

1 **Reference gene selection for qRT-PCR assays in *Stellera***
2 ***chamaejasme* under abiotic stress and with hormone**
3 **treatment based on transcriptome datasets**

4 Xin Liu, Huirui Guan, Min Song, Yanping Fu, Xiaomin Han, Meng Lei, Jingyu Ren, Bin Guo, Wei He, Yahui
5 Wei*

6 College of Life Science, Northwest University, Xi'an, Shaanxi, China

7 Key Laboratory of Resource Biology and Biotechnology in Western China, Northwest University, Xi'an,
8 shaanxi, China

9 Corresponding Author:

10 Yahui Wei

11 Northwest University, No.229, TaiBai NorthRoad, Xi'an, shaanxi, 710069, China

12 Email address: weiyahui@nwu.edu.cn

Commented [AR1]: Replace by: subjected to abiotic stresses and hormone treatments

13 ABSTRACT

14 **Background.** *Stellera chamaejasme* Linn, an important poisonous plant of the China grassland,
15 is toxic to humans and livestock. The rapid expansion of *S. chamaejasme* has greatly damaged
16 the grassland ecology and, consequently, seriously endangered the development of animal
17 husbandry. To draft efficient prevention and control measures, it has become more urgent to
18 carry out research on its adaptive and expansion mechanisms in different unfavorable habitats at
19 the genetic level. qRT-PCR is a widely used technique for studying gene expression at the
20 transcript level; however, qRT-PCR requires reference genes (RGs) as endogenous controls for
21 data normalization and only through previous RG selection can we guarantee the reliability and
22 robustness of expression studies and RNA-seq data analysis. Unfortunately, little research on the
23 selection of RGs for gene expression data normalization in *S. chamaejasme* has been reported.

Commented [AR2]: Insert: appropriate

24 **Method.** In this study, 10 candidate RGs, namely, *18S*, *60S*, *CYP*, *GAPCP1*, *GAPDH2*, *EF1B*,
25 *MDH*, *SAND*, *TUA1*, and *TUA6*, were singled out from the transcriptome database of *S.*
26 *chamaejasme*, and their expression stability under three abiotic stresses (drought, cold, and salt)
27 and three hormone treatments (ABA, GA, and ETH) were estimated with geNorm, NormFinder,
28 and BestKeeper.

Commented [AR3]: Insert ... the programs (before geNorm)

29 **Result.** Our results showed that *GAPCP1* and *EF1B* were the best combination for the three
30 abiotic stresses, whereas *TUA6* and *SAND*, *TUA1* and *CYP*, *GAPDH2* and *60S* were the best
31 choices for ABA, GA and ETH treatment, respectively. Moreover, *GAPCP1* and *60S* were
32 assessed to be the best combination for all samples, and *18S* was the least stable RG for use as an
33 internal control for all of the experimental subsets. The expression patterns of two target genes
34 (*P5CS2* and *GI*) further verified that the RGs that we selected were suitable for gene expression
35 normalization.

Commented [AR4]: in

36 **Discussion.** This work is the first attempt to comprehensively estimate the stability of RGs in *S.*
37 *chamaejasme*. Our results provide suitable RGs for high-precision normalization in qRT-PCR
38 analysis, thereby making it more convenient to analyze gene expression under these experimental
39 conditions.

40 INTRODUCTION

41 *Stellera chamaejasme* Linn (Thymelaeaceae), a perennial herb and dominant plant of grassland
42 desertification, is native to the northern and southwestern regions in China (Tseng, 1999;
43 Sepulveda-Jimenez et al., 2005). The whole plant is toxic, and its main toxic component is
44 isochamaejasmin, which can poison and kill cattle, sheep and other livestock (Shi & Wei, 2016).
45 The rapid spread of *S. chamaejasme* speeds up the process of grassland desertification and also
46 poisons a large number of livestock in pasturing areas, causing great damage and loss to the local
47 grassland ecology and livestock husbandry (Shi & Wei, 2016). Thus, it is of fundamental
48 importance to elucidate the mechanisms of the rapid spread and stress adaptation of *S.*
49 *chamaejasme*. However, limited genome sequence information is available, which greatly hinders
50 the study of stress functional genes, ultimately resulting in a slow advancement of prevention and
51 control measures. For the above reasons, our group established local transcriptome data for *S.*
52 *chamaejasme* seedlings at five different time points (300 mM NaCl treatment for 0 h, 3 h, 12 h,
53 24 h, and 72 h; three biological replicates) using the Illumina HiSeq 4000 sequencing platform.

54 After transcriptome sequencing and data analysis, fragments per kilobase of exons per million
55 fragments mapped (FPKM) converted from RSEM (RNA-Seq by Expectation Maximization)
56 were used to estimate unigene expression, which in some cases led to a few false-positive results.

57 qRT-PCR is one of the most widely applied technologies to detect the expression levels of
58 selected genes in many different samples (Huggett et al., 2005) because of its relatively accurate
59 quantification, simplicity, specificity, high sensitivity and high throughput capacity (Qi et al.,
60 2016; Wang et al., 2016a). In the relative quantitative method of qRT-PCR data processing, the
61 choice of internal genes is particularly important, and small changes in reference gene (RG)
62 stability will significantly influence the accuracy of the relative expression of target genes (Dhedra
63 et al., 2005). Generally speaking, an ideal RG should be an endogenous gene that does change in
64 any of the tested tissues or under any of the experimental conditions (Derveaux, Vandesompele &
65 Helleman, 2010; Li et al., 2016a; Wang et al., 2016b). In cells, some endogenous housekeeper
66 genes with consistent relative expression are often used as reference genes (Taylor et al., 2016).

