Movement velocity in the chair squat is associated with measures of functional capacity and cognition in elderly people at low risk of fall

Carlos Balsalobre-Fernández^{1,2}, Ángel Cordon³, Nazaret Unquiles³, Daniel Muñoz-García^{Corresp.}⁴

¹ Department of Physical Education, Sport and Human Movement, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain

² LFE Research Group, Department of Health and Human Performance, Faculty of Physical Activity and Sport Science-INEF, Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Madrid, Spain

³ Departamento de Fisioterapia, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Centro Superior de Estudios Universitarios La Salle, Madrid, Spain

⁴ Motion in Brains Research Group, Instituto de Neurociencias y Ciencias del Movimiento, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Centro Superior de Estudios Universitarios La Salle, Madrid, Spain

Corresponding Author: Daniel Muñoz-García
Email address: danimgsan@gmail.com

Background. The purpose of this study was to analyze the relationships between muscular performance consisting of a single repetition on the chair squat exercise (CSQ) and different measures of functional capacity, balance, quality of life and cognitive status in older adults. **Methods.** 40 participants (22 women, 18 men; Age=72.2±4.9 yrs.) joined the investigation. Muscular performance was assessed by measuring movement velocity in the CSQ with no external load using a validated smartphone application (*PowerLift* for iOS). Functional capacity, balance, quality of life and cognitive status were evaluated using the hand-grip test (HGS), the Berg-scale, the EuroQoL 5D (EQ-5D) and the Mini mental state examination questionnaire (MMSE). Finally, participants were divided into two subgroups (N = 20) according to their velocity in the chair squat exercise. **Results.** Positive correlations were obtained between movement velocity in the CSQ and HGS ($r=0.76$, $p < 0.001$), the Berg-scale ($r=0.65$, $p < 0.001$), the EQ-5D ($r=0.34$, $p = 0.03$) and the MMSE ($r=0.36$, $p = 0.02$). Participants in the fastest subgroup showed very likely higher scores in the Berg-scale (ES = 1.15) and the HGS (ES = 1.79), as well as likely higher scores in the MMSE scale (ES = 0.69). **Discussion.** These results could have potential clinical relevance as they support the use of a time-efficient, non-fatiguing test of muscular performance (i.e., the CSQ) to evaluate functional capacity and mental cognition in older adults.

AUTHOR COVER PAGE

MOVEMENT VELOCITY IN THE CHAIR SQUAT IS ASSOCIATED WITH MEASURES OF FUNCTIONAL CAPACITY AND COGNITION IN ELDERLY PEOPLE AT LOW RISK OF FALL

Carlos Balsalobre-Fernández^{1,2}, Ángel Cordon³, Nazaret Unquiles³ & Daniel Muñoz-García^{3,4}

¹Department of Physical Education, Sport and Human Movement, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Spain

²LFE Research Group, Department of Health and Human Performance. Faculty of Physical Activity and Sport Science-INEF. Universidad Politécnica de Madrid

³Departamento de Fisioterapia, Centro Superior de Estudios Universitarios La Salle, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Spain

⁴Motion in Brains Research Group, Instituto de Neurociencias y Ciencias del Movimiento, Centro Superior de Estudios Universitarios La Salle, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Spain.

24 Carlos Balsalobre-Fernández

25 Department of Physical Education, Sport and Human Movement, Universidad Autónoma de

26 Madrid, Spain

27 LFE Research Group, Department of Health and Human Performance. Faculty of Physical

28 Activity and Sport Science-INEF. Universidad Politécnica de Madrid

29 Address: C/ Fco Tomas y Valiente 3, 28049, Cantoblanco, Madrid, Spain

30 E-mail: carlos.balsalobre@icloud.com

31

32 Ángel Cordon

33 Centro Superior de Estudios Universitarios La Salle, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Spain.

34 Address: C/ La Salle 10, 28023, Madrid, Spain

35 E-mail: acorta@campuslasalle.es

36

37 Nazaret Unquiles

38 Centro Superior de Estudios Universitarios La Salle, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Spain.

39 Address: C/ La Salle 10, 28023, Madrid, Spain

40 E-mail: nunqca@campuslasalle.es

41

42

43 Daniel Muñoz-García

44 Departamento de Fisioterapia and Motion in Brains Research Group, Instituto de Neurociencias

45 y Ciencias del Movimiento, Centro Superior de Estudios Universitarios La Salle, Universidad

