

1 **Applying foliar stoichiometric traits of tree layer to determine fertilization**
2 **for a mixed pine-oak stand in the Qinling Mountains, China**

3
4
5 Lin Hou^{1*}, Zhenjie Dong¹, Yuanyuan Yang¹, Donghong Zhang¹, Shengli Zhang², Shuoxin
6 Zhang¹

7
8 1 College of Forestry, Northwest A&F University, Yangling, Shaanxi 712100, China
9 2 College of Natural Resources and Environment, Northwest A&F University, Yangling,
10 Shaanxi 712100, China

11
12
13
14
15
16 **Corresponding author**

17 Lin Hou
18 3 Taicheng Road, Yangling, Shaanxi, 712100, China
19 E-mail: houlin1969@163.com
20 Tel: +86-29-8708-2124

21 Abstract

22 **Background.** The Chinese Natural Forest Protection program has been conducted nationwide
23 and has achieved resounding success. However, timber importation has increased; therefore,
24 producing more domestic timber is critical to meet the demand for raw materials. Fertilization is
25 one of the most effective silviculture practices used to improve tree and stand growth. However,
26 determining the appropriate type and amount of elements is necessary for effective fertilization
27 of big timber in different forest types and environmental conditions. Stoichiometric theory
28 provides the criteria to assess nutrient limitation in plants and offers important insight into
29 fertilizing in forest land.

30 **Methods.** Nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) concentrations in tree layer leaves, mineral soil, and
31 litter were investigated in a mixed pine-oak stand.

32 **Results.** The big timber rate of *Pinus tabulaeformis*, *Pinus armandii* and *Quercus aliena* var.
33 *acutessera* is 57.71%, 22.79% and 2.78% of current existing individuals respectively. Foliar N
34 and P concentrations were 9.08 and 0.88 mgg⁻¹, respectively. The N: P in the tree layer was
35 10.30. N concentration and N: P in mineral soil decreased from 0–30 cm soil depth. For litter, N
36 and P concentrations were 16.89 and 1.51 mgg⁻¹, respectively, and N: P was 11.51.
37 Concentrations of N and P in mineral soil and litter did not significantly affect tree layer leaves
38 or mineral soil. Nitrogen storage in mineral soil was significantly correlated with foliar N: P in
39 the tree layer.

40 **Discussion.** Foliar N:P of dominant tree species and the tree layer, and foliar N concentration in
41 *Pinus tabulaeformis* and *P. armandii*, and foliar P concentration of *P. armandii* in the mixed pine-

Commented [AOA1]: fertilizer requirements of forested ecosystems

Commented [AOA2]: What does this mean? Is it the rate at which big timber of each of the three listed species is found in the stand studied? Please rephrase the sentence so its meaning is clearly comprehensible. See Line 192

Commented [AOA3]: 10.32

Commented [AOA4]: 11.19

Commented [AOA5]:tree layer leaf concentrations. Similar result was also obtained between litter and mineral soil concentrations.

oak stand was lower than that in Chinese and other terrestrial plants. Foliar nutrients in the tree layer were not affected by soil nutrients. According to the criteria of nutrient limitation for plants, growth of dominant tree species was N limited; therefore, 1.49 t ha⁻¹ pure N was added to forest land to as fertilizer.

Keywords: nitrogen; phosphorus; N: P; fertilizer; mixed pine-oak stand; the Qinling Mountains

63 INTRODUCTION

64 The Natural Forest Protection Program (NFPP) was implemented in China in 1998 and has
65 achieved or surpassed its initial goal by prohibiting commercial logging and partial or full
66 harvesting of timber (Xu 2011). However, the effectiveness of the NFPP has been disputed
67 as it has increased timber imports and caused deterioration in the structures of forest stands
68 (Wang et al. 2013). To redress the insufficient production of domestic timber, the project
69 “Techniques for big timber (diameter at breast height, DBH>26 cm) cultivation” has been
70 conducted in both northern and southern forests in China. Besides proper forest
71 management (Hou et al. 2017), applying fertilizer is also an efficient way to achieve “big
72 timber”. However, determining the appropriate type and amounts of elements is necessary
73 in the cultivation of big timbers in different forest types and environmental conditions.

74 Carbon (C), nitrogen (N), and phosphorus (P) are essential elements for plant growth
75 and metabolic processes (Yang et al. 2015). The relative concentrations of C, N, and P in
76 plants is known as stoichiometry (He et al. 2006), which is a unifying conceptual
77 framework to examine how proportions of these elements affect organisms and ecosystems.
78 with element limitation one of the most important topics (Danger et al. 2016). The most
79 common limiting elements, C, N, and P, either individually or in combination, are
80 widespread in terrestrial ecosystems (Venterink et al. 2001; Venterink et al. 2003; Vitousek
81 et al. 2010). Stoichiometric traits, particularly C: N and N: P, are useful indicators of

Formatted: Font color: Red

Commented [AOA6]: What exactly does dangler et al 2016 say? The phrase “.....with element limitation one of the most important topics (Danger at al. 2016)” is hard to understand. Consider a period after “.... These elements affect organisms and ecosystems.”

