof, a standardised and 114improved PCR protocol. Particularly, we assessed the specificity (preferential amplification of 115*Symbiodinium* DNA), sensitivity (ability to amplify *Symbiodinium* from *Symbiodinium*-rare 116environments), and relative sub-genera taxonomic bias (within *Symbiodinium* bias) of existing 117ITS2 primer pairs, as well as the effect of different polymerase systems. Notably, we tested

118amplification on a range of sample types including coral, coral surrounding water, reef surface 119water, and open ocean water due to increasing efforts to elucidate environmental reservoirs and 120assess free-living *Symbiodinium* (Mordret et al. 2016; Nitschke et al. 2016; Pochon et al. 2010; 121Thornhill et al. 2017).

122Materials & Methods

1231. Sample collection, DNA isolation, ITS2 primer pairs, and experimental setup

124To establish a robust ITS2 NGS-based amplification protocol for *Symbiodinium*, we assessed the 125effectiveness of three commonly used primer pairs (Table 1) on samples from different 126environments (Table 2). Further, we tested the robustness of the most effective primer pair, 127SYM_VAR, by documenting the effects of PCR-to-PCR variation, annealing temperature, cycle 128number, and polymerase system used with regards to: specificity, sensitivity, relative sub-genera 129amplification, and which sequences were returned. Chimeric sequence formation was 130additionally assessed as a function of PCR cycles.

131Sample collection: In total, 27 samples were used in our analyses from 4 different environments: 132coral tissue (CO), coral surrounding water (CSW), coral reef surface water (SRF-CO), and open 133ocean (SRF-OOOA). Samples were collected either as part of the *TaraTARA* Oceans or 134*TaraTARA* Pacific expeditions. Field Permits were obtained as part of the *TaraTARA* Oceans and 135*TaraTARA* Pacific expeditions and the approving bodies were the respective governments and/or 136ministries for the environment of the countries where the samples were collected. The authors of 137this work are part of the *TaraTARA* Oceans and *TaraTARA* Pacific expeditions, and thus, 138participated in sample collection. *Tara* Expeditions are global scientific voyages aimed at 139probing morphological and molecular diversity, evolution and ecology of marine plankton 140(viruses, bacteria, archaea, protists and planktonic metazoans) in the photic layer of the world 141oceans to research how they are impacted by Earth's climate changes. *Tara* meteorological, 142oceanographic, biochemical, and plankton morphological data are archived in the comprehensive

143Sample Registry of the PANGAEA database (https://www.pangaea.de).

144(https://www.pangaea.de). The Sample Registry links physical samples to metadata about 145sampling and analysis methodology performed on each sample. Whilst the *Tara* Pacific registry 146is still under construction at the time of writing of this manuscript, the *Tara* Oceans registry can 147be accessed at https://doi.pangaea.de/10.1594/PANGAEA.842237.

148Six CO samples from three genera, 2 *Porites <u>lobata</u>* (samples: CO-0000150 and CO-0000151),; 2 149*Pocillopora <u>meandrina</u>* (samples: CO-0000208 and CO-0000209),; and 2 *Millepora <u>platyphylla</u>* 150(samples: CO-0000302 and CO-0000303),; were collected on SCUBA off the coast of Panama in 151July 2016. Corals were stored in DNA/RNA Shield (Zymo Research, USA).

152Two CSW and one SRF_CO samples were also collected off the coast of Panama in July 2016.
153CSW samples were collected (15-17 L) from water surrounding 2 *Pocillopora* corals using a 154boat-mounted vacuum pump. SRF_CO samples were collected (18 L) directly from the surface of 155the reef. Water was pre-filtered through a 20 µm metallic sieve, and then vacuum filtered on a 3 156µm polycarbonate filter of 142 mm diameter for 15 mins. After filtration, filters were 157immediately flash frozen in liquid nitrogen.

158In addition, six SRF-OOΘA samples were collected off the coast of Panama and were processed 159as described above for the CSW and SRF-CO samples. Twelve additional samples were collected 160as part of the *Tara* Oceans expeditions TARA Ocean from a range of ocean basins including the 161Pacific, North Atlantic, and Indian Ocean. These samples were filtered to a size fraction of 5-20 162μm as described in section 6a of Pesant *et al.* 2015.

163DNA isolation: DNA was extracted using the ZR-Duet DNA/RNA MiniPrep Plus (Zymo 164Research, USA) kit for all coral samples. Further, DNA was extracted from all water samples as

165described in AlbertiAlerti et al., (2017)(Alberti et al. 2017) for the 5-20 μm and 3-20 μm 166fractions. In brief, the protocol was based on simultaneous extraction of DNA and RNA by 167cryogenic grinding of cryopreserved membrane filters, followed by nucleic acid extraction with 168NucleoSpin RNA kits (Macherey-Nagel, Germany) combined with DNA Elution buffer kit 169(Macherey-Nagel, Germany).

170ITS2 primer pairs: Three <u>pairssets</u> of published ITS2 primer pairs specifically designed to 171amplify *Symbiodinium* DNA were tested. These were:

172SYM_VAR_5.8S2/SYM_VAR_REV(Hume et al. 2013; Hume et al. 2015), ITSintfor2/ITS-173reverse (Coleman et al. 1994; LaJeunesse 2002), and ITS-DINO/ITS2Rev2 (Pochon et al. 2001; 174Stat et al. 2009). Primer details and amplification protocols are given in Table 1. For ease of 175comprehension, each primer pair will be referred to as the SYM_VAR, ITSintfor2, or ITS-DINO 176primer pair.

177Experimental setup: Sequencing for this study was conducted in two consecutive efforts. In the 178first part, aimed at directly comparing the three primer pairs, each primer pair was used to 179amplify each of the 27 samples. In the second part, a subset of samples was amplified using the 180SYM_VAR primer pair with different PCR protocols. We tested the effect of number of cycles, 181annealing temperature, and polymerase system on selectivity, sensitivity, and stability to optimise 182the reaction. Details of the samples as well as the associated number of cycles and annealing 183temperatures used can be found in Table 2 and Supplementary Table 1. All PCRs were carried 184out in 25 μl reactions with the following conditions: 12.5 μl Phusion High-Fidelity PCR Master 185Mix 2X (ThermoFisher Scientific, USA) or equivalent amount of Advantage 2 PCR system 186(Takara Bio, Japan), 1 μl of forward and reverse primer at a concentration of 10 μM, 0.75 μl of

187DMSO, 1 μl of genomic DNA at a concentration of between 5-10 ng and 8.75 μl of ddH₂0 with a 188GeneAmp PCR system 9700 (Perkin Elmer, USA).-

1892. Library preparation, sequencing, and sequence quality control

190For both sequencing efforts, all PCRs were conducted in triplicate before being pooled for 191sequencing. Pooled PCR products were purified using 0.8 x AMPure XP beads (Beckmann 192Coulter Genomics, USA), then aliquots of purified amplicons were run on an Agilent Bioanalyzer 193(Agilent technologies, USA) using the DNA High Sensitivity LabChip kit to verify lengths and 194were quantified using a Qubit Fluorometer (ThermoFisher Scientific, USA).

