

Title: Can phosphorus application and cover cropping alter arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal communities and soybean performance in a 5-year phosphorus-unfertilized crop rotational system?

Masao Higo^{1*}, Ryohei Sato¹, Ayu Serizawa¹, Yuichi Takahashi¹, Kento Gunji¹, Katsunori Isobe¹

¹) Department of Agricultural Bioscience, College of Bioresource Sciences, Nihon University, Fujisawa, Kanagawa 252-0880, Japan
Tel: +81-466-84-3502; Fax: +81-466-84-3525

* Corresponding author

E-mail: higo.masao@nihon-u.ac.jp

Abstract

Background: Phosphorus (P) application and mycorrhizal cover cropping can be a primary factor improving soybean growth, P nutrition, and grain yield, and the benefit from arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) is highly valuable to soybean P uptake and growth in a P-limited soil. However, it is not clear how soybean growth and P nutrition is related to AMF root colonization and diversity of AMF communities in a continuous P-unfertilized cover cropping system. Thus, we investigated the impact of P-application and cover cropping on AMF root colonization and diversity in soybean roots, and how they affect soybean growth and P nutrition under the five-year P-unfertilized crop rotation.

Methods: In this study, we established three cover crop systems (wheat, red clover, and oilseed rape) or bare fallow in rotation with soybean. The P fertilizer application rates before the seeding of soybeans were 52.5 and 157.5 kg ha⁻¹ in 2014 and 2015, respectively. AMF community structure in soybean roots was characterized by specific amplification of small subunit (SSU) rDNA.

Results: The increase in the root colonization at the flowering stage was small as a result of P-application, even when P-application significantly impacted on root colonization. The P-application had positive effects on the soybean performance such as plant P uptake,

Department of Pla..., 11/19/2017 5:14 PM

Comment [1]: This can be separated into two sentences.

Department of Pla..., 11/19/2017 5:15 PM

Comment [2]: Do you mean P application/fertilization?

Department of Pla..., 11/19/2017 5:16 PM

Comment [3]: I understand this is just the abstract, but can you structure this sentence to give more of the impact of why this question is important?

31 biomass and grain yield in 2015. The results of a permutational multivariate analysis of
32 variance (PERMANOVA) showed that the AMF communities colonizing soybean roots
33 were also significantly influenced by P-application throughout the two years. Moreover, the
34 abundance of *Rhizophagus irregularis* and *Cetraspora pellucida* in the roots reduced as a
35 result of P-application in 2015. The network analysis determined that the AMF root
36 colonization did not increase the soybean growth performance, whereas the diversity index
37 and species richness of AMF communities in roots were positively correlated with the
38 soybean biomass, P uptake and grain yield throughout the two years.

39 **Discussion:** Our results indicated that the soybean performance could be partially related to
40 the interaction directly with roots-soil microbes such as AMF diversity. Increasing AMF
41 diversity may be a key factor improving soybean growth performance in P-limited cover
42 cropping systems. P management may also be integrated with cover cropping to ensure the
43 improvement of soybean performance and benefit from AMF partners in cover crop
44 rotational systems.

46 Introduction

47 Phosphorus (P) is an essential nutrient with a central role in numerous biochemical
48 processes of plants. P in synthetic fertilizers is derived from phosphate rock which is a
49 finite resource, and their prices are expected to increase in the following decades (Brunelle
50 et al., 2015). However, P-application is often required to achieve high productivity due to
51 strong interactions of P with soil compounds, for example adsorption to and precipitation
52 with iron (Fe) and aluminium (Al) (Lynch, 2007). After excessive application of P
53 fertilizers to soil, the most of the P can be converted into less available forms over time
54 (Pearse et al., 2007). Increasing soil P concentrations will not result in greater crop yields,
55 lead to less active microbial-mediated processes of mineralization and solubilization, and
56 increase the potential risk of environmental pollution (Bai et al., 2013). The global average
57 cash production costs of phosphate rock in 1983 and 2013 increased by 27% to \$38 per fob
58 tonne mine in this 30 year period (Mew, 2016). Therefore, managing soil P availability is
59 required to maintain agricultural crop production (Mishima et al., 2003). Arbuscular
60 mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) can increase host plant P uptake and growth, and AMF may

Department of Pla..., 11/19/2017 5:35 PM

Comment [4]: Tell us why this is important.

Department of Pla..., 11/19/2017 5:36 PM

Comment [5]: Tell us why you decided to perform a network analysis.

Department of Pla..., 11/19/2017 5:43 PM

Deleted: To increase

Department of Pla..., 11/19/2017 5:51 PM

Comment [6]: Has anyone quantified how much of the soil P ends up becoming less available for the sake of giving context? I only say this because this tends to be a frequent factoid that I come across in the literature, but I am sure that this also has a lot to do with soil composition/weather/etc....

... [1]

Department of Pla..., 11/19/2017 5:50 PM

Comment [7]: Increasing soil P concentrations does improve crop yield, but I understand what you are trying to say here, I think that you can restructure this sentence by saying

... [2]

Department of Pla..., 11/19/2017 5:53 PM

Comment [8]: USD?

Department of Pla..., 11/19/2017 5:57 PM

Comment [9]: It would be good to add some insight on when we expect to reach peak P production - please see the

... [3]

Department of Pla..., 11/19/2017 5:53 PM

Comment [10]: Instead of placing a percentage, can you instead provide the 1983 production value? (Also

... [4]

62 especially improve plant P and micronutrients uptake (Smith & Read, 2008). AMF also
63 may act against the depletion of global P reserves (Gilbert, 2000). These nutritional
64 benefits from AMF can be remarkably improved via appropriate agricultural managements
65 (Kahiluoto et al., 2001, 2012; Gosling et al., 2006). Some species of the family
66 Glomeraceae such as *Funneliformis mosseae*, *Rhizophagus irregularis* and family
67 Gigasporaceae have been shown to have a positive impact on growth and nutrient uptake of
68 plants under native and commercial AMF inoculated conditions (Verbruggen & Kiers,
69 2010; Gosling et al., 2016). Also, previous studies have shown that P uptake via AMF is a
70 distinct functional alternative to direct uptake by plants (Bucher, 2007), and the most of the
71 P supplied by plants can be obtained via the mycorrhizal route (Smith et al., 2003).

72 Johnson et al. (1993) reported that there was a link between yield declines under
73 continuous soybean cropping and the shift in AMF communities. Continuous cropping
74 selects for the most rapidly growing and sporulating AMF species, which decreases crop
75 performance over time. This abundance of detrimental AMF species leads to a decline in
76 beneficial AMF species (Johnson et al., 1993). Furthermore, the introduction of
77 mycorrhizal cover crops during the winter season can be necessary for maintenance and
78 increase indigenous AMF inoculum or diversity in soil and roots for subsequent crops
79 (Higo et al., 2010, 2015a, 2016). Thus, the introduction of cover crops in temperate
80 agricultural ecosystems, such as wheat, barley, oilseed rape or leguminous crops, including
81 hairy vetch, red clover and white clover, reduces seasonal fallow and thus provides many
82 benefits for subsequent crops and soil fertility (Karasawa & Takahashi, 2015). In addition,
83 a diverse AMF species composition and diversity can maximize the benefits from AMF
84 (Maherali & Klironomos, 2007; Powell et al., 2009). Moreover, increasing AMF diversity
85 in agroecosystems has been suggested to have the ability to boost crop growth, nutrient
86 uptake and sustainability can be widespread (Hart & Forsythe, 2012). The diversity of
87 AMF communities can be influenced by agricultural management practices such as crop
88 rotation (Higo et al., 2013, 2015a), tillage (Alguacil et al., 2008) and P-application
89 (Kahiluoto et al., 2009, 2012). The diversity of AMF communities can impact their
90 contribution to plant P nutrition (van der Heijden et al., 1998; Verbruggen et al., 2013).

