

Oligotrophic wetland sediments susceptible to shifts in microbiomes and mercury cycling with dissolved organic matter addition (#23590)

1

First submission

Editor guidance

Please submit by **17 Feb 2018** for the benefit of the authors (and your \$200 publishing discount).



Structure and Criteria

Please read the 'Structure and Criteria' page for general guidance.



Custom checks

Make sure you include the custom checks shown below, in your review.



Raw data check

Review the raw data. Download from the location [described by the author](#).



Image check

Check that figures and images have not been inappropriately manipulated.

Privacy reminder: If uploading an annotated PDF, remove identifiable information to remain anonymous.

Files

Download and review all files from the [materials page](#).

4 Figure file(s)

3 Table file(s)

1 Other file(s)

! Custom checks

DNA data checks



Have you checked the authors [data deposition statement](#)?



Can you access the deposited data?



Has the data been deposited correctly?




Is the deposition information noted in the manuscript?



Structure your review

The review form is divided into 5 sections.
Please consider these when composing your review:

1. BASIC REPORTING
2. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN
3. VALIDITY OF THE FINDINGS
4. General comments
5. Confidential notes to the editor






 You can also annotate this PDF and upload it as part of your review

When ready [submit online](#).





Editorial Criteria

Use these criteria points to structure your review. The full detailed editorial criteria is on your [guidance page](#).





BASIC REPORTING

-  Clear, unambiguous, professional English language used throughout.
-  Intro & background to show context. Literature well referenced & relevant.
-  Structure conforms to [PeerJ standards](#), discipline norm, or improved for clarity.
-  Figures are relevant, high quality, well labelled & described.
-  Raw data supplied (see [PeerJ policy](#)).

EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

-  Original primary research within [Scope of the journal](#).
-  Research question well defined, relevant & meaningful. It is stated how the research fills an identified knowledge gap.
-  Rigorous investigation performed to a high technical & ethical standard.
-  Methods described with sufficient detail & information to replicate.

VALIDITY OF THE FINDINGS

-  Impact and novelty not assessed. Negative/inconclusive results accepted. *Meaningful* replication encouraged where rationale & benefit to literature is clearly stated.
-  Data is robust, statistically sound, & controlled.
-  Conclusions are well stated, linked to original research question & limited to supporting results.
-  Speculation is welcome, but should be identified as such.

Standout reviewing tips

3



The best reviewers use these techniques

Tip

Support criticisms with evidence from the text or from other sources

Example

Smith et al (J of Methodology, 2005, V3, pp 123) have shown that the analysis you use in Lines 241-250 is not the most appropriate for this situation. Please explain why you used this method.

Give specific suggestions on how to improve the manuscript

Your introduction needs more detail. I suggest that you improve the description at lines 57- 86 to provide more justification for your study (specifically, you should expand upon the knowledge gap being filled).

Comment on language and grammar issues

The English language should be improved to ensure that an international audience can clearly understand your text. Some examples where the language could be improved include lines 23, 77, 121, 128 – the current phrasing makes comprehension difficult.

Organize by importance of the issues, and number your points

1. Your most important issue
2. The next most important item
3. ...
4. The least important points

Please provide constructive criticism, and avoid personal opinions

I thank you for providing the raw data, however your supplemental files need more descriptive metadata identifiers to be useful to future readers. Although your results are compelling, the data analysis should be improved in the following ways: AA, BB, CC

Comment on strengths (as well as weaknesses) of the manuscript

I commend the authors for their extensive data set, compiled over many years of detailed fieldwork. In addition, the manuscript is clearly written in professional, unambiguous language. If there is a weakness, it is in the statistical analysis (as I have noted above) which should be improved upon before Acceptance.

Oligotrophic wetland sediments susceptible to shifts in microbiomes and mercury cycling with dissolved organic matter addition

Emily B Graham ^{Corresp., 1}, Rachel S. Gabor ², Shon Schooler ³, Diane M. McKnight ⁴, Diana R. Nemergut ⁴, Joseph E. Knelman ⁴

¹ Biological Sciences Division, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, WA, United States

² School of Environment and Natural Resources, Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, United States

³ Lake Superior National Estuarine Research Reserve, Superior, WI, USA

⁴ Institute for Arctic and Alpine Research, University of Colorado at Boulder, Boulder, CO, United States

Corresponding Author: Emily B Graham

Email address: emily.graham@pnnl.gov

Recent advances have allowed for greater investigation into microbial regulation of mercury toxicity in the environment. In wetlands in particular, dissolved organic matter (DOM) may influence methylmercury (MeHg) production both through chemical interactions and through substrate effects on microbiomes. We conducted microcosm experiments in two disparate wetland environments (oligotrophic unvegetated and high-C vegetated sediments) to examine the impacts of plant leachate and inorganic mercury loadings (20 mg/L HgCl₂) on microbiomes and MeHg production in the St. Louis River Estuary. Our research reveals the greater relative capacity for mercury methylation in vegetated over unvegetated sediments. Further, our work shows how mercury cycling in oligotrophic unvegetated sediments may be susceptible to DOM inputs in the St. Louis River Estuary: microcosms receiving leachate produced substantially more MeHg than unamended microcosms. We also demonstrate (1) changes in microbiome structure towards *Clostridia*, (2) metagenomic shifts toward fermentation, and (3) degradation of complex DOM; all of which coincide with elevated net MeHg production in unvegetated microcosms receiving leachate. Together, our work shows the influence of wetland vegetation in controlling MeHg production in the Great Lakes region and provides evidence that this may be due to both enhanced microbial activity as well as differences in microbiome composition.

RUNNING TITLE: Mercury, dissolved organic matter, and microbiomes

Oligotrophic wetland sediments susceptible to shifts in microbiomes and mercury cycling with dissolved organic matter addition

Emily B. Graham^{1*}, Rachel S. Gabor², Shon Schooler³, Diane M. McKnight^{4,5,6}, Diana R. Nemergut^{4,7}, and Joseph E. Knelman⁴

¹Biological Sciences Division, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, WA, USA

²School of Environment and Natural Resources, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, USA

³Lake Superior National Estuarine Research Reserve, University of Wisconsin-Superior, Superior, WI, USA

⁴Institute for Arctic and Alpine Research, University of Colorado at Boulder, Boulder, CO, USA

⁵Civil Engineering Department, University of Colorado at Boulder, Boulder, CO, USA

⁶Environmental Studies Program, University of Colorado at Boulder, Boulder, CO, USA

⁷Biology Department, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Correspondence: Emily B. Graham, emily.graham@colorado.edu; Biological Sciences Division; Pacific Northwest National Laboratory; 902 Battelle Blvd.; Richland, WA, 99354; (509) 372-6049

Abstract.

Recent advances have allowed for greater investigation into microbial regulation of mercury toxicity in the environment. In wetlands in particular, dissolved organic matter (DOM) may influence methylmercury (MeHg) production both through chemical interactions and through substrate effects on microbiomes. We conducted microcosm experiments in two disparate wetland environments (oligotrophic unvegetated and high-C vegetated sediments) to examine the impacts of plant leachate and inorganic mercury loadings (20 mg/L HgCl₂) on microbiomes and MeHg production in the St. Louis River Estuary. Our research reveals the greater relative capacity for mercury methylation in vegetated over unvegetated sediments. Further, our work shows how mercury cycling in oligotrophic unvegetated sediments may be susceptible to DOM inputs in the St. Louis River Estuary: microcosms receiving leachate produced substantially more MeHg than unamended microcosms. We also demonstrate (1) changes in microbiome structure towards *Clostridia*, (2) metagenomic shifts toward fermentation, and (3) degradation of complex DOM; all of which coincide with elevated net MeHg production in unvegetated microcosms receiving leachate. Together, our work shows the influence of wetland vegetation in controlling MeHg production in the Great Lakes region and provides evidence that this may be due to both enhanced microbial activity as well as differences in microbiome composition.

Keywords. St. Louis River Estuary, *Clostridia*, oligotrophic, fermentation, mercury methylation, carbon

Introduction.

Mercury methylation in anoxic sediments is central to the bioaccumulation of mercury in plant and animal tissue (Benoit et al. 2003; Morel et al. 1998; Ullrich et al. 2001) and poses a significant environmental and human health concern in the freshwater wetlands of the Great Lakes region (Branfireun et al. 1999; Harmon et al. 2005; Jeremiason et al. 2006). Dissolved organic matter (DOM) has been a focus of geochemical investigations for decades, and both positive and negative interactions between DOM and mercury methylation – principally, a microbial transformation (Hsu-Kim et al. 2013) – have been demonstrated under contrasting environmental conditions (Graham et al. 2013; Hsu-Kim et al. 2013; Ravichandran 2004). Further, recent discoveries in microbial ecology of mercury methylation have pointed to the role of microbial community composition in driving mercury cycling. Yet, linkages among DOM cycling, sediment microbiomes that directly mediate mercury methylation, and MeHg production remain poorly described.

