2	evolutionary history – an integrative taxonomy approach
3	Kamil Hupało ¹ , Tomasz Mamos ¹ , Weronika Wrzesińska ¹ , Michał Grabowski ¹
4	Department of Invertebrate Zoology and Hydrobiology, University of Lodz, Lodz, Poland
5	
6	Corresponding Author:
7	Kamil Hupało
8	Banacha 12/16, Lodz, 90-237, Poland
9	Email address: kamilhupalo@gmail.com
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1 First endemic freshwater Gammarus from Crete and its

25	Abstract:
26	The Mediterranean islands are known as natural laboratories of evolution with a high level of Deleted:
27	endemic biodiversity. However, most biodiversity assessments have focused mainly on Deleted: of the
28	terrestrial and marine fauna, leaving the freshwater animals aside. Crete is one of the largest
29	islands in the Mediterranean Basin, with a long history of isolation from the continental
30	mainland. Gammarid amphipods are often dominant in macrozoobenthic communities in Deleted: dominnating
31	European inland waters. They are widely used in biomonitoring and exotoxicological studies.
32	Herein, we describe Gammarus plaitisi sp. nov., endemic to Cretan streams, based on Deleted:
33	morphological characters and a set of molecular species delimitation methods using
34	mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase subunit I and 16S rRNA genes as well as nuclear 28S
35	rDNA, ITS1 and EF1-alpha genes. The divergence of the new species is strongly connected
36	with the geological history of the island supporting its continental origin.
37	Introduction
38	Due to its complex geological history and unique combination of geological and climatic
39	factors, the Mediterranean Region is recognized as one of the globally most important
40	hotspots of biodiversity and endemism, and is a model system for studies of biogeography and
41	evolution (Woodward 2009, Poulakakis et al. 2014). The freshwater fauna of the region is still
42	heavily understudied, yet it is estimated that the Mediterranean is inhabited by ca. 35% of Deleted: the
43	Palearctic species, which means the region contains more than 6% of the world's freshwater Deleted: makes it
44	species. At least 43% of the freshwater Mediterranean species are considered to be local Deleted: being
 45	endemics (Figueroa et al. 2013). Most of these endemics occupy the Mediterranean islands
46	(Myers et al. 2000, Whittaker & Fernández-Palacios 2007).
1	
47	Crete is the fifth largest of the Mediterranean islands and the largest of the Aegean islands. At Deleted: I
48	the beginning of the Miocene, Crete was a part of the mainland composed of the Balkan
49	Peninsula and Asia Minor (23-12 million years ago). Around 12 million years ago, the split of
50	the Balkan Peninsula (including Crete) from Asia Minor began. Afterwards, about 11-8
51	million years ago, the isolation of Crete from Peloponnesus started, due to the rise of sea Deleted: increase of Deleted:
52	levels. Later, between 5.96 and 5.33 million years ago, the dessication of the Proto-
53	Mediterranean Sea during the Messinian Salinity Crysis led to the formation of_hypersaline
54	deserts around Crete and other islands, and this is the last known land connection between Deleted: being
55	Crete and the mainland (Poulakakis et al. 2014). During the Pliocene, Crete was divided Deleted: to
56	temporarily into at least four islands due to sea level rise associated with the Zanclean flood

73	(Sondaar & Dermitzakis 1982). At the end of the Pliocene or in the Early Pleistocene, Crete	
74	gained its present configuration.	 Deleted:
75	Gammarid amphipods are among the most speciose, abundant and biomass-dominant, groups	
76	of benthic macroinvertebrates in lotic ecosystems in Europe and, particularly, in the	
77	Mediterranean Region (Macneil et al. 1997). They are also considered to be aquatic keystone	 Deleted: the
78	species, structuring freshwater macroinvertebrate communities (Kelly et al. 2002). They are	
79	widely used as model organisms in biomonitoring and exotoxicological studies (i.e. Neuparth	
80	et al. 2002, 2005, Kunz et al. 2010). Gammarids are considered to be very good evolutionary	
81	models as they are exclusively aquatic organisms with limited dispersal abilities (Bilton et al.	
82	2001). The majority of studies upon biodiversity of Mediterranean amphipods have focused	 Deleted: the
 83	exclusively on marine species, leaving the freshwater fauna relatively poorly known. So far,	 Deleted:
84	around 120 freshwater gammarid species living in the Mediterranean have been described.	 Deleted:
 85	while only 15 species of two genera: Gammarus Fabricius, 1775 and Echinogammarus	
86	Stebbing, 1899, have been reported from the islands (Karaman & Pinkster 1977, Pinkster	 Deleted: were
l 87	1993). Recently, an extraordinarily high rate of cryptic diversity was discovered within	
88	several morphospecies from both mentioned genera (Hou et al. 2011, 2014, Weiss et al. 2014,	
89	Wysocka et al. 2014, Mamos et al. 2014, 2016; Copilaş-Ciocianu and Petrusek 2015, 2017;	 Deleted: -
90	Katouzian et al. 2016, Grabowski et al. 2017a,b). One can conclude that the number of	
91	species already reported from the Mediterranean islands is definitely underestimated.	 Deleted: I
92	Moreover, molecular studies on insular species are absent. To date, there have been two	 Deleted: the
93	freshwater endemic species reported from Crete, E. kretensis and E. platvoeti, both described	 Deleted:
94	by Pinkster (1993). As well, Gammarus pulex pulex (Linnaeus, 1758), a freshwater species	 Deleted: Only
95	widespread throughout Europe, <u>has been</u> reported from one locality on Crete (Karaman 2003).	 Deleted: was
96	No other insular freshwater <i>Gammarus</i> species has been reported from the Mediterranean.	
97	In this paper, we show evidence that the Cretan population of Gammarus pulex pulex is, in	
98	fact, a new species and describe it as Gammarus plaitisi sp. nov., based on morphological,	 Deleted: the
99	ultrastructural and molecular features. We also reconstruct, based on a multimarker dataset,	
100	the phylogeny of this species with respect to other lineages of G. pulex to reveal its	
101	biogeographic afiliations and possible origin.	
102		
103	Materials and methods	
103		
104	Sample collection, identification and material deposition	