46 Autónoma de Madrid, Spain. Address: C/ La Salle 10, 28023, Madrid, Spain

47 E-mail: daniel.munoz@lasallecampus.es

48

49

50

51

52 **Corresponding author: Daniel Muñoz-García**

53 Departamento de Fisioterapia and Motion in Brains Research Group, Instituto de Neurociencias

54 y Ciencias del Movimiento, Centro Superior de Estudios Universitarios La Salle, Universidad

55 Autónoma de Madrid, Spain. Address: C/ La Salle 10, 28023, Madrid, Spain. E-mail:

56 daniel.munoz@lasallecampus.es

57

58

**Movement velocity in the chair squat is associated with measures of functional capacity
and cognition in elderly people at low risk of fall**

ABSTRACT

Background. The purpose of this study was to analyze the relationships between muscular performance consisting of a single repetition on the chair squat exercise (CSQ) and different measures of functional capacity, balance, quality of life and cognitive status in older adults.

Methods. 40 participants (22 women, 18 men; Age=72.2±4.9 yrs.) joined the investigation. Muscular performance was assessed by measuring movement velocity in the CSQ with no external load using a validated smartphone application (*PowerLift* for iOS). Functional capacity, balance, quality of life and cognitive status were evaluated using the hand-grip test (HGS), the Berg-scale, the EuroQol 5D (EQ-5D) and the Mini mental state examination questionnaire (MMSE). Finally, participants were divided into two subgroups (N = 20) according to their velocity in the chair squat exercise.

Results. Positive correlations were obtained between movement velocity in the CSQ and HGS ($r=0.76$, $p < 0.001$), the Berg-scale ($r=0.65$, $p < 0.001$), the EQ-5D ($r=0.34$, $p = 0.03$) and the MMSE ($r=0.36$, $p = 0.02$). Participants in the fastest subgroup showed very likely higher scores in the Berg-scale (ES = 1.15) and the HGS (ES = 1.79), as well as likely higher scores in the MMSE scale (ES = 0.69).

Discussion. These results could have potential clinical relevance as they support the use of a time-efficient, non-fatiguing test of muscular performance (i.e., the CSQ) to evaluate functional capacity and mental cognition in older adults.

INTRODUCTION

Aging can produce a remarkable decrement on muscle mass, exercise performance and bone mineral density, along many other health-related variables (Evans & Lexell, 1995; Ruiz et al., 2008; Allison et al., 2013). Specifically, muscular performance has been associated with the risk of suffering falls, which is a strong factor that leads to morbidity or mortality in the elderly (Granacher, Zahner & Gollhofer, 2008; Volaklis, Halle & Meisinger, 2015). Resistance training has shown to be a very effective intervention to increase functional capacity, i.e., the ability to perform daily life tasks such as standing up from a chair or walking with proper balance (Granacher, Zahner & Gollhofer, 2008; Granacher et al., 2013; Marques, Izquierdo & Pereira, 2013). Specifically, the increases in muscle strength and power following a resistance training program are believed to be one of the most important factors that prevent falls and reduces frailty in the elderly (Pijnappels et al., 2008; Granacher et al., 2013; Lopez et al., 2017). Moreover, studies have even shown that progressive resistance training can improve cognitive function in older adults with mild cognitive impairment (Liu-Ambrose, 2010; Gates et al., 2013; Mavros et al., 2017).