67 Housekeeping genes (HKGs) generally refer to a class of highly conserved genes that have
68 basic functionality in biochemistry metabolism in organisms (Fiume & Fletcher, 2012) and are
69 normally expressed at relatively constant rates across different tissues (Warrington et al., 2000;
70 Paolacci et al., 2009). However, several studies have found that the expression levels of HKGs
71 vary to different degrees based on tissues, developmental stages, or experimental conditions
72 (Thellin et al., 1999; Nicot et al., 2005; Wu et al., 2016). Therefore, it is necessary to select stably
73 expressed HKGs as RGs before they are used to normalize target gene expression by qRT-PCR
74 (Guenin et al., 2009; Gong et al., 2016). Up to date, many HKGs, such as 18S ribosomal RNA
75 (18S rRNA), 28S ribosomal RNA (28S rRNA), β -actin (ACT), elongation factor 1- α (EF1A),
76 glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH), α or β tubulin (TUB), polyubiquitin
77 (UBQ), cyclophilin (CYP), SAND protein family (SAND), malate dehydrogenase (MDH),
78 glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase of plastid 1 (GAPCPI) and so on, have been used to
79 conducted studies for evaluating their stability under different experimental conditions
80 (Demidenko, Logacheva & Penin, 2011; Chen et al., 2015; Cao, Wang & Lan, 2016; Ferraz Dos
81 Santos et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2017).

82 As of now, there is no available internal control gene for qRT-PCR data normalization in *S.*
83 *chamaejasme*, so we were unable to verify transcriptome sequencing results, analyze the
84 expression patterns of salt or stress-related genes, or further clarify its spread mechanism. To
85 solve this problem, in our study, we selected 10 candidate RGs based on the local salt *S.*
86 *chamaejasme* transcriptome database and then determined their expression profiles in five
87 different stages under various abiotic stresses (drought, salt, and cold) and with three hormone
88 treatments (abscisic acid, ABA; gibberellin, GA; ethephon, ETH) by qRT-PCR and further
89 evaluated their expression stabilities using three popular software packages: geNorm
90 (Vandesompele et al., 2002), NormFinder (Andersen, Jensen & Orntoft, 2004) and BestKeeper
91 (Pfaffl et al., 2004). The 10 candidate genes were 18S, 60S, CYP, EF1B, GAPCPI, GAPDH2,
92 MDH, SAND, TUA1, and TUA6. Two target genes, Delta 1-pyrroline-5-carboxylate synthetase 2
93 (P5CS2), which encodes a crucial enzyme in the proline synthesis pathway under stress
94 conditions by activating glutamate 5-kinase and glutamate-5-semialdehyde dehydrogenase
95 (Strizhov et al., 1997), and GIGANTEA (GI), a circadian regulated gene whose protein product
96 has not only been shown to regulate photoperiodic flowering and various developmental
97 processes but has also been implicated in mediating cold stress and salinity stress responses (Cao,

98 Ye & Jiang, 2005; Penfield S, Hall A. 2009; Park, Kim & Yun, 2013; Li et al., 2017), were used
99 to verify the selected RGs.

100 MATERIALS AND METHODS

101 Plant Materials and Stress Treatments

102 *S. chamaejasme* seeds were collected from Qilian, Qinghai province. After peeling, the seeds
103 were treated with 98% H₂SO₄ for 9 – 11 min and were then rinsed for 30 min with running water
104 and planted in individual pots (14.5 × 14.5 × 6.5 cm) filled with nutrition soil, vermiculite and
105 perlite (6 : 1 : 1). Germinated seeds were grown 7 weeks and were then transferred to nurseries
106 potted with double-layered filter paper for 3 days of adaptation cultivation. All of the nursery
107 pots were placed in an artificial climate chamber at a temperature of 25 ± 2°C during the day
108 and 15 ± 2°C at night, with a relative humidity of 50 – 55% and illumination intensity of 300
109 μmol m⁻²s⁻¹ (14/ 10 h, day/ night). Three pots of 7-week-old seedlings (three biological
110 replicates) with a consistent growth status for each group were chosen and treated with abiotic
111 stresses and hormone treatments.

112 For drought and salt treatments, 20% PEG-6000 (w/v, Sangon, China) (Zhuang et al., 2015)
113 and 300 mM NaCl (Sangon, China) (Wang et al., 2015) were applied to irrigate the seedlings,
114 respectively. For cold stress, the seedlings in the nursery pots were shifted to another artificial
115 climate chamber at 4°C. For hormone treatments, the leaves were sprayed with 0.1 mM ABA
116 (Reddy et al., 2016; Wan et al., 2017), 0.1 mM GA (Li et al., 2016b), or 1.5 mM ETH (Wu et al.,
117 2016). Seedlings were irrigated or sprayed every 12 hours during the course of the experiment.
118 Complete seedlings were carefully collected at 0 h, 3 h, 12 h, 24 h, and 48 h after treatments;
119 immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen; and stored at –80°C refrigerator until total RNA isolation.

120 Total RNA Isolation and First Strand cDNA Synthesis

121 Five random individual plants, approximately 100 mg of seedlings in each sample, were used for
122 total RNA isolation with a TRNzol reagent kit (TIANGEN, China). The concentration and
123 260/280 and 260/230 ratios of the RNA samples were detected with a Nano Drop ND-1000
124 Spectrophotometer (Nano Drop Technologies, USA), and the integrity of all of the RNA samples
125 was verified by 1.0% (w/v) agarose gel electrophoresis (AGE). Subsequently, for reverse
126 transcription PCR (RT-PCR) and qRT-PCR, a total of 3.0 μg of RNA was DNase I (Ambion,
127 USA) treated and purified and then used to synthesize first strand cDNA by reverse transcription
128 following (Roche, USA) in a 20 μl reaction system. Finally, cDNA diluted 50-fold with ddH₂O,
129 was used as the template for PCR amplification.

