PeerJ

Current state of knowledge on *Wolbachia* infection among Coleoptera: a systematic review

Łukasz Kajtoch¹ and Nela Kotásková²

¹ Institute of Systematics and Evolution of Animals Polish Academy of Sciences, Krakow, Poland
 ² Faculty of Science, University of Ostrava, Ostrava, Czech Republic

ABSTRACT

Background. Despite great progress in studies on *Wolbachia* infection in insects, the knowledge about its relations with beetle species, populations and individuals, and the effects of bacteria on these hosts, is still unsatisfactory. In this review we summarize the current state of knowledge about *Wolbachia* occurrence and interactions with Coleopteran hosts.

Methods. An intensive search of the available literature resulted in the selection of 86 publications that describe the relevant details about *Wolbachia* presence among beetles. These publications were then examined with respect to the distribution and taxonomy of infected hosts and diversity of *Wolbachia* found in beetles. Sequences of *Wolbachia* genes (*16S rDNA*, *ftsZ*) were used for the phylogenetic analyses.

Results. The collected publications revealed that Wolbachia has been confirmed in 204 beetle species and that the estimated average prevalence of this bacteria across beetle species is 38.3% and varies greatly across families and genera (0-88% infected members) and is much lower (c. 13%) in geographic studies. The majority of the examined and infected beetles were from Europe and East Asia. The most intensively studied have been two groups of herbivorous beetles: Curculionidae and Chrysomelidae. Coleoptera harbor Wolbachia belonging to three supergroups: F found in only three species, and A and B found in similar numbers of beetles (including some doubly infected); however the latter two were most prevalent in different families. A total of 59% of species with precise data were found to be totally infected. Single infections were found in 69% of species and others were doubly- or multiply-infected. Wolbachia caused numerous effects on its beetle hosts, including selective sweep with host mtDNA (found in 3% of species), cytoplasmic incompatibility (detected in c. 6% of beetles) and other effects related to reproduction or development (like male-killing, possible parthenogenesis or haplodiploidy induction, and egg development). Phylogenetic reconstructions for Wolbachia genes rejected cospeciation between these bacteria and Coleoptera, with minor exceptions found in some Hydraenidae, Curculionidae and Chrysomelidae. In contrast, horizontal transmission of bacteria has been suspected or proven in numerous cases (e.g., among beetles sharing habitats and/or host plants).

Discussion. The present knowledge about *Wolbachia* infection across beetle species and populations is very uneven. Even the basic data about infection status in species and frequency of infected species across genera and families is very superficial, as only c. 0.15% of all beetle species have been tested so far. Future studies on *Wolbachia* diversity in Coleoptera should still be based on the Multi-locus Sequence Typing

Submitted 14 November 2017 Accepted 17 February 2018 Published 9 March 2018

Corresponding author Łukasz Kajtoch, kajtoch@isez.pan.krakow.pl, lukasz.kajtoch@gmail.com

Academic editor Joseph Gillespie

Additional Information and Declarations can be found on page 19

DOI 10.7717/peerj.4471

© Copyright 2018 Kajtoch and Kotásková

Distributed under Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

OPEN ACCESS

system, and next-generation sequencing technologies will be important for uncovering *Wolbachia* relations with host evolution and ecology, as well as with other, co-occurring endosymbiotic bacteria.

Subjects Ecology, Entomology, Evolutionary Studies, Microbiology **Keywords** *α*-proteobacteria, Beetles, Ecology, Endosymbiont, Evolution, Interactions, Intracellular

INTRODUCTION

The relations between the intracellular α -proteobacterium Wolbachia pipientis Hertig 1936 (hereafter Wolbachia) and its hosts from various groups of arthropods and nematodes have been the object of much research and numerous publications (O'Neill et al., 1992; Werren, Windsor & Guo, 1995; Weinert et al., 2015). The majority of these studies have focused on verifying endosymbiotic bacteria occurrence and diversity in various hosts at different levels: (i) among selected species sharing a geographic area (e.g., O'Neill et al., 1992; Werren, Windsor & Guo, 1995; 2000), (ii) among species inhabiting the same environment or that are ecologically-associated (e.g., Stahlhut et al., 2010), (iii) among species from particular taxonomic groups (e.g., Czarnetzki & Tebbe, 2004; Lachowska, Kajtoch & Knutelski, 2010; Sontowski et al., 2015), and (iv) within populations of selected taxa (e.g., Stenberg & Lundmark, 2004; Mazur et al., 2016). Another branch of research on the relations between Wolbachia and its hosts has focused on host species phylogenetics or population genetics, which is in some cases related to population differentiation and speciation (e.g., Kubisz et al., 2012; Montagna et al., 2014). In this research, Wolbachia is sometimes treated as an additional "marker"-a source of genetic data about the eco-evolutionary relations of its hosts. A third type of Wolbachia studies has concerned the direct or indirect effects of the infection on host fitness, development or survival at the individual and population levels (e.g., Weeks, Reynolds & Hoffmann, 2002; O'Neill, 2007). Moreover, in a separate branch of research (or in conjunction with the abovementioned types of studies), Wolbachia is often examined directly, mainly with respect to strain diversity, distribution and relations with other strains or different co-existing bacteria (Baldo & Werren, 2007). All these branches of research have substantially extended the knowledge about the relations between the most widespread intracellular endosymbiont-Wolbachia and its various hosts. Moreover, these studies have been expanded to encompass other bacteria with similar biologies and effects on hosts (like Cardinium, Spiroplasma, Rickettsia) (Zchori-Fein & Perlman, 2004; Goto, Anbutsu & Fukatsu, 2006; Duron et al., 2008; Weinert et al., 2015); however, a great majority of studies are still conducted on Wolbachia (Zug & Hammerstein, 2012). Recently, the various Wolbachia supergroups have been proposed to belong to several "Candidatus Wolbachia" species (Ramirez-Puebla et al., 2015); however, this approach has been criticized (Lindsey et al., 2016). Due to the uncertain species status of the "Candidatus Wolbachia" and because all previous studies considered these presumed different species as distant supergroups, in this review we have followed the previous Wolbachia taxonomy.

In summary, Wolbachia has been detected in 10–70% of examined hosts (Hilgenboecker et al., 2008; Zug & Hammerstein, 2012), depending on the geographical, ecological or taxonomical association of the selected species. Moreover, more detailed studies, at the population level, have shown that infection is not as straightforward as was assumed in the early stages of Wolbachia research. More and more species have been found to be only partially infected, e.g., in only some parts of their ranges or infection was associated with only some phylogenetic lineages (usually correlated with the distribution of mitochondrial lineages) (Clark et al., 2001; Roehrdanz et al., 2006). Furthermore, examples of multiply infected species and individuals have been reported, which has important consequences for the understanding of some of the effects of Wolbachia infection (Malloch, Fenton & Butcher, 2000). Wolbachia is known to have numerous effects on its hosts, among which the most interesting and important are those that disturb host reproduction, such as cytoplasmic incompatibility, thelytokous parthenogenesis, feminization of genetic males, male-killing, increased mating success of infected males via sperm competition and the host's complete dependence on bacteria for egg production (for reviews see Werren, 1997; Werren & O'Neill, 1997; Stouthamer, Breeuwer & Hurst, 1999). Some of these effects are responsible for diversification of host populations and consequently Wolbachia have probably been involved in speciation (e.g., by the selective sweep of mtDNA or the whole genome of the infected host with the genome of bacteria; Keller et al., 2004; Mazur et al., 2016). This could be another major factor, additional to those already known, responsible for radiation of insects and particularly beetles.

There are several reviews summarizing the state of knowledge on *Wolbachia* infection among various taxonomic groups of nematodes and arthropods. Over the last years, such reviews have been prepared for the following groups: filarial nematodes (Filarioidea) (*Taylor* & *Hoerauf*, 1999; *Casiraghi et al.*, 2001), crustaceans (Crustacea) (*Cordaux, Bouchon* & *Greve*, 2011), spiders (Araneae) (*Goodacre et al.*, 2006; *Yun et al.*, 2011), mites (Acari) (*Chaisiri et al.*, 2015), springtails (Collembola) (*Czarnetzki* & *Tebbe*, 2004), Heteropteran Bugs (Heteroptera) (*Kikuchi* & *Fukatsu*, 2003), ants (Formicidae) (*Russell*, 2012), wasps (Hymenoptera: Apocrita) (*Shoemaker et al.*, 2002) and butterflies (Lepidoptera) (*Tagami* & *Miura*, 2004). Surprisingly, there is no such review for beetles (Coleoptera), which include large number of diversified taxa, known from various habitats, and whose members belong to all major trophic guilds of animals. Some groups of beetles have been examined with respect to *Wolbachia* infection, but usually only with a limited coverage of species (e.g., weevils, Curculionidae, *Lachowska*, *Kajtoch* & *Knutelski*, 2010; leaf beetles; Chrysomelidae, *Clark et al.*, 2001; *Jäckel, Mora* & *Dobler*, 2013; jewel beetles; Buprestidae, *Sontowski et al.*, 2015 and minute moss beetles, Hydraenidae, *Sontowski et al.*, 2015).

In this review we have summarized the current state of knowledge on the relations between beetles and *Wolbachia* by referring to all the abovementioned aspects of research. Moreover, we have highlighted future research directions concerning *Wolbachia* relationships with their diverse Coleopteran hosts.

SURVEY METHODOLOGY

We searched the scientific literature with Web of Knowledge databases, using the following combination of keywords linked by AND (the Boolean search term to stipulate that the record should contain this AND the next term): "Wolbachia" AND "Coleoptera" and "Wolbachia" AND "beetles". Our final literature search for this analysis was conducted on December 22, 2017. This produced 322 results. Each result was inspected to determine whether or not it contained information on the subject matter. Articles that had no relevance (e.g., any reports that were not about Wolbachia-Coleoptera relations, including those that only had some references to either beetles or bacteria in the citations) were excluded. After the removal of duplicates, 65 were excluded from the remaining articles (n = 239) for not being direct reports about Wolbachia-Coleoptera relations, 44 were excluded because they examined other hosts and only referred to publications on Coleoptera, and 44 others were excluded because they referred to data already presented in previous publications on Coleoptera. Each document was read critically for the information that it contained on Wolbachia-Coleoptera relations, with special reference to answering the study questions listed below. Figure 1 shows a flow diagram for the systematic review following Prisma guidelines (Moher et al., 2009). We intended to also use data from The National Center for Biotechnology Information database (GenBank) but the majority of hits (if "Wolbachia" AND "Coleoptera" or "beetle" were used) led to either studies not related with Wolbachia infection in beetles (which only included references to some other studies on either bacteria or beetles), or to Wolbachia sequences submitted to GenBank but without any references to published (and reviewed) articles. Searches in NCBI (GenBank) resulted only in the finding of some beetle hosts, which have been already described in papers found via Web of Science searches.