Dissolved organic matter is comprised of various classes of organic compounds (primarily organic acids) with a wide range of molecular weights and aromaticities (Lambertsson & Nilsson 2006; Wetzel 1992). DOM concentrations are elevated in wetlands relative to other freshwater systems (>10 mg/L), and the humic fraction derived from plant leachate predominates. With respect to mercury cycling in wetlands, mercury methylation is impacted both by binding properties of the humic DOM fraction, resulting either in increased dissolution of inorganic mercury complexes or in physical inhibition of mercury bioavailability (Drexel et al. 2002; Haitzer et al. 2002; Waples et al. 2005), and by the provisioning of organic substrate for microbial activity (Hsu-Kim et al. 2013; King et al. 2000; Lambertsson & Nilsson 2006). Since mercury methylation is strongly impacted by DOM, environments such as the St. Louis River

estuary, which contains areas of both vegetated and unvegetated sediments, may also show differences in the capacity for MeHg production across vegetation gradients which exhibit pronounced differences in DOM content.

Beyond the interaction of DOM and mercury and the influence of DOM on microbial activity, numerous studies have shown that microbiome composition itself is influenced by DOM quantity and/or quality (Docherty et al. 2006; Forsström et al. 2013; Graham et al. 2016a; Graham et al. 2017; Pernthaler 2013; Stegen et al. 2016), and such changes in environmental microbiomes alter biogeochemistry (Graham et al. 2016a; Graham et al. 2017; Graham et al. 2016b). The composition of microbial communities has recently gained increased attention with regard to mercury cycling due to the discovery of the *hgcAB* gene cluster, which has allowed investigations into the microbial ecology of mercury cycling (Boyd et al. 2017; Gilmour et al. 2013; Gionfriddo et al. 2016; Parks et al. 2013; Rani et al. 2015; Rothenberg et al. 2016; Schwartz et al. 2016). Such work has increased knowledge on the microbiology of mercury methylation, expanding potential microorganisms mediating methylation beyond sulfate-reducing bacteria (Compeau & Bartha 1985; Hsu-Kim et al. 2013), iron-reducing bacteria (Kerin et al. 2006) and methanogens (Hamelin et al. 2011). For example, Gilmour *et al.* (2013) have identified five clades of putative methylators, including new clades of syntrophic and *Clostridial* organisms.

In this study, we examine the influence of DOM from plant leachate on net methylmercury (MeHg) production in a contaminated freshwater estuary at the base of Lake Superior. First, we describe net MeHg production in environments associated with ambient DOM concentration that are high (vegetated sediments) and low (unvegetated sediments), two contrasting sediment types that are widely found in the environment. We hypothesize that both



DOM quantity and quality influence mercury methylation through two different pathways, 1) by regulating microbial activity and 2) by shifting bacterial community composition, and therein the metabolic diversity of mercury methylators. We test this hypothesis across chemically distinct sediments associated with unvegetated (oligotrophic, low-C) and vegetated (high-C) environments. We used a microcosm experiment to monitor changes in sediment microbiomes, DOM chemical quality, and net MeHg production in response to additions of leachate from overlying plant material and to high levels of inorganic mercury. DOM quality was characterized by fluorescence spectroscopy using indices that can be incorporated in site assessment and monitoring strategies. In total, this work delineates a broad view of how vegetated vs. unvegetated sediments in the St. Louis River Estuary may have different capacities for the cycling of mercury.

Methods.

Field site.

The St. Louis River Estuary is home to the largest U.S. port on the Great Lakes and covers roughly 12,000 acres of wetland habitat directly emptying into Lake Superior. Mining in the headwaters, industrial discharge in the port, and atmospheric deposition have left a legacy of mercury contamination in the sediment. We obtained sediment samples from vegetated (*Zizania palustris* (wild rice), 46° 40.855' N, 91° 59.048' W) and unvegetated (46° 18' N, 92° 0.123' W) patches in Allouez Bay and fresh wild rice plant matter from nearby Pokegama Bay (46.683448°N, 92.159261°W) to minimize sampling impacts. Both habitats are clay-influenced embayments that drain an alluvial clay plain created by deposition during the retreat of the last glaciation approximately 10,000 years BP.

Experimental design.

A total of 20 anoxic microcosms were constructed in September 2013 to investigate relationships between DOM cycling, sediment microbiomes, and mercury methylation. Sediment was obtained in 250-mL amber Nalgene bottles from the top 10 cm of sediment using a block sampling design described in the Supplemental Material. Leachate extracted using 1 g dried, ground plant matter:20 mL of Nanopure water, filtered through Whatman 0.7 µm GFF filters (Whatman Incorporated, Florham Park, NJ, USA). Microcosms were constructed in 500-mL airtight glass mason jars and stored at room temperature in the dark in Mylar bags with oxygen-absorbing packets between subsampling. Our experiment was designed to promote microbial MeHg production by adding an abundance of inorganic mercury and to take reasonable measures to minimize abiotic photo-methylation and -demethylation (Morel et al. 1998) and sustain a low redox environment for inhibiting demethylation (Compeau & Bartha 1984). We acknowledge that we did not eliminate all potential demethylation activity from our microcosms, and we refer to changes in MeHg as ‘net MeHg production’ to reflect possible demethylation (consistent with other recent work, Schwartz et al. 2016). All experimental set up and sample processing was conducted in an anaerobic glovebox containing 85% N₂, 5% CO₂, and 10% H₂ gas mix at the USGS in Boulder, CO. Jars were degassed in the glovebox for 48 hr prior to experimentation to remove oxygen.

A full-factorial design was employed with two environments (vegetated and unvegetated sediment) and two treatments (plant leachate and Nanopure water). Sediments were homogenized via mixing but unsieved to maintain environment characteristics. Large roots (>1 cm) were infrequent and removed to lessen heterogeneity among replicates. Each microcosm

received 100 g wet sediment, and 250 mL solution consisting either of leachate at 100 mg/L (~5x natural concentrations to mimic a loading event) and HgCl₂ at 20 mg/L (50 µg/g wet sediment) in Nanopure water (leachate replicates) or solely of HgCl₂ at 20 mg/L in nanopure water (no leachate replicates). The purpose of HgCl₂ addition at high concentration was to counteract initial differences in mercury, minimize HgCl₂ inaccessibility due to abiotic organo-metal interactions, and provide substrate for the duration of the experiment. HgCl₂ concentrations were elevated to extreme levels (1,000x ambient concentration), reflecting a convention in ecological change literature to stress ecosystems and eliminate substrate limitation with high levels of nutrients to assist in deciphering mechanistic controls over a process of interest. Although we added more HgCl₂ than is common in mercury-DOM literature, we note that (1) the duration of experiment was long relative to other studies (28 days vs. <24hr in many studies); (2) DOM concentrations are high in the St. Louis River Estuary (>20 mg/L in the water column), and (3) added HgCl₂ concentrations were of comparable magnitude to some microcosm experiments of similar design (Harris-Hellal et al. 2009; Ruggiero et al. 2011; Zhou et al. 2012). Though we did not directly assess microbial activity, we estimate minimal dosage effects as communities without leachate did not change through time in unvegetated microcosms and only slightly changed through time in vegetated microcosms ($R^2 = 0.19$, see results and Figure S1). Microcosms were incubated for 28 days, and subsamples of sediment and water were taken every seven days for analysis of sediment microbiomes and DOM characteristics.

Sediment chemistry and mercury methylation.

Percent carbon and nitrogen, NO₃⁻/NO₂⁻, NH₄⁺, total particulate organic carbon (TPOC), total dissolved nitrogen (TDN), and pH were determined on pre-incubation sediments, as

described in the Supplemental Material. For total- and methylmercury analysis, initial (day 0) and final (day 28) subsamples were frozen at -70°C, freeze-dried, and sent on dry ice to the USGS Mercury Lab in Middleton, WI for analysis by aqueous phase ethylation, followed by gas chromatographic separation with cold vapor atomic fluorescence detection (Method 5A-8), acid digestion (Method 5A-7), and QA/QC. Mercury analyses were performed on 3 of 5 replicates for each environment and microcosm type. All other analyses were performed on 5 replicates, except for no unvegetated microcosms without leachate beyond day 0 ($n = 4$, one replicate destroyed during experiment).

Dissolved organic matter characteristics.

Aqueous subsamples from water overlying sediments were collected at 7-day intervals (days 0, 7, 14, 21, and 28) to determine non-purgeable organic carbon (NPOC) concentration and specific UV absorbance at 254 nm (SUVA₂₅₄) as well characteristics of the optically active DOM pool (mostly associated with humic DOM fraction), as described in the Supplemental Material. We calculated the fluorescence index (FI) to determine the relative contribution of microbial vs. terrestrial matter to the DOM pool, the humic index (HIX) to identify large aromatic compounds consistent with humic material, and the freshness index to determine the availability of labile carbon (Fellman et al. 2010; Gabor et al. 2014a) using MATLAB software (2013a, The MathWorks, Natick, MA) according to Gabor *et al.* (2014b).

Microbial DNA extraction, 16S rRNA amplicon, and metagenomic shotgun sequencing.