Commented [AR5]: delete

Commented [AR6]: delete

130 Candidate RG Selection and Primer Design

131 Ten candidate RGs from the local *S. chamaejasme* transcriptome database were selected by using
132 local NCBI-blast (version 2.4.0+). The sequences of these genes were used to design the qRT-
133 PCR primers using Primer 5.0, Oligo 7.60 and Beacon Designer 8.20 software with the following
134 criteria: melting temperature TM of 50 – 65°C, primer lengths of 17 – 25 bp, GC contents of 45 –
135 55% and product lengths of 90 – 300 bp. The specificity of all of the selected primer pairs was

136 observed via RT-PCR using the cDNA of control groups at 0 h as the template, and each gene
137 fragmentation was underpinned by 2.0% (w/v) AGE and sequenced to ensure its reliability.

138 RT-PCR and qRT-PCR Analysis

139 To confirm the specificity of each primer that we designed, we performed RT-PCR in a 25 µl
140 system using the Bio-Rad C1000 PCR system (Bio-Rad, USA). The reaction system was as
141 follows: 2.5 µl of Ex Taq buffer, 2 µl of dNTPs, 0.125 µl of TaKaRa Ex Taq (TaKaRa, China),
142 2.0 µl of cDNA template, 0.5 µl of the forward primer (10 µM), 0.5 µl of the reverse primer (10
143 µM) and 17.375 µl of sterilized water. The RT-PCR reaction parameters were: 95°C for 3 min, 40
144 cycles at 95°C for 30 s, 58°C for 30 s, 72°C for 20 s, and 72°C for 5 min. The amplification
145 products were evaluated by 2.0% (w/v) AGE. To further confirm that the amplicon corresponded
146 with what we desired, target products contained in the agarose gel were extracted using a
147 TIANgel Midi Purification Kit (TIANGEN, China) and then sequenced.

Commented [AR7]: refer amounts or concentrations, not µl;
water volume is not needed as the reaction volume is referred above

Commented [AR8]: replace by: ...to the target sequence, PCR
.....

Commented [AR9]: where and how?

148 qRT-PCR reactions were carried out with the Fast Start Universal SYBR GreenMaster (Roche,
149 Germany) on a Bio-Rad CFX96 Real-Time PCR system (Bio-Rad, USA) in accordance with the
150 manufacturer's instructions. Reactions were conducted at 95°C for 3 min as an initial activation,
151 followed by 40 cycles at 95°C for 10 s, 58°C for 10 s, and 72°C for 20 s. After 40 cycles, The
152 melting curves, ranging from 58°C to 95°C, were determined to check the specificity of the
153 amplicons. In the negative control group, qRT-PCR was performed using water instead of cDNA
154 as the template. Three technical replicates were analyzed for each biological sample, and the final
155 Ct values for each set of samples were the average of three biological replicates. A total of 45
156 cDNA samples from five time points in the control groups were used to determine the mean
157 amplification efficiency (E) of each primer pair by calculating with the LinRegPCR program
158 (Ruijter et al., 2009; Zhuang et al., 2015; Vavrinova, Behuliak & Zicha, 2016; Wu et al., 2016).

Commented [AR10]: denaturation

159 Data Analysis of Gene Expression Stability

160 Three different types of statistical tools: geNorm (version 3.5), NormFinder (version 0.953) and
161 BestKeeper (version 1.0), were applied to rank the expression stability of the RGs across all of
162 the experimental sets. For geNorm and NormFinder, the raw Ct values calculated by the CFX
163 equipment™ software were converted into the relative quantities using the formula $2^{-\Delta Ct}$ ($\Delta Ct =$
164 each corresponding Ct value – lowest Ct value) for gene expression profiling. For BestKeeper,
165 the raw Ct values and amplification efficiencies estimated by the LinRegPCR program were used
166 to calculate the coefficient of variation (CV) and standard deviation (SD). The RG with the
167 lowest CV ± SD value was identified as the most stable gene. geNorm software was also used to
168 determine the proper RG numbers with pair wise variation (V_n/V_{n+1} , n refers to the RGs
169 number) between two sequential normalization factors.

170 Validation of Reference Genes

171 To test the accuracy of the analysis results from this study, the geometric mean from the sort
172 results of geNorm, NormFinder, and BestKeeper in each subset were used to calculate the
173 comprehensive ranking of the candidate genes. The smaller the comprehensive ranking results,
174 the better the gene expression stability. Then, the combination of the top two best RGs, best
175 ranked RG and worst ranked RG were used to standardize the expression of two target genes, i.e.,

P5CS2 and *GI*, under different experimental conditions. Furthermore, the expression levels of *P5CS2* and *GI* under salt stress calculated by the combination of the top two best RGs were also compared with the FPKM values in the *S. chamaejasme* transcriptome database.

RESULTS

Selection of Candidate RGs and Target Genes

After comparing the reported RGs in other species with the local transcriptome database of *S. chamaejasme* using the local BLAST program, ten RGs and two target genes were chosen to perform the gene normalization studies. The results showed that the E value of each blast gene indicated high homology. The untranslated region (UTR) of these full-length unigene sequences were used to design the specific primers for RT-PCR and qRT-PCR. The unigene ID, NCBI accession number, gene symbol, gene name, homolog locus of 10 candidate RGs and two target genes, and E value compared with those of the homologous genes are listed in Table 1.