The study material was collected from seven out of 53 sampling sites, including springs, 118 streams, rivers and lakes, visited during two sampling campaigns to Crete in 2011 and 2015 119 (Fig.1). Multihabitat sampling was done with rectangular kick sample nets (aperture 25x25 120 cm and 0.5 mm mesh size). The samples were sorted at the site and amphipods were 121 immediately fixed in 96% ethanol. Afterwards, the material was evaluated with a Nikon 800 122 stereomicroscope. Identification to species was done according to the diagnostic 123 morphological characters described by Karaman & Pinkster (1977a,b, 1987) and by Pinkster 124 (1993). Selected adult individuals were dissected and all the appendages of diagnostic value 125 were stained with lignin pink (Azophloxin, C₁₈H₁₃N₃Na₂O₈S₂) andmounted with Euparal 126 (Carl Roth GmBH, 7356.1) on microscope slides. Afterwards they were photographed and 127 drawn according to the protocol described by Coleman (2006, 2009). The body length of the 128 specimens was measured along the dorsal side of the body from the base of the first antennae 129 to the base of the telson. All the materials other than holotypes and paratypes are deposited in 130 131 the collection of the Department of Invertebrate Zoology & Hydrobiology of University of Lodz. The type material is deposited in the Museum and Institute of Zoology Polish Academy 132 of Sciences (catalogue numbers: MIZ 1/2018/1, MIZ 1/2018/2, MIZ 1/2018/3, MIZ 1/2018/4, 133 MIZ 1/2018/5, MIZ 1/2018/6) and Museum für Naturkunde in Berlin (catalogue number: 134 135 ZMB 30868)). Relevant voucher information and sequence trace files are accessible on the Barcode of Life Data Systems (BOLD; Ratnasingham & Hebert, 2007). In addition, all the 136 137 sequences were deposited in GenBank (accession numbers: COI: MG784477 to MG784549; 138 16S: MG784344 to MG784406; 28S: MG784423 to MG784456; ITS1: MG784460 to MG784476; EF1-a: MG792351 to MG792367). The electronic version of this article in 139 140 Portable Document Format (PDF) will represent a published work according to the 141 International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature (ICZN), and hence the new name 142 contained in the electronic version is effectively published under that Code from the electronic edition alone. This published work and the nomenclatural acts it contains have been 143 144 registered in ZooBank, the online registration system for the ICZN. The ZooBank LSIDs (Life Science Identifiers) can be resolved and the associated information viewed through any 145 standard web browser by appending the LSID to the prefix http://zoobank.org/. The LSID for 146 [urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:E7EA69BA-9A8E-4B44-B999-147 this publication C2BA7B69AC76]. The online version of this work is archived and available from the 148 following digital repositories: PeerJ, PubMed Central and CLOCKSS. 149

150 Scanning Electrone Microscope analysis

- 151 Individuals used for scanning electron microscope (SEM) analysis were critical point dried
- and sputter-coated with colloidal gold (10 nm). Pictures were taken with a PHENOM PRO X
- 153 SEM in the Department of Invertebrate Zoology and Hydrobiology of University of Lodz.
- 154 The photographs of the composition of the pores on antenna 1 and epimeral plate 2 were
- taken from three same-sized individuals belonging respectively to G. plaitisi sp. nov. and
- other populations of *G. pulex pulex* under four different magnifications.
- 157 DNA extraction, PCR amplification, sequencing, haplotype diversity and sequence analysis
- 158 About 3 mm³ of the muscle tissue was taken out from each individual, with a sharp-edged
- 159 forceps and incubated overnight at 55°C in a 1.5-ml tube containing 200 μl of Queen's lysis
- buffer with 5 µl of proteinase K (20 mg ml⁻¹) (Seutin et al. 1991). Total DNA was extracted
- using the standard phenol/chlorophorm method (Hillis et al. 1996). Air-dried DNA pellets
- 162 were resuspended in 100 μl of TE buffer, pH 8.00, stored at 4°C until amplification and
- 163 finally longterm stored at -20°C. At first, 57 individuals from 7 sampling sites were barcoded
- for cox I gene fragment using LCO1490/HCO2198 (Folmer et al. 1994) and LCO1490-JJ and
- 165 HCO2198-JJ (Astrin and Stüben 2011). PCR settings for amplifying COI sequences consisted
- of initial denaturing of 60s at 94°C, five cycles of 30 s at 94°C, 90 s at 45°C, 60 s at 72°C,
- then 35 cycles of 30 s at 94°C, 90 s at 51°C, 60 s at 72°C, and final 5 min extension at 72°C
- 168 (Hou et al. 2007). The cleaning of the PCR products was done with exonuclease I (20 U mL-
- $169 \hspace{0.5cm} 1, Fermentas) \ and \ alkaline \ phosphatase \ FastAP \ (1 \ U \ mL-1, Fermentas) \ treatment \ according \ to$
- 170 the manufacturer's guidelines. Subsequently, the products have been sequenced using the
- same primers as at the amplification stage. Sequencing of the PCR products was performed
- using BigDye terminator technology by Macrogen Inc.
- 173 All resulting sequences were verified and confirmed as Gammarus DNA via BLASTn
- searches in GenBank (Altschul et al. 1990) and then assembled and aligned in Geneious
- software (Kearse et al. 2012). The alignment was performed using MAFFT plugin with G-
- 176 INS-i algorithm in Geneious software (Katoh et al. 2002).
- 177 The DNAsp software (Librado and Rozas 2009) was used to define the haplotypes and to
- 178 calculate the haplotype and nucleotide diversity. The intraspecific pairwise genetic distances
- were calculated in MEGA7 software (Kumar et al. 2016). The relationships between
- haplotypes were illustrated with median-joining network (Bandelt et al. 1999) in PopArt
- 181 (Leigh and Bryant 2015).

183 (geographically nearest to type locality of G. pulex pulex), and outgroup Gammarus species were downloaded from NCBI GenBank and added to analyses to test the monophyly of G.cf 184 pulex group. (Tab.1). The neighbour-joining tree of all COI sequences, using Tamura-Nei 185 model of evolution with 1,000 bootstrap replicates, was created in MEGA7 software (Kumar 186 et al. 2016). 187 188 Afterwards, at least three individuals per each delimited cluster were amplified for one additional mitochondrial and two nuclear markers for phylogeny reconstruction: 1) 189 mitochondrial 16S rRNA using 16STf and 16SBr markers (Palumbi et al. 1991, MacDonald 190 et al. 2005) under the following PCR conditions: initial denaturation at 94°C for 150 s; 36 191 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 40 s, annealing at 54°C for 40 s, extension at 65°C for 80 s; 192 and a final extension at 65°C for 8 min (Weiss et al. 2014); 2) the nuclear 28S rRNA gene 193 amplified with 28F and 28R primers (Hou et al. 2007) under following conditions: initial 194 denaturation at 94°C for 3min, 35 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 20s, annealing at 55°C 195 for 45s, and elongation at 65°C for 60s, followed by a final extension for 2min at 65°C and 5 196 min extension at 72°C; 3) the nuclearITS1 gene with ITS1F and ITS1R primers (Chu et al. 197 2001) under following PCR conditions: 90 seconds at 94°C, 33 cycles of 20 seconds at 94°C, 198 30 seconds at 56.8°C, and 30 seconds at 72°C, and finally 5 minutes at 72°C and EF1-α gene 199 using EF1a-F and EF1a-R primers (Hou et al. 2011) under following PCR conditions: 60 s at 200 94°C, followed by 35 cycles of 30 s at 94°C, 45 s at 45-50°C, 60 s at 72°C, and 5 min 201 202 extension at 72°C. The nuclear markers were sequenced in both directions. 203 *MOTU delimitation – cryptic diversity* The Molecular Operational Taxonomic Units (MOTUs) were delimited, based on the COI 204 marker, with five methods and two different approaches (as done before by Grabowski et al. 205 2017b): the distance-based approaches, namely Barcode Index Number (BIN) System 206 (Ratnasingham & Hebert, 2013) and barcode gap discovery with the ABGD software 207

Additional COI sequences of closely related lineages from Greece and Sweden

182

208

209210

described by Zhang et al. (2013).