82 nutrient limitation in both terrestrial ecosystems and ecosystem functioning (Elser et al. 2007;
83 Gundersen et al. 2009).

84 In the last two decades, most research in ecological stoichiometry has focused on the
85 causes and consequences of variation in C, N, and P ratios in organisms and their resources;
86 however, there are large disparities in knowledge among taxa, ecosystem types, and specific
87 research topics (Bell et al. 2014; Fanin et al. 2013; Han et al. 2005; He et al. 2006; Knoll et al.
88 2009; Sardans et al. 2011; Scharler et al. 2015; van Huysen et al. 2016; Venterink and Güsewell
89 2010; Vrede et al. 2004; Zhan et al. 2017). Leaf stoichiometry of plants, especially N and P, is
90 very important in analyzing the composition, structure, and function of a community and
91 ecosystem (Gao et al. 2013; Han et al. 2005; Rong et al. 2015; Venterink and Güsewell 2010).
92 Determining how nutrients limit plant growth and N: P in leaves has become a hot topic (He et
93 al. 2008). Previous studies have documented N: P in plant leaves and biomass to infer or assess
94 the degree of N or P limitation at the community level (Aerts and Chapin 1999; Ellison 2006;
95 Güsewell 2004; Koerselman 1996; Sardans et al. 2012). However, determining the fertilizer of a
96 specific community via ecological stoichiometry theory by assessing N and P limitation is still
97 in its infancy (Finn et al. 2016; Hong et al. 2015). We hypothesized that growth of a dominant
98 tree species would not be limited by nutrients when foliar N: P in the tree layer meets a
99 threshold (Aerts and Chapin 1999; Güsewell 2004; Koerselman 1996; Sardans et al. 2012). We
100 asked whether the amount of fertilization needed for a concrete forest stand could be determined
101 using current N and P storage in mineral soil.

102 The Qinling Mountains are located in central China and have historically played a vital role
103 in supplying timber for construction. The mixed pine-oak stand is extensive at the mid-
104 altitudinal gradient of the Qinling Mountains (Hou et al. 2017). Maximizing the volume of this
105 forest type not only increases its carbon sequestration and ecosystem services, but also provides
106 more timber for harvesting. The objectives of this study were to address key knowledge gaps,
107 including: (1) foliar N and P stoichiometric traits in the tree layer in mixed pine-oak stand; (2)
108 the relationship between N and P stoichiometry in forest soil and leaves in the tree layer; (3)
109 nutrient limitation of the tree layer; and (4) preliminary recommendations for a fertilizer for
110 dominant trees growing normally based on stoichiometric traits.

111 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

112 **Site description**

113 Experiments were conducted at the Qinling National Forest Ecosystem Research Station
114 (QNFERS), located on the southern slope of the Qinling Mountains, Huoditang, Ningshan
115 County, Shaanxi Province, China (32°18'N, 108°20'E). The altitude of the study area was from
116 1500 to 2500 m. The area ~~experienced a~~ has subtropical climate, with annual mean air
117 temperatures around 8–10°C, annual mean precipitation around 900–1200 mm, and annual
118 mean evaporation around 800–950 mm. The main soil type was mountain brown soil, developed
119 from granite material, ranging from 30–50 cm depth. The total forest area in the station was
120 2037 hectares. Natural forest occupied 93% of the total forest area in QNFERS, with various
121 vegetation types distributed along an altitudinal gradient, such as evergreen deciduous mixed
122 forest (mixed pine-oak forest), deciduous broad-leaved forest (oak, red birch), temperate
123 coniferous forest (Chinese red pine, Armand pine), and cold temperate coniferous forest (spruce,
124 fir). The most dominant forest type was mixed pine-oak forest with an average stand age of 42
125 years and an average height of 9.2 m. Common tree species included *Pinus tabulaeformis*, *P.*

Formatted: Font color: Red

Formatted: Font color: Red

126 *armandii*, and *Quercus aliena* var. *acuteserrata*, and understory species were abundant (Hou et
127 al. 2017).

128 Field investigations and sampling were conducted from 10 to 15 September, 2014. Samples
129 of trees litter and soils were collected from 13 long-term fixed plots in the mixed pine-oak stand.
130 Each plot was 20 × 20 m. Tree species, number, Height (H), and diameter at breast height (DBH)
131 were recorded and used to determine the dominant tree species and DBH classes. DBH
132 increasing 4 cm each is a DBH class and mid-diameter is used to stand for it (DBH ranging from
133 6.1 to 10 cm, the corresponding mid-diameter is 8 cm). One hundred and fifty-six of the average
134 standard trees (AST) in all DBH classes were determined in each of the 13 plots
135 (Supplementary). Mature, sunlit leaves without disease or insect pests were sampled. Four
136 leaves/needles from each AST were collected in each of the four directions (north, east, south
137 and west) and at different stem heights (crown, intermediate section, and underpart), and mixed
138 into one sample for each AST. Five subplots (1 × 1 m) within each plot were randomly
139 established to collect litter and mineral soil (depth 0–30 cm, 10 cm each layer). Samples of litter
140 were collected manually and soil samples were collected by an auger (internal diameter 38 mm).
141 Soil volumetric rings (100 cm³) were also used to collect soil samples to measure soil bulk
142 density using the cutting ring method. Five samples of litter and mineral soil from the same
143 depth within each subplot were mixed into one sample. All samples (litter and mineral soil)
144 were weighed in the field before being transported to the laboratory.