We examined the collected data on various aspects of *Wolbachia* infection in Coleoptera with respect to the following: the (i) characteristics of the publications (to determine the scope and progress of studies on *Wolbachia*) (n = 86), (ii) geographic distribution of infected beetle species and populations (n = 84), (iii) sampling design (how many sites and individuals were examined) (n = 63), (iv) characteristics of the markers (genes) used for genotyping the bacteria (n = 82) and their hosts (n = 34), (v) numbers and frequencies of species found to be infected in particular beetle families and genera (n = 58), (vi) supergroup prevalence in examined taxonomic groups (n = 43), (vii) strain distribution and diversity in populations and individuals (n = 30), (vii) effects of *Wolbachia* on its beetle hosts (n = 39). Statistical analyses (Spearman correlation for number of publication across years and for the number of examined and number of infected species, Chi² test for frequency of supergroups and infected taxa in particular taxonomic groups, Chi2 ANOVA for comparison of single/double/multiple infected taxa, Kruskal–Wallis *Z* test for infection frequency in Chrysomelidae and Curculionidae) were done in Statistica 11 (Statsoft).

Finally, we downloaded from GenBank (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/) and the *Wolbachia* MLST database (https://pubmlst.org/wolbachia/) all available sequences of *Wolbachia* genes found in any species of beetle. We restricted further analyses to the most widely used bacteria genes, i.e., *16S rDNA* and cell division protein gene *ftsZ*. Because of




Figure 1 Prisma flow-diagram (see *Moher et al.*, 2009) for literature on Wolbachia-Coleoptera relations included in this study

Full-size 🖾 DOI: 10.7717/peerj.4471/fig-1

the different lengths and spans of available sequences, the long parts of the 3' and 5' ends of each gene were trimmed, which resulted in alignments of length 663 bp for 16S rDNA and 241 bp for *ftsZ*. The length of the *ftsZ* alignment was particularly short as two different sets of primers have been used for its amplification, and its amplicons only overlapped across a relatively short part of the gene. Phylogenetic trees were only reconstructed for unique gene variants found in particular host taxa. Trees were inferred using Maximum Likelihood (ML) implemented in the IQ-TREE web server (http://www.iqtree.org/) (*Trifinopoulos et al., 2016*) under the following settings Auto selection of substitution model, ultrafast bootstrap approximation (UFBoot) (*Minh, Nguyen & Von Haeseler, 2013*) with 10,000 iterations, maximum correlation coefficient = 0.99, single branch test with use of the approximate Likelihood-Ratio Test (SH-aLRT) (*Anisimova & Gascuel, 2006; Guindon et al., 2010*) and other default options.

The nomenclature of host taxa and their systematic positions throughout the paper follow the articles from which the data was derived.

Peer.




Figure 2 Change in the number of publications considering *Wolbachia* infection among Coleoptera. Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.4471/fig-2

CHARACTERIZATION OF WOLBACHIA INFECTION AMONG COLEOPTERA

Publications

The final list of publications concerning data about *Wolbachia* infection in Coleoptera comprised 86 papers (Table S1). The oldest articles with relevant information about *Wolbachia* infection in beetles were published in 1992 (*Campbell, Bragg & Turner, 1992*; *O'Neill et al., 1992*), and the number of articles since then has increased significantly year by year (Spearman correlation = 0.841; Fig. 2). The majority of these articles (60%) concerned infection in only single beetle species, whereas 19% discussed infection in multiple species belonging to the same genus, 6%—multiple species from the same family, 6%—various species of Coleoptera et al., and a further 9%—studies on geographic groups of insects that included some, usually random species of beetles (*O'Neill et al., 1992*; *Werren, Windsor & Guo, 1995*; *Weinert et al., 2015*.

Most studies were done on Curculionidae (34) and Chrysomelidae (34), following Coccinellidae (10), Tenebrionidae (9), and Sylvanidae (3) (Table S1). The members of all other families were investigated in only 1–2 studies. Consequently, 2.5 and 1.6 Curculionidae and Chrysomelidae species were respectively examined per article. All species of Hydraenidae and Buprestidae were included in only single article (*Sontowski et al., 2015*), whereas limited numbers of species of Coccinellidae and Tenebrionidae were examined in several articles (*Hurst et al., 19991*; *Hurst et al., 1999b*; *Fialho & Stevens, 1996*; *Fialho & Stevens, 1997*; *Fialho & Stevens, 2000*; *Majerus & Majerus, 2000*; *Weinert et al., 2007*; *Elnagdy et al., 2013*; *Ming et al., 2015*; *Goodacre, Fricke & Martin, 2015*; *Kageyama et al., 2010*; *Li et al., 2015*; *Li et al., 2016b*; *Dudek et al., 2017*). *Wolbachia* infection was only studied more than once in 20 species.




Figure 3 Number of publications that described *Wolbachia* infection among Coleoptera and number of infected beetle species. Both are shown with respect to the zoogeography of the examined hosts (from which continent the host was collected).

Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.4471/fig-3


Sampling design


The majority of species investigated with respect to *Wolbachia* infection were from Europe, and a relatively high number of species were from Asia and both Americas, whereas only ten infected species were from Africa, and three from Australia-Oceania (Fig. 3). A number of publications describing *Wolbachia* infection in Coleoptera had similar geographic coverages (Fig. 3).

Studies were done on samples collected from an average of 5.2 sites and concerned on average 53.0 specimens, or if excluding the most widely studied families Curculionidae and Chrysomelidae, 6.0 sites and 65.1 individuals (Fig. 4). For Curculionidae and Chrysomelidae, these numbers were on average 4.4 and 6.0 sites, respectively, and 40.7 and 70.2 individuals, respectively (Fig. 4). The numbers of sites and individuals examined in particular groups were insignificantly different, with the exception of the numbers of examined individuals in Curculionidae and Chrysomelidae (Fig. 4).


Examined genetic markers


The most often used *Wolbachia* gene for studies on Coleoptera was *ftsZ*, followed by *hcpA*, *wsp* and *16S rDNA* (Fig. 5). Most studies using *hcpA* also used other MLST genes, including *ftsZ*. On the other hand, many species were only investigated with either *16S rDNA* or *wsp* or *ftsZ* alone. Single studies used *groEL* (*Monochamus alternatus*, *Aikawa et al.*, 2009; *Tribolium madens*, *Fialho & Stevens*, 2000) or *ITS* genes (*Tribolium madens*,







Full-size 🖾 DOI: 10.7717/peerj.4471/fig-4





Fialho & Stevens, 2000). So far, only five studies have used next-generation sequencing technology (Illumina or 454) to detect *Wolbachia*; two used 16S rDNA for metabarcoding of microbiota (*Sitona obsoletus, Steriphus variabilis, White et al., 2015; Aleochara bilineata* and *Aleochara* bipustulata, *Bili et al., 2016; Hylobius abietis, Berasategui et al., 2016; Brontispa longissimi, Takano et al., 2017; Harmonia axyridis, Dudek et al., 2017*) and one used shotgun genomic sequencing (*Amara alpine, Heintzman et al., 2014*). For genotyping of hosts, 52.4% of studies utilized fragments of *COI* from mtDNA (usually a barcode fragment of this gene). Fewer studies (23.1%) analyzed rDNA (usually *ITS1* and/or *ITS2* spacers), *EF1α* (14.0%),





Wingless (2.2%), Histone H3 (2.2%) and microsatellites (6.1%). In *Wolbachia*-related studies, host genes have been used for several purposes like (i) using host DNA as a control for genetic material quality, (ii) barcoding for host species identification, (iii) phylogenetics, phylogeography and population genetics, (iv) estimating co-evolutionary relations between the bacteria and host, and (v) detecting some of the effects of *Wolbachia* on its hosts (like linkage disequilibrium, selective sweep, cytoplasmic incompatibility).

Taxonomic coverage

The beetles examined with respect to *Wolbachia* infection belong to 23 families (Micromalthidae, Gyrinidae, Haliplidae, Noteridae, Dytiscidae, Carabidae, Staphyllinidae, Hydrophilidae, Hydraenidae, Anobiidae, Dermestidae, Buprestidae, Byturidae, Cleridae, Lampyridae, Coccinellidae, Tenebrionidae, Scarabeidae, Meloidae, Sylvanidae, Cerambycidae, Chrysomelidae, Curculionidae). In total 204 beetle species were found to harbor *Wolbachia* infection; however, the distribution of infected species among families varied markedly. The highest numbers of infected beetle species were found for the Curculionidae (81 species), Chrysomelidae (49 species), Hydraenidae (14 species), Buprestidae (13 species), Coccinellidae (12 species) and Dytiscidae (8 species) (Fig. 6). In all other families only 1–3 species were reported to harbor *Wolbachia* (Table S1). However, these numbers are biased by the low number of articles (studies) dealing with members of particular beetle families (see above).

Considering infection across beetle genera, the most richly infected genera were *Altica* (Chrysomelidae, 17 species), *Naupactus* (Curculionidae, 11 species), *Hydraena* (Hydraenidae, eight species) and *Agrilus* (Buprestidae, 6 species) (Table S1). In total, 49 genera were found to have infected members (Table S1, Table 1). The infection in Coleoptera was estimated at 38.3% of examined species; however, the proportion of infected species varied greatly between families and genera. At the family level the infection frequency was from 10.5% (Tenebrionidae) to 100% (Noteridae) (*Goodacre, Fricke & Martin, 2015; Sontowski et al., 2015*); however when considering only families for which

 Table 1
 Share of Wolbachia infected species among families and genera of examined beetles.
 Only taxonomic groups for which at least two species were tested are presented.


	N of examined	% of infected	Genus	N of examined	% of infected	genus	N of examined	% of infected
Family								
Buprestidae	61	23.0	Barypeithes	9	11.0	Julodis	2	0.0
Chrysomelidae	84	45.2	Brachysomus	4	0.0	Koreoculio	2	50.0
Curculionidae	137	41.6	Brumoides	` 2	0.0	Laccophilus	2	0.0
Dytiscidae	36	16.7	Buprestis	3	0.0	Limnebius	7	28.6
Gyrinidae	3	33.3	Byturus	3	33.0	Longitarsus	3	100.0
Haliplidae	2	50.0	Callosbruchus	3	33.3	Meliboeus	2	0.0
Hydraenidae	27	63.0	Callosobruchus	7	33.0	Micraspis	2	0.0
Hydrophilidae	12	16.7	Capnodis	3	33.3	Naupactus	16	69.0
Noteridae	2	100.0	Charidotella	2	50.0	Neoglanis	2	0.0
Tenebrionidae	11	9.1	Chlaenius	7	14.3	Ochthebius	12	41.7
Subfamily			Chrysobothris	3	33.3	Ophionea	3	0.0
Bruchinae	24	16.7	Coccinella	2	50.0	Oreina	5	80.0
Galerucinae	12	25.0	Crioceris	5	40.0	Otiorhynchus	4	50.0
Curculionidae	36	16.7	Curculio	23	17.4	Paederus	3	0.0
Scolytinae	23	34.8	Cyanapion	6	50.0	Pantomorus	3	100.0
Genus			Deronectes	11	45.4	Polydrosus	4	75.0
Acalymma	2	100.0	Diabrotica	12	25.0	Rhantus	2	0.0
Acmaeodera	5	0.0	Dorytomus	3	67.0	Rhinusa	3	33.3
Acmaeoderella	4	0.0	Epilachna	2	0.0	Sciaphobus	2	50.0
Agabus	6	16.7	Eurymetopus	2	100.0	Sitophilus	3	100.0
Agrilus	34	17.6	Gyrinus	3	33.0	Sphenoptera	11	9.1
Altica	16	88.0	Haliplus	3	33.0	Strophosoma	3	67.0
Anthaxia	6	16.7	Helophorus	3	0.0	Trachypteris	2	0.0
Aramigus	3	100.0	Hydraena	24	33.3	Trachys	6	16.7
Archarius	6	16.7	Hydroporus	5	0.0	Tribolium	8	12.5
Atrichonotus	2	50.0	Hygrotus	5	20.0			
Aulacophora	3	0.0	Ilybius	2	0.0			