Deleted:

Deleted: The

211 The BIN method is a distance-based approach, embedded in the Barcode of Life Data systems

212 (BOLD; Ratnasingham & Hebert, 2007). The sequences already deposited in BOLD database

(Puillandre et al., 2012) and the tree-based approaches, using two GMYC model-based

methods (Pons et al., 2006) according to Monaghan et al. (2009) and the bPTP procedure

213 are confronted with the newly submitted ones. Afterwards, according to their molecular

the clusters. A unique and specific Barcode Index Number (BIN) is assigned to each cluster. 217 218 If the submitted sequences do not group together with already known BINs, a new number is created. Each BIN is registered in BOLD database. 219 220 The Automated Barcode Gap Discovery (ABGD) method uses pairwise distance measures. 221 ABGD clusters the sequences into MOTUs (Molecular Operational Taxonomic Units), in the 222 way that the genetic distance between two sequences belonging to two separate groups will always be greater than an indicated threshold (i.e. barcode gap). In our study, the primary 223 partitions were used as a principal for cluster delimitation, as they tend to remain stable on a 224 wider range of prior values, minimising the oversplitting of the number of groups and are 225 usually the closest to the number of taxa described by taxonomists (Puillandre et al., 2012). 226 The default value of 0.001 was applied as the minimum intraspecific distance. As the 227 maximum intraspecific distance we investigated a set of values up to 0.1, which has been 228 proposed as suggested maximum distance value in amphipods distinguishing two separate 229 species (Costa et al., 2007). The standard Kimura two-parameter (K2P) model correction was 230 used (Hebert et al., 2003). 231 The bPTP approach for species delimitation is a tree based method, utilising non-ultrametric 232 phylogenies. The number of substitutions in incorporated into the model of speciation and the 233 bPTP assumes that the probability that a substitution leads to a speciation event follows a 234 Poisson distribution, as the lengths of the branches of the input tree are generated 235 independently according to either to speciation or coalescence, which are two classes of the 236 Poisson processes. In bPTP, the Bayesian support values are added for each delimited cluster 237 238 (Zhang et al., 2013). As an input tree, the phylogeny was generated using Bayesian inference 239 in Geneious software package using MrBayes plugin (Kearse et al. 2012) with MCMC chain 240 1 million iterations long, sampled every 2,000 iterations. The TN93+I+G was chosen as the 241 substitution model, as best fit based on bModel test (Bouckaert and Drummond 2017). The 242 consensus tree was constructed after removal of 25% burn-in phase. The analysis itself was done using the bPTP web server (http://www.species.h-its.org/ptp/) with 500,000 iterations of 243 244 MCMC and 10% burn-in. The GMYC method identifies the transition from intraspecific branching patterns (coalescent) 245 to typical interspecific branching patterns (Yule processes) on an ultrametric, phylogenetic 246 tree, using the maximum likelihood approach. The estimation of the boundary between 247 coalescent and Yule branching processes can be done using two different GMYC approaches, 248

divergence, the sequences are clustered using algorithms that identify discontinuities between

216

Deleted: which

Deleted: n

Deleted: a

Deleted: chosen

Deleted: the

Deleted: -

Deleted: one

- one using the single threshold and the second one based on multiple threshold model. We 256
- have reconstructed an ultrametric tree, which is required for GMYC analyses, in BEAST 257
- software, using 20 million iterations long MCMC chain, with TN93+I+G as the best-fit 258
- substitution model. The consensus tree was analysed in the GMYC web server (available at: 259
- http://species.h-its.org/gmyc/) using both the single and multiple threshold models. 260
- Time calibration and phylogeny reconstruction 261
- 262 The time-callibrated phylogeny was reconstructed based on data from sequences of COI (586
- bp), 16S rRNA (299 bp), 28S rRNA (781 bp), ITS1 (548 bp) and EF1-alpha (602 bp) in 263
- BEAST2 software package (Bouckaert et al. 2014) with the use of five MCMC chains of 264
- 50 000 000 runs with following models of substitution: TN93+I+G (for COI), HKY+I+G (for 265
- 16S), TN93+I+G (for 28S), HKY+I+G (for ITS1) and TN93+I+G (for EF1-alpha) The 266
- models for each marker were selected according to bModel test (Bouckaert and Drummond 267
- 2017). The relaxed log-normal clock model was used and based on the selected rate of 0.0115 268
- 269 substitutions (SD 0.0026) per million years for COI according to already established rate
- (Brower 1994), which was cross-validated against two other rates (0.0113, 0.0127) 270
- established recently for other freshwater members of Gammarus, in the G. roeselii species 271
- complex (Grabowski et al. 2017a). All other clock rates were set on estimate. For 16S rRNA
- and EF1-alpha also relaxed log-normal clock was used, whereas for 28S rRNA and ITS1 the 273
- strict clock was used. All the models were tested beforehand in MEGA software, using 274
- implemented test for molecular clock model based on Maximum Likelihood phylogeny 275
- (Kumar et al. 2016). The resulting trees were checked for ESS values in Tracer and two trees 276
- with the best ESS values were combined in LogCombiner and annotated in TreeAnnotator. 277
- 278 The final output tree was edited in FigTree software
- 279 (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/).
- Results 280

- 281 **Systematics**
- Order: Amphipoda Latreille, 1818 282
- Family: Gammaridae Leach, 1814 283
- Genus: Gammarus Fabricius, 1775 284
- 285 Pinkster, 1970: 179, Karaman & Pinkster, 1977a: 3, Barnard & Barnard, 1983: 463.

- 286 Type species: Cancer pulex Linnaeus, 1758 [=Gammarus pulex (Linnaeus)] by subsequent
- designation of Pinkster, 1970: 177 (neotype designation).
- 288 Gammarus plaitisi sp. nov.
- 289 (Figs 2-6)
- 290 Gammarus pulex pulex (part.) Karaman, 2003: 31 (Vrondisi monastery, village Zaros, Creta
- 291 Island, Greece)
- 292 Diagnosis: Large species, making a robust impression. Similar to G. pulex pulex by the
- 293 characteristic antenna 2 with swollen flagellum, bearing a flag-like dense brush of setae and
- 294 similar armature of pereiopods. It may be distinguished from G. pulex pulex by the lack of
- 295 spines on the dorsal surface of the first segment of urosome, the shape of the posterodistal
- 296 margin of the second and third epimeral plate and by the size and the arrangement of the pores
- on the cuticle surface. It is also clearly distinguishable from G. pulex pulex on the molecular
- level, with respect to the COI nucleotide sequence.
- 299 Materials examined: More than 200 individuals, both males and females, from 7 localities in
- 300 different parts of Crete Island, Greece: small spring and stream at the Sfinari beach
- 301 N35.41533, E23.56127, many individuals coll. 28 August 2011; small stream in forest near
- 302 Elos, N35.36567, E23.63718, many individuals coll. 28 August 2011; Pelekaniotikos river
- near Kalamios N35.30729, E23.63583 many individuals coll. 28 August 2011; stream near
- 304 Viatos N35.39724, E23.65512, many individuals coll. 28 August 2011; Pantomantris River in
- 305 Fodele N35.37828, E24.95833, many individuals coll. 11 October 2015; Springs in Astritsi
- 306 N35.19084, E25.22233, many individuals coll. 9 October 2015; Karteros River near Skalani
- 307 N35.28893, E25.20423, many individuals coll. 9 October 2015.
- 308 Type: Holotype: An adult male individual collected on 11 October 2015, body length of 10
- 309 mm, as well as the DNA voucher (extracted DNA in buffer) deposited in Museum and
- 310 Institute of Zoology Polish Academy of Sciences. Catalogue number: (MIZ 1/2018/1));
- 311 GenBank accession number: (MG784515). Paratypes deposited in Museum and Institute of
- Zoology Polish Academy of Sciences (catalogue numbers: MIZ 1/2018/2, MIZ 1/2018/3, MIZ
- 313 1/2018/4, MIZ 1/2018/5, MIZ 1/2018/6) and Museum für Naturkunde in Berlin (catalogue
- 314 number: ZMB 30868): five specimens each fixed in 96% ethanol, collected from the type
- locality on 11 October 2015
- Type locality: Crete Island, Pantomantris River in Fodele, Greece. N35.37828, E24.95833