145 **Chemical analysis**

146 Samples of leaves/needles and litter were oven-dried at 60 °C to a constant weight, and
147 then ground using a plant sample mill and sieved through a 1-mm mesh screen. Nitrogen
148 concentrations in leaves/needles and litter were measured using a flow injection analyzer
149 (FIA5000, FOSS, Sweden), while P concentrations were measured using the molybdenum blue

Commented [AOA7]: Rephrase this sentence to show the BDH classes used in the study. The sentence in its present form is hard to understand.

150 colorimetric method after digestion in a $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4+\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ solution (Bao 2000). Auger soil samples
 151 were air-dried under shade, then ground and sieved through a 0.149-mm mesh. Total soil N (TN)
 152 was measured using the Kjeldahl method (Kjeltec TM 8400, FOSS, Sweden), and total soil P
 153 (TP) was determined using acid digestion in a $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4+\text{HClO}_4$ solution (Bao 2000).

154 **Data processing**

155 Importance values (IV) of tree species were calculated following Busby et al. (2010):

$$156 \quad \text{RH} = \frac{\sum H_i}{H} \times 100\% \quad (1)$$

157 where, RH is the relative height, H_i is the height of tree species i , and H is the height of all
 158 tree species in all plots.

$$159 \quad \text{RF} = \frac{\sum F_i}{F} \times 100\% \quad (2)$$

160 where, RF is the relative frequency, F_i is the frequency of tree species i , and F is the
 161 frequency of all tree species in all plots.

$$162 \quad \text{RD} = \frac{\frac{1}{4}\pi f \sum D_i^2}{D^2} \quad (3)$$

163 where, RD is the relative basal area, D_i is the DBH of tree species i , D is the basal area of all
 164 tree species in all plots, and f is the form factor of tree species ($f_{\text{conifer}}=0.40$, $f_{\text{broadleaf}}=0.42$).

$$165 \quad \text{IV} = \frac{\text{RH}+\text{RF}+\text{RD}}{3} \times 100\% \quad (4)$$

166 Tree species with $\text{IV}>10\%$ were determined as dominant.

167 Nitrogen and P stoichiometry (N and P concentration and N: P) of tree layer leaves was
 168 calculated following Du et al. (2011):

$$169 \quad S_t = \sum_{i=1}^n C_{ni} \times \text{IV}_i \quad (5)$$

170 where, S_i is N and P stoichiometry of all tree species, C_{ni} is the concentration of N or P (mg
 171 g^{-1}) or N: P in leaves of tree i , IV_i is the importance value of tree i , and n is the number of
 172 dominant tree species.

173 Nutrient storage ($t\ ha^{-1}$) in litter was calculated as follows:

174
$$S_l = C_l B_l \quad (6)$$

175 where, S_l is the litter nutrient storage ($t\ ha^{-1}$), C_l is element (N or P) concentration ($mg\ g^{-1}$),
 176 and B_l is the biomass ($t\ ha^{-1}$).

177 Storage of nutrients (N and P) in soil was calculated as follows:

178
$$S_T = \sum_{i=1}^n E_i B_i D_i \quad (7)$$

179 where, S_T is the soil nutrient storage ($t\ ha^{-1}$), E_i is the concentration of element i ($mg\ g^{-1}$), B_i
 180 is the bulk density ($g\ cm^{-3}$) in layer i , and D_i is depth i (cm).

181 The relationships between foliar N and P and N: P in the tree layer, foliar N and P, N: P in
 182 the tree layer and mineral soil, and litter were assessed using Spearman's rank correlation.
 183 Significance levels were set at $p=0.05$. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS
 184 software (version 19.0 for Windows; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Figures were plotted using
 185 Origin 8.0 (OriginLab Corporation, Northampton, Massachusetts, USA).

186 RESULTS

187 Dominant tree species

188 There were 21 tree species in the mixed pine-oak stand. Aside from the photophilous
 189 species (*P. tabuliformis*, *P. armandii*, and *Q. aliena* var. *acuteserrata*), most of the species were
 190 shade-tolerant (*Toxicodendron vernicifluum*, *Carpinus turczaninowii*) or neutral with respective
 191 to light (*Acer mono*, *Sorbus folgneri*) (Table 1). The dominant tree species with importance
 192 values $>10\%$ were *P. tabuliformis*, *P. armandii*, and *Q. aliena* var. *acuteserrata* (Table 1).

Commented [AOA8]: Insert space between RH(%) i.e. RH (%) etc on Table 1.