Commented [AR11]: lower case

Verification of the Primer Specificity and qRT-PCR Amplification Efficiency

The specificity of each primer was tested by 2.0% AGE, sequencing and melting curves analysis, which provided the expected amplicon length (Supplemental figure 1) and single peak melting curves (Supplemental figure 2). The primer sequences, amplicon size, product T_m, amplification efficiencies, and other relevant information are given in Table 2. The amplification product length of PCR varied from 94 bp to 267 bp. The T_m for all PCR products spanned from 76.0°C for *MDH* to 83.5°C for *GAPCPI*. The E-values of these genes were between 1.824 (*MDH*) and 1.930 (*GAPDH2*), and the linear correlation coefficients (R²) varied from 0.994 (*SAND*) to 0.998 (*CYP*). In conclusion, we had every reason to believe that all of these specificity and efficiency estimates of the amplification were reliable for further analysis.

Expression Profiles of Candidate RGs

Boxplot analysis of the Ct values of different reference genes in all of the experimental samples was performed using origin 2017 software (Fig. 1). The results demonstrated that the mean Ct values of the ten candidate RGs presented a relatively wide field, from 19.26 to 30.76. *60S* showed the least expression variation, while *18S* exhibited the highest variation, with the Ct values ranging from 15.58 to 22.59. Since the Ct values are negatively related to the gene expression levels, the smaller the Ct value, the higher the gene expression level. As Fig. 1 shows, *18S* was the highest-expressed RG for its lowest mean Ct value (15.58), and *GAPCPI* had the lowest expression level on account of its maximum mean Ct value (32.58).

Analysis of Gene Expression Stability

geNorm analysis. geNorm calculates the gene expression stability measure M value as the average pairwise variation V for the RG and other tested RGs (Vandesompele et al., 2002). The smaller the M value, the more stable the gene, and vice versa. In our study, the M values of the 10 candidate RGs of *S. chamaejasme* calculated by geNorm software were below 1.5 in all of the experimental settings (Fig. 2), suggesting that these genes should be considered relatively stable. As described in Fig. 2A, Fig. 2B and Fig. 2C, *GAPCPI* and *EF1B* under drought stress, *GAPCPI* and *60S* under cold stress, and *EF1B* and *60S* under salt stress were the most stable RGs

215 with the lowest M values of 0.07, 0.05 and 0.19, respectively. At the same time, in the ABA (Fig.
216 2D), GA (Fig. 2E), and ETH (Fig. 2F) treatment groups, SAND and TUA6, TUA1 and CYP,
217 GAPDH2 and 60S were considered to be the most stable genes with the lowest M values of 0.21,
218 0.22 and 0.19, respectively. In addition, for all of the sample sets (Fig. 2G), GAPCP1 and CYP
219 were suggested to be two most stable RGs. On the contrary, I8S was the least stable gene in all of
220 the sets except for ETH treatment, in which TUA6 was the least stable gene.

221 **NormFinder analysis.** NormFinder provides a stability value for each gene by analyzing
222 expression data obtained through qRT-PCR, which is a direct measurement for estimating
223 expression variation when the gene is used for normalization (Dheda et al., 2005). The orders
224 based on the stability values calculated by NormFinder (Table 3) were similar to those
225 determined by geNorm. The stability ranking results under cold stress and in the GA treatment
226 subsets were completely consistent with the results determined through geNorm; meanwhile,
227 TUA6 and I8S were the two least stable genes for ETH treatment and the rest of the treatments.
228 For the cold stress group, GAPCP1 and EF1B were the most two stable RGs (also ranked first by
229 geNorm). For the salt stress group, GAPCP1 and TUA1 were the two most stable RGs, which
230 was different from the geNorm results. For all samples, ABA-treated and ETH-treated subsets,
231 NormFinder suggested that GAPCP1 and 60S, TUA1 and SAND, GAPDH2 and 60S were the
232 most stable RGs, respectively, which were not exactly the same as the geNorm analysis results.

Commented [AR12]: replace by: the two most

233 **BestKeeper analysis.** BestKeeper evaluates the RG expression stability by calculating the CV
234 and SD of the average Ct values. A lower CV value indicates more stable RG expression, and
235 candidate RGs with SD values greater than 1.0 were judged to be unstable and should be avoided
236 for gene expression normalization (Guenin et al., 2009). As shown in Table 4, under drought
237 stress and for all of the sample subsets, TUA1 had the lowest CV \pm SD values of 0.52 ± 0.16 and
238 0.53 ± 0.16 and was considered to be the most stable RG. Under the cold stress condition and salt
239 stress and ABA treatment subsets, EF1B, which had the lowest CV \pm SD values of 1.16 ± 0.31 ,
240 1.35 ± 0.36 and 1.04 ± 0.27 , respectively, was identified as the best RG. In the GA treatment
241 subset, TUA6 had the lowest CV \pm SD value of 0.82 ± 0.22 and was the most stable. In the ETH
242 treatment subset, BestKeeper suggested that GAPDH2 was the most stable RG with the lowest
243 CV \pm SD value of 0.68 ± 0.18 . Additionally, only a few genes had a SD value greater than 1.0,
244 indicating that most of the candidate RGs were relatively stable. Except for the ETH treatment
245 subset, the most unstable RG among all of the experimental settings was I8S, which was the
246 same as the results of geNorm and NormFinder.

Commented [AR13]: should be part of Material and Methods; not here

247 Determination of the Optimal Number of RGs

248 At the suggestion of the geNorm Service tool, the critical value V_n/V_{n+1} to determine the
249 optimal RG number for qRT-PCR normalization is 0.15, below which the inclusion of an
250 additional RG is not required (Vandesompele et al., 2002). As Fig. 3 shows, the $V_{2/3}$ values of
251 all of the experimental groups were less than 0.15, which indicated that a two RG combination
252 would be sufficient to use for normalization.

253 Comprehensive Stability Analysis of RGs

254 Table 5 and Fig. 4 summarize and rank the determination results obtained from the geNorm,
255 NormFinder and Bestkeeper programs. Based on the analysis results, GAPCP1 and EF1B were
256 the most stable RGs under three abiotic stresses; thus, TUA6 and SAND, TUA1 and CYP,

GAPDH2 and *60S* were the best RG combinations under the ABA, GA and ETH treatments, respectively. Still, *18S* was the most unstable RG under all of the experimental conditions.