Distribution and habitat: The species is endemic to Crete. It is found in freshwaters 317 throughout the island, usually in gravel, decomposing leaves and among submerged tree roots. 318 Etymology: This new species is named to honour the Cretan family Plaitis; particularly 319 320 Wanda and Manolis Plaitis from Fodele village, who hosted us and provided invaluable help 321 during our sampling expeditions to Crete. Description: Male: Medium large, robust species with length up to 14 mm. Head: lateral lobes 322 323 rounded; eyes small; less than twice as long as wide. Antenna I (Fig. 2A): about half of the body length, peduncle segments subsequently shorter with third segment about half length of 324 the first one. Main flagellum with 25-30 segments and accessory flagellum with 3-4 325 segments. Both peduncle and flagellum with few short simple setae, rarely exceeding the 326 327 diameter of segments. Antenna II (Fig. 2B, 4B): Always shorter than antenna I. Peduncle segments armed with tufts of short setae. Flagellum with 13 to 17 segments, which are 328 swollen and compressed in adult individuals; most segments armed with transverse rows of 329 330 setae on the inner surface, altogether forming a flag-like brush. Calceoli always present. Mandibular palp (Fig. 2C): First segment unarmed. Second segment with ventral setae: in the 331 proximal part 2-3 setae much shorter than the diameter of the segment, in the distal part 10-13 332 setae as long as or up to 2.5× longer than the diameter of the segment. Third segment armed 333 with 2 groups of long A-setae, a regular comb of 25-30 D-setae and 5-6 long E-setae. 334 Maxillipeds (Fig. 2D): The maxillipeds with the inner plate armed distally with strong spine-335 teeth; the outer plate with spine-teeth and long plumose setae; the palp is well developed. 336 Gnathopod I (Fig. 2E): Palm oblique, setose, with one strong medial palmar spine, strong 337 angle spine accompanied by several small spines intermixed with longer setae along the 338 339 posterior palmar margin with addition of small spines and short setae on the lateral surface. 340 Gnathopod II (Fig. 2F): Propodus trapezoid, widening distally. Palm concave, setose, with 341 one medial palmar spine and three angle spines. Many groups of setae, variable in length, are 342 visible both on the inner and outer as well as the lateral surface of the propodus Pereopod III 343 (Fig. 3A): Anterior and distal margin of coxal plate slightly convex, posterior margin straight. Distal corners rounded. The last three segments of third pereiopod bear groups of long, often 344 345 curved setae along the posterior margin, usually 2 to 3 times longer than the diameter of 346 segments. The anterior margin of merus armed with 1 spine. Dactylus short, robust with one 347 seta at joint of unguis. Pereopod IV (Fig. 3B): Coxal plate dilated distally. Distal corners

rounded. The last three segments of fourth pereiopod bear groups of long, often curved setae

along the posterior margin, usually 2 to 3 times longer than the diameter of segments. The

348

anterior margin of merus armed with 1 spine. Dactylus short, robust with one seta at joint of unguis. Pereopod V (Fig. 3C): Basis with a subrectangular shape, posterior margin slightly concave, posterodistal lobe well developed, posterior margin with 10-12 very short setae, anterior margin with 4-5 spiniform setae. Ischium naked. Merus, carpus and propodus with robust spines on both margins, occasionally intermixed with relatively short setae. Dactylus short, robust usually with one seta at joint of unguis. Pereopod VI (Fig. 3D): Similar to PV, but slightly longer and wider, posterior margin convex, posterodistal lobe less prominent and basis more more elongated with a single, little spine on posterointerior corner. Ischium to propodus armed with robust spines and very few short setae. Dactylus short, robust with one seta at joint of unguis. Pereopod VII (Fig. 3E): Basis wider than in PVI with a single, little spine only at posteroinferior corner and even more elongated. Further articles armed same as in preceding pereopods. Uropod III (Fig. 3F): The inner ramus attains about 2/3 of the length of the outer ramus. Most of setae along the inner and outer margin of endo- and exopodite plumose. Telson (Fig. 3G): Deeply cleft, rather setose. Each lobe with 2 apical strong spines intermixed with few short and long setae, several short subapical setae present. Epimeral plates (Fig. 3H): First epimeral plate with 1 spine at the laterodistal margin. Second epimeral plate with 1 spine at the laterodistal surface, posterodistal margin rounded. Third epimeral plate with 3 spines at the laterodistal surface, posterodistal margin rounded with the posterodistal corner slightly pointed. Urosome (Fig. 4A): very flat without any elevation. First urosomite lacking any spines on dorsomedial or dorsolateral surface and armed only with a few groups of setae. Second urosomite with dorsomedial and dorsolateral groups of robust spines (2-2-2). Third urosomite only with two groups of dorsolateral spines on each side (3-0-3), and a dorsmedial group of 2-4 setae. Ultrastructure (Figs.5, 6) The pores are larger and more distinctly marked in comparison to G. pulex pulex. This pattern holds true for both A1 and E2, however on A1 the difference is more pronounced. On A1 pores form the regular rows for both G. plaitisi sp.nov. and G. pulex pulex, whereas on E2 the rows of pores are much more regular in G. plaitisi sp.nov. compared to those in G. pulex pulex. The distances between rows of pores are always about 1.5 times wider than in G. pulex pulex. Female: Smaller than male. The setation of the peduncle segments of the first and second antennae is longer than in the male. The characteristic brush of second antenna flagellum is absent. The propodi of the gnathopods smaller than in males and the setation of P3 and P4 is less abundant and shorter.

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362 363

364

365

366367

368 369

370

371

372

373

374

375376

377 378

379

380

- 382 Variability: Morphology of G. plaiti is stable with respect to features such as presence of
- 383 calceoli in males, presence of brush in peduncle of A2, flatness and armature of urosomites.
- 384 Larger individuals tend to have higher number of flagellum segments in antenna I and II, as
- well as more and longer setae on all appendages. The density of the setation and spinulation is
- also rather variable depending on age of the individual. Such variability is typical for most
- species of this genus (Karaman and Pinkster 1977 a,b, 1987).