193 The IV (importance value) of big timber rate ~~of~~ for *P. tabuliformis*, *P. armandii*, and
 194 *Q. aliena* var. *acuteserrata* was 57.71%, 22.79% and 2.78% of current existing individuals
 195 respectively (Table 2).

Formatted: Font color: Red

Commented [AOA9]: Applies to Line 32.

196 Patterns of foliar N and P, and N: P in the tree layer

Formatted: Font color: Red

197 Foliar N ($11.84 \pm 2.36 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$ to $21.72 \pm 3.19 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$) and P ($1.20 \pm 0.14 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$ to 2.00
 198 $\pm 0.31 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$) and N: P (9.62 to 10.87) exhibited large variation among tree species (Table
 199 3). The general trend demonstrated that foliar nutrients and N: P of *P. tabuliformis* and *P.*
 200 *armandii* were less than that in *Q. aliena* var. *acuteserrata* (Table 3). Moreover, foliar
 201 stoichiometric variables of the tree layer were also less than those in individual tree species
 202 in the mixed pine-oak stand (Table 3).

Commented [AOA10]: Verify the accuracy of your calculator. I got 9.87

Commented [AOA11]: Is IV in this work “importance value” or “important value”? Foot note of Table 3 must be consistent with manuscript text.

203 In the tree layer, concentrations of foliar N and P were significantly, positively
 204 correlated ($p < 0.05$) with each other (Figure 1(a)). The Log foliar N concentration was
 205 significantly, positively correlated with the log N: P (Figure1 (b)). Foliar P concentration
 206 and N: P were significantly, negatively correlated ($p < 0.05$) with each other (Figure1 (c)).

Commented [AOA12]: For clarity, use “.. mathematical log of foliar N...” to distinguish tree log from mathematical log. This is more so when it involves foliage but notice that log N:P is perfectly okay as in Line 204

207 Patterns of N and P and N: P in mineral soil and litter

208 Nitrogen concentrations and N: P varied markedly across mineral soil at 0–30 cm
 209 depth, ranging from $0.60 \pm 0.05 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$ to $2.40 \pm 0.10 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$ and 2.60 ± 0.41 to 6.81 ± 0.51 ,
 210 respectively (Table 4). However, P in mineral soil at 0–30 cm depth was significantly
 211 different, ranging from $0.23 \pm 0.03 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$ to $0.36 \pm 0.03 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$ (Table 4). Bulk density of
 212 mineral soil increased with soil depth (Table 4). The indices, N: P, and concentrations of N
 213 and P were far higher in litter than in mineral soil (Table 4).

Commented [AOA13]: I would have been surprised if this was not the case.

Having said that, no statistical analysis was shown on the Table to justify the claim. What are the statistical p-values?

214 Relationships ~~among between~~ N and P concentrations in litter and mineral soil were
 215 complex and ~~none was mostly non~~significant (Figure 2(a)-(f)). At 0–10 cm depth, mineral soil N
 216 concentration increased with litter N concentration when litter N concentration was $<17.9 \text{ mg g}^{-1}$
 217 ¹, and vice versa (Figure 2(a)). Soil P concentration increased with litter P concentration (Figure
 218 2(d)). At 11–20 cm depth, mineral soil N concentration decreased with litter N concentration
 219 when litter N concentration was $<19.0 \text{ mg g}^{-1}$, and vice versa (Figure 2 (b)). Soil P concentration
 220 showed an exponential relationship with litter P concentration (Figure 2(e)). At 21–30 cm depth,
 221 mineral soil N concentration increased with litter N concentration when litter N concentration
 222 was $<17.9 \text{ mg g}^{-1}$, and vice versa (Figure 2(c)). Phosphorus concentration of mineral soil
 223 increased linearly with litter P concentration (Figure 2(f)).

224 **Relationship between foliar N and P concentration in the tree layer and mineral soil**

225 At 0–10 cm depth, foliar N concentration in the tree layer was significantly, positively
 226 correlated ($p>0.05$) with N concentration of mineral soil (Figure 3(a)). In contrast, foliar P
 227 concentration in the tree layer showed a significant, cubic relationship with P concentration of
 228 mineral soil ($p<0.05$) (Figure 3(d)). Although foliar N and P concentrations in the tree layer
 229 were not significantly correlated with mineral soil at 11–20 cm depth, foliar N concentration in
 230 the tree layer increased with N concentration of mineral soil (Figure 3(b) and (e)). There was no
 231 relationship between foliar N concentration in the tree layer and N concentration of mineral soil
 232 at 21–30 cm depth (Figure 2(c)). Foliar P concentration in the tree layer increased with P
 233 concentration of mineral soil at 21–30 cm depth (Figure 2(f)).

234 DISCUSSION

Formatted: Font color: Red

Formatted: Font color: Red

Formatted: Font color: Red

Commented [AOA14]: I was unable to open the figures of this manuscript but from the little I could glean off the pdf document I received from the Editor, it seems Fig. 2(d) has litter nitrogen on the X-axis and not P. If this is correct, the figure and manuscript need revision to address this finding.