more than 30 species were investigated (e.g., *Clark et al., 2001; Lachowska, Kajtoch & Knutelski, 2010; Rodriguero et al., 2010a; Kondo et al., 2011; Jäckel, Mora & Dobler, 2013; Sontowski et al., 2015; Kawasaki et al., 2016*), infection was found in up to 63% of species (Hydraenidae) (Table 1). At lower taxonomic levels, *Wolbachia* was found in 25% of Diabroticite (Chrysomelidae; *Clark et al., 2001*), 14.3–16.7% of Bruchina (Chrysomelidae; *Kondo et al., 2011*), 34.8% of Scolytinae (Curculionidae, *Kawasaki et al., 2016*) and 16.7% of Curculioninii (*Toju et al., 2013*). Among 54 genera in which *Wolbachia* infection was examined for at least two species, 12 genera were completely uninfected, while six genera were completely infected (Table 1). If considering only genera with at least five verified species, *Wolbachia* was found in 0% (*Acmaeodera*; Buprestidae; *Sontowski et al., 2015*) to 88% of species (*Altica*, Chrysomelidae; *Jäckel, Mora & Dobler, 2013*). There was only a marginally negative and insignificant correlation between the number of examined and

number of infected species (R = -0.078). If considering only the most widely examined families, Chrysomelidae and Curculionidae, the difference in infection frequency between these two groups was insignificant (Z = -1.656, P = 0.098). Geographic studies on *Wolbachia* prevalence in insects have found much lower frequencies of infection in Coleoptera species: the bacterium was found in only 10.5% of beetles from Panama and 13.5% of beetles from North America (*Werren, Windsor & Guo, 1995*).

Wolbachia diversity

Among the various beetle species, Wolbachia strains belonged to three supergroups (A, B and F). However, they occurred at very different proportions in different groups of beetles, and these differences were significant ($Chi^2 = 98.78$, P = 0.000). Overall, the proportion of beetle species found to be infected with Wolbachia strains belonging to supergroups A or B was similar, with approximately 12% of all species harboring either supergroup (either as single infections in different species or populations or as multiple infections within individuals) (Fig. 7), whereas supergroup F was found in only three beetle species: Agrilus araxenus and Lamprodila mirifica (both Buprestidae; Sontowski et al., 2015) and Rhinocyllus conicus (Curculionidae; Campbell, Bragg & Turner, 1992). In the four groups of beetles with the highest numbers of examined and infected species, the distributions of supergroups varied: in Buprestidae, a similar numbers of species were infected by supergroups A and B (all singly infected), with a relatively high proportion of F infected species (Sontowski et al., 2015). In contrast, in Hydraenida, supergroup A dominated over supergroup B (Sontowski et al., 2015). This was also the case in Chrysomelidae, with some species infected by both strains (Kondo et al., 2011; Jäckel, Mora & Dobler, 2013; Kolasa et al., 2017). The most varied infections were observed in Curculionidae, with supergroup B dominating, a presence of taxa infected by both A and B supergroups, and a single species infected by F supergroup (Lachowska, Kajtoch & Knutelski, 2010; Rodriguero et al., 2010a; Kawasaki et al., 2016) (Fig. 7). Considering the frequency of infected specimens in the examined beetle species represented by the available data (n = 106), 63 species were reported to be totally infected (all individuals possessed Wolbachia), whereas 43 species had this bacterium in only some individuals (if exclude Chrysomelidae and Curculionidae: 8 and 15 species, respectively) (Fig. 8). The same calculated for Chrysomelidae resulted in 17 and 10 species, respectively, and for Curculionidae in 38 and 18 species, respectively (Fig. 8). These differences between these values (between these groups of species) were significant ($Ch^2 = 72.03$, P = 0.000). A single Wolbachia strain was observed in 43 species (species with available data n = 62), whereas two strains were reported in 10 species (Byturus tomentosus, Malloch, Fenton & Butcher, 2000; Altica quercetorum, Jäckel, Mora & Dobler, 2013; Callosobruchus chinensis, Okayama et al., 2016; Chelymorpha alternans, Keller et al., 2004; Crioceris quaterdecimpunctata and Crioceris quinquepunctata, Kolasa et al., 2017; Adalia bipunctata, Majerus & Majerus, 2000; Polydrusus inustus, Kajtoch, Korotyaev & Lachowska-Cierlik, 2012; Cyanapion afer and C. spencii, Kajtoch, Montagna & Wanat, 2018) and multiple infection in a further nine species (Callosobruchus chinensis, Kondo et al., 2002; Diabrotica barberi, Roehrdanz & Levine, 2007; Conotrachelus nenuphar, Zhang et al., 2010; Pityogenes chalcographus, Arthofer et al., 2009; Xyleborus dispar and Xylosandrus



germanus, Kawasaki et al., 2016) (Fig. 8). In Chrysomelidae (n = 22) these numbers were 12, 5 and five, respectively and in Curculionidae (n = 37), 30, 3 and four, respectively (Fig. 8). The numbers of single, double and multiple infected individuals in these groups of beetles differed insignificantly (Chi² ANOVA = 2.364, P = 0.307).

Effects on hosts

Wolbachia affected beetle hosts in several ways. Linkage disequilibrium and/or selective sweep between bacteria and host genomes (usually with host mtDNA) were detected in six species (3% or 9% if excluding Chrysomelidae and Curculionidae): two (4%) Chrysomelidae (Altica lythri, Jäckel, Mora & Dobler, 2013; Aphthona nigriscutis, Roehrdanz et al., 2006) and four (5%) Curculionidae (Eusomus ovulum, Mazur et al., 2016; Naupactus cervinus, Rodriguero, Lanteri & Confalonieri, 2010b, Polydrusus inustus, Polydrusus pilifer, Kajtoch, Korotyaev & Lachowska-Cierlik, 2012). Cytoplasmic incompatibility was detected or suspected but unconfirmed in 12 (6% or 18% if excluding Chrysomelidae and Curculionidae) Coleoptera: six (13%) Chrysomelidae (Chelymorpha alternans, Keller et al., 2004, Diabrotica barberi, Roehrdanz & Levine, 2007, et al., Diabrotica virgifera virgifera, Giordano, Jackson & Robertson, 1997; Callosobruchus chinensis, Kondo et al., 2002; Callosobruchus analis, Numajiri, Kondo & Toquenaga, 2017; Brontispa longissimi, Takano et al., 2017), three (4%) of Curculionidae (Cossomus sp., Zhang et al., 2010; Hypothenemus hampei, Mariño, Verle Rodrigues & Bayman, 2017, Xylosandrus germanus, Kawasaki et al., 2016), one of Sylvanidae (Oryzaephilus surinamensis, Sharaf et al., 2010) and one of Tenebrionidae (Tribolium confusum, Li et al., 2016b; Ming et al., 2015). Horizontal transfer of Wolbachia was detected or suspected in 26 species of Coleoptera (13% or 39% if excluding Chrysomelidae and Curculionidae)-16 (33%) species of Chrysomelidae (several species of Altica, Jäckel, Mora & Dobler, 2013,




Figure 8 Diversity of *Wolbachia* infection in Coleoptera with respect to shares of infected individuals within species and numbers of strains found in beetles. (Beetle photographs are from ICONOGRAPHIA COLEOPTERORUM POLONIAE (©Copyright by Prof. Lech Borowiec). Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.4471/fig-8

Crioceris quaterdecimpunctata and Crioceris quinquepunctata, Kolasa et al., 2017) and 10 (14%) species of Curculionidae (members of Euwallacea, Xyleborus, Xylosandrus, Xyleborinus schaufussi and Taphrorychus bicolor, Kawasaki et al., 2016, Polydrusus and Parafoucartia squamulata, Kajtoch, Korotyaev & Lachowska-Cierlik, 2012; Sitophilus oryzae and S. zaemais, Carvalho et al., 2014). Other effects of Wolbachia on beetles included the following: (i) transfer of bacteria genes to the autosomes of the host (so far detected only for Monochamus alternatus, Cerambycidae, Aikawa et al., 2009 and Callosobruchus chinensis, Chrysomelidae, Nikoh et al., 2008); (ii) coexistence of Wolbachia with Rickettsia (Calvia quattuordecimguttata, Coccidula rufa, Coccinella septempunctata, Halyzia sedecimguttata, Rhizobius litura, Weinert et al., 2007; Sitona obsoletus, White et al., 2015; Micromalthus debilis, Perotti, Young & Braig, 2016) in the host or with Spiroplasma (Chilocorus bipustulatus, Weinert et al., 2007; Aleochara bipustulata, Bili et al., 2016) or with both (Adalia bipunctata, Majerus & Majerus, 2000, Harmonia axyridis, Dudek et al., 2017; Curculio sikkimensis, Toju & Fukatsu, 2011; Aleochara bilineata, Bili et al., 2016); (iii) induction and reinforcement of parthenogenesis, however this effect had weak support and had other possible alternative explanations (numerous species of Naupactini, Rodriguero et al., 2010a and Eusomus ovulum, Mazur et al., 2016; all Curculionidae; Micromalthus debilis, Perotti, Young & Braig, 2016); (iv) possible induction of haplodiploidy (Euwallacea interjectus, Euwallacea validus, Curculionidae, Kawasaki et al., 2016); (v) male-killing (Tribolium madens, Tenebrionidae, Fialho & Stevens, 2000); (vi) necessity of infection for egg development (Otiorhynchus sulcatus, Curculionidae, Son et al., 2008; Coccotrypes dactyliperda, Zchori-Fein, Borad & Harari, 2006); (vii) populations evolving towards endosymbiont loss and repeated intraspecific horizontal transfer of Wolbachia (Pityogenes chalcographus, Curculionidae, Arthofer et al., 2009), (viii) fitness decline in infected beetles (Callosobruchus analis, Numajiri, Kondo & Toquenaga, 2017), (ix) modification of sperm (Chelymorpha alternans, Clark et al., 2008), (x) down-regulation of defense genes in host plants (Diabrotica virgifera virgifera on maize, Barr et al., 2010).