195One hundred ng aliquots of the amplicons were directly end-repaired, A-tailed, and ligated to 196Illumina adapters (Illumina, USA) on a Biomek FX Laboratory Automation Workstation 197(Beckman Coulter, USA). Then, library amplification was performed using the KAPA Hifi 198HotStart NGS kit (KAPA Biosystems, USA). After library profile analysis by LabChip GX 199(Perkin Elmer, USA) and qPCR quantification using PicoGreen (ThermoFisher Scientific, USA) 200onin 96-well plates, each library was sequenced using 250 bp read length chemistry in a paired-201end flow cell on a MiSeq instrument (Illumina, USA).

202During sequencing, Illumina's Real Time Analysis (RTA) was run with default settings to 203remove clusters with the least reliable data. Output BCL files were converted to demultiplexed 204fastq files using Illumina's *bcl2fastq* package and in-house filtering was applied to reads that 205passed the Illumina quality filters (Alberti et al. 2017). Read pairs that mapped onto run quality 206control sequences (Enterobacteria phage PhiX174 genome) were removed using the *bowtie* 207package.

208For each of the sequenced samples analysed in this study the same sequence quality control (QC) 209was performed. Briefly, mothurmother_1.39.5 (Schloss et al. 2009) was used to create contigs 210from paired forward and reverse demultiplexed fastq.gz files using the *make.contigs* command. 211The *screen.seqs* command was then used (*maxambig=0*, *maxhomop=5*) to discard sequences 212putatively generated from sequencing errors. The *unique.seqs* command was used to create a non-213redundant collection of sequences represented by a .name and .fasta file. The remainder of the 214QC was performed using both the .name and .fasta files produced. The pcr.segs command 215(*pdiffs*=2, *rdiffs*=2) was used to trim the primer sequence regions from the returned sequences. In 216addition, this command was used to discard sequences in which the specific primer pairs could 217not be found – indicative of poor sequencing quality – allowing a deviation of no more than 2 218nucleotides differences in either of the forward or reverse primer sequences. Next, *split.abund* 219was run (*cutoff*=2) to discard sequences that were not found more than 2 times in each sequenced 220sample – again, to reduce incorporating sequences with sequencing errors. Sequences were once 221again made non-redundant using the *unique.segs* command. Finally, the *summary.segs* command 222was used to calculate the size distribution of the remaining sequences. Sequences below the 2.5% 223and above the 97.5% size percentiles were removed using the screen.segs minlength and 224*maxlength* parameters. A summary of the number of sequences retained at three stages of the QC 225process (pre-QC, post-QC, and *Symbiodinium* only sequences) are given in Supplementary Table 2261 and Supplementary Fig. 1.

2273. Sequence data analysis – primer pair comparisons

228To assess which of the three primer pairs performed the best, each primer pair was assessed for 229specificity (i.e., preferential amplification of *Symbiodinium* DNA) and sensitivity (i.e., ability to

230amplify *Symbiodinium* from *Symbiodinium*-rare environments). Additionally, potential within-231*Symbiodinium* taxonomic biases were assessed by comparing the proportions of sequences for 232each primer pair that belonged to each of the 9 major cladal groups of *Symbiodinium*, clades A-I 233(Pochon & Gates 2010). Our assumption was that if no or only small cladalclade biases exist, the 234proportion of sequences from each clade should be similar between primers. Consequently, a 235large deviation in cladalclade proportions by any one of the primer pairs was regarded as 236indicative of taxonomic bias.

237The specific PCR conditions used for each primer pairset are given in Table 1 with PCR reagents 238as detailed above. The sequences returned from each PCR were annotated using *blastn* and the 239NCBI 'nt' database according to their closest match to one of the following categories: 240Symbiodinium, dinoflagellate, stony coral, Hydrozoan, uncultured eukaryote, other, or 'no 241match'. To do this, the .fasta file produced from the initial QC from each sample was run against 242NCBI's 'nt' database with the *max_target_seqs* argument set to 1 and an output format string of 243'6 *qseqid sseqid staxids sscinames sblastnames evalue*'. For sequences to be categorised as 244Symbiodinium, an e-value > 50 was required (representing approximately a-100% coverage 245match at 80-85% nt identity) in addition to the closest match being of Symbiodinium origin. 246Additionally, closest match subject sequences were screened for two sequences in particular 247(JN406302 and JN406301), which are mis-annotated as Symbiodinium (highly divergent from 248any other Symbiodinium sequences) in the 'nt' database. Thus, query sequences matching these 249sequences were annotated as 'Unclutured eukaryote'. Notably, before controlling for this, 58% of 250all 'Symbiodinium' sequences amplified by the ITSintfor2 and ITS-DINO primer pairs (0% for

251the SymVar primer pair) in the <u>SRF-OO</u>OA samples matched these sequences as their closest 252match (Supplementary Fig. <u>2</u>1).

253*blastn* was also used to associate *Symbiodinium* sequences to one of the 9 cladal groupsclades. To 254do this, a .fasta file was created for each sample that contained all sequences that had previously 255been categorised as *Symbiodinium*. This file was used as an input for *blastn* with the 256*max_target_seqs* argument set to 1 and an output format string of '6 qseqid sseqid evalue pident 257*gcovs*'. A custom BLAST database was used that contained a single cladal representative 258sequence for each of the 9 clades (Supplementary Data 1).

2594. Sequence data analysis – optimizing the SYM VAR PCR protocol

260Having determined SYM_VAR as the most effective primer pair for the amplification of the 261Symbiodinium ITS2 region, we undertook a second sequencing effort to optimize the PCR 262protocol. Specifically, we investigated: 1) how consistent the PCR was (i.e. PCR-to-PCR effect) 263by conducting PCRs with the exact same reaction conditions but sequenced onin different 264sequencing runs. 2)); whether increasing the annealing temperature could increase sensitivity in 265non-coral sample environments, and 3) whether a decrease in the number of PCR 266cycles would still allow for a satisfactory actually aids in the amplification of Symbiodinium 267whilst decreasing from samples representing Symbiodinium-rare environments. Cladal variation 268and quantity of chimeric sequences return, formation were additionally considered, when 269investigating the effects of increased annealing temperature and number of PCR cycles.