Haplotype diversity and phylogeny reconstruction

- 389 We identified three haplotypes of G. plaitisi sp._nov. in the dataset composed of the forty
- 390 three COI sequences, with one haplotype being represented only by one specimen. The most
- 391 common haplotype, H2, was present in the majority of sites, except for locus typicus of the
- species (Fig.7). The overall haplotype diversity was quite high (Hd= 0.375 ± 0.076), whereas
- nucleotide diversity (Pi= 0.00126 ± 0.00075) was low. Generally, the differentiation was very
- low as the most common haplotype differed from the two remaining ones by a maximum of
- two mutation steps with intraspecific distance not exceeding the value of 0.005.
- 396 All MOTU delimitation methods supported distinctness of G. plaitisi, which always formed a
- single MOTU and was separated from its closest relative by the mean K2P distance of 0.12
- 398 (Tab. S2). It also formed a unique BIN in the BOLD database (BOLD: ADG8205). All the
- applied MOTU delimitation methods provided constant results with six MOTUs delimited for
- 400 the G. pulex morphospecies. Only the ABGD method indicated one MOTU less within the
- -.. -... -... -... -... -... -... -... -... -... -... -... -... -... -...
- Peloponnese group. Both the used GMYC approaches produced the same outcome with the same LR test values. Results of MOTU delimitation methods support high cryptic diversity
- 403 within *Gammarus pulex* morphospecies from Greece, as no morphological differences
- amongst the representatives of respective MOTUs have been found. The topology of the
- 405 neighbour-joining tree confirms that *G. plaitisi* sp. nov. is nested within the clade of lineages
- belonging to the G. pulex morphospecies (Fig.8). This suggests that G. pulex is, in reality, a
- 407 paraphyletic group of cryptic and pseudocryptic species.
- 408 Multimarker time-calibrated phylogeny indicated that divergence of the whole G. pulex
- 409 lineages from Peloponnese happened around 15 million years ago, whereas divergence of G.
- 410 plaitisi sp. nov. from its continental relatives took place around 9.2 million years ago
- 411 Moreover, divergence within the continental groups of G. pulex lineages spanned the last 5
- 412 million years (Fig.9). All three rates used for time calibratead reconstruction of Bayesian
- 413 phylogeny gave congruent results (Tab.2).

414 Discussion

416

419 420

422

423

424

425

426 427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435 436

437

438 439

440

441 442

443

444 445

species.

We provided evidence for the existence of new freshwater Gammarus species from Crete,

making this the third known freshwater endemic gammarid to Crete. The endemic freshwater

species of Gammaridae befoe this work were Echinogammarus platvoeti and E. kretensis

418 (Pinkster 1993), making G. plaitisi sp. nov. the first endemic of the genus Gammarus. The

integrative taxonomy approach confirmed the distinctness of the species not only on a

morphological basis, but also on a molecular level. This study also stressed the importance of

421 using SEM photography, which may provide additional diagnostic features that are

impossible to detect on usually used optical devices (Platvoet et al. 2008).

Despite the presence of G. plaitisi sp. nov. in seven, mostly isolated sites located both in the eastern and western part of Crete, its haplotype diversity is surprisingly low, with only two mutation steps separating the three known haplotypes (Tab.3). This pattern suggests a strong founder effect and recent dispersal, probably in the late Pleistocene, as suggested by the timecalibrated phylogeny, possibly due to rearrangement of the local hydrological networks at the end of the last Ice Age. This is a rather unusual finding considering the fact that Pleistocene glaciations, which strongly affected the river systems, promoted the diversification of various taxa in the Mediterranean (Previšić et al. 2009, Goncalves et al. 2015), including the freshwater gammarids (Grabowski et al. 2017a). However, such a founder effect scenario has also been found in other freshwater members of the genus Gammarus, such as Gammarus minus which inhabits both surface and groundwaters of North America. Gooch and Glazier (1986) confirmed postglacial dispersal of this species from refugia, which resulted in strong decrease in their allele diversity. This scenario is the most plausible one also for G. plaitisi sp. nov., which may have colonised the current distribution area from a single refugium. The distribution of haplotypes (Fig. 7) suggests that the individuals originate from a founding population from the western part of Crete, where all of the known haplotypes are present. Yet another question concerns the way of dispersal between isolated freshwater systems, separated by more than 100 km. One must consider passive dispersal i.e. by birds (Rachalewski et al. 2013), however, groundwater connections cannot be excluded (Harris et al. 2002). On the other side, there may be still some localities, particularly in the mountains, where the species is present or could have been present in the early Holocene but died out due to climatic changes. We still do not have enough data to reveal the dispersal history of this

Deleted:

Deleted: are,

448 Our results suggest that G. plaitisi sp. nov. diverged from the continental lineages of G. pulex around 9 million years ago (Fig.9). This result is strongly supported by cross-validation with 449 450 other substitution rates proposed for freshwater gammarids in earlier studies (Grabowski et al. 2017a). The timescale seems to be convergent with the estimated date of the first isolation of 451 Crete from Peloponnese (Poulakakis et al. 2015). Since that time Crete could be colonized 452 only by overseas dispersal. This finding suggests the continental origin of the newly described 453 species. The molecular data suggest rather the possibility of its dispersal to Crete before first 454 isolation of this island than migration during the temporal land connection during the 455 Messinian Sality Crysis and after its final isolation at around 5 million years ago. 456 The closest known relatives to G. plaitisi sp. nov. are continental lineages of G. pulex from 457 Peloponnese and the northern Greece (Fig.8). These continental lineages diverged from each 458 other around 5 million years ago, during the time of the Messinian Salinity Crisis (5.96-5.33 459 Mya), when the Mediterranean Basin dessicated (Krijgsman et al. 1999). The reopening of the 460 Strait of Gibraltar ended the Messinian Salinity Crisis and resulted in refilling of the basin 461 (Hsu et al. 1977). Nesting of G. plaitisi sp. nov. in between lineages of G. pulex pulex 462

confirms the already known lack of monophyly present in a number of freshwater gammarid

morphospecies (i.e. Hou et al. 2011, 2014, Weiss et al. 2014, Mamos et al. 2014, 2016;

Copilaş - Ciocianu and Petrusek 2015, 2017; Katouzian et al. 2016, Grabowski et al.

2017a,b). These data support the need for a comprehensive revision of Gammarus pulex.

Comment [JR1]: What is this symbol? Formatted: Highlight

Deleted: has

Deleted: has Deleted: been

Deleted:

Conclusions

463

464 465

466

467

474

475

476

479

G. plaitisi sp. nov. is the first endemic insular freshwater Gammarus in the Mediterranean. 468 However, given the scarcity of the sampling in the fresh waters of the Mediterranean islands, 469 there is a high chance there are more representatives of the genus in the Aegean Basin and 470 471 other Mediterranean islands. The description of this new species using the integrative 472 taxonomy approach not only broadens the knowledge about freshwater diversity of Crete, but 473 also provides a link between the geological history of this island with the evolution of the

local freshwater species. The results provide yet another piece of the puzzle in explaining the

evolution of the family Gammaridae.

Acknowledgments

The first author would like to thank Charles Oliver Coleman for extremely valuable digital 477 478

drawing training. Mrs Marla Spencer from University of Southampton, UK, kindly agreed to perform language corrections. We also thank the colleagues involved in sampling expeditions

- 484 to Crete: Karolina Bacela-Spychalska, Tomasz Rewicz, Piotr Gadawski, Aleksandra
- 485 Bańkowska, Andrzej Zawal, Agnieszka Szlauer-Łukaszewska.