91 The yield and growth of soybeans under a P-unfertilized four-year winter

92 crop-soybean rotational system gradually has been found to decrease over time because of
93 both a decrease in AMF root colonization of soybeans and continuous nutrient removal
94 from the soil by continuous crop rotations (Isobe et al., 2014). The same research group
95 also found that there was a positive correlation between AMF root colonization and
96 soybean grain yield in a four-year consecutive winter cover crop-soybean rotational system
97 without P fertilizer, suggesting that higher AMF root colonization can be a better solution
98 for improving soybean growth and grain yield in the P-limited soil. Cover cropping alone
99 would also appear not to supply enough P nutrition to recover soybean performance as
100 much as the use of an alternative way of using moderate P-application in the consecutive
101 P-unfertilized cover crop rotational system (Karasawa & Takahashi, 2015).

102 Thus, we investigate which factor such as P-application or cover cropping is driving
103 increases in soybean performance via AMF benefits. We also examine how the
104 P-application and cover cropping link to the AMF benefits and soybean growth, and the
105 effectiveness of AMF in cover crop-soybean rotational systems to improve the reliability
106 and the robustness of the agricultural managements. In this study, we hypothesized that
107 P-application or non-mycorrhizal cover cropping in a P-limited soil would decrease the
108 diversity of AMF communities and the shift would link to the soybean growth responses in
109 the five-year P-unfertilized cover crop study. Therefore, we approached this study with two
110 objectives: First- to understand whether or not P-application and cover cropping impacts
111 soybean growth performance. Secondly, to determine how AMF diversity is affected under
112 P-application and cover cropping.

114 Materials and methods

115 Experimental design

116 We conducted a field trial of winter cover crop-soybean rotation at Nihon University, in
117 Kanagawa, Japan (35°22'N 139°27'E). The soil at the field site is classified as a volcanic
118 ash soil (Allophonic andosol). According to the Japan Meteorological Agency
119 (<http://www.jma.go.jp/jma/indexe.html>) from 2000 to 2015, the climate is characterized by
120 relatively high temperatures and evenly distributed precipitation throughout the year. The
121 average temperature for the year in this area is around 16.2°C. The average maximum

Department of Pla..., 11/19/2017 6:18 PM

Deleted: our first study objective is

Department of Pla..., 11/19/2017 6:19 PM

Deleted: Our second objective is

Department of Pla..., 11/19/2017 7:51 PM

Comment [11]: Please also include a sentence or two stating when plants were harvested. Was it based on Days after planting or emergence?

124 temperature and average minimum temperature is around 25.1°C and 7.7°C, respectively.

125 The average precipitation for the year in this prefecture is around 1609.7 mm.

126 We conducted our research onto two experimental phases. In the first phase, we
127 applied cover cropping/soybean rotations without P-application, then, in the second phase,
128 we applied the same cover crop treatment/soybean with or without P-application, but used
129 a split plot design. The first cover crop experiment (2007 to 2012) comprised three winter
130 cover crop treatments such as winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.), red clover (*Trifolium*
131 *pratense* L.), oilseed rape (*Brassica napus* L.) and fallow (Fig. 1). There were three

132 replicate plots per treatment arranged in a randomized complete block design. Each plot
133 had an area of 9 m² (4.5 m × 2 m). In this first phase, the experimental did not receive P
134 fertilizers for over a 5-year period. In the field plots used for the experiments, soybean
135 (*Glycine max* (L.) Merr., cv: Enrei) had been cultivated to standardize soil biochemical
136 conditions before the field trial started. As a preliminary investigation of soil chemical
137 characteristics (0-15 cm soil depth) at this experimental site in 2014 before the study of
138 phase two (Fig. 1), the soil pH ranged from 6.0 to 6.1 and total organic carbon (C) was 5.6
139 to 6.5%. Total nitrogen (N) and nitrate nitrogen content ranged from 0.41 to 0.48% and
140 from 6.0 to 15.9 mg kg⁻¹, respectively. Phosphate absorption coefficient ranged from 2320
141 to 2660. Further management details about the general information of the cover crop
142 rotational system, seeding and sampling are presented in Higo et al. (2014).

143 In phase two of our experiment, the same three cover crops from the first experimental
144 phase (wheat, red clover and oilseed rape) were sown in rows, with spacing of 30 cm, in
145 the cropped treatment on November 9, 2013, and November 18, 2014. Winter wheat (cv:
146 Bandowase, mycorrhizal crop) seeds were sown at 200 kg ha⁻¹ with N (ammonium sulfate)
147 and K (potassium chloride) application rates of 100 and 90 kg ha⁻¹, respectively. Oilseed
148 rape seeds (cv: Michinokunatane, non-mycorrhizal crop) were sown at 30 kg ha⁻¹ with N
149 and K application rates of 100 and 50 kg ha⁻¹, respectively. Red clover seeds (cv:
150 Makimidori, mycorrhizal crop) were sown on November 9, 2013, and March 16, 2015. Red
151 clover seeds were sown at 30 kg ha⁻¹ with N and K application rates of 30 and 50 kg ha⁻¹
152 in 2014 and 2015. The tops of the cover crops were cut close to the ground and removed on
153 June 3, 2014, and June 16, 2015. In fallow, weeds were manually removed during the

Department of Pla..., 11/19/2017 6:22 PM

Comment [12]: Separate out into two sentences.

Department of Pla..., 11/19/2017 6:24 PM

Comment [13]: Did you account for any additional nitrogen fixation that was facilitated by having a legumous cover crop? Any reason why these cover crops were chosen?

Department of Pla..., 11/19/2017 7:42 PM

Comment [14]: Did you sample pH, N, C and P content of soil for 2015?

154 winter period.

155 We investigate the impact of P-application and cover cropping on the diversity of

156 AMF communities in soybean roots and soybean growth after a five-year consecutive cover

157 crop-soybean rotational system. We used a split plot design to divide the 4.5×2 m of the

158 cover crop experimental plots into 2.25×2 m plots for the two P treatment plots (no

159 P-application and P-application) of the phase two experiment (Fig. 1). Then, both no P and

160 P-application treatments were replicated three times in 2.25×2 m plots. The soybean (cv:

161 Enrei) seeds were sown at a spacing of $60 \text{ cm} \times 15 \text{ cm}$ on June 17, 2014, and June 17, 2015.

162 In 2014 and 2015, the N and K application rates were 30 and 50 kg ha^{-1} , respectively. In

163 2014, the amount of P (triple superphosphate) in the P-application plots was applied at 52.5

164 kg ha^{-1} . The P-application did not increase the available soil P in 2014 because of the high

165 P absorption coefficient (around 2600). In 2015, the amount of P in the P-application plots

166 was applied at 157.5 kg ha^{-1} at three times the normal amount of P fertilizer of 2014. The

167 content of available soil P (Truog P) was analyzed according to Truog (1930).

169 Soil and root sampling and root staining

170 The soil samples were randomly taken from ten points in each replicate and pooled to one

171 composite sample on June 17, 2014, and June 17, 2015, respectively. Soybean root samples

172 were taken at the full bloom stage (R2 growth stage) on July 31, 2014, and August 6, 2015.