270PCR conditions were the same as for the SYM_VAR_5.8S2/SYM_VAR_REV primer pair 271(Table 1), but with a 30 sec denaturing step, following the manufacturer's recommendation_ 272(Phusion High Fidelity PCR; ThermoFisher Scientific, USA). Taxonomic identities and the

273cladalclade breakdown (*Symbiodinium* sequences only) of sequences returned from each sample 274(annealing temperature and cycle number comparisons only) were determined in the same way as 275for the initial primer pairset comparisons described above.

276As a final optimisation toof the SYM_VAR amplification protocol, we tested whether the 277polymerase enzyme system used could affect the outcome of the PCR amplification. For 11 278samples (Table 2), we contrasted amplification with Phusion High-Fidelity PCR Master Mix 279(ThermoFisher Scientific, USA) and the Advantage 2 PCR Enzyme System (Takara Bio, Japan). 280PCR amplification with the Advantage 2 PCR Enzyme System were conducted as shown in 281Table 1, but with 30 cycles.

282To further assess the potential effect of PCR protocol modifications, we additionally assessed 283whether PCR-to-PCR variation, or any of the protocol optimisation modifications would affect 284which sequences were amplified. To assess this, the top 20 most abundant sequences (by relative 285abundance) were identified and plotted by decreasing abundance for pairings of sequenced 286samples for which PCR conditions were identical, or only differed in one of the investigated 287parameters (i.e., cycle number,* annealing temperature, or polymerase system). This analysis was 288conducted for two samples, AW-0000035 and IW-0000015 and only for *Symbiodinium* 289sequences contained in each. It should finally be noted that although we did not test for it here, 290variability between different PCR cyclers (e.g. in ramping rate and accuracy of temperature 291binning) also has the potential to cause variation in a given PCR.

292<u>An online version of the final optimized PCR protocol is available through the doi:</u> 293<u>dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.n8edhte.</u>

2945. Design of the SYM VAR primer pair

295The SYM VAR primer pair were designed to minimise eladalclade bias and coral-host 296complementarity. Originally, the reverse primer of the SYM VAR primer pair that binds in the 29728S region of the rDNA, SYM VAR REV, was designed to be complimentary to the forward 298primer SYM_VAR_FWD that binds in the 18S (Hume et al. 2013). This primer pair was 299designed to amplify both ITS regions as well as the 5.8S region of the rDNA. These primers were 300designed with the incorporation of degenerate bases based upon a multiple sequence alignment 301 containing representatives of all *Symbiodinium* clades so that, where possible, the primer 302sequences had an equal number of nucleotide mis-matches to each of the clades. Later, to be able 303to amplify only the ITS2 region of the rDNA, the SYM VAR 5.8SII primer was designed 304(Hume et al. 2015). Compatibility to the SYM VAR REV primer and a minimisation of 305cladalclade bias were maintained whilst complementarity to coral host ITS2 rDNA sequences 306was also taken into account by including representative sequences from *P. lobata* and *P. lutea* 307(ITS2 sequences from which, had been amplified using alternative primers) to the multiple 308 sequence alignment (Hume 2013). It should be noted that this primer pair was successfully used 309to recover Symbiodinium DNA from Acropora downingi, Cyphastrea microphthalma, Favia 310pallida, Platygyra daedalea, Porites harrisoni, and P. lutea in Hume et al (2015).

3116. Sampling permits

312<u>The following permits are were required for the collection of the samples analysed as part of this</u> 313<u>study:</u> Panama scientific sampling: SE/AP-18-16; Panama CITES: SEX/A-72-16, no. 05567; 314<u>PortulgalPortugal</u> scientific sampling: 080522/2009/Proc.º E.17.b; Maldives scientific sampling: 315FA-D2/33/2010/02; Chile scientific sampling: 13270/24/74.

316Results

3171. Primer pair comparisons for amplification of Symbiodinium ITS2

318In the CO samples, the SYM_VAR primer pair -exclusively amplified *Symbiodinium* DNA. For 319the ITSintfor2 and ITS-DINO primer pairs *Symbiodinium* sequences represented 84% (SD 11%) 320and 79% (SD 12%) of total reads, respectively. Remaining sequences associated with 321Scleractinia, Hydrozoa, or resulted in no BLAST match (Fig 1).-

323Symbiodinium (98%; SD 3%). In contrast, the alternative pairs amplified 51% (SD 23%) and 32442% (SD 24%) of Symbiodinium reads, respectively, with the remaining sequences characterised 325as dinoflagellate or falling into the uncultured eukaryote category (Fig 1).

326In the SRF_CO sample, *Symbiodinium* amplifications were considerably lower compared to the 327CO and CSW samples. SYM_VAR amplified 43% of *Symbiodinium* reads compared to 1% in 328both alternative primer pairs. The remaining sequences in the SYM_VAR pair were primarily of 329dinoflagellate origin. In the other two primer pairs, although a large proportion were also 330dinoflagellate in origin, sequences primarily fell into the uncultured eukaryote category (Fig 1). 331In the SRF-OOOA samples, the ITSintfor2 and ITS-DINO primer pairs were more similar in 332their amplifications compared to the SYM_VAR pair. In contrast to the other primer pairs, 333SYM_VAR amplified less 'uncultured eukaryotic', 'other', and 'no match' sequences, and more 334dinoflagellate and *Symbiodinium* sequences. Specifically, amplification of *Symbiodinium* was 335achieved in 12, 7 and 8 of the SRF-OOOA samples using the SYM_VAR, ITSintfor2 and ITS-336DINO primer pairs, respectively. All of the 5 libraries that achieved a *Symbiodinium*

337amplification above 5% of total reads were amplified with the SYM_VAR primer pair. In total, 338the SYM_VAR primer pair achieved a *Symbiodinium* amplification of 7% (SD 15%) compared 339to <0.5% (SDs < 0.5%) for the alternative primer pairs (Fig 1).

340Across all samples in which all three primer pairs amplified > 5% of *Symbiodinium* reads, the 341proportions of sub-genus taxa amplified (*Symbiodinium* clades) were comparable (Fig 1).