DNA from each sediment subsample was extracted using the MO Bio Power Soil DNA Extraction kit (MO BIO Laboratories, Carlsbad, CA, USA), as described in Knelman *et al.*

(2012). The region encoding the V4 fragment of the 16S rRNA gene was amplified with the primers 515F/806R, using the PCR protocol described by the Earth Microbiome Project (Caporaso et al. 2012) (Supplemental Material). The final multiplexed DNA samples were sequenced at CU-Boulder (BioFrontiers Institute, Boulder, CO) on an Illumina MiSeq with the MiSeq Reagent Kit v2, 300 cycles (Illumina, Cat. # MS-102-2002) to generate 2 x 150-bp paired-end reads. Sequences are available at XXXXXX. In addition, 3 unvegetated leachate replicates at day 0 (before leachate addition) and day 28 were sent to the Joint Genome Institute (JGI) for shotgun metagenomic sequencing on the Illumina HiSeq platform. Sequences are available at XXXXX.

To examine shifts in bacterial community composition that may relate to mercury cycling, we located 90 of 142 (65%) microbial strains that have been identified as containing the hgcAB gene cluster (listed by Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL), http://www.esd.ornl.gov/programs/rsfa/data/PredictedMethylators/PredictedMethylators_20160420.pdf) with available complete or partial 16S rRNA gene sequences in the NCBI GenBank database (Benson et al. 2013). While our database was not exhaustive and sub-OUT level sequence variation (97%) may impact an organism's methylation potential, the purpose of this analysis was to identify possible methylating OTUs of interest, and the represented sequences spanned all clades of methylators (Figure S2). We created a closed-reference database of these sequences and picked OTUs against this database in QIIME to discern a reduced set of potential methylating taxa present in our samples. This highlighted taxa that are known to contain methylating organisms, and we assessed how such taxa shift in response to DOM addition across the two sediment types and may correspond with changes in mercury methylation.

Sequence analysis.

Partial 16S rRNA gene were filtered for sequence length and minimum quality score in the UPARSE pipeline (Edgar 2013) and OTUs were assigned using QIIME (Caporaso et al. 2010) (Supplemental Material). Metagenomic shotgun sequences were assembled and classified against the protein families database (Pfam) (Finn 2012), Clusters of Orthologous Groups of proteins (COG) (Tatusov et al. 2003), and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) (Kanehisa & Goto 2000) by JGI via the IMG database pipeline (Markowitz et al. 2012). In addition, a BLAST database was constructed from all *hgcA* and *hgcB* gene sequences available in GenBank. A BLASTX search was conducted against this database to identify taxonomic affiliation of methylators in our samples; however, our query resulted in no matches, likely due to inadequate sequencing depth.

Statistical analysis.

All analyses, unless otherwise noted, were conducted using the *R* software platform. Shapiro-Wilk tests were used to verify normality and assess the appropriateness of parametric vs. non-parametric tests. Multivariate sediment properties (*e.g.*, sediment geochemistry) were compared across environments at day 0 with Hotelling's T-square Test and post hoc Student's *t*-tests. MeHg production was calculated by subtracting day 0 from day 28 MeHg concentrations; values below detection limit were assigned the detection limit as a value for a conservative estimate of change. MeHg production was compared across groups using ANOVA. Changes in DOM indices (FI, freshness, HIX) through time (days 0, 7, 14, 21, and 28) in each sample group were assessed with linear and quadratic regressions. DOM samples with $SUVA_{254} > 7$ were

removed due to fluorescence interference from inorganic molecules. Comparisons of DOM indices between data subsets were conducted with ANOVA and post hoc Tukey HSD.

Microbial community dissimilarity matrices based on 16S rRNA sequences were constructed using the weighted UniFrac method (Lozupone et al. 2011) in QIIME. Alpha diversity for each sample was assessed using the PD whole tree metric in QIIME. Changes in community structure through time (days 0, 7, 14, 21, 28) were assessed with ANOSIM in QIIME. Differences in alpha diversity at day 0 were assessed using unpaired one-way Student's *t*-tests. Relative abundances of major clades were assessed between vegetated and unvegetated environments at day 0 and changes in clades through time (days 0, 7, 14, 21, 28) were assessed using non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis tests with FDR-correct *P* values. SIMPER analysis was conducted using the 'vegan package' to identify OTUs associated with community dissimilarity between days 0 and 28 in microcosms receiving leachate.

To focus our analysis of microbiome composition on taxa that may contain methylating bacteria, we used one-sided Mann-Whitney U tests to identify clades of organisms on ORNL's list of potential methylators that changed through time. We build upon previous work by Rothenberg et al. (2016), who examined genus-level changes in known methylating clades, and Rani et al. (2015), who examined only methylating Deltaproteobacteria at the OTU level, by targeting all microorganisms identified by ORNL at the OTU level. We present results as changes in percent relative abundance rather than fold-change due to the absence of some organisms at day 0 (i.e., zero abundance). Microorganisms that exhibited significant changes were further compared to HIX and net MeHg production to examine potential relationships among DOM, bacterial taxa, and mercury cycling. For this analysis, we used the Pearson product-momentum correlation coefficient, grouping leachate and no leachate microcosms

within each environment in a single analysis to provide replication across a wide range of variation (analysis conducted on data from day 28, $n = 6$).

Finally, we explored metagenomic shotgun sequences for information on specific microbial metabolic pathways that changed through time in our microcosms. We used binomial tests to detect increases in the frequency of COGs, Pfams, and KEGG pathways at day 28 relative to day 0. Targets more abundant at day 28 (FDR-corrected $P < 0.01$) were examined for correlations with HIX and MeHg production with the Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient to decipher possible links between microbial metabolism, DOM cycling, and net MeHg production.

Results.

Ambient geochemistry and microbiology.

Physicochemical properties and sediment microbiomes differed between vegetated and unvegetated environments (Hotelling $P = 0.004$, Table 1). The unvegetated environment was extremely oligotrophic, with low concentrations of sediment C and N, and both vegetated and unvegetated environments appeared to be N-limited (C:N 16.43 and 20.06). In addition, methylmercury production in sediments without leachate addition was significantly higher in vegetated sediment than unvegetated sediment, by nearly two orders of magnitude (Figure 1). Final total Hg concentrations at the end of the microcosm experiment were 79.2 ± 18.3 (vegetated with leachate), 86.5 ± 14.5 (vegetated without leachate), 15.0 ± 5.8 (unvegetated with leachate), and 18.1 ± 1.3 (unvegetated without leachate) $\mu\text{g per g wet sediment}$. Microbial community structure and alpha diversity were significantly different between the two

environments (ANOSIM, $P = 0.001$, $R = 1.00$, t -test, $P = 0.01$), though major phyla were similar (Table 1).

Microbiome response to $HgCl_2$ and leachate addition.

Over the course of the incubation, microcosms with vegetated, high-C sediment produced over ten times more MeHg than unvegetated sediment microcosms, regardless of leachate amendment (ANOVA $P = 0.002$, Figure 1). However, leachate did not stimulate MeHg production in the vegetated environment. Within the oligotrophic, unvegetated environment, mercury methylation was enhanced by leachate within the oligotrophic unvegetated environment with roughly two to four times more production in microcosms receiving leachate as compared to those without leachate.

Community structure changed through time in vegetated and unvegetated environments with leachate (ANOSIM across days 0, 7, 14, 21, 28, veg.: $P = 0.001$ $R = 0.40$, unveg.: $P = 0.001$ $R = 0.43$, Figure S2A and B), but not those without leachate (veg.: $P = 0.02$, $R = 0.19$, unveg.: $P > 0.05$, Figure S2A and B), indicating no substantial effect from high concentrations of added inorganic mercury on microbiome structure. At day 28, communities in unvegetated microcosms with leachate were different than those without leachate (ANOSIM, $P = 0.01$, $R = 0.54$), while microbiome structure in vegetated sediment microcosms only weakly differed between leachate and no leachate groups ($P = 0.04$, $R = 0.22$).

Changes in community structure in response to leachate was partially generated by shifts in microbial taxa that are known to contain methylating bacteria. For example, we observed an increase in *Clostridia* in both environments (Kruskal-Wallis, veg.: FDR-corrected $P = 0.003$, unveg.: $P = 0.018$, Figure 2B, Table S3) and a decrease in *Deltaproteobacteria* in unvegetated

sediment (Kruskal-Wallis, veg.: FDR-corrected $P = 0.36$, unveg.: FDR-corrected $P = 0.015$, Figure 2A). In particular, *Clostridia* abundances increased by 3-fold (1.1% to 3.8% of the microbiome) and 10-fold (1.5% to 10.5% of the microbiome), respectively in vegetated and unvegetated environments, driven by increases in nearly all families of *Clostridia*. SIMPER analysis was confirmative of these changes in the full community -- 22.9% of 175 SIMPER-identified OTUs belonged to *Clostridia* (increased from avg. 0.78 OTUs/sample to avg. 17.20 OTUs/sample, Table S3) while 8% belonged to *Deltaproteobacteria* (decreased from avg. 8.5 OTUs/sample to 7.4 OTUs/sample, Table S2).