Several investigations have used different testing protocols to objectively quantify the balance, functional and mental capacities in older adults (like the handgrip strength test -HGS-, the Berg-scale, the chair test or the EuroQol questionnaire -EQ-5D-) in order to develop strategies to prevent falls, reduce frailty and improve the quality of life (Pijnappels et al., 2008; Churchward-

Venne et al., 2015). More recently, smartphone apps have shown to provide accurate measures for different health and performance-related variables in comparison with more expensive laboratory equipment (Bort-Roig et al., 2014; Balsalobre-Fernández, Glaister & Lockey, 2015; Balsalobre-Fernández et al., 2018). For example, the *PowerLift* app for iOS devices was shown to provide valid and reliable measures of movement velocity in the squat exercise in comparison with linear transducers (Absolute bias = -0.005 ± 0.04 m/s ;SEE = 0.04 m/s, ICC > 0.9) (Balsalobre-Fernández et al., 2017). Finally, during the last decade, the measurement of movement velocity in tasks like the squat has been proposed as a reliable method to quantify muscular performance (Conceição et al., 2016; Muñoz-López et al., 2017; García-Ramos et al., 2017a; Balsalobre-Fernández, García-Ramos & Jiménez-Reyes, 2017); in this sense, it is known that, for a certain mass, higher movement velocity results in greater force and power production (Samozino et al., 2008; Muñoz-López et al., 2017). Taking into account that muscular performance has been proven to be related with functional capacity and mental cognition (Pereira et al., 2012; Marques, Izquierdo & Pereira, 2013; Mavros et al., 2017; Lopez et al., 2017) and considering that studies have shown that mean velocity is a reliable measure of muscular performance (Bazuelo-Ruiz et al., 2015; Muñoz-López et al., 2017; García-Ramos et al., 2017b), it is reasonable to think that the analysis of movement velocity during functional tasks in elder populations (such as standing up from a chair) could have relevant clinical applications. However, to the best of our knowledge, no studies have analyzed movement velocity in tasks like the chair squat (from now on, CSQ) in older adults, nor have investigated its potential associations with measures of balance, functional capacity and cognition.

For this, the aim of this study was to analyze if mean task velocity of a single repetition on the CSQ using a smartphone app is related to other widespread balance, functional and cognitive

tests in older adults at low risk of falling. Our hypothesis is that velocity in the chair squat will be related with several measures of strength, balance and cognition.

MATERIAL & METHODS

Experimental approach to the problem

This investigation is a correlational study with parallel groups comparison. Performance in functional capacity tests (as well as scores in cognition and mental questionnaires were correlated with mean velocity in the chair squat task (CSQ). Participants were also divided into two groups based on their performance in the chair squat test for comparison purposes.

Participants'

Forty participants from the same nursing home who met the eligibility criteria were recruited for this study ($n = 40$; 22 women, 18 men; Age = 72.2 ± 4.9 yrs.; Body mass index = 27.8 ± 3.3 kg/m²). Eligibility criteria were established as follow: 1) age between 65-85 yrs. old; 2) not having joined any resistance training program at least in the past 5 years; 3) not having any diagnosed musculoskeletal disorder or injury; 4) being categorized at low risk of falling in the *Berg* scale. The Spanish version of the Yale Physical Activity Questionnaire –Y-PAQ– (Katz et al., 2014) was administered to evaluate participant's basal levels of physical activity, who scored 52.7 ± 23.2 points. According to this questionnaire, participants with a score of 51 points or less are categorized as *sedentary*.

The study protocol complied with the Declaration of Helsinki for Human Experimentation and was approved by the ethics committee at the institutional review board (CSEULS-PI-059/2015). Written informed consent was obtained from each subject before participation.