Phylogenetic relations

The tree reconstructed for *16S rDNA* included 52 sequences from bacteria found in 45 host beetle species. This tree included three major lineages, with separate clusters of *Wolbachia* sequences belonging to A, B and F supergroups (Fig. S1). F supergroup was represented by a single sequence from *Rhinocyllus conicus* (Curculionidae) (Fig. S1). Sequences assigned to supergroup A (based on information available in the articles) were found to be polyphyletic. Some *16S* sequences from *Xylosandrus* spp. and *Curculio* spp. (Curculionidae), or *Oreina cacaliae* and *Galeruca tanaceti* (Chrysomelidae) clustered as a sister lineage to all other A and B sequences (Fig. S1). Overall, the diversity of *16S* sequences assigned to supergroup B was much greater than those assigned to supergroup A (Fig. S1).

The tree reconstructed for *ftsZ* included 131 sequences found in 114 host beetle species. The *ftsZ* phylogenetic tree resulted in a topology similar to that of *16S rDNA*—it included groups of sequences belonging to A, B and F supergroups (Fig. S2). Supergroup F was represented by *Agrilus araxenus* and *Sphaerobothris aghababiani* (both Buprestidae). Moreover, the supergroup B clade was divided into two clusters, among which one included a small group of sequences found in four beetle hosts: *Chelymorpha alternans* (Chrysomelidae), *Eurymetopus fallax, Sitophilus oryzae* and *Conotrachelus nenuphar* (all three Curculionidae) (Fig. S2). Also in this gene, the genetic variation of sequences belonging to supergroup A was much lower, and only a few sequences were highly diverged (e.g., strains of *Callosobruchus chinensis*, Chrysomelidae; *Tribolium confusum*, Tenebrionidae or *Polydrosus pilosus*, Curculionidae) (Fig. S2). There was also one slightly

distinct clade that mainly consisted of bacteria sequences found in some Hydraenidae, Curculionidae and Chrysomelidae (Fig. S2).

The abovementioned phylogenetic reconstructions of the relations among Wolbachia strains identified on the basis of polymorphism of two genes show that there is no strict correlation between host phylogeny and bacterial strain relationships. Even in studies that covered multiple related species (e.g., those belonging to the same genus), evidence for direct inheritance of Wolbachia strains from common ancestors is restricted to Hydraenidae (Sontowski et al., 2015) and some species of Oreina (Montagna et al., 2014) or Curculio (Toju et al., 2013). In the case of Altica, the data show that cospeciation was rare and restricted to a few recently diverged species (Jäckel, Mora & Dobler, 2013). In contrast, there are numerous examples of phylogenetically related beetle species possessing different Wolbachia strains (e.g., Lachowska, Kajtoch & Knutelski, 2010). It is also often the case among related species that some are infected, whereas others not (Crioceris, Kubisz et al., 2012; Oreina, Montagna et al., 2014; Cyanapion, Kajtoch, Montagna & Wanat, 2018); so any assumption that the bacteria were inherited from a common ancestor would also need to consider multiple losses of infection. The latter phenomenon is probable; however, there is no direct evidence from natural populations, at least in studies on beetles, of Wolbachia disappearing over time. Some exemplary studies that found Wolbachia present in related species, after detailed examination, rejected the idea that bacteria was inherited from a common ancestor. This was because different host species harbored unrelated stains (e.g., among weevils, Lachowska, Kajtoch & Knutelski, 2010) or in cases where strains were identical or similar, the hosts were not phylogenetically close to each other (e.g., Crioceris, Kubisz et al., 2012). Finally, there is evermore proof of horizontal Wolbachia transmission via different mechanisms, such as via predators, parasitoids, common habitat or foraging on the same host plants (Huigens et al., 2004; Stahlhut et al., 2010; Caspi-Fluger et al., 2012; Ahmed et al., 2015; Kolasa et al., 2017). Studies on beetles have mainly provided indirect evidence of such transmissions. There are known groups of species that inhabit the same environments and share the same or very similar *Wolbachia* strains, e.g., steppic weevils from East-central Europe (Mazur, Kubisz & Kajtoch, 2014) and bark beetles in Japan (Kawasaki et al., 2016). Recently, evidence for has also appeared for the role of host plants in bacteria spread-Wolbachia DNA was detected in two species of Crioceris leaf beetles and in their host plant—Asparagus spp. (Kolasa et al., 2017).

Finally, in light of the proposed "*Candidatus* Wolbachia" species, the summarized phylogenetic relations among *Wolbachia* strains infecting various beetles indicate that the taxonomic distinctiveness of supergroups is inconclusive (*Ramirez-Puebla et al., 2015*; *Lindsey et al., 2016*). First, beetles generally harbor members of supergroups A and B, and only occasionally members of supergroup F. Therefore, it is not possible to make any conclusions about broader *Wolbachia* taxonomy based only on *Wolbachia* strains found in Coleoptera. However, there are numerous examples of beetle hosts harboring both supergroups, including beetles in which some *Wolbachia* genes are of supergroup A origin, while others are of supergroup B origin; this indicates that recombination between strains belonging to different supergroups is quite frequent. This is evidence against the

designation of the "*Candidatus* Wolbachia" species, at least with respect to members of supergroup A and B.

CURRENT GAPS AND FUTURE ENDEAVORS

The present knowledge on Wolbachia infection across beetle species and populations is very uneven. Even the basic data about infection statuses in species and frequencies of infected species across genera and families is superficial, as there are only c. 200 beetle species known to be infected. This means that if 38% is the average frequency of infection among beetle species, then only c. 530 species have been tested so far. This is merely c. 0.15% of the total number of beetles, which is estimated to be around 360,000 species (*Farrell, 1998*; *Bouchard et al.*, 2009). We know even less at the population level, as the majority of beetle species have only had single individuals tested for Wolbachia infection (e.g., Lachowska, Kajtoch & Knutelski, 2010; Sontowski et al., 2015). These very basic screens have probably underestimated the number of infected species because of false-negative results obtained for species with low or local infection in populations. There is also another and important cause that should be mentioned—low titer infections that are under the detection limit of conventional PCR (e.g., Arthofer et al., 2009; Schneider et al., 2013). On the other hand, these preliminary estimates could have overestimated the real number infected beetles, as sampling in these studies was rarely random and most often focused on specific groups, e.g., on genera for which preliminary data suggested the presence of Wolbachia infection. Indeed, an intensive search of Wolbachia infection across hundreds of beetle species from Europe suggested a lower infection rate—c. 27% to be infected (Ł Kajtoch et al., 2018, unpublished data). Also, knowledge about infection at the geographic scale is very uneven, and only Europe and Asia (basically China and Japan) have been relatively well investigated. There is a huge gap in the knowledge for African, Australian and Oceanian beetles, where a high diversity of beetles exists and probably a similar diversity of Wolbachia could be expected (e.g., compared to preliminary data available from Central and South America (Werren, Windsor & Guo, 1995; Rodriguero et al., 2010a).

Little is known about *Wolbachia* diversity in beetle hosts, as the majority of studies used only single genetic markers, and often different genes were sequenced for different taxa. This precludes complex analysis of *Wolbachia* diversity across all tested beetle hosts. This has changed since 2006, since *Baldo et al.* (2006) proposed Multilocus Sequence Typing (MLST), which is based on the genotyping of five housekeeping genes, usually in conjunction with *wsp* sequencing. MLST is and should remain a sufficient way to understand basic *Wolbachia* diversity. On the other hand, to fully understand *Wolbachia* and its hosts and especially between *Wolbachia* and other microorganisms, amplicon-sequencing (e.g., *16S* rDNA) or genome-sequencing are needed. This could be achieved thanks to the development of next-generation sequencing technologies (NGS). Surprisingly, despite fast development of NGS in the last years, very few studies have used this technology for studying *Wolbachia* in beetle populations. For example, five studies sequenced *16S* amplicons generated from microbiota and detected *Wolbachia* (*White et al.*, 2015; *Bili et*

al., 2016; Berasategui et al., 2016; Takano et al., 2017; Dudek et al., 2017). The only study that utilized shotgun sequencing was executed for other purposes and only accidentally showed *Wolbachia* genes in examined species (*Heintzman et al., 2014*). NGS is probably the best prospect for studies on *Wolbachia* infection and diversity, and will help to answer most current riddles and issues.

The big challenge is to understand the impact of infection on beetle biology, physiology and ecology. It is known that Wolbachia has several effects on host reproduction, but relatively few studies prove or suggest e.g., cytoplasmic incompatibility, male-killing or other effects on the development of selected beetles (*Clark et al., 2001; Keller et al.,* 2004; Roehrdanz et al., 2006; Roehrdanz & Levine, 2007; Sharaf et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2010; Jäckel, Mora & Dobler, 2013; Ming et al., 2015; Kawasaki et al., 2016; Li et al., 2016b; Mariño, Verle Rodrigues & Bayman, 2017; Numajiri, Kondo & Toquenaga, 2017; Takano et al., 2017). It is very probable that this bacteria has large and frequent effects on beetle reproduction and is consequently partially responsible for beetle radiation, at least in some taxonomic groups, geographic areas or habitats. Also, very few studies have shown data on linkage disequilibrium and selective sweep between bacteriium and host genomes (Roehrdanz et al., 2006; Rodriguero, Lanteri & Confalonieri, 2010b; Kajtoch, Korotyaev & Lachowska-Cierlik, 2012; Jäckel, Mora & Dobler, 2013; Mazur et al., 2016). These effects could also have probably been involved in speciation of numerous beetles. Moreover, this phenomenon could have serious implications for beetle barcoding, as selective sweep is known to reduce mitochondrial diversity in its hosts and therefore could decrease the number of identified species (*Hurst & Jiggins, 2005*). On the other hand, cytoplasmic incompatibility can lead to the origin of highly diverged phylogenetic mitochondrial lineages within species, which would increase the number of identified taxa (*Smith et al.*, 2012). Also here, NGS technologies will enable more sophisticated analyses of these genetic relations and their effects (e.g., by the sequencing of transcriptomes for physiological studies or by genotyping-by-sequencing for phylogenetic studies). Genotyping with NGS should also verify whether the recent assumption that different supergroups are indeed "Candidatus Wolbachia" species is correct or not (Ramirez-Puebla et al., 2015; Lindsey et al., 2016).

Only very preliminary results suggest *Wolbachia* was not only transmitted vertically, but that it could also have spread horizontally (*Jäckel, Mora & Dobler, 2013; Carvalho et al., 2014; Kawasaki et al., 2016; Kolasa et al., 2017; Mazur et al., 2016*). Horizontal transmission was considered as an event that happens in evolutionary timescales. Only recently, *Schuler et al. (2013)* showed that such a transfer can happen within a few years after arrival of a new strain. In light of the general lack of cospeciation between bacteria and beetles, horizontal transmission of *Wolbachia* among beetles cannot be confirmed without considering other coexisting insects that can mediate transmission, such as predators, parasitoids or beetle prey. Moreover, other arthropods that share habitats with beetles, e.g., phoretic ticks (*Hartelt et al., 2004*) and nematodes (*Casiraghi et al., 2001*), need to be examined. Finally, host plants are promising objects of studies on *Wolbachia* transmission across beetle populations (*Kolasa et al., 2017*), as phoem is probably an important mediator of this bacteria's spread across

insect populations (*DeLay et al., 2012; Li et al., 2016a*). Concerning transmission—another very interesting topic is the transfer of *Wolbachia* genes into host genomes (*Dunning Hotopp et al., 2007; Koutsovoulos et al., 2014; Funkhouser-Jones et al., 2015*). This issue has only been reported twice for beetle hosts so far (*Nikoh et al., 2008; Aikawa et al., 2009*). This problem could be important as if such transfers are frequent, simple testing of *Wolbachia* presence in a host based on single or even several gene sequencing could overestimate the number of truly infected species, populations or individuals.