3422. Establishment of an optimal PCR protocol using the SYM_VAR primer pair

343First, we assessed whether the same *Symbiodinium* ITS2 sequences were returned from PCRs
344performed on the same samples, with identical reaction conditions, but sequenced onin different
345sequencing runs. For the two samples tested, the 20 most abundant sequences were present in
346both PCRs-pairings with relative abundances of each sequence being comparable (Figure 2).
347Next, we increased the annealing temperature from 56°C to 59°C to see whether a gain in
348*Symbiodinium* selectivity, and therefore sensitivity, could be achieved without yielding sub-genus
349taxonomic bias. In the CSW and SRF-CO samples, increasing annealing temperature increased
350the proportion of returned *Symbiodinium* sequences from 95 to 98% and from 28 to 47%,
351respectively. However, in both samples a small increase in the proportion of clade D versus clade
352C was observed, 45 to 47% and from 57 to 66%, respectively. In the two SRF-OOOA samples
353tested, no *Symbiodinium* amplification occurred at either 56°C or 59°C (Fig 3). The 20 most
354abundant sequences were found in both libraries for the CSW samples tested. However, 2 of the
35520 sequences were missing from one of the libraries in the SRF-CO sample tested (the 10th and
35616th most abundant sequences; Figure 2).

357Additionally, we tested whether *Symbiodinium* could be sufficiently amplified using a decreased 358an increase in the number of PCR cycles actually improves the amplification of *Symbiodinium* 359from samples representing Symbiodinium-rare environments without leading to reducean increase 360in chimeric sequence formation or taxonomic bias. To accomplish this, we compared by 361comparing the above-used protocol to one with onlya reduced number of 25 cycles. In the CSW 362and SRF-CO samples there was a greater an increase in the proportion of *Symbiodinium* amplified 363at 35 compared to 25 cycles (~5% greaterincrease in the CSW samples and 15% in the SRF<u>-CO</u> 364samples). However, in both of the <u>SRF-OOOA</u> samples no *Symbiodinium* amplification was seen 365<u>atin</u> either of the cycle conditions. Only non-Symbiodinium, dinoflagellate taxa sequences were 366amplified. Of the 9 samples considered in the chimeric analysis (the 3three CSW and SRF-CO 367samples considered above, as well as 6six CO samples) greater number of an increase in predicted 368chimeric sequences were putatively identified was observed at 35 cycles versus 25 cycles in 3 of 369them with an increase of 1% in each case (Table 3). The proportions of sub-genus taxa amplified 370(*Symbiodinium* clades) were comparable (Fig 3) and all but one (the 20th) of the most abundant 371sequences were amplified in common (Fig 2).

372Last, we also tested to see whether there would be any effect of using different polymerase 373systems. In the CO and SRF-OOOA samples tested, no difference in proportion of returned 374*Symbiodinium* sequences was observed between polymerase systems used (100% and 0% 375amplification for all libraries, respectively). However, in the CSW and SRF-CO samples we 376observed a relative decrease of *Symbiodinium* reads in the libraries that used the Advantage 2 377system (Fig. 4). Despite, this relative decrease, the polymerase system used had minimal effect 378on which *Symbiodinium* sequences were returned (top 20 most abundant; Fig 2).

379**Discussion**

380NGS approaches are quickly superseding their traditional gel-based counterparts due to advances 381in sequencing power and ease of handling (Arif et al. 2014; Thomas et al. 2014). In particular, 382increases in sequencing depths by several orders of magnitude havehas enabled the examination 383of low-abundance ITS2 sequences for the first time. The illumination of these rare-sequences 384holds the potential to characterising the rare *Symbiodinium* biosphere *in hospite* and in free-living 385contexts (e.g. Boulotte et al. 2016; Mordret et al. 2016; Ziegler et al. 2017b). Also, the 386incorporation of rarer ITS2 sequences into phylogenetic analyses has the potential to vastly 387 improve the taxonomic resolving power of the ITS2 marker (Smith et al. 2017). 388As rarer ITS2 sequence variants become more integral to the biological inferences made 389(Boulotte et al. 2016; Ziegler et al. 2017b), the effect of differences in ITS2 amplification 390strategies will become more significant. For example, small changes in amplification strategy 391may have a large effect on the rarer sequence variants returned. Despite this potential sensitivity 392to amplification variation, NGS studies published to date have used a range of different primer 393pairs developed for use in less-sensitive analytical contexts, without first assessing the effect of 394primer choice. For example, ineffective amplifications have the potential to compromise our 395ability to infer taxonomic identities, and inter-primer pair variation could hinder cross-study 396comparisons. In particular, since several large-scale projects including the Global Coral 397Microbiome Project and *Tara*TARA Pacific, in which thousands of samples are being analysed 398 for *Symbiodinium* ITS2 diversity, many of which represent *Symbiodinium*-rare environments, it is 399important to standardise and test proof the primer pair and PCR protocol employed. Here, we

400compared three commonly used *Symbiodinium* ITS2 primer pairs, and show that the SYM_VAR 401primer pair outperforms the two alternative pairs, in specificity and sensitivity whilst maintaining 402an apparent minimal taxonomic bias.

403Our data show that primer pair had a considerable effect on amplification efficacy of the 404Symbiodinium ITS2 gene, especially in Symbiodinium-rare environments. In the SRF-OOOA and 405SRF<u>-CO</u> samples, the SYM_VAR primer pair significantly outperformed the alternative primers 406amplifying more *Symbiodinium* reads in every sample tested (Fig 1). Only SYM_VAR were able 407to amplify *Symbiodinium* in the majority of <u>SRF-OOOA</u> samples with proportions as high as 40846%. In contrast, the maximum amplification of the alternative primers was 2% with both pairs 409returning averages of <1% from successful amplifications in less than half the samples. In more 410Symbiodinium-abundant samples, such as the CO or CSW samples, the use of the SYM_VAR 411primers enabled a significant gain in the proportion of *Symbiodinium* sequences returned, once 412again amplifying more *Symbiodinium* in every sample tested (Fig 1). In practical terms, this 413translates to needing a shallower sequencing effort per sample to return the same amount of 414*Symbiodinium* information, thus enabling more samples to be sequenced per unit cost. 415As part of optimising the SYM_VAR amplification protocol we tested whether increasing 416annealing temperature could increase the primers' taxonomic specificity for *Symbiodinium*. An 417increase in annealing temperature from 56°C to 59°C resulted in a higher proportion of 418Symbiodinium being amplified (Fig. 3). However, this was accompanied by a minor increase in 419the proportion of clade D *Symbiodinium* amplified. Given that any change in cladelclade 420proportion resulting from an increased annealing temperature is most likely due to preferential 421amplification of certain clades over others, this increase may be symptomatic of a weak

422cladalclade bias. Considered alongside the fact that sufficient *Symbiodinium* sequences were 423amplified to enable a robust analysis in the CO, CSW, and SRF-CO samples at 56°C, and that 424increasing annealing temperature did not enable the amplification of *Symbiodinium* DNA in the 425SRF-OOOA samples, we recommend the 56°C annealing temperature to preference 426minimising minimise cladalclade bias at the expense of additional *Symbiodinium* amplification.
427Notably, although every effort has been made to design the SYM VAR primer pair in a manner 428that minimises *Symbiodinium* cladalclade bias, further testing for cladalclade bias in particular 429when working with *Symbiodinium* clades not recovered in this study may be needed to 430unequivocally confirm minimal bias. To this end, amplification, sequencing and analysis of pre-431determined, artificially mixed communities of *Symbiodinium* may offer an effective way to test 432for cladalclade-bias.