173 The full bloom stage corresponds to the stage when the mycorrhizal colonization of

174 soybean roots is usually at its highest (Zhang et al., 1995). In each rotation, the root

175 samples were randomly collected from ten plants (to a depth of 15 cm, the diameter of 20

176 cm) per replicate. The root samples were collected from the soil sample and maintained at

177 -80°C for DNA extraction and measurement of AMF root colonization. The root samples

178 were stained with a 5% (w/v) black ink-vinegar solution (Vierheilig et al., 1998), and the

179 AMF root colonization in the soybean roots was measured as described by Giovannetti &

180 Mosse (1980).

182 Analysis of plant P and measurement of soybean grain yield

183 The aboveground plant parts of the ten soybean plants were cut close to the ground at the

Department of Pla..., 11/19/2017 7:49 PM

Comment [15]: So we should not be reading the data from the perspective that 2015 results are a repeated study, correct? Why is it that the P-application was increased to 3X of 2014 P-fertilizer rates? It can be a little misleading to the reader if this is not stated in your Figure descriptions, because otherwise one may assume that the 2015 experiments are just a repeat. But seeing this explains the differences between the two years. Did you test the level of P in the "no fertilization" plots? I am trying to figure out why your 2015 control plants are so much larger than 2014 plants. Also, did you happen to measure equivalent developmental stages? If so, state the V-stage of 2014 and 2015 plants, and include that in your figure legends. Should a 3X increase in fertilizer application then be considered a Phosphorous regime?

184 full bloom stage and were randomly sampled on July 31, 2014, and August 6, 2015. To
185 obtain the soybean grain yield, ten soybean samples per plot in each treatment were
186 collected at maturity stage in early to late October in each year. The aboveground soybean
187 plant biomass and plant length were measured in all plots. The aboveground plant biomass
188 and P uptake by soybeans were determined after the samples were oven dried at 80°C for
189 48 h. The P uptake was determined using the molybdenum yellow colorimetric method
190 (Koenig & Johnson 1942).

191

192 **DNA extraction and nested polymerase chain reaction (PCR)**

193 Total genomic DNA was extracted from 150 mg of fresh root samples using the DNeasy
194 Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions.
195 The genomic DNA pellet was stored at -30°C until use in the nested PCR. The fragments
196 in the fungal small subunit ribosomal DNA (SSU rDNA) was amplified using nested PCR
197 method (Liang et al., 2008). The universal eukaryotic primer NS31 (forward) (5'-
198 TTGGAGGGCAAGTCTGGTGCC-3') (Simon et al. 1992) and the fungus-specific primer
199 AM1 (reverse) (5'-GTTTCCCGTAAGGCGCCGAA-3') (Helgason et al. 1998) were used
200 in the first PCR to amplify the 5' end of the SSU rDNA region for comprehensive taxon
201 sampling for the Glomeromycota (Schüßler et al., 2001a,b). Three subsamples per plot
202 were amplified in a 20-µl reaction mixture containing 2 µl of 10-fold genomic DNA
203 (around 1 to 5 ng/µl), 0.2 µM of each primer and 2 × GoTaq Green Master Mix (Promega,
204 Madison, WI, USA) using a Mastercycler ep Gradient (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany).
205 The PCR condition was composed of initial treatment at 94°C for 1 min; 30 cycles at 94°C
206 for 1 min, 66°C for 1 min and 72°C for 90 s; and a final extension at 72°C for 10 min. The
207 first PCR products were diluted 10-fold and used as templates for the second PCR using the
208 nested primers NS31-GC (forward)
209 (5'-CGCCCGGGGCGCGCCCGGGCGGGGCGGGGCACGGGGGTTGGAGGGCAA
210 GTCTGGTGCC-3') (Kowalchuk et al., 2002) and Glo1 (reverse)
211 (5'-GCCTGCTTTAAACACTCTA-3') (Comejo et al., 2004). Three subsamples per plot
212 were amplified in a 20-µl reaction mixture containing 2 µl of 10-fold 1st PCR amplicons,
213 0.2 µM of each primer and 2 × GoTaq Green Master Mix (Promega, Madison, WI, USA)

214 using a Mastercycler ep Gradient (Eppendorf). The PCR protocol was composed of initial
215 treatment at 95°C for 5 min; 35 cycles at 94°C for 45 s, 52°C for 45 s and 72°C for 1 min;
216 and a final extension at 72°C for 30 min. Gel electrophoresis separated amplification
217 products on 1% agarose gel, and the DNA amplicons was visualized by staining with
218 ethidium bromide.

219

220 **PCR-denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE)**

221 Three independent PCR products were pooled together, and then 20 µL of the nested PCR
222 product was subsequently analyzed by DGGE on a DCode Universal Mutation Detection
223 System (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Piscataway, NJ, USA). Standard DNA markers were
224 created by individually PCR-amplifying DNA extracted from root samples by Higo et al.
225 (2015b). The PCR-DGGE condition was based on the method of Higo et al. (2015b). The
226 gels containing 6.5% acrylamide were poured with a gradient of 35–55% denaturant. All
227 DGGE analyses were performed in a 1 × TAE buffer at a constant temperature of 55°C at
228 50 V for 60 min, followed by 50 V for 960 min. The gels were stained with SYBR Green
229 diluted in 1 × TAE buffer (1:10,000) for 20 min, UV illuminated and digitally
230 photographed (Figs. S1 and S2). Pictures were digitized by Phoretix 1D Pro (Nonlinear
231 Dynamics Ltd., Newcastle upon Tyne, UK). We calculated species richness from these data,
232 expressed by the number of DGGE bands in each root sample. Fromin et al. (2002) and
233 Schneider et al. (2015) mentioned that visual observation of the DGGE gel revealed the
234 presence of multiple bands in all samples (a band represents a distinct taxon in theory). The
235 Shannon H' of each treatment was also calculated as an additional measure of AMF
236 diversity.

237

238 **Quantification of specific root AMF taxa using a quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR)**

239 The abundance of six-selected typical AMF taxa was measured using qPCR with
240 taxon-specific primers and hydrolysis (TaqMan) probes targeting large ribosomal subunit
241 DNA (LSU rDNA) genes. The specific primers were designed to quantify the abundance of
242 six-selected AMF taxa including *Rhizophagus irregularis*, *Funneliformis mosseae*,
243 *Claroideoglomus claroideum*, *Gigaspora margarita*, *Cetranspora pellucida* and

244 *Diversispora celata* in pot experiments and different type of field conditions such as soil
245 quality and agricultural managements (Wagg et al., 2011; Thonar et al., 2012; Jansa et al.,
246 2014). The partial LSU rDNA genes of *R. irregularis*, *F. mosseae*, *C. claroideum*, *G.*
247 *margarita* and *Ce. pellucida* followed the method described by Thonar et al. (2012). We
248 also used the method described by Wagg et al. (2011) to quantify *Diversispora celata*.
249 Each PCR sample contained a total volume of 10 µL that consisted of 2 µL water, 400 nM
250 each of forward primer and reverse primer, 100 nM TaqMan probe and 2 × FastStart
251 TaqMan Probe Master Mix + 2 µL of 10-diluted genomic DNA. The qPCR was carried out
252 using a LightCycler 96 (Roche Diagnostics, Rotkreuz, Switzerland). The qPCR cycling
253 conditions were as follows: initial denaturation at 95°C for 15 min, followed by 45 cycles
254 with denaturation at 95°C for 10 s and annealing at the optimized temperature for each
255 primer/probe combination for 30 s and elongation at 72°C for 1 s.