Finally, a very interesting topic for future studies is the examination of the presence of other intracellular and symbiotic bacteria (like Cardinium, Spiroplasma, Rickettsia) in Coleoptera and their relations, both with the host and Wolbachia. So far, only seven studies have found Wolbachia with Rickettsia and/or Spiroplasma together in beetle hosts (Majerus & Majerus, 2000; Weinert et al., 2007; Toju & Fukatsu, 2011; White et al., 2015; Perotti, Young & Braig, 2016; Bili et al., 2016; Dudek et al., 2017). Preliminary results suggest that there is some balance in the number of these bacteria, probably caused by competition within host cells (Goto, Anbutsu & Fukatsu, 2006). A recent summary of the presence of these bacteria in insects showed that *Rickettsia* has been found in single species of Micromalthidae, Staphylinidae, Buprestidae, Coccinellidae and Curculionidae (Werren et al., 1994; Lawson et al., 2001; Weinert et al., 2007; Toju & Fukatsu, 2011; White et al., 2015; Perotti, Young & Braig, 2016; Bili et al., 2016), Spiroplasma in some species of Staphylinidae, Coccinellidae and Curculionidae (Majerus et al., 1998; Hurst et al., 1999a; Hurst et al., 1999b; Tinsley & Majerus, 2006; Weinert et al., 2007; Toju & Fukatsu, 2011; Bili et al., 2016), and Cardinium has not been detected so far in any beetle species (Zchori-Fein & Perlman, 2004). The coexistence of different endosymbiotic bacteria and their effects on hosts should also be investigated with NGS technologies, which are able to detect bacteria in numerous hosts (e.g., individuals) at once and estimate prevalence of bacteria in various hosts or different tissues. NGS has already been proven to be a powerful tool for detecting undescribed bacteria (e.g., it allowed the identification of new Alphaproteobacteria in Brontispa longissimi; Takano et al., 2017). Different endosymbiotic bacteria could have either similar or contrasting effects on beetle species, populations and individuals and could be the greatest overlooked phenomenon in the evolution and ecology of Coleoptera.

In our opinion, beetles are still an insufficiently examined group of *Wolbachia* hosts, especially considering their systematic and ecological diversity. All issues in studies on *Wolbachia* in Coleoptera are generally the same as in other hosts of these bacteria, or *vice versa*; there is no issue that has been or is being studied on *Wolbachia* infection in other (non-beetle) hosts that could not also be examined in beetle hosts. Also, the extraordinary diversity of beetles (with respect to their diverse systematics at various taxonomic levels, complex phylogenetic relations and extensive ecological relations with each other and numerous other species) makes this group an excellent target for *Wolbachia* studies. The presented summary about *Wolbachia* infection in beetles shows that despite numerous studies, there are still many issues that need to be investigated. We hope that this systematic review will facilitate various future studies on *Wolbachia* infection among beetles.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We kindly thank Prof. Lech Borowiec for providing the pictures of beetles from his ICONOGRAPHIA COLEOPTERORUM POLONIAE (©Copyright by Prof. Lech Borowiec, Wrocław 2007–2014, Department of Biodiversity and Evolutionary Taxonomy, University of Wroclaw, Poland), which were used for preparation of the graphics. We are grateful to anonymous reviewers for all their comments and suggestions, which allowed for a great improvement of the manuscript.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS

Funding

This work was supported by grant DEC-2013/11/D/NZ8/00583 from the National Science Centre, Poland (to Kajtoch Ł.) and by Institutional Research Support grants (SGS15/PřF/2017) from the University of Ostrava (to Kotásková N.). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Grant Disclosures

The following grant information was disclosed by the authors: National Science Centre: DEC-2013/11/D/NZ8/00583. Institutional Research: SGS15/PřF/2017.

Competing Interests

The authors declare there are no competing interests.

Author Contributions

- Łukasz Kajtoch conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, approved the final draft.
- Nela Kotásková performed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, approved the final draft.

Data Availability

The following information was supplied regarding data availability:

The database of published articles used for systematic review is presented as Table S1 in the manuscript.

Supplemental Information

Supplemental information for this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/ peerj.4471#supplemental-information.

REFERENCES

- Ahmed MZ, Li SJ, Xue X, Yin XJ, Ren SX, Jiggins FM, Greeff JM, Qiu BL. 2015. The Intracellular bacterium *Wolbachia* uses parasitoid wasps as phoretic vectors for efficient horizontal transmission. *PLOS Pathogens* 11:19 DOI 10.1371/journal.ppat.1004672.
- Aikawa T, Anbutsu H, Nikoh N, Kikuchi T, Shibata F, Fukatsu T. 2009. Longicorn beetle that vectors pinewood nematode carries many *Wolbachia* genes on an autosome. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 276:3791–3798 DOI 10.1098/rspb.2009.1022.
- Anisimova M, Gascuel O. 2006. Approximate likelihood-ratio test for branches: a fast, accurate, and powerful alternative. *Systematic Biology* **55**:539–552 DOI 10.1080/10635150600755453.
- Arthofer W, Riegler M, Avtzis D, Stauffer C. 2009. Evidence for low-titre infections in insect symbiosis: *Wolbachia* in the bark beetle *Pityogenes chalcographus* (Coleoptera, Scolytinae). *Environmental Microbiology* 11:1923–1933 DOI 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2009.01914.x.
- Baldo L, Hotopp JCD, Jolley KA, Bordenstein SR, Biber SA, Choudhury RR, Hayashi C, Maiden MCJ, Tettelin H, Werren JH. 2006. Multilocus sequence typing system for the Endosymbiont *Wolbachia pipientis*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 72:7098–7110 DOI 10.1128/AEM.00731-06.
- Baldo L, Werren JH. 2007. Revisiting *Wolbachia* supergroup typing based on WSP: spurious lineages and discordance with MLST. *Current Microbiology* 55:81–87 DOI 10.1007/s00284-007-0055-8.
- Barr KL, Hearne LB, Briesacher S, Clark TL, Davis GE. 2010. Microbial symbionts in insects influence down-regulation of defense genes in maize. *PLOS ONE* 5:e11339 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0011339.
- Berasategui A, Axelsson K, Nordlander G, Schmidt A, Borg-Karlson A-K, Gershenzon J, Terenius O, Kaltenpoth M, Schmidt A. 2016. The gut microbiota of the pine weevil is similar across Europe and resembles that of other 649 conifer beetles. *Molecular Ecology* 25:4014–4031 DOI 10.1111/mec.14186.
- Bili M, Cortesero AM, Mougel C, Gauthier JP, Ermel G, Simon JC, Outreman Y, Terrat S, Mahéo F, Poinsot D. 2016. Bacterial community diversity harboured by interacting species. *PLOS ONE* 11:e0155392 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0155392.
- **Bouchard P, Grebennikov VV, Smith ABT, Douglas H. 2009.** Biodiversity of coleoptera. In: Foottit RG, Adler PH, eds. *Insect biodiversity: science and society*. Oxford: Blackwell Publishing, 265–301.
- Campbell BC, Bragg TS, Turner CE. 1992. Phylogeny of symbiotic bacteria of four weevil species (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) based on analysis of 16s ribosomal DNA. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* 22:415–421 DOI 10.1016/0965-1748(92)90136-3.
- **Carvalho GA, Correa AS, Oliveira LO, Guedes RNC. 2014.** Evidence of horizontal transmission of primary and secondary endosymbionts between the maize and rice

weevils (Sitophilus zeamais and S. oryzae) and the parasitoid Theocolax elegans. *Journal of Stored Products Research* **59**:61–65 DOI 10.1016/j.jspr.2014.05.004.

- **Casiraghi M, Anderson TJ, Bandi C, Bazzocchi C, Genchi C. 2001.** A phylogenetic analysis of filarial nematodes: comparison with the phylogeny of *Wolbachia* endosymbionts. *Parasitology* **122**:93–103 DOI 10.1017/S0031182000007149.
- Caspi-Fluger A, Inbar M, Mozes-Daube N, Katzir N, Portnoy V, Belausov E, Hunter MS, Zchori-Fein E. 2012. Horizontal transmission of the insect symbiont *Rickettsia* is plant-mediated. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 279:1791–1796 DOI 10.1098/rspb.2011.2095.
- Chaisiri K, McGarry JW, Morand S, Makepeace B. 2015. Symbiosis in an overlooked microcosm: a systematic review of the bacterial flora of mites. *Parasitology FirstView* 142:1152–1162 DOI 10.1017/S0031182015000530.
- Clark ME, Bailey-Jourdain C, Ferree PM, England SJ, Sullivan W, Windsor DM, Werren JH. 2008. Wolbachia modification of sperm does not always require residence within developing sperm. *Heredity* 101:420–428 DOI 10.1038/hdy.2008.71.
- **Clark TL, Meinke LJ, Skoda SR, Foster JE. 2001.** Occurrence of *Wolbachia* in Selected Diabroticite (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) Beetles. *Annals of the Entomological Society of America* **94**:877–885.
- **Cordaux R, Bouchon D, Greve P. 2011.** The impact of endosymbionts on the evolution of host sex-determination mechanisms. *Trends in Genetics* **27**:332–341 DOI 10.1016/j.tig.2011.05.002.
- Czarnetzki AB, Tebbe CC. 2004. Detection and phylogenetic analysis of *Wolbachia* in Collembola. *Environmental Microbiology* **6**:35–44 DOI 10.1046/j.1462-2920.2003.00537.x.
- DeLay B, Mamidala P, Wijeratne A, Wijeratne S, Mittapalli O, Wang J, Lamp W. 2012. Transcriptome analysis of the salivary glands of potato leafhopper, *Empoasca fabae*. *Journal of Insect Physiology* 58:1626–1634 DOI 10.1016/j.jinsphys.2012.10.002.
- Dudek K, Humińska K, Wojciechowicz J, Tryjanowski P. 2017. Metagenomic survey of bacteria associated with the invasive ladybird *Harmonia axyridis* (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae). *European Journal of Entomology* 114:312–316 DOI 10.14411/eje.2017.038.
- Dunning Hotopp JC, Clark ME, Oliveira DCSG, Foster JM, Fischer P, Muño Torres MC, Giebel JD, Kumar N, Ishmael N, Wang S, Ingram J, Nene RV, Shepard J, Tomkins J, Richards S, Spiro DJ, Ghedin E, Slatko BE, Tettelin H, Werren JH.
 2007. Widespread lateral gene transfer from intracellular bacteria to multicellular eukaryotes. *Science* 317:1753–1756 DOI 10.1126/science.1142490.
- **Duron O, Bouchon D, Boutin S, Bellamy L, Zhou LQ, Engelstadter J, Hurst GD. 2008.** The diversity of reproductive parasites among arthropods: *Wolbachia* do not walk alone. *BMC Biology* **6**:27 DOI 10.1186/1741-7007-6-27.
- Elnagdy S, Majerus MEN, Gardener M, Lawson-Handley L. 2013. The direct effects of male-killer infection on fitness of ladybird hosts (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae). *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* 26:1816–1825 DOI 10.1111/jeb.12186.