174

175 *Procedures*

176 During a single morning of testing conducted in their nursing home (approximately, from
177 10am to 14 am), participants performed several tasks to test their balance and functional capacities.
178 No warm-up was conducted prior to these tasks. First, the hand grip strength (HGS, in kg) was
179 tested with a hydraulic dynamometer (Lafayette Instrument Evaluation, USA) as reported in the
180 literature: participants hold the dynamometer with the wrist in a neutral position and the elbow
181 fully extended (Pereira et al., 2012). If the participant supinated their wrist or flexed their elbow,
182 the measurement was repeated. Two attempts were conducted with each hand, and the final score
183 was calculated as the average value of the best attempt of each hand. Second, the Berg-scale was
184 calculated as the sum of scores of 14 different balance and functional tasks as described elsewhere
185 (Berg et al., 1992; Fernandez-Alonso, Muñoz-García & Touche, 2016). Scores in the Berg-scale
186 were categorized as follows: high risk of falling (0-20), moderate risk (21-40) and low risk (>41)
187 (Fernandez-Alonso, Muñoz-García & Touche, 2016). Third, the Yale Physical Activity (Y-PAQ)
188 (Katz et al., 2014), the EuroQol (EQ-5D component) and the Mini mental state examination
189 (MMSE) (Cano Gutiérrez et al., 2016) questionnaires were administrated to evaluate levels of
190 daily physical activity, quality of life and cognitive status, respectively.

191

192 Finally, we asked the participants to perform a chair squat (CSQ): a modification of the
193 squat exercise in which the task starts with the participant sat in a chair. We instructed the
194 participants to sit on a chair (which was the same for every participant) without touching its
195 backrest with their back, to place their feet flat on the ground and at the width of their hips, to keep
196 their arms crossed in their chest and to stand up as fast as possible until the knees were fully

extended, and the trunk was in an upright position. Experimented researchers and certified strength and conditioning specialists carefully observed every attempt and asked the participants to repeat the CSQ in case the aforementioned criteria for a valid attempt were not met. See Figure 1 for more details. We measured mean velocity (in m/s) of 4 attempts (separated by 10 seconds of passive rest) using a validated app (PowerLift for iOS, v.5.4) (Balsalobre-Fernández et al., 2018) installed on an iPhone 6 running iOS 10.3.3 (Apple Inc., USA). To measure mean velocity, *PowerLift* uses the well-known Newtonian equation (1):

$$v = d/t \quad (1)$$

where v is the mean velocity (in m/s), d (in m.) the range of motion of the movement (in this case, the difference between the height of the participants standing and sitting on a chair) and t the time (in s.) of the task, which was calculated by the app as the time between two frames selected by the user. The beginning of the task was considered as the first frame in which the participant took-off the chair, and the end of the task was considered as the first frame in which the knees were completely extended. To record the videos, a researcher held the iPhone on his hand in portrait position and recorded each lift from the side of the participant at 1.5m from the chair in order to see the full range of motion as close as possible. The height of the participants, both in the standing and sitting position, was measured using a wall-mounted stadiometer (Seca, Germany). The best of the four attempts was used for the statistical analyses.

We divided the participants in two groups based on their performance in the CSQ. G1 included the half who reached higher velocities in the test, while G2 included the other half of participants.

Statistical analyses

We used the Pearson's product-moment correlation coefficient to calculate the association between movement velocity in the CSQ and the functional measures. The level of significance was set at $p < 0.05$. To compare groups, we used standardized mean differences (SMD) with the corresponding 90% confidence interval as proposed by Hopkins et al. (Hopkins et al., 2009). The criteria for interpreting the magnitude of the SMD were: trivial (<0.2), small ($0.2-0.6$), moderate ($0.6-1.2$), large ($1.2-2.0$), and extremely large (>2.0). Quantitative chances of better or worse scores were assessed qualitatively as follows: $<1\%$, almost certainly not; $1-5\%$, very unlikely; $5-25\%$, unlikely; $25-75\%$, possible; $75-95\%$, likely; $95-99\%$, very likely; and $>99\%$, almost certain. If the changes of better or worse were both $>5\%$, the true difference was assessed as unclear.

RESULTS

Correlation between variables

The mean velocity in the chair squat was positively and significantly correlated with the HGS ($r = 0.76$, $p = 0.00$), the Berg-scale ($r = 0.65$, $p = 0.00$), the Y-PAQ ($r = 0.34$, $p = 0.03$), the EQ-5D ($r = 0.34$, $p = 0.03$) and the MMSE scale ($r = 0.36$, $p = 0.02$). See Figure 2 for more details.