433

435Symbiodinium DNA and whether the cycle number would affect chimeric sequence production.
436Although no Symbiodinium amplification was achieved in the 2 SRF-OQOA samples tested, a
437greaterincreased amplification of Symbiodinium at 35 rather than 25 cycles in the CSW and SRF438CQ samples (Fig. 3), accompanied by only minimal reductionincrease in chimeric sequence
439production (Fig. 2) at the lower cycles, 2), advocates the use of the higher cycles in the
440standardised protocol. Notably, any cycles required for the preparation of NGS libraries should
441be included in the number of total cycles. For example, if adapter and index attachment require a
442total of 7 cycles, then 28 cycles should be used for the initial PCR. Otherwise 35 cycles should be
443used for non-NGS applications.

444Finally, we investigated whether the polymerase system used could modify the specificity of the 445SYM_VAR pair. Importantly, our results showed that the use of the Advantage 2 rather than the 446Phusion system led to a decreased specificity for *Symbiodinium* DNA when used in conjunction 447with the SYM_VAR pair (Fig. 4). Whilst it is not our objective to recommend the use of one 448polymerase system over any other, and it is likely unrealistic to expect research groups to diverge 449from polymerase systems established in their labs, our results do highlight that thea polymerase 450system used can have an effect on specificity and should therefore be taken into consideration 451during PCR optimisations. Reassuringly, the profile of ITS2 sequences returned from each of the 452polymerase systems were comparable (Fig 2). This would suggest that although polymerase 453system may affect the efficiency of amplification of *Symbiodinium*, it will have no effect on 454inferences made from data.

455Alongside considerations of *Symbiodinium* amplification efficiency (i.e., whether *Symbiodinium* 456can be amplified), it is also important to assess amplifications in terms of what taxonomic 457inferences they may offer. Due to its multicopy nature and the circumstance that more than one 458*Symbiodinium* taxa can be associated with any given host, the level of taxonomic resolution 459offered by the ITS2 genetic marker within *Symbiodinium* is dependent on the depth of 460sequencing. As the returned number of *Symbiodinium* sequences decreases, so does the power to 461resolve. When 100s to 1000s of sequences (per clade) are returned, ITS2 type profiles (sets of 462intragenomic sequences diagnostic of *Symbiodinium* taxa) can be assessed in detail (Smith et al. 4632017). Analyses that make use of intragenomic variance in such a way are very recent or still in 464development. Thus, species descriptions have yet to make use of NGS-derived ITS2 type 465profiles, but their identification has the ability to resolve at, and beyond, the species level within

466Symbiodinium_(Hume et al. 2015; LaJeunesse et al. 2014; Smith et al. 2017)__. Whilst all of the 467primer pairs were able to return such sequencing depth in the CO and CSW samples, for the SRF_468CO and SRF-OOOA samples this depth was only achieved by the SYM_VAR primer pair (and 469not consistently across all samples). With this resolution, correlations between *in hospite* and 470free-living *Symbiodinium* populations can be addressed with a high degree of certainty. Notably, 471the level of sequencing depth becomes rather an issue of sequencing effort. Hence, differences in 472sequencing efforts for different environments will need to be taken into account if comparisons 473are planned to be made between different environments at the same resolution.

474

475Conclusions

476Given the ongoing popularity and increasing interest in phylotyping *Symbiodinium* in host as well 477as non-host environments employing the ITS2 region, we set out to develop a standardised 478*Symbiodinium* ITS2 amplification protocol, in particular for the use of NGS approaches. We 479show that the SYM_VAR_5.8S2/SYM_VAR_REV primer pair represent a superior primer pair 480able to amplify a greater proportion of *Symbiodinium* DNA with minimal cladal bias in 481comparison to other commonly used primers. In particular, the SYM_VAR primer pair_s² ability 482to amplify from *Symbiodinium*-rare environments whilst maintaining maximum taxonomic 483resolving power holds potential for better elucidating the role of free-living *Symbiodinium* in reef 484ecology. Our standardised protocol should therefore help to-maximize return of information from 485a diverse range of sample types whilst maintaining comparability to future and existing projects.

486

487Acknowledgements

489ANR-10-INBS-09, and KAUST baseline and KAUST BESE division funds to CRV.

490*TaraTARA* Pacific consortium acknowledgement: We are keen to thank the commitment of the 491people and the following institutions and sponsors who made this singular expedition possible: 492CNRS, CSM, PSL, KAUST, Genoscope/CEA, ANR-CORALGENE, France Genomique 493(specifically grant number ANR-10-INBS-09), agnès b., the Veolia Environment Foundation, 494Region Bretagne, Serge Ferrari, Billerudkorsnas, AmerisourceBergen Company, Lorient 495Agglomeration, Oceans by Disney, the Prince Albert II de Monaco Foundation, L'Oréal, 496Biotherm, France Collectivités, Kankyo Station, Fonds Français pour l'Environnement Mondial 497(FFEM), Etienne Bourgois, UNESCO-IOC, the *Tara* Foundation teams and crew. *Tara* Pacific 498would not exist without the continuous support of the participating institutes. This work is 499contribution number 4 of *Tara* Pacific.