One family of *Clostridia* known to contain methylating bacteria (*Peptococcaceae*), sharply increased with leachate in unvegetated sediment and displayed a similar trend in vegetated sediment (Mann-Whitney U, veg.: uncorrected $P = 0.03$, unveg.: uncorrected $P = 0.03$, Figure 2B, Table S3). These changes were due in part to increases in two closely related methylating OTUs (Mann-Whitney U, *Dehalobacter restrictus* strain PER-K23, veg.: uncorrected $P = 0.04$, from an average of 0% to 6% of the reduced set of potential methylating taxa, and *Syntrophobotulus glycolicus* strain DSM 1351, unveg.: uncorrected $P = 0.01$, from an average of 0% to 3% of the reduced set of potential methylating taxa) grouped in a single genus by our classification system (*Dehalobacter_Syntrophobotulus*, Figure S2). Vegetated sediments also experienced a slight increase in *[Clostridium] cellobioparum* strain DSM 1351 (uncorrected $P = 0.03$, from an average of 0% to 1% of the reduced set of potential methylating taxa), while unvegetated sediments displayed an increase in *Geobacter bemidjiensis* strain Bem (uncorrected $P = 0.02$, from an average of 24% to 42% of the reduced set of potential methylating taxa) and a decrease in *Geobacter* sp. M21 (uncorrected $P = 0.006$, from an average of 15% to 3% of the reduced set of potential methylating taxa). No other OTUs changes in these microcosms.

Metagenomic shotgun sequences were consistent with microbiome shifts observed in 16S rRNA genes. We note increases in *Clostridia* (t -test, FDR-corrected $P = 0.006$), *Peptococcaceae* (t -test, FDR-corrected $P = 0.018$), *Dehalobacter restrictus* (t -test, FDR-corrected $P = 0.024$), and *Syntrophobotulus glycolicus* (t -test, FDR-corrected $P = 0.042$) as well as a possible trend for decreases in *Deltaproteobacteria* (t -test, FDR-corrected $P = 0.18$) in metagenomic data (Figure 3D). We also identified 7,150 KEGG pathways, 84 COGs, and 79 Pfams that were significantly enriched at day 28 relative to day 0 in unvegetated leachate microcosms (Figure 3A-C). All classification systems revealed metabolic shifts towards glycosyltransferases, among other pathways involved in DOM oxidation and in iron and nitrate reduction.

Changes in DOM chemistry.

Details of DOM quantity and quality changes are presented in Figures 4 and S3 and described in greater detail in the Supplemental Material. Regression statistics associated with Figures 4 and S3 are presented in Table 2.

DOM fluorescence indices displayed notable changes through time. In the vegetated environment, FI remained stable at a low value in leachate microcosms, indicating plant-derived DOM, and rose in microcosms without leachate indicating greater relative contribution of microbial vs. abiotic processing (Figure 4A and B). In contrast, in the unvegetated environment, HIX increased in both leachate and no leachate microcosms indicating processing of more labile vs. recalcitrant DOM (Figure 4C and D). This increase in HIX corresponded with decrease in fresh DOM (Figure 4E and F), further supporting our interpretation. In the unvegetated environment, leachate microcosms (but not microcosms without leachate) increased in FI (Figure 4A and B) denoting an increase in microbially-sourced DOM over time. There was no change in

HIX (Figure 4C and D) suggesting equal processing of labile vs. recalcitrant DOM. Freshness varied non-linearly in leachate microcosms but not those without leachate (Figure 4E and F).

Across environment types, HIX was significantly higher in vegetated microcosms (ANOVA $P < 0.0001$, Tukey HSD, leachate: $P < 0.0001$, no leachate: $P = 0.004$). FI and freshness were higher in unvegetated leachate microcosms than in vegetated DOM-amended microcosms (Tukey HSD, FI: $P = 0.003$, freshness: $P = 0.03$) but did not differ across microcosms without leachate (Tukey HSD, FI: $P = 0.89$, freshness: $P = 0.40$).

Correlation of microbiome, DOM characteristics, and MeHg production.

Given the apparent shift in community structure towards *Clostridia*, and (chemoorganotrophic) *Peptococcaceae* in particular, we examined correlations of members of this family listed in the ORNL methylator database with the proportion of complex organic matter (HIX) and MeHg production within each environment. We focused on HIX because this index changed consistently and reflected portions of recalcitrant carbon substrate pools utilized by the organisms we identified. Because we only calculated net MeHg production at the conclusion of the incubation, we analyzed these correlations at day 28 and grouped leachate and no-leachate replicates within each environment to provide sufficient variation and sample size ($n = 6$). *Peptococcaceae* with the potential to methylate merucry negatively correlated with HIX and positively correlated with MeHg production in unvegetated microcosms (Pearson's r ($n = 6$), HIX: $r = -0.82$, MeHg: $r = 0.67$). The same organism were not strongly correlated with HIX ($r = -0.49$) or MeHg production ($r = -0.04$) in vegetated microcosms.

Finally, despite low statistical power ($n = 3$), we observed marginally significant trends ($P < 0.10$) between key metabolic pathways and HIX (Table 3). While we note that the sample

size for this analysis was low, it is remarkable to observe any trends under this limitation and we provide results as an encouraging avenue for future research. In particular, COGs classified as: Glycosyltransferase, Glycosyltransferases involved in cell wall biogenesis, Glycosyltransferases - probably involved in cell wall biogenesis, and Beta-galactosidase/beta-glucuronidase; and Pfams classified as: Glycosyl transferase family 2, Radical SAM superfamily, and SusD family displayed significant correlations with HIX at the $P < 0.10$ level. Only Pfam PF00593, TonB dependent receptor, correlated with MeHg production ($P < 0.001$, $r = -1.00$, Table 2).

Discussion.

We show the importance of vegetation patterns and DOM availability in mercury cycling within Lake Superior's St. Louis River Estuary, an integral environment to human society and industries of the region. Our work demonstrates not only the far higher levels of mercury cycling in natural vegetated over unvegetated sediments, but also the susceptibility of oligotrophic, unvegetated sediments to increases in mercury methylation and changes in microbiomes with the addition of DOM. We also suggest a possible involvement of metabolisms that ferment recalcitrant organic matter in mercury methylation, particularly within oligotrophic unvegetated environments. Our results provide a basis for further investigation into the role of newly discovered microorganisms in regulating the production of MeHg in the Great Lakes region and further a body of work aimed at understanding and mitigating human exposure to MeHg.

Mercury methylation across environments.

Our work indicated a strongly different capacity of vegetated vs. unvegetated wetland sediments to cycle mercury. Without leachate addition, MeHg production in vegetated sediments was two orders of magnitude higher than in unvegetated sediments (Figure 1). As such,

vegetated sediments may be considered potentially important locations for mercury methylation when mercury is present in the environment. Such a dynamic may be due to either higher overall activity of microorganisms or the unique microbiomes contained within these sediments. Within the high-C vegetated environment, leachate did not influence the sediment microbiome or net MeHg production to the same extent as within the more oligotrophic unvegetated environment (Figure 1, Figure S1). Given high ratios of C:N, high OC content, and low NO_3^- concentrations in our vegetated sediment (Table 1), N-limitation may have mitigated net MeHg production in vegetated environments relative to the unvegetated environment (Taylor & Townsend 2010), which had substantially lower concentrations of all measured C and nutrient concentrations. Both ambient MeHg levels and net MeHg production were dramatically higher in the vegetated environment, supporting other findings that plant-microbe interactions facilitate MeHg production (Gentès et al. 2017; Roy et al. 2009; Windham-Myers et al. 2014; Windham - Myers et al. 2009).

By contrast, the unvegetated environment experienced a dramatic increase in MeHg (Figure 1) in response to leachate that correlated with changes in the sediment microbiome (Figure 2 and 3, Figure S1). Carbon limitation has been widely demonstrated as a constraint on microbial activity (Bradley et al. 1992; Brooks et al. 2005; Wett & Rauch 2003); thus, leachate may bolster MeHg production in C-limited ecosystems via impacts on microbial activity. In our system, net MeHg production in the unvegetated environment was possibly also constrained by low *in situ* rates of microbial activity and by low N concentration, and net MeHg production in response to leachate stimulus never increased to vegetated levels. Importantly, leachate enhanced the relative abundance of a specific taxon known to contain methylating organisms (*Clostridia*),

raising the possibility that mercury methylation rates may be dually influenced by the sediment microbiome and by organic matter (Aiken et al. 2011; Hsu-Kim et al. 2013).

Microbiome response to leachate addition.

Microbiome responses to leachate in both sediment types are consistent with recent work demonstrating the fermentation of organic material (OM) by *Clostridia* despite the presence of OM-oxidizing *Deltaproteobacteria* (Reimers et al. 2013) and suggest a possible role for members of *Clostridia* in MeHg production. Within both environments, leachate altered the sediment microbiome, driven largely by increases in *Clostridia* and decreases in *Deltaproteobacteria*. Unvegetated microcosms displayed greater changes in these clades, supporting a greater role for environmental filtering by DOM within oligotrophic environments (Barberán et al. 2012; Stegen et al. 2012). *Clostridia* are obligate anaerobes with the ability to produce labile carbon compounds via fermentation of recalcitrant organic matter (Reimers et al. 2013; Ueno et al. 2016). Recent work has shown organic carbon degradation via *Clostridial* fermentation to operate at comparable rates to more energetically favorable carbon processing pathways (Reimers et al. 2013). Organic acids (*e.g.*, lactate and acetate) produced through these pathways can also be utilized as a carbon source by sulfate- and iron- reducing *Deltaproteobacteria* (Guerrero-Barajas et al. 2011; Reimers et al. 2013; Zhao et al. 2008). Thus, enhanced DOM breakdown by *Clostridia* may support other biogeochemical cycles that rely on organic carbon as an energy source.