Difference between groups

Participants in G1 showed very likely higher scores in the Berg-scale (99/1/0; SMD = 1.15, 90% CI = 0.51-1.18) and the HGS (100/0/0; SMD = 1.79, 90% CI = 0.98-2.59), as well as likely higher scores in the MMSE scale (87/10/3; SMD = 0.69, 90% CI = -0.04-1.42, $p < 0.05$) and the Y-PAQ (93/5/2; SMD = 0.87, 90% CI = 0.13-1.61, $p < 0.01$). No other variable showed meaningful, statistically significant differences between G1 and G2. See Figure 3 for more details.

DISCUSSION

The main finding of this study was the moderate to large positive correlations observed between mean velocity in the chair squat and both the hand grip strength and the Berg-scale. These results are in line with previous research that showed remarkable associations between the 30-s. chair test (i.e., completing as many chair squat repetitions as possible within 30 s.) and other measures of functional capacity (Churchward-Venne et al., 2015; Bongers et al., 2015). In this sense, several studies have showed that increased levels of lower-limbs muscular performance (which traditionally has been tested measuring the times that the participant could sit and stand on a chair in 30-s) are strongly associated with lower risk of falls (Pijnappels et al., 2008; Churchward-Venne et al., 2015; Lopez et al., 2017). However, the CSQ test described in our study could be a proposed as a faster, less fatiguing test for the measurement for older adults since it only requires a single repetition.

We observed moderate to large differences between groups for both the hand grip strength and the Berg-scale, with participants in the strong group (G1) having likely to very likely higher scores than their counterparts from G2. Muscular strength seems to be an extremely important factor to prevent falls and increase functional capacity in the elderly, and recent studies have even shown that there is an inverse relationship between strength and mortality (Pijnappels et al., 2008;

Granacher et al., 2013; Volaklis, Halle & Meisinger, 2015; Stamatakis et al., 2017; Lopez et al., 2017). During the last decade, movement velocity in resistance exercises (such as the back squat) has been proposed to be a very reliable metric to monitor muscular strength since, for a certain load, higher movement velocity means having higher maximal strength and power (Gonzalez-Badillo & Sánchez-Medina, 2010; Muñoz-López et al., 2017; García-Ramos et al., 2017b). In fact, it has been demonstrated that high-speed power training is an effective exercise approach leading to large gains in muscle performance and functional capacity (Pereira et al., 2012; Marques, Izquierdo & Pereira, 2013). Therefore, in order to better analyze the changes in muscular performance of older participants after a strength/power training program, the measurement of movement velocity in tasks like the chair test or the CSQ could have important clinical applications. However, its use among elder populations is still poorly investigated. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study analyzing mean velocity in the chair squat exercise as a mean to monitor muscular performance in a geriatric population.

Moreover, our results showed a significant correlation between the MMSE cognition test and movement velocity in the CSQ, as well as likely moderate higher scores in the MMSE in the strongest group of participants. Recent research has observed that muscular strength has a positive effect on cognition as, for example, it has been showed that a progressive resistance training program produces a significant improvement in the cognitive function in individuals with mild cognitive impairment (Mavros et al., 2017). It seems that some of the main factors that could explain the beneficial effects of resistance training in cognition are the reduction on serum homocysteine and the increased concentrations of insulin-like growth factor I that this type of training produce, which has been associated with impaired cognitive performance or increased

neural growth, respectively(Liu-Ambrose & Donaldson, 2008). Therefore, our results are consistent with previous research and highlights the relationship between muscular performance and cognition in elders, since there was a significant trend by which participants with higher velocity in the CSQ had better scores in the MMSE cognition test.