500References

- 501Alberti A, Poulain J, Engelen S, Labadie K, Romac S, Ferrera I, Albini G, Aury JM, Belser C,
- Bertrand A, Cruaud C, Da Silva C, Dossat C, Gavory F, Gas S, Guy J, Haquelle M,
- Jacoby E, Jaillon O, Lemainque A, Pelletier E, Samson G, Wessner M, Acinas SG, Royo-
- Llonch M, Cornejo-Castillo FM, Logares R, Fernandez-Gomez B, Bowler C, Cochrane
- G, Amid C, Ten Hoopen P, De Vargas C, Grimsley N, Desgranges E, Kandels-Lewis S,
- Ogata H, Poulton N, Sieracki ME, Stepanauskas R, Sullivan MB, Brum JR, Duhaime
- MB, Poulos BT, Hurwitz BL, Pesant S, Karsenti E, Wincker P, Team GT, and
- Consortium TO. 2017. Viral to metazoan marine plankton nucleotide sequences from the
- Tara Oceans expedition. Scientific Data 4. ARTN 170093 10.1038/sdata.2017.93
- 510Arif C, Daniels C, Bayer T, Banguera-Hinestroza E, Barbrook A, Howe CJ, Lajeunesse TC, and
- Voolstra CR. 2014. Assessing *Symbiodinium* diversity in scleractinian corals via next-
- generation sequencing-based genotyping of the ITS2 rDNA region. *Molecular Ecology*
- 513 23:4418-4433. 10.1111/mec.12869
- 514Boulotte NM, Dalton SJ, Carroll AG, Harrison PL, Putnam HM, Peplow LM, and van Oppen
- MJH. 2016. Exploring the Symbiodinium rare biosphere provides evidence for symbiont
- switching in reef-building corals. *Isme Journal* 10:2693-2701. 10.1038/ismej.2016.54
- 517Bourne DG, Morrow KM, and Webster NS. 2016. Insights into the Coral Microbiome:
- 518 Underpinning the Health and Resilience of Reef Ecosystems. *Annual Review of*
- 519 *Microbiology*, Vol 70 70:317-340. 10.1146/annurev-micro-102215-095440
- 520Coleman AW, Suarez A, and Goff LJ. 1994. Molecular Delineation of Species and Syngens in
- Volvocacean Green-Algae (Chlorophyta). *Journal of Phycology* 30:80-90. DOI
- 522 10.1111/j.0022-3646.1994.00080.x
- 523Cunning R, Gates RD, and Edmunds PJ. 2017. Using high-throughput sequencing of ITS2 to
- describe Symbiodinium metacommunities in St. John, US Virgin Islands. *Peerj* 5. ARTN
- 525 e347210.7717/peerj.3472
- 526Hughes TP, Barnes ML, Bellwood DR, Cinner JE, Cumming GS, Jackson JBC, Kleypas J, van
- de Leemput IA, Lough JM, Morrison TH, Palumbi SR, van Nes EH, and Scheffer M.
- 528 2017. Coral reefs in the Anthropocene. *Nature* 546:82-90. 10.1038/nature22901
- 529Hume B, D'Angelo C, Burt J, Baker AC, Riegl B, and Wiedenmann J. 2013. Corals from the
- Persian/Arabian Gulf as models for thermotolerant reef-builders: Prevalence of clade C3
- 531 Symbiodinium, host fluorescence and ex situ temperature tolerance. Marine Pollution
- 532 *Bulletin* 72:313-322. 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2012.11.032
- 533Hume BCC. 2013. Are specific coral-*Symbiodinium* partnerships associated with survival in
- extreme temperature environments of the Persian/Arabian Gulf? PhD thesis. University of
- 535 Southampton.
- 536Hume BCC, D'Angelo C, Smith EG, Stevens JR, Burt J, and Wiedenmann J. 2015.
- 537 *Symbiodinium thermophilum* sp nov., a thermotolerant symbiotic alga prevalent in corals

538 539	of the world's hottest sea, the Persian/Arabian Gulf. <i>Scientific Reports</i> 5. 10.1038/srep08562
540Hume 541 542 543	BCC, Voolstra CR, Arif C, D'Angelo C, Burt JA, Eyal G, Loya Y, and Wiedenmann J. 2016. Ancestral genetic diversity associated with the rapid spread of stress-tolerant coral symbionts in response to Holocene climate change. <i>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America</i> 113:4416-4421. 10.1073/pnas.1601910113
544Kemp 545 546	DW, Hernandez-Pech X, Iglesias-Prieto R, Fitt WK, and Schmidt GW. 2014. Community dynamics and physiology of Symbiodinium spp. before, during, and after a coral bleaching event. <i>Limnology and Oceanography</i> 59:788-797. 10.4319/lo.2014.59.3.0788
547LaJeur 548 549	nesse TC. 2001. Investigating the biodiversity, ecology, and phylogeny of endosymbiotic dinoflagellates in the genus <i>Symbiodinium</i> using the its region: In search of a "species" level marker. <i>Journal of Phycology</i> 37:866-880. DOI 10.1046/j.1529-8817.2001.01031.x
550LaJeur 551	nesse TC. 2002. Diversity and community structure of symbiotic dinoflagellates from Caribbean coral reefs. <i>Marine Biology</i> 141:387-400. 10.1007/s00227-002-0829-2
552LaJeur 553 554 555	nesse TC, Wham DC, Pettay DT, Parkinson JE, Keshavmurthy S, and Chen CA. 2014. Ecologically differentiated stress-tolerant endosymbionts in the dinoflagellate genus <i>Symbiodinium</i> (Dinophyceae) Clade D are different species. <i>Phycologia</i> 53:305-319. 10.2216/13-186.1
556Levin 1 557 558	RA, Voolstra CR, Weynberg KD, and van Oppen MJH. 2017. Evidence for a role of viruses in the thermal sensitivity of coral photosymbionts. <i>Isme Journal</i> 11:808-812. 10.1038/ismej.2016.154
559Moberg F, and Folke C. 1999. Ecological goods and services of coral reef ecosystems. 560 <i>Ecological Economics</i> 29:215-233. Doi 10.1016/S0921-8009(99)00009-9	
561Mordro 562 563 564	et S, Romac S, Henry N, Colin S, Carmichael M, Berney C, Audic S, Richter DJ, Pochon X, de Vargas C, and Decelle J. 2016. The symbiotic life of Symbiodinium in the open ocean within a new species of calcifying ciliate (Tiarina sp.). <i>Isme Journal</i> 10:1424-1436. 10.1038/ismej.2015.211
565Musca 566	tine L. 1990. The role of symbiotic algae in carbon and energy flux in reef corals. In: Dubinsky Z, ed. <i>Ecosystems of the world 25: Coral Reefs</i> . Amsterdam: Elsevier.
567Nitsch 568 569	ke MR, Davy SK, and Ward S. 2016. Horizontal transmission of Symbiodinium cells between adult and juvenile corals is aided by benthic sediment. <i>Coral Reefs</i> 35:335-344. 10.1007/s00338-015-1349-0
570Norstro 571 572	om AV, Nystrom M, Jouffray JB, Folke C, Graham NAJ, Moberg F, Olsson P, and Williams GJ. 2016. Guiding coral reef futures in the Anthropocene. <i>Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment</i> 14:490-498. 10.1002/fee.1427