In unvegetated sediments, metagenomic analyses indicated an increase in carbon, and secondarily, iron metabolisms consistent with clades known to methylate mercury, although no methylating pathways could be identified in this work (Gilmour et al. 2013; Hamelin et al. 2011;

Kerin et al. 2006; Podar et al. 2015). Carbon metabolisms were the primary KEGG category increasing in abundance within metagenomes (Figure 3A), and several COG pathways and Pfams indicated a possible metabolic shift favoring glycosyltransferases that convert starches, sugars, and nitroaromatics into a wide range of compounds (Bowles et al. 2005; Ramli et al. 2015) (Figure 3B and C). Further, metagenomic increases in Beta-galactosidase/beta-glucuronidase (lactose to galactose/glucose) (Martini et al. 1987), sugar phosphate isomerase/epimerases (sugar metabolism) (Yeom et al. 2013), and lactoylglutathione lyase (detoxification for methyglyoxal fermentation byproduct) (Inoue & Kimura 1995) and the SusD family (glycan binding) (Martens et al. 2009) provide additional evidence for increases in fermentation processes in response to leachate. Increases in TonB dependent receptors (Moeck & Coulton 1998), amidohydrolase (Seibert & Raushel 2005), and NRAMP (Cellier et al. 1995) suggest a secondary importance of iron processing and/or transport of large organic compounds across cellular membranes. Finally, our results provide a possible genetic mechanism connecting iron, sulfur, carbon, and mercury cycling, as the radical SAM superfamily, which facilitates methyl transfers via the use of a [4Fe-S]⁺ cluster (Booker & Grove 2010), increased in concert with net MeHg production. In total, the metabolic potential of the sediment microbiome indicates changes in carbon and iron metabolisms within microcosms experiencing higher net MeHg production in response to leachate, supporting past work that suggests a linkage between mercury methylation and these factors (Gilmour et al. 2013; Hamelin et al. 2011; Hsu-Kim et al. 2013; Kerin et al. 2006; Podar et al. 2015).

Lastly, at high taxonomic resolution in both environments, leachate increased the proportion of bacterial taxa that are known to contain methylating organisms such as *Peptococcaceae* within *Clostridia*, despite drastic differences in sediment chemistry (Figure 2B).

Specifically, the two OTUs identified by ORNL as organisms with mercury methylation genes that displayed the greatest change are thought to generate energy via organohalide respiration (*D. restrictus*) and fermentative oxidation of organic matter (*S. glycolicus*, also capable of syntrophy) (Han et al. 2011; Stackebrandt 2014). The relative abundance of *Peptococcaceae* was positively correlated with MeHg production in the unvegetated environment, and other taxa that are known to contain methylating organisms did not increase in abundance, as would be expected if the activity of these organisms was enhanced by leachate.

We note that shifts in these taxa contain many OTUs that are not methylating bacteria, however, we attempt to focus our analysis of changes in microbiome composition to taxa that are relevant to methylation. Overall this work points to the effects of DOM on microbial community composition with potential implication for microbiome function that may influence mercury cycling.

Associations between microbiology, DOM processing, and net MeHg production.

The processing of proportionally more labile (microbe-preferred) organic matter would be expected to result in increases in HIX. However, changes in these indices within the unvegetated environment suggest substantial recalcitrant organic matter degradation vs. the metabolism of labile substrates (but not the vegetated environment which followed the expectation of increase HIX). We observed no change in HIX through time in unvegetated microcosms (both leachate and no leachate). This result is reflective of a DOM pool that has stable relative proportions of labile and recalcitrant organic material, indicating equal rates of degradation and/or production of both substrate types (Figure 4C and D). Vegetated microcosms, in contrast, experienced increases in HIX through time that indicate a loss of labile substrate


from the fluorescent DOM pool (Figure 4C and D). Further, in leachate unvegetated microcosms, which experienced pronounced changes in the sediment microbiome and increased MeHg production, HIX was significantly lower than in all other experimental groups (ANOVA, $P < 0.0001$, all Tukey HSD $P < 0.0001$). While most microorganisms preferentially degrade labile C sources, the degradation of recalcitrant organic matter can contribute substantially to aquatic carbon cycling (McLeod et al. 2011). Unvegetated microcosms receiving leachate also exhibited large increases in microbially-derived DOM (FI) through time, demonstrating a noticeable contribution of microbial activity to the DOM pool (Figure 4A).

The abundance of *Peptococcaceae* in unvegetated microcosms negatively correlated with HIX, denoting an apparent association of these members or co-occurring community members with DOM processing, but the mechanisms behind these shifts remain unclear. Metabolism of recalcitrant organic matter by fermenting organisms may influence mercury methylation via direct and indirect mechanisms. Members of *Clostridia* can generate MeHg themselves, and *Clostridial* degradation of recalcitrant organic matter can also produce bioavailable carbon substrates for sulfate- and iron- reducing organisms that produce MeHg (.

While further work with larger sample size is needed, changes in metagenomes in responses to leachate denote interesting metabolic pathways that may be involved in recalcitrant organic matter processing and MeHg production. For example, both COG and Pfam glycosyltransferases were negatively correlated with HIX, suggesting a role for starch, sugar, and nitroaromatic fermentation in response to DOM loading. As well, a negative correlation between HIX, and the radical SAM superfamily provides a possible mechanistic linkage between methyl transfers and recalcitrant organic matter processing. Conversely, Beta-galactosidase/beta-

glucuronidase, and the SusD family were positively correlated with HIX, indicating a co-association with labile C processing rather than recalcitrant organic matter.

Conclusions.

Our work shows clearly distinct mercury cycling dynamics between the vegetated and unvegetated sediments of the St. Louis River Estuary environment. While substantially greater MeHg production is observed in vegetated sediments, unvegetated sediments stand to respond more strongly to DOM additions in driving increases in MeHg production. We also describe changes in DOM pool properties through time using fluorescence indices that can be readily applied in natural system and may be particularly valuable for monitoring efforts in wetlands of the Great Lakes Region. Moreover, we also observed evidence for changes in the microbiome of both high-C and oligotrophic sediment in response to leachate addition. The oligotrophic environment showed greater responses in the sediment microbiome and in mercury methylation to the addition of DOM, an important insight given increasing risks of anthropogenic eutrophication. Microbiome shifts towards fermentation pathways, increases in chemoorganotrophic *Clostridia*, degradation of recalcitrant organic matter, and increases in MeHg within oligotrophic environments emphasizes the need to further study microbial ecology of mercury methylation. Importantly, our results provide evidence that bacterial abundances that correspond with increased mercury methylation include taxa that are known to contain methylating bacteria but are not historically considered  MeHg production. Taken together, our research provides new insights on how DOM may influence bacterial community structure and activity differently in two sediment types, impacting MeHg production in natural settings in the Great Lakes region.

525

526 **Acknowledgements.**

527 This work was supported by EPA STAR and NOAA NERRS fellowships to EBG and a JGI CSP
 528 grant to DRN. We also acknowledge support from the US Department of Energy (DOE), Office
 529 of Biological and Environmental Research (BER), as part of Subsurface Biogeochemical
 530 Research Program's Scientific Focus Area (SFA) at the Pacific Northwest National Laboratory
 531 (PNNL). PNNL is operated for DOE by Battelle under contract DE-AC06-76RLO 1830. We
 532 thank Alan Townsend, Teresa Bilinski, Deb Repert, Dick Smith, Steve Schmidt, Sharon
 533 Collinge, Garrett Rue, Jess Ebert, Alexis Templeton, and the LSNERR staff for valuable support
 534 and feedback during this project. We also thank Axios Review Service for valuable feedback on
 535 this manuscript.

536

537 **Conflict of Interest.**

538 The authors declare no conflict of interest.

539

540

References.