However, this study has two main limitations that should be considered when trying to replicate it: first, the average age of the participants was about 72 yrs. and, therefore, conclusions should not be generalized to older geriatric populations. Finally, participants in our study had a mean score in the Berg-scale of 53.3 points, which is considered as “low risk” of falling. Again, conclusions should be taken with precaution as other geriatric populations at higher risks of having falls were not studied in our investigation. These results might help strength and conditioning coaches and physical therapists working with elder populations to monitor muscular performance in a time-efficient, effort-less, affordable way within their fall-prevention protocols.

CONCLUSIONS

This study showed that the mean velocity recorded by a smartphone app during the chair squat exercise is positively related to measures of functional performance, balance and cognition in elders.

REFERENCES

Allison SJ., Folland JP., Rennie WJ., Summers GD., Brooke-Wavell K. 2013. High impact exercise increased femoral neck bone mineral density in older men: a randomised unilateral intervention. *Bone* 53:321–328. DOI: 10.1016/j.bone.2012.12.045.

310 Balsalobre-Fernández C., García-Ramos A., Jiménez-Reyes P. 2017. Load–velocity profiling in
311 the military press exercise: Effects of gender and training. *International Journal of Sports*
312 *Science & Coaching* 0:174795411773824. DOI: 10.1177/1747954117738243.

313 Balsalobre-Fernández C., Glaister M., Lockey RA. 2015. The validity and reliability of an
314 iPhone app for measuring vertical jump performance. *Journal of Sports Sciences* 33:1574–
315 1579. DOI: 10.1080/02640414.2014.996184.

316 Balsalobre-Fernández C., Marchante D., Baz-Valle E., Alonso-Molero I., Jiménez SL., Muñoz-
317 López M. 2017. Analysis of Wearable and Smartphone-Based Technologies for the
318 Measurement of Barbell Velocity in Different Resistance Training Exercises. *Frontiers in*
319 *Physiology* 8. DOI: 10.3389/fphys.2017.00649.

320 Balsalobre-Fernández C., Marchante D., Muñoz-López M., Jiménez SL. 2018. Validity and
321 reliability of a novel iPhone app for the measurement of barbell velocity and 1RM on the
322 bench-press exercise. *Journal of Sports Sciences* 36:64–70. DOI:
323 10.1080/02640414.2017.1280610.

324 Bazuelo-Ruiz B., Padial P., García-Ramos A., Morales-Artacho AJ., Miranda MT., Feriche B.
325 2015. Predicting maximal dynamic strength from the load-velocity relationship in squat
326 exercise. *Journal of strength and conditioning research* 29:1999–2005. DOI:
327 10.1519/JSC.0000000000000821.

328 Berg KO., Wood-Dauphinee SL., Williams JL., Maki B. 1992. Measuring balance in the elderly:
329 validation of an instrument. *Canadian journal of public health* 83 Suppl 2:S7-11.

330 Bongers KTJ., Schoon Y., Graauwmans MJ., Hoogsteen-Ossewaarde ME., Rikkert MGMO.
331 2015. Safety, Feasibility, and Reliability of the Maximal Step Length, Gait Speed, and
332 Chair Test Measured by Seniors Themselves: The Senior Step Study. *Journal of Aging and*

Physical Activity 23:438–443. DOI: 10.1123/japa.2013-0231.

Bort-Roig J., Gilson ND., Puig-Ribera A., Contreras RS., Trost SG. 2014. Measuring and Influencing Physical Activity with Smartphone Technology: A Systematic Review. *Sports Medicine* 44:671–686. DOI: 10.1007/s40279-014-0142-5.

Cano Gutiérrez C., Arciniegas Rubio A., Germán Borda M., Samper-Ternent R., Gil Laverde F., Londoño Trujillo D. 2016. Perception of health-related quality of life using the EURO-QOL in older adults in Bogotá, Colombia. *European Geriatric Medicine* 7:340–345. DOI: 10.1016/j.eurger.2016.01.008.

Churchward-Venne TA., Tieland M., Verdijk LB., Leenders M., Dirks ML., de Groot LCPGM., van Loon LJC. 2015. There Are No Nonresponders to Resistance-Type Exercise Training in Older Men and Women. *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association* 16:400–411. DOI: 10.1016/j.jamda.2015.01.071.