256

257 **Statistical analysis**

258 We used an arcsine-square root transformation to normalize the data of AMF root
259 colonization in the soybean. The available soil P, growth parameters and AMF diversity
260 data were transformed using a natural logarithm. The abundance of AMF tax was $\log(x+1)$
261 transformed to reduce heteroscedasticity in the data. Differences between means where
262 analysis of variance (ANOVA) was significant were assessed using Tukey's honestly
263 significant difference (HSD) test (P -values < 0.05) using the *multcomp* package in R 3.3.2
264 (<https://cran.r-project.org/>). Generalized linear model (GLM) was used to determine the
265 effects of P-application and cover crop and their interactions on each parameter in this
266 study of split plot design in R 3.3.2. Data for the significance of differences between
267 P-application treatments among cover crop systems were assessed using Student's t-test.

268 A permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) was performed
269 using the *vegan* package in R to investigate the effect of P-application and cover crop
270 systems on AMF community structure (Hammer et al., 2001). To analyze the relationship
271 of cover cropping and P-application with respect to AMF community structures (AMF
272 communities), the redundancy analysis (RDA) (gradient length < 4) was performed as the
273 multivariate analysis using the *vegan* package in R 3.3.2. The presence/absence data matrix

274 was composed of the abundance of DGGE bands and cover crop management or
275 P-application. The environmental variable of cover cropping and P-application was coded
276 as a dummy variable (0 and 1). Goodness-of-fit statistics (R^2) of measured factors fitted to
277 the RDA ordination of the AMF community were calculated using the envfit function in
278 the **vegan** package with P -values based on 999 permutations (Oksanen, 2017). To
279 investigate if AMF community structure differed significantly between P-application or
280 cover crop management, the PERMANOVA was performed with 999 permutations using
281 the adonis function in the **vegan** package in R.

282 The network graph included the correlation coefficients between soybean growth
283 performance and AMF parameters using the **igraph** package in R, and then the network
284 graph was described using Cytoscape for visualizing complex networks
285 (www.cytoscape.org/). In this model, the AMF taxa abundance was represented by the
286 scores of the first component of the PCA in this study. Pearson's correlation coefficient (r)
287 was expressed as the indication of the strength of the connections.

289 Results

290 Available soil P and AMF root colonization

291 In this study, the P-application in 2014 did not change the available soil P regardless of
292 cover crop systems, however the P-application significantly increased the available soil P
293 in all of the cover crop systems compared with no P-application plots (Fig. 2A).

294 Overall, the AMF root colonization in the soybean regardless of P-application and
295 cover crop systems was never greater than 20% (Fig 2B). In the no P-application plots,
296 cover cropping affected the AMF root colonization at the full bloom stage in 2014 and
297 2015 (Fig. 2B). Contrary to the results of the no P-application plots, the AMF root
298 colonization in the red clover ($P < 0.01$) or oilseed rape ($P < 0.05$), and fallow ($P < 0.05$) as
299 a result of the P-application significantly increased compared to the no P-application except
300 for the wheat in 2015.

302 Plant growth, P uptake and grain yield

303 The aboveground plant biomass in soybeans at the full bloom stage varied among cover

Department of Pla..., 11/19/2017 7:17 PM

Comment [16]: In 2015?

Department of Pla..., 11/19/2017 7:17 PM

Comment [17]: Do you mean that in 2015 you see a sig. increase in available soil P whereas you did not see this for 2014?

Department of Pla..., 11/19/2017 7:35 PM

Comment [18]: This sentence is confusing.

Department of Pla..., 11/19/2017 7:55 PM

Comment [19]: State this developmental stage in your materials and methods and also state in your figure description for Figure 3.

304 crop systems in the field trial (Fig. 3A). In the 2015, but not 2014, P-application plots, the
305 aboveground plant biomass of soybeans was more than double than those of the no
306 P-application plots. The aboveground biomass for the P- and no P-application plots were
307 significantly different for red clover (2015), oilseed rape (2014 and 2015), and fallow
308 (2014 and 2015).

309 Our results as shown in Fig. 3B revealed that cover cropping did not have a significant
310 effect on the plant P uptake of soybeans regardless of the P-application plots according to
311 Tukey's test and GLM for either year. However, the plant P uptake in soybeans was
312 significantly influenced by the P-application according to GLM in 2014 and 2015.
313 Moreover, there was a significant difference in the plant P uptake between the P- and no
314 P-application plots for fallow in 2014 and 2015.

315 We found that the grain yield in soybeans was influenced by the cover crop systems
316 according to GLM only in 2014, whereas the P-application had a significant effect on the
317 grain yield of soybean in both 2014 and 2015 (Fig. 3C). The soybean grain yields at the
318 P-application plots in the experiment were more than double in both 2014 and 2015. We
319 also found that there were significant differences in the grain yield between the P- and no
320 P-application plots for red clover (2014 and 2015) and oilseed rape (2015) managements.

321

322 Diversity of AMF communities and taxa abundance

323 The species richness and the diversity index (H') in soybeans at the full bloom stage were
324 significantly influenced by P-application in both 2014 and 2015 according to GLM (Fig.
325 4A and B). Additionally, the species richness and H' in soybeans were significantly
326 influenced by cover crop management according to GLM (2014 and 2015). The H' for the
327 P- and no P-application plots were significantly different for wheat (2014 and 2015), red
328 clover (2014) and fallow (2015). Likewise, there were significant differences in the species
329 richness between the P- and no P-application plots for wheat (2014 and 2015), red clover
330 (2014 and 2015) and fallow (2015). A similar tendency in the diversity of AMF
331 communities with regard to P-application and cover cropping was observed between 2014
332 and 2015.

333

Department of Pla..., 11/19/2017 7:53 PM

Comment [20]: Even the controls for 2015 are much larger than the 2014 plants. Were plants measured at equivalent developmental times? Or would you speculate that the height differences in 2015 would be due to larger amounts of residual P and N in the soil from the previous year?

Department of Pla..., 11/19/2017 8:05 PM

Comment [21]: Why use these two measures of species diversity? Please clarify this. How does this enrich the readers understanding? They seem to be showing the same trends and interactions. Pick one, its otherwise seemingly redundant without knowing why you decided to report these two measures.

Department of Pla..., 11/19/2017 8:06 PM

Comment [22]: however you should state that the measures for 2015 are higher than in 2014.

Abundance of six-selected AMF taxa in the roots of soybean

Our results showed that the six-selected AMF taxa were not influenced by cover cropping in 2014 (Table 1). However, the P-application had a significant effect on the abundance of all six-selected AMF taxa in 2014. The abundance of five AMF taxa in the P-application plots except for *Gigaspora margarita* tended to be higher than those of the no P-application plots regardless of the cover crop systems.

In 2015, the abundance of *R. irregularis* and *Cetraspora pellucida* in the P-application plots significantly decreased compared with that in the no P-application plots for wheat, red clover, and oilseed rape. Additionally, the abundance of *R. irregularis* and *C. pellucida* was influenced by P-application according to GLM. Also, the abundance of *C. pellucida* was influenced by cover cropping according to GLM. However, the abundance of other AMF taxa (*Claroideoglomus claroideum*, *Funneliformis mosseae*, and *Diversispora celata*) was not affected by P-application and cover cropping according to GLM. No similar tendency in the abundance of the six-selected AMF taxa with regard to P-application and cover cropping was observed between 2014 and 2015.