- Aiken GR, Hsu-Kim H, and Ryan JN. 2011. Influence of dissolved organic matter on the environmental fate of metals, nanoparticles, and colloids. *Environmental science & technology* 45:3196-3201.
- Barberán A, Bates ST, Casamayor EO, and Fierer N. 2012. Using network analysis to explore co-occurrence patterns in soil microbial communities. *The ISME journal* 6:343-351.
- Benoit J, Gilmour C, Heyes A, Mason R, and Miller C. 2003. Geochemical and biological controls over methylmercury production and degradation in aquatic ecosystems. *ACS symposium series* 835:262-297.
- Benson DA, Cavanaugh M, Clark K, Karsch-Mizrachi I, Lipman DJ, Ostell J, and Sayers EW. 2013. GenBank. *Nucleic acids research* 41:D36-D42.
- Booker SJ, and Grove TL. 2010. Mechanistic and functional versatility of radical SAM enzymes. *F1000 Biol Rep* 2:52.
- Bowles D, Isayenkova J, Lim E-K, and Poppenberger B. 2005. Glycosyltransferases: managers of small molecules. *Current opinion in plant biology* 8:254-263.
- Boyd ES, Yu R-Q, Barkay T, Hamilton TL, Baxter BK, Naftz DL, and Marvin-DiPasquale M. 2017. Effect of salinity on mercury methylating benthic microbes and their activities in Great Salt Lake, Utah. *Science of The Total Environment* 581:495-506.
- Bradley P, Fernandez Jr M, and Chapelle F. 1992. Carbon limitation of denitrification rates in an anaerobic groundwater system. *Environmental science & technology* 26:2377-2381.
- Branfireun BA, Roulet NT, Kelly C, and Rudd JW. 1999. In situ sulphate stimulation of mercury methylation in a boreal peatland: Toward a link between acid rain and methylmercury contamination in remote environments. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 13:743-750.
- Brooks PD, McKnight D, and Elder K. 2005. Carbon limitation of soil respiration under winter snowpacks: potential feedbacks between growing season and winter carbon fluxes. *Global Change Biology* 11:231-238.
- Caporaso JG, Kuczynski J, Stombaugh J, Bittinger K, Bushman FD, Costello EK, Fierer N, Pena AG, Goodrich JK, and Gordon JI. 2010. QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data. *Nature methods* 7:335-336.
- Caporaso JG, Lauber CL, Walters WA, Berg-Lyons D, Huntley J, Fierer N, Owens SM, Betley J, Fraser L, and Bauer M. 2012. Ultra-high-throughput microbial community analysis on the Illumina HiSeq and MiSeq platforms. *The ISME journal* 6:1621-1624.
- Cellier M, Prive G, Belouchi A, Kwan T, Rodrigues V, Chia W, and Gros P. 1995. Nramp defines a family of membrane proteins. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 92:10089-10093.
- Compeau G, and Bartha R. 1984. Methylation and demethylation of mercury under controlled redox, pH and salinity conditions. *Applied and environmental microbiology* 48:1203-1207.
- Compeau G, and Bartha R. 1985. Sulfate-reducing bacteria: principal methylators of mercury in anoxic estuarine sediment. *Applied and environmental microbiology* 50:498-502.
- Docherty KM, Young KC, Maurice PA, and Bridgham SD. 2006. Dissolved organic matter concentration and quality influences upon structure and function of freshwater microbial communities. *Microbial Ecology* 52:378-388.
- Drexel RT, Haitzer M, Ryan JN, Aiken GR, and Nagy KL. 2002. Mercury (II) sorption to two Florida Everglades peats: Evidence for strong and weak binding and competition by

- dissolved organic matter released from the peat. *Environmental Science & Technology* 36:4058-4064.
- Edgar RC. 2013. UPARSE: highly accurate OTU sequences from microbial amplicon reads. *Nature methods* 10:996-998.
- Fellman JB, Hood E, and Spencer RG. 2010. Fluorescence spectroscopy opens new windows into dissolved organic matter dynamics in freshwater ecosystems: A review. *Limnology and Oceanography* 55:2452-2462.
- Finn RD. 2012. Pfam: the protein families database. *Encyclopedia of Genetics, Genomics, Proteomics and Bioinformatics*.
- Forsström L, Roiha T, and Rautio M. 2013. Responses of microbial food web to increased allochthonous DOM in an oligotrophic subarctic lake. *Aquatic microbial ecology* 68:171-184.
- Gabor RS, Baker A, McKnight DM, and Miller MP. 2014a. Fluorescence Indices and Their Interpretation. *Aquatic Organic Matter Fluorescence*:303.
- Gabor RS, Eilers K, McKnight DM, Fierer N, and Anderson SP. 2014b. From the litter layer to the saprolite: Chemical changes in water-soluble soil organic matter and their correlation to microbial community composition. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 68:166-176.
- Gentès S, Taupiac J, Colin Y, André J-M, and Guyoneaud R. 2017. Bacterial periphytic communities related to mercury methylation within aquatic plant roots from a temperate freshwater lake (South-Western France). *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 24:19223-19233.
- Gilmour CC, Podar M, Bullock AL, Graham AM, Brown SD, Somenahally AC, Johs A, Hurt Jr RA, Bailey KL, and Elias DA. 2013. Mercury methylation by novel microorganisms from new environments. *Environmental science & technology* 47:11810-11820.
- Gionfriddo CM, Tate MT, Wick RR, Schultz MB, Zemla A, Thelen MP, Schofield R, Krabbenhoft DP, Holt KE, and Moreau JW. 2016. Microbial mercury methylation in Antarctic sea ice. *Nature microbiology* 1:16127.
- Graham AM, Aiken GR, and Gilmour CC. 2013. Effect of dissolved organic matter source and character on microbial Hg methylation in Hg-S-DOM solutions. *Environmental science & technology* 47:5746-5754.
- Graham EB, Crump AR, Resch CT, Fansler S, Arntzen E, Kennedy DW, Fredrickson JK, and Stegen JC. 2016a. Coupling spatiotemporal community assembly processes to changes in microbial metabolism. *Frontiers in microbiology* 7.
- Graham EB, Crump AR, Resch CT, Fansler S, Arntzen E, Kennedy DW, Fredrickson JK, and Stegen JC. 2017. Deterministic influences exceed dispersal effects on hydrologically - connected microbiomes. *Environmental Microbiology* 19:1552-1567.
- Graham EB, Knelman JE, Schindlbacher A, Siciliano S, Breulmann M, Yannarell A, Beman JM, Abell G, Philippot L, and Prosser J. 2016b. Microbes as engines of ecosystem function: When does community structure enhance predictions of ecosystem processes? *Frontiers in microbiology* 7.
- Guerrero-Barajas C, Garibay-Orijel C, and Rosas-Rocha LE. 2011. Sulfate reduction and trichloroethylene biodegradation by a marine microbial community from hydrothermal vents sediments. *International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation* 65:116-123.
- Haitzer M, Aiken GR, and Ryan JN. 2002. Binding of mercury (II) to dissolved organic matter: the role of the mercury-to-DOM concentration ratio. *Environmental Science & Technology* 36:3564-3570.

- Hamelin S, Amyot M, Barkay T, Wang Y, and Planas D. 2011. Methanogens: principal methylators of mercury in lake periphyton. *Environmental science & technology* 45:7693-7700.
- Han C, Mwirichia R, Chertkov O, Held B, Lapidus A, Nolan M, Lucas S, Hammon N, Deshpande S, and Cheng J-F. 2011. Complete genome sequence of Syntrophobotulus glycolicus type strain (FlGlyRT). *Standards in genomic sciences* 4:371.
- Harmon S, King J, Gladden J, Chandler GT, and Newman L. 2005. Mercury body burdens in Gambusia holbrooki and Erimyzon sucetta in a wetland mesocosm amended with sulfate. *Chemosphere* 59:227-233.
- Harris-Hellal J, Vallaey T, Garnier-Zarli E, and Bousserhine N. 2009. Effects of mercury on soil microbial communities in tropical soils of French Guyana. *Applied Soil Ecology* 41:59-68.
- Hsu-Kim H, Kucharzyk KH, Zhang T, and Deshusses MA. 2013. Mechanisms regulating mercury bioavailability for methylating microorganisms in the aquatic environment: a critical review. *Environmental science & technology* 47:2441-2456.
- Inoue Y, and Kimura A. 1995. Methylglyoxal and regulation of its metabolism in microorganisms. *Advances in microbial physiology* 37:177.
- Jeremiason JD, Engstrom DR, Swain EB, Nater EA, Johnson BM, Almendinger JE, Monson BA, and Kolka RK. 2006. Sulfate addition increases methylmercury production in an experimental wetland. *Environmental science & technology* 40:3800-3806.
- Kanehisa M, and Goto S. 2000. KEGG: kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes. *Nucleic acids research* 28:27-30.
- Kerin EJ, Gilmour C, Roden E, Suzuki M, Coates J, and Mason R. 2006. Mercury methylation by dissimilatory iron-reducing bacteria. *Applied and environmental microbiology* 72:7919-7921.
- King JK, Kostka JE, Frischer ME, and Saunders FM. 2000. Sulfate-reducing bacteria methylate mercury at variable rates in pure culture and in marine sediments. *Applied and environmental microbiology* 66:2430-2437.
- Knelman JE, Legg TM, O'Neill SP, Washenberger CL, González A, Cleveland CC, and Nemergut DR. 2012. Bacterial community structure and function change in association with colonizer plants during early primary succession in a glacier forefield. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 46:172-180.
- Lambertsson L, and Nilsson M. 2006. Organic material: the primary control on mercury methylation and ambient methyl mercury concentrations in estuarine sediments. *Environmental science & technology* 40:1822-1829.
- Lozupone C, Lladser ME, Knights D, Stombaugh J, and Knight R. 2011. UniFrac: an effective distance metric for microbial community comparison. *The ISME journal* 5:169.
- Markowitz VM, Chen I-MA, Palaniappan K, Chu K, Szeto E, Grechkin Y, Ratner A, Jacob B, Huang J, and Williams P. 2012. IMG: the integrated microbial genomes database and comparative analysis system. *Nucleic acids research* 40:D115-D122.
- Martens EC, Koropatkin NM, Smith TJ, and Gordon JI. 2009. Complex glycan catabolism by the human gut microbiota: the Bacteroidetes Sus-like paradigm. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 284:24673-24677.
- Martini MC, Bollweg GL, Levitt MD, and Savaiano DA. 1987. Lactose digestion by yogurt beta-galactosidase: influence of pH and microbial cell integrity. *The American journal of clinical nutrition* 45:432-436.