573Pandolfi JM, Connolly SR, Marshall DJ, and Cohen AL. 2011. Projecting Coral Reef Futures
574 Under Global Warming and Ocean Acidification. *Science* 333:418-422.
575 10.1126/science.1204794

- 576Peixoto RS, Rosado PM, Leite DCD, Rosado AS, and Bourne DG. 2017. Beneficial Microorganisms for Corals (BMC): Proposed Mechanisms for Coral Health and 577 578 Resilience. Frontiers in Microbiology 8. ARTN 34110.3389/fmicb.2017.00341 579Pesant S, Not F, Picheral M, Kandels-Lewis S, Le Bescot N, Gorsky G, Iudicone D, Karsenti E, 580 Speich S, Trouble R, Dimier C, Searson S, and Consortium TO. 2015. Open science 581 resources for the discovery and analysis of Tara Oceans data. Scientific Data 2. ARTN 582 15002310.1038/sdata.2015.23 583Plaisance L, Caley MJ, Brainard RE, and Knowlton N. 2011. The Diversity of Coral Reefs: What Are We Missing? Plos One 6. 10.1371/journal.pone.0025026 584 585Pochon X, and Gates RD. 2010. A new Symbiodinium clade (Dinophyceae) from soritid foraminifera in Hawai'i. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 56:492-497. 586 587 10.1016/j.ympev.2010.03.040 588Pochon X, Pawlowski J, Zaninetti L, and Rowan R. 2001. High genetic diversity and relative specificity among Symbiodinium-like endosymbiotic dinoflagellates in soritid 589 590 foraminiferans. Marine Biology 139:1069-1078. 591Pochon X, Putnam HM, and Gates RD. 2014. Multi-gene analysis of Symbiodinium dinoflagellates: a perspective on rarity, symbiosis, and evolution. Peerj 2. ARTN 592 593 e39410.7717/peerj.394 594Pochon X, Stat M, Takabayashi M, Chasqui L, Chauka LJ, Logan DDK, and Gates RD. 2010. 595 Comparison of Endosymbiotic and Free-Living Symbiodinium (Dinophyceae) Diversity 596 in a Hawaiian Reef Environment. Journal of Phycology 46:53-65. 10.1111/j.1529-597 8817.2009.00797.x 598Pogoreutz C, Radecker N, Cardenas A, Gardes A, Voolstra CR, and Wild C. 2017. Sugar 599 enrichment provides evidence for a role of nitrogen fixation in coral bleaching. Global 600 Change Biology 23:3838-3848. 10.1111/gcb.13695 601Radecker N, Pogoreutz C, Voolstra CR, Wiedenmann J, and Wild C. 2015. Nitrogen cycling in corals: the key to understanding holobiont functioning? Trends in Microbiology 23:490-602 603 497. 10.1016/j.tim.2015.03.008
- 604Rowan R, Knowlton N, Baker A, and Jara J. 1997. Landscape ecology of algal symbionts creates variation in episodes of coral bleaching. *Nature* 388:265-269. Doi 10.1038/40843
- 606Schloss PD, Westcott SL, Ryabin T, Hall JR, Hartmann M, Hollister EB, Lesniewski RA, Oakley BB, Parks DH, Robinson CJ, Sahl JW, Stres B, Thallinger GG, Van Horn DJ, and Weber
- 608 CF. 2009. Introducing mothur: Open-source, platform-independent, community-supported
- software for describing and comparing microbial communities. Applied and
- 610 Environmental Microbiology 75:7537-7541. 10.1128/Aem.01541-09
- 611Silverstein RN, Cunning R, and Baker AC. 2015. Change in algal symbiont communities after
- bleaching, not prior heat exposure, increases heat tolerance of reef corals. *Global Change*
- 613 *Biology* 21:236-249. 10.1111/gcb.12706

614Smith EG, Ketchum RN, and Burt JA. 2017. Host specificity of Symbiodinium variants revealed by an ITS2 metahaplotype approach. *ISME J* 11:1500-1503. 10.1038/ismej.2016.206 615 616Stat M, Pochon X, Cowie ROM, and Gates RD. 2009. Specificity in communities of Symbiodinium in corals from Johnston Atoll. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 386:83-96. 618 10.3354/meps08080 619Thomas L, Kendrick GA, Kennington WJ, Richards ZT, and Stat M. 2014. Exploring Symbiodinium diversity and host specificity in Acropora corals from geographical 620 621 extremes of Western Australia with 454 amplicon pyrosequencing. Molecular Ecology 622 23:3113-3126. 10.1111/mec.12801 623Thornhill DJ, Howells EJ, Wham DC, Steury TD, and Santos SR. 2017. Population genetics of 624 reef coral endosymbionts (Symbiodinium, Dinophyceae). Molecular Ecology 26:2640-625 2659. 10.1111/mec.14055 626Torda G, Donelson JM, Aranda M, Barshis DJ, Bay L, Berumen ML, Bourne DG, Cantin N, Foret S, Matz M, Miller DJ, Moya A, Putnam HM, Ravasi T, van Oppen MJH, Thurber 627 628 RV, Vidal-Dupiol J, Voolstra CR, Watson SA, Whitelaw E, Willis BL, and Munday PL. 629 2017. Rapid adaptive responses to climate change in corals. *Nature Climate Change* 630 7:627-636. 10.1038/Nclimate3374 631Wham DC, Ning G, and LaJeunesse TC. 2017. Symbiodinium glynnii sp nov., a species of stress-tolerant symbiotic dinoflagellates from pocilloporid and montiporid corals in the 632 633 Pacific Ocean. *Phycologia* 56:396-409. 10.2216/16-86.1 634Ziegler M, Arif C, Burt JA, Dobretsov S, Roder C, LaJeunesse TC, and Voolstra CR. 2017a. Biogeography and molecular diversity of coral symbionts in the genus Symbiodinium 635 636 around the Arabian Peninsula. Journal of Biogeography 44:674-686. 10.1111/jbi.12913 637Ziegler M, Eguíluz VM, Duarte CM, and Voolstra CR. 2017b. Rare symbionts may contribute to the resilience of coral–algal assemblages. The Isme Journal. 10.1038/ismej.2017.151 638 639Ziegler M, Seneca FO, Yum LK, Palumbi SR, and Voolstra CR. 2017c. Bacterial community

dynamics are linked to patterns of coral heat tolerance. *Nature Communications* 8. ARTN

640 641

642

1421310.1038/ncomms14213

643Conflict of interest

644The authors declare no conflict of interest.