Reference Gene Validation

As shown in Fig. 5 and Fig. 6, when the best RG combinations were used for performing normalization, the expression levels of *P5CS2* and *GI* were affected by different treatments. A sustained increase in expression level of *P5CS2* was observed after drought stress, and a peak point was observed at 48 h (Fig. 5A). A tendency of first increase, after the downward, and then upward in the transcript level of *P5CS2* appeared after cold and GA treatments (Fig. 5B and 5E). Additional, upregulated expression of *P5CS2* was observed after salt and ABA treatments, and reached the maximum value at 12 h and 24h following a decrease (Fig. 5C and 5D). Whereas, *P5CS2* expression was first downregulated at 3 h after ETH treatment and then began to continuous increase, reaching the maximum at 48 h (Fig. 5F). The maximal expression levels of *GI* under drought (Fig. 6A), cold (Fig. 6B), salt (Fig. 6C), ABA (Fig. 6D) and ETH (Fig. 6E) treatments also appeared prominent changes, which were 4.66-fold, 29.22-fold, 2.10-fold, 6.45-fold and 2.45-fold higher than those of the control group, while there was no significant difference under GA treatment (Fig. 6E).

Compared with the best RG combinations for normalization of *P5CS* and *G1*, similar expression patterns were obtained when the most stable single genes, *GAPCP1* (drought and cold), *EF1B* (salt), *TUA6* (ABA), *TUA1* (GA) and *GAPDH2* (ETH), were used for normalization under the above treatments. However, different expression patterns were generated and the expression levels of *P5CS2* and *GI* were overestimated when the least stable gene, *18S*, was selected as the RG for normalization.

In particular, as shown in Fig. 5C, under salt treatment, when the RG combination (*GAPCP1* and *EF1B*) was selected for normalization, gene expression of *P5CS2* gradually increased from 0 h, reached the maximum at 24 h, and then began to slightly decline at 48 h. In the same way, the expression levels of *GI* increased at first, then decreased and maintained a lower level until 48 h (Fig. 6C). The expression trends of *P5CS2* and *GI* over the first 24 hours were generally consistent with those of RNA-seq (Supplemental figure 3), which further validated the accuracy and reliability of our experimental results.

DISCUSSION

qRT-PCR is currently viewed as a powerful technique that can be used to quantify target gene expression. The accuracy of qRT-PCR directly depends on the stability of the internal genes used. The use of inappropriate RGs for normalizing qRT-PCR data will lead to deviations in the results (Shivhare & Lata, 2016). In this study, three programs, geNorm, NormFinder and Bestkeeper, were used to select optimum RGs for six different experimental conditions. As described in results above, three types of data analysis software detected different RGs as stable internal controls for qRT-PCR normalization based on different mathematical methods or parameters. The ten potential RGs exhibited differential stability in response to different stresses. Taking ABA treatment as an example, in the experimental subset, geNorm software ranked *SAND* as the best RG, and NormFinder regarded *TUA1* as the most stable RG. However, Bestkeeper identified *EF1B* as the best RG according to its lowest CV value. This means that the three types of software generated different results, and a solution was not found. Our study

Commented [AR14]: Additionally,

Commented [AR15]: Not necessary

carried out a comprehensive analysis and provided ultimate stability ordering results by ranking the geometric means of the three software analysis results, which is a common strategy for evaluating the expression stability of RGs reported in previous scientific papers.

EF1B catalyzes the exchange of guanosine diphosphate (GDP) bound to the G-protein, elongation factor 1-alpha (*EF1A*), for guanosine triphosphate (GTP), an important step in the elongation cycle of protein biosynthesis. It has been considered to be one of the most stable reference genes during drought and salt stresses (Wan et al. 2017) and other stress conditions (Ma et al., 2013). In our study, *EF1B* was ranked as one of the two best RGs under drought and salt stresses according to the comprehensive ranking results, which was the same as in *Stipa grandis* (Wan et al. 2017). In addition, *EF1B* performed a better expression stability under three abiotic stresses than those in the three hormone treatments. The results showed that there are no universal RGs that are stably expressed in all biological materials and/or under all trial conditions.

The expression stability of two homologous RGs, *TUA1* and *TUA6*, were estimated in our study. According to the above analysis results, the stability ranking of *TUA1* was always better than that of *TUA6* under all conditions except the ABA treatment, under which *TUA6* exhibited better expression stability. Nevertheless, it is notable that the homologous RGs showed different rank orders in each subset, and in most cases, *TUA1* showed better expression stability than *TUA6*. For the homolog genes, they had similar coding sequences from different gene loci. This means that we need to ensure primer specificity when we amplify these homogenous sequences from their gene families. In our study, the primer sets were designed in the UTR to avoid the conserved domain, which to a large extent enabled gene specific amplification.

Commented [AR16]: Irrelevant; most importantly, bring forward to the discussion a comparison on the use of these 2 genes in previous studies

18S is a frequently used HKG and is widely used for normalization in qRT-PCR analysis. Wang et al. (2017) reported that *18S rRNA* was the most stably expressed gene under diverse heavy metals stresses in tea plants; Huang et al.(2017) also found *18S rRNA* was the most stable gene under UV irradiation and hormonal stimuli in *Baphicacanthus cusia*. However, Our analysis results suggested that *18S* was the most unstable RG in all of the experiment groups because of its excessively high expression level. In comparison with the best RG combination and the most stable RG, when *18S* was selected as a RG to validate the expression of the two target genes *P5CS2* and *GI*, their expression patterns were significantly overestimated, which was consistent with the findings in *Oxytropis ochrocephala* (Zhuang et al., 2015) and *rice* (Bevitori et al., 2014).