486 References:

- 487 Alther, R., Fišer, C., & Altermatt, F. 2016. Description of a widely distributed but overlooked
- 488 amphipod species in the European Alps. Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society, 179(4),
- 489 751-766.
- 490 Altschul, S. F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E. W., & Lipman, D. J. 1990. Basic local
- alignment search tool. Journal of molecular biology, 215(3), 403-410.
- 492 Astrin, J.J., Stüben, P.E. 2011. Molecular phylogeny of Echinodera and Ruteria (Coleoptera:
- 493 Curculionidae: Cryptorhynchinae) and the parallel speciation of Canary Island weevils along
- replicate environmental gradients. Invertebrate Systematics, 24(5), 434-455.
- 495 Bandelt H, Forster P and Röhl A 1999. Median-joining networks for inferring intraspecific
- 496 phylogenies. Molecular Biology and Evolution 16(1), 37-48.
- 497 Barnard, J. L., & Barnard, C. M. 1983. Freshwater Amphipoda of the World: Handbook and
- 498 bibliography. Hayfield Associates.
- 499 Bilton, D. T., Freeland, J. R., & Okamura, B. 2001. Dispersal in freshwater invertebrates.
- Annual review of ecology and systematics, 159-181.
- 501 Bouckaert, R., Heled, J., Kühnert, D., Vaughan, T., Wu, C. H., Xie, D., ... & Drummond, A. J.
- 502 2014. BEAST 2: a software platform for Bayesian evolutionary analysis. PLoS computational
- 503 biology, 10(4), e1003537.
- 504 Bouckaert, R. R., & Drummond, A. J. 2017. bModelTest: Bayesian phylogenetic site model
- averaging and model comparison. BMC evolutionary biology, 17(1), 42.
- 506 Brower, A. V. 1994. Rapid morphological radiation and convergence among races of the
- 507 butterfly Heliconius erato inferred from patterns of mitochondrial DNA evolution.
- Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 91(14), 6491-6495.
- 509 Chu, K. H., Li, C. P., & Ho, H. Y. 2001. The first internal transcribed spacer (ITS-1) of
- 510 ribosomal DNA as a molecular marker for phylogenetic and population analyses in Crustacea.
- 511 Marine Biotechnology, 3(4), 355-361.
- 512 Coleman, C. O. 2006. Substituting time-consuming pencil drawings in arthropod taxonomy
- using stacks of digital photographs. Zootaxa, 1360(1), 61-68.
- Coleman, C. O. 2009. Drawing setae the digital way. Zoosystematics and Evolution, 85(2),
- 515 305-310.
- Copilas Ciocianu D, Petrusek A 2015. The southwestern Carpathians as an ancient centre of
- 517 diversity of freshwater gammarid amphipods: insights from the Gammarus fossarum species
- 518 complex. *Molecular ecology* 24(15): 3980-3992
- 519 Copilaş Ciocianu D, Petrusek A 2017. Phylogeography of a freshwater crustacean species
- 520 complex reflects a long gone archipelago. *Journal of Biogeography* 44(2): 421-432

Comment [JR2]: This section has a lot of inconsistencies – please check!

Comment [JR3]: Formatting of some references is not consistent – please check these thoroughly.

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

- Costa, F. O., DeWaard, J. R., Boutillier, J., Ratnasingham, S., Dooh, R. T., Hajibabaei, M., & 521
- Hebert, P. D. 2007. Biological identifications through DNA barcodes: the case of the 522
- Crustacea. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 64(2), 272-295. 523
- Fabricius, J. C. 1775. Systema Entomologiae, sistens Insectorum Classes, Ordines, Genera, 524
- Species, adjectis synonymus, locis, descriptionibus, obserbationibus. in Flensburgi et Lipsiae: 525
- 526 832 pp.
- Figueroa, J. M. T., López-Rodríguez, M. J., Fenoglio, S., Sánchez-Castillo, P., & Fochetti, R. 527
- (2013). Freshwater biodiversity in the rivers of the Mediterranean Basin. Hydrobiologia, 528
- 719(1), 137-186. 529
- 530 Folmer O, Black M, Hoeh W, Lutz R, Vrijenhoek R. 1994. DNA primers for amplification of
- mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I from diverse metazoan invertebrates. Molecular 531
- Marine Biology and Biotechnology, 3, 294-299.
- Gooch, J. L., & Glazier, D. S. 1986. Levels of heterozygosity in the amphipod Gammarus 533
- 534 minus in an area affected by Pleistocene glaciation. American Midland Naturalist, 57-63.
- Goncalves H, Maia-Carvalho B, Sousa-Neves T, Garcia-Paris M, Sequeira F, Ferrand 535
- 536 Martinez-Solano NI. 2015. Multi locus phylogeography of the common midwife toad, Alytes
- obstetricans (Anura, Alytidae): contrasting patterns of lineage diversification and genetic 537
- structure in the Iberian refugium. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 93:363-379 538
- 539 Grabner, D. S., Weigand, A. M., Leese, F., Winking, C., Hering, D., Tollrian, R., & Sures, B.
- 2015. Invaders, natives and their enemies: distribution patterns of amphipods and their 540
- microsporidian parasites in the Ruhr Metropolis, Germany. Parasites & Vectors, 8(1), 419. 541
- 542 Grabowski M, Mamos T, Bącela-Spychalska K, Rewicz T, Wattier RA 2017a. Neogene
- paleogeography provides context for understanding the origin and spatial distribution of 543
- cryptic diversity in a widespread Balkan freshwater amphipod. PeerJ 5: e3016
- 544
- Grabowski, M., Wysocka, A., & Mamos, T. 2017b. Molecular species delimitation methods 545
- 546 provide new insight into taxonomy of the endemic gammarid species flock from the ancient
- Lake Ohrid. Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society, zlw025. 547
- 548 Harris, P. M., Roosa, B. R., & Norment, L. 2002. Underground dispersal by amphipods
- (Crangonyx pseudogracilis) between temporary ponds. Journal of Freshwater Ecology, 17(4), 549
- 550 589-594.
- Hebert, P. D., Cywinska, A., & Ball, S. L. 2003. Biological identifications through DNA 551
- barcodes. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences, 270(1512), 552
- 553 313-321.
- Hillis, D. M., Moritz, C., & Mable, B. K.. 1996. Molecular systematics. Sinauer Associates. 554
- 555 Sunderland, MA, USA.
- Hou Z, Fu J, Li S 2007. A molecular phylogeny of the genus Gammarus (Crustacea: 556
- Amphipoda) based on mitochondrial and nuclear gene sequences. Molecular Phylogenetics 557
- and Evolution 45(2): 596-611 558
- Hou, Z., Sket, B., Fišer, C., & Li, S. 2011. Eocene habitat shift from saline to freshwater 559
- promoted Tethyan amphipod diversification. Proceedings of the National Academy of 560
- Sciences, 108(35), 14533-14538. 561

Comment [JR4]: This formatting too, needs checking.