Relationships among AMF communities, cover cropping, and P-application

We used an RDA to identify the relationships among AMF communities in soybean roots with cover crop management and P-application (Fig 5). In 2014 and 2015, the RDA trends clearly showed that the P-application noticeably altered the AMF community structure in the soybean roots. In 2014 as shown in Fig. 5, the AMF communities in the no P-application plots were in the second (wheat and red clover) and third (oilseed rape and fallow) quadrants, while most of the AMF communities in the P-application plots were in the first (wheat, red clover and fallow) and fourth (oilseed rape) quadrants. In the same year, the ordination diagram indicates that oilseed rape ($R^2 = 0.756$, $P = 0.001$) contributed significantly to the variation in AMF root communities (Fig. 5). However, wheat ($R^2 = 0.095$, $P = 0.349$), red clover ($R^2 = 0.138$, $P = 0.191$) and fallow ($R^2 = 0.040$, $P = 0.630$) did not contribute to the variation in the AMF root communities. Additionally, the P-application treatment ($R^2 = 0.801$, $P = 0.001$) and no P-application treatment ($R^2 = 0.801$, $P = 0.001$) contributed to the variation in the AMF root communities. In 2015, the AMF

communities in the no P-application plots were in the first (red clover) and fourth (wheat, oilseed rape and fallow) quadrants, while most of the AMF communities in the P-application plots were in the second (red clover, some of wheat, oilseed and fallow) and third (most of wheat, oilseed and fallow) quadrants. The ordination diagram indicates that red clover ($R^2 = 0.704$, $P = 0.001$) contributed significantly to the variation in the AMF root communities (Fig 5). However, wheat ($R^2 = 0.154$, $P = 0.181$), oilseed rape ($R^2 = 0.129$, $P = 0.255$) and fallow ($R^2 = 0.173$, $P = 0.141$) did not contribute to the variation in the AMF root communities. Furthermore, the P-application treatment ($R^2 = 0.743$, $P = 0.001$) and no P-application treatment ($R^2 = 0.743$, $P = 0.001$) contributed to the variation in the AMF root communities. A PERMANOVA was also carried out to examine the relative importance of each agricultural management to the AMF root communities. The PERMANOVA showed that P-application significantly affected the AMF root community structure (2014: $F = 4.263$, $P = 0.001$, 2015: $F = 4.226$, $P = 0.001$), but cover crop management did not impact the AMF root communities (2014: $F = 1.193$, $P = 0.189$, 2015: $F = 1.669$, $P = 0.057$).

379

380 **Response of soybean growth to AMF parameters**

In the soybean growth response, the relationships between available soil P and soybean growth performance was not linear in the cropping system with no P-application (Fig. 6A-C). The difference in the soybean growth performance was small with no P-application. The relationships between available soil P and soybean growth performance such as plant biomass ($r = 0.874$), plant P uptake ($r = 0.821$) and grain yield ($r = 0.801$) was significantly linear in the cropping system with P-application. With the AMF contributions to soybean growth performance, the relationships between AMF root colonization and soybean growth was not linear in the cropping system with and without P-application (Fig. 6D-F). The P-application significantly improved the linear relationships between the diversity index or AMF species abundance and soybean growth performance. The relationships between the diversity index and soybean growth performance such as plant biomass ($r = 0.969$), plant P uptake ($r = 0.973$), grain yield ($r = 0.920$) was positively correlated in the cropping system with P-application (Fig. 6G-I). The relationships between AMF species abundance and

394 soybean growth performance such as plant biomass ($r = 0.967$), plant P uptake ($r = 0.967$)
395 and grain yield ($r = 0.928$) was positively correlated in the cropping system with
396 P-application (Fig. 6J-L).

397 We also used a network analysis to identify the relationships between AMF
398 parameters in soybean roots and soybean growth in this study (Fig. 7). The results showed
399 the same tendency with the linear analysis in the two-year experiment. The relationships
400 between the diversity index or AMF species richness and available soil P were related to
401 the soybean growth performance such as plant P uptake, plant biomass, and grain yield.
402 However, each AMF taxa abundance and AMF root colonization were not related to the
403 soybean growth responses, especially grain yield, throughout the experiment.

405 Discussion

406 In the present study, we investigated whether P-application and cover cropping on a
407 P-depleted soil can impact the AMF communities colonizing soybean roots and soybean
408 growth performance. Our results meet the objectives of this study and support the
409 hypotheses examined.

411 Impact of P-application and cover cropping on root colonization

412 It is well known that cultivation of preceding crops or fallow as well as P-application
413 impacts AMF root colonization of subsequent crops (Karasawa et al., 2002; Karasawa &
414 Takebe, 2012; Isobe et al., 2014). In this study, the wheat and red clover cropping with no
415 P-application significantly increased AMF root colonization of subsequent soybean, while
416 fallow with no P-application decreased the AMF root colonization in agreement with
417 previous studies (Karasawa et al., 2002; Karasawa & Takebe, 2012; Isobe et al., 2014).
418 However, no differences were observed in AMF root colonization among cover crop
419 systems with P-application (Fig. 2B). In general, AMF root colonization is inhibited under
420 high P-application (Kahiluoto et al., 2001; Balzergue et al., 2011). Also, plants can fail to
421 react to AMF when available soil P is extremely low (Ryan et al., 2002). Miranda & Harris
422 (1994) reported that deficiency of available soil P inhibited AMF root colonization. On the
423 contrary, Gosling et al. (2013) indicated that there was no significant decrease in AMF

Department of Pla..., 11/19/2017 8:14 PM

Comment [23]: Why is identifying the relationships important?

Department of Pla..., 11/19/2017 8:16 PM

Comment [24]: State the figure this conclusion is coming from.

soybean root colonization under high P availability in soil. Plants can control AMF root colonization depending on their nutritional status (Smith & Read, 2008) as well as under high soil P conditions. Bolan et al. (1984) also reported that a moderate amount of P-application in P-limited soils might increase mycorrhization and benefits such as P availability for crop growth performance. Similarly, AMF root colonization among almost all the cover crop systems in the P-application plots was increased by the P-application. For these results, one possible reason for the result of slightly higher AMF colonization may be that the indigenous AMF population in the field may be responsive to P-application to promote mycorrhization in the five-year P-unfertilized condition.

433

Impact of P-application and cover cropping on the diversity of root AMF communities

Surprisingly, our results indicated that the diversity of AMF communities in soybeans, regardless of cover crop management, tended to increase as a result of P-application (Fig. 4). Also, the shift of AMF communities were obvious from the results of RDA trends that showed that the P-application significantly changed the AMF community structure in the soybean roots rather than the cover crop systems (Fig. 5). One possible explanation for this result was that the activity of AMF could have been inhibited due to soil P depletion of the P-unfertilized five-year continuous crop rotational system. However, increasing the available soil P by increasing the amount of P fertilizer could somewhat activate hyphal elongation or AMF activity to establish mycorrhization. This could be one reason why P-application increased the AMF diversity of soybean crops. Furthermore, some specific P-unresponsive AMF species that may not be P-responsive could have remained inactive when the available soil P was depleted due to the five-year cover crop rotational system without P fertilizer. Wakelin et al. (2012) and Maček et al. (2011) implied that abiotic selective pressures such as soil fertility determine the AMF community structure. The observed increase in AMF diversity as a result of P-application can be linked to the degree of selective pressure for mycorrhization in soybean roots. Some P-unresponsive taxa may have been dominant in the experimental field under the cover crop rotational system. Increasing the available soil P can decrease the selective pressure, and this could increase

Leonela Carriedo 11/19/2017 8:59 PM

Comment [25]: Is how you would explain the increased AMF between the two years, being that 2015 had 3X more P than 2014?

the opportunity for P-responsive species to establish soybean roots.