Commented [AR17]: Also found that

Commented [AR18]: Lower case

Two target genes, *P5CS2* and *GI*, were used to verify the stability of the selected RGs for gene expression normalization. Strizhov et al. (1997) stated that expression of *Arabidopsis thaliana P5CS (AtP5CS)* is root and leaf specific and can be regulated by salinity, drought and ABA. The same experimental results were reproduced in our experiments. We also found that *P5CS* could be efficiently expressed during the later period of cold stress, which may be a supplement to previous findings. The induction mechanism remains to be further studied. Cao, Ye and Jiang (2005) reported that *GI* is induced by cold stress, but not by salt, mannitol, and ABA. By contrast, Park, Kim and Yun (2013) and Kim et al. (2013) claimed that *GI*, as a negative regulator, participated in the regulation of salt stress in *Arabidopsis* by interacting with salt overly sensitive 2 (*SOS2*). Moreover, Riboni et al. (2016) revealed that ABA affects flowering through two independent regulatory mechanisms: activation of *GI* and constant (*CO*) functions upstream of the florigen genes and down-regulation of the suppressor of overexpression of *CO1*

(*SOC1*) signaling. Our findings indicated that the gene expression of *GI* not only changed under salt stress and cold stress but also underwent a significant change under drought, ABA and ETH treatments. We have reason to believe that these mechanisms will be revealed with future in-depth experiments.

There is no doubt that it is necessary to select suitable RGs and/or RG combinations for gene normalization studies to obtain more accurate and reliable results. Combined with all of the validation results above, we observed that, in most cases, *P5CS2* and *GI* showed similar response patterns when normalized by the RG combinations and were the most stable RGs, but some differences still emerged. Unfortunately, we could not tell which choice was better for normalization. However, to eliminate the small variations caused by technical protocols in qRT-PCR, two or more RGs are often required to correct for non-specific experimental variation (Thellin et al., 1999; Bustin et al., 2009). In this study, two RG combinations, whose V2/3 values were less than 0.15 across all of the experimental subsets, which was in line with the geNorm software results, completely meet the requirements of normalization.

Commented [AR19]: Insert: most stable RG combinations

Commented [AR20]: Finalize the sentence (and thus the section) with the selected combinations

CONCLUSIONS

This study represents the first attempt to comprehensively analyze the stability of RGs for use as internal controls in qRT-PCR analysis of target gene expression in *S. chamaejasme* under three abiotic stresses and three hormone treatments by combining results from the three different methods. The results indicated that the stability of an identical gene was not exactly the same under different treatments, and the stability ranking of the RGs calculated by three parameters was not identical under the same treatment. As a result, it makes sense to carry out a comprehensive analysis of the results of the three procedures. Moreover, it may be a better choice to select a combination of two or more RGs as an effective internal control to further improve the accuracy and reliability of gene expression normalization under different stresses. In conclusion, this study provides a guideline to select a valid RG combination that can ensure more accurate qRT-PCR-based gene expression quantification and basic data to facilitate future molecular studies on gene expression in *S. chamaejasme* and the other Thymelaeaceae species (Che et al., 2016).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are particularly grateful to Jiakun Dai, Na Fan, Shilan Feng, and other members of our research group for their helpful comments/suggestions to improve the experimental design.

REFERENCES

- Andersen CL, Jensen JL, and Orntoft TF. 2004. Normalization of real-time quantitative reverse transcription-PCR data: a model-based variance estimation approach to identify genes suited for normalization, applied to bladder and colon cancer data sets. *Cancer Research* 64:5245-5250. DOI: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-04-0496
- Bevitori R, Oliveira MB, Grossi-de-Sa MF, Lanna AC, da Silveira RD, and Petrofeza S. 2014. Selection of optimized candidate reference genes for qRT-PCR normalization in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) during *Magnaporthe oryzae* infection and drought. *Genetics and Molecular Research* 13:9795-9805. DOI: 10.4238/2014.November.27.7

382 Bustin SA, Benes V, Garson JA, Hellemans J, Huggett J, Kubista M, Mueller R, Nolan T, Pfaffl
383 MW, Shipley GL, Vandesompele J, and Wittwer CT. 2009. The MIQE guidelines:
384 minimum information for publication of quantitative real-time PCR experiments. *Clinical*
385 *Chemistry* 55:611-622. DOI: 10.1373/clinchem.2008.112797

386 Cao J, Wang L, and Lan H. 2016. Validation of reference genes for quantitative RT-PCR
387 normalization in *Suaeda aralocaspica*, an annual halophyte with heteromorphism and C4
388 pathway without Kranz anatomy. *PeerJ* 4:e1697. DOI: 10.7717/peerj.1697

389 Cao S, Ye M, and Jiang S. 2005. Involvement of GIGANTEA gene in the regulation of the cold
390 stress response in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell Reports* 24:683-690. DOI: 10.1007/s00299-
391 005-0061-x

392 Che JX, Shi JL, Lu Y, and Liu YL. 2016. Validation of reference genes for normalization of gene
393 expression by qRT-PCR in a resveratrol-producing entophytic fungus (*Alternaria* sp.
394 MG1). *AMB Express* 6:106. DOI: 10.1186/s13568-016-0283-z

395 Chen Y, Hu B, Tan Z, Liu J, Yang Z, Li Z, and Huang B. 2015. Selection of reference genes for
396 quantitative real-time PCR normalization in creeping bentgrass involved in four abiotic
397 stresses. *Plant Cell Rep* 34:1825-1834. DOI: 10.1007/s00299-015-1830-9

398 Demidenko NV, Logacheva MD, and Penin AA. 2011. Selection and validation of reference
399 genes for quantitative real-time PCR in buckwheat (*Fagopyrum esculentum*) based on
400 transcriptome sequence data. *PLoS One* 6:e19434. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0019434