- Farrell BD. 1998. "Inordinate fondness" explained: why are there so many beetles? *Science* 281:555–559 DOI 10.1126/science.281.5376.555.
- **Fialho RF, Stevens L. 1996.** *Wolbachia* infections in the flour beetle *Tribolium confusum*: evidence for a common incompatibility type across strains. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology* **67**:195–197 DOI 10.1006/jipa.1996.0032.
- Fialho RF, Stevens L. 1997. Molecular evidence for single *Wolbachia* infections among geographic strains of the flour beetle *Tribolium confusum*. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 264:1065–1068 DOI 10.1098/rspb.1997.0147.
- Fialho RF, Stevens L. 2000. Male-killing Wolbachia in a flour beetle. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 267:1469–1474 DOI 10.1098/rspb.2000.1166.
- Funkhouser-Jones LJ, Sehnert SR, Martínez-Rodríguez P, Toribio-Fernández R, Pita M, Bella JL, Bordenstein SR. 2015. Wolbachia co-infection in a hybrid zone: discovery of horizontal gene transfers from two Wolbachia supergroups into an animal genome. PeerJ 3:e1479 DOI 10.7717/peerj.1479.
- **Giordano R, Jackson JJ, Robertson HM. 1997.** The role of *Wolbachia* bacteria in reproductive incompatibilities and hybrid zones of *Diabrotica* beetles and *Gryllus* crickets. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **94**:11439–11444.
- **Goodacre SL, Fricke C, Martin OY. 2015.** A screen for bacterial endosymbionts in the model organisms *Tribolium castaneum*, *T.confusum*, *Callosobruchus maculatus*, and related species. *Insect Science* **22**:165–177 DOI 10.1111/1744-7917.12096.
- Goodacre SL, Martin OY, Thomas CFG, Hewitt GM. 2006. *Wolbachia* and other endosymbiont infections in spiders. *Molecular Ecology* 15:517–527 DOI 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2005.02802.x.
- Goto S, Anbutsu H, Fukatsu T. 2006. Asymmetrical interactions between *Wolbachia* and Spiroplasma endosymbionts coexisting in the same insect host. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 72:4805–4810 DOI 10.1128/AEM.00416-06.
- Guindon S, Dufayard JF, Lefort V, Anisimova M, Hordijk W, Gascuel O. 2010. New algorithms and methods to estimate maximum-likelihood phylogenies: assessing the performance of PhyML 3.0. *Systematic Biology* **59**:307–321 DOI 10.1093/sysbio/syq010.
- Hartelt K, Oehme R, Frank H, Brockmann SO, Hassler D, Kimmig P. 2004.
 Pathogens and symbionts in ticks: prevalence of *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* (Ehrlichia sp.), *Wolbachia* sp., *Rickettsia* sp., and *Babesia* sp. in Southern Germany. *International Journal of Medical Microbiology Supplements* 293:86–92 DOI 10.1016/S1433-1128(04)80013-5.
- Heintzman PD, Elias SA, Moore K, Paszkiewicz K, Barnes I. 2014. Characterizing DNA preservation in degraded specimens of *Amara alpina* (Carabidae: Coleoptera). *Molecular Ecology Resources* 14:606–615 DOI 10.1111/1755-0998.12205.
- Hilgenboecker K, Hammerstein P, Schlattmann P, Telschow A, Werren JH. 2008. How many species are infected with *Wolbachia*? A statistical analysis of current data. *FEMS Microbiology Letters* 281:215–220 DOI 10.1111/j.1574-6968.2008.01110.x.

- Huigens ME, Almeida RP de, Boons PAH, Luck RF, Stouthamer R. 2004. Natural interspecific and intraspecific horizontal transfer of parthenogenesis–inducing *Wolbachia* in *Trichogramma* wasps. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 271:509–515 DOI 10.1098/rspb.2003.2640.
- Hurst GDD, Bandi C, Sacchi L, Cochrane A, Bertr D, Karaca I, Majerus MEN. 1999a. *Adonia variegata* (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) bears maternally inherited Flavobacteria that kill males only. *Parasitology* 118:125–134.
- Hurst GDD, Jiggins FM. 2005. Problems with mitochondrial DNA as a marker in population, phylogeographic and phylogenetic studies: the effects of inherited symbionts. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 272:1525–1534 DOI 10.1098/rspb.2005.3056.
- Hurst GDD, Jiggins FM, Von der Schulenburg JHG, Bertr D, West SA, Goriacheva II, Zakharov IA, Werren JH, Stouthamer R, Majerus MEN. 1999b. Male-killing *Wolbachia* in two species of insect. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 266:735–740 DOI 10.1098/rspb.1999.0698.
- Jäckel R, Mora D, Dobler S. 2013. Evidence for selective sweeps by *Wolbachia* infections: phylogeny of *Altica* leaf beetles and their reproductive parasites. *Molecular Ecology* 22:4241–4255 DOI 10.1111/mec.12389.
- Kageyama D, Narita S, Imamura T, Miyanoshita A. 2010. Detection and identification of *Wolbachia* endosymbionts from laboratory stocks of stored-product insect pests and their parasitoids. *Journal of Stored Products Research* 46:13–19 DOI 10.1016/j.jspr.2009.07.003.
- Kajtoch Ł, Korotyaev B, Lachowska-Cierlik D. 2012. Genetic distinctness of parthenogenetic forms of European *Polydrusus* weevils of the subgenus *Scythodrusus*. *Insect Science* 19:183–194 DOI 10.1111/j.1744-7917.2011.01448.x.
- Kajtoch Ł, Montagna M, Wanat M. 2018. Species delimitation within the Bothryorrhynchapion weevils: multiple evidence from genetics, morphology and ecological associations. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 120:354–363 DOI 10.1016/j.ympev.2017.12.022.
- Kawasaki Y, Schuler H, Stauffer C, Lakatos F, Kajimura H. 2016. *Wolbachia* endosymbionts in haplodiploid and diploid scolytine beetles (Coleoptera: Curculionidae: Scolytinae). *Environmental Microbiology Reports* **8**:680–688 DOI 10.1111/1758-2229.12425.
- Keller GP, Windsor DM, Scucedo JM, Werren JH. 2004. Reproductive effects and geographical distributions of two *Wolbachia* strains infecting the Neotropical beetle, *Chelymorpha alternans* Boh. (Chrysomelidae, Cassidinae). *Molecular Ecology* 13:2405–2420 DOI 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2004.02213.x.
- Kikuchi Y, Fukatsu T. 2003. Diversity of *Wolbachia* endosymbionts in heteropteran bugs. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **69**:6082–6090 DOI 10.1128/AEM.69.10.6082-6090.2003.
- Kolasa M, Montagna M, Mereghetti V, Kubisz D, Mazur MA, Kajtoch Ł. 2017. Preliminary evidence of *Wolbachia* horizontal transmission between *Crioceris* leaf

beetles and *Asparagus* host plants. *European Journal of Entomology* **114**:446–454 DOI 10.14411/eje.2017.057.

- Kondo N, Ijichi N, Shimada M, Fukatsu T. 2002. Prevailing triple infection with *Wolbachia* in *Callosobruchus chinensis* (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). *Molecular Ecology* 11:167–180 DOI 10.1046/j.0962-1083.2001.01432.x.
- Kondo N, Tuda M, Toquenaga Y, Lan YC, Buranapanichpan S, Horng SB, Shimada M, Fukatsu T. 2011. Wolbachia infections in world populations of bean beetles (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae: Bruchinae) infesting cultivated and wild legumes. Zoological Science 28:501–508 DOI 10.2108/zsj.28.501.
- Koutsovoulos G, Makepeace B, Tanya VN, Blaxter M. 2014. Palaeosymbiosis revealed by genomic fossils of wolbachia in a strongyloidean nematode. *PLOS Genetics* 10(6):e1004397 DOI 10.1371/journal.pgen.1004397.
- Kubisz D, Kajtoch Ł, Mazur MA, Lis A, Holecová M. 2012. Conservation genetics of highly isolated populations of xerothermic *Crioceris quatuordecimpunctata* (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). *Invertebrate Biology* 131:333–344 DOI 10.1111/j.1744-7410.2012.00276.x.
- Lachowska D, Kajtoch Ł, Knutelski S. 2010. Occurrence of *Wolbachia* in central European weevils: correlations with host systematics, ecology and biology. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata* 14:105–118 DOI 10.1111/j.1570-7458.2010.00974.x.
- Lawson ET, Mousseau TA, Klaper R, Hunter MD, Werren JH. 2001. *Rickettsia* associated with male-killing in a buprestid beetle. *Heredity* 86:497–505 DOI 10.1046/j.1365-2540.2001.00848.x.
- Li SJ, Ahmed MZ, Lv N, Shi PQ, Wang XM, Huang JL, Qiu BL. 2016a. Plant-mediated horizontal transmission of Wolbachia between whiteflies. *The ISME Journal* 11:1019–1028 DOI 10.1038/ismej.2016.164.
- Li YY, Fields PG, Pang B, Coghlin PC, Floate KD. 2015. Prevalence and diversity of Wolbachia bacteria infecting insect pests of stored products. *Journal of Stored Products Research* 62:93–100 DOI 10.1016/j.jspr.2009.07.003.
- Li YY, Fields PG, Pang BP, Floate KD. 2016b. Effects of tetracycline and rifampicin treatmens on the fecundity of the *Wolbachia*-infected host, *Tribolium confusum* (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae). *Journal of Economic Entomology* 109:1458–1464 DOI 10.1093/jee/tow067.
- Lindsey AR, Bordenstein SR, Newton IL, Rasgon JL. 2016. Wolbachia pipientis should not be split into multiple species: a response to Ramírez-Puebla et al., Species in *Wolbachia*? Proposal for the designation of *'Candidatus* Wolbachia bourtzisii', *'Candidatus* Wolbachia onchocercicola', *'Candidatus* Wolbachia blaxteri', *'Candidatus* Wolbachia brugii', *'Candidatus* Wolbachia taylori' *'Candidatus* Wolbachia collembolicola' and *'Candidatus* Wolbachia multihospitum' for the different species within *Wolbachia* supergroups. *Systematic and Applied Microbiology* 39:220–222 DOI 10.1016/j.syapm.2016.03.001.
- Majerus MEN, Majerus TMO. 2000. Multiple causes of male-killing in a single sample of the 2-spot ladybird, *Adalia bipunctata* (Coleoptera:Coccinellidae) from Moscow. *Heredity* 84:605–609 DOI 10.1046/j.1365-2540.2000.00710.x.