Formatted: Font:Italic

Formatted: Font:Italio

Deleted: vectors

- 563 Hou, Z., Sket, B., & Li, S. 2014. Phylogenetic analyses of Gammaridae crustacean reveal
- 564 different diversification patterns among sister lineages in the Tethyan region. Cladistics,
- 565 30(4), 352-365.
- 566 Hsü, K. J., Montadert, L., Bernoulli, D., Cita, M. B., Erickson, A., Garrison, R. E., ... &
- Wright, R. 1977. History of the Mediterranean salinity crisis. Nature, 267(5610), 399-403.
- 568 Karaman, G.S. 2003. New data on some gammaridean amphipods (Amphipoda, Gammaridea)
- 569 from Palearctic. Glasnik of the Section of Natural Sciences Montenegrin Academy of
- 570 Sciences and Arts, 15, 20-37.
- 571 Karaman, G.S., Pinkster, S., 1977a. Freshwater Gammarus species from Europe, North Africa
- 572 and adjacent regions of Asia (Crustacea, Amphipoda). Part I. Gammarus pulex-group and
- 573 related species. Bijdr. Dierk. 47, 1–97.
- 574 Karaman, G.S., Pinkster, S., 1977b. Freshwater Gammarus species from Europe, North Africa
- 575 and adjacent regions of Asia (Crustacea, Amphipoda). PartII. Gammarus roeseli-group and
- 576 related species. Bijdr. Dierk. 47, 165–196.
- 577 Karaman, G.S., Pinkster, S., 1987. Freshwater Gammarus species from Europe, North Africa
- and adjacent regions of Asia (Crustacea, Amphipoda). Part III. Gammarus balcanicus-group
- and related species. Bijdr. Dierk. 57, 207–260.
- 580 Katoh, K., Misawa, K., Kuma, K. I., & Miyata, T. 2002. MAFFT: a novel method for rapid
- 581 multiple sequence alignment based on fast Fourier transform. Nucleic acids research, 30(14),
- 582 3059-3066.
- 583 Katouzian AR, Sari A, Macher JN, Weiss M, Saboori A, Leese F, Weigand AM 2016. Drastic
- 584 underestimation of amphipod biodiversity in the endangered Irano-Anatolian and Caucasus
- 585 biodiversity hotspots. Scientific reports 6
- 586 Kearse, M., Moir, R., Wilson, A., Stones-Havas, S., Cheung, M., Sturrock, S., ... & Thierer,
- 587 T. 2012. Geneious Basic: an integrated and extendable desktop software platform for the
- organization and analysis of sequence data. Bioinformatics, 28(12), 1647-1649.
- 589 Kelly, D. W., Dick, J. T., & Montgomery, W. I. 2002. The functional role of Gammarus
- 590 (Crustacea, Amphipoda): shredders, predators, or both?. Hydrobiologia, 485(1-3), 199-203.
- 591 Krijgsman, W., Hilgen, F. J., Raffi, I., Sierro, F. J., & Wilson, D. S. 1999. Chronology, causes
- and progression of the Messinian salinity crisis. Nature, 400(6745), 652-655.
- 593 Kumar, S., Stecher, G., & Tamura, K. 2016. MEGA7: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics
- Analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. Molecular biology and evolution, 33(7), 1870-1874.
- 595 Kunz, P. Y., Kienle, C., & Gerhardt, A. 2010. Gammarus spp. in aquatic ecotoxicology and
- 596 water quality assessment: toward integrated multilevel tests. In Reviews of Environmental
- 597 Contamination and Toxicology Volume 205 (pp. 1-76). Springer New York.
- 598 Latreille, P.A. 1818. Crustaces, arachnides et insectes. Tableau Encyclopedique et
- Methodique des Trois Regnes de la Nature. Paris 24(6): 142 pp.
- Leach, W.E. 1814. Crustaceology. The Edinburgh Encyclopaedia 7:402-435.
- 601 Leigh JW, Bryant D 2015. PopART: full feature software for haplotype network
- 602 construction. Methods in Ecology and Evolution 6(9): 1110-1116

Comment [JR5]: Also, many missing italics – this cannot be so, particularly for a taxonomic paper.

Formatted: Font:Italic

Formatted: Highlight

- 603 Librado P, Rozas J 2009. DnaSP v5: a software for comprehensive analysis of DNA
- polymorphism data. Bioinformatics 25(11): 1451-1452
- 605 Linnaeus, C. 1758. Systema Naturae, Editio Decima, Tomus I. Holmiae [Stockholm]:
- 606 Laurenti Salvii.
- 607 MacDonald III, K. S., L. Yampolsky & J. Duffy, 2005. Molecular and morphological
- 608 evolution of the amphipod radiation of Lake Baikal. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution
- 609 35: 323–343.
- 610 MacNeil, C., Dick, J. T., & Elwood, R. W. 1997. The trophic ecology of freshwater
- 611 Gammarus spp.(Crustacea: Amphipoda): problems and perspectives concerning the functional
- feeding group concept. Biological Reviews of the Cambridge Philosophical Society, 72(03),
- 613 349-364.
- 614 Mamos T, Wattier R, Majda A, Sket B, Grabowski M 2014. Morphological vs. molecular
- delineation of taxa across montane regions in Europe: the case study of Gammarus balcanicus
- 616 Schäferna (Crustacea: Amphipoda). Journal of Zoological Systematics and Evolutionary
- 617 Research 52(3): 237-248
- 618 Mamos T, Wattier R, Burzyński A, Grabowski M 2016. The legacy of a vanished sea: a high
- 619 level of diversification within a European freshwater amphipod species complex driven by 15
- My of Paratethys regression. *Molecular ecology* 25(3): 795-810
- 621 Monaghan, M. T., Wild, R., Elliot, M., Fujisawa, T., Balke, M., Inward, D. J., ... & Vogler, A.
- 622 P. 2009. Accelerated species inventory on Madagascar using coalescent-based models of
- species delineation. Systematic biology, 58(3), 298-311.
- 624 Myers, N., Mittermeier, R. A., Mittermeier, C. G., Da Fonseca, G. A., & Kent, J. 2000.
- Biodiversity hotspots for conservation priorities. Nature, 403(6772), 853-858.
- 626 Neuparth, T., Costa, F. O., & Costa, M. H. 2002. Effects of temperature and salinity on life
- 627 history of the marine amphipod Gammarus locusta. Implications for ecotoxicological testing.
- 628 Ecotoxicology, 11(1), 61-73.
- 629 Neuparth, T., Correia, A. D., Costa, F. O., Lima, G., & Costa, M. H. 2005. Multi-level
- 630 assessment of chronic toxicity of estuarine sediments with the amphipod Gammarus locusta:
- I. Biochemical endpoints. Marine environmental research, 60(1), 69-91.
- 632 Palumbi, S., A. Martin, S. Romano, W. Mcmillan, L. Stice & G. Grabowski, 1991. The
- 633 Simple Fool's Guide to PCR. A Collection of PCR Protocols, Version 2. University of
- 634 Hawaii, Honolulu
- Pinkster, S. (1970). Redescription of Gammarus pulex (Linnaeus, 1758) based on neotype
- material (Amphipoda). Crustaceana, 18(2), 177-186.
- Pinkster, S. 1993. A revision of the genus Echinogammarus Stebbing, 1899 with some notes
- on related genera (Crustacea, Amphipoda). Memorie Del Museo Civico Di Storia Naturale,
- 639 pp. 1-185.
- Platvoet, D., Hou, Z. E., Li, S., & van der Velde, G. 2008. The Amphipod Pilot Species
- 641 Project (AMPIS), a novel Dutch-Chinese taxonomic initiative (Peracarida, Amphipoda): a
- description of the project. Crustaceana, 81(8), 989-992.