401 Derveaux S, Vandesompele J, and Hellemans J. 2010. How to do successful gene expression
402 analysis using real-time PCR. *Methods* 50:227-230. DOI: 10.1016/j.ymeth.2009.11.001

403 Dheda K, Huggett JF, Chang JS, Kim LU, Bustin SA, Johnson MA, Rook GAW, and Zumla A.
404 2005. The implications of using an inappropriate reference gene for real-time reverse
405 transcription PCR data normalization. *Analytical Biochemistry* 344:141-143. DOI:
406 10.1016/j.ab.2005.05.022

407 Ferraz Dos Santos L, Santana Silva RJ, Oliveira Jordao do Amaral D, Barbosa de Paula MF,
408 Ludke Falcao L, Legavre T, Alves RM, Marcellino LH, and Micheli F. 2016. Selection of
409 reference genes for expression study in pulp and seeds of *Theobroma grandiflorum*
410 (Willd. ex Spreng.) Schum. *PLoS One* 11:e0160646. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0160646

411 Fiume E, and Fletcher JC. 2012. Regulation of *Arabidopsis* embryo and endosperm development
412 by the polypeptide signaling molecule CLE8. *Plant Cell* 24:1000-1012. DOI:
413 10.1105/tpc.111.094839

414 Gong L, Yang Y, Chen Y, Shi J, Song Y, and Zhang H. 2016. LbCML38 and LbRH52, two
415 reference genes derived from RNA-Seq data suitable for assessing gene expression in
416 *Lycium barbarum* L. *Scientific Reports* 6:37031. DOI: 10.1038/srep37031

417 Guenin S, Mauriat M, Pelloux J, Van Wuytswinkel O, Bellini C, and Gutierrez L. 2009.
418 Normalization of qRT-PCR data: the necessity of adopting a systematic, experimental
419 conditions-specific, validation of references. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 60:487-493.
420 DOI: 10.1093/jxb/ern305

421 Huang Y, Tan H, Yu J, Chen Y, Guo Z, Wang G, Zhang Q, Chen J, Zhang L, and Diao Y. 2017.
422 Stable internal reference genes for normalizing real-time quantitative PCR in

423 *Baphicacanthus cusia* under hormonal stimuli and UV irradiation, and in different Plant
424 organs. *Front Plant Sci* 8:668. DOI: 10.3389/fpls.2017.00668

425 Huggett J, Dheda K, Bustin S, and Zumla A. 2005. Real-time RT-PCR normalisation; strategies
426 and considerations. *Genes and Immunity* 6:279-284. DOI: 10.1038/sj.gene.6364190

427 Kim WY, Ali Z, Park HJ, Park SJ, Cha JY, Perez-Hormaeche J, Quintero FJ, Shin G, Kim MR,
428 Qiang Z, Ning L, Park HC, Lee SY, Bressan RA, Pardo JM, Bohnert HJ, and Yun DJ.
429 2013. Release of SOS2 kinase from sequestration with GIGANTEA determines salt
430 tolerance in *Arabidopsis*. *Nat Commun* 4:1352. DOI: 10.1038/ncomms2357

431 Li J, Jia H, Han X, Zhang J, Sun P, Lu M, and Hu J. 2016a. Selection of reliable reference genes
432 for gene expression analysis under abiotic stresses in the desert biomass willow, *Salix*
433 *psammophila*. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 7:1505. DOI: 10.3389/fpls.2016.01505

434 Li MY, Song X, Wang F, and Xiong AS. 2016b. Suitable reference genes for accurate gene
435 expression analysis in parsley (*Petroselinum crispum*) for abiotic stresses and hormone
436 stimuli. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 7:1481. DOI: 10.3389/fpls.2016.01481

437 Li S, Ying Y, Secco D, Wang C, Narsai R, Whelan J, and Shou H. 2017. Molecular interaction
438 between PHO2 and GIGANTEA reveals a new crosstalk between flowering time and
439 phosphate homeostasis in *Oryza sativa*. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 40:1487-1499. DOI:
440 10.1111/pce.12945

441 Ma S, Niu H, Zhang J, Hou C, and Wang D. 2013. Expression stabilities of candidate
442 reference genes for RT-qPCR under different stress conditions in soybean. *PLoS One*
443 8:e75271. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0075271

444 Nicot N, Hausman JF, Hoffmann L, and Evers D. 2005. Housekeeping gene selection for real-
445 time RT-PCR normalization in potato during biotic and abiotic stress. *Journal of*
446 *Experimental Botany* 56:2907-2914. DOI: 10.1093/jxb/eri285

447 Paolacci AR, Tanzarella OA, Porceddu E, and Ciaffi M. 2009. Identification and validation of
448 reference genes for quantitative RT-PCR normalization in wheat. *Bmc Molecular Biology*
449 10:11. DOI: 10.1186/1471-2199-10-11

450 Park HJ, Kim WY, and Yun DJ. 2013. A role for GIGANTEA: keeping the balance between
451 flowering and salinity stress tolerance. *Plant Signaling and Behavior* 8:e24820. DOI:
452 10.4161/psb.24820

453 Penfield S, Hall A. 2009. A role for multiple circadian clock genes in the response to signals that
454 break seed dormancy in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* 21:1722-32. DOI:
455 10.1105/tpc.108.064022.

456 Pfaffl MW, Tichopad A, Prgomet C, and Neuvians TP. 2004. Determination of stable
457 housekeeping genes, differentially regulated target genes and sample integrity:
458 BestKeeper--Excel-based tool using pair-wise correlations. *Biotechnology Letters* 26:509-
459 515.