Previous studies have reported that P-application had negative impacts on the diversity of AMF community in roots and soils. Islam et al. (2011) and Lin et al. (2012) found that chemical fertilizers decreased AMF diversity. Moreover, Alguacil et al. (2010) indicated that moderate amounts of P-application could even affect AMF community dynamics. Gosling et al. (2013) also reported that the AMF community diversity in soybean roots decreased due to the high availability of soil P. In addition, plants can directly gain enough nutrient from the soil in a nutrient-rich environment without the benefit from AMF. As a result, the diversity of AMF communities can also decrease (Liu et al., 2015). Likewise, Ryan et al. (2005) suggested that fertilization can change the mycorrhizal symbiosis performance, thereby making soil microbial partners costly and parasitic. Furthermore, we found that cover cropping did not impact the AMF root communities in soybeans from the result of PERMANOVA (Fig. 5). Previous studies reported that cover cropping might not affect AMF root communities in subsequent crops in rotations (Higo et al., 2014; Turrini et al., 2016). Turrini et al. (2016) and Higo et al. (2017) indicated that a shift in indigenous AMF communities in the subsequent maize roots was independent of cover crop identity and diversity. Higo et al. (2014) also found that cover crop rotations did not impact AMF communities in the roots of subsequent soybean. However, rotation year affected the AMF communities in soybean roots suggesting that climate or other environmental conditions were more imperative than cover crop management. Therefore, the P-application may have influences on AMF communities in soybean roots, suggesting that fertilizer application or other factors such as soil chemical properties and other environmental factors can be more important than cover cropping.

Impact of P-application and cover cropping on the abundance of root AMF taxa

We found that the abundance of *R. irregularis* was significantly affected by P-application, which meant P-application might be a stronger determinant than cover cropping that impacts the abundance of this AMF taxa (Table 1). The fluctuation in abundance of AMF taxa as a result of P-application could link to the preference of fertilization or inhabiting soil conditions among AMF in soybean roots. Wakelin et al. (2012) found that *R.*

Leonela Carriedo 11/19/2017 8:59 PM

Comment [26]: I think that it would be good to get an understanding of how previous studies differ from the current one (i.e. growth chamber, greenhouse field experiments?)

484 *irregularis* decreased as a component of the AMF communities with increasing available
485 soil P, in agreement with our study. Johnson (1993) reported that AMF have different
486 niches and are well known to prefer to inhabit different soils. Moreover, fertilization may
487 directly favor species that grow better in enriched soils (Dumbrell et al., 2010). It is likely
488 that the *R. irregularis* may prefer low-P soil conditions due to the continuous cover crop
489 rotational system.

490

491 **Impact of P-application and cover cropping on the soybean performance**

492 In the results of network analysis and growth response of soybean to AMF parameters, we
493 found that the aboveground plant P and biomass of soybeans during the flowering stage
494 and the grain yield of soybeans were positively correlated with the AMF diversity in the
495 roots of soybeans with increasing of P-application (Figs. 6 and 7). Similarly, Jansa et al.
496 (2008) reported that the growth of *Allium porrum* with three inoculated AMF species
497 (*Funneliformis mosseae*, *Claroideoglomus claroideum*, and *Rhizophagus irregularis*) was
498 enhanced compared to that of *Allium porrum* when each AMF species was
499 mono-inoculated. Gosling et al. (2016) also reported that increased benefit from high AMF
500 diversity on the growth of *Allium cepa* was found compared to mono-inoculated. However
501 increasing the diversity more than three species (*C. caledonium*, *F. mosseae*, and *R.*
502 *irregularis*) did not result in a higher shoot dry weight or P concentration in *Allium cepa*.

503 Furthermore, cover crop incorporation into soils can provide carbon (C) source, which
504 may impact soil microbial activities and nutritional function, and the introduction of cover
505 crops can increase the amount of C, such as organic matter, to serve as an energy source for
506 biological activity (Jokela et al., 2009). In this study, our cover crop systems did not
507 improve growth performance such as plant biomass and P uptake of soybean at the full
508 bloom stage, whereas the P-application enhanced the growth and yield of soybean (Figs. 3
509 and 7). There is one possible reason why cover cropping did not improve soybean growth
510 performance. That may be due to the continuous nutritional removal in the 5-year
511 P-unfertilized crop rotational system, because the top dry matters of cover crops were not
512 incorporated into the soil. Therefore, further investigation into the relationships among
513 AMF diversity, P-application and cover cropping on soybean growth performance would

514 be required to gain more benefit from AMF in cover crop rotational systems.

515

516 **Conclusions**

517 We found that the P-application improved AMF root colonization of soybean in the
518 five-year P-unfertilized continuous crop rotational system. Also, P-application altered the
519 diversity and communities of AMF in soybean roots at flowering seasons. Different AMF
520 community structures may relate to soybean productivity and P-use efficiency in cover
521 crop rotational systems. On the contrary, AMF root colonization in the soybean was not
522 found to link to the soybean growth performance, and the P-application into the P-limited
523 soil increased the AMF root colonization in the soybean, but may not have been enough to
524 increase the benefits of AMF on soybean growth. Other more important factors such as soil
525 microbial activities and interactions of other soil microbial communities rather than
526 P-application can also be involved to improve soybean performance in the P-limited crop
527 rotations. Thus, we still need to investigate how to improve agronomic benefits from AMF
528 taxa associated with soybean plants, which will give useful information on appropriate P
529 management and cover crop choices in cover crop rotational systems.

530

531 **References**

- 532 Alguacil MM, Lozano Z, Campoy MJ, Roldán A. 2010. Phosphorus fertilisation
533 management modifies the biodiversity of AM fungi in a tropical savanna forage
534 system. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* **42**(7):1114–1122
- 535 Alguacil MM, Lumini E, Roldán A, Salinas-García JR, Bonfante P, Bianciotto V. 2008.
536 The
537 impact of tillage practices on arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal diversity in subtropical
538 crops. *Ecological Application* **18**(2):527–36
- 539 Bai Z, Li H, Yang X, Zhou B, Shi X, Wang B, Li D, Shen J, Chen Q, Qin W, Oenema O,
540 Zhang F. 2013. The critical soil P levels for crop yield, soil fertility and environmental
541 safety in different soil types. *Plant and Soil* **372**(1-2):27–37
- 542 Balzergue C, Puech-Pagès V, Bécard G, Rochange SF. 2011. The regulation of arbuscular
543 mycorrhizal symbiosis by phosphate in pea involves early and systemic signalling

events. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **62**(3):1049–1060

Bolan NS, Robson AD, Barrow NJ. 1984. Increasing phosphorus supply can increase the infection of plant-roots by vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* **16**(4):419–420

Brunelle T, Dumas P, Souty F, Dorin B, Nadaud F. 2015. Evaluating the impact of rising fertilizer prices on crop yields. *Agricultural Economics* **46**(5):653–666

Bucher M. 2007. Functional biology of plant phosphate uptake at root and mycorrhiza interfaces. *New Phytologist* **173**(1):11–26

Cornejo P, Azcón-Aguilar C, Miguel Barea J, Ferrol N. 2004. Temporal temperature gradient gel electrophoresis (TTGE) as a tool for the characterization of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *FEMS Microbiology Letters* **241**(2):265–270

Dumbrell AJ, Nelson M, Helgason T, Dytham C, Fitter AH. 2010. Relative roles of niche and neutral processes in structuring a soil microbial community. *ISME Journal* **4**:337–345