Conceição F., Fernandes J., Lewis M., González-Badillo JJ., Jiménez-Reyes P. 2016. Movement velocity as a measure of exercise intensity in three lower limb exercises. *Journal of Sports Sciences* 34:1099–1106. DOI: 10.1080/02640414.2015.1090010.

Evans WJ., Lexell J. 1995. Human Aging, Muscle Mass, and Fiber Type Composition. *The Journals of Gerontology Series A: Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences* 50A:11–16. DOI: 10.1093/gerona/50A.Special_Issue.11.

Fernandez-Alonso L., Muñoz-García D., Touche R La. 2016. The level of physical activity affects the health of older adults despite being active. *Journal of Exercise Rehabilitation* 12:194–201. DOI: 10.12965/jer.1632566.283.

García-Ramos A., Haff GG., Pestaña-Melero FL., Pérez-Castilla A., Rojas FJ., Balsalobre-Fernández C., Jaric S. 2017a. Feasibility of the Two-Point Method for Determining the

One-Repetition Maximum in the Bench Press Exercise. *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance*:1–23. DOI: 10.1123/ijsp.2017-0374.

García-Ramos A., Pestaña-Melero FL., Pérez-Castilla A., Rojas FJ., Haff GG. 2017b. Mean velocity vs. mean propulsive velocity vs. peak velocity. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*:1. DOI: 10.1519/JSC.0000000000001998.

Gates N., Singh MAF., Sachdev PS., Valenzuela M. 2013. The effect of exercise training on cognitive function in older adults with mild cognitive impairment: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry* 21:1086–1097. DOI: 10.1016/j.jagp.2013.02.018.

Gonzalez-Badillo JJ., Sánchez-Medina L. 2010. Movement Velocity as a Measure of Loading Intensity in Resistance Training. *International Journal of Sports Medicine* 31:347–352.

Granacher U., Gollhofer A., Hortobágyi T., Kressig R., Muehlbauer T. 2013. The Importance of Trunk Muscle Strength for Balance, Functional Performance, and Fall Prevention in Seniors: A Systematic Review. *Sports Medicine* 43:627–641.

Granacher U., Zahner L., Gollhofer A. 2008. Strength, power, and postural control in seniors: Considerations for functional adaptations and for fall prevention. *European Journal of Sport Science* 8:325–340. DOI: 10.1080/17461390802478066.

Hopkins WG., Marshall SW., Batterham AM., Hanin J. 2009. Progressive Statistics for Studies in Sports Medicine and Exercise Science. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise* 41:3–12.

Katz JN., Perez MT., Niu NN., Dong Y., Brownlee SA., Elman SA., Stenquist DS., Santiago A., Sanchez ES., Collins JE. 2014. Development and validation of a Spanish translation of the Yale activity questionnaire. *BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders* 15:120. DOI: 10.1186/1471-

2474-15-120.

Liu-Ambrose T. 2010. Resistance Training and Executive Functions. *Archives of Internal Medicine* 170:170. DOI: 10.1001/archinternmed.2009.494.

Liu-Ambrose T., Donaldson MG. 2008. Exercise and cognition in older adults: is there a role for resistance training programmes? *British Journal of Sports Medicine* 43:25–27. DOI: 10.1136/bjsm.2008.055616.

Lopez P., Pinto RS., Radaelli R., Rech A., Grazioli R., Izquierdo M., Cadore EL. 2017. Benefits of resistance training in physically frail elderly: a systematic review. *Aging Clinical and Experimental Research* 0:0. DOI: 10.1007/s40520-017-0863-z.

Marques MC., Izquierdo M., Pereira A. 2013. High-Speed Resistance Training in Elderly People: A New Approach Toward Counteracting Age-Related Functional Capacity Loss. *Strength & Conditioning Journal* 35:23–29.