Commented [AOA15]: The problem with Fig 2(d) applies to Fig 2(e)

Commented [AOA16]: I do not see the increased relationship with N. The relationship is spread through the sampling area and show no increase or decrease with depth or N concentration.

Commented [AOA17]: No litter P was analyzed in Fig. 2. All x-axis analyses are nitrogen. See 2a-2f.

Commented [AOA18]: Make sure that the correct figures are used in this research. It seems that Fig 3a has $p=0.28$ and not $p>0.05$.

Commented [AOA19]: Fig 3e shows foliar and soil P, not nitrogen. Revise sentence to reflect this. As the sentence is currently stated, it seems figures 3b and 3e are about foliar N increases with soil N. Only 3b is; 3e is not.

Commented [AOA20]: Although these are important findings, it is important to state clearly that none of the stoichiometry of N and P in relation to tree layer, and mineral soil was significant.

235 **Foliar N and P stoichiometric traits in the tree layer in mixed pine-oak stand**

236 The positive correlation between foliar N and P concentrations in the tree layer is consistent
237 with stoichiometric stability criteria of a fixed ratio of nutrient absorption in the plant
238 (Koerselman 1996). Previously, mean foliar N and P and N: P were reported as 18.6, 1.21 mg g⁻¹
239 ¹, and 14.4, respectively for 753 species of terrestrial plants across China (Han et al. 2005)
240 and 18.3, 1.42 mg g⁻¹, and 11.8, respectively for 1251 world terrestrial plants (Reich and
241 Oleksyn 2004). This study indicated that foliar N: P of dominant tree species (*P.*
242 *tabuliformis*, *P. armandii*, and *Quercus aliena* var. *acuteserrata*.) and in the tree layer were
243 lower than both Chinese and global terrestrial plants. Similarly, foliar N concentration of *P.*
244 *tabuliformis* and *P. armandii*, and foliar P concentration of *P. armandii* were also lower.
245 The overall element composition of plants in an ecosystem is determined by the mix of
246 species and by the physiological status of the dominant plants (Güsewell 2004). Therefore,
247 potential explanations for the observed patterns are that foliar element concentrations and
248 ratios were strongly determined by genetic and physiological controls, and that the crucial
249 factors prevented plants from responding to the natural availability of nutrients (Castle and
250 Neff 2009). Furthermore, the nutrient status of terrestrial plants has a strong local and
251 regional signal due to acquiring nutrients via weathering and microbial decomposition *in*
252 *situ* (Chadwick et al. 1999). In the study area, low air temperature (<10°C) (Hou et al. 2017)
253 may have hindered rock weathering and microbial activity. However, the concentration of
254 foliar N and P in *Q. aliena* var. *acuteserrata* was higher than that reported for Chinese and
255 global mean levels. Foliar P concentration of *P. tabuliformis* was higher than the Chinese

Commented [AOA21]: Where are these positive correlations? No statistical p-values support it from your figures. The trend lines can point up or down but that does not mean positive or negative agreement when it is not statistically supported.

Commented [AOA22]:, and that these critical factors prevented

mean, but lower than the global mean. The growing period (from mid-May to late-September) in the study area was relatively short ~~for elevation of the~~ plots on high elevation (more than 1600 m) (Hou et al. 2017). Therefore, shorter leaf life span and growing season may have caused higher N and P concentrations (Castle and Neff 2009; He et al. 2006).

Response of foliar nutrients in the tree layer to soil nutrients

The N and P concentrations in mineral soil were generally low. The N concentration in the top layer of mineral soil (0–10 cm) was above the standard of first class soil ($>2.0 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$); however, at 11–20 cm depth it only met the standard of third class soil ($1\text{--}1.5 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$), and at 21–30 cm it met the standard of fifth class soil ($0.5\text{--}0.75 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$) (National Soil Survey Office of China 1998). The level of soil P concentration at 0–30 cm only reached the standard of third class soil ($0.2\text{--}0.4 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$) (National Soil Survey Office of China 1998). Litter decomposition and rock weathering are the main nutrient sources for mineral soil in natural forests. Plant element concentrations are largely determined by supplies of elements in soil and the chemical and physical characteristics of soil environments (Castle and Neff 2009). However, our findings demonstrated that elements in litter did not significantly affect mineral soil. We found most of the relationships between foliar N and P concentrations in the tree layer and mineral soil at various depths were ~~nonsignificant~~ not significant, and soil nutrients did not explain more than 14% of foliar nutrients in the tree layer. Therefore, these results were not able to provide a better understanding and interpretation of the effects of litter and soil nutrients on mineral soil and foliar nutrients in the tree layer. Possible explanations for the observed patterns include high non-soluble chemical compounds in litter and low temperature (Hou et al. 2017), which slowed down litter decomposition. Furthermore, soil available N and P absorbed by plants have strong mobility and are easily leached (Thompson et al. 2010). The thickness of mineral soil (at 30–50

Formatted: Font color: Red

Formatted: Font color: Red

Formatted: Font color: Red

Formatted: Font color: Red

cm depth), pore ratio from 32.0 to 62.28%, high precipitation (800–1200 mm), and low temperature (Hou et al. 2010, 2017) in the study area may have accelerated leaching of N and P in decomposed litter and mineral soil, leaving less N and P to be assimilated into leaves in the tree layer.