- Malloch G, Fenton B, Butcher RD. 2000. Molecular evidence for multiple infections of a new subgroup of *Wolbachia* in the European raspberry beetle *Byturus tomentosus*. *Molecular Ecology* **9**:77–90.
- Majerus TMO, Majerus MEN, Knowles B, Wheeler J, Bertr D, Kuznetsov VN, Ueno H, Hurst GDD. 1998. Extreme variation in the prevalence of inherited male-killing microorganisms between three populations of *Harmonia axyridis* (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae). *Heredity* 81:683–691 DOI 10.1046/j.1365-2540.1998.00438.x.
- Mariño Y, Verle Rodrigues JC, Bayman P. 2017. Wolbachia affects reproduction and population dynamics of the coffee berry borer (*Hypothenemus hampei*): implications for biological control. *Insects* **8**(1):8 DOI 10.3390/insects8010008.
- Mazur MA, Holecová M, Lachowska-Cierlik D, Lis A, Kubisz D, Kajtoch Ł. 2016. Selective sweep of *Wolbachia* and parthenogenetic host genomes on the example of the weevil *Eusomus ovulum*. *Insect Molecular Biology* 25:701–711 DOI 10.1111/imb.1225.
- Mazur MA, Kubisz D, Kajtoch Ł. 2014. Restricted geographic distribution and low genetic distinctiveness of steppic *Crioceris quinquepunctata* (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) populations in central-east Europe. *Entomologica Fennica* 25:103–111.
- Ming QL, Shen JF, Cheng C, Liu CM, Feng ZJ. 2015. Wolbachia infection dynamics in Tribolium confusum (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae) and their effects on host mating behavior and reproduction. Journal of Economic Entomology 108:1408–1415 DOI 10.1093/jee/tov053.
- Minh BQ, Nguyen NAT, Von Haeseler A. 2013. Ultrafast approximation for phylogenetic bootstrap. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 30:1188–1195 DOI 10.1093/molbev/mst024.
- Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG. 2009. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *Annals of Internal Medicine* 151:264–269 DOI 10.7326/0003-4819-151-4-200908180-00135.
- Montagna M, Chouaia B, Sacchi L, Porretta D, Martin E, Giorgi A, Lozzia GC, Epis SA. 2014. New strain of *Wolbachia* in an alpine population of the viviparous *Oreina cacaliae* (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). *Environmental Entomology* 43:913–922 DOI 10.1603/EN13228.
- Nikoh N, Tanaka K, Shibata F, Kondo N, Hizume M, Shimada M, Fukatsu T. 2008. *Wolbachia* genome integrated in an insect chromosome: evolution and fate of laterally transferred endosymbiont genes. *Genome Research* 18:272–280 DOI 10.1101/gr.7144908.
- Numajiri Y, Kondo NI, Toquenaga Y. 2017. Melanic mutation causes a fitness decline in bean beetles infected by *Wolbachia*. *Entomologia Experimentalis Et Applicata* 164:54–65 DOI 10.1111/eea.12588.
- Okayama K, Katsuki M, Sumida Y, Okada K. 2016. Costs and benefits of symbiosis between a bean beetle and *Wolbachia*. *Animal Behaviour* 119:19–26 DOI 10.1016/j.anbehav.2016.07.004.
- **O'Neill SL. 2007.** *Wolbachia*—host interactions: connecting phenotype to genotype. *Current Opinion in Microbiology* **10**:221–224 DOI 10.1016/j.mib.2007.05.002.

- O'Neill SL, Giordano R, Colbert AM, Karr TL, Robertson HM. 1992. 16S rRNA phylogenetic analysis of the bacterial endosymbionts associated with cytoplasmic incompatibity in insects. *Proceedings of National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **89**:2699–2702.
- **Perotti MA, Young DK, Braig HR. 2016.** The ghost sex-life of the paedogenetic beetle *Micromalthus debilis. Scientific Reports* **6**:27364 DOI 10.1038/srep27364.
- Ramirez-Puebla ST, Servin-Garciduenas LE, Ormeno-Orrillo E, Vera-Ponce de Leon A, Rosenblueth M, Delaye L, Martinez J, Martinez-Romero E. 2015.
 Species in *Wolbachia*? Proposal for the designation of '*Candidatus* Wolbachia bourtzisii', '*Candidatus* Wolbachia onchocercicola', '*Candidatus* Wolbachia blaxteri', '*Candidatus* Wolbachia brugii', '*Candidatus* Wolbachia taylori' '*Candidatus* Wolbachia collembolicola' and '*Candidatus* Wolbachia multihospitum' for the different species within *Wolbachia* supergroups. *Systematic and Applied Microbiology* 38:390–399 DOI 10.1016/j.syapm.2015.05.005.
- Rodriguero MS, Confalonieri VA, Guedes JVC, Lanteri AA. 2010a. *Wolbachia* infection in the tribe Naupactini (Coleoptera, Curculionidae): association between thelytokous parthenogenesis and infection status. *Insect Molecular Biology* **19**:631–640 DOI 10.1111/j.1365-2583.2010.01018.x.
- Rodriguero MS, Lanteri AA, Confalonieri VA. 2010b. Mito-nuclear genetic comparison in a *Wolbachia* infected weevil: insights on reproductive mode, infection age and evolutionary forces shaping genetic variation. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* **10**:340 DOI 10.1186/1471-2148-10-340.
- Roehrdanz R, Levine E. 2007. Wolbachia bacterial infections linked to mitochondrial DNA reproductive isolation among populations of northern corn rootworm (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). Annals of the Entomological Society of America 100:522–531 DOI 10.1603/0013-8746(2007)100[522:WBILTM]2.0.CO;2.
- Roehrdanz R, Olson D, Bourchier R, Sears S, Cortilet A, Fauske G. 2006. Mitochondrial DNA diversity and *Wolbachia* infection in the flea beetle *Aphthona nigriscutis* (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae): an introduced biocontrol agent for leafy spurge. *Biological Control* **37**:1–8 DOI 10.1016/j.biocontrol.2005.12.004.
- Russell JA. 2012. The ants (Hymenoptera: formicidae) are unique and enigmatic hosts of prevalent *Wolbachi* a (Alphaproteobacteria) symbionts. *Myrmecological News* 16:7–23.
- Schneider DI, Riegler M, Arthofer W, Merçot H, Stauffer C, Miller WJ. 2013. Uncovering *Wolbachia* diversity upon artificial host transfer. *PLOS ONE* 8:e82402 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0082402.
- Schuler H, Bertheau C, Egan SP, Feder JL, Riegler M, Schlick-Steiner BC, Steiner FM, Johannesen J, Kern P, Tuba K, Lakatos F, Köppler K, Arthofer W, Stauffer C. 2013. Evidence for a recent horizontal transmission and spatial spread of *Wolbachia* from endemic *Rhagoletis cerasi* (Diptera: Tephritidae) to invasive *Rhagoletis cingulata* in Europe. *Molecular Ecology* 22:4101–4111 DOI 10.1111/mec.12362.
- Sharaf K, Horovă L, Pavliček T, Nevo E, Bureš P. 2010. Genome size and base composition in *Oryzaephilius surinamensis* (Coleoptera: Sylvanidae) (Cikeiotera: Sylvanidae)

and differences between native (feral) and silo pest populations in Israel. *Journal of Stored Products Research* **46**:34–37.

- Shoemaker DD, Machado CA, Molbo D, Werren JH, Windsor DM, Herre EA. 2002. The distribution of *Wolbachia* in fig wasps: correlations with host phylogeny, ecology and population structure. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences* 269:2257–2267 DOI 10.1098/rspb.2002.2100.
- Smith MA, Bertrand C, Crosby K, Eveleigh ES, Fernandez-Triana J, Fisher BL, Gibbs J, Hajibabaei M, Hallwachs W, Hind K, Hrcek J, Huang DW, Janda M, Janzen DH, Li Y, Miller SE, Packer L, Quicke D, Ratnasingham S, Rodriguez J, Rougerie R, Shaw MR, Sheffield C, Stahlhut JK, Steinke D, Whitfield J, Wood M, Zhou X. 2012. Wolbachia and DNA barcoding insects: patterns, potential, and problems. *PLOS ONE* 7:e36514 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0036514.
- Son Y, Luckhart S, Zhang X, Lieber MJ, Lewis EE. 2008. Effects and implications of antibiotic treatment on *Wolbachia*—infected vine weevil (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). *Agricultural and Forest Entomology* 10:147–155 DOI 10.1111/j.1461-9563.2008.00369.x.
- Sontowski R, Bernhard D, Bleidorn C, Schlegel M, Gerth M. 2015. *Wolbachia* distribution in selected beetle taxa characterized by PCR screens and MLST data. *Ecology and Evolution* 5:4345–4353 DOI 10.1002/ece3.1641.
- Stahlhut JK, Desjardins CA, Clark ME, Baldo L, Russell JA, Werren JH, Jaenike J. 2010. The mushroom habitat as an ecological arena for global exchange of *Wolbachia*. *Molecular Ecology* **19**:1940–1952 DOI 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2010.04572.x.
- **Stenberg P, Lundmark M. 2004.** Distribution, mechanisms and evolutionary significance of clonality and polyploidy in weevils. *Agricultural and Forest Entomology* **6**:259–266 DOI 10.1111/j.1461-9555.2004.00231.x.
- Stouthamer R, Breeuwer JAJ, Hurst GDD. 1999. *Wolbachia pipientis*: microbial manipulator of arthropod reproduction. *Annual Review of Microbiology* 53:71–102 DOI 10.1146/annurev.micro.53.1.71.
- **Tagami Y, Miura K. 2004.** Distribution and prevalence of *Wolbachia* in Japanese populations of Lepidoptera. *Insect Molecular Biology* **13**:359–364 DOI 10.1111/j.0962-1075.2004.00492.x.
- Takano SI, Tuda M, Takasu K, Furuya N, Imamura Y, Kim S, Tashiro K, Iiyama K, Tavares M, Amaral AC. 2017. Unique clade of alphaproteobacterial endosymbionts induces complete cytoplasmic incompatibility in the coconut beetle. *Proceedings of National Academy of Sciences United States of America* 114:6110–6115 DOI 10.1073/pnas.1618094114.
- Taylor MJ, Hoerauf A. 1999. *Wolbachia* bacteria of filarial nematodes. *Parasitology Today* 15:437–442 DOI 10.1016/S0169-4758(99)01533-1.
- **Tinsley MC, Majerus MEN. 2006.** A new male-killing parasitism: *Spiroplasma* bacteria infect the ladybird beetle *Anisosticta novemdecimpunctata* (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae). *Parasitology* **132**:757–765 DOI 10.1017/S0031182005009789.
- **Toju H, Fukatsu T. 2011.** Diversity and infection prevalence of endosymbionts in natural populations of the chestnut weevil: relevance of local climate and host plants. *Molecular Ecology* **20**:853–868 DOI 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2010.04980.x.