460 Qi S, Yang L, Wen X, Hong Y, Song X, Zhang M, and Dai S. 2016. Reference gene selection for
461 RT-qPCR analysis of flower development in *Chrysanthemum morifolium* and
462 *Chrysanthemum lavandulifolium*. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 7:287. DOI:
463 10.3389/fpls.2016.00287

464 Reddy DS, Bhatnagar-Mathur P, Reddy PS, Sri Cindhuri K, Sivaji Ganesh A, and Sharma KK.
 465 2016. Identification and validation of reference genes and their impact on normalized
 466 gene expression studies across cultivated and wild *Cicer* species. *PLoS One* 11:e0148451.
 467 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0148451

468 Riboni M, Robustelli Test A, Galbiati M, Tonelli C, and Conti L. 2016. ABA-dependent control
 469 of GIGANTEA signalling enables drought escape via up-regulation of FLOWERING
 470 LOCUS T in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 67:6309-6322. DOI:
 471 10.1093/jxb/erw384

472 Ruijter JM, Ramakers C, Hoogaars WM, Karlen Y, Bakker O, van den Hoff MJ, and Moorman
 473 AF. 2009. Amplification efficiency: linking baseline and bias in the analysis of
 474 quantitative PCR data. *Nucleic Acids Research* 37:e45. DOI: 10.1093/nar/gkp045

475 Shi Z, and Wei Y. 2016. *Important poisonous plants of china grassland*. Beijing: China
 476 Agriculture Press.

477 Shivhare R, and Lata C. 2016. Selection of suitable reference genes for assessing gene expression
 478 in pearl millet under different abiotic stresses and their combinations. *Scientific Reports*
 479 6:23036. DOI: 10.1038/srep23036

480 Strizhov N, Abraham E, Okresz L, Blickling S, Zilberstein A, Schell J, Koncz C, and Szabados
 481 L. 1997. Differential expression of two P5CS genes controlling proline accumulation
 482 during salt-stress requires ABA and is regulated by ABA1, ABI1 and AXR2 in
 483 *Arabidopsis*. *The Plant Journal* 12:557-569.

484 Taylor CM, Jost R, Erskine W, and Nelson MN. 2016. Identifying stable reference genes for
 485 qRT-PCR normalisation in gene expression studies of narrow-leaved lupin (*Lupinus*
 486 *angustifolius* L.). *PLoS One* 11:e0148300. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0148300

487 Thellin O, Zorzi W, Lakaye B, De Borman B, Coumans B, Hennen G, Grisar T, Igout A, and
 488 Heinen E. 1999. Housekeeping genes as internal standards: use and limits. *Journal of*
 489 *Experimental Botany* 75:291-295.

490 Tseng YC. 1999. *Flora Reipublicae Popularis Sinicae*. Beijing: Science Press. p 397.

491 Vandesompele J, De Preter K, Pattyn F, Poppe B, Van Roy N, De Paepe A, and Speleman F.
 492 2002. Accurate normalization of real-time quantitative RT-PCR data by geometric
 493 averaging of multiple internal control genes. *Genome Biology* 3:RESEARCH0034.

494 Vavrinova A, Behuliak M, and Zicha J. 2016. The importance of the selection of appropriate
 495 reference genes for gene expression profiling in adrenal medulla or sympathetic ganglia
 496 of spontaneously hypertensive rat. *Physiological Research* 65:401-411.

497 Wan D, Wan Y, Yang Q, Zou B, Ren W, Ding Y, Wang Z, Wang R, Wang K, and Hou X. 2017.
 498 Selection of reference genes for qRT-PCR analysis of gene expression in *Stipa grandis*
 499 during environmental stresses. *PLoS One* 12:e0169465. DOI:
 500 10.1371/journal.pone.0169465

501 Wang ML, Li QH, Xin HH, Chen X, Zhu XJ, and Li XH. 2017. Reliable reference genes for
 502 normalization of gene expression data in tea plants (*Camellia sinensis*) exposed to metal
 503 stresses. *PLoS One* 12:e0175863. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0175863

504 Wang X, He J, Wang W, Ren M, Gao S, Zhao G, Wang J, and Yang Q. 2016a. Validation of
 505 internal reference genes for relative quantitation studies of gene expression in human
 506 laryngeal cancer. *PeerJ* 4:e2763. DOI: 10.7717/peerj.2763

507 Wang X, Ma X, Huang L, and Zhang X. 2015. Identification of the valid reference genes for
 508 quantitative RT-PCR in annual ryegrass (*Lolium multiflorum*) under salt stress. *Molecules*
 509 20:4833-4847. DOI: 10.3390/molecules20034833

510 Wang Y, Chen Y, Ding L, Zhang J, Wei J, and Wang H. 2016b. Validation of reference genes for
 511 gene expression by quantitative real-time RT-PCR in stem segments spanning primary to
 512 secondary growth in *Populus tomentosa*. *PLoS One* 11:e0157370. DOI:
 513 10.1371/journal.pone.0157370

514 Warrington JA, Nair A, Mahadevappa M, and Tsyganskaya M. 2000. Comparison of human
 515 adult and fetal expression and identification of 535 housekeeping/maintenance genes.
 516 *Physiological Genomics* 2:143-147.

517 Wu J, Zhang H, Liu L, Li W, Wei Y, and Shi S. 2016. Validation of reference genes for RT-
 518 qPCR studies of gene expression in preharvest and postharvest Longan fruits under
 519 different experimental conditions. *Frontier in Plant Science* 7:780. DOI:
 520 10.3389/fpls.2016.00780

521 Zhuang H, Fu Y, He W, Wang L, and Wei Y. 2015. Selection of appropriate reference genes for
 522 quantitative real-time PCR in *Oxytropis ochrocephala* Bunge using transcriptome datasets
 523 under abiotic stress treatments. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 6:475. DOI:
 524 10.3389/fpls.2015.00475