- Pons, J., Barraclough, T.G., Gomez-Zurita, J., Cardoso, A., Duran, D.P., Hazell, S., ... &
- Vogler, A.P. 2006. Sequence-based species delimitation for the DNA taxonomy of
- undescribed insects. Systematic biology, 55(4), 595-609.
- 646 Poulakakis, N., Kapli, P., Lymberakis, P., Trichas, A., Vardinoyiannis, K., Sfenthourakis, S.,
- & Mylonas, M. 2015. A review of phylogeographic analyses of animal taxa from the Aegean
- and surrounding regions. Journal of Zoological Systematics and Evolutionary Research,
- 649 53(1), 18-32.
- Previšić, A., Walton, C., Kučinić, M., Mitrikeski, P. T., & Kerovec, M. 2009. Pleistocene
- 651 divergence of Dinaric Drusus endemics (Trichoptera, Limnephilidae) in multiple microrefugia
- within the Balkan Peninsula. Molecular ecology, 18(4), 634-647.
- Puillandre, N., Lambert, A., Brouillet, S., & Achaz, G. 2012. ABGD, Automatic Barcode Gap
- Discovery for primary species delimitation. Molecular ecology, 21(8), 1864-1877.
- 655 Rachalewski, M., Banha, F., Grabowski, M., & Anastácio, P. M. 2013. Ectozoochory as a
- 656 possible vector enhancing the spread of an alien amphipod Crangonyx pseudogracilis.
- 657 Hydrobiologia, 717(1), 109-117.
- 658 Ratnasingham, S., & Hebert, P. D. 2007. BOLD: The Barcode of Life Data System
- 659 (http://www.barcodinglife.org). Molecular Ecology Resources, 7(3), 355-364.
- 660 Ratnasingham, S., & Hebert, P. D. 2013. A DNA-based registry for all animal species: the
- Barcode Index Number (BIN) system. PloS one, 8(7), e66213.
- 662 Seutin, G., White, B. N., & Boag, P. T. 1991. Preservation of avian blood and tissue samples
- 663 for DNA analyses. Canadian Journal of Zoology, 69(1), 82-90.
- 664 Sondaar P.Y., Dermitzakis M.D. 1982. Relation Migration Landvertebrates, Paleogeography
- and Tectonics. In: Pichon X.L., Augustidis S.S., MascleJ. (eds), International Symposium on
- the Hellenic Arc and Trench (H.E.A.T.), April 8–10 1981, Athens, 2: 283–308.
- 667 Stebbing, T.R.R. 1899. Revision of Amphipoda. Annals and Magazine of Natural History,
- 668 series 7, 4: 205-211.
- 669 Weiss M, Macher JN, Seefeldt MA, Leese F 2014. Molecular evidence for further overlooked
- 670 species within the Gammarus fossarum complex (Crustacea: Amphipoda). Hydrobiologia
- 671 721(1): 165
- 672 Whittaker, R. J., & Fernández-Palacios, J. M. 2007. Island biogeography: ecology, evolution,
- and conservation. Oxford University Press.
- 674 Woodward, J. (Ed.). 2009. The physical geography of the Mediterranean (Vol. 8). Oxford
- 675 University Press on Demand.
- 676 Wysocka, A., Grabowski, M., Sworobowicz, L., Mamos, T., Burzyński, A., & Sell, J. 2014.
- 677 Origin of the Lake Ohrid gammarid species flock: ancient local phylogenetic lineage
- diversification. Journal of Biogeography, 41(9), 1758-1768.
- 679 Zhang, J., Kapli, P., Pavlidis, P., & Stamatakis, A. 2013. A general species delimitation
- method with applications to phylogenetic placements. Bioinformatics, 29(22), 286.

682 Figure captions:

683	Fig.1 Map of the sampling sites on Crete. Purple dots indicate sites that were visited where no		Deleted: the
684	individuals of <i>Gammarus plaitisi</i> sp. nov. were found. Blue dots represent sites where G.		Deleted: , which
			Deleted: ,
685	plaitisi sp. nov. specimens were found.		Deleted: but
1 686	Fig.2 Gammarus plaitisi sp. nov. male, paratype, 13 mm, locus typicus, Fodele, Crete. A:	The same	Deleted: the locations
			Deleted: was
687	antenna 1, outer face; B: antenna II, outer face; C: mandibular palp, inner face; D:		
688	maxillipeds, outer face; E: palm of gnathopod I, outer face; F: palm of gnathopod II, outer		
689	face.		
coo	Fig.3 <i>Gammarus plaitisi</i> sp. nov. male, paratype, 13 mm, locus typicus, Fodele, Crete. A-B:,		
690			
691	pereopod III and IV, outer face; C-E: pereopod V to VII; F: uropod III; G: telson.		
692	Fig.4 <i>Gammarus plaitisi</i> sp. nov. male, paratype, 12 mm, locus typicus, Fodele, Crete. A-B:		
693	Epimeral plates II and III; C: urosome, dorsal view; D: calceola.		
033	Epiniciai piates ii and iii, C. diosonie, doisai view, D. caiceoia.		
694	Fig.5 Comparison of the ultrastructure of a fragment of antenna I of Gammarus plaitisi sp.		Deleted:
695	nov., Fodele, Crete; Gammarus pulex pulex, Estonia.		
ı			
696	Fig.6 Comparison of the ultrastructure of a fragment of epimeral plate II of Gammarus		Deleted:
697	<i>plaitisi</i> sp. nov., Fodele, Crete; <i>Gammarus pulex pulex</i> , Estonia.		
698	Fig.7 Map of the sampling sites on Crete with the median-joining haplotype network of		
699	Gammarus plaitisi sp. nov Circles indicate frequency of haplotypes at each particular site.	\leq	Deleted: . Deleted: The c
700	Fig.8 Neighbor-joining tree of the <i>Gammarus plaitisi</i> sp. nov. with members of <i>Gammarus</i>		Deleted: in the map
701	cf. <i>pulex</i> , obtained from our data and mined from NCBI GenBank with the addition of the		Deleted: s
	-	1	Deleted: the
702	outgroups. The numbers by respective nodes indicate bootstrap values ≥ 0.75 . The scale bar	Ì	Deleted: the
703	corresponds to the number of substitutions per site. The rows of respective bars represent the		
704	delimitation of molecular operational taxonomic units (MOTU) by various methods of species		
705	delimitation.		
700	Pio O Manierona alada ana dikilika dina adikata LD		
706	Fig.9 Maximum clade credibility, time-calibrated Bayesian reconstruction of phylogeny of the		
707	Gammarus plaitisi sp. nov. with members of Gammarus cf. pulex from Peloponnese and		
708	Northern Greece. Phylogeny was inferred from sequences of the mitochondrial COI and 16S		Deleted: a
709	genes and nuclear 28S, ITS1 and EF1- α genes. The numbers by respective nodes indicate		
710	Bayesian posterior probability values ≥ 0.85 . Grey bars indicate the respective MOTUs of		
711	Gammarus morphospecies and grey node bars represent 95% HPD.		
,11	Gammar as morphospecies and grey node outs represent 75/0 m D.		