Mavros Y., Gates N., Wilson GC., Jain N., Meiklejohn J., Brodaty H., Wen W., Singh N., Baune BT., Suo C., Baker MK., Foroughi N., Wang Y., Sachdev PS., Valenzuela M., Fiatarone Singh MA. 2017. Mediation of Cognitive Function Improvements by Strength Gains After Resistance Training in Older Adults with Mild Cognitive Impairment: Outcomes of the Study of Mental and Resistance Training. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society* 65:550–559. DOI: 10.1111/jgs.14542.

Muñoz-López M., Marchante D., Cano-Ruiz MA., Chicharro JL., Balsalobre-Fernández C. 2017. Load-, Force-, and Power-Velocity Relationships in the Prone Pull-Up Exercise. *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance* 12:1249–1255. DOI: 10.1123/ijsp.2016-0657.

Pereira A., Izquierdo M., Silva AJ., Costa AM., Bastos E., Gonzalez-Badillo JJ., Marques MC.

2012. Effects of high-speed power training on functional capacity and muscle performance in older women. *Experimental gerontology* 47:250–255. DOI: 10.1016/j.exger.2011.12.010.

Pijnappels M., van Burg JCE., Reeves ND., van Dieën JH. 2008. Identification of elderly fallers by muscle strength measures. *European Journal Of Applied Physiology* 102:585–592.

Ruiz JR., Sui X., Lobelo F., Morrow JR., Jackson AW., Sjostrom M., Blair SN. 2008. Association between muscular strength and mortality in men: prospective cohort study. *BMJ* 337:a439–a439. DOI: 10.1136/bmj.a439.

Samozino P., Morin J-B., Hintzy F., Belli A. 2008. A simple method for measuring force, velocity and power output during squat jump. *Journal of Biomechanics* 41:2940–2945. DOI: 10.1016/j.jbiomech.2008.07.028.

Stamatakis E., Lee I-M., Bennie J., Freeston J., Hamer M., O'Donovan G., Ding D., Bauman A., Mavros Y. 2017. Does strength promoting exercise confer unique health benefits? A pooled analysis of eleven population cohorts with all-cause, cancer, and cardiovascular mortality endpoints. *American Journal of Epidemiology*. DOI: 10.1093/aje/kwx345.

Volaklis KA., Halle M., Meisinger C. 2015. Muscular strength as a strong predictor of mortality: A narrative review. *European Journal of Internal Medicine* 26:303–310. DOI: 10.1016/j.ejim.2015.04.013.

FIGURES CAPTIONS

Figure 1. Initial (A) and final (B) position during the chair squat exercise (CSQ).

424 **Figure 2.** Correlation between mean velocity (in m/s) in the chair squat exercise and A) the Mini
425 mental state examination test (MMSE, black dots) and the Yale Physical Activity Questionnaire
426 (Y-PAQ, white diamonds), and B) the hand grip strength (HGS, black dots) and the Berg-scale
427 (white diamonds).

428

429 **Figure 3.** Standardized mean differences (with 90% CI) between scores for the hand grip strength
430 (HGS), the EQ-5D scale, the Yale Physical Activity Questionnaire (Y-PAQ), the Mini mental state
431 examination (MMSE) and the Berg scale from the strongest (G1) and weaker (G2) participants.

432

Figure 1

Figure 1

Initial (A) and final (B) position during the chair squat exercise (CSQ).

Figure 2

Figure 2

Correlation between mean velocity (in m/s) in the chair squat exercise and A) the Mini mental state examination test (MMSE, black dots) and the Yale Physical Activity Questionnaire (Y-PAQ, white diamonds), and B) the hand grip strength (HGS, black dots) and the Berg-scale (white diamonds).

Figure 3

Figure 3

Standardized mean differences (with 90% CI) between scores for the hand grip strength (HGS), the EQ-5D scale, the Yale Physical Activity Questionnaire (Y-PAQ), the Mini mental state examination (MMSE) and the Berg scale from the strongest (G1) and weaker (G2) participants.