Fromin N, Hamelin J, Tarnawski S, Roesti D, Jourdain-Miserez K, Forestier N, Teyssier-Cuvelle S, Gillet F, Aragno M, Rossi P. 2002. Statistical analysis of denaturing gel electrophoresis (DGE) fingerprinting patterns. *Environmental Microbiology* **4**(11):634–643

Gilbert GA, Knight JD, Vance CP, Allan DL. 2000. Proteoid root development of phosphorus deficient lupin is mimicked by auxin and phosphonate. *Annals of Botany* **85**(6):921–928

Giovannetti M, Mosse B. 1980. An evaluation of techniques for measuring vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal infection in roots. *New Phytologist* **84**(3):489–500

Gosling P, Hodge A, Goodlass G, Bending GD. 2006. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and organic farming. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment* **113**(1-4):17–35

Gosling P, Mead A, Proctor M, Hammond JP, Bending GD. 2013. Contrasting arbuscular mycorrhizal communities colonizing different host plants show a similar response to a soil phosphorus concentration gradient. *New Phytologist* **198**(2):546–556

Gosling P, Jones J, Bending GD. 2016. Evidence for functional redundancy in arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and implications for agroecosystem management. *Mycorrhiza*

574 **26**(1):77–83

575 Hammer Ø, Harper DAT, Ryan PD. 2001. PAST-Palaeontological statistics software

576 package for education and data analysis. *Palaeontologia Electronica* **4**(1):1–9

577 Hart MM, Forsythe JA. 2012. Using arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi to improve the nutrient

578 quality of crops; nutritional benefits in addition to phosphorus. *Scientia Horticulturae*

579 **148**:206–214

580 Helgason T, Daniell TJ, Husband R, Fitter AH, Young JPW. 1998. Ploughing up the

581 wood-wide web? *Nature* **394**:431

582 Higo M, Isobe K, Drijber RA, Kondo K, Yamaguchi M, Takeyama S, Suzuki Y, Nijima D,

583 Matsuda Y, Ishii R, Torigoe Y. 2014. Impact of a 5-year winter cover crop rotational

584 system on the molecular diversity of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi colonizing roots of

585 subsequent soybean. *Biology and Fertility of Soils* **50**(6):913–926

586 Higo M, Isobe K, Kang DJ, Ujiie K, Drijber RA, Ishii R. 2010. Inoculation with arbuscular

587 mycorrhizal fungi or crop rotation with mycorrhizal plants improves the growth of

588 maize in limed acid sulfate soil. *Plant Production Science* **13**(1):74–79

589 Higo M, Isobe K, Kondo T, Yamaguchi M, Takeyama S, Drijber RA, Torigoe Y. 2015a.

590 Temporal variation of the molecular diversity of arbuscular mycorrhizal communities

591 in three different winter cover crop rotational systems. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*

592 **51**(1):21–32

593 Higo M, Isobe K, Matsuda Y, Ichida M, Torigoe Y. 2015b. Influence of sowing season and

594 host crop identity on the community structure of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi

595 colonizing roots of two different gramineous and leguminous crop species. *Advances*

596 *in Microbiology* **5**(2):107–116

597 Higo M, Isobe K, Miyazawa Y, Matsuda Y, Drijber RA, Torigoe Y. 2016. Molecular

598 diversity and distribution of indigenous arbuscular mycorrhizal communities

599 colonizing roots of two different winter cover crops in response to their root

600 proliferation. *Journal of Microbiology* **54**(2):86–97

601 Higo M, Isobe K, Yamaguchi M, Drijber RA, Ishii R. 2013. Diversity and vertical

602 distribution of indigenous arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi under two soybean rotational

603 systems. *Biology and Fertility of Soils* **49**(8):1085–1096

604 Higo, M, Takahashi Y, Gunji K, Isobe K. 2017. How are arbuscular mycorrhizal
605 associations related to maize growth performance during short-term cover crop
606 rotation?". *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* DOI: 10.1002/jsfa.8606

607 Islam MR, Chauhan PS, Kim Y, Kim M, Sa T. 2011. Community level functional diversity
608 and enzyme activities in paddy soils under different long term fertilizer management
609 practices. *Biology and Fertility of Soils* **47**(5):599–604

610 Isobe K, Higo M, Kondo T, Sato N, Takeyama S, Torigoe Y. 2014. Effect of winter crop
611 species on arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal colonization and subsequent soybean yields.
612 *Plant Production Science* **17**(3):260–267

613 Jansa J, Erb A, Oberholzer HR, Šmilauer P, Egli S. 2014. Soil and geography are more
614 important determinants of indigenous arbuscular mycorrhizal communities than
615 management practices in Swiss agricultural soils. *Molecular Ecology* **23**(8):2118–
616 2135

617 Jansa J, Smith FA, Smith SE. 2008. Are there benefits of simultaneous root colonization by
618 different arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi?. *New Phytologist* **177**(3):779–789

619 Johnson NC. 1993. Can fertilization of soil select less mutualistic mycorrhizae? *Ecological*
620 *Application* **3**(4): 749–757

621 Jokela WE, Grabber JH, Karlen DL, Balser TC, Palmquist DE. 2009. Cover crop and liquid
622 manure effects on soil quality indicators in a corn silage system. *Agronomy Journal*
623 **101**(4):727–737

624 Kahiluoto H, Ketoja E, Vestberg M, Saarela I. 2001. Promotion of AM utilization through
625 reduced P fertilization 2. Field studies. *Plant and Soil* **231**(1):65–79

626 Kahiluoto H, Ketoja E, Vestberg M. 2009. Contribution of arbuscular mycorrhiza to soil
627 quality in contrasting cropping systems. *Agriculture Ecosystems and Environment*
628 **134**(1-2):36–45

629 Kahiluoto H, Ketoja E, Vestberg M. 2012. Plant-available P supply is not the main factor
630 determining the benefit from arbuscular mycorrhiza to crop P nutrition and growth in
631 contrasting cropping systems. *Plant and Soil* **350**(1):85–98

632 Karasawa T, Takahashi S. 2015. Introduction of various cover crop species to improve soil
633 biological P parameters and P uptake of the following crops. *Nutrient Cycling in*

634 *Agroecosystems* **103**(1):15–28

635 Karasawa T, Takebe M. 2012. Temporal or spatial arrangements of cover crops to promote
 636 arbuscular mycorrhizal colonization and P uptake of upland crops grown after
 637 nonmycorrhizal crops. *Plant and Soil* **353**(1-2):355–366

638 Karasawa T, Kasahara Y, Takebe M. 2002. Differences in growth responses of maize to
 639 preceding cropping caused by fluctuation in the population of indigenous arbuscular
 640 mycorrhizal fungi. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* **34**(6):851–857

641 Koenig R, Johnson C. 1942. Colorimetric determination of phosphorus in biological
 642 material. *Industrial and Engineering Chemistry, Analytical Edition* **14**(2):155–156

643 Kowalchuk GA, de Souza FA, van Veen JA. 2002 Community analysis of arbuscular
 644 mycorrhizal fungi associated with *Ammophila arenaria* in Dutch coastal sand dunes.
 645 *Molecular Ecology* **11**(3):571–581

646 Liang ZB, Drijber RA, Lee DJ, Dwiekat IM, Harris SD, Wedin DA. 2008. A
 647 DGGE-cloning method to characterize arbuscular mycorrhizal community structure in
 648 soil. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* **4**:956–966

649 Lin X, Feng Y, Zhang H, Chen R, Wang J, Zhang J, Chu H. 2012. Long-term balanced
 650 fertilization decreases arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal diversity in an arable soil in
 651 north China revealed by 454 pyrosequencing. *Environmental Science and Technology*
 652 **46**(11):5764–5771