Nutrient limitation of the tree layer

It has been suggested that biomass N: P may be a better indicator of N or P deficiency than nutrient concentrations (Güsewell et al. 2003). Previous studies have reported that plant growth is limited by concurrent N concentration $<20 \text{ mg g}^{-1}$ and N: P <14 ; while P limits plant growth at concentrations of $<1 \text{ mg g}^{-1}$ and N: P >16 . Therefore, co-limitation of N and P will occur when the concentrations and ratio of N and P meet these conditions (Aerts and Chapin 1999; Koerselman 1996). The foliar N: P (10.3) and N concentration (9.08 mg g^{-1}) of the tree layer in the mixed pine-oak (Table 3) stand showed N limitation according to above mentioned criteria (Aerts and Chapin 1999; Koerselman 1996).

The relationships between soil nutrient storage and foliar N: P of the tree layer indicated that foliar N: P of the tree layer was correlated with soil N storage (Figure 4(a)). One possible reason may be that the growth of the tree layer was limited by N, and foliar N: P of the tree layer responses to soil N were more sensitive. However, N storage in mineral soil only explained 40% (Figure 4(a)) of the variation in foliar N: P of the tree layer, and foliar N: P of the tree layer was not significantly correlated with soil P storage (Figure 4(b)). This may have been a result of low P concentration in mineral soil, which also affected foliar N: P of the tree layer.

Our results showed that the total standing crop of elements in litter was estimated as $0.31 \pm 0.07 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ for N, and $0.02 \pm 0.01 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ for P; and $4.58 \pm 0.15 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ for N and $0.95 \pm 0.09 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ for P in mineral soil at 0–30 cm depth (Table 4). This suggested that trees might assimilate N and P entirely from that stored in mineral soil and litter. According to the criteria (Aerts and

Formatted: Font color: Red

303 Chapin 1999; Koerselman 1996), 1.49 t ha⁻¹ pure N should be used to fertilize forest land to
304 relieve N limitation on tree growth.

305 **Limitations of the analysis**

306 We analyzed stoichiometric traits of leaves in the tree layer, mineral soil, and litter to
307 explore the effects of N and P concentrations and N: P of litter and mineral soil on leaves in the
308 tree layer. We also investigated the relationships between foliar N: P in the tree layer and N and
309 P storage in mineral soil. The factors included in this study only explained 40% of the variation,
310 which prevented us from fully understanding and interpreting total variation. Furthermore,
311 besides criteria we used in the study, a more conservative estimate of a N: P threshold is <10 for
312 N limitation and >20 for P limitation (Güsewell 2004; Sardans et al. 2012). Since there are
313 multiple criteria for assessing nutrient limitation (Aerts and Chapin 1999; Güsewell 2004;
314 Koerselman 1996; Sardans et al. 2012), we lack sufficient evidence to support an accurate
315 estimation of fertilizer application rate. Finally, nutrient use efficiency and its influence on tree
316 growth was not included in the estimation of pure N application rate, as it would have
317 underestimated N. To verify these preliminary results, more study is required to detect the
318 effects of fertilizer on stoichiometric traits of trees and mineral soil, and N and P interactions.

319 **CONCLUSIONS**

320 Our results indicated that N and P concentrations were low in leaves in the tree layer and
321 mineral soil, but high in litter. Concentrations of N and P in mineral soil were insufficient to
322 explain the variation in foliar N: P in the tree layer. However, N storage in mineral soil at 0–30
323 cm depth was correlated with foliar N: P. The growth of the tree layer was limited by N;
324 therefore, 1.49 t ha⁻¹ pure N should be added to forest land to relieve this limitation. We further
325 recommend that appropriate fertilization rates should be included in the process of big timber
326 cultivation. This result offers important insights into fertilizing forest land.

327 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

328 We thank staff at Huoditang Forest Farm, Northwest A&F University for their assistance
329 with sampling.

330 **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS**

331 **Funding**

332 This work was supported by National Key Research and Development Program of China
333 (No. 2017YFD0600504-2) from Ministry of Science and Technology of the People's Republic
334 of China. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to
335 publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

336 **Grant Disclosures**

337 The following grant information was disclosed by the authors:

338 National Key Research and Development Program of China: 2017YFD0600504-2.

339 **Competing Interests**

340 The authors declare that they have no competing interest.

341 **Author Contributions**

- 342 ● Lin Hou conceived and designed the experiments, and wrote the paper.
- 343 ● Zhenjie Dong calculated importance values of tree species and analyzed nutrients.
- 344 ● Yuanyuan Yang and Z.D. analyzed the data.
- 345 ● Shengli Zhang and Shuoxin Zhang reviewed the paper.