- **Toju H, Tanabe AS, Notsu Y, Sota T, Fukatsu T. 2013.** Diversification of endosymbiosis: replacements, co-speciation and promiscuity of bacteriocyte symbionts in weevils. *The ISME Journal* **7**:1378–1390 DOI 10.1038/ismej.2013.27.
- Trifinopoulos J, Nguyen LT, Von Haeseler A, Minh BQ. 2016. W-IQ-TREE: a fast online phylogenetic tool for maximum likelihood analysis. *Nucleic Acids Research* 44:W232–W235 DOI 10.1093/nar/gkw256.
- Weeks AR, Reynolds KT, Hoffmann AA. 2002. Wolbachia dynamics and host effects: what has (and has not) been demonstrated? Trends of Ecology & Evolution 17:257–262 DOI 10.1016/S0169-5347(02)02480-1.
- Weinert LA, Araujo-Jnr EV, Ahmed MZ, Welch JJ. 2015. The incidence of bacterial endosymbionts in terrestrial. arthropods. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Science* 282:20150249 DOI 10.1098/rspb.2015.0249.
- Weinert LA, Tinsley MC, Temperley M, Jiggins FM. 2007. Are we underestimating the diversity and incidence of insect bacterial symbionts? A case study in ladybird beetles. *Biology Letters* 3:678–681 DOI 10.1098/rsbl.2007.0373.
- Werren JH. 1997. Biology of Wolbachia. *Annual Review of Entomology* 42:587–609 DOI 10.1146/annurev.ento.42.1.587.
- Werren JH, Hurst GDD, Zhang W, Breeuwer JA, Stouthamer R, Majerus ME. 1994. Rickettsial relative associated with male killing in the ladybird beetle (*Adalia bipunctata*). *Journal Bacteriology* 176:388–394 DOI 10.1128/jb.176.2.388-394.1994.
- Werren JH, O'Neill SL. 1997. The evolution of heritable symbionts. In: O'Neill SL, Hoffmann AA, Werren JH, eds. *Influential passengers: inherited microorganisms and arthropod reproduction*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1–41.
- Werren JH, Windsor D, Guo L. 1995. Distribution of *Wolbachia* among neotropical arthropods. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 262:197–204 DOI 10.1098/rspb.1995.0196.
- White JA, Richards NK, Laugraud A, Saeed A, Curry MM, McNeill MR. 2015. Endosymbiotic candidates for parasitoid defense in exotic and native New Zealand weevils. *Microbial Ecology* **70**:274–286 DOI 10.1007/s00248-014-0561-8.
- Yun Y, Peng Y, Liu FX, Lei C. 2011. Wolbachia screening in spiders and assessment of horizontal transmission between predator and prey. *Neotropical Entomology* 40:164–169 DOI 10.1590/S1519-566X2011000200002.
- Zchori-Fein E, Borad C, Harari AR. 2006. Oogenesis in the date stone beetle, Coccotrypesdactyliperda, depends on symbiotic bacteria. *Physiological Entomology* **31**:164–169 DOI 10.1111/j.1365-3032.2006.00504.x.
- Zchori-Fein E, Perlman SJ. 2004. Distribution of the bacterial symbiont *Cardinium* in arthropods. *Molecular Ecology* 13:2009–2016 DOI 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2004.02203.x.
- Zhang X, Luckhart S, Tu J, Pfeiffer DG. 2010. Analysis of *Wolbachia* strains associated with *Conotrachelus nenuphar* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) in the eastern United States. *Environmental Entomology* 39:396–405 DOI 10.1603/EN09276.
- **Zug R, Hammerstein P. 2012.** Still a host of hosts for *Wolbachia*: analysis of recent data suggests that 40% of terrestrial arthropod species are infected. *PLOS ONE* 7:e38544 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0038544.

FURTHER READING

- **Chafee ME, Funk DJ, Harrison RG, Bordenstein SR. 2010.** Lateral phage transfer in obligate intracellular bacteria (*Wolbachia*): verification from natural populations. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **27**:501–505 DOI 10.1093/molbev/msp275.
- Chen SJ, Lu F, Cheng JA, Jiang MX, Way MO. 2012. Identification and biological role of the endosymbionts *Wolbachia* in rice water weevil (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). *Environmental Entomology* **41**:469–477 DOI 10.1603/EN11195.
- Floate KD, Coghlin PC, Dosdall L. 2011. A test using Wolbachia bacteria to identify eurasian source populations of cabbage seedpod weevil, *Ceutorhynchus obstrictus* (Marsham), in North America. *Environmental Entomology* 40:818–823 DOI 10.1603/EN10315.
- Frank JH, Erwin T, Hemenway RC. 2009. Economically Beneficial Ground Beetles. The specialized predators *Pheropsophus aequinoctialis* (L.) and *Stenaptinus jessoensis* (Morawitz): their laboratory behavior and descriptions of immature stages (Coleoptera, Carabidae, Brachininae). *Zookeys* 14:1–36 DOI 10.3897/zookeys.14.188.
- García-Vázquez D, Ribera I. 2016. The origin of widespread species in a poor dispersing lineage (diving beetle genus Deronectes). *PeerJ* 4:e2514 DOI 10.7717/peerj.2514.
- Goryachevaa II, Blekhmanb AV, Andrianova BV, Gorelovaa TV, Zakharova IA. 2015.
 Genotypic diversity of *Wolbachia* pipientis in native and invasive *Harmonia axyridis* Pall. 1773 (Coleoptera, Coccinellidae) Populations. *Russian Journal of Genetics* 8:731–736.
- Heddi A, Grenier AM, Khatchadourian CH, Charles H, Nardon P. 1999. Four intracellular genomes direct weevil biology: nuclear, mitochondrial, principal endosymbiont, and *Wolbachia*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **96**:6814–6819 DOI 10.1073/pnas.96.12.6814.
- Iwase S, Tani S, Saeki Y, Tuda M, Haran J, Skuhrovec J, Takagi M. 2015. Dynamics of infection with *Wolbachia* in Hyperapostica (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) during invasion and establishment. *Biological Invasions* 17:3639–3648 DOI 10.1007/s10530-015-0985-1.
- Jeong G, Kang T, Park H, Choi J, Hwang S, Kim W, Choi Y, Lee K, Park I, Sim H. 2009. *Wolbachia* infection in the Korean endemic firefly, *Luciola unmunsana* (Coleoptera: Lampyridae). *Journal of Asia-Pacific Entomology* 12:33–36 DOI 10.1016/j.aspen.2008.11.001.
- Jeyaprakash A, Hoy MA. 2000. Long PCR improves *Wolbachia* DNA amplification: wsp sequences found in 76% of 63 arthropod species. *Insect Molecular Biology* **9**:393–405 DOI 10.1046/j.1365-2583.2000.00203.x.
- Kawasaki Y, Ito M, Miura K, Kajimura H. 2010. Superinfection of five *Wolbachia* in the alnus ambrosia beetle, *Xylosandrus germanus* (Blandford) (Coleoptera: Curuculionidae). *Bullettin of Entomological Research* 100:231–239 DOI 10.1017/S000748530999023X.

- Kittayapong P, Jamnongluk W, Thipaksorn A, Milne JR, Sindhusake C. 2003. *Wolbachia* infection complexity among insects in the tropical rice-field community. *Molecular Ecology* 12:1049–1060 DOI 10.1046/j.1365-294X.2003.01793.x.
- Kondo N, Shimada M, Fukatsu T. 1999. High prevalence of *Wolbachia* in the azuki bean beetle *Callosobruchus chinensis* (Coleoptera, Bruchidae). *Zoological Science* 16:955–962 DOI 10.2108/zsj.16.955.
- Kondo N, Shimada M, Fukatsu T. 2005. Infection density of *Wolbachia* endosymbiont affected by co-infection and host genotype. *Biology Letters* 1:488–491 DOI 10.1098/rsbl.2005.0340.
- Lu F, Kang XY, Lorenz G, Espino L, Jiang MX, Way MO. 2014. Culture-independent analysis of bacterial communities in the gut of rice water weevil (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). *Annals of the Entomological Society of America* 10:592–600 DOI 10.1603/AN13145.
- Nirgianaki A, Banks GK, Frohlich DR, Veneti Z, Braig HR, Miller TA, Bedford ID, Markham PG, Savakis C, Bourtzis K. 2003. *Wolbachia* Infections of the Whitefly *Bemisia tabaci. Current Microbiology* 47:0093–0101 DOI 10.1007/s00284-002-3969-1.
- Pankewitz F, Zöllmer A, Hilker M, Gräser Y. 2007. Presence of *Wolbachia* in insect eggs containing antimicrobially active anthraquinones. *Microbial Ecology* 54:713–721 DOI 10.1007/s00248-007-9230-5.
- **Piper RW, Compton SG, Rasplus JY, Piry S. 2001.** The species status of *Cathormiocerus britannicus*, an endemic, endangered British weevil. *Biological Conservation* **101**:9–13 DOI 10.1016/S0006-3207(01)00048-9.
- **Pourali P, Roayaei Ardakani M, Jolodar A, Razi Jalali MH. 2009.** PCR screening of the *Wolbachia* in some arthropods and nematodes in Khuzestan province. *Iranian Journal of Veterinary Research* **10**:216–222.
- Prakash BM, Puttaraju HP. 2006. *Wolbachia* endosymbiont in some insect pests of sericulture. *Current Science* 90:1671–1674.
- Roehrdanz RL, Wichmann SGS. 2013. *Wolbachia* wsp gene clones detect the distribution of *Wolbachia* variants and wsp hypervariable regions among 160 individuals of a multistrain infected population of *Diabrotica barberi* (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). *Annals of the Entomological Society of America* 106:329–338 DOI 10.1603/AN12118.
- **Sharaf K, Hadid Y, Pavliček T, Nevo E. 2013.** Local genetic population divergence in a saw-toothed grain beetle, *Oryzaephilus surinamensis* (L.) (Coleoptera, Cucujidae). *Journal of Stored Products Research* **53**:72–76 DOI 10.1016/j.jspr.2013.03.002.
- Sintupachee S, Milne JR, Poonchaisri S, Baimai V, Kittayapong P. 2006. Closely related wolbachia strains within the pumpkin arthropod community and the potential for horizontal transmission via the plant. *Microbial Ecology* 51:294–301 DOI 10.1007/s00248-006-9036-x.
- Toševski I, Caldara R, Jović J, Hernández-Vera G, Baviera C, Gassman A, Emerson BC. 2015. Host associated genetic divergence and taxonomy in the *Rhinusa pilosa* Gyllenhal species complex: an integrative approach. *Systematic Entomology* **40**:268–287 DOI 10.1111/syen.12109.

- Vega FE, Benavides P, Stuart J, O'Neill SL. 2002. Wolbachia infection in the coffee berry borer (Coleoptera: Scolytidae). Annals of the Entomological Society of America 95:374–378 DOI 10.1603/0013-8746(2002)095[0374:WIITCB]2.0.CO;2.
- Xue H-J, Li W-Z, Nie R-E, Yang X-K. 2011. Recent speciation in three closely related sympatric specialists: inferences using multi-locus sequence, post-mating isolation and endosymbiont data. *PLOS ONE* 6:e27834 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0027834.