- 678 Mcleod E, Chmura GL, Bouillon S, Salm R, Björk M, Duarte CM, Lovelock CE, Schlesinger
679 WH, and Silliman BR. 2011. A blueprint for blue carbon: toward an improved
680 understanding of the role of vegetated coastal habitats in sequestering CO₂. *Frontiers in*
681 *Ecology and the Environment* 9:552-560.
- 682 Moeck GS, and Coulton JW. 1998. TonB - dependent iron acquisition: Mechanisms of
683 siderophore - mediated active transport. *Molecular microbiology* 28:675-681.
- 684 Morel FM, Kraepiel AM, and Amyot M. 1998. The chemical cycle and bioaccumulation of
685 mercury. *Annual review of ecology and systematics*:543-566.
- 686 Parks JM, Johs A, Podar M, Bridou R, Hurt RA, Smith SD, Tomanicek SJ, Qian Y, Brown SD,
687 and Brandt CC. 2013. The genetic basis for bacterial mercury methylation. *Science*
688 339:1332-1335.
- 689 Pernthaler J. 2013. Freshwater microbial communities. *The Prokaryotes*: Springer, 97-112.
- 690 Podar M, Gilmour CC, Brandt CC, Soren A, Brown SD, Crable BR, Palumbo AV, Somenahally
691 AC, and Elias DA. 2015. Global prevalence and distribution of genes and
692 microorganisms involved in mercury methylation. *Science advances* 1:e1500675.
- 693 Ramli N, Abd-Aziz S, Hassan MA, Alitheen N, and Kamaruddin K. 2015. Potential cyclodextrin
694 glycosyltransferase producer from locally isolated bacteria. *African Journal of*
695 *Biotechnology* 9:7317-7321.
- 696 Rani A, Rockne KJ, Drummond J, Al-Hinai M, and Ranjan R. 2015. Geochemical influences and
697 mercury methylation of a dental wastewater microbiome. *Scientific reports* 5.
- 698 Ravichandran M. 2004. Interactions between mercury and dissolved organic matter—a review.
699 *Chemosphere* 55:319-331.
- 700 Reimers CE, Alleau Y, Bauer JE, Delaney J, Girguis PR, Schrader PS, and Stecher HA. 2013.
701 Redox effects on the microbial degradation of refractory organic matter in marine
702 sediments. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 121:582-598.
- 703 Rothenberg SE, Anders M, Ajami NJ, Petrosino JF, and Balogh E. 2016. Water management
704 impacts rice methylmercury and the soil microbiome. *Science of The Total Environment*
705 572:608-617.
- 706 Roy V, Amyot M, and Carignan R. 2009. Beaver ponds increase methylmercury concentrations
707 in Canadian shield streams along vegetation and pond-age gradients. *Environmental*
708 *science & technology* 43:5605-5611.
- 709 Ruggiero P, Terzano R, Spagnuolo M, Cavalca L, Colombo M, Andreoni V, Rao M, Perucci P,
710 and Monaci E. 2011. Hg bioavailability and impact on bacterial communities in a long-
711 term polluted soil. *Journal of Environmental Monitoring* 13:145-156.
- 712 Schwartz GE, Redfern LK, Ikuma K, Gunsch CK, Ruhl LS, Vengosh A, and Hsu-Kim H. 2016.
713 Impacts of coal ash on methylmercury production and the methylating microbial
714 community in anaerobic sediment slurries. *Environmental Science: Processes & Impacts*
715 18:1427-1439.
- 716 Seibert CM, and Raushel FM. 2005. Structural and catalytic diversity within the amidohydrolase
717 superfamily. *Biochemistry* 44:6383-6391.
- 718 Stackebrandt E. 2014. The Emended Family Peptococcaceae and Description of the Families
719 Desulfitobacteriaceae, Desulfotomaculaceae, and Thermincolaceae. *The Prokaryotes*:
720 Springer, 285-290.
- 721 Stegen JC, Fredrickson JK, Wilkins MJ, Konopka AE, Nelson WC, Arntzen EV, Chrisler WB,
722 Chu RK, Danczak RE, and Fansler SJ. 2016. Groundwater–surface water mixing shifts

- ecological assembly processes and stimulates organic carbon turnover. *Nature*
- communications* 7.
- Stegen JC, Lin X, Konopka AE, and Fredrickson JK. 2012. Stochastic and deterministic
- assembly processes in subsurface microbial communities. *The ISME journal* 6:1653-
- 1664.
- Tatusov RL, Fedorova ND, Jackson JD, Jacobs AR, Kiryutin B, Koonin EV, Krylov DM,
- Mazumder R, Mekhedov SL, and Nikolskaya AN. 2003. The COG database: an updated
- version includes eukaryotes. *BMC bioinformatics* 4:1.
- Taylor PG, and Townsend AR. 2010. Stoichiometric control of organic carbon–nitrate
- relationships from soils to the sea. *Nature* 464:1178-1181.
- Ueno A, Shimizu S, Tamamura S, Okuyama H, Naganuma T, and Kaneko K. 2016. Anaerobic
- decomposition of humic substances by *Clostridium* from the deep subsurface. *Scientific*
- reports* 6.
- Ullrich SM, Tanton TW, and Abdrashitova SA. 2001. Mercury in the aquatic environment: a
- review of factors affecting methylation. *Critical reviews in environmental science and*
- technology* 31:241-293.
- Waples JS, Nagy KL, Aiken GR, and Ryan JN. 2005. Dissolution of cinnabar (HgS) in the
- presence of natural organic matter. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 69:1575-1588.
- Wett B, and Rauch W. 2003. The role of inorganic carbon limitation in biological nitrogen
- removal of extremely ammonia concentrated wastewater. *Water Research* 37:1100-1110.
- Wetzel RG. 1992. Gradient-dominated ecosystems: sources and regulatory functions of dissolved
- organic matter in freshwater ecosystems. *Dissolved organic matter in lacustrine*
- ecosystems*: Springer, 181-198.
- Windham-Myers L, Marvin-DiPasquale M, Stricker CA, Agee JL, Kieu LH, and Kakouros E.
2014. Mercury cycling in agricultural and managed wetlands of California, USA:
- Experimental evidence of vegetation-driven changes in sediment biogeochemistry and
- methylmercury production. *Science of The Total Environment* 484:300-307.
- Windham - Myers L, Marvin - Dipasquale M, Krabbenhoft DP, Agee JL, Cox MH,
- Heredia - Middleton P, Coates C, and Kakouros E. 2009. Experimental removal of
- wetland emergent vegetation leads to decreased methylmercury production in surface
- sediment. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences* (2005–2012) 114.
- Yeom S-J, Kim Y-S, and Oh D-K. 2013. Development of Novel Sugar Isomerases by
- Optimization of Active Sites in Phosphosugar Isomerases for Monosaccharides. *Applied*
- and environmental microbiology* 79:982-988.
- Zhao Y, Ren N, and Wang A. 2008. Contributions of fermentative acidogenic bacteria and
- sulfate-reducing bacteria to lactate degradation and sulfate reduction. *Chemosphere*
- 72:233-242.
- Zhou Z, Zheng Y, Shen J, Zhang L, Liu Y, and He J. 2012. Responses of activities, abundances
- and community structures of soil denitrifiers to short-term mercury stress. *Journal of*
- Environmental Sciences* 24:369-375.

Figures.

Figure 1. Boxplots are shown for net MeHg production (calculated as concentration at 28 days less the initial concentration), with upper and lower hinges representing the values at the 75th and 25th percentiles and whiskers representing 1.5 times value at the 75th and 25th percentiles, respectively. Leachate increased net MeHg production in unvegetated sediment but did not have a large impact within vegetated sediments. Regardless of leachate addition, vegetated sediment experienced an order of magnitude higher rates of net mercury methylation. All samples were spiked with HgCl₂. Mean increase in MeHg production in ng per g dry +/- standard errors are listed below each box.

Figure 2. Boxplots are shown for selected changes in taxonomy in response to leachate addition. All samples were spiked with HgCl₂. Upper and lower hinges represent values at the 75th and 25th percentiles and whiskers represent 1.5 times values at the 75th and 25th percentiles, respectively. Outliers are plotted as points. Shading for each bar denote taxonomy and leachate vs. no leachate. Significant relationships ($P < 0.05$) are denoted with an asterisk. (A) The addition of leachate decreased the proportion of *Deltaproteobacteria* and increased the proportion of *Clostridia* in both vegetated and unvegetated sediment, with greater effects in unvegetated sediment. (B) Within organisms in the ORNL database of putative mercury methylators, we observed changes within the family *Peptococcaceae* (class *Clostridia*) in response to leachate addition. Abundance data are present in Table S3.

Figure 3. Results from analysis of metagenomic shotgun sequences from unvegetated microcosms are denoted in Figure 3. All samples were spiked with HgCl₂. Panels A, B, and C

show the abundance of the top 15 KEGG, COG, and Pfam targets that increased at day 28 vs. day 0, respectively. Panel D shows percent change in selected taxonomic groups at day 28 vs. day 0. Error bars denote standard error.