653 Liu Y, Johnson NC, Mao L, Shi G, Jiang S, Ma X, Du G, An L, Feng H. 2015.
 654 Phylogenetic structure of arbuscular mycorrhizal community shifts in response to
 655 increasing soil fertility. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* **89**:196–205

656 Lynch JP. 2007. Roots of the second green revolution. *Australian Journal of Botany*
 657 **55**(5):493–512

658 Maček I, Dumbrell AJ, Nelson M, Fitter AH, Vodnik D, Helgason T. 2011. Local
 659 adaptation to soil hypoxia determines the structure of an arbuscular mycorrhizal
 660 fungal community in roots from natural CO₂ springs. *Applied Environmental*
 661 *Microbiology* **77**(14):4770–4777

662 Maherali H, Klironomos JN. 2007. Influence of phylogeny on fungal community assembly
 663 and ecosystem functioning. *Science* **316**(5832):1746–1748

664 Mew MC. 2016. Phosphate rock costs, prices and resources interaction. *Science of The*
665 *Total Environment* **542**(Part B):1008–1012

666 Miranda JD, Harris PJ. 1994. Effects of soil phosphorus on spore germination and hyphal
667 growth of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *New Phytologist* **128**(1):103–108

668 Mishima S, Itahashi S, Kimura R, Inoue, T. 2003. Trends of phosphate fertilizer demand
669 and phosphate balance in farmland soils in Japan. *Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*
670 **49**(1):39–45

671 Oksanen, J. 2017. Multivariate analysis of ecological communities in R: vegan tutorial.
672 [URL:http://cran.r-project.org](http://cran.r-project.org)

673 Pearse SJ, Veneklaas EJ, Cawthray G, Bolland MDA, Lambers H. 2007. Carboxylate
674 composition of root exudates does not relate consistently to a crop species' ability to
675 use phosphorus from aluminium, iron or calcium phosphate sources. *New Phytologist*
676 **173**(1):181–190

677 Powell JR, Parrent JL, Hart MM, Klironomos JN, Rillig M, Maherali H. 2009.
678 Phylogenetic trait conservatism and the evolution of functional trade-offs in
679 arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*
680 **276**:4237–4245

681 Ryan MH, Norton RM, Kirkegaard JA, McCormick KM, Knights SE, Angus JF. 2002.
682 Increasing mycorrhizal colonization does not improve growth and nutrition of wheat
683 on Vertosols in south-eastern Australia. *Crop Pasture Science* **53**(10):1173–1181

684 Ryan MH, Herwaarden AF van, Angus JF, Kirkegaard JA. 2005. Reduced growth of
685 autumn-sown wheat in a low-P soil is associated with high colonisation by arbuscular
686 mycorrhizal fungi. *Plant and Soil* **270**(1):275–86

687 Schneider KD, Lynch DH, Dunfield K, Khosla K, Jansa J, Voroney RP. 2015. Farm system
688 management affects community structure of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Applied*
689 *Soil Ecology* **96**:192–200

690 Schüßler A, Gehrig H, Schwarzott D, Walker C. 2001a. Analysis of partial *Glomales* SSU
691 rRNA gene sequences: implications for primer design and phylogeny. *Mycological*
692 *Research* **105**(1):5–15

693 Schüßler A, Schwarzott D, Walker C. 2001b. A new fungal phylum, the *Glomeromycota*:

694 phylogeny and evolution. *Mycological Research* **105**(12):1413–1421

695 Simon L, Lalonde M, Bruns TD. 1992. Specific amplification of 18S fungal ribosomal

696 genes from vesicular-arbuscular endomycorrhizal fungi colonizing roots. *Applied and*

697 *Environmental Microbiology* **58**(1):291–295

698 Smith SE, Read DJ. 2008. Arbuscular mycorrhizae. In Smith SE, Read DJ (Eds),

699 Mycorrhizal symbiosis 3rd Edition. Academic Press, London, pp.13–187

700 Smith SE, Smith FA, Jakobsen I. 2003. Mycorrhizal fungi can dominate phosphate supply

701 to plants irrespective of growth responses. *Plant Physiology* **133**(1):16–20

702 Thonar C, Erb A, Jansa, J. 2012. Real-time PCR to quantify composition of arbuscular

703 mycorrhizal fungal communities-marker design, verification, calibration and field

704 validation. *Molecular Ecology Resources* **12**(2):219–232

705 Truog E. 1930. The determination of the readily available phosphorus of soils. *Journal of*

706 *The American Society of Agronomy* **22**:874–882

707 Turrini A, Sbrana C, Avio L, Njeru EM, Bocci G, Bàrberi P, and Giovannetti M. 2016.

708 Changes in the composition of native root arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal communities

709 during a short-term cover crop-maize succession. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*

710 **52**(5):643–653

711 van der Heijden MGA, Klironomos JN, Ursic M, Moutoglou P, Streitwolf-Engel R, Boller T,

712 Wiemken A, Sanders IR. 1998. Mycorrhizal fungal diversity determines plant

713 biodiversity, ecosystem variability and productivity. *Nature* **396**(5):72–75

714 Verbruggen E, van der Heijden MGA, Rillig MC, Kiers ET. 2013. Mycorrhizal fungal

715 establishment in agricultural soils: factors determining inoculation success. *New*

716 *Phytologist* **197**(4):1104–1109

717 Verbruggen E, Kiers ET. 2010. Evolutionary ecology of mycorrhizal functional diversity in

718 agricultural systems. *Evolutionary Applications* **3**(5-6):547–560

719 Vierheilig H, Coughlan AP, Wyss U, Piché Y. 1998. Ink and vinegar, a simple staining

720 technique for arbuscular-mycorrhizal fungi. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*

721 **64**(12):5004–5007

722 Wagg C, Jansa J, Schmid B, van der Heijden MGA. 2011. Belowground biodiversity

723 effects of plant symbionts support aboveground productivity. *Ecological Letters*

724 **14**(10):1001–1009
725 Wakelin S, Mander C, Gerard E, Jansa J, Erb A, Young S, Condron L, O’Callaghan M.
726 2012. Response of soil microbial communities to contrasted histories of phosphorus
727 fertilisation in pastures. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* **61**:40–48
728 Zhang F, Hamel C, Kianmehr H, Smith DL. 1995. Root-zone temperature and soybean
729 [*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.] vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizae: development and
730 interactions with the nitrogen fixing symbiosis. *Environmental Experimental Botany*
731 **35**(3):287–298

Page 2: [1] Comment [6] Department of Plant Biology 11/19/17 5:51 PM

Has anyone quantified how much of the soil P ends up becoming less available for the sake of giving context? I only say this because this tends to be a frequent factoid that I come across in the literature, but I am sure that this also has a lot to do with soil composition/weather/etc....

Page 2: [2] Comment [7] Department of Plant Biology 11/19/17 5:50 PM

Increasing soil P concentrations does improve crop yield, but I understand what you are trying to say here, I think that you can restructure this sentence by saying something like: "Additionally, increased application of synthetic fertilizers can lead to less active ... "

Page 2: [3] Comment [9] Department of Plant Biology 11/19/17 5:57 PM

It would be good to add some insight on when we expect to reach peak P production - please see the following paper:
<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S095937800800099X>

Page 2: [4] Comment [10] Department of Plant Biology 11/19/17 5:53 PM

Instead of placing a percentage, can you instead provide the 1983 production value? (Also adjusted for inflation to reflect current value).