346 **Data Availability**

347 The following information was supplied regarding data availability:

348 The raw data has been supplied as Supplementary File.

349 REFERENCES

- 350 **Aerts R, and Chapin FSI. 1999.** The mineral nutrition of wild plants revisited: a re-evaluation
351 of processes and patterns. *Advances in Ecological Research* **30**:1-67.
- 352 **Bao SD. 2000.** *Soil and Agricultural Chemistry Analysis*. Beijing: China Agriculture Press.
- 353 **Bell C, Carrillo Y, Boot CM, Rocca JD, Pendall E, and Wallenstein MD. 2014.**
354 Rhizosphere stoichiometry: are C : N : P ratios of plants, soils, and enzymes conserved at
355 the plant species-level? *New Phytologist* **201**:505-517.
- 356 **Busby PE, Vitousek P, and Dirzo R. 2010.** Prevalence of tree regeneration by sprouting and
357 seeding along a rainfall gradient in Hawai'i. *Biotropica* **42**:80-86.
- 358 **Castle SC, and Neff JC. 2009.** Plant response to nutrient availability across variable bedrock
359 geologies. *Ecosystems* **12**:101-113.
- 360 **Chadwick OA, Derry LA, Vitousek PM, Huebert BJ, and Hedin LO. 1999.** Changing
361 sources of nutrients during four million years of ecosystem development. *Nature*
362 **397**:491-497.
- 363 **Danger M, Gessner MO, and Bärlocher F. 2016.** Ecological stoichiometry of aquatic fungi:
364 current knowledge and perspectives. *Fungal Ecology* **19**:100-111.
- 365 **Du Y, Pan G, Li L, Hu Z, and Wang X. 2011.** Leaf N/P ratio and nutrient reuse between
366 dominant species and stands: predicting phosphorus deficiencies in Karst ecosystems,
367 southwestern China. *Environmental Earth Sciences* **64**:299-309.
- 368 **Ellison AM. 2006.** Nutrient limitation and stoichiometry of carnivorous plants. *Plant Biology*
369 **8**:740-747.
- 370 **Elser JJ, Bracken MES, Cleland EE, Gruner DS, Harpole WS, Hillebrand H, Ngai JT,**
371 **Seabloom EW, Shurin JB, and Smith JE. 2007.** Global analysis of nitrogen and
372 phosphorus limitation of primary producers in freshwater, marine and terrestrial
373 ecosystems. *Ecology Letters* **10**:1135-1142.
- 374 **Fanin N, Fromin N, Buatois B, and Hättenschwiler S. 2013.** An experimental test of the
375 hypothesis of non-homeostatic consumer stoichiometry in a plant litter–microbe system.

376 *Ecology Letters* **16**:764-772.

377 **Finn D, Page K, Catton K, Kienzle M, Robertson F, Armstrong R, and Dalal R. 2016.**

378 Ecological stoichiometry controls the transformation and retention of plant-derived

379 organic matter to humus in response to nitrogen fertilisation. *Soil Biology and*

380 *Biochemistry* **99**:117-127.

381 **Güsewell S. 2004.** N : P ratios in terrestrial plants: variation and functional significance. *New*

382 *Phytologist* **164**:243-266.

383 **Güsewell S, Koerselman W, and Verhoeven JTA. 2003.** Biomass N: P ratios as indicators of

384 nutrient limitation for plant populations in wetlands. *Ecological Applications* **13**:372-384.

385 **Gao Y, Yu G, and He N. 2013.** Equilibration of the terrestrial water, nitrogen, and carbon

386 cycles: advocating a health threshold for carbon storage. *Ecological Engineering*

387 **57**:366-374.

388 **Gundersen P, Sevel L, Christiansen JR, Vesterdal L, Hansen K, and Bastrup-Birk A.**

389 **2009.** Do indicators of nitrogen retention and leaching differ between coniferous and

390 broadleaved forests in Denmark? *Forest Ecology and Management* **258**:1137-1146.

391 **Han W, Fang J, Guo D, and Zhang Y. 2005.** Leaf nitrogen and phosphorus stoichiometry

392 across 753 terrestrial plant species in China. *New Phytologist* **168**:377-385.

393 **He JS, Fang J, Wang Z, Guo D, Flynn DFB, and Geng Z. 2006.** Stoichiometry and

394 large-scale patterns of leaf carbon and nitrogen in the grassland biomes of China.

395 *Oecologia* **149**:115-122.

396 **He JS, Wang L, Dan FBF, Wang X, Ma W, and Fang J. 2008.** Leaf Nitrogen:Phosphorus

397 stoichiometry across Chinese grassland biomes. *Oecologia* **155**:301-310.

398 **Hong J, Wang X, and Wu J. 2015.** Effects of soil fertility on the N:P stoichiometry of

399 herbaceous plants on a nutrient-limited alpine steppe on the northern Tibetan Plateau.

400 *Plant and Soil* **391**:179-194.

401 **Hou L, Lei R, Zhang S, and Liu J. 2010.** Temporal and spatial variations of soil respiration in

402 *Pinus tabulaeformis* forest at Huoditang forest zone in the Qinling Mountains, China.

403 *Acta Ecologica Sinica* **30**:5225-5236.