Figure 4. DOM fluorescence indices were assessed through time with linear and quadratic regressions in each environment and microcosm type. All samples were spiked with HgCl_2 . Averages for each environment and microcosm type are plotted at days 0, 7, 14, 21, and 28, with error bars representing the standard error. Plots in the first column are leachate microcosms, while plots in the second column are no leachate microcosms. Unvegetated microcosms are depicted as closed circles with dashed lines showing significant regressions; vegetated microcosms are x's with solid lines showing significant regressions. (A) and (B) denote FI, (C) and (D) denote HIX, and (E) and (F) denote freshness.

Tables.

Table 1. Mean chemical and biological characteristics of vegetated ($n = 5$) and unvegetated ($n = 5$) environments are presented Table 1. All data are derived from sediments. Asterisks represent significant differences from post hoc t -tests, and standard deviations are presented in parentheses. Microbial groups are listed are relative abundance (fraction of all OTUs in community).

Table 2. Regression statistics (R^2 values) from analysis of changes in DOM properties through time are listed in Table 2. These values are associated with regressions Presented in Figure 4 and Figure S3. All DOM properties are derived from water overlaying sediments in our incubations. No leachate microcosms were analyzed from across days 7, 14, 21, and 28; and leachate microcosms were analyzed across days 0, 7, 14, 21, and 28 ($n = 4-5$ at each sampling point, no samples were taken in no leachate microcosms at day zero), with characteristics of the applied leachate represented at day 0.


Table 3. The Pearson product-momentum correlation coefficient was used to assess relationships of selected COG and Pfam targets with HIX and net MeHg production at day 28 ($n = 3$). Relationships are presented in Table 3.

Figure 1(on next page)

Figure 1

Figure 1. Boxplots are shown for net MeHg production (calculated as concentration at 28 days less the initial concentration), with upper and lower hinges representing the values at the 75th and 25th percentiles and whiskers representing 1.5 times value at the 75th and 25th percentiles, respectively. Leachate increased net MeHg production in unvegetated sediment but did not have a large impact within vegetated sediments. Regardless of leachate addition, vegetated sediment experienced an order of magnitude higher rates of net mercury methylation. All samples were spiked with HgCl₂. Mean increase in MeHg production in ng per g dry +/- standard errors are listed below each box.

Figure 1



Leac

Figure 2 (on next page)

Figure 2

Figure 2. Boxplots are shown for selected changes in taxonomy in response to leachate addition. All samples were spiked with HgCl_2 . Upper and lower hinges represent values at the 75th and 25th percentiles and whiskers represent 1.5 times values at the 75th and 25th percentiles, respectively. Outliers are plotted as points. Shading for each bar denote taxonomy and leachate vs. no leachate. Significant relationships ($P < 0.05$) are denoted with an asterisk. (A) The addition of leachate decreased the proportion of *Deltaproteobacteria* and increased the proportion of *Clostridia* in both vegetated and unvegetated sediment, with greater effects in unvegetated sediment. (B) Within organisms in the ORNL database of putative mercury methylators, we observed changes within the family *Peptococcaceae* (class *Clostridia*) in response to leachate addition. Abundance data are present in Table S3.

A

B






Figure 3(on next page)


Figure 3

Figure 3. Results from analysis of metagenomic shotgun sequences from unvegetated microcosms are denoted in Figure 3. All samples were spiked with HgCl_2 . Panels A, B, and C show the abundance of the top 15 KEGG, COG, and Pfam targets that increased at day 28 vs. day 0, respectively. Panel D shows percent change in selected taxonomic groups at day 28 vs. day 0. Error bars denote standard error.


A



B



C



D




Figure 4(on next page)

Figure 4

Figure 4. DOM fluorescence indices were assessed through time with linear and quadratic regressions in each environment and microcosm type. All samples were spiked with HgCl_2 . Averages for each environment and microcosm type are plotted at days 0, 7, 14, 21, and 28, with error bars representing the standard error. Plots in the first column are leachate microcosms, while plots in the second column are no leachate microcosms. Unvegetated microcosms are depicted as closed circles with dashed lines showing significant regressions; vegetated microcosms are x's with solid lines showing significant regressions. (A) and (B) denote FI, (C) and (D) denote HIX, and (E) and (F) denote freshness.




Table 1(on next page)

Table 1

Table 1. Mean chemical and biological characteristics of vegetated ($n = 5$) and unvegetated ($n = 5$) environments are presented Table 1. All data are derived from sediments. Asterisks represent significant differences from post hoc t -tests, and standard deviations are presented in parentheses. Microbial groups are listed are relative abundance (fraction of all OTUs in community).

	Vegetated Environment	Unvegetated Environment
pH*	5.6 (0.09)	5.8 (0.40)
Water content (g dry/g wet)***	0.54 (0.04)	0.85 (0.04)
NH ₄ (ug/g wet)***	5.96 (1.28)	1.44 (0.56)
TPOC (ug/g wet)***	90.4 (4.8)	7.2 (4)
TDN (ug/g wet)**	4.8 (1.6)	3.2 (0.8)
percent C***	13.16 (2.20)	1.82 (3.39)
percent N***	0.8 (0.06)	0.1 (0.23)
C:N*	16.43 (1.59)	20.06 (5.36)
MeHg (ng/g)**	2.67 (2.18)	0.24 (0.12)
THg (ng/g)	306.56 (551.07)	3.16 (3.99)
MeHg:THg	0.02 (0.009)	0.32 (0.45)
Proteobacteria***	0.3 (0.04)	0.43 (0.02)
Chloroflexi***	0.17 (0.01)	0.06 (0.009)
Bacteroidetes	0.11 (0.02)	0.13 (0.03)
Acidobacteria*	0.07 (0.009)	0.08 (0.02)
Nitrospirae***	0.05 (0.009)	0.02 (0.009)
Actinobacteria***	0.03 (0.007)	0.07 (0.01)
Alpha Diversity (PD Whole Tree)**	183.8 (6.64)	193.7 (11.33)
	*P < 0.10	**P < 0.05
		***P < 0.01

1
2

Table 2 (on next page)

Table 2

Table 2. Regression statistics (R^2 values) from analysis of changes in DOM properties through time are listed in Table 2. These values are associated with regressions Presented in Figure 4 and Figure S3. All DOM properties are derived from water overlaying sediments in our incubations. No leachate microcosms were analyzed from across days 7, 14, 21, and 28; and leachate microcosms were analyzed across days 0, 7, 14, 21, and 28 ($n = 4-5$ at each sampling point, no samples were taken in no leachate microcosms at day zero), with characteristics of the applied leachate represented at day 0.

	NPOC (mg/L)	Total Fluorescence	Fluor:NPOC	FI	HIX	Freshness
Vegetated, No leachate (across days 7, 14, 21, 28)	0.39**	0.21*	n.s.	0.22**	0.51***	0.52***
Vegetated, Leachate (across days 0, 7, 14, 21, 28)	0.32***	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	0.68****	0.57***
Unvegetated, No leachate across days 7, 14, 21, 28)	0.64****	n.s.	0.29**	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.
Unvegetated, Leachate (across days 0, 7, 14, 21, 28)	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	0.41***	n.s.	0.39***

1 * $P < 0.10$ ** $P < 0.05$ *** $P < 0.01$ **** $P < 0.001$

Table 3(on next page)

Table 3

Table 3. The Pearson product-momentum correlation coefficient was used to assess relationships of selected COG and Pfam targets with HIX and net MeHg production at day 28 ($n = 3$). Relationships are presented in Table 3.

	HIX	MeHg
COG		
Glycosyltransferase	-0.98*	0.79
Glycosyltransferases involved in cell wall biogenesis	-0.96*	0.76
ABC-type nitrate/sulfonate/bicarbonate transport systems. periplasmic components	-0.85	0.55
FOG: PAS/PAC domain	-0.88	0.60
Predicted metal-dependent hydrolase of the TIM-barrel fold	0.88	0.60
Transcriptional regulator	-0.999**	0.88
Outer membrane receptor proteins. mostly Fe transport	-0.79	0.45
HD-GYP domain	-0.99**	0.84
Glycosyltransferases. probably involved in cell wall biogenesis	-0.96*	0.74
Beta-galactosidase/beta-glucuronidase	0.98*	-0.80
Sugar phosphate isomerases/epimerases	-0.73	0.36
Lactoylglutathione lyase and related lyases	-0.93	0.67
Nitroreductase	-0.996**	0.86
Thiamine biosynthesis enzyme ThiH and related uncharacterized enzymes	0.98*	0.80
ABC-type phosphate transport system. periplasmic component	-0.66	0.27
Pfam		
WD40-like Beta Propeller Repeat	-0.99**	0.85
Glycosyl transferase family 2	-0.97*	0.76
TonB dependent receptor	0.90	-0.9999***
Radical SAM superfamily	-0.95*	0.75
TonB-dependent Receptor Plug Domain	0.51	-0.83
Amidohydrolase	-0.87	0.57
NMT1/THI5 like	-0.87	0.58
HD domain	-0.9997**	0.91
DNA gyrase C-terminal domain. beta-propeller	-0.94	0.70
Protein of unknown function (DUF1501)	-0.46	0.04
RHS Repeat	-0.80	0.46
Doubled CXXCH motif (Paired_CXXCH_1)	-0.97*	0.78
Helix-turn-helix	-0.90	0.63
Natural resistance-associated macrophage protein	-0.83	0.51
SusD family	0.99*	-0.82

* $P < 0.10$ ** $P < 0.05$