645Data accessibility

646Sequence data can be accessed through <u>B</u>bioproject <u>A</u>accession PRJNA430028 at NCBI 647(<u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/PRJNA430028</u>).

648 Author Contributions

649Conceived and designed the experiments: BCCH, MZ, JP, PW, CRV; generated data, provided 650tools/reagents/samples: BCCH, MZ, JP, XP, SR, EB, CdV, SP, PW, CRV; analysed data: BCCH, 651MZ, JP, CRV; wrote manuscript: BCCH, MZ, CRV; revised manuscript: BCCH, MZ, JP, XP, 652PW, CRV

653Sampling permits

654<u>Panama scientific sampling: SE/AP-18-16; Panama CITES: SEX/A-72-16, no. 05567;</u>
655<u>Portulgal scientific sampling: 080522/2009/Proc.º E.17.b; Maldives scientific sampling: FA-656<u>D2/33/2010/02; Chile scientific sampling: 13270/24/74</u></u>

Table headers

Table 1 Primer pairs tested.

Table 2 Sample overview and PCR conditions. Samples analysed for primer pair comparisons 662and optimisations are denoted.

Table 3 Effect of number of PCR cycles on chimeric amplicon formation.

666Figure legends

668*Symbiodinium* **ITS2 primer pairs.** Each plot represents one sample and contains three pairs of 669stacked columns, one for each primer pair in the order of: SYM_VAR, ITSintfor, ITS-DINO 670(from left to right). For each pair of stacked columns, the left coloured bar denotes the taxonomic 671breakdown of all returned sequences into 7 categories, and the right greyscale bar denotes the 672sub-genus (cladal) distribution for the subset of sequences classified as *Symbiodinium* (see colour 673legend). Plots are annotated with the number of sequences returned after QC and the proportion 674of those sequences that were annotated as *Symbiodinium* underneath the coloured and grayscale 675bars, respectively. For each environment type (indicated to the left of the plot groupings) a 676summary plot is given at the right side, showing the average taxonomic breakdowns, total post-677QC sequences returned, and average proportion of *Symbiodinium* sequences.

678**Figure 2 Robustness of PCR protocol using the SYM_VAR ITS2 primer pair.** Each subplot 679represents a pairwise comparison of PCRs from the same sample. The sample, environment type, 680and PCR conditions are noted above each subplot. Depending on which pair of PCRs are being 681compared, these subplots graphically represent effects due to (A1) PCR-to-PCR variation, (B2) 682annealing temperature, (C3) number of PCR cycles, or (D4) polymerase system used. For each 683subplot, the relative presence of the 20 most abundant amplicons (calculated across both PCRs) is 684plotted for each PCR, denoted as black and grey bars. For example, the top left subplot of the 685figure Aii represents a pairwise comparison of PCRs run using the same annealing temp and 686number of cycles on sample AW-0000035. It is therefore testing the PCR-to-PCR effect.

687Figure 3 Effect of annealing temperature and number of PCR cycles on sequences returned 688using the SYM_VAR ITS2 primer pair. Two annealing temperatures (a; 56 and 59°C; 689columns) and two cycle numbers (b; 25 and 35 cycles; columns) were tested on samples from 690three different environment types (rows; indicated to the left of the plot groupings). Each plot 691represents a different pooled PCR amplicon with sample name, sample type, number of cycles, 692and annealing temperature noted to the left. For each plot, coloured bars on the left denote the 693taxonomic breakdown of all returned sequences into 7 categories. The right greyscale bars denote 694the sub-genus (cladal) distribution for the subset of sequences classified as *Symbiodinium*. Plots 695are annotated with the number of sequences returned after QC and the proportion of those 696sequences that were annotated as *Symbiodinium* underneath the coloured and grayscale bars, 697respectively. *Symbiodinium*-derived sequences were further subcategorized according to their 698cladal identity (greyscale stacked bars).

699**Figure 4 Effect of polymerase type on sequences returned using the SYM_VAR ITS2** 700**primer pair.** Each plot represents one sample and contains two pairs of stacked columns, one for 701each polymerase with Phusion (ThermoFisher Scientific, USA) on the left, and Advantage 2 702(Takara bio, Japan) on the right. Coloured bars denote the taxonomic breakdown of all returned 703sequences into 7 categories. The greyscale bars denote the sub-genus (cladal) distribution for the 704subset of sequences classified as *Symbiodinium*. Plots are annotated with the number of 705sequences returned after QC and the proportion of those sequences that were annotated as 706*Symbiodinium* underneath the coloured and grayscale bars, respectively. Plots are ordered by

707environment (rows; CO - coral, CSW - coral surrounding water, SRF<u>-CO</u> - surface water, <u>SRF</u>-708<u>OOOA</u> - open ocean). A summary plot is provided for each environment type at the end of the 709row.

710

711Supplemental Information

Supplementary Table 1 Sample details and PCR conditions. Samples analysed for primer pair 713comparisons and optimisations are denoted.

Supplementary Figure 1. Mean sequences returned per sample and proportion retained 716**during the quality control pipeline (QC) in relation to primer pair used.** The number of 717sequences retained at three stages of the QC pipeline are given: 'raw_contigs' (number of 718sequences returned from mothur's *make.contigs* command), 'post-qc' (the number of sequences 719remaining after all quality control and taxonomic identification), and '*Symbiodinium*' (the 720number of sequences identified as *Symbiodinium*). Samples are grouped according to their 721environment and amplification primers. Abbreviations as follows: CO – coral tissue, CSW – 722coral surrounding water, SRF-CO – coral reef surface water, SRF-OO – open ocean surface 723water.

725<u>Supplementary Figure 2.</u> Comparison and taxonomic composition of sequences returned 726using three *Symbiodinium* ITS2 primer pairs before removal of sequences JN406302 and 727JN406301. Each plot represents one sample and contains three pairs of stacked columns, one for 728each primer pair in the order of: SYM_VAR, ITSintfor, ITS-DINO (from left to right). For each 729pair of stacked columns, the left coloured bar denotes the taxonomic breakdown of all returned 730sequences into 7 categories, and the right greyscale bar denote the sub-genus (cladal) distribution 731for the subset of sequences classified as *Symbiodinium*. Plots are annotated with the number of 732sequences returned after QC and the proportion of those sequences that were annotated as 733Symbiodinium underneath the coloured and grayscale bars, respectively. For each environment 734type (indicated to the left of the plot groupings) a summary plot is given at the right side, 735showing the average taxonomic breakdowns, total post-QC sequences returned, and average 736proportion of *Symbiodinium* sequences.

739Supplementary Data 1 – The fasta sequences used to generate the *Symbiodinium* cladal 740database.