404 **Hou L, Sun S, Liang L, Liang G, and Jiang L. 2017.** Effects of selective thinning and
 405 residue removal on ground layer structure and diversity in a mixed pine–oak stand of the
 406 Qinling Mountains, China. *Australian Journal of Botany* **65**:485-496.

407 **Knoll LB, McIntyre PB, Vanni MJ, and Flecker AS. 2009.** Feedbacks of consumer nutrient
 408 recycling on producer biomass and stoichiometry: separating direct and indirect effects.
 409 *Oikos* **118**:1732-1742.

410 **Koerselman W. 1996.** The vegetation N:P ratio: a new tool to detect the nature of nutrient
 411 limitation. *Journal of Applied Ecology* **33**:1441-1450.

412 **National Soil Survey Office of China. 1998.** *Soils of China*. Beijing, China: Chinese
 413 Agriculture Press.

414 **Reich PB, and Oleksyn J. 2004.** Global patterns of plant leaf N and P in relation to
 415 temperature and latitude. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United*
 416 *States of America* **101**:11001-11006.

417 **Rong Q, Liu J, Cai Y, Lu Z, Zhao Z, Yue W, and Xia J. 2015.** Leaf carbon, nitrogen and
 418 phosphorus stoichiometry of *Tamarix chinensis* Lour. in the Laizhou Bay coastal wetland,
 419 China. *Ecological Engineering* **76**:57-65.

420 **Sardans J, Rivas-Ubach A, and Peñuelas J. 2011.** Factors affecting nutrient concentration
 421 and stoichiometry of forest trees in Catalonia (NE Spain). *Forest Ecology and anagement*
 422 **262**:2024-2034.

423 **Sardans J, Rivasubach A, and Peñuelas J. 2012.** The elemental stoichiometry of aquatic and
 424 terrestrial ecosystems and its relationships with organismic lifestyle and ecosystem
 425 structure and function: a review and perspectives. *Biogeochemistry* **111**:1-39.

426 **Scharler UM, Ulanowicz RE, Fogel ML, Wooller MJ, Jacobson-Meyers ME, Lovelock**
 427 **CE, Feller IC, Frischer M, Lee R, McKee K, Romero I C , Schmit J P, Shearer C.**
 428 **2015.**
 429 Variable nutrient stoichiometry (carbon:nitrogen:phosphorus) across trophic levels
 430 determines community and ecosystem properties in an oligotrophic mangrove system.
 431 *Oecologia* **179**:863-876.

432 **Thompson K, Parkinson JA, Band SR, and Spencer RE. 2010.** A comparative study of leaf
 433 nutrient concentrations in a regional herbaceous flora. *New Phytologist* **136**:679-689.

434 **van Huysen TL, Perakis SS, and Harmon ME. 2016.** Decomposition drives convergence of
 435 forest litter nutrient stoichiometry following phosphorus addition. *Plant and Soil*
 436 **406**:1-14.

437 **Venterink HO, and Güsewell S. 2010.** Competitive interactions between two meadow grasses
 438 under nitrogen and phosphorus limitation. *Functional Ecology* **24**:877-886.

439 **Venterink HO, Vliet REVD, and Wassen MJ. 2001.** Nutrient limitation along a productivity
 440 gradient in wet meadows. *Plant and Soil* **234**:171-179.

441 **Venterink HO, Wassen MJ, Verkroost AWM, and Ruiter PCD. 2003.** Species
 442 richness-productivity patterns differ between N-, P-, and K-limited wetlands. *Ecology*
 443 **84**:2191-2199.

444 **Vitousek PM, Porder S, Houlton BZ, and Chadwick OA. 2010.** Terrestrial phosphorus
 445 limitation: mechanisms, implications, and nitrogen-phosphorus interactions. *Ecological*
 446 *Applications A Publication of the Ecological Society of America* **20**:5.

447 **Vrede T, Dobberfuhl DR, Kooijman SALM, and Elser JJ. 2004.** Fundamental connections
 448 among organism C:N:P stoichiometry, macromolecular composition, and growth. *Ecology*
 449 **85**:1217-1229.

450 **Wang W, Pechacek P, Zhang M, Xiao N, Zhu J, and Li J. 2013.** Effectiveness of nature
 451 reserve system for conserving tropical forests: a statistical evaluation of Hainan Island,
 452 China. *Plos One* **8**:e57561.

453 **Xu J. 2011.** China's new forests aren't as green as they seem. *Nature* **477**:371-371.

454 **Yang X, Huang Z, Zhang K, and Cornelissen JHC. 2015.** C:N:P stoichiometry of *Artemisia*
 455 species and close relatives across northern China: unravelling effects of climate, soil and
 456 taxonomy. *Journal of Ecology* **103**:1020-1031.

457 **Zhan S, Wang Y, Zhu Z, Li W, and Bai Y. 2017.** Nitrogen enrichment alters plant N: P
 458 stoichiometry and intensifies phosphorus limitation in a steppe ecosystem. *Environmental*
 459 *and Experimental Botany* **134**:21-32.